



## Case Report

## High traffic congestion in right atrium

S. Mehrotra<sup>a,\*</sup>, Bhupesh Kumar<sup>b</sup>, R. Vijayvergiya<sup>c</sup>, S. Mathew<sup>d</sup><sup>a</sup> Cardiology, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India<sup>b</sup> Cardiac Anesthesia, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India<sup>c</sup> Professor, Cardiology, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India<sup>d</sup> Cardiothoracic Surgery, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 12 January 2016

Accepted 1 April 2016

Available online 13 April 2016

## Keywords:

Pacemaker leads

Tricuspid stenosis

Venous thrombosis

## ABSTRACT

A 62-year lady presented with limb swelling and heart failure due to leads induced venous fibrosis and severe tricuspid stenosis, 33 years after pacemaker implantation. After undergoing surgical removal of all leads and tricuspid valve replacement under cardiopulmonary bypass, she regained a normal functional status and tricuspid and right ventricular functions.

© 2016 Cardiological Society of India. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

A 62-year-old female had permanent pacemaker implantation (VVI Mode, St. Jude Medical, St. Paul, MN) via right cephalic venous cut down for symptomatic complete heart block (CHB) in 1982. A year later, a new pacing unit (VVI mode, St. Jude Medical) was implanted from the right side, following pacemaker pocket infection. The old right-sided pacing lead could not be retrieved, and hence left in situ. Three years later, she again got admitted with right side pocket infection, for which a new pacing unit (VVI mode, St. Jude Medical) was implanted from left subclavian vein. The two ventricular leads from right side could not be retrieved, and hence remained in situ. Five years later, she again got admitted with pocket infection, this time on the left side. Again a new pacing unit (VVI mode, St. Jude Medical) was implanted from the left side after the removal of infected generator and a course of antibiotics. The left-sided old pacing lead could not be retrieved. By this time, the patient had four pacing leads – two superfluous ventricular leads from right side, one superfluous ventricular lead from left side, and one functional VVI pacing lead from left side (Fig. 1). Twenty years later in 2001, the depleted battery was replaced by a new VVI generator on the left side. Twenty-eight years later, she developed insidious onset, gradually progressive swelling of neck, both upper limbs, and facial puffiness. Ultrasound confirmed the

thrombosis of bilateral subclavian veins. She was started on oral anticoagulants and target INR was maintained between 2 and 3. Thirty-one years later, she presented with dyspnea NYHA class II, lower limb edema, and abdominal distension. Physical examination revealed hepatomegaly, lower limb edema, and a diastolic murmur in tricuspid area. Two-dimensional echocardiography revealed dilated right atrium, with thickened tricuspid valve with stenosis (TS). Tricuspid valve area by continuity equation was 0.5 cm<sup>2</sup>, and a mean diastolic gradient across it was 7 mmHg





Fig. 1. Fluoroscopy showing four leads across tricuspid valve.

\* Corresponding author at: Advanced Cardiac Centre, 3rd Floor, C Block, Faculty Room, PGIMER, Chandigarh 160012, India. Tel.: +91 8437895685; fax: +91 97940402244.


E-mail address: [rhythm\\_divine46@yahoo.com](mailto:rhythm_divine46@yahoo.com) (S. Mehrotra).



**Fig. 2.** (A) CW Doppler showing mean gradient across the tricuspid valve of 7 mmHg S/O severe T.S., tricuspid valve VTI was 91.0. (B and C) Calculation of stroke volume across RVOT.

(Fig. 2A–C). She was managed with diuretics and anticoagulation as the family refused surgery. In April 2015, 33 years later, she presented with lead fracture of ventricular lead. Venography (Fig. 3A and B) revealed bilateral subclavian and superior vena cava occlusion, which was confirmed on cardiac CT scan. Cardiac catheterization revealed transtricuspid mean gradient of 7 mmHg, mean pulmonary artery pressure of 15 mmHg, and normal coronaries. She underwent surgery for removal of pacing leads, tricuspid valve and superior vena cava reconstruction, and a new epicardial pacemaker implantation.

Following median sternotomy, superior vena cava (SVC) and right atrium (RA) were cannulated. Tricuspid valve was thickened, fibrosed, and significantly stenosed. The commissures of all three leaflets were fused. All four leads were trapped and fused with tricuspid leaflets (Fig. 4). Tip of all four leads were firmly embedded in RV with severe fibrosis, preventing their removal despite



**Fig. 3.** (A) Venogram showing occlusion of right subclavian and internal jugular veins. (B) Venogram showing occlusion of left internal jugular and brachiocephalic veins with collaterals.

applying an adequate traction. Tricuspid valve along with leads from the RV apex, interventricular septum, and inferior wall was excised. A 31-mm bioprosthetic valve (EPIC valve, St. Jude Medical) was implanted at tricuspid position. The fibrosed and stenosed SVC and bilateral brachiocephalic veins were reconstructed by autologous pericardium. A 10 mm PTFE graft was sutured to the pericardial augmented mouth of the left brachiocephalic vein using 6-0 prolene. An epicardial lead was sutured at LV apex and generator was placed in left rectus sheath (VVI Myodex lead, St. Jude Medical). The postsurgery course was uneventful. A repeat echocardiography showed 2 mmHg mean gradient across bioprosthetic tricuspid valve. Patient was asymptomatic at 3 months of follow-up.

## 1. Discussion

Permanent pacing leads in heart causes fibrosis of adjacent structures, such as RV apex, tricuspid valve, SVC, and brachiocephalic veins.<sup>1</sup> Tricuspid valve stenosis<sup>2</sup> as a consequence of ventricular pacing leads is infrequent, and only few cases have been published in the literature.<sup>3–7</sup> The mechanism for tricuspid valve stenosis includes continuous friction between leads and valve leaflets leading to endothelial damage and later fibrosis.<sup>8,6,9</sup> Multiple leads across tricuspid valve as in index case would have accelerated the pathogenetic process. Moreover, these pacemaker




Fig. 4. Commissural fusion of septal and posterior leaflets, large thrombus on leads, and narrow tricuspid valve opening marked as arrow.

leads may produce a foreign body-type reaction with subsequent inflammation and fibrosis along the course of the wire.

Our case was complicated by chronic venous occlusion of bilateral innominate veins rendering percutaneous access difficult. The right-sided two leads and left-sided fractured ventricular lead were not extracted by described percutaneous methods,<sup>10</sup> considering 33 years duration of implantation. The old leads, like in index case, are likely to have firm encapsulation, fibrosis, and calcification, which in turn can result as a major complication on extraction.<sup>11</sup> Though recent advances like laser-assisted lead extraction has been found to be highly successful, low BMI, lead implantation duration of over 10 years, and centers with low extraction volume are indicators of decreased procedural and success rate.<sup>12</sup> Moreover, the patient had severe tricuspid stenosis secondary to leaflet fusion necessitating balloon valvotomy<sup>13</sup> or valve replacement and it is unlikely that the gradient would have normalized following percutaneous lead extraction.<sup>14</sup> Hence, it was felt that the leads could be best retrieved surgically with the placement of epicardial lead and tricuspid valve repair/replacement.

Adequate venous drainage of the upper extremity requires a minimum of one draining vein (though two veins are preferred), and hence was undertaken in the index case as there was no venous outflow.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, since epicardial pacing leads are associated with higher incidence of lead dysfunction, higher thresholds, chronic dislodgements, and infections,<sup>16</sup> venous reconstruction as done in the index case would facilitate the placement of endocardial leads via alternate transvenous routes like the coronary sinus<sup>17</sup> in the event of epicardial lead failure.

We conclude that the appearance of clinical manifestations suggestive of right heart failure in any patient with a pacemaker should suggest the possibility of tricuspid stenosis. Patients with

multiple leads should be closely followed by serial transthoracic echocardiography to pick them up early.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors have none to declare.

#### References

- Epstein AE, Anderson PG, Kay GN, Dailey SM, Plumb VJ, Shepard RB. Gross and microscopic changes associated with a non thoracotomy implantable cardioverter defibrillator. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 1992;15:382–386.
- Furman S, Escher DJW. Retained endocardial pacemaker electrodes. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1968;55:737–740.
- Garrote C, Fidalgo ML, Iglesias-Garriz I, Corral F, Silvestre J, Garcia-Calabozo R. Tricuspid stenosis after pacemaker implantation without evidence of bacterial endocarditis. A case report. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2002;55:988–990.
- Heaven DJ, Henein MY, Sutton R. Pacemaker lead related tricuspid stenosis. A report of two cases. *Heart.* 2000;83:351–352.
- Lee ME, Chaux A. Unusual complications of endocardial pacing. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1980;80:934–940.
- Old WD, Paulsen W, Lewis SA, Nixon JV. Pacemaker lead-induced tricuspid stenosis: diagnosis by Doppler echocardiography. *Am Heart J.* 1989;117:1165–1167.
- Taira K, Suzuki A, Fujino A, Watanabe T, Ogyu A, Ashikawa K. Tricuspid valve stenosis related to subvalvular adhesion of pacemaker lead: a case report. *J Cardiol.* 2006;47:301–306.
- Lee ME, Chaux A. Unusual complication of endocardial pacing. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1980;80:934–940.
- Heaven DJ, Henein MY, Sutton R. Pacemaker lead related tricuspid stenosis: a report of two cases. *Heart.* 2000;83:351–352.
- Wilkoff BL, Love CJ, Byrd CL, et al. Transvenous lead extraction: Heart Rhythm Society expert consensus on facilities, training, indications, and patient management. *Heart Rhythm.* 2009;6:1085–1104. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2009.05.020>.
- Byrd CL. Managing device related complications and transvenous lead extraction. In: Ellenbogen KA, Kay GN, Lau CP, Wilkoff BL, eds. In: *Clinical Cardiac Pacing, Defibrillation and Resynchronization Therapy* 3rd ed. Saunders Elsevier Publication; 2007:855–930.
- Wazni O, Epstein LM, Carrillo RG, et al. Lead extraction in the contemporary setting: the LEXIcon Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55:579–586.

13. Michiels V, Delabays A, Eeckhout E. Percutaneous balloon valvulotomy for the treatment of pacemaker lead-induced tricuspid stenosis. *Heart*. 2013;1. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304453>.
14. Cassagneau R, Jacon P, Defaye P. Pacemaker lead-induced severe tricuspid valve stenosis: complete percutaneous extraction under extracorporeal life support. *Europace*. 2013;15(September (9)):1248.
15. Rutherford RB, Hurlbert SN. Primary subclavian-axillary vein thrombosis: consensus and commentary. *Cardiovasc Surg*. 1996;4:420–423.
16. Fortescue EB, Berul CI, Cecchin F, Walsh EP, Triedman JK, Alexander ME. Comparison of modern steroid-eluting epicardial and thin transvenous pacemaker leads in pediatric and congenital heart disease patients. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*. 2005;9(1):27–36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10840-005-3797-x>.
17. Cay S, Aras D, Canpolat U, Ozeke O, Cimen T. Transvenous lead implantation in a patient with mechanical prosthesis in the tricuspid position: transvenous route should be the first choice. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;171:e39.