

Citation: Kim J, Kim KH, Lee BJ (2017) Association of peptic ulcer disease with obesity, nutritional components, and blood parameters in the Korean population. PLoS ONE 12(8): e0183777. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183777

Editor: John Green, University Hospital Llandough, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: April 4, 2017

Accepted: August 10, 2017

Published: August 24, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Kim et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from the First Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES I), conducted by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDCP), and are freely available from KCDCP (https://knhanes.cdc.go.kr).

Funding: This work was supported by a technology innovation program funded by the Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy, Republic of Korea (MOTIE 100060251, D16330).

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Association of peptic ulcer disease with obesity, nutritional components, and blood parameters in the Korean population

Jihye Kim, Keun Ho Kim, Bum Ju Lee*

KM Fundamental Research Division, Korea Institute of Oriental Medicine, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

* bjlee@kiom.re.kr

Abstract

Objectives

Peptic ulcer disease (PUD) is a common disorder, but whether an association exists between PUD and anthropometric indicators remains controversial. Furthermore, no studies on the association of PUD with anthropometric indices, blood parameters, and nutritional components have been reported. The aim of this study was to assess associations of anthropometrics, blood parameters, nutritional components, and lifestyle factors with PUD in the Korean population.

Methods

Data were collected from a nationally representative sample of the South Korean population using the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Logistic regression was used to examine associations of anthropometrics, blood parameters and nutritional components among patients with PUD.

Results

Age was the factor most strongly associated with PUD in women (p = <0.0001, odds ratio (OR) = 0.770 [0.683–0.869]) and men (p = <0.0001, OR = 0.715 [0.616–0.831]). In both crude and adjusted analyses, PUD was highly associated with weight (adjusted p = 0.0008, adjusted OR = 1.251 [95%CI: 1.098–1.426]), hip circumference (adjusted p = 0.0001, adjusted OR = 1.303 [1.139–1.490]) in women and hip circumference (adjusted p = 0.0199, adjusted OR = 1.217 [1.031–1.435]) in men. PUD was significantly associated with intake of fiber (adjusted p = 0.0386, adjusted OR = 1.157 [1.008–1.328], vitamin B2 (adjusted p = 0.0477, adjusted OR = 1.155 [1.001–1.333]), sodium (adjusted p = 0.0154, adjusted OR = 1.191 [1.034–1.372]), calcium (adjusted p = 0.0079, adjusted OR = 1.243 [1.059–1.459]), and ash (adjusted p = 0.0468, adjusted OR = 1.152 [1.002–1.325] in women but not in men. None of the assessed blood parameters were associated with PUD in women, and only triglyceride level was associated with PUD in men (adjusted p = 0.0169, adjusted OR = 1.227 [1.037–1.451]).

Discussion

We found that obesity was associated with PUD in the Korean population; additionally, the association between nutritional components and PUD was greater in women than in men.

Introduction

Peptic ulcer disease (PUD) is a common and serious digestive system disease that includes gastric and duodenal ulcers [1, 2]. The prevalence of PUD is approximately 4.1%, and approximately 10% of people develop PUD in their lifetime [1, 3]. In the United States (US), approximately 15–18 million people have PUD [3, 4]. According to a study published by the Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service in 2010, approximately 4 million patients had PUD each year from 2005–2008 [5].

Previous studies have reported that *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection increases the risk of PUD. Infection with *H. pylori* is one of the major etiologies of PUD and is considered a common pathogenic mechanism that drives the development of PUD [6–9]. Although *H. pylori* is the most important risk factor of PUD, 5–20% of PUD patients exhibit no evident cause [10]. Recent studies have shown that PUD is strongly associated with non-organic factors such as lifestyle, psychological stress, obesity, and intake, and these non-organic causes may play a role in the onset and course of PUD [9, 11–15].

Many studies to date have assessed the association of PUD with anthropometric indices, nutritional components, and lifestyle. Obesity and visceral obesity have been related to disruptions in normal epithelial barrier function and to systemic inflammation [14, 16]. However, although several studies have reported an association of PUD with anthropometric indices such as body mass index (BMI), waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), and waist circumference (WC), the association between PUD and obesity remains unclear [2, 15–19]. Several studies have reported a relationship between PUD and anthropometric indices including BMI, WHtR, and WC [15–17], while others have suggested that PUD is not associated with BMI and/or WHtR [2, 18, 19].

In the nutrition, several previous studies have reported that the intake of particular nutritional components is associated with PUD and gastrointestinal diseases [20–25]. High fiber intake has been related to a low prevalence of PUD and gastroesophageal reflux disease [20, 21]. Vitamin A may prevent duodenal ulcer [21, 22], and vitamin C plays a key protective role in PUD and its complications [25].

Furthermore, several studies have shown that aging, smoking, and sleep duration may be risk factors for PUD. The prevalence of PUD increases in both men and women as they grow older [3, 26]. Smoking increases the risk of PUD in men and women and in all age groups, and the risk of PUD is higher among non-smoking men than non-smoking women [27]. Additionally, people who sleep more than 9 hours are less likely to develop PUD than those who sleep less than 9 hours [28].

Although many studies have been conducted to clarify the risk factors of PUD, the association of PUD with obesity has been controversial, and the associations that exist between PUD and various risk factors such as lifestyle, blood parameters, and nutrition remains to be fully understood. The objective of this study was to examine the association of PUD with anthropometric indices, nutritional components, blood parameters, and lifestyle factors in the Korean population. The results of this study will provide basic information for clinical studies on patients with PUD and evidence for the prevention and management of PUD patients. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the association of PUD with anthropometrics, blood parameters, lifestyle, and nutrition in the Korean population.

Material and methods

Data source

This study was based on data obtained from the First Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES I), which was a prospective cross-sectional nationally representative survey study conducted by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC) in 1998 to estimate the general health and nutritional status of the Korean population [29]. Specifically, KNHANES I through VI were conducted from 1998 to 2014. KNHANES I was conducted in 1998 as a triennial survey. KNHANES II-VI were conducted in 2001 (KNHANES II), 2005 (KNHANES III), 2007-2009 (KNHANES IV), 2010-2012 (KNHANES V), and 2013–2015 (KNHANES VI), respectively [30, 31]. KNHANCES I (1998) defined PUD as including gastritis, gastric ulcers, and duodenal ulcers [29]. In contrast, KNHANES II-IV (2001–2009) defined PUD as including only gastric and duodenal ulcers, except for gastritis [32]. KNHANES V and IV (2010–2015) did not include the diagnostic results for PUD [33]. Therefore, it was difficult to integrate the data from KNHANCES I and KNHANES II-VI because the PUD patients were recruited based on different PUD diagnosis criteria in each survey. Although KNHANES II-VI may derive more valuable findings based on a larger and more representative data set on PUD compared with the findings from KNHANCES I, we chose KNHANCES I for this PUD study because we focused on a wider range of PUD criteria, including gastritis, gastric ulcer, and duodenal ulcer, and many epidemiological and medical studies have revealed a very strong association of gastritis with duodenal and gastric ulcers [34–36]. Therefore, we conducted an observational study on the association between a wider range of PUD, including gastritis, and various parameters such as anthropometric indices, blood parameters, lifestyles, and nutritional components.

The KNHANES I was approved by the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare and was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki [29, 37]. The KNHANES I adopted a stratified and multi-stage clustered probability sampling method to select a representative sample of the non-institutionalized Korean population. To use open source data from the KNHANES I, this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Korea Institute of Oriental Medicine with a waiver of documentation of informed consent (IRB No. I-1703/002-004).

Participants and definition

The 1998 KNHANES I included 39,060 subjects in 15 cities (Seoul, Busan, Daegu, Incheon, Gwangju, Daejeon, Gyeonggi-do, Gangwon-do, Chungcheongbuk-do, Chungcheongnam-do, Jeollabuk-do, Jeollanam-do, Gyeongsangbuk-do, Gyeongsangnam-do and Jeju island). Only participants older than 30 years of age were included in this study. PUD was diagnosed by a doctor and included gastritis, gastric ulcer, and duodenal ulcer. Subjects with missing data were excluded, resulting in a final sample of 4,652 participants (2,126 male and 2,526 female) ranging in age from 30–70 years. The Supporting Information explains the sample selection procedure (S1 Fig).

Measurements

The data used in this study were derived from the following: health-related interviews, health examinations, and nutrition surveys. The associations between anthropometric indices and various diseases have been frequently examined [38–41]. In this study, we selected six

commonly used indices including weight, height, BMI, WHtR, WC, and hip circumference (HipC), based on previous studies, to examine the association between PUD and anthropometric indices [38–41]. Additionally, the associations between PUD and seven blood parameters including triglyceride and glucose levels and sixteen nutritional components including fiber, potassium, and vitamins were analyzed. The demographic and baseline characteristics assessed in this study are listed in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). In the crude analysis and the analyses adjusted for age, education, job, smoking, and drinking, binary logistic regression was conducted to identify significant differences between the PUD group (0) and the normal group (1) after applying standardized transformation to both the male and female data sets. To evaluate odds ratios (ORs), age, blood parameters, anthropometric indices, and nutritional components were standardized. To assess for gender differences in baseline characteristics (Table 1), independent two-sample t-tests were performed.

Results

Association of PUD with anthropometrics, blood parameters, and nutrition in women

Tables 2 and 3 list the associations of PUD with anthropometric indices, blood parameters, and nutritional components in Korean women and men. Of all variables, age was the most strongly associated with PUD in women (p = <0.0001, OR = 0.770 [95% CI, 0.683–0.869]) and men (p = <0.0001, OR = 0.715 [0.616–0.831]).

In women, sleep duration was associated with PUD in the crude analysis (p = 0.0222, OR = 1.153 [1.021–1.303]), but the association disappeared after adjusting for age, education, job, smoking, and drinking (adjusted p = 0.1073, adjusted OR = 1.106 [0.978–1.251]). PUD was associated with diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (p = 0.0161, OR = 1.166 [1.029–1.321]) but not systolic blood pressure (SBP) (p = 0.2192, OR = 1.082 [0.954–1.227]) in the crude analysis. However, SBP and DBP were highly related to PUD after adjusting for confounders (adjusted p = 0.0003, adjusted OR = 1.309 [1.133–1.512] and adjusted p = 0.0001, adjusted OR = 1.303 [1.141–1.487], respectively).

Regarding anthropometric indices, weight was highly associated with PUD in the crude analysis (p = 0.0003, OR = 1.265 [1.113–1.438]) and remained highly associated after adjustment (adjusted p = 0.0008, adjusted OR = 1.251 [1.098–1.426]). Highly significant differences between the normal and PUD groups were found in HipC (adjusted p = 0.005, adjusted OR = 1.198 [1.056–1.360]) and BMI (adjusted p = 0.0001, adjusted OR = 1.303 [1.139–1.490]). WC and WHtR were highly associated with PUD only after adjusting for age, education, job, smoking, and drinking (adjusted p = 0.0002, adjusted OR = 1.307 [1.137–1.502] and adjusted p = 0.0002, adjusted OR = 1.324 [1.144–1.532], respectively).

After adjusting for confounders, none of the blood parameters were associated with PUD in women except for platelet count (adjusted p = 0.0234, adjusted OR = 1.159 [1.020–1.316]).

Of the nutritional components, calcium showed the strongest association with PUD in the crude analysis (p = 0.0005, OR = 1.340 [1.138–1.579]), and this association remained significant after adjusting for age, education, job, smoking, and drinking (adjusted p = 0.0079, adjusted OR = 1.243 [1.059–1.459]). Fiber and ash were significantly associated with PUD in both the crude (p = 0.0065, OR = 1.212 [1.055–1.391] and p = 0.0027, OR = 1.239 [1.077–1.425], respectively) and adjusted analyses (adjusted p = 0.0386, adjusted OR = 1.157 [1.008–

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of all study variables.

Control Peptic later Numbers 1944 182 2232 294 Age (mean, SD) 46.30 (11.31) 50.20 (11.02) 46.65 (11.62) 49.73 (12.40) Education (No. of subjects. %) - - - - Indeducated 67 (3.4) 9 (4.5) 550 (26.4) 99 (33.7) Middle school 328 (16.8) 39 (21.4) 411 (18.4) 651 (17.3) High school 742 (38.2) 62 (4.1) 67 (26.4) 99 (33.7) Middle school 742 (38.2) 26 (14.3) 225 (11.6) 16 (2.0) Ontowally 445 (22.9) 26 (14.3) 63 (2.7) 6 (2.0) Sarrice 334 (17.5) 23 (12.6) 371 (16.6) 43 (14.6) Farmer and Isher 397 (15.5) 51 (28.0) 420 (11.6) 43 (14.6) Blue-colar worker 2 (0.1) - - - Sudder 1 (0.1) - 30 (0.2) 30 (0.2) Buncolar worker 2 (2.1) 1 (2.6 (5.2) 100 (48.7) 10 (3.5) <th colspan="2">Index Male</th> <th>ale</th> <th colspan="3">Female</th>	Index Male		ale	Female		
Numbers 1944 182 22.23 29.4 Age (mean, SD) 46.30 (11.31) 50.20 (11.02) 46.66 (11.62) 49.73 (12.40) Education (No. of subjects, %) - - - - Uneducated 67 (3.4) 9 (4.9) 307 (13.7) 60 (20.4) Elementary school 326 (16.8) 339 (21.4) 411 (18.4) 51 (17.3) High school 74 (26.82) 62 (34.1) 67 (20.1) 63 (21.4) University 445 (22.9) 26 (14.3) 252 (11.3) 21 (7.1) Occupation (No. of subjects, %) - - - - White-colar worker 225 (11.6) 11 (6.0) 83 (3.7) 5 (1.7) Office worker 25 (11.6) 13 (2.6) 371 (16.6) 43 (14.6) Farmer and fisher 379 (19.5) 51 (28.0) 410 (18.4) 67 (22.8) Bibe-colar worker 2 (0.1) - 3 (1.1) - - Studier 1 (0.1) - 3 (1.3) 3 (10.2) 3 (13.3)		Control	Peptic ulcer	Control	Peptic ulcer	
Age (mean, SD) 46.30 (11.31) 50.20 (11.02) 46.65 (11.62) 49.73 (1.2.40) Education (No. of subjects, %) 67 (3.4) 9 (4.9) 307 (13.7) 60 (20.4) Elementary school 364 (18.7) 44 (28.3) 590 (26.4) 99 (33.7) Middle school 742 (38.2) 26 (34.1) 672 (30.1) 63 (21.4) University 445 (22.9) 26 (14.3) 258 (11.3) 21 (7.1) Occupation (No. of subjects, %) 7 7 61 (20.0) 74 (28.2) 26 (14.3) 258 (11.6) 48 (20.0) 259 (11.6) 48 (14.6) Office worker 126 (11.6) 18 (9.9) 65 (2.9) 6 (2.0) 39 (13.3) Service 374 (17.5) 128 (12.0) 259 (11.6) 39 (13.3) Soldier 1 (0.1) - - - - Hue-colar worker 2 (0.1) - 875 (39.2) 104 (45.4) Blue-colar worker 2 (0.1) - 875 (39.2) 104 (45.4) Unemployd 2 (51.1) 1.6 (6.3) 17 (1.4) 10	Numbers	1944	182	2232	294	
Education (No. of subjects, %) Image Image Unducated $67(3.4)$ $9(4.9)$ $307(13.7)$ $60(20.4)$ Elementary school $326(16.8)$ $39(21.4)$ $411(18.4)$ $51(7.3)$ Middle school $326(16.8)$ $39(21.4)$ $411(18.4)$ $51(7.3)$ University $445(22.9)$ $26(14.3)$ $252(11.3)$ $21(7.1)$ Occupation (No. of subjects, %) White-collar worker $225(11.5)$ $18(9.9)$ $65(2.9)$ $6(2.0)$ Service $321(12.6)$ $371(16.6)$ $431(14.5)$ $321(12.6)$ $371(16.6)$ $43(14.6)$ Farmer and fisher $379(19.5)$ $51(28.0)$ $410(18.4)$ $67(22.8)$ Blue-colar worker $50(12.8)$ $51(28.0)$ $410(18.4)$ $67(34.5)$ Student $2(0.1)$ - $ -$ Housewife $20(1.1)$ - $87(39.2)$ $104(45.4)$ Unemployed $257(13.2)$ $28(15.4)$ $166(7.4)$ $30(10.2)$ Stoder $1(0.1)$ <td>Age (mean, SD)</td> <td>46.30 (11.31)</td> <td>50.20 (11.02)</td> <td>46.65 (11.62)</td> <td>49.73 (1.240)</td>	Age (mean, SD)	46.30 (11.31)	50.20 (11.02)	46.65 (11.62)	49.73 (1.240)	
Uneducated 67 (3.4) 9 (4.9) 307 (13.7) 60 (20.4) Elementary school 336 (18.6) 39 (21.4) 411 (18.4) 51 (17.3) Middle school 742 (88.2) 62 (34.1) 672 (30.1) 65 (21.4) University 445 (22.9) 28 (14.3) 252 (11.3) 16 (21.4) Occupation (No. of subjects, %)	Education (No. of subjects, %)					
Elementary school 984 (18.7) 44 (25.3) 590 (26.4) 99 (33.7) Middle school 326 (16.8) 39 (21.4) 411 (18.4) 51 (17.3) High school 742 (38.2) 62 (34.1) 672 (30.1) 63 (21.4) University 445 (22.9) 26 (14.3) 252 (11.3) 21 (7.1) Occupation (No. of subjects, %)	Uneducated	67 (3.4)	9 (4.9)	307 (13.7)	60 (20.4)	
Middle school $322(16.8)$ $39(21.4)$ $411(18.4)$ $51(17.3)$ High school $742(38.2)$ $62(34.1)$ $672(30.1)$ $63(21.4)$ University $446(22.9)$ $226(14.3)$ $225(11.3)$ $21(7.1)$ Occupation (No. of subjects, %)	Elementary school	364 (18.7)	46 (25.3)	590 (26.4)	99 (33.7)	
High school 742 (38.2) 62 (34.1) 672 (30.1) 63 (21.4) University 445 (22.9) 26 (14.3) 225 (11.3) 21 (7.1) Cocupation (No. of subjects, %) - - - - White-collar worker 186 (9.6) 11 (6.0) 83 (27) 5 (1.7) Office worker 225 (11.6) 18 (9.9) 65 (2.9) 6 (2.0) Service 341 (17.5) 23 (12.6) 371 (16.6) 43 (14.6) Farmer and fisher 379 (19.5) 51 (28.0) 420 (18.4) 67 (22.8) Solider 1 (0.1) - 3 (0.1) - Student 2 (0.1) - 3 (0.1) - Monits gatus (No. of subjects, %) - - - - Current smoker 1208 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Simoles occasionally 67 (3.4,7) 6 (3.3) 77 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Alcohol consumption (No. o	Middle school	326 (16.8)	39 (21.4)	411 (18.4)	51 (17.3)	
University 445 (22.9) 26 (14.3) 252 (11.3) 21 (7.1) Occupation (No. of subjects, %) Office worker 225 (11.6) 11 (6.0) 83 (3.7) 5 (1.7) Office worker 225 (11.6) 18 (9.9) 65 (2.9) 6 (2.0) Service 341 (17.5) 23 (12.6) 371 (16.6) 43 (14.6) Farmer and fisher 379 (19.5) 51 (28.0) 410 (18.4) 67 (22.8) Soldier 1 (0.1) - - - - Student 2 (0.1) - 3 (0.1) - - Housewife 2 (0.1) - 3 (0.1) - - Current smoker 1208 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-moker 342 (17.6) 42 (23.1) 37 (1.7) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 207 (192.8) 267 (90.8) Acchol consumption	High school	742 (38.2)	62 (34.1)	672 (30.1)	63 (21.4)	
Occupation (No. of subjects, %)	University	445 (22.9)	26 (14.3)	252 (11.3)	21 (7.1)	
White-collar worker 186 (9.6) 11 (6.0) 83 (3.7) 5 (1.7) Office worker 225 (11.6) 18 (9.9) 65 (2.9) 6 (2.0) Service 341 (17.5) 23 (12.6) 371 (16.6) 43 (14.6) Farmer and fisher 379 (19.5) 51 (28.0) 410 (18.4) 67 (22.8) Blue-collar worker 551 (28.3) 51 (28.0) 259 (11.6) 39 (13.3) Soldier 1 (0.1) - - - Student 2 (0.1) - 975 (35.2) 104 (35.4) Unemployed 257 (13.2) 28 (15.4) 166 (7.4) 30 (10.2) Smoking status (No. of subjects, %) - - - - Current smoker 1208 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 322 (17.6) 42 (23.1) 37 (1.7) 6 (2.0) Stockes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.2) 17 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-dininker	Occupation (No. of subjects, %)					
Office worker 225 (11.6) 18 (9.9) 65 (2.9) 6 (2.0) Service 341 (17.5) 23 (12.6) 371 (16.6) 43 (14.6) Farmer and fisher 379 (19.5) 51 (28.0) 410 (18.4) 67 (22.8) Blue-collar worker 551 (28.3) 51 (28.0) 259 (11.6) 39 (13.3) Soldier 1 (0.1) - - - Housewrife 2 (0.1) - 3 (0.1) - Housewrife 2 (0.1) - 875 (39.2) 104 (35.4) Unemployed 257 (13.2) 28 (15.4) 166 (7.4) 30 (10.2) Smoking status (No. of subjects, %) - - - - Current smoker 1206 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 342 (17.6) 42 (23.1) 37 (1.7) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 342 (17.6) 42 (63.2) 77 (3.4) 10 (3.4) Occasionally drink 66 (64.0) 53 (29.1) 517 (23.2) 55 (18.7) Rarely drink 248 (12.8)<	White-collar worker	186 (9.6)	11 (6.0)	83 (3.7)	5 (1.7)	
Service 341 (17.5) 23 (12.6) 371 (16.6) 43 (14.6) Farmer and fisher 379 (19.5) 51 (28.0) 259 (11.6) 39 (13.3) Soldier 1 (0.1) - - - Student 2 (0.1) - 3 (0.1) - Housewife 2 (0.1) - 875 (39.2) 104 (35.4) Unemployed 257 (13.2) 28 (15.4) 166 (7.4) 30 (10.2) Smoking status (No. of subjects, %) - - - - Current smoker 1206 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 322 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Acchol consumption (No. of subjects, %) - - - - Frequently drink 660 (64.0) 53 (29.1) 517 (23.2) 55 (18.7) Rarely drink 248 (12.8) 23 (12.6) 511 (22.9) 68 (23.1) Ex-drinker 149 (7.7)	Office worker	225 (11.6)	18 (9.9)	65 (2.9)	6 (2.0)	
Farmer and fisher 379 (19.5) 51 (28.0) 410 (18.4) 67 (22.8) Blue-collar worker 551 (28.3) 51 (28.0) 259 (1.6) 39 (13.3) Soldier 1 (0.1) - - - Student 2 (0.1) - 875 (39.2) 104 (35.4) Unemployed 287 (13.2) 28 (15.4) 166 (7.4) 30 (10.2) Smoking status (No. of subjects, %) - - - - Current smoker 1208 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (10.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 342 (17.6) 42 (23.1) 37 (17.9) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Alcohol consumption (No. of subjects, %) - - - - Frequently drink 6674 (34.7) 64 (35.2) 77 (3.4) 10 (3.4) Occasionally drink 268 (12.8) 23 (12.6) 511 (22.9) 65 (6.1) Ex-drink	Service	341 (17.5)	23 (12.6)	371 (16.6)	43 (14.6)	
Blue-collar worker 551 (28.3) 51 (28.0) 259 (11.6) 39 (13.3) Soldier 1 (0.1) - - - - Student 2 (0.1) - 875 (39.2) 104 (35.4) Unemployed 257 (13.2) 28 (15.4) 166 (7.4) 30 (10.2) Smoking status (No. of subjects, %) - - - - Current smoker 1208 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (10.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 342 (17.6) 42 (23.1) 37 (17.7) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 327 (16.8) 2 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Accoho consumption (No. or subjects, %) - - - - Frequently drink 640 (42.8) 23 (12.6) 511 (22.9) 68 (23.1) Ex-drinker 149 (7.7) 19 (10.4) 66 (3.0) 10 (3.4) Occasionally drink 643 (12.8) 123 (12.6) 1511 (22.9) 68 (23.1) <	Farmer and fisher	379 (19.5)	51 (28.0)	410 (18.4)	67 (22.8)	
Soldier 1 (0.1) - 3 (0.1) - Student 2 (0.1) - 3 (0.1) - Housewife 2 (0.1) - 875 (39.2) 104 (35.4) Unemployed 257 (13.2) 28 (15.4) 166 (7.4) 30 (10.2) Smoking status (No. of subjects, %) - - - Current smoker 1208 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 342 (17.6) 42 (23.1) 37 (1.7) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Acchol consumption (No. of subjects, %) - - - Frequently drink 664 (34.7) 64 (35.2) 77 (3.4) 10 (3.4) Occasionally drink 664 (34.7) 10 (3.2) 55 (18.7) Barely drink 248 (12.8) 23 (12.6) 110 (2.9) 66 (23.1) Ex-drinker 149 (7.7) 19 (10.4) 66 (3.0) 1	Blue-collar worker	551 (28.3)	51 (28.0)	259 (11.6)	39 (13.3)	
Student 2 (0.1) - 3 (0.1) - Housewife 2 (0.1) - 875 (39.2) 104 (35.4) Unemployed 257 (13.2) 28 (15.4) 166 (7.4) 30 (10.2) Smoking status (No. of subjects, %) - - - Current smoker 1208 (62.1) 1112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 342 (17.6) 42 (22.1) 37 (1.7) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Alcoho consumption (No. of subjects, %) - - - Frequently drink 667 (3.4) 53 (29.1) 517 (23.2) 55 (18.7) Rarely drink 248 (12.8) 23 (12.6) 511 (22.9) 68 (23.1) Never drinker 149 (7.7) 19 (10.4) 66 (3.0) 10 (3.4) Never drinker 149 (7.7) 19 (10.4) 66 (3.0) 10 (3.4) Vital signs - -	Soldier	1 (0.1)	-	-	-	
Housewife2 (0.1)-875 (39.2)104 (35.4)Unemployed257 (13.2)28 (15.4)166 (7.4)30 (10.2)Smoking status (No. of subjects, %)CCCCurrent smoker1208 (62.1)112 (61.5)107 (4.8)19 (6.5)Smokes occasionally67 (3.4)6 (3.3)17 (0.8)2 (0.7)Ex-smoker342 (17.6)42 (23.1)37 (1.7)6 (2.0)Never smoker327 (16.8)22 (12.1)2071 (92.8)267 (90.8)Alcohol consumption (No. of subjects, %)Frequently drink674 (34.7)64 (35.2)77 (3.4)10 (3.4)Occasionally drink660 (64.0)53 (29.1)517 (23.2)55 (18.7)Rarely drink248 (12.8)23 (12.6)511 (22.9)68 (23.1)Ex-drinker149 (7.7)19 (10.4)66 (3.0)10 (3.4)Never drinker213 (11.0)23 (12.6)1061 (47.5)151 (51.4)Sleep duration (mean, SD) ³ 6.92 (1.24)6.93 (1.22)6.56 (0.61)6.75 (1.31)Vital signsPulse rate per 15 sec18.21 (2.560)17.92 (2.270)18.27 (2.350)18.30 (20.18)Systoic BP (mmHg) ³ 82.52 (12.4)80.32 (11.54)76.82 (11.63)76.29 (19.82)AnthropometricsWeight (kg) ¹ 66.37 (9.700)63.99 (9.340)57.55 (8.290)55.70 (5.610)Height (cm) ¹ 168.1 (6.060)167.3 (5.860)155.7 (5.710)1	Student	2 (0.1)	-	3 (0.1)	-	
Unemployed 257 (13.2) 28 (15.4) 166 (7.4) 30 (10.2) Smoking status (No. of subjects, %)	Housewife	2 (0.1)	-	875 (39.2)	104 (35.4)	
Smoking status (No. of subjects, %) Image: model of subjects, %) Image: model of subjects, %) Current smoker 1208 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 342 (17.6) 44 (23.1) 37 (1.7) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Alcohol consumption (No. of subjects, %)	Unemployed	257 (13.2)	28 (15.4)	166 (7.4)	30 (10.2)	
Current smoker 1208 (62.1) 112 (61.5) 107 (4.8) 19 (6.5) Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 342 (17.6) 42 (23.1) 37 (1.7) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2007 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Alcohol consumption (No. of subjects, %) Frequently drink 674 (34.7) 64 (35.2) 77 (3.4) 10 (3.4) Occasionally drink 660 (64.0) 53 (29.1) 517 (22.9) 68 (23.1) Ex-drinker 149 (7.7) 19 (10.4) 66 (3.0) 10 (3.4) Never drinker 213 (11.0) 23 (12.6) 1061 (47.5) 151 (51.4) Sleep duration (mean, SD) [±] 6.92 (1.24) 6.93 (1.22) 6.56 (0.61) 6.75 (1.31) Vital signs Pulse rate per 15 sec 18.21 (2.560) 17.92 (2.270) 18.27 (2.350) 18.30 (20.18) Systolic BP (mmHg) [±] 128.9 (19.06) 126.2 (19.05) <td< td=""><td>Smoking status (No. of subjects, %)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Smoking status (No. of subjects, %)					
Smokes occasionally 67 (3.4) 6 (3.3) 17 (0.8) 2 (0.7) Ex-smoker 342 (17.6) 42 (23.1) 37 (1.7) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Alcohol consumption (No. of subjects, %) Frequently drink 664 (35.2) 77 (3.4) 10 (3.4) Occasionally drink 660 (64.0) 53 (29.1) 517 (23.2) 55 (18.7) Rarely drink 248 (12.8) 23 (12.6) 511 (22.9) 68 (23.1) Ex-drinker 149 (7.7) 19 (10.4) 66 (3.0) 10 (3.4) Never drinker 213 (11.0) 23 (12.6) 1061 (47.5) 151 (51.4) Sleep duration (mean, SD) [‡] 6.92 (1.24) 6.93 (1.22) 6.56 (0.61) 6.75 (1.31) Vital signs	Current smoker	1208 (62.1)	112 (61.5)	107 (4.8)	19 (6.5)	
Ex-smoker 342 (17.6) 42 (23.1) 37 (1.7) 6 (2.0) Never smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Alcohol consumption (No. of subjects, %)	Smokes occasionally	67 (3.4)	6 (3.3)	17 (0.8)	2 (0.7)	
Never smoker 327 (16.8) 22 (12.1) 2071 (92.8) 267 (90.8) Alcohol consumption (No. of subjects, %)	Ex-smoker	342 (17.6)	42 (23.1)	37 (1.7)	6 (2.0)	
Alcohol consumption (No. of subjects, %) m m m Frequently drink 674 (34.7) 64 (35.2) 77 (3.4) 10 (3.4) Occasionally drink 660 (64.0) 53 (29.1) 517 (23.2) 55 (18.7) Rarely drink 248 (12.8) 23 (12.6) 511 (22.9) 68 (23.1) Ex-drinker 149 (7.7) 19 (10.4) 66 (3.0) 10 (3.4) Never drinker 213 (11.0) 23 (12.6) 1061 (47.5) 151 (51.4) Sleep duration (mean, SD) [‡] 6.92 (1.24) 6.93 (1.22) 6.56 (0.61) 6.75 (1.31) Vital signs	Never smoker	327 (16.8)	22 (12.1)	2071 (92.8)	267 (90.8)	
Frequently drink $674 (34.7)$ $64 (35.2)$ $77 (3.4)$ $10 (3.4)$ Occasionally drink $660 (64.0)$ $53 (29.1)$ $517 (23.2)$ $55 (18.7)$ Rarely drink $248 (12.8)$ $23 (12.6)$ $511 (22.9)$ $68 (23.1)$ Ex-drinker $149 (7.7)$ $19 (10.4)$ $66 (3.0)$ $10 (3.4)$ Never drinker $213 (11.0)$ $23 (12.6)$ $1061 (47.5)$ $151 (51.4)$ Sleep duration (mean, SD) [‡] $6.92 (1.24)$ $6.93 (1.22)$ $6.56 (0.61)$ $6.75 (1.31)$ Vital signs $ -$ Pulse rate per 15 sec $18.21 (2.560)$ $17.92 (2.270)$ $18.27 (2.350)$ $18.30 (20.18)$ Systolic BP (mmHg) [‡] $128.9 (19.06)$ $126.2 (19.05)$ $124.9 (20.18)$ $123.4 (11.29)$ Diastolic BP (mmHg) [‡] $82.52 (12.24)$ $80.32 (11.54)$ $78.02 (11.63)$ $76.29 (19.82)$ Anthropometrics $ -$ Weight (kg) [‡] $66.37 (9.700)$ $63.99 (9.340)$ $57.55 (8.290)$ $55.70 (5.610)$ Height (cm) [‡] $168.1 (6.060)$ $167.3 (5.860)$ $155.7 (5.710)$ $155.0 (8.680)$ Body mass index (kg/m ²) [‡] $23.44 (2.890)$ $22.85 (3.020)$ $23.75 (3.230)$ $23.17 (0.060)$ Waist circumference (cm) [‡] $83.97 (7.950)$ $82.82 (8.620)$ $79.84 (9.210)$ $78.86 (3.030)$ Hip circumference (cm) $93.83 (6.200)$ $92.09 (6.130)$ $94.12 (6.760)$ $92.93 (1.300)$ Blod mass $ -$ Hemoglobin (g/dl)	Alcohol consumption (No. of subjects, %)					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Frequently drink	674 (34.7)	64 (35.2)	77 (3.4)	10 (3.4)	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Occasionally drink	660 (64.0)	53 (29.1)	517 (23.2)	55 (18.7)	
Ex-drinker 149 (7.7) 19 (10.4) 66 (3.0) 10 (3.4) Never drinker 213 (11.0) 23 (12.6) 1061 (47.5) 151 (51.4) Sleep duration (mean, SD) [‡] 6.92 (1.24) 6.93 (1.22) 6.56 (0.61) 6.75 (1.31) Vital signs Pulse rate per 15 sec 18.21 (2.560) 17.92 (2.270) 18.27 (2.350) 18.30 (20.18) Systolic BP (mmHg) [‡] 128.9 (19.06) 126.2 (19.05) 124.9 (20.18) 123.4 (11.29) Diastolic BP (mmHg) [‡] 82.52 (12.24) 80.32 (11.54) 78.02 (11.63) 76.29 (19.82) Anthropometrics Weight (kg) [‡] 66.37 (9.700) 63.99 (9.340) 57.55 (8.290) 55.70 (5.610) Height (cm) [‡] 168.1 (6.060) 167.3 (5.860) 155.7 (5.710) 155.0 (8.680) Body mass index (kg/m ²) [‡] 23.44 (2.890) 22.85 (3.020) 23.75 (3.230) 23.17 (0.060) Waist circumference (cm) [‡] 83.97 (7.950) 82.82 (8.620) 79.84 (9.210) 78.86	Rarely drink	248 (12.8)	23 (12.6)	511 (22.9)	68 (23.1)	
Never drinker213 (11.0)23 (12.6)1061 (47.5)151 (51.4)Sleep duration (mean, SD) ‡ $6.92 (1.24)$ $6.93 (1.22)$ $6.56 (0.61)$ $6.75 (1.31)$ Vital signs </td <td>Ex-drinker</td> <td>149 (7.7)</td> <td>19 (10.4)</td> <td>66 (3.0)</td> <td>10 (3.4)</td>	Ex-drinker	149 (7.7)	19 (10.4)	66 (3.0)	10 (3.4)	
Sleep duration (mean, SD) [‡] 6.92 (1.24) 6.93 (1.22) 6.56 (0.61) 6.75 (1.31) Vital signs	Never drinker	213 (11.0)	23 (12.6)	1061 (47.5)	151 (51.4)	
Vital signs Image: Constraint of the system of	Sleep duration (mean, SD) [‡]	6.92 (1.24)	6.93 (1.22)	6.56 (0.61)	6.75 (1.31)	
Pulse rate per 15 sec 18.21 (2.560) 17.92 (2.270) 18.27 (2.350) 18.30 (20.18) Systolic BP (mmHg) [‡] 128.9 (19.06) 126.2 (19.05) 124.9 (20.18) 123.4 (11.29) Diastolic BP (mmHg) [‡] 82.52 (12.24) 80.32 (11.54) 78.02 (11.63) 76.29 (19.82) Anthropometrics Weight (kg) [‡] 66.37 (9.700) 63.99 (9.340) 57.55 (8.290) 55.70 (5.610) Height (cm) [‡] 168.1 (6.060) 167.3 (5.860) 155.7 (5.710) 155.0 (8.680) Body mass index (kg/m ²) [‡] 23.44 (2.890) 22.85 (3.020) 23.75 (3.230) 23.17 (0.060) Waist-to-height ratio [‡] 0.500 (0.050) 0.500 (0.050) 0.510 (0.060) 0.510 (6.000) Waist circumference (cm) [‡] 83.97 (7.950) 82.82 (8.620) 79.84 (9.210) 78.86 (3.030) Hip circumference (cm) 93.83 (6.200) 92.09 (6.130) 94.12 (6.760) 92.93 (1.300) Blood parameters Hemoglobin (g/dl) [‡] 15.26 (1.230) 15.13 (1.260)	Vital signs					
Systolic BP (mmHg) [‡] 128.9 (19.06) 126.2 (19.05) 124.9 (20.18) 123.4 (11.29) Diastolic BP (mmHg) [‡] 82.52 (12.24) 80.32 (11.54) 78.02 (11.63) 76.29 (19.82) Anthropometrics Weight (kg) [‡] 66.37 (9.700) 63.99 (9.340) 57.55 (8.290) 55.70 (5.610) Height (cm) [‡] 168.1 (6.060) 167.3 (5.860) 155.7 (5.710) 155.0 (8.680) Body mass index (kg/m ²) [‡] 23.44 (2.890) 22.85 (3.020) 23.75 (3.230) 23.17 (0.060) Waist-to-height ratio [‡] 0.500 (0.050) 0.500 (0.050) 0.510 (0.060) 0.510 (6.000) Waist circumference (cm) [‡] 83.97 (7.950) 82.82 (8.620) 79.84 (9.210) 78.86 (3.030) Hip circumference (cm) [‡] 93.83 (6.200) 92.09 (6.130) 94.12 (6.760) 92.93 (1.300) Blood parameters Hemoglobin (g/dl) [‡] 15.26 (1.230) 15.13 (1.260) 13.08 (1.250) 13.03 (3.640) Platelet (%) [‡] 23.44 (5.430) 23.43 (5.600) <td< td=""><td>Pulse rate per 15 sec</td><td>18.21 (2.560)</td><td>17.92 (2.270)</td><td>18.27 (2.350)</td><td>18.30 (20.18)</td></td<>	Pulse rate per 15 sec	18.21 (2.560)	17.92 (2.270)	18.27 (2.350)	18.30 (20.18)	
Diastolic BP (mmHg) [‡] 82.52 (12.24) 80.32 (11.54) 78.02 (11.63) 76.29 (19.82) Anthropometrics Weight (kg) [‡] 66.37 (9.700) 63.99 (9.340) 57.55 (8.290) 55.70 (5.610) Height (cm) [‡] 168.1 (6.060) 167.3 (5.860) 155.7 (5.710) 155.0 (8.680) Body mass index (kg/m ²) [‡] 23.44 (2.890) 22.85 (3.020) 23.75 (3.230) 23.17 (0.060) Waist-to-height ratio [‡] 0.500 (0.050) 0.500 (0.050) 0.510 (0.060) 0.510 (6.000) Waist circumference (cm) [‡] 83.97 (7.950) 82.82 (8.620) 79.84 (9.210) 78.86 (3.030) Hip circumference (cm) 93.83 (6.200) 92.09 (6.130) 94.12 (6.760) 92.93 (1.300) Blood parameters Hemoglobin (g/dl) [‡] 15.26 (1.230) 15.13 (1.260) 13.08 (1.250) 13.03 (3.640) Platelet (%) [‡] 23.44 (5.430) 23.43 (5.600) 24.49 (5.450) 23.84 (9.980) Cholesterol (mg/dl) 190.5 (37.54) 189.5 (31.37) 191.	Systolic BP (mmHg) [‡]	128.9 (19.06)	126.2 (19.05)	124.9 (20.18)	123.4 (11.29)	
Anthropometrics 66.37 (9.700) 63.99 (9.340) 57.55 (8.290) 55.70 (5.610) Height (cm) [‡] 168.1 (6.060) 167.3 (5.860) 155.7 (5.710) 155.0 (8.680) Body mass index (kg/m ²) [‡] 23.44 (2.890) 22.85 (3.020) 23.75 (3.230) 23.17 (0.060) Waist-to-height ratio [‡] 0.500 (0.050) 0.500 (0.050) 0.510 (0.060) 0.510 (6.000) Waist circumference (cm) [‡] 83.97 (7.950) 82.82 (8.620) 79.84 (9.210) 78.86 (3.030) Hip circumference (cm) 93.83 (6.200) 92.09 (6.130) 94.12 (6.760) 92.93 (1.300) Blood parameters Hemoglobin (g/dl) [‡] 15.26 (1.230) 15.13 (1.260) 13.08 (1.250) 13.03 (3.640) Platelet (%) [‡] 23.44 (5.430) 23.43 (5.600) 24.49 (5.450) 23.84 (9.980) Cholesterol (mg/dl) 190.5 (37.54) 189.5 (31.37) 191.3 (37.02) 191.3 (59.13) Triglyceride (mg/dl) [‡] 143.0 (67.63) 130.8 (58.56) 114.8 (56.18) 117.7 (12.43)	Diastolic BP (mmHg) [‡]	82.52 (12.24)	80.32 (11.54)	78.02 (11.63)	76.29 (19.82)	
Weight (kg)‡66.37 (9.700)63.99 (9.340)57.55 (8.290)55.70 (5.610)Height (cm)‡168.1 (6.060)167.3 (5.860)155.7 (5.710)155.0 (8.680)Body mass index (kg/m²)‡23.44 (2.890)22.85 (3.020)23.75 (3.230)23.17 (0.060)Waist-to-height ratio‡0.500 (0.050)0.500 (0.050)0.510 (0.060)0.510 (6.000)Waist circumference (cm)‡83.97 (7.950)82.82 (8.620)79.84 (9.210)78.86 (3.030)Hip circumference (cm)93.83 (6.200)92.09 (6.130)94.12 (6.760)92.93 (1.300)Blood parameters	Anthropometrics					
Height (cm)‡168.1 (6.060)167.3 (5.860)155.7 (5.710)155.0 (8.680)Body mass index (kg/m²)‡23.44 (2.890)22.85 (3.020)23.75 (3.230)23.17 (0.060)Waist-to-height ratio‡0.500 (0.050)0.500 (0.050)0.510 (0.060)0.510 (6.000)Waist circumference (cm)‡83.97 (7.950)82.82 (8.620)79.84 (9.210)78.86 (3.030)Hip circumference (cm)93.83 (6.200)92.09 (6.130)94.12 (6.760)92.93 (1.300)Blood parameters	Weight (kg) [‡]	66.37 (9.700)	63.99 (9.340)	57.55 (8.290)	55.70 (5.610)	
Body mass index (kg/m ²) [‡] 23.44 (2.890) 22.85 (3.020) 23.75 (3.230) 23.17 (0.060) Waist-to-height ratio [‡] 0.500 (0.050) 0.500 (0.050) 0.510 (0.060) 0.510 (6.000) Waist circumference (cm) [‡] 83.97 (7.950) 82.82 (8.620) 79.84 (9.210) 78.86 (3.030) Hip circumference (cm) 93.83 (6.200) 92.09 (6.130) 94.12 (6.760) 92.93 (1.300) Blood parameters Hemoglobin (g/dl) [‡] 15.26 (1.230) 15.13 (1.260) 13.08 (1.250) 13.03 (3.640) Platelet (%) [‡] 23.44 (5.430) 23.43 (5.600) 24.49 (5.450) 23.84 (9.980) Cholesterol (mg/dl) 190.5 (37.54) 189.5 (31.37) 191.3 (37.02) 191.3 (59.13) Triglyceride (mg/dl) [‡] 143.0 (67.63) 130.8 (58.56) 114.8 (56.18) 117.7 (12.43)	Height (cm) [‡]	168.1 (6.060)	167.3 (5.860)	155.7 (5.710)	155.0 (8.680)	
Waist-to-height ratio [‡] 0.500 (0.050) 0.500 (0.050) 0.510 (0.060) 0.510 (6.000) Waist circumference (cm) [‡] 83.97 (7.950) 82.82 (8.620) 79.84 (9.210) 78.86 (3.030) Hip circumference (cm) 93.83 (6.200) 92.09 (6.130) 94.12 (6.760) 92.93 (1.300) Blood parameters	Body mass index (kg/m ²) [‡]	23.44 (2.890)	22.85 (3.020)	23.75 (3.230)	23.17 (0.060)	
Waist circumference (cm) [‡] 83.97 (7.950) 82.82 (8.620) 79.84 (9.210) 78.86 (3.030) Hip circumference (cm) 93.83 (6.200) 92.09 (6.130) 94.12 (6.760) 92.93 (1.300) Blood parameters Hemoglobin (g/dl) [‡] 15.26 (1.230) 15.13 (1.260) 13.08 (1.250) 13.03 (3.640) Platelet (%) [‡] 23.44 (5.430) 23.43 (5.600) 24.49 (5.450) 23.84 (9.980) Cholesterol (mg/dl) 190.5 (37.54) 189.5 (31.37) 191.3 (37.02) 191.3 (59.13) Triglyceride (mg/dl) [‡] 143.0 (67.63) 130.8 (58.56) 114.8 (56.18) 117.7 (12.43)	Waist-to-height ratio [‡]	0.500 (0.050)	0.500 (0.050)	0.510 (0.060)	0.510 (6.000)	
Hip circumference (cm) 93.83 (6.200) 92.09 (6.130) 94.12 (6.760) 92.93 (1.300) Blood parameters Hemoglobin (g/dl) [‡] 15.26 (1.230) 15.13 (1.260) 13.08 (1.250) 13.03 (3.640) Platelet (%) [‡] 23.44 (5.430) 23.43 (5.600) 24.49 (5.450) 23.84 (9.980) Cholesterol (mg/dl) 190.5 (37.54) 189.5 (31.37) 191.3 (37.02) 191.3 (59.13) Triglyceride (mg/dl) [‡] 143.0 (67.63) 130.8 (58.56) 114.8 (56.18) 117.7 (12.43)	Waist circumference (cm) [‡]	83.97 (7.950)	82.82 (8.620)	79.84 (9.210)	78.86 (3.030)	
Blood parameters Image: Constraint of the state of the s	Hip circumference (cm)	93.83 (6.200)	92.09 (6.130)	94.12 (6.760)	92.93 (1.300)	
Hemoglobin (g/dl) [‡] 15.26 (1.230) 15.13 (1.260) 13.08 (1.250) 13.03 (3.640) Platelet (%) [‡] 23.44 (5.430) 23.43 (5.600) 24.49 (5.450) 23.84 (9.980) Cholesterol (mg/dl) 190.5 (37.54) 189.5 (31.37) 191.3 (37.02) 191.3 (59.13) Triglyceride (mg/dl) [‡] 143.0 (67.63) 130.8 (58.56) 114.8 (56.18) 117.7 (12.43)	Blood parameters					
Platelet (%) [‡] 23.44 (5.430) 23.43 (5.600) 24.49 (5.450) 23.84 (9.980) Cholesterol (mg/dl) 190.5 (37.54) 189.5 (31.37) 191.3 (37.02) 191.3 (59.13) Triglyceride (mg/dl) [‡] 143.0 (67.63) 130.8 (58.56) 114.8 (56.18) 117.7 (12.43)	Hemoglobin (g/dl) [‡]	15.26 (1.230)	15.13 (1.260)	13.08 (1.250)	13.03 (3.640)	
Cholesterol (mg/dl) 190.5 (37.54) 189.5 (31.37) 191.3 (37.02) 191.3 (59.13) Triglyceride (mg/dl) [‡] 143.0 (67.63) 130.8 (58.56) 114.8 (56.18) 117.7 (12.43)	Platelet (%) [‡]	23.44 (5.430)	23.43 (5.600)	24.49 (5.450)	23.84 (9.980)	
Triglyceride (mg/dl) [‡] 143.0 (67.63) 130.8 (58.56) 114.8 (56.18) 117.7 (12.43)	Cholesterol (mg/dl)	190.5 (37.54)	189.5 (31.37)	191.3 (37.02)	191.3 (59.13)	
	Triglyceride (mg/dl) [‡]	143.0 (67.63)	130.8 (58.56)	114.8 (56.18)	117.7 (12.43)	

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Index	Male		Female	
	Control	Peptic ulcer	Control	Peptic ulcer
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) [‡]	47.60 (12.69)	47.50 (10.41)	50.99 (12.26)	50.67 (33.84)
Glucose (mg/dl)	103.6 (31.41)	99.90 (24.97)	101.6 (35.35)	102.0 (5.600)
Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c, %)	5.570 (5.750)	5.170 (0.710)	5.640 (7.000)	5.420 (3.620)
Dietary intake per day				
Energy (kcal) [‡]	2204 (839.6)	2086 (858.4)	1780 (713.1)	1687 (428.8)
Water (g) [‡]	938.5 (536.1)	865.2 (464.9)	784.9 (468.0)	727.0 (89.59)
Carbohydrate (g) [‡]	351.9 (130.7)	340.5 (119.1)	310.5 (123.2)	294.5 (3.800)
Fiber (g) [‡]	7.960 (4.320)	7.420 (4.140)	7.030 (4.090)	6.350 (9.350)
Ash (g) [‡]	22.65 (12.08)	20.81 (11.28)	18.26 (10.45)	16.33 (252.2)
Calcium (mg) [‡]	553.6 (432.1)	495.6 (356.4)	476.4 (355.3)	402.8 (486.2)
Phosphorus (mg) [‡]	1228 (552.6)	1119 (523.1)	988.3 (479.0)	929.7 (7.940)
Iron (mg) [‡]	14.97 (9.450)	14.08 (8.220)	12.42 (8.590)	11.35 (2473)
Sodium (mg) [‡]	5834 (3585)	5220 (3207)	4596 (2936)	4052 (1271)
Potassium (mg) [‡]	2951 (1470)	2781 (1489)	2536 (1459)	2280 (489.8)
Vitamin A (µgRE) [‡]	717.4 (863.8)	690.2 (923.7)	583.3 (704.9)	481.2 (2814)
Vitamin B2 (Riboflavin, mg) [‡]	1.160 (0.750)	1.080 (0.820)	0.950 (0.710)	0.800 (8.900)
Vitamin C (mg)	129.7 (105.3)	128.5 (103.6)	136.9 (125.2)	118.5 (0.000)
Carotene (μg) [‡]	3726 (4675)	3791 (5477)	3064 (3777)	2576 (71.35)
Retinol (µg) [†]	78.57 (224.8)	48.76 (82.94)	61.11 (270.7)	43.49 (0.720)
Niacin (μg) [‡]	19.25 (12.09)	17.32 (10.36)	14.87 (9.700)	13.85 (99.81)

Data were collected from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (1998), Republic of Korea. The data are represented as the mean \pm standard deviation or as numbers of participants and percentages, N (%), for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. [†] p <0.05 and \pm p <0.01 between men and women using an independent two-sample t-test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183777.t001

1.328] and adjusted p = 0.0468, adjusted OR = 1.152 [1.002–1.325], respectively). Additionally, PUD was significantly related to sodium and vitamin B2 (riboflavin) intake in the crude analysis (p = 0.0025, OR = 1.245 [1.080–1.434] and p = 0.0004, OR = 1.277 [1.115–1.464], respectively), and these associations remained significant after adjustment (adjusted p = 0.0154, adjusted OR = 1.191 [1.034–1.372] and adjusted p = 0.0477, adjusted OR = 1.155 [1.001–1.333], respectively). Energy, water, carbohydrate, iron, potassium, vitamin A, carotene, and vitamin C were associated with PUD in the crude analysis, but these associations became non-significant after adjusting for confounders. Phosphorus, retinol, and niacin were not associated with PUD.

Association of PUD with anthropometrics, blood parameters, and nutrition in men

DBP was significantly associated with PUD in the crude analysis (p = 0.0198, OR = 1.209 [1.031–1.418]) and after adjusting for age, education, job, smoking, and drinking (adjusted p = 0.0212, adjusted OR = 1.208 [1.029–1.419]). PUD was not associated with SBP (p = 0.0637, OR = 1.169 [0.991–1.379]) in the crude analysis but was significantly associated after adjustment for confounders (adjusted p = 0.0042, adjusted OR = 1.276 [1.080–1.508]).

Of the anthropometric indices, HipC was associated with PUD in both the crude (p = 0.0003, OR = 1.326 [1.138–1.546]) and adjusted analyses (adjusted p = 0.0199, adjusted OR = 1.217 [1.031–1.435]). PUD was related with weight and BMI in the crude analysis

Index	Crude		Adjustment	Adjustment		
	р	OR	р	OR		
Age	<0.0001	0.770 (0.683–0.869)	-	-		
Sleep duration	0.0222	1.153 (1.021–1.303)	0.1073	1.106 (0.978–1.251)		
Pulse	0.8547	0.989 (0.876–1.116)	0.8568	0.989 (0.875–1.117)		
SBP	0.2192	1.082 (0.954–1.227)	0.0003	1.309 (1.133–1.512)		
DBP	0.0161	1.166 (1.029–1.321)	0.0001	1.303 (1.141–1.487)		
Weight	0.0003	1.265 (1.113–1.438)	0.0008	1.251 (1.098–1.426)		
Height	0.0415	1.134 (1.005–1.280)	0.8838	0.990 (0.864–1.135)		
WC	0.0834	1.116 (0.986–1.264)	0.0002	1.307 (1.137–1.502)		
BMI	0.0036	1.208 (1.064–1.372)	0.0001	1.303 (1.139–1.490)		
WHtR	0.3022	1.067 (0.943–1.207)	0.0002	1.324 (1.144–1.532)		
HipC	0.0040	1.203 (1.061–1.365)	0.0050	1.198 (1.056–1.360)		
Hemoglobin	0.5681	1.036 (0.918–1.168)	0.2349	1.077 (0.953–1.217)		
Platelet	0.0525	1.133 (0.999–1.285)	0.0234	1.159 (1.020–1.316)		
Cholesterol	0.9954	1.000 (0.885–1.129)	0.1393	1.104 (0.968–1.259)		
Triglyceride	0.4044	0.951 (0.844–1.071)	0.4637	1.050 (0.922–1.196)		
HDL cholesterol	0.6790	1.026 (0.908–1.160)	0.7729	0.982 (0.867–1.112)		
Glucose	0.8559	0.989 (0.878–1.114)	0.5506	1.040 (0.914–1.184)		
Hemoglobin A1c	0.6177	1.039 (0.894–1.207)	0.8186	1.019 (0.867–1.198)		
Energy	0.0344	1.149 (1.010–1.308)	0.2895	1.074 (0.941–1.225)		
Water	0.0441	1.147 (1.004–1.310)	0.4320	1.055 (0.923–1.206)		
Carbohydrate	0.0337	1.152 (1.011–1.312)	0.0979	1.117 (0.980–1.273)		
Fiber	0.0065	1.212 (1.055–1.391)	0.0386	1.157 (1.008–1.328)		
Ash	0.0027	1.239 (1.077–1.425)	0.0468	1.152 (1.002–1.325)		
Calcium	0.0005	1.340 (1.138–1.579)	0.0079	1.243 (1.059–1.459)		
Phosphorus	0.0492	1.141 (1.000–1.301)	0.4849	1.049 (0.918–1.198)		
Iron	0.0414	1.166 (1.006–1.351)	0.2443	1.088 (0.944–1.254)		
Sodium	0.0025	1.245 (1.080–1.434)	0.0154	1.191 (1.034–1.372)		
Potassium	0.0042	1.232 (1.068–1.420)	0.0742	1.137 (0.988–1.308)		
Vitamin A	0.0141	1.254 (1.047–1.503)	0.1325	1.140 (0.961–1.353)		
Vitamin B2 (riboflavin)	0.0004	1.277 (1.115–1.464)	0.0477	1.155 (1.001–1.333)		
Vitamin C	0.0149	1.204 (1.037–1.398)	0.1029	1.130 (0.976–1.308)		
Carotene	0.0315	1.204 (1.017–1.427)	0.1820	1.115 (0.950–1.309)		
Retinol	0.0889	1.455 (0.945–2.242)	0.4095	1.167 (0.808–1.686)		
Niacin	0.0853	1.130 (0.983–1.299)	0.6730	1.030 (0.897–1.184)		

Table 2. Association of peptic ulcer disease with anthropometric indices, blood parameters, and nutritional components in women.

The results of binary logistic regression, OR: odds ratio, adjustment for age, education, job, smoking, and drinking

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183777.t002

PLOS ONE

(p = 0.0016, OR = 1.291 [1.102-1.512] and p = 0.0088, OR = 1.232 [1.054-1.440], respectively), but these associations disappeared after adjusting for confounders.

Regarding blood parameters, triglyceride levels were associated with PUD in both the crude (p = 0.0196, OR = 1.220 [1.032–1.441]) and adjusted analyses (adjusted p = 0.0169, adjusted OR = 1.227 [1.037–1.451]); this association differed from the findings in women. Additionally, glucose was related with PUD only after adjustment (adjusted p = 0.0452, adjusted OR = 1.220 [1.004–1.483]).

Finally, for the nutritional components, PUD was associated with ash (p = 0.0484, OR = 1.190 [1.001–1.414]), phosphorus (p = 0.0109, OR = 1.253 [1.053–1.490]), and sodium

Index	Crude		Adjustment		
	р	OR	р	OR	
Age	<0.0001	0.715 (0.616–0.831)	-	-	
Sleep duration	0.8476	0.985 (0.846–1.147)	0.7070	0.972 (0.840–1.126)	
Pulse	0.1364	1.126 (0.963–1.317)	0.1477	1.123 (0.960–1.314)	
SBP	0.0637	1.169 (0.991–1.379)	0.0042	1.276 (1.080–1.508)	
DBP	0.0198	1.209 (1.031–1.418)	0.0212	1.208 (1.029–1.419)	
Weight	0.0016	1.291 (1.102–1.512)	0.0881	1.159 (0.978–1.374)	
Height	0.0740	1.147 (0.987–1.333)	0.8182	1.020 (0.864–1.204)	
wc	0.0649	1.156 (0.991–1.348)	0.1066	1.137 (0.973–1.330)	
ВМІ	0.0088	1.232 (1.054–1.440)	0.0895	1.151 (0.979–1.353)	
WHtR	0.2493	1.094 (0.939–1.275)	0.1337	1.127 (0.964–1.319)	
НірС	0.0003	1.326 (1.138–1.546)	0.0199	1.217 (1.031–1.435)	
Hemoglobin	0.1484	1.112 (0.963–1.284)	0.6467	1.036 (0.891–1.205)	
Platelet	0.9813	1.002 (0.860–1.166)	0.7822	0.979 (0.842–1.138)	
Cholesterol	0.7270	1.028 (0.882–1.198)	0.6577	1.035 (0.888–1.207)	
Triglyceride	0.0196	1.220 (1.032–1.441)	0.0169	1.227 (1.037–1.451)	
HDL cholesterol	0.9142	1.008 (0.866–1.175)	0.6235	1.041 (0.887–1.221)	
Glucose	0.1273	1.156 (0.959–1.393)	0.0452	1.220 (1.004–1.483)	
Hemoglobin A1c	0.4044	1.548 (0.554–4.319)	0.1726	2.159 (0.714–6.522)	
Energy	0.0688	1.165 (0.988–1.374)	0.3703	1.079 (0.913–1.275)	
Water	0.0745	1.168 (0.985–1.384)	0.3899	1.077 (0.909–1.277)	
Carbohydrate	0.2571	1.096 (0.935–1.285)	0.4898	1.059 (0.900–1.245)	
Fiber	0.1069	1.146 (0.971–1.352)	0.3062	1.090 (0.924–1.287)	
Ash	0.0484	1.190 (1.001–1.414)	0.2890	1.097 (0.924–1.302)	
Calcium	0.0656	1.224 (0.987–1.517)	0.2328	1.131 (0.924–1.385)	
Phosphorus	0.0109	1.253 (1.053–1.490)	0.1377	1.143 (0.958–1.363)	
Iron	0.2213	1.115 (0.936–1.328)	0.6504	1.039 (0.879–1.229)	
Sodium	0.0252	1.228 (1.026–1.470)	0.1005	1.160 (0.972–1.384)	
Potassium	0.1366	1.135 (0.961–1.341)	0.6270	1.042 (0.883–1.230)	
Vitamin A	0.6870	1.034 (0.878–1.219)	0.6362	0.963 (0.826–1.124)	
Vitamin B2 (riboflavin)	0.1685	1.119 (0.954–1.313)	0.9771	1.002 (0.852–1.179)	
Vitamin C	0.8809	1.012 (0.868–1.180)	0.5860	0.958 (0.821–1.118)	
Carotene	0.8605	0.987 (0.852–1.143)	0.3341	0.933 (0.811–1.074)	
Retinol	0.0199	1.711 (1.089–2.688)	0.1032	1.414 (0.932–2.144)	
Niacin	0.0372	1.218 (1.012–1.465)	0.2360	1.114 (0.932–1.332)	

Table 3. Association of peptic ulcer disease with anthropometric indices, blood parameters, and nutritional components in men.

The results of binary logistic regression, OR: odds ratio, adjustment for age, education, job, smoking, and drinking

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183777.t003

PLOS ONE

(p = 0.0252, OR = 1.228 [1.026-1.470]) in the crude analysis but not after adjustment for confounders. Additionally, retinol and niacin were related to PUD (p = 0.0199, OR = 1.711 [1.089–2.688] and p = 0.0372, OR = 1.218 [1.012–1.465], respectively) in the crude analysis, but these associations disappeared after adjustment.

Discussion

PUD is a major condition affecting the digestive system [1, 2]. In the present study, we identified the associations of PUD with anthropometric indices, blood parameters, and nutritional components in Korean men and women.

Anthropometric indices have been used in studies assessing various diseases including hypertension, diabetes, hypertriglyceridemia, hyper-LDL-cholesterolemia and hypo-HDLcholesterolemia, dyslipidemia, and albuminuria [42-44]. Previous studies have examined the association of PUD with anthropometric indices such as BMI, WC, and WHtR. However, these associations remain controversial. Several studies have reported that PUD is associated with anthropometric indices [15-17], whereas others have suggested that they are not related [2, 18, 19]. Recently, Boylan and colleagues [16] examined the association of PUD with BMI and WHtR in a prospective cohort study called the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study, and they found that BMI and WHtR were risk factors of PUD. Additionally, Kalichman and colleagues [15] evaluated the association of PUD, diabetes, hypertension, and ischemic heart disease with anthropometric indices in Russian men and women, and they showed that PUD and gastritis were strongly associated with BMI, WC, and WHtR. Weatherall and colleague [17] reported that BMI was positively associated with ischemic heart disease, diabetes mellitus, and blood pressure in middle-aged British men and that PUD was inversely associated with BMI. However, Tsai and colleagues [18] observed a nonsignificant effect of BMI on PUD in Taiwanese men and women. Rosmond and colleagues [19] examined that relationship between PUD and anthropometric indices in Swedish men and argued that PUD was not associated with BMI or WHtR. Furthermore, Cheng and colleagues [2] did not find an association between PUD and BMI in US adults. Our findings are consistent with the results of previous studies [15-17]; specifically, we found that weight, HipC, and BMI in women and HipC in men were highly associated with PUD in both the crude and adjusted analyses. Therefore, we suggest that PUD may be associated with anthropometric indices such as weight, BMI, and HipC in women, but not in men, although there was not a strong association between PUD and anthropometric indices in the Korean population.

Regarding nutritional components, some studies have argued that high dietary fiber intake is associated with PUD, gastric cancer, and gastroesophageal reflux disease, when compared with low dietary fiber intake [20-23, 45], and that intake of vitamin A and C is related to PUD [21, 22, 25]. Anderson and colleagues [20] suggested that a high intake of dietary fiber reduced the risk of gastrointestinal and duodenal ulcer, coronary heart disease, diabetes, obesity, and stroke. Additionally, Ryan-Harshman and Aldoori [21] documented that a high-fiber diet and soluble fiber intake appeared to decrease the risk of duodenal ulcer. Aldoori and colleagues [22] reported that dietary fiber intake was inversely related to the risk of duodenal ulcer in US men when comparing the highest and lowest quintiles of dietary fiber intake. Furthermore, they demonstrated that soluble fiber was highly associated with a reduced risk of duodenal ulcer. The findings of previous studies are consistent with the results of our study in women, but not in men. In the present study, fiber was associated with PUD in women in the crude and adjusted analyses, but it was not associated with PUD in men. In another study, Aldoori and colleagues [22] found that vitamins A and E were associated with the risk of duodenal ulcer and that vitamin A and fiber could possibly reduce the development of duodenal ulcer. They argued that vitamin B2 and potassium were inversely associated with the risk of duodenal ulcer. Miyake and colleagues [24] reported that a lack of water-soluble vitamins could accelerate the development of PUD in Japanese adults. Additionally, Aditi and Graham [25] documented that Vitamin C (ascorbic acid) plays a very important role in the conservation and treatment of the gastric mucosa and that deficiency in vitamin C has repeatedly been linked with PUD. Our findings in women are consistent with the results of previous studies [22, 24, 25]. In this study, fiber, vitamin B2 (riboflavin), sodium, and ash were significantly associated with PUD in women in both the crude and adjusted analyses. However, in men, our results do

not agree with previous findings. Interestingly, our analysis of nutritional components showed that calcium had the strongest association with PUD in women in both the crude and adjusted analyses.

In a previous study, Kuri-Morales and colleagues [26] examined the prevalence of stroke, diabetes, and PUD according to age in both Mexican men and women. They showed that the prevalence of PUD in men and women increased with increasing age. Additionally, Del Vecchio Blanco [46] reported that PUD increased with age in Italian men and women. Sonnenberg and colleagues [3] reported that the occurrence of duodenal ulcer and gastric ulcer was the same in adult US men and women and that age, smoking, and education were associated with PUD. However, Cheng and colleagues [2] assessed the association of physical activity with the incidence of PUD in US men and women and could not find an association of age with any type of ulcer disease. The findings of our study are consistent with the results of previous studies [3, 26, 46]; of all the variables assessed in this study, age was the most strongly associated with PUD in both women and men.

Ko and colleagues [28] assessed the association between sleep duration and PUD in Korean men and women and reported that women who slept for more than 9 hours were significantly less likely to have PUD than those who slept 7 hours; additionally, men with a sleep duration of more than 9 hours tended to have a reduced risk of PUD. The results of this previous study [28] do not agree with our findings. In our study, although sleep duration was associated with PUD in the crude analysis, the association was not significant after adjusting for age, education, job, smoking, and drinking. Additionally, in men, sleep duration was not associated with PUD in either the crude or adjusted analyses.

Regarding the association between blood pressure and PUD, Segawa and colleagues [47] reported that DBP differed significantly between the PUD group and normal group in Japanese women and that SBP and DBP were inversely associated with the incidence of gastric and duodenal ulcer in men. By contrast, Sonnenberg [48] argued that duodenal ulcer was not a risk factor for diseases associated with hypertension in German. We found that DBP in women and men was associated with PUD in the crude and adjusted analyses, whereas SBP in women and men was associated with PUD in the adjusted analysis only.

Finally, our findings regarding blood parameters differed between women and men. None of the blood parameters was associated with PUD in women in the crude and adjusted analyses, whereas triglycerides were associated with PUD in men in both the crude and adjusted analyses.

The present study has several limitations. First, this study used a cross-sectional design, and therefore the causal relationships between PUD and the studied variables could not be ascertained. Second, the results may have been affected by a number of biases including recall bias, survey bias, sampling bias and confounding. Third, our findings may not be consistent with results from other countries because characteristics such as dietary behaviors and the prevalence of PUD vary among different locations. Finally, we focused on PUD criteria including gastritis, gastric ulcer, and duodenal ulcer in this study. Therefore, the limitations of this study include the relatively small sample size and short study duration because the analysis is based only on the KNHANES I data set. As a next step in our research, we will explore the differences in nutritional components, lifestyles, and anthropometric indices according to different diagnostic criteria of KNHANES I and KNHANES II-VI by using a large-scale representative data set (KNHANES II-VI). Despite these limitations, to our knowledge, this is the first national study on the associations of PUD with anthropometric indices, blood parameters, and nutrition in a representative Korean population.

Conclusions

In the present study, we evaluated the association of PUD with anthropometrics, blood parameters, lifestyle, and nutrition in the Korean population. Our findings suggest that age is the factor most strongly associated with PUD in Korean adults, that obesity is associated with PUD, that the association between nutritional components and PUD is greater in women than in men, and that there are few relationships between blood parameters and PUD. In summary, our main findings are as follows. 1) Increased age was a strong risk factor for PUD in both Korean women and men. 2) In anthropometric indices related to obesity, decreased weight, BMI, and HipC values corresponded to an elevated risk of PUD in Korean women, and decreased HipC values corresponded to an increased risk of PUD in Korean men. 3) Regarding nutritional components, increased intake of dietary calcium decreased the risk of PUD in women, but not in men. Additionally, increased intake of dietary fiber, ash, sodium, and vitamin B2 decreased the risk of PUD in women. These results indicate that anthropometrics, lifestyle, and nutrition could play a significant role in PUD in Korean adults. Furthermore, the findings provide useful information for PUD screening tools and could improve public health efforts to address PUD.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Sample selection procedure used in this study. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

Data are available from the First Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES I), conducted by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDCP), and are freely available from KCDCP (https://knhanes.cdc.go.kr).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Bum Ju Lee.

Data curation: Jihye Kim, Keun Ho Kim, Bum Ju Lee.

Formal analysis: Jihye Kim, Bum Ju Lee.

Funding acquisition: Keun Ho Kim.

Investigation: Jihye Kim, Bum Ju Lee.

Methodology: Bum Ju Lee.

Project administration: Keun Ho Kim.

Resources: Keun Ho Kim.

Validation: Bum Ju Lee.

Writing - original draft: Jihye Kim, Bum Ju Lee.

Writing - review & editing: Bum Ju Lee.

References

Aro P, Storskrubb T, Ronkainen J, Bolling-Sternevald E, Engstrand L, Vieth M, et al. Peptic ulcer disease in a general adult population: the Kalixanda study: a random population-based study. Am J Epidemiol. 2006; 163(11): 1025–1034. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwj129 PMID: 16554343

- 2. Cheng Y, Macera CA, Davis DR, Blair SN. Physical activity and peptic ulcers. Does physical activity reduce the risk of developing peptic ulcers? West J Med. 2000; 173(2): 101–107. PMID: 10924430
- Sonnenberg A, Everhart JE. The prevalence of self-reported peptic ulcer in the United States. Am J Public Health. 1996; 86(2): 200–205. PMID: 8633736
- Torpy JM, Lynm C, Golub RM. JAMA patient page. Peptic ulcer disease. JAMA. 2012; 307(12): 1329. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.184 PMID: 22453575
- 5. Bae SJ, Kim DS, Kim KM, Park CM, Kim HY: Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service (HIRA). Studies on the occurrence of peptic ulcer disease and health care utilization patterns in Korean. A research report of HIRA (2010–05). 25 June 2010. https://www.hira.or.kr/dummy.do?pgmid= HIRAA020045010000&cmsurl=/cms/medi_info/07/03/01/stats_data.html&subject=%3Cspan%3E%ED %86%B5%EA%B3%84%EC%9E%90%EB%A3%8C%EC%8B%A4%3C%2fspan%3E. Cited 3 April 2017.
- Blaser MJ, Chyou PH, Nomura A. Age at establishment of Helicobacter pylori infection and gastric carcinoma, gastric ulcer, and duodenal ulcer risk. Cancer Res. 1995; 55(3): 562–556. PMID: 7834625
- 7. Nomura A, Stemmermann GN, Chyou PH, Perez-Perez GI, Blaser MJ. Helicobacter pylori infection and the risk for duodenal and gastric ulceration. Ann Intern Med. 1994; 120(12): 977–981. PMID: 7741826
- Taylor DN, Blaser MJ. The epidemiology of Helicobacter pylori infection. Epidemiol Rev. 1991; 13: 42– 59. PMID: 1765119
- Kurata JH, Nogawa AN. Meta-analysis of risk factors for peptic ulcer. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, Helicobacter pylori, and smoking. J Clin Gastroenterol. 1997; 24(1): 2–17. PMID: 9013343
- Jones MP. The role of psychosocial factors in peptic ulcer disease: beyond Helicobacter pylori and NSAIDs. J Psychosom Res. 2006; 60(4): 407–412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2005.08.009 PMID: 16581366
- Everhart JE, Byrd-Holt D, Sonnenberg A. Incidence and risk factors for self-reported peptic ulcer disease in the United States. Am J Epidemiol. 1998; 147(6): 529–536. PMID: 9521179
- Deding U, Ejlskov L, Grabas MP, Nielsen BJ, Torp-Pedersen C, Bøggild H. Perceived stress as a risk factor for peptic ulcers: a register-based cohort study. BMC Gastroenterol. 2016; 16(1): 140. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12876-016-0554-9 PMID: 27894275
- Levenstein S. Stress and peptic ulcer: life beyond Helicobacter. BMJ. 1998; 316(7130): 538–541. PMID: 9501720
- Raybould HE. Gut microbiota, epithelial function and derangements in obesity. J Physiol. 2012; 590(3): 441–446. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011.222133 PMID: 22183718
- Kalichman L, Livshits G, Kobyliansky E. Indices of body composition and chronic morbidity: a cross-sectional study of a rural population in central Russia. Am J Hum Biol. 2006; 18(3): 350–358. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.20506 PMID: 16634021</u>
- Boylan MR, Khalili H, Huang ES, Chan AT. Measures of adiposity are associated with increased risk of peptic ulcer. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014; 12(10): 1688–1694. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2014</u>. 03.021 PMID: 24681076
- Weatherall R, Shaper AG. Overweight and obesity in middle-aged British men. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1988; 42 (3): 221–231. PMID: 3383826
- Tsai WL, Yang CY, Lin SF, Fang FM. Impact of obesity on medical problems and quality of life in Taiwan. Am J Epidemiol. 2004; 160(6): 557–565. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwh251 PMID: 15353416
- Rosmond R, Lapidus L, Mårin P, Björntorp P. Mental distress, obesity and body fat distribution in middle-aged men. Obes Res. 1996; 4(3): 245–252. PMID: 8732958
- Anderson JW, Baird P, Davis RH Jr, Ferreri S, Knudtson M, Koraym A, et al. Health benefits of dietary fiber. Nutr Rev. 2009; 67(4): 188–205. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.2009.00189.x PMID: 19335713
- 21. Ryan-Harshman M, Aldoori W. How diet and lifestyle affect duodenal ulcers. Review of the evidence. Can Fam Physician. 2004; 50: 727–732. PMID: <u>15171675</u>
- Aldoori WH, Giovannucci EL, Stampfer MJ, Rimm EB, Wing AL, Willett WC. Prospective study of diet and the risk of duodenal ulcer in men. Am J Epidemiol. 1997; 145(1): 42–50. PMID: 8982021
- El-Serag HB, Satia JA, Rabeneck L. Dietary intake and the risk of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a cross sectional study in volunteers. Gut. 2005; 54(1): 11–17. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2004.040337 PMID: 15591498
- Miyake K, Akimoto T, Kusakabe M, Sato W, Yamada A, Yamawaki H, et al. Water-soluble vitamin deficiencies in complicated peptic ulcer patients soon after ulcer onset in Japan. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo). 2013; 59(6): 503–508.

- Aditi A, Graham DY. Vitamin C, gastritis, and gastric disease: a historical review and update. Dig Dis Sci. 2012; 57(10): 2504–2515. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-012-2203-7 PMID: 22543844
- 26. Kuri-Morales P, Emberson J, Alegre-Díaz J, Tapia-Conyer R, Collins R, Peto R, et al. The prevalence of chronic diseases and major disease risk factors at different ages among 150,000 men and women living in Mexico City: cross-sectional analyses of a prospective study. BMC Public Health. 2009; 9: 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-9 PMID: 19134207</u>
- Schöön IM, Mellström D, Odén A, Ytterberg BO. Peptic ulcer disease in older age groups in Gothenburg in 1985: the association with smoking. Age Ageing. 1991; 20(5): 371–376. PMID: <u>1755394</u>
- Ko SH, Baeg MK, Ko SY, Han KD. Women Who Sleep More Have Reduced Risk of Peptic Ulcer Disease; Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2008–2009). Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 36925. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36925 PMID: 27830741
- 29. Ministry of Health and Social Welfare, National Health and Nutrition Survey. Report on 1998 First National Health and Nutrition Survey (approval no. 11702). National Health and Nutrition Survey. Updated 3 Jan 2014. http://cdc.go.kr/CDC/contents/CdcKrContentView.jsp?cid=60942&menuIds=HOME001-MNU1130-MNU1639-MNU1748-MNU1754.
- **30.** Kweon S, Kim Y, Jang MJ, Kim Y, Kim K, Choi S, et al. Data resource profile: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). Int J Epidemiol. 2014; 43(1):69–77. https://doi.org/10. 1093/ije/dyt228 PMID: 24585853
- Yun S, Kim HJ, Oh K. Trends in energy intake among Korean adults, 1998–2015: Results from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Nutr Res Pract. 2017; 11(2):147–154. https:// doi.org/10.4162/nrp.2017.11.2.147 PMID: 28386388
- Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Second—Fourth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES II, III and IV), 2001–2009.
- Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Fifth—Sixth Fourth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES V and VI), 2010–2015.
- Rauws EA, Tytgat GN. Cure of duodenal ulcer associated with eradication of Helicobacter pylori. Lancet. 1990; 335(8700):1233–1235. PMID: 1971318
- Malfertheiner P, Chan FK, McColl KE. Peptic ulcer disease. Lancet. 2009; 374(9699):1449–1461. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60938-7 PMID: 19683340
- Malfertheiner P, Leodolter A, Peitz U. Cure of Helicobacter pylori-associated ulcer disease through eradication. Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2000; 14(1):119–132. PMID: 10749093
- Park HS, Kim SM, Lee JS, Lee J, Han JH, Yoon DK, et al. Prevalence and trends of metabolic syndrome in Korea: Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey 1998–2001. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007; 9(1): 50–58. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1326.2005.00569.x PMID: 17199718
- Lee BJ, Kim JY. Indicators of hypertriglyceridemia from anthropometric measures based on data mining. Comput Biol Med. 2015; 57: 201–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2014.12.005 PMID: 25591048
- Brambilla P, Bedogni G, Moreno LA, Goran MI, Gutin B, Fox KR, et al. Crossvalidation of anthropometry against magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue in children. Int J Obes (Lond). 2006; 30(1): 23–30.
- Lee BJ, Kim JY. Identification of Hemoglobin Levels Based on Anthropometric Indices in Elderly Koreans. PLoS One. 2016; 11(11): e0165622. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165622</u> PMID: 27812118
- Lee BJ, Ku B, Nam J, Pham DD, Kim JY. Prediction of fasting plasma glucose status using anthropometric measures for diagnosing type 2 diabetes. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. 2014; 18(2): 555–561. https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2013.2264509 PMID: 24608055
- Ko GT, Chan JC, Cockram CS, Woo J. Prediction of hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia or albuminuria using simple anthropometric indexes in Hong Kong Chinese. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999; 23(11): 1136–1142. PMID: 10578203
- Lee BJ, Kim JY. Identification of the Best Anthropometric Predictors of Serum High- and Low-Density Lipoproteins Using Machine Learning. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. 2015; 19(5): 1747–1756. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2014.2350014</u> PMID: 25148675
- Lee BJ, Kim JY. A comparison of the predictive power of anthropometric indices for hypertension and hypotension risk. PLoS One. 2014; 9(1): e84897. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084897 PMID: 24465449
- Wu AH, Tseng CC, Hankin J, Bernstein L. Fiber intake and risk of adenocarcinomas of the esophagus and stomach. Cancer Causes Control. 2007; 18(7): 713–722. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-007-9014-8 PMID: 17562192</u>

- 46. Del Vecchio Blanco C, Domenico T. Can advanced age influence the characteristics of peptic gastric ulcer? Italian Multicenter Study Group for Gastric Ulcer. Gastrointest Endosc. 1993; 39(1): 50–53. PMID: 8454145
- Segawa K, Niwa Y, Arisawa T, Kato T, Goto H, Hamajima E, et al. Incidence of peptic ulcer in men is inversely correlated with blood pressure: study in an apparently healthy Japanese population. Am J Gastroenterol. 1995; 90(3): 399–402. PMID: 7872277
- Sonnenberg A. Concordant occurrence of gastric and hypertensive diseases. Gastroenterology. 1988; 95(1): 42–48. PMID: 3371623