DOI: 10.19102/icrm.2020.110606



DEVICE THERAPY

SUBCUTANEOUS IMPLANTABLE CARDIOVERTER-DEFIBRILLATION

EXPERT COMMENTARY

Same-day Subcutaneous Implantable Cardioverter-defibrillator Placement and Discharge: Another Step Toward Outpatient Electrophysiology

CHRISTOPHER R. ELLIS, MD, FACC, FHRS1

¹Cardiovascular Division, Vanderbilt Heart and Vascular Institute, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

KEYWORDS. Same-day discharge, subcutaneous implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, telemedicine.

ISSN 2156-3977 (print) ISSN 2156-3993 (online) CC BY 4.0 license

© 2020 Innovations in Cardiac Rhythm Management

Subcutaneous implantable cardioverter-defibrillators (ICDs) (S-ICDs) have slowly been gaining a reputation as a cardiac implantable electronic device option that imparts a lower surgical risk, particularly among younger patients requiring ventricular fibrillation therapy or those patients with multiple comorbid illnesses who are at high risk for adverse outcomes if given transvenous systems. Though we have not yet seen a landmark randomized trial of comparison, existing registry and prospective cohort studies do favor^{1,2} S-ICDs over transvenous ICDs when considering the risks for lead fracture, lead dislodgement, pneumothorax, and venous thrombosis. Meanwhile, although the risk of infection (ie, in the device pocket) may be comparable between the two modalities, 1,2 the risk of endocarditis should be expected to be nearly nonexistent with the S-ICD given the avoidance of vascular access. However, the cost of the S-ICD does come at a small premium and there are also the additional concerns of general anesthesia and hospitalization for postoperative pain control adding to the perceived expenditures, which may act as a barrier to adoption in some centers.

The author reports no conflicts of interest for the published content. Address correspondence to: Christopher R. Ellis, MD, FACC, FHRS, Vanderbilt Heart and Vascular Institute, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 5414 Medical Center East 5th Floor, 1211 21st Avenue South, Nashville, TN 37232-8802, USA. Email: christopher.ellis@vumc.org.

In this issue of The Journal of Innovations in Cardiac Rhythm Management, the results of a small series of patients at Riverside Hospital in Columbus, Ohio are reported. Among patients (n = 24) who underwent S-ICD, half of whom were sent home on the same day as device implantation (n = 13), Swinning et al.,³ found no specific increased risk. A reasonable health care system cost savings of \$1,664.48 per patient was reaped with the protocol of same-day early discharge after S-ICD implantation. In such a study, specific details matter, with patients being excluded if they lived more than 30 miles away from an emergency room, if they did not have a driver who could stay overnight with them at home, or if their procedure was completed after 1:00 pm. Based on a heavy male bias (83% male), one could suspect that sex was also an exclusion or played a role in the perceived pain tolerance and stability of the patient that made them eligible for same-day discharge, although the sample size is too small to draw definitive conclusions of this kind.

In our experience, the most likely issue to appear after S-ICD implantation is local site pain, which can be affected by patient size or body mass index⁴ or by an individual's pain tolerance, which is driven both by psychological⁵ and physiological⁶ factors. Longer-acting and carefully placed local anesthesia is key to better pain outcomes during the first six to 12 hours postsurgery. Swinning et al. do not mention a specific protocol for adjunctive therapy such as the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs like ketorolac or ibuprofen on a scheduled basis, which could

help to alleviate late inflammatory pain effects. Also, it would be useful to assess the impact of interrupted direct oral anticoagulants/novel oral anticoagulants or oral anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy on the decision to pursue same-day discharge as well as any impact that may occur on the rate of presentation of delayed hematoma requiring evaluation or management.

It is important to note this study was performed before the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and the resultant widespread adoption of telemedicine visits for clinic, consults, and other meetings to avoid risking exposure of both patients and providers alike to the virus. Still, the authors' use of telemedicine visits for wound checks and patient assessments as an element of routine care following S-ICD placement or to triage issues that arose in the early postoperative period among same-day discharge patients is to be commended. Both this study and the data we are now gathering from efforts to continue patient care during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic support the potential for greater telemedicine adoption in medicine, potentially leading to an earlier diagnosis of problems and subsequent reductions in disease severity or complications. More specifically, in the area of cardiac electrophysiology, this study clearly marks another step toward alleviating hospital stay expenses and mobility limitations together with the other research available on same-day discharge after cardiac resynchronization therapy device implantation,8 laser lead extraction (personal experience), atrial fibrillation ablation,⁹ and left atrial appendage closure.¹⁰

References

- Brouwer TF, Yilmaz D, Lindeboom R, et al. Long-term clinical outcomes of subcutaneous versus transvenous implantable defibrillator therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68(19):2047–2055.
- Basu-Ray I, Liu J, Jia X, et al. Subcutaneous versus transvenous implantable defibrillator therapy: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*. 2017;3(13):1475–1483.
- 3. Swinning J, Fox K, Billakanty S, et al. Same-day discharge after subcutaneous implantable cardioverter-defibrillator implantation is safe and cost-effective. *J Innov Cardiac Rhythm Manage*. 2020;11(6):4123–4125.
- 4. Totonchi Z, Seifi S, Chitsazan M, et al. Pain location and intensity during the first week following coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2013;4(1):e10386.
- 5. Bobey MJ, Davidson PO. Psychological factors affecting pain tolerance. *J Psychosom Res.* 1970;14(4):371–376.
- Coghill RC, McHaffie JG, Yen YF. Neural correlates of interindividual differences in the subjective experience of pain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100(14):8538–8542.
- 7. Mann DM, Chen J, Chunara R, Testa PA, Nov O. COVID-19 transforms health care through telemedicine: evidence from the field. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2020 Apr 23. pii: ocaa072 [Epub ahead of print].
- 8. Atherton G, McAloon CJ, Chohan B, et al. Safety and cost-effectiveness of same-day cardiac resynchronization therapy and implantable cardioverter defibrillator implantation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2016;117(9):1488–1493.
- 9. Bartoletti S, Mann M, Gupta A, et al. Same-day discharge in selected patients undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2019;42(11):1448–1455.
- 10. Gilhofer TS, Inohara T, Parsa A, et al. Safety and feasibility of same-day discharge after left atrial appendage closure. *Can J Cardiol*. 2020 Feb 12. [Epub ahead of print].