OPEN ACCESS **DUTTIENTS** ISSN 2072-6643 www.mdpi.com/journal/nutrients

Article

# Association between Polymorphisms in the Fatty Acid Desaturase Gene Cluster and the Plasma Triacylglycerol Response to an *n*-3 PUFA Supplementation

Hubert Cormier <sup>1,\*</sup>, Iwona Rudkowska <sup>1,2</sup>, Ann-Marie Paradis <sup>1</sup>, Elisabeth Thifault <sup>1</sup>, Véronique Garneau <sup>1</sup>, Simone Lemieux <sup>1</sup>, Patrick Couture <sup>1</sup> and Marie-Claude Vohl <sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Nutraceuticals and Functional Foods (INAF), Laval University, Quebec G1V 0A6, Canada; E-Mails: iwona.rudkowska@fsaa.ulaval.ca (I.R.); ann-marie.paradis@fsaa.ulaval.ca (A.-M.P.); elisabeth.thifault@fsaa.ulaval.ca (E.T.); veronique.garneau@fsaa.ulaval.ca (V.G.); simone.lemieux@fsaa.ulaval.ca (S.L.); patrick.couture@crchul.ulaval.ca (P.C.)

- <sup>2</sup> Endocrinology and Genomics, Laval University Medical Center, Quebec G1V 0A6, Canada
- \* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mails: hubert.cormier.1@ulaval.ca (H.C.); Marie-claude.vohl@fsaa.ulaval.ca (M.-C.V.); Tel.: +1-418-656-2131 (ext. 4676); Fax: +1-418-656-5877.

Received: 1 June 2012; in revised form: 14 July 2012 / Accepted: 3 August 2012 / Published: 17 August 2012

**Abstract:** Eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids have been reported to have a variety of beneficial effects on cardiovascular disease risk factors. However, a large inter-individual variability in the plasma lipid response to an omega-3 (n-3) polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) supplementation is observed in different studies. Genetic variations may influence plasma lipid responsiveness. The aim of the present study was to examine the effects of a supplementation with n-3 PUFA on the plasma lipid profile in relation to the presence of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the fatty acid desaturase (*FADS*) gene cluster. A total of 208 subjects from Quebec City area were supplemented with 3 g/day of n-3 PUFA, during six weeks. In a statistical model including the effect of the genotype, the supplementation and the genotype by supplementation interaction, SNP rs174546 was significantly associated (p = 0.02) with plasma triglyceride (TG) levels, pre- and post-supplementation. The n-3 supplementation had an independent effect on plasma TG levels and no significant genotype by supplementation interaction interaction are supplementation for the response to report the notion that the *FADS* gene cluster is a major determinant of plasma TG levels. SNP rs174546 may be an important SNP

associated with plasma TG levels and FADS1 gene expression independently of a nutritional intervention with n-3 PUFA.

**Keywords:** triacylglycerol; metabolic pathways; lipids; genotypes; *FADS* gene cluster; polyunsaturated fatty acid omega-3

## 1. Introduction

High triacylglycerol (TG) levels are associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1]. Population mean TG levels have increased since 1976 in parallel with the constant growing epidemic of obesity, insulin resistance and type-2 diabetes mellitus [2,3]. A meta-analysis of 17 population-based prospective trials including 46,413 men and 10,864 women identified plasma TG levels as an independent risk factor of CVD and estimated that for each increase of 1.0 mmol/L, the relative risk of CVD increased approximately by 30% for men and 75% for women [4,5].

Numerous studies have demonstrated the beneficial effects of omega-3 (n-3) polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), especially eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) on reducing CVD risk factors [6–9]. The intake of EPA and DHA has been associated with a reduced risk of myocardial infarction and the prevalence of recurrence [10,11]. A review of human studies reported that 4 g of marine-derived n-3 PUFA per day decreased plasma TG concentrations by 25% to 30% [4]. The American Heart Association recommends an intake of 2 to 4 g of EPA/DHA per day for patients who need to lower their plasma TG levels [12]. The decreased in plasma TG that is observed with high intakes of n-3 PUFA appears to be secondary to the increased hepatic  $\beta$ -oxidation and decreased lipogenesis [13].

The Fish Oil Intervention and Genotype (FINGEN) study showed that TG levels of 31% of the volunteers did not show any reduction after an 8-week supplementation with 1.8 g/day of EPA + DHA [14]. Innate characteristics such as gender, age and genetic factors may contribute to the variability in benefits reported from intervention trials using n-3 PUFAs [15]. Therefore, the anticipated effect of such a supplementation on an individual basis does not necessarily match those reported for the general population [16].

The large inter-individual variability observed in the plasma lipid response to a supplementation with *n*-3 PUFA may partly result from genetic variations. Recent data suggest that single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) found in genes involved in metabolic pathways of *n*-3 PUFA contribute to the variability of PUFA levels [15,17]. The fatty acid desaturase-1 (*FADS*1) and fatty acid desaturase-2 (*FADS*2) genes encode respectively for two desaturases:  $\delta$ -5 desaturase (D5D) and  $\delta$ -6 desaturase (D6D) [17]. The D5D and D6D, responsible for double bonds formation in the *n*-3 PUFA pathways, have been associated with differences in fatty acid (FA) composition of plasma [18], erythrocyte membranes [19] and adipose tissue [18]. Another potential desaturase, whose function remains to be elucidated, is possibly encoded by the fatty acid desaturase-3 gene (*FADS*3) [20].

Concerns regarding the efficiency of n-3 PUFA supplementation remain. The TG lowering effects of n-3 PUFA using several ratios and doses of EPA and DHA have been reported in different studies. However, these studies do not allow setting the optimal conditions. Conflicting data exist and may

arise from inter-individual genetic variations. The purpose of the present study is to test whether the plasma lipid response to a 6-week n-3 PUFA supplementation is influenced by genetic variations in the *FADS* gene cluster.

#### 2. Subjects and Methods

#### 2.1. Study Population

A total of 254 subjects from the greater Quebec City metropolitan area were recruited between September 2009 and December 2011 through advertisements in local newspapers as well as by electronic messages sent to university students/employees. However, only 208 subjects were eligible for further analyses. Missing values of blood lipid profile pre- and/or post-supplementation did not allow those 46 subjects to be included in statistical analyses. Participants were aged between 18 and 50 years. They were non-smokers, with a body mass index (BMI) between 25 and 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and with no current lipid-lowering medications. Subjects were not included if they had taken *n*-3 PUFA supplements for at least 6 months prior, used oral hypolipidemic therapy or had been diagnosed with diabetes, hypertension, hypothyroidism or other known metabolic disorders such as severe dyslipidemia or coronary heart disease. The experimental protocol was approved by the ethics committees of Laval University Hospital Research Center and Laval University. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT01343342.

### 2.2. Study Design and Diets

Two hundred and eight subjects followed a run-in period of two weeks where a trained registered dietitian gave individual dietary instructions. Recommendations were drawn from the Canada's Food Guide to Healthy Eating. All subjects were asked to apply these dietary recommendations and to maintain stable body weight throughout the protocol. Among these recommendations, some specifications have been imposed to ensure the success of this study such as not to exceed two portions of fish or seafood per week (maximum 150 g) and to choose, preferably, fish other than oily fish known to be richer in n-3 PUFA as fish with white flesh. With the growing popularity of grocery products fortified with n-3 PUFA, participants were asked to avoid these products during the study period. Among these enriched products, some eggs, milk, juice, bread and yogurt have been identified. Subjects were also asked to limit their alcohol intakes to no more than two drinks per week. Subjects were not allowed to take n-3 PUFA supplements, including those of vegetable sources, and to take vitamins or natural health products during the protocol.

After the run-in period, each participant received a bottle containing n-3 PUFA capsules (Ocean Nutrition, Nova Scotia, Canada) covering the following 6-week period. They had to take 5 capsules per day, which gave them a total of 3 g of n-3 PUFA (1.9 g EPA and 1.1 g DHA) per day. Compliance was measured by bottles returning and by calculating the number of remaining capsules in the bottles at the end of the supplementation. Subjects had to report any deviations that may have occurred during the protocol. They also had to write their alcohol and fish consumption on a log sheet. Before each phase of the study, subjects received written and oral dietary instructions by a registered dietitian.

A dietitian administrated a validated food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ) before the run-in period to each participant [21]. This FFQ is based on typical food items available in the province of Quebec and contains a total of 91 items; 27 items had between 1 and 3 subquestions. The subjects were asked how often they consumed each item per day, per week, per month, or none at all during the last month. Many examples of portion size were provided for a better estimation of the real portion consumed by the subject. Dietary intake data were analyzed using Nutrition Data System for Research software version 2011 developed by the Nutrition Coordinating Center (NCC), University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN.

#### 3. Anthropometric Measurements

Body weight, height, and waist girth were measured according to the procedures recommended by the Airlie Conference [22] and were taken before the run-in period, as well as pre- and post-*n*-3 PUFA supplementation. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared ( $kg/m^2$ ).

#### 4. Biochemical Parameters

Blood samples were collected from an antecubital vein into vacutainer tubes containing EDTA after 12 h overnight fast and 48 h alcohol abstinence. Blood samples were taken to identify and exclude individuals with any metabolic disorders. Afterwards, participants had blood samples taken prior to and after the *n*-3 PUFA supplementation period. Plasma was separated by centrifugation ( $2500 \times g$  for 10 min at 4 °C) and samples were aliquoted and frozen for subsequent analyses. Plasma total cholesterol (TC) and TG concentrations were measured using enzymatic assays [23]. The high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) fraction was obtained after precipitation of very low-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein particles in the infranatant with heparin manganese chloride [24]. Low-density lipoprotein B-100 (ApoB100) concentrations were measured in plasma by the rocket immunoelectrophoretic method of Laurell, as previously described [26]. Plasma C-reactive protein (CRP) was measured by nephelometry (Prospec equipment Behring) using a sensitive assay, as described previously [27].

#### 4.1. SNP Selection and Genotyping

SNPs in *FADS*1, *FADS*2 and *FADS*3 were identified using the International HapMap Project SNP database, based on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) B36 assembly Data Rel 28 phase II + III, build 126 (Table 1). Tagger procedure in Haploview V4.2 was used to determine tag SNPs (tSNPs) using a minor allele frequency (MAF) >1% and pairwise tagging ( $R^2 \ge 0.8$ ). Subsequently, we examined linkage disequilibrium (LD) out of the 19 SNPs covering all common variations in the *FADS* gene cluster area, using the LD Plot procedure in Haploview V4.2. Most of the SNPs were in LD ( $R^2 \ge 0.8$ ) and the mean  $R^2$  was 0.953, so 19 SNPs were sufficient to cover the entire area. The SIGMA GenElute Gel Extraction Kit (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) has been used to extract genomic DNA. Selected SNPs of the *FADS* gene cluster (rs174456, rs174627, rs482548, rs2072114, rs12807005, rs174448, rs2845573, rs7394871, rs7942717, rs74823126, rs174602, rs498793, rs7935946, rs174546, rs174570, rs174579, rs174611, rs174616 and rs968567) have been genotyped using validated primers and TaqMan probes (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) [28]. DNA

was mixed with TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), with a gene-specific primer and with probe mixture (predeveloped TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assays; Applied Biosystems) in a final volume of 10  $\mu$ L. Genotypes were determined using a 7500 RT-PCR System and analyzed using ABI Prism SDS version 2.0.5 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

FADS3         rs174456         CTACTAC[A/C]TGGCAGC         intron $0.29$ $AA (n = 12)$ $AVC (n = 89)$ $CCC (n = 18)$ Intergenic FADS2-FADS3         rs17467         TTATCTG[C/T]GTAGCAA         Intergenic $0.48$ $0.426$ $0.086$ FADS2         rs17467         TTATCTG[C/T]GTAGCAA         Intergenic $0.10$ $0.230$ $0.761$ FADS2         rs482548         GGGACAC[C/T]GTGGGGAA $3'$ UTR $0.16$ $CC (n = 161)$ $CT (n = 40)$ $TT (n = 6)$ FADS2         rs2072114         AGAGTTC[A/G]GTCTA         Intergenic $0.19$ $AA (n = 16)$ $AA (n = 16)$ $AC (n = 5)$ $GG (n = 204)$ Intergenic         rs1280705         GATCATG[A/G]ATCACG         Intergenic $0.00$ $AA (n = 7)$ $AG (n = 5)$ $GG (n = 20)$ FADS2         rs1284753         TGCCTGA[C/T]TTCTGGG         Intergenic $0.00$ $AA (n = 7)$ $AG (n = 10)$ $OA (n = 10)$	Gene	dbSNP No. <sup>1</sup>	Sequence <sup>2</sup>	Position	MAF	Ge	notype/Frequen	cy
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	E 4 D S2	ma17445(			0.200	A/A ( <i>n</i> = 102)	A/C ( <i>n</i> = 89)	C/C ( <i>n</i> = 18)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	FADSS	ISI /4450	CIACIAC[A/C]IGGCAGC	intron	0.299	0.488	0.426	0.086
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Intergenic	rs174627	ΤΤΑΤĊΤĠ[Ċ/Τ]ĠΤΑĠĊΤΑ	Intergenic	0.124	A/A $(n = 2)$	A/G $(n = 48)$	G/G (n = 159)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FADS2-FADS3	151/402/		mergeme	0.124	0.010	0.230	0.761
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FADS2	rs482548	GGGACACIC/TIGTGGGGA	3' UTR	0.126	C/C ( $n = 161$ )	C/T (n = 40)	T/T ( $n = 6$ )
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 11252	13102310	oboliene[e/1]oroooon	5 011	0.120	0.778	0.193	0.029
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Intergenic} \\ FADS1 - FADS2 \\ rs12807005 \\ \text{Intergenic} \\ FADS1 - FADS2 \\ rs12807005 \\ rs12807005 \\ \text{Intergenic} \\ FADS2 \\ rs174448 \\ \text{ACCCTGA[C/T]TTCTGGG} \\ \text{Intergenic} \\ FADS2 \\ rs2845573 \\ \text{TTGCTCA[C/T]GTTACTC} \\ \text{Intron} \\ FADS3 \\ rs7394871 \\ \text{AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC} \\ \text{Intron} \\ FADS3 \\ rs7394871 \\ \text{AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC} \\ \text{Intron} \\ rs748216 \\ \text{TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGA} \\ \text{Intergenic} \\ rs7482316 \\ \text{TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGA} \\ \text{Intergenic} \\ rs97935946 \\ \text{AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT} \\ \text{Intron} \\ 0.184 \\ \begin{array}{c} O.104 \\ O.43 \\ O.282 \\ O.675 \\ C'C (n=9) \\ C'T (n=9) \\ C'T (n=9) \\ O.71 \\ O.225 \\ O.005 \\ O.004 \\ O.187 \\ O.010 \\ C'C (n=9) \\ C'T (n=9) \\ T/T (n=141) \\ O.043 \\ O.282 \\ O.675 \\ C'C (n=195) \\ C'T (n=19) \\ T/T (n=43) \\ O.033 \\ O.053 \\ O.014 \\ C'C (n=195) \\ C'T (n=10) \\ C'T (n=86) \\ T/T (n=3) \\ O.764 \\ O.221 \\ O.014 \\ C'C (n=127) \\ C'T (n=86) \\ T/T (n=3) \\ O.611 \\ O.375 \\ O.014 \\ C'C (n=127) \\ C'T (n=84) \\ T/T (n=3) \\ O.611 \\ O.375 \\ O.014 \\ C'C (n=127) \\ C'T (n=84) \\ T/T (n=3) \\ O.057 \\ O.402 \\ O.541 \\ A/A (n=51) \\ A/G (n=108) \\ G/G (n=50) \\ O.244 \\ O.517 \\ O.239 \\ A/A (n=7) \\ A/G (n=7) \\$	FADS2	rs2072114	AGAGTTC[A/G]GGTCTTA	Intron	0 1 1 0	A/A ( $n = 167$ )	A/G (n = 38)	G/G (n = 4)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	111252	152072111	nonorrelinojoorerin	muon	0.110	0.799	0.182	0.019
$ \begin{array}{c} FADS1-FADS2 \\ FADS2-FADS3 \\ FADS2 \\ FADS2 \\ FADS3 \\ FADS3 \\ FADS3 \\ FADS3 \\ FS7394871 \\ AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC \\ Intron \\ FADS3 \\ FS7394871 \\ AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC \\ Intron \\ FADS3 \\ FS7394871 \\ AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC \\ Intron \\ FADS3 \\ FS7942717 \\ CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG \\ Intron \\ FADS3 \\ FS7942717 \\ CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG \\ Intron \\ FADS3 \\ FS7942717 \\ CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG \\ Intron \\ O.117 \\ O.124 \\ O.101 \\ O.117 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.166 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.125 \\ O.005 \\ O.124 \\ O.101 \\ O.14 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.125 \\ O.005 \\ O.14 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.125 \\ O.005 \\ O.126 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.126 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.126 \\ O.120 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.126 \\ O.120 \\ O.126 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.124 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.14 \\ O.14 \\ O.101 \\ O.124 \\ O.14 \\ O.14 \\ O.14 \\ O.125 \\ O.161 \\ O.17 \\ O.225 \\ O.005 \\ O.187 \\ O.101 \\ O.125 \\ O.161 \\ O.17 \\ O.225 \\ O.005 \\ O.187 \\ O.187 \\ O.180 \\ O.187 \\ O.101 \\ O.125 \\ O.161 \\ O.17 \\ O.215 \\ O.17 \\ O.225 \\ O.005 \\ O.187 \\ O.187 \\ O.180 \\ O.187 \\ O.101 \\ O.125 \\ O.161 \\ O.216 \\ O.216 \\ O.21 \\ O.161 \\ O.375 \\ O.14 \\ O.17 \\ O.186 \\ O.187 \\ O.187 \\ O.180 \\ O.187 \\ O.187 \\ O.101 \\ O.18 \\ O.098 \\ O.117 \\ O.186 \\ O.187 \\ O.010 \\ O.126 \\ O.216 \\$	Intergenic	rs12807005	GATCATG[A/G]ATCACTG	Intergenic	0.012	A/A $(n = 0)$	A/G ( $n = 5$ )	G/G (n = 204)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	FADS1-FADS2	1012007000		mengeme	0.012	0.000	0.024	0.976
$ \begin{array}{c} FADS2 - FADS3 \\ FADS2 \\ FADS2 \\ rs2845573 \\ rs7394871 \\ AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC \\ Intron \\ FADS3 \\ rs7394871 \\ rs7394871 \\ rs7942717 \\ CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG \\ Intron \\ FADS3 \\ rs7942717 \\ rs7482316 \\ rs774602 \\ rs7482316 \\ rs7482316 \\ rs774602 \\ rs7482316 \\ rs7482316 \\ rs774602 \\ rs77460 \\ rs774602 \\ rs774602 \\ rs77460 \\ rs77$	Intergenic	rs174448	ACCCTGA[C/T]TTCTGGG	Intergenic	0 363	A/A ( $n = 78$ )	A/G ( <i>n</i> = 109)	G/G (n = 21)
FADS2       rs2845573       TTGCTCA[C/T]GTTACTC       Intron $0.081$ $A/A (n = 177)$ $A/G (n = 30)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ FADS3       rs7394871       AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC       Intron $0.081$ $A/A (n = 2)$ $A/C (n = 20)$ $C/C (n = 181)$ FADS3       rs7942717       CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG       Intron $0.012$ $A/C (n = 2)$ $C/C (n = 181)$ Intergenic       rs7482316       TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGA       Intergenic $0.117$ $0.700$ $0.225$ $0.005$ FADS2       rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron $0.184$ $0.4G (n = 39)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ FADS2       rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron $0.184$ $0.043$ $0.282$ $0.675$ FADS2       rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.046$ $0.098$ $0.717$ $0.186$ FADS2       rs174570       AAGGTTC[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.041$ $0.098$ $0.717$ $0.186$ FADS1       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.041$ $0.933$ $0.053$ $0.014$ FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGA	FADS2-FADS3	10171110		mengeme	0.000	0.375	0.524	0.101
FADS3       rs7394871       AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC       Intron $0.072$ $0.847$ $0.144$ $0.010$ FADS3       rs7394871       AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC       Intron $0.072$ $A/C (n = 2)$ $A/C (n = 26)$ $C/C (n = 181)$ FADS3       rs7942717       CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG       Intron $0.117$ $A/A (n = 161)$ $A/G (n = 47)$ $G/G (n = 1)$ Intergenic       rs7482316       TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGA       Intergenic $0.101$ $A/A (n = 168)$ $A/G (n = 39)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ FADS2       rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron $0.184$ $0.043$ $0.282$ $0.675$ FADS2       rs174602       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.184$ $0.043$ $0.282$ $0.675$ FADS2       rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron $0.446$ $0.043$ $0.282$ $0.673$ $0.098$ $0.717$ $0.186$ FADS2       rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC $3'$ UTR $0.297$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 11)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $0.933$ $0.053$ $0.014$ FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC	FADS2	rs2845573	TTGCTCA[C/T]GTTACTC	Intron	0.081	A/A ( $n = 177$ )	A/G ( $n = 30$ )	G/G (n=2)
FADS3       rs7394871       AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC       Intron       0.072 $A/A (n = 2)$ $A/C (n = 26)$ $C/C (n = 181)$ FADS3       rs7942717       CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG       Intron       0.117 $A/A (n = 161)$ $A/G (n = 47)$ $G/G (n = 1)$ Intergenic       rs7482316       TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGA       Intergenic       0.103 $A/A (n = 161)$ $A/G (n = 39)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ <i>FADS2</i> rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron       0.184 $C/C (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 59)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $FADS2$ rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.184$ $C/C (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $FADS2$ rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.041$ $0.043$ $0.282$ $0.675$ <i>FADS2</i> rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron $0.041$ $0.098$ $0.717$ $0.186$ <i>FADS2</i> rs174570       ACGTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC $3'$ UTR $0.297$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 19)$ <i>FADS2</i> rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGAAG       Intron $0.212$ $0.014$ $0.498$						0.847	0.144	0.010
FADS3       rs7942717       CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG       Intron $0.117$ $0.010$ $0.124$ $0.866$ FADS3       rs7942717       CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG       Intron $0.117$ $A/A (n = 161)$ $A/G (n = 47)$ $G/G (n = 1)$ Intergenic $FADS2$ rs7482316       TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGA       Intergenic $0.103$ $A/A (n = 168)$ $A/G (n = 39)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ $FADS2$ rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron $0.184$ $C/C (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 59)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $6.043$ $0.282$ $0.675$ $C/C (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $6.043$ $0.282$ $0.675$ $C/C (n = 62)$ $CT (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $6.043$ $0.282$ $0.675$ $C/C (n = 62)$ $CT (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $6.043$ $0.384$ $0.041$ $0.043$ $0.282$ $0.675$ $0.933$ $0.053$ $0.014$ $FADS2$ rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC $3'$ UTR $0.297$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 19)$ $FADS2$ rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC	FADS3	rs7394871	AAGGGAC[A/C]CCTGCCC	Intron	0.072	A/A (n = 2)	A/C ( $n = 26$ )	C/C (n = 181)
FADS3       rs7942717       CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG       Intron       0.117       A/A (n = 161)       A/G (n = 47)       G/G (n = 1)         Intergenic       rs7482316       TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGA       Intergenic       0.103 $A/A (n = 168)$ $A/G (n = 39)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ FADS2       rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron       0.118 $A/A (n = 168)$ $A/G (n = 39)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ FADS2       rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron       0.184 $A/A (n = 168)$ $A/G (n = 39)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ FADS2       rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron       0.184 $O.043$ 0.282       0.675         FADS2       rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron       0.456 $C/C (n = 02)$ $C/T (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 43)$ $A/A DS2$ rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron       0.041 $C/C (n = 105)$ $C/T (n = 11)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron       0.125 $C/C (n = 13)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron       0.125 $0.764$ 0.221       0.014<						0.010	0.124	0.866
Intergenic FADS2-FADS3       rs7482316       TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGA       Intergenic $0.103$ $A/A (n = 168)$ $A/G (n = 39)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ $FADS2$ rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron $0.184$ $O.CC (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 59)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $FADS2$ rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.184$ $C/C (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $FADS2$ rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.456$ $C/C (n = 62)$ $C/T (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 43)$ $FADS2$ rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron $0.041$ $0.098$ $0.717$ $0.186$ $FADS1$ rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC $3'$ UTR $0.091$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C (n = 129)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron $0.224$ $0.211$ $0.014$ $FADS2$ rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CTGAGA       Intron $0.226$ $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 78$	FADS3	rs7942717	CCAAACG[A/G]GTGCCTG	Intron	0.117	A/A ( $n = 161$ )	A/G ( $n = 47$ )	G/G (n = 1)
Intergenic FADS2-FADS3rs7482316TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGAIntergenic0.103 $A/A (n = 168)$ $A/G (n = 39)$ $G/G (n = 2)$ $FADS2$ rs174602CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGCIntron0.184 $C/C (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 59)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $FADS2$ rs498793CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTGIntron0.186 $C/C (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 9)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $FADS2$ rs7935946AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACTIntron0.456 $C/C (n = 62)$ $C/T (n = 9)$ $T/T (n = 43)$ $FADS1$ rs174546CCTCTGC[C/T]TGGCTC3' UTR0.041 $C/C (n = 195)$ $C/T (n = 11)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174570AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATCIntron0.125 $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174579TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAGIntron0.202 $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $6.611$ 0.3750.014 $FADS2$ rs174611TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGAIntron0.258 $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $6.611$ 0.3750.014 $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $6.611$ 0.3750.014 $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $6.64 (n = 2)$ rs174616GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAAIntron0.258 $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $6.64 (n = 2)$ $A/A (n = 51)$ $A/G (n = 108)$ $G/G (n = 50)$ $O_244$ $O_517$ $O_239$	_					0.770	0.225	0.005
FADS2       rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron       0.804       0.187       0.010         FADS2       rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron       0.184 $C/C (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 59)$ $T/T (n = 141)$ $FADS2$ rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron       0.456 $C/C (n = 0)$ $C/T (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 43)$ $FADS2$ rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron       0.041 $C/C (n = 105)$ $C/T (n = 11)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS1$ rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC $3'$ UTR $0.297$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 19)$ $FADS2$ rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C (n = 159)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron $0.202$ $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $6.611$ $0.375$ $0.014$ $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $FADS2$ rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.258$ $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $6.10$	Intergenic	rs7482316	TTTTCAA[A/G]CTGCCGA	Intergenic	0.103	A/A ( $n = 168$ )	A/G $(n = 39)$	G/G (n = 2)
FADS2       rs174602       CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC       Intron $0.184$ $C/C (n = 9)$ $C/T (n = 141)$ $FADS2$ rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.486$ $C/C (n = 62)$ $C/T (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 43)$ $FADS2$ rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron $0.456$ $C/C (n = 62)$ $C/T (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 43)$ $FADS2$ rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron $0.041$ $C/C (n = 105)$ $C/T (n = 11)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS1$ rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC $3'$ UTR $0.297$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C (n = 159)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron $0.202$ $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $FADS2$ rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron $0.202$ $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $FADS2$ rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.228$ $C/C (n = 12)$	FADS2-FADS3					0.804	0.187	0.010
FADS2       rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.456$ $0.043$ $0.282$ $0.675$ FADS2       rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.456$ $C/C (n = 62)$ $C/T (n = 99)$ $T/T (n = 43)$ FADS2       rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron $0.041$ $C/C (n = 125)$ $C/T (n = 11)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS1       rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC $3'$ UTR $0.297$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 19)$ FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C (n = 159)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron $0.202$ $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron $0.202$ $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.278$ $0.057$ $0.402$ $0.541$ FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.278$ $0.057$ $0.402$ $0.541$ <	FADS2	rs174602	CCAACCC[A/G]TCCTGC	Intron	0.184	C/C (n = 9)	C/T (n = 59)	T/T (n = 141)
FADS2       rs498793       CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG       Intron $0.456$ $C/C (n = 62)$ $C/I (n = 99)$ $1/I (n = 43)$ FADS2       rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron $0.041$ $C/C (n = 195)$ $C/T (n = 11)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS1       rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC $3'$ UTR $0.041$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 19)$ FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174579       ACCTTTG[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C (n = 123)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $FADS2$ rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron $0.202$ $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $6.057$ $0.402$ $0.541$ $0.57$ $0.014$ $0.611$ $0.375$ $0.014$ FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron $0.258$ $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $6.057$ $0.402$ $0.541$ $A/A (n = 51)$ $A/G (n = 108)$ $G/G (n = 50)$ <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.043</td><td>0.282</td><td>0.675</td></tr<>						0.043	0.282	0.675
FADS2       rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron       0.041 $C/C (n = 195)$ $C/T (n = 11)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS1       rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC       3' UTR       0.297 $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 19)$ FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron       0.125 $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 19)$ FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron       0.125 $C/C (n = 159)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron       0.202 $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ $6.611$ 0.375       0.014         FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron $0.258$ $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $6.057$ 0.402       0.541         FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.498$ $A/G (n = 108)$ $G/G (n = 50)$ $0.244$ 0.517       0.239 $A/A (n = 2)$ $A/G (n = 63)$ $G/G (n = 144)$	FADS2	rs498793	CTGTAAC[A/G]CAGGCTG	Intron	0.456	C/C (n = 62)	C/T(n = 99)	$1/1^{\circ}(n=43)$
FADS2       rs7935946       AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT       Intron       0.041 $C/C (n = 195)$ $C/T (n = 11)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS1       rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC       3' UTR       0.297 $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 19)$ FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C (n = 159)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron $0.202$ $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron $0.202$ $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.258$ $C/C (n = 51)$ $A/G (n = 108)$ $G/G (n = 50)$ $A/A (n = 2)$ $A/G (n = 63)$ $G/G (n = 144)$ $A/G (n = 144)$						0.098	0.717	0.186
FADS1       rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC       3' UTR       0.297 $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 86)$ $T/T (n = 19)$ FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron       0.125 $C/C (n = 103)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron       0.202 $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron       0.208 $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ 6.611       0.375       0.014         FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron       0.258 $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ 0.057       0.402       0.541         FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron       0.498 $A/A (n = 51)$ $A/G (n = 108)$ $G/G (n = 50)$ 0.244       0.517       0.239 $A/A (n = 2)$ $A/G (n = 63)$ $G/G (n = 144)$	FADS2	rs7935946	AAGGTTC[C/T]GGGAACT	Intron	0.041	C/C (n = 195)	C/T(n = 11)	1/1 (n = 3)
FADS1       rs174546       CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC       3' UTR       0.297       C/C (n = 103)       C/T (n = 86)       1/T (n = 19)         FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron       0.125 $C/C$ (n = 103) $C/T$ (n = 86) $T/T$ (n = 3)         FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C$ (n = 159) $C/T$ (n = 46) $T/T$ (n = 3)         FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron $0.202$ $C/C$ (n = 127) $C/T$ (n = 78) $T/T$ (n = 3)         FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron $0.258$ $C/C$ (n = 12) $C/T$ (n = 84) $T/T$ (n = 113) $6.057$ $0.402$ $0.541$ $0.577$ $0.402$ $0.541$ FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.498$ $A/G$ (n = 108) $G/G$ (n = 50) $0.244$ $0.517$ $0.239$ $A/A$ (n = 2) $A/G$ (n = 63) $G/G$ (n = 144)						0.933	0.053	0.014
FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron       0.125 $C/C (n = 159)$ $C/T (n = 46)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron       0.202 $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron       0.208 $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron       0.258 $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 84)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ $0.057$ 0.402       0.541         FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.498$ $A/A (n = 51)$ $A/G (n = 108)$ $G/G (n = 50)$ $0.244$ 0.517       0.239 $A/A (n = 2)$ $A/G (n = 63)$ $G/G (n = 144)$	FADS1	rs174546	CCTCTGC[C/T]TTGGCTC	3' UTR	0.297	C/C (n = 103)	C/1 (n = 86)	1/1 (n = 19)
FADS2       rs174570       AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC       Intron $0.125$ $C/C$ ( $n = 139$ ) $C/T$ ( $n = 46$ ) $T/T$ ( $n = 3$ )         FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron $0.202$ $0.764$ $0.221$ $0.014$ FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron $0.202$ $C/C$ ( $n = 127$ ) $C/T$ ( $n = 78$ ) $T/T$ ( $n = 3$ )         FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron $0.258$ $C/C$ ( $n = 12$ ) $C/T$ ( $n = 84$ ) $T/T$ ( $n = 113$ )         FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.498$ $A/A$ ( $n = 51$ ) $A/G$ ( $n = 108$ ) $G/G$ ( $n = 50$ ) $0.244$ $0.517$ $0.239$ $A/A$ ( $n = 2$ ) $A/G$ ( $n = 63$ ) $G/G$ ( $n = 144$ )						0.498	0.412	0.091
FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron       0.202 $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 3)$ FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron       0.258 $C/C (n = 127)$ $C/T (n = 78)$ $T/T (n = 113)$ FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron       0.498 $A/A (n = 51)$ $A/G (n = 108)$ $G/G (n = 50)$ $A/A (n = 2)$ $A/G (n = 63)$ $G/G (n = 144)$	FADS2	rs174570	AACTTGA[C/T]GTAGATC	Intron	0.125	C/C(n = 139)	C/1(n-40)	1/1 (n-3)
FADS2       rs174579       TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG       Intron       0.202 $C/C$ $(n = 12)$ $C/T$ $(n = 78)$ $T/T$ $(n = 5)$ FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron       0.258 $C/C$ $(n = 12)$ $C/T$ $(n = 84)$ $T/T$ $(n = 113)$ FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron       0.498 $A/A$ $(n = 51)$ $A/G$ $(n = 108)$ $G/G$ $(n = 50)$ $A/A$ $(n = 2)$ $A/G$ $(n = 63)$ $G/G$ $(n = 144)$						0.704	0.221	0.014
FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron       0.258       C/C $(n = 12)$ C/T $(n = 84)$ T/T $(n = 113)$ FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron       0.498       A/A $(n = 51)$ A/G $(n = 108)$ G/G $(n = 50)$ 0.244       0.517       0.239         A/A $(n = 2)$ A/G $(n = 63)$ G/G $(n = 144)$	FADS2	rs174579	TCCCTTT[C/T]CAGGAAG	Intron	0.202	C/C(n - 127)	C/1(n - 78)	1/1(n-3)
FADS2       rs174611       TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA       Intron       0.258 $C/C (n = 12)$ $C/T (n = 64)$ $I/T (n = 113)$ FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron       0.498 $0.057$ $0.402$ $0.541$ A/A (n = 51)       A/G (n = 108)       G/G (n = 50) $0.244$ $0.517$ $0.239$ A/A (n = 2)       A/G (n = 63)       G/G (n = 144)						C/C (n - 12)	C/T(n - 84)	0.014 T/T ( <i>n</i> = 113)
FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.498$ $A/A (n = 51)$ $A/G (n = 108)$ $G/G (n = 50)$ $A/A (n = 2)$ $A/G (n = 63)$ $G/G (n = 144)$	FADS2	rs174611	TCCTGGA[C/T]CCTGAGA	Intron	0.258	0.057	C/1(n - 34)	0.541
FADS2       rs174616       GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA       Intron $0.498$ $A/A (n = 51)$ $A/G (n = 106)$ $O/G (n = 50)$ $A/A (n = 2)$ $A/G (n = 63)$ $O/G (n = 144)$						$\Delta/\Delta (n = 51)$	$\Lambda/G (n = 108)$	G/G(n = 50)
A/A (n = 2) $A/G (n = 63)$ $G/G (n = 144)$	FADS2	rs174616	GACCTCA[C/T]GTTCCAA	Intron	0.498	0.244	0.517	0.230
$A(A   \mu = 2)$ $A(A   \mu = 0)$ $A(A   \mu = 144)$						$\frac{0.244}{\Delta/\Delta} (n=2)$	$\Delta/G (n = 63)$	0.239 G/G (n = 144)
<i>FADS2</i> rs968567 TCCCCGG[A/G]AGCTCAG 5' UTR 0.160	FADS2	rs968567	TCCCCGG[A/G]AGCTCAG	5' UTR	0.160	0.010	0.301	0 689

Table 1. Selected polymorphisms in the fatty acid desaturase (FADS) gene cluster.

<sup>1</sup> dbSNP No. from HapMap Data Rel 28 Phase II + III, August 10 on NCBI b36 Assembly dbSNP b126 database; <sup>2</sup> Genes sequences from dbSNP short genetics variations NCBI reference assembly.

#### 4.2. Gene Expression of the FADS Gene Cluster

cDNA was mixed with TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and a gene-specific primer and probe mixture (predeveloped TaqMan Gene Expression Assays; Applied Biosystems) in a final volume of 20  $\mu$ L. The assays used were as follows: Hs00203685\_ml (*FADS*1), Hs00188654\_ml (*FADS*2), Hs00222230\_ml (*FADS*3) and Hs99999905\_ml (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GADPH)) as the housekeeping gene. Assays used the same fluorescent reporter probe (FAM<sup>TM</sup> dye-labeled) and thus each combination treatment and gene was analyzed in individual wells on a 96-well plate. All samples were run in duplicate on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using the following thermal cycling profile: 50 °C (2 min), 95 °C (10 min), followed by 40 steps of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 60 s. The RT-PCR results were imported into ExpressionSuite Software v1.0 (Life Technologies). Data were adjusted for the endogenous control (GADPH).

#### 5. Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed with SAS statistical software V9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) The ALLELE procedure was used to verify the departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and to calculate minor allele frequency (MAF). Variables not normally distributed were log-transformed before analyses. ANOVA and the type III sum of squares were used to look for significant differences in daily energy and nutrient intakes, at prior and after an *n*-3 PUFA supplementation when age, sex and BMI were included in the model and to test for differences in plasma TG levels among groups divided on the basis of the genotype for rs174546. The repeated MIXED procedure was used to test for the effects of the genotype, the supplementation and the genotype by supplementation interaction on plasma TG and gene expression levels when age, sex and BMI were included in the model. Statistical significance was defined as  $p \le 0.05$ . To identify potential effects of variations located in the *FADS* gene cluster region, a transcription factor search was performed using MatInspector 8.0 software from the Genomatix Suite.

#### 6. Results

All SNPs were in HWE except two: rs7935946 and rs174579 (see Figure 1 for the LD plot). These SNPs were not considered for further analysis. Therefore, associations with 17 SNPs were tested in statistical analyses. The % gene coverage with these 17 SNPs was of 87%.

Baseline characteristics of study participants are presented in Table 2. According to these results, men and women were overweight (BMI > 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and had mean plasma TG levels slightly above the cut-point value of 1.129 mmol/L recommended by the AHA for optimal plasma TG levels [29]. Gender differences are evident with respect to weight, TC/HDL-C ratio, CRP, HDL-C and TG levels.





**Table 2.** General characteristics of the study sample before *n*-3 PUFA supplementation.

	All <sup>1</sup>	Men <sup>1</sup>	Women <sup>1</sup>	p Values
Population: Men/Women	208	96	112	
Age (years)	$30.8\pm8.7$	$31.2 \pm 8.1$	$30.5\pm9.1$	0.55
Weight (kg) <sup>3</sup>	$81.4 \pm 13.9$	$87.2 \pm 13.4$	$76.4 \pm 12.3$	< 0.0001
BMI $(kg/m^2)^{2,3}$	$27.8\pm3.7$	$27.5 \pm 3.6$	$28.2\pm3.8$	0.13
Waist circumference (cm) <sup>3</sup>	$93.3 \pm 10.8$	$94.8 \pm 11.0$	$92.0\pm10.4$	0.06
Cholesterol (mM) <sup>4</sup>				
Total	$4.82 \pm 1.00$	$4.80 \pm 1.00$	$4.83 \pm 1.02$	0.75
HDL	$1.46 \pm 0.39$	$1.29\pm0.31$	$1.61 \pm 0.39$	< 0.0001
LDL	$2.79\pm0.87$	$2.91\pm0.87$	$2.69\pm0.86$	0.08
Total chol./HDL ratio <sup>4</sup>	$3.49 \pm 1.04$	$3.91 \pm 1.13$	$3.12\pm0.80$	< 0.0001
Triacylglycerols (mM) <sup>2,4</sup>	$1.23 \pm 0.64$	$1.32\pm0.74$	$1.15 \pm 0.53$	0.04
ApoB100 (g/L) 4	$0.86\pm0.25$	$0.89\pm0.25$	$0.84\pm0.25$	0.12
CRP (mg/L) $^{2,4}$	$3.13 \pm 7.10$	$1.66 \pm 2.45$	$4.39\pm9.24$	0.02

<sup>1</sup> Values are means  $\pm$  SD; <sup>2</sup> *p*-Value derived from log<sub>10</sub>-transformed; <sup>3</sup> Results were adjusted for age; <sup>4</sup> Results were adjusted for age and BMI.

Daily energy intakes were calculated by a food frequency questionnaire validated for healthy French-Canadian men and women [21] and are presented in Table 3. After the supplementation,

carbohydrates, saturated fats and proteins were significantly different from the pre *n*-3 PUFA period (p = 0.0005, p = 0.0008 and p = 0.02, respectively). After the supplementation, the PUFA intake—taking into account food intakes and fish oil capsules used during the supplementation—was significantly increased (p = 0.003). In our study, the 6-week average of fish servings/week (a serving = 75 g of fish) was 0.89 servings/week based on the compliance questionnaire given at the end of the study. Furthermore, subjects were asked to limit their fish consumption to no more than 2 servings/week (maximum of 150 g). Based on these results, subjects who had consumed the maximum quantity of fish permitted per week would have had an extra 0.43 g of EPA + DHA/day. With the fish oil supplementation, the total of EPA + DHA was 3 g/day. Common food items eaten by the subjects were seafood, tuna, trout, haddock and salmon.

Nutrients	Pre-n-3 PUFA	Post-n-3 PUFA	р
Energy, Kcal	$2272 \pm 590$	$2143 \pm 566$	0.08
Total lipids, g	$86.5\pm29.2$	$86.6\pm29.8$	0.48
MUFA, g	$30.8 \pm 11.8$	$29.6 \pm 12.4$	0.13
PUFA, g	$15.2 \pm 6.6$	$17.1 \pm 6.9$	0.003
SFA, g	$29.0\pm12.0$	$25.5 \pm 10.4$	0.0008
Cholesterol, mg	$303.7\pm147.4$	$297.3 \pm 169.4$	0.41
Carbohydrates, g	$286.7\pm78.9$	$263.4 \pm 77.7$	0.0005
Protein, g	$97.8\pm30.2$	$92.6\pm29.6$	0.02
Alcohol, g	$3.2 \pm 6.0$	$3.2 \pm 6.1$	0.81

**Table 3.** Daily intakes from food frequency questionnaire (n = 208).

All values are mean  $\pm$  SD; MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids; SFA = saturated fatty acids; All date were adjusted for sex, age and BMI in ANCOVA; *p* Values for dietary intakes between pre- and post-supplementation are calculated using ANOVA; Statistical significance was defined as  $p \le 0.05$ .

To test the potential interaction between the FADS gene polymorphisms and the n-3 PUFA supplementation on plasma TG levels, the MIXED procedure was used in order to test whether the genotype, the supplementation or the interaction (genotype by supplementation) were associated with plasma TG levels. As shown in Table 4, independently of the genotype, the supplementation was associated with fasting plasma TG concentrations meaning that the supplementation had an independent effect on plasma TG levels, as expected. One SNP was associated with plasma TG concentrations, rs174546, suggesting that this polymorphism modulates plasma TG levels. No significant genotype by supplementation interaction effects were observed. Further analyses revealed that, in the pre-supplementation period, plasma TG levels were lower in CC homozygotes when compared to carriers of the minor T allele (Table 5, p = 0.002). In the post-supplementation period, both genotype groups significantly decreased their plasma TG levels (Figure 2). However, there was no significant difference in post-supplementation plasma TG levels between the genotype groups when age, sex and BMI were included in the model (Table 5). Results remained unchanged after further adjustment for pre-supplementation plasma TG levels (data not shown). Since there was no significant difference between the two groups in post-supplementation plasma TG levels and since the interaction term was not significant in the repeated model, these results suggest that the

	Genotype	Supplementation	Interaction	
SNPs	р	p	р	
	β	β	β	
17445(	0.77	0.0001 *	0.67	
rs1/4456	$0.0013 \pm 0.027$	$0.081 \pm 0.027$	$-0.016 \pm 0.038$	
	0.23	0.0002 *	0.51	
rs1/462/	$-0.013 \pm 0.031$	$0.094 \pm 0.038$	$-0.027 \pm 0.044$	
402540	0.79	0.0001 *	0.48	
rs482548	$-0.023 \pm 0.032$	$0.048 \pm 0.039$	$0.032 \pm 0.045$	
2072114	0.85	0.002 *	0.99	
rs20/2114	$0.0046 \pm 0.034$	$0.073 \pm 0.042$	$-0.00012 \pm 0.047$	
10007005	0.06	0.35	0.68	
rs1280/005	$-0.13 \pm 0.080$	$0.027 \pm 0.11$	$0.048 \pm 0.11$	
174440	0.22	0.0003 *	0.49	
rs174448	$-0.010 \pm 0.028$	$0.083 \pm 0.024$	$-0.027 \pm 0.039$	
0045570	0.61	0.01 *	0.57	
rs2845573	$-0.028 \pm 0.037$	$0.049\pm0.048$	$0.020 \pm 0.052$	
720 4071	0.46	0.009 *	0.87	
rs/3948/1	$0.017 \pm 0.039$	$0.066 \pm 0.051$	$0.0082 \pm 0.055$	
7040717	0.56	0.0007 *	0.90	
rs/942/1/	$0.014 \pm 0.032$	$0.078\pm0.039$	$-0.0057 \pm 0.045$	
7400016	0.69	0.002 *	0.99	
rs/482316	$-0.0070 \pm 0.033$	$0.074 \pm 0.042$	$-0.0013 \pm 0.047$	
174600	0.80	0.0001 *	0.50	
rs1/4602	$0.017 \pm 0.029$	$0.091 \pm 0.033$	$-0.026 \pm 0.041$	
	0.83	0.01*	0.78	
18498/95	$-0.029 \pm 0.029$	$0.071 \pm 0.023$	$0.0080 \pm 0.042$	
	0.02 *	<0.0001 *	0.55	
rs1/4340	$-0.035 \pm 0.027$	$0.084\pm0.026$	$-0.023 \pm 0.038$	
	0.58	0.001 *	0.64	
rs1/45/0	$-0.022 \pm 0.032$	$0.058\pm0.039$	$0.020 \pm 0.044$	
	0.09	<0.0001 *	0.70	
rs1/4611	$-0.025 \pm 0.027$	$0.081 \pm 0.028$	$-0.014 \pm 0.038$	
	0.37	0.0005 *	0.84	
rs1/4616	$-0.022 \pm 0.031$	$0.071 \pm 0.022$	$0.0073 \pm 0.044$	
	0.13	0.0001 *	0.54	
1890830/	$-0.019 \pm 0.029$	$0.090 \pm 0.033$	$-0.024 \pm 0.041$	

**Table 4.** Effect of the genotype, the *n*-3 PUFA supplementation and the interaction (genotype by supplementation) on TG levels (n = 208).

*p*-Values are derived from log transformed data;  $\beta$  represents the effect size + SE; All results were adjusted for BMI, age and sex; The MIXED models implemented in SAS version 9.2 were used to test interaction effects.

SNPs		Pre-n-3 PUFA supplementation			Post-n-3 PUFA supplementation		
		11	12 + 22	р	11	12 + 22	р
ra174456	Genotype	AA ( <i>n</i> = 102)	AC + CC (n = 106)		AA ( <i>n</i> = 102)	AC + CC (n = 106)	
rs1/4456	TG levels	$1.19\pm0.61$	$1.23 \pm 0.65$	0.45	$1.03\pm0.58$	$1.01 \pm 0.47$	0.96
rs174627	Genotype	CC ( <i>n</i> = 159)	AC + AA (n = 49)		CC ( <i>n</i> = 159)	AC + AA (n = 49)	
	TG levels	$1.18\pm0.58$	$1.31 \pm 0.74$	0.06	$1.01\pm0.47$	$1.05\pm0.66$	0.55
rs482548	Genotype	CC ( <i>n</i> = 161)	CT + TT (n = 46)		CC ( <i>n</i> = 161)	CT+TT ( $n = 46$ )	
	TG levels	$1.22\pm0.64$	$1.17\pm0.58$	0.62	$1.02\pm0.53$	$1.03\pm0.50$	0.29
	Genotype	AA ( $n = 166$ )	AG + GG (n = 42)		AA $(n = 166)$	AG + GG (n = 42)	
rs20/2114	TG levels	$1.20 \pm 0.64$	$1.22 \pm 0.59$	0.83	$1.02\pm0.55$	$1.03 \pm 0.42$	0.87
10007005	Genotype	CC ( <i>n</i> = 204)	AC + AA (n = 4)		CC ( <i>n</i> = 204)	AC + AA (n = 4)	
rs1280/005	TG levels	$1.21 \pm 0.63$	$1.26\pm0.52$	0.15	$1.01\pm0.52$	$1.21 \pm 0.53$	0.02 *
174440	Genotype	AA $(n = 78)$	AG + GG (n = 130)		AA $(n = 78)$	AG + GG (n = 130)	
rs1/4448	TG levels	$1.14\pm0.57$	$1.25\pm0.66$	0.06	$0.99\pm0.50$	$1.04\pm0.54$	0.59
	Genotype	AA $(n = 176)$	AG + GG (n = 32)		AA $(n = 176)$	AG + GG (n = 32)	
rs2845575	TG levels	$1.22\pm0.67$	$1.15\pm0.36$	0.98	$1.02\pm0.54$	$1.05\pm0.39$	0.30
	Genotype	CC ( <i>n</i> = 180)	AC + AA (n = 28)		CC ( <i>n</i> = 180)	AC + AA (n = 28)	
rs/3948/1	TG levels	$1.22\pm0.65$	$1.13\pm0.42$	0.37	$1.03\pm0.54$	$0.98\pm0.40$	0.53
	Genotype	AA $(n = 160)$	AG + GG (n = 48)		AA $(n = 160)$	AG + GG (n = 48)	
IS/942/1/	TG levels	$1.20\pm0.59$	$1.25\pm0.73$	0.64	$1.02\pm0.52$	$1.04\pm0.53$	0.61
	Genotype	AA $(n = 167)$	AG + GG (n = 41)		AA $(n = 167)$	AG + GG (n = 41)	
IS/482310	TG levels	$1.19\pm0.58$	$1.28\pm0.79$	0.73	$1.01\pm0.52$	$1.07\pm0.55$	0.77
	Genotype	TT $(n = 140)$	CT + TT (n = 68)		TT ( <i>n</i> = 140)	CT + TT (n = 68)	
IST /4002	TG levels	$1.19\pm0.61$	$1.24\pm0.67$	0.65	$1.03\pm0.56$	$0.99\pm0.44$	0.42
	Genotype	CC ( <i>n</i> = 62)	CT + TT (n = 142)		CC ( <i>n</i> = 62)	CT + TT (n = 142)	
18498795	TG levels	$1.19\pm0.67$	$1.21\pm0.61$	0.30	$0.99\pm0.54$	$1.03\pm0.52$	0.17
	Genotype	CC ( <i>n</i> = 103)	CT + TT (n = 105)		CC ( <i>n</i> = 103)	CT + TT (n = 105)	
ISI /4340	TG levels	$1.12\pm0.51$	$1.30\pm0.71$	0.002 *	$0.97\pm0.46$	$1.07\pm0.58$	0.07
ra174570	Genotype	CC ( <i>n</i> = 159)	CT + TT (n = 49)		CC ( <i>n</i> = 159)	CT + TT (n = 49)	
181/43/0	TG levels	$1.21\pm0.65$	$1.19\pm0.54$	0.91	$1.01\pm0.54$	$1.06\pm0.46$	0.33
ro174611	Genotype	TT ( <i>n</i> = 113)	CT + CC (n = 95)		TT ( <i>n</i> = 113)	CT + CC (n = 95)	
rs1/4611	TG levels	$1.14\pm0.48$	$1.29\pm0.75$	0.04 *	$0.99\pm0.45$	$1.06\pm0.60$	0.19
rs174616	Genotype	AA $(n = 51)$	AG + GG (n = 157)		AA $(n = 51)$	AG + GG (n = 157)	
	TG levels	$1.24\pm0.73$	$1.20 \pm 0.59$	0.48	$1.00\pm0.53$	$1.03 \pm 0.52$	0.32
rs968567	Genotype	GG(n = 143)	AG + GG (n = 65)		GG(n = 143)	AG + GG (n = 65)	
	TG levels	$1.17\pm0.58$	$1.30 \pm 0.71$	0.03 *	$1.00\pm0.47$	$1.06 \pm 0.62$	0.36

**Table 5.** Triacylglycerol (TG) concentrations according to genotype distributions of the *FADS* gene cluster polymorphisms before and after a 6-week *n*-3 PUFA supplementation.

Data are TG levels  $\pm$  SD; *p*-Values are adjusted for age, sex and BMI; 11 stands for major allele homozygote carriers; 12 + 22 stand for minor allele carriers (homozygotes and heterozygotes); statistical significance was defined as  $p \le 0.05$ .



Data are means + SE; *p*-Values were determined using the GLM procedure; Statistical significance was defined as  $p \le 0.05$ .

In a model testing the effect of the genotype, the supplementation or the interaction (genotype by supplementation) on *FADS*1 gene expression, the SNP rs174546 was associated with *FADS*1 gene expression (p = 0.01) after adjustments for age, sex and BMI (data not shown). No effect of the *n*-3 PUFA supplementation (p = 0.54) and no gene by supplementation effect (p = 0.56) explained *FADS*1 gene expression.

# 7. Discussion

In this study, we tested whether plasma TG levels during an n-3 PUFA supplementation varied according to the presence of common polymorphisms in the *FADS* gene cluster. 19 SNPs were initially chosen from the *FADS* gene cluster area covering all common variations of the *FADS* gene cluster. After genotyping, 17 SNPs were in HWE and thus were analysed in the present study. The *FADS* gene cluster area has been chosen due to the role of D5D and D6D activity in the n-3 PUFA metabolic pathway. D5D and D6D are essential parts of PUFA biosynthesis that catalyze a series of desaturation processes [30]. These desaturases are respectively encoded by *FADS*1 and *FADS*2. Also, a Genome-Wide Association Study has shown that the strongest evidence for an association of genetic contributors of plasma PUFA concentrations was observed in the *FADS* gene cluster area [31]. Some polymorphisms come from intergenic regions (rs174627, rs12807005. rs174448 and rs7482316) and are part of the *FADS*1 and *FADS*2 gene promoters because of the head to head orientation. *FADS*3 promoter was not considered since no desaturase activity is reported (see all selected SNPs in Table 1). All SNPs were polymorphic for the selected study population and were not in strong LD with each other.

In the present study, we observed an independent genotype effect of the SNP rs174546 on plasma TG levels and on FADS1 gene expression levels in a model including the SNP, the supplementation effect and the SNP by supplementation interaction. In the literature, SNP rs174546 has been much studied. Numerous studies have attributed beneficial effects to this polymorphism. Indeed. Dumont et al. showed that the minor allele of rs174546 was associated with decreased plasma TC and non-HDL-C levels [32]. In another study, Lu et al. reported similar results where the common C allele was associated with higher levels of TC non-HDL-C and HDL-C levels, but only in individuals consuming high intakes of omega-6 (>5.26% of total energy intake) [33]. Another study demonstrated that rs174547, in strong-LD with rs174546 ( $R^2 = 1.0$ ), was a dominant SNP in the FADS gene cluster that influences desaturase activity and some evidence emerging from human-based research demonstrates that genetic variation in human desaturase genes affects enzyme activity and, consequently, disease risk factors [34]. They also showed that homozygotes for the major allele had a higher estimate of aggregate desaturase activity (ADA) reflected in *n*-3 PUFA while homozygotes for the minor allele had the lowest ADA [34]. This SNP is also associated with altered desaturase activity reflected in n-6 PUFA [34]. Recent studies have also shown that two common and very distinct FADS haplotypes were strongly associated with long-chain PUFAs synthesis levels. Haplotypes A and D, which includes rs174546, may exert differences in transcription levels and the ability to synthesize essential omega-3 and omega-6 long-chain PUFAs [35]. Reduced substrate (i.e., FA) availability leads to a reduction of VLDL TG synthesis [13]. FADS gene cluster, especially rs174546, is associated with TG levels and also to ADA, making it a significant SNP when talking about associations between lipids and FA metabolism.

Some SNPs may modulate desaturase activity and lead to changes in n-3 PUFA metabolism. We tested SNP rs174546 for potential functional significance using MatInspector 8.0 software, but it did not seem to alter transcription factor binding sites.

After the *n*-3 PUFA supplementation, considerable inter-individual variability in plasma TG levels was observed. It appears that some individuals require higher doses to achieve demonstrable benefits, whereas others are highly sensitive to relatively low doses and individuals with certain genotypes may experience adverse responses with respect to specific risk biomarkers, at least at high doses of *n*-3 PUFA [36]. *FADS* gene expression may modulate specific risk biomarkers in relation to certain genotype. Overall, the *n*-3 PUFA supplementation had no effect on *FADS* gene expression. The SNP rs174546 was a significant predictor of *FADS*1 gene expression levels. Caslake *et al.* showed that 31% of all volunteers had no reduction in plasma TG levels after 1.8 g/day of EPA + DHA for 8 weeks [14]. We basically observe similar results in the present study, as 28.8% of the participants had no reduction in plasma TG levels after the 6-week *n*-3 PUFA supplementation. These results demonstrate that intra-individual variability of plasma lipid levels is an important potential source of error enhancing the importance of genetic testing to identify individuals that are more likely to benefit from such therapies [37].

This study presents some limitations. Regarding daily intakes, significant differences for carbohydrates, saturated fats or proteins could be due to recall bias from subjects. Since subjects were asked to follow recommendations drawn from the *Canada's Food Guide to Healthy Eating*, they could have reported food consumption differences that slightly changed calculated intakes. However, those differences did not affect significantly BMI nor energy intakes. Because carbohydrates intakes were significantly decreased in the post-supplementation period and this could impact on plasma TG levels,

the carbohydrate intakes have been added into the statistical model and results remained unchanged (data not shown).

# 8. Conclusions

In summary, our data support the notion that the *FADS* gene cluster, especially SNPs from *FADS*1, are major determinants of plasma TG levels. SNP rs174546 may be an important SNP in the *FADS* gene cluster associated with plasma TG levels and *FADS*1 gene expression independently of a nutritional intervention with n-3 PUFA. These results need to be replicated in other independent studies. A better understanding of the phenomenon could allow the development of personalized dietary advice for prevention of CVD.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Catherine Ouellette, Karelle Dugas-Bourdages, Frédéric Guénard, Julie Robitaille and Annie Bouchard-Mercier who contributed to the success of this study. A very special thanks to Catherine Raymond who did the laboratory work.

This work was supported by an operating grant from Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) (MOP229488). Iwona Rudkowska is supported by a CIHR Bisby Postdoctoral Fellowship Award (200810BFE). Patrick Couture is a recipient of a scholarship from the FRSQ. Marie-Claude Vohl holds a Tier 1 Canada Research Chair in Genomics Applied to Nutrition and Health.

# Authors' Contribution to Manuscript

Hubert Cormier performed statistical analysis, interpreted data and wrote the paper; Ann-Marie Paradis, Elisabeth Thifault and Véronique Garneau met the participants; Iwona Rudkowska, Simone Lemieux and Marie-Claude Vohl designed research; Patrick Couture was responsible for the medical follow-up; Hubert Cormier and Marie-Claude Vohl have primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. The authors did not declare any conflicts of interest.

# References

- Sarwar, N.; Danesh, J.; Eiriksdottir, G.; Sigurdsson, G.; Wareham, N.; Bingham, S.; Boekholdt, S.M.; Khaw, K.T.; Gudnason, V. Triglycerides and the risk of coronary heart disease: 10,158 Incident cases among 262,525 participants in 29 Western prospective studies. *Circulation* 2007, *115*, 450–458.
- Carroll, M.D.; Lacher, D.A.; Sorlie, P.D.; Cleeman, J.I.; Gordon, D.J.; Wolz, M.; Grundy, S.M.; Johnson, C.L. Trends in serum lipids and lipoproteins of adults, 1960–2002. *JAMA* 2005, 294, 1773–1781.
- 3. Flegal, K.M.; Carroll, M.D.; Ogden, C.L.; Curtin, L.R. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999–2008. *JAMA* **2010**, *303*, 235–241.
- 4. Cullen, P. Evidence that triglycerides are an independent coronary heart disease risk factor. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **2000**, *86*, 943–949.

- 5. Hokanson, J.E.; Austin, M.A. Plasma triglyceride level is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease independent of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level: A meta-analysis of population-based prospective studies. *J. Cardiovasc. Risk* **1996**, *3*, 213–219.
- 6. Harris, W. Fish oil and plasma lipids and lipoprotein metabolism in humans; a critical review. *J. Lipid Res.* **1989**, *30*, 785–807.
- 7. Weber, P.; Raederstorff, D. Triglyceride-lowering effect of omega-3 LC-polyunsaturated fatty acids—A review. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2000**, *10*, 28–37.
- 8. Harris, W.S. *n*-3 Fatty acids and serum lipoproteins; human studies. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1997**, *65*, 1645S–1654S.
- Durrington, P.N.; Bhatnagar, D.; Mackness, M.I.; Morgan, J.; Julier, K.; Khan, M.A.; France, M. An omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid concentrate administered for one year decreased triglycerides in simvastatin treated patients with coronary heart disease and persisting hypertriglyceridaemia. *Heart* 2001, 85, 544–548.
- Hooper, L.; Thompson, R.L.; Harrison, R.A.; Summerbell, C.D.; Ness, A.R.; Moore, H.J.; Worthington, H.V.; Durrington, P.N.; Higgins, J.P.; Capps, N.E.; *et al.* Risks and benefits of omega 3 fats for mortality, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: Systematic review. *BMJ* 2006, *332*, 752–760.
- 11. Bucher, H.C.; Hengstler, P.; Schindler, C.; Meier, G. *n*-3 Polyunsaturated fatty acids in coronary heart disease: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Med.* **2002**, *112*, 298–304.
- 12. Kris-Etherton, P.M.; Harris, W.S.; Appel, L.J. Fish consumption, fish oil, omega-3 fatty acids, and cardiovascular disease. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **2003**, *23*, e20–e30.
- 13. Harris, W.S.; Bulchandani, D. Why do omega-3 fatty acids lower serum triglycerides? *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 2006, *17*, 387–393.
- Caslake, M.J.; Miles, E.A.; Kofler, B.M.; Lietz, G.; Curtis, P.; Armah, C.K.; Kimber, A.C.; Grew, J.P.; Farrell, L.; Stannard, J.; *et al.* Effect of sex and genotype on cardiovascular biomarker response to fish oils: The FINGEN Study. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2008, *88*, 618–629.
- Madden, J.; Williams, C.M.; Calder, P.C.; Lietz, G.; Miles, E.A.; Cordell, H.; Mathers, J.C.; Minihane, A.M. The impact of common gene variants on the response of biomarkers of cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk to increased fish oil fatty acids intakes. *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* 2011, *31*, 203–234.
- 16. Masson, L.F.; McNeill, G.; Avenell, A. Genetic variation and the lipid response to dietary intervention: A systematic review. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2003**, 77, 1098–1111.
- Malerba, G.; Schaeffer, L.; Xumerle, L.; Klopp, N.; Trabetti, E.; Biscuola, M.; Cavallari, U.; Galavotti, R.; Martinelli, N.; Guarini, P.; *et al.* SNPs of the FADS gene cluster are associated with polyunsaturated fatty acids in a cohort of patients with cardiovascular disease. *Lipids* 2008, *43*, 289–299.
- 18. Warensjo, E.; Rosell, M.; Hellenius, M.L.; Vessby, B.; De Faire, U.; Riserus, U. Associations between estimated fatty acid desaturase activities in serum lipids and adipose tissue in humans: Links to obesity and insulin resistance. *Lipids Health Dis.* **2009**, *8*, 37.

- Rzehak, P.; Heinrich, J.; Klopp, N.; Schaeffer, L.; Hoff, S.; Wolfram, G.; Illig, T.; Linseisen, J. Evidence for an association between genetic variants of the fatty acid desaturase 1 fatty acid desaturase 2 (*FADS1 FADS2*) gene cluster and the fatty acid composition of erythrocyte membranes. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2009, 101, 20–26.
- Marquardt, A.; Stohr, H.; White, K.; Weber, B.H. cDNA cloning, genomic structure, and chromosomal localization of three members of the human fatty acid desaturase family. *Genomics* 2000, 66, 175–183.
- Goulet, J.; Nadeau, G.; Lapointe, A.; Lamarche, B.; Lemieux, S. Validity and reproducibility of an interviewer-administered food frequency questionnaire for healthy French-Canadian men and women. *Nutr. J.* 2004, *3*, doi:10.1186/1475-2891-3-13.
- Callaway, C.W.; Chumlea, W.C.; Bouchard, C.; Himes, J.H.; Lohman, T.G.; Martin, A.D.; Mitchell, C.D.; Mueller, W.H.; Roche, A.F.; Seefeldt, V.D. Standardization of Anthropometric Measurements. In *The Airlie (VA) Consensus Conference*; Lohman, T., Roche, A., Martorel, R., Eds.; Human Kinetics Publishers: Champaign, IR, USA, 1988; pp. 39–80.
- 23. McNamara, J.R.; Schaefer, E.J. Automated enzymatic standardized lipid analyses for plasma and lipoprotein fractions. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **1987**, *166*, 1–8.
- Albers, J.J.; Warnick, G.R.; Wiebe, D.; King, P.; Steiner, P.; Smith, L.; Breckenridge, C.; Chow, A.; Kuba, K.; Weidman, S.; *et al.* Multi-laboratory comparison of three heparin-Mn<sup>2+</sup> precipitation procedures for estimating cholesterol in high-density lipoprotein. *Clin. Chem.* 1978, 24, 853–856.
- Friedewald, W.T.; Levy, R.I.; Fredrickson, D.S. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin. Chem.* 1972, 18, 499–502.
- 26. Laurell, C.B. Quantitative estimation of proteins by electrophoresis in agarose gel containing antibodies. *Anal. Biochem.* **1966**, *15*, 45–52.
- Pirro, M.; Bergeron, J.; Dagenais, G.R.; Bernard, P.M.; Cantin, B.; Despres, J.P.; Lamarche, B. Age and duration of follow-up as modulators of the risk for ischemic heart disease associated with high plasma C-reactive protein levels in men. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2001, *161*, 2474–2480.
- Livak, K.J. Allelic discrimination using fluorogenic probes and the 5' nuclease assay. *Genet. Anal.* 1999, 14, 143–149.
- Miller, M.; Stone, N.J.; Ballantyne, C.; Bittner, V.; Criqui, M.H.; Ginsberg, H.N.; Goldberg, A.C.; Howard, W.J.; Jacobson, M.S.; Kris-Etherton, P.M.; *et al.* Triglycerides and cardiovascular disease: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2011, *123*, 2292–2333.
- 30. Sprecher, H.; Luthria, D.L.; Mohammed, B.S.; Baykousheva, S.P. Reevaluation of the pathways for the biosynthesis of polyunsaturated fatty acids. *J. Lipid Res.* **1995**, *36*, 2471–2477.
- Tanaka, T.; Shen, J.; Abecasis, G.R.; Kisialiou, A.; Ordovas, J.M.; Guralnik, J.M.; Singleton, A.; Bandinelli, S.; Cherubini, A.; Arnett, D.; Tsai, M.Y.; Ferrucci, L. Genome-wide association study of plasma polyunsaturated fatty acids in the InCHIANTI Study. *PLoS Genet.* 2009, *5*, e1000338.

- Dumont, J.; Huybrechts, I.; Spinneker, A.; Gottrand, F.; Grammatikaki, E.; Bevilacqua, N.; Vyncke, K.; Widhalm, K.; Kafatos, A.; Molnar, D.; *et al.* FADS1 genetic variability interacts with dietary alpha-linolenic acid intake to affect serum non-HDL-cholesterol concentrations in European adolescents. *J. Nutr.* 2011, *141*, 1247–1253.
- Lu, Y.; Feskens, E.J.; Dolle, M.E.; Imholz, S.; Verschuren, W.M.; Muller, M.; Boer, J.M. Dietary n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid intake interacts with FADS1 genetic variation to affect total and HDL-cholesterol concentrations in the Doetinchem Cohort Study. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2010, 92, 258–265.
- Merino, D.M.; Johnston, H.; Clarke, S.; Roke, K.; Nielsen, D.; Badawi, A.; El-Sohemy, A.; Ma, D.W.; Mutch, D.M. Polymorphisms in *FADS*1 and *FADS*2 alter desaturase activity in young Caucasian and Asian adults. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 2011, 103, 171–178.
- 35. Ameur, A.; Enroth, S.; Johansson, A.; Zaboli, G.; Igl, W.; Johansson, A.C.V.; Rivas, M.A.; Daly, M.J.; Schmitz, G.; Hicks, A.A.; *et al.* Genetic adaptation of fatty-acid metabolism: A human-specific haplotype increasing the biosynthesis of long-chain omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2012, *90*, 809–820.
- 36. Minihane, A.M. Fatty acid-genotype interactions and cardiovascular risk. *Prostaglandins Leukot*. *Essent. Fatty Acids* **2010**, *82*, 259–264.
- 37. Warnick, G.R.; Albers, J.J. Physiological and analytical variation in cholesterol and triglycerides. *Lipids* **1976**, *11*, 203–208.

 $\bigcirc$  2012 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).