



Article New Alk(en)ylhydroxycyclohexanes with Tyrosinase Inhibition Potential from *Harpephyllum caffrum* Bernh. Gum Exudate

Olusola Bodede ^{1,2,*}, Garland K. More ¹, Roshila Moodley ³, Paul Steenkamp ⁴, Himansu Baijnath ⁵, Vinesh Maharaj ² and Gerhard Prinsloo ¹

- ¹ Department of Agriculture and Animal Health, University of South Africa, Johannesburg 1709, South Africa; moregk@unisa.ac.za (G.K.M.); prinsg@unisa.ac.za (G.P.)
- ² Department of Chemistry, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0028, South Africa; vinesh.maharaj@up.ac.za
- ³ Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK; roshila.moodley@manchester.ac.uk
 - ⁴ Research Centre for Plant Metabolomics, Department of Biochemistry, University of Johannesburg, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa; psteenkamp@uj.ac.za
- ⁵ School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville Campus, Private Bag X54001, Durban 4000, South Africa; baijnathh@ukzn.ac.za
- Correspondence: olusolabodede@gmail.com

Abstract: This work presents the first report on the phytochemical investigation of *Harpephyllum caffrum* Bernh. gum exudate. A known cardanol, 3-heptadec-12'-Z-enyl phenol (1) and three new alk(en)ylhydroxycyclohexanes, namely, (1R,3R)-1,3-dihydroxy-3-[heptadec-12'(Z)-enyl]cyclohexane (2) (15,25,35,45,5R)-1,2,3,4,5-pentahydroxy-5-[octadec-13'(Z)-enyl]cyclohexane (3) and (1R,25,4R)-1,2,4-trihydroxy-4-[heptadec-12'(Z)-enyl]cyclohexane (4) were isolated from the gum. The structures of the compounds were determined by extensive 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy and HR-ESI-MS data. The ethanolic extract of the gum was found to be the most potent tyrosinase inhibitor with IC₅₀ of 11.32 µg/mL while compounds **2** and **3**, with IC₅₀ values of 24.90 and 26.99 µg/mL, respectively, were found to be potential anti-tyrosinase candidates from the gum. Gum exudate may be a potential source for non-destructive harvesting of selective pharmacologically active compounds from plants. The results also provide evidence that *H. caffrum* gum may find application in cosmetics as a potential anti-tyrosinase agent.

Keywords: Harpephyllum caffrum; ¹H NMR; cardanols; cyclohexanol; anti-tyrosinase

1. Introduction

Harpephyllum Bernh. is a monotypic genus of the family Anacardiaceae with *Harpephyllum caffrum* Bernh. as the only recognised species. Anacardiaceae, also known as the cashew family, is characterised by gum/resin secretion [1,2]. The ethnomedicinal uses of *H. caffrum* include the treatment of skin diseases such as acne and eczema, control and management of infant convulsions and epilepsy and for blood purification [3,4]. Phytochemical studies of *H. caffrum* have been documented for its leaves [5,6], edible fruits and stem bark [7]. These studies revealed the presence of polyphenolic compounds and their glycosides, lupane-type triterpenoids, alkyl coumarates and cardanols with saturated and unsaturated alkyl chains. The cardanols have similar alkyl chains with alkenyl cyclohexanols but differ in the ring type, the latter being non-aromatic [5–7]. However, no information is available on the natural gum exudate produced by this species.

Plant gum exudates are often harvested for their pharmaceutical [8], food [9] and cosmetic applications [10,11]. They serve as binders due to their rich structurally stable carbohydrate and protein compositions [12] and are preferred over their synthetic polymer counterparts because of their biodegradability, non-toxic nature and economic importance [8]. Although the chemistry of gums has reportedly been characterised by several



Citation: Bodede, O.; More, G.K.; Moodley, R.; Steenkamp, P.; Baijnath, H.; Maharaj, V.; Prinsloo, G. New Alk(en)ylhydroxycyclohexanes with Tyrosinase Inhibition Potential from *Harpephyllum caffrum* Bernh. Gum Exudate. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 3839. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules27123839

Academic Editors: Mostafa Rateb, Ki Hyun Kim and Hossam Hassan

Received: 23 May 2022 Accepted: 8 June 2022 Published: 15 June 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). polysaccharides [12,13], terpenoids have been found occurring in large amounts for some gums [14,15], whereas alkenyl cyclohexanols have not been reported from plant gums. We herein report on the phytochemistry of *H. caffrum* gum exudate using chromatographic separations and spectrometric techniques. A preliminary study on the anti-tyrosinase potential of the isolated compounds is also evaluated.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemistry of Isolated Compounds

Compound 1 (61 mg) was isolated as a yellow oil. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) of 1 were consistent with those reported by Okoth et al. (2016) for the cardanol, 3-heptadec-12'-Z-enyl phenol [16]. Its molecular formula was obtained as $C_{23}H_{38}O$ based on HR-ESI-MS (m/z 329.2823 [M-H]⁻; calcd for $C_{23}H_{37}O$, 329.2844). This single mass analysis further justified the assignment of alkenyl chain length of the proposed cardanol. The structures of 1 and other compounds isolated from *H. caffrum* gum are presented in Figure 1.

Table 1. ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, δ_C , Type) spectral data for compounds 1–4.

Position	1	2	4	Position	3
1	155.4 <i>,</i> C	67.8 <i>,</i> CH	70.9 <i>,</i> CH	1	67.6 <i>,</i> CH
2	115.3 <i>,</i> CH	41.2, CH ₂	67.7, CH	2	66.8, CH
3	144.9, C	72.9 <i>,</i> C	40.6, CH ₂	3	65.9, CH
4	120.9, CH	43.7, CH ₂	76.1, C	4	72.7, CH
5	129.3, CH	16.0	28.3, CH ₂	5	73.6, C
6	112.4, CH	36.9	41.9, CH ₂	6	45.9, CH ₂
1'	35.8, CH ₂	32.8, CH ₂	29.8, CH ₂	1'	44.5, CH ₂
2'	31.3, CH ₂	22.8, CH ₂	23.3, CH ₂	2'	35.3, CH ₂
11′	27.2, CH ₂	27.2, CH ₂	28.1, CH ₂	12'	27.2, CH ₂
12'	129.8, CH	129.8, CH	130.8, CH	13'	129.8, CH
13'	129.9, CH	129.9, CH	130.8, CH	14'	129.9, CH
14'	26.9, CH ₂	26.9, CH ₂	27.9, CH ₂	15'	26.9, CH ₂
15'	31.9, CH ₂	31.9, CH ₂	33.1, CH ₂	16'	31.9, CH ₂
16'	22.3, CH ₂	22.3, CH ₂	23.1, CH ₂	17'	22.3, CH ₂
17'	14.0, CH ₃	13.9, CH ₃	14.3, CH ₃	18'	13.9, CH ₃

Compounds 1–3 were recorded in CDCl₃ while 4 was recorded in CD₃OD.

Similarly to 1, compound 2 (0.54 g) was isolated as a yellow oil, having a molecular formula of $C_{23}H_{44}O_2$ obtained from HR-ESI-MS (m/z 375.3225 [M+Na]⁺; calcd for $C_{23}H_{44}O_2Na$, 375.3239). The MS report also gave a DBE of **2**, suggesting a cyclohexane rather than the benzene ring system in **1**. The 1 H NMR data (Table 2) of **2** displayed a disubstituted cyclohexane ring system with the oxygenated methine proton resonance at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.07 (brs, H-1). In addition, the non-equivalent pairs of proton resonances at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.95 (m, H-5a) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.43 (m, H-5b), $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.83 (m, H-2a) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.48 (H-2b), $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.60 (m, H-6a) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.35 (m, H-6b) as well as $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.37 (bd, J = 1.7 Hz, H-4) were assigned to complete the resonances of the cyclohexane ring. The proton resonances corresponded to δ_C 67.8 (C-1), 16.0 (C-5), 41.2 (C-2), 36.9 (C-6) and 43.7 (C-4) while the only quaternary carbon resonated at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 72.9 (C-3) in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. These assignments were supported by COSY correlations between H-1 and H-2, H-4 and H-5, H-5 and H-6, in addition to HMBC the correlations of H-1 with C-2, C-3 and C-5, H-4 with C-2, C-3 and C-5 and H-6 with C-2. NOESY correlations were only observed between H-1 and H-2, and H-2 and H-1' on the cyclohexane ring while H-16' had NOESY correlations with both H-13' and H-17', observed on the alkenyl chain. The placement of double bond was further justified by HMBC correlations of H-13' with C-14' and C-15' in addition to those of H-17' with C-16' and C-15'. The olefinic protons with a characteristic multiplet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.32 and resonances of C-11' and C-14' (adjacent to the double bond) observed at δ_C 27.2 and 26.9, respectively, indicated a cis mono-unsaturated alkyl chain [16,17]. All COSY, HMBC and NOESY correlations are shown in Figure 2. The absolute configuration of 2 was deduced from the spectrum of the

electronic circular dichroism (ECD) (Figure 3), which revealed a high-amplitude positive cotton effect in the regions 230–250 and 295–325 nm. Compound **2** was therefore named (1R,3R)-1,3-dihydroxy-3-[heptadec-12'(Z)-enyl]cyclohexane.



Figure 1. Structures of compounds isolated from *H. caffrum* gum (compounds 1–4) and two (5 and 6) closely related compounds to 4.

Compound **3** (1.40 g) obtained as a colourless oil differs significantly from **2** and **4** by the length of the hydrocarbon side chain and its polyhydroxylated nature of the cyclohexane ring. This was revealed by the HR-ESI-MS analysis (m/z 413.3285 [M-H]⁻; calcd for C₂₄H₄₅O₅, 413.3267) which afforded molecular formula of C₂₄H₄₆O₅. In the ¹H NMR data of **3** (Table 2), four oxygenated methine protons were observed at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.91 (m, H-1), $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.01 (bs, H-2), $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.47 (bs, H-3) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.41 (bd, J = 9.8 Hz, H-4). A pair of non-equivalent proton resonances at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.93 (m, H-6a) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.22 (m, H-6b) were assigned to the only hydroxy free site in the cyclohexane ring. The corresponding cyclohexane

carbons were observed at 67.6 (C-1), 66.8 (C-2), 65.9 (C-3), 72.7 (C-4), 73.6 (C-5) and 45.9 (C-6). COSY correlations were between H-1 and H-6, H-2 and H-3 and H-3 and H-4 while NOESY correlations were observed between H-2 and H-6 and H-4 and H-1' which supported the position of the alkenyl chain on the ring. This was further justified by HMBC correlations of H-1' to C-4, C-5 and C-6. The various NOESY and HMBC correlations between positions 12' and 18' were similar to those observed for **2**; thus, the location of the double bond was assigned. The double bond was also assigned cis, similar to **2**. Unlike **2**, the ECD spectrum of **3** (Figure 3) showed a high-amplitude positive cotton effect in the regions 230–250 and a weak one around 295–325 nm. Compound **3** was therefore named (15,25,35,45,5R)-1,2,3,4,5-pentahydroxy-5-[octadec-13'(*Z*)-enyl]cyclohexane.

Table 2. ¹H NMR [400 MHz, δ_{H} , mult. (*J* in Hz)] spectral data for compounds 1–4 isolated from *H. caffrum* gum and 5 and 6, structurally similar to 4.

Position		1	2	3	4		* 5	** 6
					CDCl ₃	CD ₃ OD	CDCl ₃	
1	1		4.07, bs	3.91, m	3.51, bs	3.46, bs		3.41, dd (10.5, 4.6)
2	2	6.63, s	1.83, m & 1.48, m	4.01, bs	3.90, m	3.85, m	1.91, bd (14.4) & 1.45, m	4.01, bs
3	3			4.47, bs	1.46–1.57, m	1.45–1.50, m	4.31, bs	1.96–1.98, m & 1.45, m
4	4	6.74, d (7.5)	1.38, bd (1.86)	3.41, bd (9.8)			2.29, bd (12.5) & 1.40, m	
5	5	7.11, t (7.9)	1.95, m & 1.43, m		1.89–1.97, m & 1.66, m	1.89–1.93, dt (13.9, 3.0) & 1.62–1.64, m	4.35, tt (11.4, 4.2)	1.86, m & 1.62, m
6	6	6.61, dd (8.4, 2.3)	1.60, m & 1.35, m	1.93, m & 1.22, m	1.74, bd (12.0)	1.78, dd (12.9, 4.0)	2.11, bd (12.5) & 1.32, m	1.53, m
1′	1′	2.54, t (7.5)	1.75, m & 1.44, m	1.42, m		1.66–1.67, m & 1.54–1.59, m	1.48, m & 1.38, m	1.84, m & 1.48, m
2'-10'	2'-14'	1.24–1.57, m	1.23–1.32, m	1.10–1.26, m & 1.93		1.29–1.36, m	1.30–1.50, m	1.20–1.30, m
11' 12' 13' 14' 15' 16' 17'	15' 16' 17' 18' 19' 20' 21'	1.99, m 5.34, m 5.34, m 1.99, m 1.24–1.30, m 1.24–1.30, m	1.96–1.99, m 5.31, m 5.31, m 1.96–1.99, m 1.28–1.31, m 1.28–1.31, m	1.23–1.44, m 1.96–1.99, m 5.32, m 1.96–1.99, m 1.31, m	1.96–2.01, m 5.32, m 5.32, m 1.96–2.01, m	2.02–2.05, m 5.36, m 2.02–2.05, m 1.29–1.36, m 1.30 1.42–1.44, m 0.92 + (6.9)	2.03, m 5.37, m 5.37, m 2.03, m 1.30–1.50, m 1.30–1.50, m	1.98–2.02, m 5.32, t (5.0) 5.32, t (5.0) 1.98–2.02, m 1.20–1.30, m 1.20–1.30, m
17 18'	21	0.00, t (0.0)	0.87, t (0.8)	1.28–1.31, m 0.86, t (6.8)	0.69, t (3.7)	0.92, t (0.9)	0.91 (0.0)	0.00, t (0.8)

Compounds 1–3 were recorded in CDCl₃ while 4 was recorded in both CDCl₃ and CD₃OD; * Laurent et al. 2003 [18]; ** Okoth et al. 2016 [16].

Compound 4 (1.04 g) was obtained as a brown oil. In comparison to compound 2 (with one oxygenated methine proton), the ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 2) displayed a cyclohexane ring consisting of two deshielded oxygenated methine protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.46 (bs, H-1) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.86 (m, H-2), whose multiplicities suggested they are equatorial and axial, respectively [18]. The three methylene proton pairs in the cyclohexane ring were observed at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.89–1.93 (1H, dt, J = 13.98, 3.0 Hz, H-5a) and 1.62–1.64 (1H, m, H-5b), 1.78 (1H, dd, J = 12.90, 4.03 Hz, H-6a) and 1.47 (1H, m, H-6b) and 1.45–1.50 (2H, m, H-3a&b). Their positions on the cyclohexane ring were ascertained by COSY correlations between H-1 and H-5a and H-6a and between H-2 and H-3a&b which were supported by HMBC correlations: H-6a to C-2 and C-4; H-5a to C-1'; H-5b to C-4 and C-1'; and H-3 to C-1'. These correlations (with C-1') also justify the placement of the alkenyl chain at position C-4 on the ring. However, resonances of the alkenyl side chain were similar to those of 2 and 3, with the olefinic protons resonating at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.36 while C-11' and C-14' (adjacent to the double bond) were observed at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 28.1 and 27.9, respectively, for a cis alkene. The location of the double bond was determined based on HMBC correlations between olefinic protons and the allylic carbons (C-11' and 14'), H-14' and C-15' and H-17' and C-14'/C-15'. HR-ESI-MS at m/z

369.3301 [M+H]⁺ (calcd, 369.3369). The ECD spectrum of **4** was similar to that of **2** (Figure 3), which revealed a positive cotton effect in the regions 230–250 and 295–325 nm. Compound **4** was thus identified as (1*R*,2*S*,4*R*)-1,2,4-trihydroxy-4-[heptadec-12'(*Z*)-enyl]cyclohexane. Due to the structural similarity (especially on the substituted cyclohexane moiety) between compound **4** and the 1-alkenyl-1,3,5-trihydroxycyclohexane (**5**), reported by Laurent et al. (2003) [18], and 1,2,4-trihydroxy-4-[16'(*Z*)-heneicosenyl]cyclohexane (**6**), reported by Oktoth et al. (2016) [16], a detail comparison list is provided in Table 2. Compound **4** had better solubility and good peak resolution in CD₃OD compared to CDCl₃. However, the ¹H NMR was run in both solvents (Figure 4) for accurate comparison with **6** which was run in CDCl₃. The oxygenated methine protons of **4** had chemical shifts that were more upfield ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.51 and 3.90) in comparison to **5** ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.31 and 4.35). However, the same methine protons had similar values with those of **6**, justifying their similar positions, while the differences in their multiplicities suggested a difference in their configuration. Compound **6** further differed from **4** because of its longer alkenyl chain length. All spectral data (Figures S1 to S36) for compounds 1 to 4 are available in the Supplementary Material.



Figure 2. Major correlations, COSY (thick black line), HMBC (red arrow) and NOESY (blue arrow), observed for compounds **2**, **3** and **4**.



Figure 3. ECD spectra of compounds 2, 3 and 4.



Figure 4. ¹H NMR spectra of compound 4 (comparing the run in CDCl₃ with CD₃OD).

In previous studies, phenolic lipids such as cardanols, cardols and anacardic acids have been identified from different species of Anacardiaceae such as *Anacardium occidentale* [19], *Mangifera indica* [20] and *H. caffrum* [7], making them chemotaxonomically significant for this "cashew family" [21] and highlighting that some bioactive compounds believed to be localised in specific plant parts can be found in the "plant waste" gum. Contrastingly, alkyl and alk(en)ylhydroxycyclohexanes have not gained popularity in Anacardiaceae. Roumy et al. (2009) initially reported four cyclic alkyl polyol derivatives from *Tapirira guianensis* [17] while Okoth et al. (2016) reported an alk(en)ylhydroxycyclohexane alongside close cyclohexanone derivatives and some phenolic lipids [16]. The present study suggests that the alk(en)ylhydroxycyclohexane may be part of yet-to-be-identified phytochemicals present in the *H. caffrum* tree. Further studies involving other species of Anacardiaceae will be required to establish if this rare class of compounds also has chemotaxonomic importance to the family.

2.2. Tyrosinase Inhibitory Activity

The anti-tyrosinase inhibitory activity of the isolated compounds (1-4) and Harpephyl*lum caffrum* gum-ethanolic extract HCG-EtOH was evaluated using L-tyrosine as substrate. The test solutions for each compound and extract were prepared with concentrations varying from 1.56 to 200 μ g/mL and results are presented as half maximum inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) shown in Table 3. The ethanolic extract, HCG-EtOH, was identified as potent tyrosinase inhibitors with IC₅₀ value (11.32 μ g/mL) comparable with that of the control, arbutin (9.85 μ g/mL) although lower than kojic acid (4.34 μ g/mL). Compounds 2 and 3 showed good inhibition with IC₅₀ values of 24.90 and 26.99 μ g/mL, respectively, while 1 and 4 were moderately active with IC₅₀ values of 41.77 and 34.90 μ g/mL, respectively. The results suggest that HCG-EtOH's potent anti-tyrosinase activity may be due to the synergistic effects of its constituents, of which 2 and 3 possibly play a significant role in the enzyme–substrate interactions. However, this proposed synergy warrants further investigation. We opined that the basic cyclohexanol moiety of 2-4 (IC₅₀ between 24.90) and 34.90 μ g/mL) could be a better tyrosinase inhibition pharmacophore than phenolic in 1 (IC₅₀; 41.77 μ g/mL), since all compounds had similar alkenyl side chains. Tyrosinase inhibitory potential of alk(en)ylhydroxycyclohexanes has not been previously reported. Yu et al. (2016) reported earlier that cardanols exhibited their anti-tyrosinase activity by altering tyrosinase conformation and significantly decreasing the steady state rate of tyrosinase diphenolase activity [22]. A previous study showed that the stem bark of *H. caffrum* could be considered as an anti-tyrosinase agent with an IC_{50} of 40 μ g/mL observed for its ethanolic extract [23]. Therefore, it is most likely that gum exudate from *H. caffrum* is a better anti-tyrosinase agent compared to the stem bark as revealed in the current study (IC₅₀ of HCG-EtOH, 11.32 μ g/mL).

Anti-Tyrosinase Correlation **Test Samples** IC50 \pm SD (µg/mL) Coefficient (R2) ^b 11.32 ± 0.80 HCG-EtOH 0.9892 $^{e}~41.77\pm0.62$ Compound 1 0.9813 $^c~24.90\pm1.10$ Compound 2 0.9470 $^c~26.99\pm1.30$ Compound 3 0.9659 $^d~34.90\pm0.73$ Compound 4 0.9731

Table 3. Tyrosinase inhibitory activity of different extracts and compounds from *Harpephyllum caffrum*(HCG-EtOH) compared with the positive control: arbutin and kojic acid.

 \overline{IC}_{50} values are presented as mean \pm SD and the lower the IC_{50} value, the better the anti-tyrosinase effect. IC_{50} values with the same superscript letter are not significantly different.

0.9577

0.9969

 $^b~9.85\pm0.42$

 $^a~4.34\pm0.37$

3. Materials and Methods

Arbutin

Kojic acid

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

The infrared (IR) spectra were obtained on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer (FT-IR) with universal attenuated total reflectance (ATR) sampling accessory. Electronic Circular Dichroism (ECD) data were acquired using an Applied Photophysics Chirascan spectrometer (Applied Photophysics Ltd., Leatherhead, UK). ¹H, ¹³C and 2D nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded using deuterated methanol (CD₃OD) at room temperature on a Bruker Avance^{III} 400 MHz spectrometer. The high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectra (HR-ESI-MS) were obtained on a Waters SYNAPT G1 High-Definition Mass Spectrometer (Waters, MA, USA). All column chromatography (CC) was carried out using Merck silica gel 60 (0.040–0.063 mm) while Merck 20 cm \times 20 cm silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ aluminium sheets were used for thin-layer chromatography (TLC). The TLC plates were analysed under UV (254 and 366 nm) before further visualisation by spraying with 10% sulfuric acid in methanol (MeOH) solution followed by heating. Analytical grade solvents and other chemicals used were supplied by either Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) or Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) chemical companies.

3.2. Sample Collection and Identification

Gum was collected from *H. caffrum* bark growing in Reservoir Hills, Durban. The *H. caffrum* tree has been identified and a voucher specimen (R. Moodley 2) was deposited in the Ward Herbarium, School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville, Durban, South Africa [7].

3.3. Extraction and Purification

Four hundred grams of *H. caffrum* gum (HCG) was crushed (using a mortar and pestle) without further drying. The crushed gum was then extracted with ethanol (EtOH) and the resulting extract was concentrated using a rotary evaporator to obtain HCG crude EtOH extract (HCG-EtOH). The HCG-EtOH (2 g) was subjected to CC using varying ratios of hexane:EtOAc (from 1:0 to 0:1, v/v) and EtOAc:MeOH (from 1:0 to 0.9:0.1, v/v) as mobile phases. A total of 30 aliquots were collected from which aliquots 5 and 11 yielded compounds 1 and 2, respectively. The remaining aliquots were combined based on TLC profiles to give A (aliquots 13–16), B (17–20) and C (21–30). Fraction C (520 mg) was re-chromatographed using a gradient elution similar to the one described for the CC of HCG-EtOH, resulting in compounds 3 and 4.

3.4. Tyrosinase Inhibitory Assay

Tyrosinase inhibitory activity was determined spectrophotometrically with slight modifications [24]. Briefly, tested samples were dissolved in DMSO to a final concentration of 20 mg/mL. In a 24-well plate, 30 μ L of the samples was added to 970 μ L of potassium phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 6.5) and serially diluted two-fold. The mixture (70 μ L) was transferred to a 96-well plate containing 30 μ L of potassium phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 6.5) to obtain increasing concentration range of (1.56–200 μ g/mL). Then, 30 μ L of mushroom tyrosinase solution (333 Units/mL in phosphate buffer, pH 6.5) was added to each mixture, which was then incubated at 25 °C for 5 min. Thereafter, 110 μ L of 2 mM L-tyrosine was added in all wells of a 96-well microtiter plate and further incubated for 30 min at 25 °C. Arbutin and kojic acid were included as positive controls tested at concertation range (1.56–200 μ g/mL) and 1% DMSO was included as a negative control. The absorbance of the mixture was read at 492 nm using an ELISA microplate reader (VarioSkan Flash, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland). All experiments were performed in triplicates. The percent inhibition of tyrosinase was calculated as follows:

Tyrosinase inhibitory (%) =
$$\left(\frac{A_o - A_1}{A_o}\right) \times 100$$

where A_0 is the absorbance of the negative control with the enzyme without test samples, A_1 is the absorbance of the test samples and enzyme.

3.5. Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as mean \pm SD from three independent experiments. The IC₅₀ values, corresponding to the concentration required to inhibit 50% of tyrosinase activity, were calculated from a sigmoidal dose–response of a non-linear regression and R-square values representing the best fit of the model were determined by Pearson nonlinear regression using the GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). A

one-way ANOVA was performed and differences between means were separated using the Tukey multiple comparison test at p < 0.05. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

4. Conclusions

Three new alkenyl cyclohexanols of the order, di, tri and pent-ol, were isolated from the gum of *Harpephyllum caffrum*, alongside a known cardanol. The alkenyl side chain of compound **3** differs from other compounds with an additional methylene unit which was deduced from HR-ESI-MS report. All compounds show moderate inhibition of tyrosinase while the ethanolic extract of the gum displayed potent activity, comparable to arbutin. Gum exudate of *H. caffrum* may be a potential anti-tyrosinase candidate with cosmetic applications. However, further studies which evaluate the toxicity of the isolates and extracts are required to establish this preliminary report.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules27123839/s1, Figure S1 to S36 containing 1D and 2D NMR spectra, FT-IR, ECD spectra and high-resolution mass spectra of compounds 1–4.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, O.B. and H.B.; methodology, O.B., G.K.M. and P.S.; validation, R.M. and V.M.; writing—original draft preparation, O.B.; writing—review and editing, O.B., G.K.M., R.M., P.S., H.B., V.M. and G.P.; supervision, R.M. and G.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was financially supported by the University of KwaZulu-Natal, University of Johannesburg and University of South Africa.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Jacobus Brand, Central Analytical Facility at Stellenbosch University, South Africa, for ECD data acquisition on the Applied Photophysics Chirascan CD spectrometer.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds (1–4) are available from the authors.

References

- 1. Umadevi, I.; Daniel, M.; Sabnis, S. Chemotaxonomic studies on some members of Anacardiaceae. *Proc. Plant Sci.* **1988**, *98*, 205–208. [CrossRef]
- Pell, S.K. Molecular Systematics of The Cashew Family (Anacardiaceae). Ph.D Thesis, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA, 2004.
- 3. Hutchings, A. Zulu Medicinal Plants: An Inventory; University of Natal Press: Pietermaritzburg, South Africa, 1996; p. 464.
- 4. Van Wyk, B.E.; van Oudtshoorn, B.; Gericke, N. *Medicinal Plants of South Africa*; Briza Publication: Pretoria, South Africa, 2002; p. 304.
- 5. El Sherbeiny, A.; El Ansari, M. The polyphenolics and flavonoids of *Harpephyllum caffrum*. *Planta Med*. **1976**, *29*, 129–132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shabana, M.M.; El Sayed, A.M.; Yousif, M.F.; El Sayed, A.M.; Sleem, A.A. Bioactive constituents from *Harpephyllum caffrum* Bernh. and *Rhus coriaria* L. *Pharmacogn. Mag.* 2011, 7, 298–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moodley, R.; Koorbanally, N.A.; Shahidul Islam, M.; Jonnalagadda, S.B. Structure and antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds isolated from the edible fruits and stem bark of *Harpephyllum caffrum*. J. Environ. Sci. Health B 2014, 49, 938–944. [CrossRef]
- Choudhary, P.D.; Pawar, H.A. Recently investigated natural gums and mucilages as pharmaceutical excipients: An overview. J. Pharm. 2014, 2014, 204849. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Saha, A.; Tyagi, S.; Gupta, R.K.; Tyagi, Y.K. Natural gums of plant origin as edible coatings for food industry applications. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *37*, 959–973. [CrossRef]
- 10. Jani, G.K.; Shah, D.P.; Prajapati, V.D.; Jain, V.C. Gums and mucilages: Versatile excipients for pharmaceutical formulations. *Asian J. Pharm. Sci.* **2009**, *4*, 309–323.
- 11. Rigano, L.; Deola, M.; Zaccariotto, F.; Colleoni, T.; Lionetti, N. A new gelling agent and rheology modifier in cosmetics: *Caesalpinia spinosa* gum. *Cosmetics* **2019**, *6*, 34. [CrossRef]
- 12. Mirhosseini, H.; Amid, B.T. A review study on chemical composition and molecular structure of newly plant gum exudates and seed gums. *Food Res. Int.* 2012, *46*, 387–398. [CrossRef]

- 13. Amiri, M.S.; Mohammadzadeh, V.; Yazdi, M.E.T.; Barani, M.; Rahdar, A.; Kyzas, G.Z. Plant-based gums and mucilages applications in pharmacology and nanomedicine: A review. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 1770. [CrossRef]
- Bekana, D.; Kebede, T.; Assefa, M.; Kassa, H. Comparative phytochemical analyses of resins of Boswellia species (*B. papyrifera* (Del.) Hochst., *B. neglecta* S. Moore, and *B. rivae* Engl.) from northwestern, southern, and southeastern Ethiopia. *Int. Sch. Res. Not.* 2014, 2014, 374678. [CrossRef]
- Pachi, V.K.; Mikropoulou, E.V.; Gkiouvetidis, P.; Siafakas, K.; Argyropoulou, A.; Angelis, A.; Mitakou, S.; Halabalaki, M. Traditional uses, phytochemistry and pharmacology of Chios mastic gum (*Pistacia lentiscus* var. Chia, Anacardiaceae): A review. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2020, 254, 112485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Okoth, D.A.; Akala, H.M.; Johnson, J.D.; Koorbanally, N.A. Alkyl phenols, alkenyl cyclohexenones and other phytochemical constituents from *Lannea rivae* (chiov) Sacleux (Anacardiaceae) and their bioactivity. *Med. Chem. Res.* 2016, 25, 690–703. [CrossRef]
- 17. Roumy, V.; Fabre, N.; Portet, B.; Bourdy, G.; Acebey, L.; Vigor, C.; Valentin, A.; Moulis, C. Four anti-protozoal and anti-bacterial compounds from *Tapirira guianensis*. *Phytochemistry* **2009**, *70*, 305–311. [CrossRef]
- Laurent, P.; Hamdani, A.; Braekman, J.C.; Daloze, D.; Isbell, L.A.; De Biseau, J.C.; Pasteels, J.M. New 1-alk (en) yl-1, 3, 5trihydroxycyclohexanes from the Dufour gland of the African ant *Crematogaster nigriceps*. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 1383–1386. [CrossRef]
- Yuliana, M.; Nguyen-Thi, B.T.; Faika, S.; Huynh, L.H.; Soetaredjo, F.E.; Ju, Y.H. Separation and purification of cardol, cardanol and anacardic acid from cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) nut-shell liquid using a simple two-step column chromatography. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* 2014, 45, 2187–2193. [CrossRef]
- Knödler, M.; Conrad, J.; Wenzig, E.M.; Bauer, R.; Lacorn, M.; Beifuss, U.; Carle, R.; Schieber, A. Anti-inflammatory 5-(11' Z-heptadecenyl)-and 5-(8' Z, 11' Z-heptadecadienyl)-resorcinols from mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) peels. *Phytochemistry* 2008, 69, 988–993. [CrossRef]
- 21. Schulze-Kaysers, N.; Feuereisen, M.; Schieber, A. Phenolic compounds in edible species of the Anacardiaceae family–A review. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 73301–73314. [CrossRef]
- Yu, X.P.; Su, W.C.; Wang, Q.; Zhuang, J.X.; Tong, R.Q.; Chen, Q.X.; Chen, Q.H. Inhibitory mechanism of cardanols on tyrosinase. Process Biochem. 2016, 51, 2230–2237. [CrossRef]
- Mapunya, M.B.; Nikolova, R.V.; Lall, N. Melanogenesis and antityrosinase activity of selected South African plants. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2012, 2012, 374017. [CrossRef]
- Lall, N.; Blom van Staden, A.; Rademan, S.; Lambrechts, I.; De Canha, M.N.; Mahore, J.; Winterboer, S.; Twilley, D. Antityrosinase and anti-acne potential of plants traditionally used in the Jongilanga community in Mpumalanga. S. Afr. J. Bot. 2019, 126, 241–249. [CrossRef]