

MEETING ABSTRACT

Open Access

Implementation costs of screening and brief intervention for illicit drug use

Gary Zarkin^{1*}, Jeremy Bray², Jesse Hinde¹, Richard Saitz³

From International Network on Brief Interventions for Alcohol and Other Drugs (INEBRIA) Meeting 2013 Rome, Italy. 18-20 September 2013

In 2008, a World Health Organization multi-national clinical trial found screening and brief intervention (SBI) to be effective in reducing illicit drug use and many have called for its widespread dissemination. To gain policy support for widespread dissemination, however, a strong business case must be made to policymakers. In particular, policymaker concerns over implementation costs can act as a significant obstacle to widespread dissemination. This presentation estimates the various cost components of providing SBI to reduce illicit drug use in a primary care setting as part of the clinical trial *Assessing Screening Plus brief Intervention's Resulting Efficacy* (ASPIRE). An activity-based micro-costing approach was used to determine implementation costs and set-up costs. Implementation costs include variable costs (direct costs that directly vary by the number of services provided) and quasi-fixed costs (service-support costs that indirectly vary by the number of services provided). Set-up costs are fixed costs incurred to the program, such as the purchase of durable equipment and initial training. A taxonomy of activities and resource inputs (labor, material and space) were identified. Quantity and most price values were obtained through primary data collection during the trial. Regional price estimates for wages and space were used where needed. Preliminary estimates indicate that variable costs to provide SBI for unhealthy drug use are similar to SBI programs focusing on alcohol. Quasi-fixed costs are substantially higher than variable costs for this program. Quasi-fixed costs are not usually estimated; the focus is usually on variable costs of service delivery. This study fills a gap in the economic literature on SBI regarding quasi-fixed costs. These findings have important implications for the adoption and sustainability of SBI programs, where

focusing only on variable costs underestimates the fiscal impact of implementing SBI.

Authors' details

¹RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA. ²University of North Carolina-Greensboro, Greensboro, NC, USA. ³Boston University Medical Center, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA.

Published: 4 September 2013

doi:10.1186/1940-0640-8-S1-A88

Cite this article as: Zarkin et al.: Implementation costs of screening and brief intervention for illicit drug use. *Addiction Science & Clinical Practice* 2013 **8**(Suppl 1):A88.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



* Correspondence: gaz@rti.org

¹RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article