



Polymorphism of *MUC1* Gene in Vietnamese Gastric Cancer Patients: A Multicenter Case–Control Study

Ngoc-Lan Thi Nguyen^{1,2†}, Ngoc-Dzung Thi Dang^{1,2†}, Quang-Huy Dang³, Van-Chuc Tran¹, Hoang-Long Vo⁴, Masamitsu Yamaguchi⁵ and Thanh-Van Ta^{1,2*}

¹ Biochemistry Department, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam, ² Clinical Laboratory, Hanoi Medical University Hospital, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam, ³ Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Faculty of Medical Technology, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam, ⁴ Department of Scientific Research and International Cooperation, Hanoi Medical University Hospital, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam, ⁵ Department of Applied Biology, Advanced Insect Research Promotion Center, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Kyoto, Japan

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Obul Reddy Bandapalli, Hopp Children's Cancer Center Heidelberg (KiTZ), Germany

Reviewed by:

Pradeep Kumar, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India Thomas Wex, Med. Lab. Prof. Schenk/Dr. Ansorge & Colleagues, Germany

*Correspondence:

Thanh-Van Ta tathanhvan@hmu.edu.vn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 14 April 2021 Accepted: 10 August 2021 Published: 31 August 2021

Citation:

Nguyen N-LT, Dang N-DT, Dang Q-H, Tran V-C, Vo H-L, Yamaguchi M and Ta T-V (2021) Polymorphism of MUC1 Gene in Vietnamese Gastric Cancer Patients: A Multicenter Case–Control Study. Front. Oncol. 11:694977. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.694977 **Background:** A few studies revealed that the polymorphisms of *Mucin 1* gene have a role and significance as a susceptible factor contributing to gastric cancer. To better understand the roles of two *MUC1* genotype polymorphisms of rs4072037 and rs2070803 in the development of gastric cancer in Vietnamese population, a multicenter, large-sample, casecontrol study was conducted to investigate the potential association of these singlenucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of *MUC1* gene with gastric cancer risk and to evaluate the combination factors in relation with these SNPs.

Methods: This case–control study included 302 gastric cancer patients and 304 controls at four national medical hospitals between 2016 and 2018. All participants were interviewed for sociodemographic characteristics, smoking and drinking status, and personal and family history of gastric diseases. Genotyping was done using polymerase chain reaction–restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. The association of SNPs with gastric cancer was explored using logistic regression models.

Results: AA genotype for rs4072037 was significantly associated with increased gastric cancer. Those with AA genotype had higher gastric cancer risk than had patients with AG (OR: 2.09, 95% CI: 1.48–2.96) and a combination of AG+GG (OR: 1.85, 95% CI: 1.33–2.56). In rs2070803, GG genotype increased gastric cancer risk when compared with AG (OR: 1.97, 95% CI: 1.39–2.80) and AG+AA (OR: 1.71, 95% CI: 1.23–2.39). AG genotypes in both SNPs decreased gastric cancer risk when compared with homogenous genotype, more specifically AA (OR: 0.51, 95% CI: 0.35–0.72) and GG (OR: 0.58, 95% CI: 0.35–0.97). These genotypes in combination with above-60-year-old age, male gender, alcoholism, and personal history of gastric disease were also significantly elevated risk factors for gastric cancer.

Conclusions: rs4072037 and rs2070803 of *Mucin 1* genes are two genotypic risk factors for gastric cancer. Those in combination with gender, family history, smoking, and drinking habits significantly increase the risk of gastric cancer.

Keywords: gastric cancer, polymorphism, rs4072037, rs2070803, MUC1 gene, Mucin 1 gene

1

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer, the fourth most common cancer worldwide and the second leading cause of cancer death, was known as a heterogeneous, multifactorial, highly malignant type of cancer (1). Whereas the burden of gastric cancer is no longer common in North America and in most Western European countries, it still remains great in Asia (1). Vietnam, a lower middle-income country in Southeast Asia with the shortcomings existing regarding the quality and resources of clinical practice in hospital-based conditions, was illustrated by the high incidence rate and poor prognosis of the disease that accounted for about 18,000 new cases and 15,000 deaths in 2018 (2). The multistep process of gastric carcinogenesis starts from chronic inflammation, followed by multifocal atrophic gastritis with intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia, and ends with gastric adenocarcinoma (3). Wellestablished pathological and environmental risk factors of gastric cancer include Helicobacter pylori (3, 4), smoking (5), and poor diet (6). In recent years, special focus has been shifted to the identification of genetic factors, characterized by single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), which exist in large numbers in the human genome (7-9). Several genome-wide association studies have indicated the association between gastric cancer and SNPs of Mucin 1 (MUC1) gene, which codes for the cell surface glycoprotein mucin-1 and is used in clinical practice settings as tumor marker C15-3, in various Asian and European populations (7, 10, 11), besides two SNPs rs4072037 and rs2070803 of MUC1 gene that were found to be associated with increased gastric cancer susceptibility. Despite a high incidence of gastric cancer in Vietnam, genetic association study with a particular interest in the association between gene SNPs and gastric cancer in Vietnamese population is, to date, still very sparse (12). Each population of gastric cancer in each country has its own unique environment- and lifestyle-related and individual characteristics. Gastric cancer, as with other neoplastic diseases, also follows a polygenic disease model, with multiple genes implicated across the populations. Different populations presented with different genetic compositions, which in turn meant different gene-gene interaction and geneenvironment interaction. It is important that we replicate the study about MUC1 polymorphism in Vietnamese population to understand with certainty whether it has different effects on the risk of developing gastric cancer and, in turn, developing a populationspecific genetic panel for gastric cancer screening. It is, therefore, with great advances in our understanding of the genetic basis of gastric cancer, necessary to take advantage of the advancement in molecular targeted treatment technologies to gain an understanding of the genetic factors associated with the disease in order to develop new and more efficient therapeutic targets. To better understand the roles of two MUC1 genotype polymorphisms of rs4072037 and rs2070803 in the development of gastric cancer in Vietnamese population, we sought to investigate the potential association of these SNPs of *MUC1* gene with gastric cancer risk and evaluate the combination factors in relation with these SNPs.

METHODS

Study Design and Participants

This case-control study was conducted at four national medical hospitals (Hanoi Medical University Hospital, National Cancer Hospital, 108 Military Central Hospital, and Vietnam-Germany Hospital) in Hanoi, Vietnam. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Council of Hanoi Medical University (decision number 198/HĐĐĐĐHYHN). This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants before they were interviewed. We included 302 cases and 304 controls from 2016 to 2018. The diagnosis of Vietnamese with gastric cancer was based on the histopathology confirmation for eligibility as cases. Regarding the cases in this study, we included newly diagnosed, untreated, and treated patients. The selected control group was composed of people endoscopically diagnosed with normal epithelial gastric or only acute gastritis. Our patients were selected independently from four institutions and were not blood relatives.

Each participant was scheduled for an interview with trained interviewers. Environmental information of study participants was collected, including gender, age, educational status, occupation, personal and family's medical history, alcohol usage, and smoking habit. The variables of personal history were collected based on specific interview questions. Personal history of a disease was documented only when the patients have been diagnosed by the doctor. In particular, personal history of H. pylori was assessed based on i) asking about a history of whether they were diagnosed with H. pylori before, ii) information gathered from medical records, and iii) IgG serology test. The study patients were documented with the personal history of H. pylori if one of the three above results was positive, whereas the patients have no history of H. pylori infection if all three of the above results are negative. Family history of study participants included the family history of gastric cancer, the number of family members diagnosed with gastric cancer, their time of diagnosis, and their relationship with the participants. Smoking history and alcohol abuse history were investigated using the standards following the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the WHO, respectively (13, 14).

DNA Extraction

Peripheral venous blood samples in EDTA containers were acquired from all subjects and were stored in appropriate condition before being transported to the Quality Control Center for Medical Laboratories, Hanoi Medical University, for gene analysis. We extracted the genomic DNA from peripheral blood lymphocytes using ExgeneTM Blood SV Kit (GeneAll, Korea). Following the manufacturer's protocol, we isolated the genomic DNA and stored it at -80°C until SNP analysis of *MUC1* gene.

Fifty percent of the samples were sent to the Kyoto Institute of Technology for gene analysis using the same method, and 10% of the samples were analyzed in both laboratories for cross-checking.

Abbreviations: *MUC1*, *Mucin 1*; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; PCR-RFLP, polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; *H. pylori*, *Helicobactor pylori*; *TRIM46*, *Tripartite Motif Containing 46*; *SULT1A1*, *Sulfotransferase Family 1A Member 1*; *COX-2*, *cyclooxygenase-2*; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

Detection of MUC1 Gene Polymorphism

The two *MUC1* genotype polymorphisms (rs4072037 and rs2070803) were determined by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method with PCR primer pairs listed in **Table 1**.

The PCR was carried out in a 30 µl reaction mix containing 100 ng of DNA template, 1 unit of Taq Mastermix (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA, USA), 0.5 µM of forward primer, and 0.5 µM of reverse primer. The PCR was carried out in a thermocycler, and reaction conditions consisted of 95°C denaturation for 5 min, 94°C annealing for 30 s, 62°C annealing for 30 s, 72°C annealing for 30 s (40 cycles), and 72°C elongation for 10 min. The PCR products were digested with appropriate restriction enzymes (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA, USA) at 37°C for 8 h. The restriction enzymes were *Alw*NI (rs4072037 G/A) and *Taq*aI (rs2070803 G/A). The PCR and digestion products were analyzed with a 1.5% agarose (Serva, Germany) gel with intercalating dye (ethidium bromide) staining. Selected PCR-amplified DNA samples (about 5%) were analyzed by DNA sequencing (**Figure 1**).

TABLE 1 | Specific primers (IDT, USA) according to MUC1 polymorphisms.

rs4072037 rs2070803 5'-AAGGCCTATGGGCAGAGAGA-3' (forward) 5'-ACGCTGCTGGTCATACTCAC-3' (reverse) 5'-CTTAGCTGTCCGGGTGTGAAGT-3' (forward) 5'-TGTGGTTCTAGGCAGGAGCAAC-3' (reverse)

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using Stata 12.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). All data first underwent a visual inspection for coding errors, outliers, or funky distributions. The frequency distribution of each variable was examined according to the case and control groups. Distribution of the two SNPs was performed following the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. Student's t-test and chi-square were applied to evaluate the differences in the distributions of environmental variables, and genotypes of the rs4072037 and rs2070803 between the cases and the controls. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were employed to identify the associations between the three genotypes of SNPs and risk of gastric cancer. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were constructed. The significance level was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

Environmental Characteristics of Participants

A total of 606 participants were analyzed in both groups, with males significantly outnumbering females by 2 to 1. There were significant differences between cases and controls in personal history of gastric cancer, family history of gastric cancer, personal history of *H. pylori*, and history of alcohol abuse (p < 0.05). In contrast, a high level of similarity in age and gender distribution was observed between two groups, with the p-values of 0.81 and 0.16 (**Table 2**).



Variables	Control (n = 304)		Case (n = 302)	Total (n = 606)		p-Value	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Gender							
Male	195	64.14	210	69.54	405	66.72	0.16
Female	109	35.86	92	30.46	201	33.28	
Age							
<60	152	50.00	148	49.00	300	49.50	0.81
≥60	152	50.00	154	51.00	306	50.50	
Personal history of gastric diseases							
No	111	36.51	140	47.30	251	41.83	0.00*
Yes	193	63.49	156	52.70	349	58.17	
Family history of gastric cancer							
No	285	95.96	261	87.58	546	91.76	0.00*
Yes	12	4.04	37	12.42	49	8.24	
History of alcohol abuse							
No	208	68.42	176	58.28	384	63.37	0.01*
Yes	96	31.58	126	41.72	222	36.63	
Personal history of Helicobacter pylori							0.00*
No	123	40.46	191	63.25	314	51.82	
Yes	181	59.54	111	36.75	292	48.18	
Smoking							
No	179	58.88	159	52.65	338	55.78	0.12
Yes	125	41.12	143	47.35	268	44.22	

*Significant at 0.05.

The logistic regression model of multiple environmental factors with gastric cancer is presented in **Table 3**. The family history of gastric cancer was significantly associated with increased gastric cancer risk (OR: 3.69, 95% CI: 1.78–7.66). Alcohol abuse (OR: 0.50, 95% CI: 0.26–0.95) and history of *H. pylori* infection (OR: 0.42, 95% CI: 0.29–0.61) were significantly inversely associated with gastric cancer. No significant

associations for gastric cancer were observed in gender, age group, smoking, and personal history (p > 0.05).

Distribution of Genes and Alleles

Significant variations in the distribution of genes and alleles were seen between cases and controls (p < 0.05). For rs4072037, the most common genotype in the case group was AA (49.34%),

TABLE 3 | Association between environmental factors and risk of gastric cancer: multivariate logistic regression analysis.

	OR	95% CI	p-Value
Gender			
Female	Ref		
Male	1.56	0.92-2.64	0.098
Age group			
<60	Ref		
≥60	0.72	0.50-1.05	0.087
Smoking			
No	Ref		
Yes	1.05	0.66-1.65	0.842
Alcohol abuse			
No	Ref		
Yes	0.50	0.26-0.95	0.036*
History of Helicobacter pylori infection			
No	Ref		
Yes	0.42	0.29–0.61	0.000*
Personal history			
No	Ref		
Yes	1.42	0.99-2.04	0.056
Family history of gastric cancer			
No	Ref		
Yes	3.69	1.78–7.66	0.000*

Ref, reference group; OR, odds ratio; 95% Cl, 95% confidence interval. *Significant at 0.05. whereas the majority of patients were found to have AG genotype in the control group (53.29%). There was a significant difference in the frequency of alleles between two groups (p = 0.02). Similar to rs4072037, rs2070803 results indicated the differences in the genotypes between two groups, with the predominant identification of AG in the controls (53.95%) and GG in the cases (45.69%) (**Table 4**).

Association of Genotypes and Alleles With the Risk of Gastric Cancer

In rs4072037, the individuals with AA genotype had higher gastric cancer risk than had patients with AG (OR: 2.09, 95% CI: 1.48–2.96) and a combination of AG+GG (OR: 1.85, 95% CI: 1.33–2.56). In rs2070803, GG genotype increased gastric cancer risk when compared with AG (OR: 1.97, 95% CI: 1.39–2.80) and AG+AA (OR: 1.71, 95% CI: 1.23–2.39). AG genotypes in both SNPs decreased gastric cancer risk when compared with homogenous genotype, more specifically AA (OR: 0.51, 95% CI: 0.35–0.72) and GG (OR: 0.58, 95% CI: 0.35–0.97) (**Table 5**).

AA genotype of rs4072037 in combination with the factors including over the age of 60, male gender, alcohol abuse history, personal history gastritis, and family history of gastric cancer significantly elevated gastric cancer risk with adjusted OR from 1.57 to 6.47. AA genotype in combination with a family history of gastric cancer was the greatest risk factor for gastric cancer (OR: 6.47, 95% CI: 2.21–18.89). GG genotype of rs2070803 combined with family history of gastric cancer increased gastric cancer risk (OR: 6.18, 95% CI: 2.11–18.10) (**Table 6**).

DISCUSSION

Two SNPs rs4072037 and rs2070803 of *MUC1* gene were found to be genotypic risk factors of gastric cancer. Those SNPs in combination with other environmental risk factors showed significantly increased risk for gastric cancer.

Genotypic distribution in the study population was found to be consistent with the results reported by Zhang and Jin and Song et al., both indicating AA being the most common genotype (15, 16). The present results revealed the association of allele A of rs4072037 with an increased risk of gastric cancer. Elevated gastric cancer risk was also found in the AA genotype group. Our findings were consistent with most previous reports by Xu et al. (17), Jia et al. (18), Palmer et al. (19), and Song et al. (15). Higher risk of cancer in patients with allele A compared with those with allele G was also pointed out by Saeki et al. (20).

TABLE 4 | Difference of the genotypes and allele distribution of rs4072037 and rs2070803 between gastric cancer cases and controls.

	Control (n = 304)		Case (n = 302)		p-Value
	n	%	n	%	
rs4072037—MUC1					
GG	37	12.17	43	14.24	0.00*
AG	162	53.29	110	36.42	
AA	105	34.54	149	49.34	
G allele	236	38.81	196	32.45	0.02*
A allele	372	61.18	408	67.55	
rs2070803—MUC1					
AA	40	13.15	49	16.23	0.00*
AG	164	53.95	115	38.08	
GG	100	32.89	138	45.69	
A allele	244	40.13	213	35.26	0.09
G allele	364	59.87	391	64.74	

*Significant at 0.05.

TABLE 5 | Association of the genotypes and alleles of rs4072037 and rs2070803 with the risk of gastric cancer: univariate logistic regression analysis.

	OR	95% CI	p-Value
rs4072037			
AG>GG	0.58	0.35–0.97	0.04*
AA>AG	2.09	1.48-2.96	0.00*
AA>AG+GG	1.85	1.33–2.56	0.00*
A > G	1.32	1.04-1.67	0.02*
rs2070803			
AG>AA	0.51	0.35-0.72	0.00*
GG>AG	1.97	1.39–2.80	0.00*
GG>AG+AA	1.71	1.23-2.39	0.00*
G>A	2.73	0.97–1.55	0.09

OR, odds ratio; 95% Cl, 95% confidence interval.

*Significant at 0.05.

TABLE 6 Association of the genotypes of two SNPs combined with environmental factor	rs with the risk of gastric cancer: multivariate logistic regr	ession analysis.
---	--	------------------

Risk Factors Combined With Genotypes	OR	95% CI	p-Value
rs4072037			
Age > 60 + AA	1.57	1.05-2.34	0.03*
Male + AA	1.84	1.28–2.67	0.00*
Smoking + AA	1.72	1.11–2.67	0.02*
Alcohol abuse history + AA	2.06	1.32-3.23	0.00*
Personal history of gastritis + AA	1.31	0.89–1.91	0.16
Family history of gastric cancer + AA	6.47	2.21-18.89	0.00*
rs2070803			
Age > 60 + GG	1.50	1.00-2.25	0.05*
Male + GG	1.80	1.25-2.63	0.00*
Smoking +GG	1.68	1.07-2.63	0.02*
Alcohol abuse history + GG	1.98	1.22-3.04	0.00*
Personal history of gastritis + GG	1.22	0.83-1.80	0.31
Family history of gastric cancer + GG	6.18	2.11-18.10	0.00*

OR, odds ratio; 95% Cl, 95% confidence interval; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism. *Significant at 0.05.

On the contrary, a decreased risk of gastric cancer in individuals with the G allele was shown in the study of Abnet et al. (21) and Shi et al. (22). Our result was similar to the reports from other Asian populations such as Japanese, Korean, and Chinese populations (OR ranging from 0.26 to 0.69) (16, 20, 23). In particular, in a recent meta-analysis with 10,092 gastric cancer cases and 15,236 controls, Peixi Liu clearly showed MUC1 rs4072037 polymorphism was protective against the onset of gastric cancer (24). The rs4072037 located in the 5' end of the second exon of MUC1 gene allows determination of the splicing point. The G allele and the A allele belong to two different variants: two and three, respectively. Via the mutation of amino acids in the second exon, the structural differences between the two variants affect the N-terminal signal peptide, which, in turn, leads to a variation in the function of the encoded protein. The A allele associates with gastric cancer by lowering MUC1 expression on the surface of epithelial cells lining the gastric mucosa. Mucins play a crucial role in forming protective mucous barriers on the epithelial surface of the stomach. The low expression of MUC1 may increase the susceptibility to gastric cancer due to the reduced protective function of stomach (7, 20).

As for rs2070803, in comparison with the research of Li et al., despite a difference in the genetic distribution, there was a consistency regarding the higher risk of gastric cancer in GG genotype when compared with AA+AG genotype (25). The quantification of association between alleles and gastric cancer risk showed G compared with A with OR = 2.73 but no statistically significant association, while the study of Saeki et al. with three independent datasets (Tokyo, Aichi, and Korea) demonstrated a significant association between allele G and both intestinal and diffuse gastric cancer (20). This difference may be due to the limited sample size in our study. rs2070803 on the 1q22 chromosome is an SNP located between MUC1 and Tripartite Motif Containing 46 (TRIM46), both of which are located in a region of strong disequilibrium and are convergently transcribed (26). Numerous previous evidence showed the association between MUC1 and the carcinogenesis of various tumor including gastric cancer (17, 18); however, there was no expression of *TRIM46* in the gastric mucosa. This suggests that rs2070803 is a tagging SNP for variants in *MUC1* gene, which is associated with gastric carcinogenesis.

Regarding the combinations of risk factors, old age (>60 years old) together with AA genotype of rs4072037 and GG genotype of rs2070803 showed a notable increase in gastric cancer risks. The majority of gastric cancer is primary and occurs in patients between the ages of 60 and 80, especially in Eastern Asia region (27, 28). Research on the Vietnamese population also reports a high incidence rate of gastric cancer in elderly people (12). The cancer growth process may involve several risk factors with different time and levels with which cells are affected. The affected cells need to be able to survive the apoptosis program in the immune system so that they can divide and multiply until tumors are formed. Due to the better immune system and shorter exposure time to most environmental risk factors that can accumulate gradually, young people tend to have a significantly lower risk of cancer than the elderly.

Gastric cancer risk was found to be increased roughly 1.8 times (p < 0.05) when both genotypic (rs4072037 AA genotype or rs2070803 GG genotype) and gender (male) factors were considered in our analysis. The gastric cancer incidence rate was reported to be approximately double in male compared with in female, particularly in the countries with a high prevalence of gastric cancer. Various characteristics such as smoking or alcohol drinking, which are attributed mostly to male patients, contribute to that fact (29). Another reason for the lower cancer rate in the female might be related to the hormone estrogen, which was reported to have a protective effect in decreasing stomach cancer risk (30-33). A multicenter cohort study in Korea pointed out similar results with isoflavone and phytoestrogen (34). This was further supported by several studies indicating an increased risk of gastric cancer in both genders treated with tamoxifen (an estrogen blocker) (35, 36). The result on the association between gastric cancer risk and a combination of MUC1 polymorphisms (rs4072037 and rs2070803) and male gender once again showed that rs4072037 AA and rs2070803 GG were the two genotypic risk factor for stomach cancer. In our

study, no notable association was found between history of alcohol abuse and gastric cancer; however, that factor together with rs4072037 AA genotype or rs2070803 GG genotype increased risk of gastric cancer significantly. The effect of alcohol on gastric cancer is still on debate. Several empirical studies suggested a carcinogenesis mechanism in which metabolic products of ethanol facilitate cancer risk factor penetration to damaged gastric mucosa, while other studies pointed out possible protective function of ethanol due to its destructive effect on *H. pylori* (36, 37). Research done on alcohol consumption rate and stomach cancer showed divergent results, with a few authors pointing to heavy drinking of various alcohol-based beverage, posing an even greater risk compared with standard alcohol (38–41).

Smoking, one of the primary risk factors, contributes to the manifestation of gastritis, atrophic gastritis, and gastric cancer in both the cardiac and non-cardiac regions. Nishino et al. reported 1.56 times higher risk in patients with a history of smoking (42). According to Gonzalez, approximately 18% of gastric cancer cases can be traced back to heavy smoking. In addition, gastric cancer risk has been found to increase with prolonged smoking time and decrease after 10 years of cessation (43). Tobacco smoke was proved to be a mixture of many harmful chemicals relating to human gastric carcinoma (44). The smoking-related DNA adducts that bind to DNA of the gastric mucous membrane have been found in samples from smokers (45). In our study, the smoking risk factor together with AA genotype of rs4072037 and GG genotype of the rs2070803 increased risk of gastric cancer. There are a number of studies supporting the high gastric cancer risk of patients who have a combination of genotypic risk factors (SNPs) and environmental factors (alcohol drinking/smoking). A report by Boccia et al. pointed out the increased gastric cancer risk in smokers with Sulfotransferase Family 1A Member 1 (SULT1A1) gene and drinkers with CYRS2070803E1 gene (*5A allele or *6 allele) (46). Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) polymorphisms together with a history of smoking played an important role in the development of gastric cardia adenocarcinoma (47). TNF-alpha-857 C/T genotypic polymorphism was an independent risk factor, and gastric cancer caused by tumor necrosis factor (TNF) gene has been argued to be related to smoking habit (48). A study of Xu et al. demonstrated a MUC1 mechanism, in which the inflammation signal was activated by macrophages, which contributed to the manifestation of lung cancer in smokers (49).

Family history of gastric cancer is known as one of the major factors that double or even triple the risk of gastric cancer (50, 51). The percentage of patients who had a family history of gastric cancer in our research was 12.4%, lower than the reports in an Italian population (21.9%) (52) and a Spanish population (17.6%) (53). A study of Dhillon (51) of 695 cases and 629 controls in America estimated the association between gastric cancer and family history with OR = 2.2 (95% CI: 1.5–3.3). This was further increased in individuals who have two or more family members diagnosed with gastric cancer, with OR = 12.1 (95% CI: 1.4–108) (51). Nine studies in the populations of Turkey, Italy, Finland, German, and Spain also showed

significant association with OR ranging from 1.8 to 10.1, depending on different countries (54-57), besides five studies in a Japanese population that demonstrated the same association with OR from 1.5 to 3.5 (58, 59). Consistent result was also indicated in our study (Table 3), with OR = 3.69 (95% CI: 1.78-7.66). The detailed mechanism of the cause-and-effect relationship between family history and gastric cancer has not fully understood; however, special focus has been put on genetic characteristics. In our research, the individuals with a family history of gastric cancer in conjunction with rs4072037 AA genotype and rs2070803 genotype elevated gastric cancer risk significantly. This indicated the importance of family history of gastric cancer as a major risk factor for gastric cancer, especially in combination with other genotypic risk factors of rs4072037 and rs2070803. Therefore, a classification of patients according to different kinds of risk factor is necessary for the management, monitoring, and prevention of gastric cancer. This could be benefited from the implementation of a complete system focusing on the management of cancer among the individuals who have a history of cancer.

Several limitations should be acknowledged in this study. First, there was the lack of information on the *H. pylori* status of study participants. Second, the evaluation of histopathological characteristics of gastric cancer in different medical hospitals lacks uniform guidelines, which greatly affects the assessment of histopathological results. Third, we could not clarify genotypic risk patterns in combination with environmental risk factors due to incomplete data in our hospital cancer registry. Finally, later studies with larger sample size on the Vietnamese population are needed to confirm the effectiveness and accuracy of the model obtained from this study.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, this is the first large multicenter case–control study in Vietnamese population to investigate the effect of two SNPs rs4072037 and rs2070803 in *MUC1* gene as the risk factor for gastric cancer. We found the individuals carrying rs4072037 and rs2070803 polymorphisms would be more susceptible to gastric cancer. Importantly, those genetic factors in the interplay with some environmental factors such as smoking, alcohol abuse, and family history of gastric cancer significantly increased the risk of gastric cancer.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Council of Hanoi Medical University (decision number 198/HĐĐĐĐHYHN). The

patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

N-LN took part in designing the research, collected samples, analyzed and interpreted the participants' data regarding SNPs of gastric cancer, and contributed to statistical analysis and writing the manuscript. N-DD took part in designing the research and writing the manuscript, with equal contribution with N-LN. Q-HD and H-LV were responsible for statistical analysis and writing the manuscript. V-CT collected samples, analyzed the samples, and wrote the manuscript. MY contributed to writing the manuscript and analyzed the samples. T-VT was responsible for research design and writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Van Cutsem E, Sagaert X, Topal B, Haustermans K, Prenen H. Gastric Cancer. Lancet (2016) 388(10060):2654–64. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30354-3
- The L. GLOBOCAN 2018: Counting the Toll of Cancer. Lancet (2018) 392 (10152):985. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32252-9
- Zabaleta J. Multifactorial Etiology of Gastric Cancer. Methods Mol Biol (2012) 863:411–35. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-612-8_26
- Ishaq S, Nunn L. Helicobacter Pylori and Gastric Cancer: A State of the Art Review. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench (2015) 8(Suppl 1):S6–14.
- Nomura AMY, Wilkens LR, Henderson BE, Epplein M, Kolonel LN. The Association of Cigarette Smoking With Gastric Cancer: The Multiethnic Cohort Study. *Cancer Causes Control* (2012) 23(1):51–8. doi: 10.1007/s10552-011-9854-0
- Ngoan LT, Mizoue T, Fujino Y, Tokui N, Yoshimura T. Dietary Factors and Stomach Cancer Mortality. Br J Cancer (2002) 87(1):37–42. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6600415
- Saeki N, Sakamoto H, Yoshida T. Mucin 1 Gene (MUC1) and Gastric-Cancer Susceptibility. Int J Mol Sci (2014) 15(5):7958–73. doi: 10.3390/ijms15057958
- Nagini S. Carcinoma of the Stomach: A Review of Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, Molecular Genetics and Chemoprevention. World J Gastrointest Oncol (2012) 4(7):156–69. doi: 10.4251/wjgo.v4.i7.156
- Deng N, Zhou H, Fan H, Yuan Y. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms and Cancer Susceptibility. Oncotarget (2017) 8(66):110635–49. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.22372
- Wang X-T, Kong F-B, Mai W, Li L, Pang L-M. MUC1 Immunohistochemical Expression as a Prognostic Factor in Gastric Cancer: Meta-Analysis. *Dis Markers* (2016) 2016:9421571–. doi: 10.1155/2016/9421571
- 11. Boltin D, Niv Y. Mucins in Gastric Cancer An Update. J Gastrointest Dig Syst (2013) 3(123):15519-. doi: 10.4172/2161-069X.1000123
- Binh TT, Tuan VP, Dung HDQ, Tung PH, Tri TD, Thuan NPM, et al. Advanced non-Cardia Gastric Cancer and Helicobacter Pylori Infection in Vietnam. *Gut Pathog* (2017) 9:46–. doi: 10.1186/s13099-017-0195-8
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Adult Tobaco Use Information (2017). Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/tobacco/ tobacco_glossary.htm.
- 14. WHO. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT). Available at: https://auditscreen.org.
- Song H-R, Kim HN, Kweon S-S, Choi J-S, Shim HJ, Cho SH, et al. Common Genetic Variants at 1q22 and 10q23 and Gastric Cancer Susceptibility in a Korean Population. *Tumour Biol* (2014) 35(4):3133–7. doi: 10.1007/s13277-013-1409-4
- Zhang H, Jin G. Genetic Variants at 1q22 and 10q23 Reproducibly Associated With Gastric Cancer Susceptibility in a Chinese Population. *Carcinogenesis* (2011) 2011:32: 848–52. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgr051

FUNDING

This research is funded by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 106-YS.02-2015.37. The funding includes research design, samples, data collection, and gene analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the patients and their family members for agreeing to allow us to collect data to complete this research project. Also, we would like to thank the resident doctors majoring in biochemistry who participated with enthusiastic support during the project, and Dr. Long Hoang Luong (E Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam) for supporting the authors to both address several pieces of content in response to the anonymous reviewer.

- Xu Q, Yuan Y, Sun LP, Gong YH, Xu Y, Yu XW, et al. Risk of Gastric Cancer Is Associated With the MUC1 568 a/G Polymorphism. *Int J Oncol* (2009) 35 (6):1313–20. doi: 10.3892/ijo_00000449
- 18. Jia Y, Persson C, Hou L, Zheng Z, Yeager M, Lissowska J, et al. A Comprehensive Analysis of Common Genetic Variation in MUC1, MUC5AC, MUC6 Genes and Risk of Stomach Cancer. Cancer Causes Control (2010) 21(2):313–21. doi: 10.1007/s10552-009-9463-3
- Palmer AJ, Lochhead P, Rabkin CS, Vaughan CS, Vaughan TL, Lissowska J, et al. Genetic Variation in C20orf54, PLCE1 and MUC1 and the Risk of Upper Gastrointestinal Cancers in Caucasian Populations. *Eur J Cancer Prev* (2013) 21:541–4. doi: 10.1097/CEJ.0b013e3283529b79
- Saeki N, Saito A, Choi IJ, Matsuo K, Ohnami S, Totsuka H, et al. A Functional Single Nucleotide Polymorphism in Mucin 1, at Chromosome 1q22, Determines Susceptibility to Diffuse-Type Gastric Cancer. *Gastroenterology* (2011) 140(3):892–902. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.10.058
- Abnet CC, Freedman ND, Hu N, Wang z, Yu K, Shu X-O, et al. A Shared Susceptibility Locus in PLCE1 at 10q23 for Gastric Adenocarcinoma and Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Nat Genet* (2010) 2010:42: 764–7. doi: 10.1038/ng.649
- Shi YH,Z, Hu Z, Wu C, Dai J, Li H, Dong J, et al. A Genome-Wide Association Study Identifies New Susceptibility Loci for non-Cardia Gastric Cancer at 3q13.31 and 5p13.1. *Nat Genet* (2011) 43:1215–8. doi: 10.1038/ng.978
- Li M, Huang L, Qiu H, Fu Q, Li W, Yu Q, et al. Helicobacter Pylori Infection Synergizes With Three Inflammation-Related Genetic Variants in the GWASs to Increase Risk of Gastric Cancer in a Chinese Population. *PLoS One* (2013) 8 (9):e74976. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074976
- Liu P, Zeng M. Role of MUC1 Rs4072037 Polymorphism in Gastric Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. Int J Clin Exp Pathol (2020) 13(3):465.
- Li F, Zhong M-Z, Li J-H, Liu W, Li B. Case-Control Study of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms of PSCA and MUC1 Genes With Gastric Cancer in a Chinese. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2012* (2012) 13(6):2593–6. doi: 10.7314/ APJCP.2012.13.6.2593
- Mocellin S, Verdi D, Pooley KA, Nitti D. Genetic Variation and Gastric Cancer Risk: A Field Synopsis and Meta-Analysis. *Gut* (2015) 64(8):1209–19. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309168
- Skierucha M, Milne AN, Offerhaus GJ, Polkowski WP, Maciejewski R, Sitarz R. Molecular Alterations in Gastric Cancer With Special Reference to the Early-Onset Subtype. World J Gastroenterol (2016) 22(8):2460–74. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i8.2460
- Forman D, Burley VJ. Gastric Cancer: Global Pattern of the Disease and an Overview of Environmental Risk Factors. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* (2006) 20(4):633–49. doi: 10.1016/j.bpg.2006.04.008
- 29. Tanikawa C, Urabe Y, Matsuo K, Kubo M, Takahashi A, Ito H, et al. A Genome-Wide Association Study Identifies Two Susceptibility Loci for

Duodenal Ulcer in the Japanese Population. Nat Genet (2012) 44(4):430-4, S1-2. doi: 10.1038/ng.1109

- Lochhead P, Frank B, Hold GL, Rabkin CS, Ng MTH, Vaughan TL, et al. Genetic Variation in the Prostate Stem Cell Antigen Gene and Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer in White Individuals. *Gastroenterology* (2011) 140 (2):435–41. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.11.001
- 31. Lu Y, Chen J, Ding Y, Jin G, Wu J, Huang H, et al. Genetic Variation of PSCA Gene is Associated With the Risk of Both Diffuse- and Intestinal-Type Gastric Cancer in a Chinese Population. *Int J Cancer* (2010) 127(9):2183–9. doi: 10.1002/ijc.25228
- 32. Freedman ND, Chow W-H, Gao Y-T, Shu X-O, Ji B-T, Yang G, et al. Menstrual and Reproductive Factors and Gastric Cancer Risk in a Large Prospective Study of Women. *Gut* (2007) 56:1671–7. doi: 10.1136/ gut.2007.129411
- 33. Reiter RE, Gu Z, Watabe T, Thomas G, Szigeti K, Davis E, et al. Prostate Stem Cell Antigen: A Cell Surface Marker Overexpressed in Prostate Cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (1998) 95(4):1735–40. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.4.1735
- Sakamoto H, Yoshimura K, Saeki N, Katai H, Shimoda T, Matsuno Y, et al. Genetic Variation in PSCA Is Associated With Susceptibility to Diffuse-Type Gastric Cancer. *Nat Genet* (2008) 40(6):730–40. doi: 10.1038/ng.152
- Saeki N, Gu J, Yoshida T, Wu X. Prostate Stem Cell Antigen: A Jekyll and Hyde Molecule? *Clin Cancer Research* (2010) 16(14):3533–8. doi: 10.1158/ 1078-0432.CCR-09-3169
- Siegmund SV, Singer MV. [Effects of Alcohol on the Upper Gastrointestinal Tract and the Pancreas-an Up-to-Date Overview]. Z fur Gastroenterologie (2005) 43(8):723–36. doi: 10.1055/s-2005-858257
- Brenner H, Rothenbacher D, Bode G, Adler G. Inverse Graded Relation Between Alcohol Consumption and Active Infection With Helicobacter Pylori. Am J Epidemiol (1999) 149(6):571–6. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009854
- Tramacere I, Negri E, Pelucchi C, Bagnardi V, Rota M, Scotti L, et al. A Meta-Analysis on Alcohol Drinking and Gastric Cancer Risk. Ann Oncol (2012) 23 (1):28–36. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdr135
- Bagnardi V, Rota M, Botteri E, Tramacere I, Islami F, Fedirko V, et al. Alcohol Consumption and Site-Specific Cancer Risk: A Comprehensive Dose-Response Meta-Analysis. Br J Cancer (2015) 112(3):580–93. doi: 10.1038/ bjc.2014.579
- Tong GX, Liang H, Chai J, Cheng J, Feng R, Chen PL, et al. Association of Risk of Gastric Cancer and Consumption of Tobacco, Alcohol and Tea in the Chinese Population. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prevention: APJCP* (2014) 15 (20):8765–74. doi: 10.7314/APJCP.2014.15.20.8765
- Knoll MR, Kolbel CB, Teyssen S, Singer MV. Action of Pure Ethanol and Some Alcoholic Beverages on the Gastric Mucosa in Healthy Humans: A Descriptive Endoscopic Study. *Endoscopy* (1998) 30(3):293–301. doi: 10.1055/ s-2007-1001257
- 42. Nishino Y, Inoue M, Tsuji I, Wakai K, Nagata C, Mizoue T, et al. Tobacco Smoking and Gastric Cancer Risk: An Evaluation Based on a Systematic Review of Epidemiologic Evidence Among the Japanese Population. *Japanese* J Clin Oncol (2006) 36(12):800–7. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyl112
- González CA, Pera G, Agudo A, Palli D, Krogh V, Vineis P, et al. Smoking and the Risk of Gastric Cancer in the European Prospective Investigation Into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Int J Cancer* (2003) 107(4):629–34. doi: 10.1002/ijc.11426
- Hoffman D, Hoffman I. Chemistry and Toxicology. Cigars: Health Effects and Trends, Smoking and Tobacco Control Monograph. Bethesda: National Cancer Institute (1998) p. 55–97.
- Dyke GW, Craven JL, Hall R. XXXX. Smoking-Related DNA Adducts in Human Gastric Cancers. Int J Cancer (1992) 52(6):847–50. doi: 10.1002/ijc.11426
- Boccia S, Sayed-Tabatabaei FA, Persiani R, Gianfagna F, Rausei S, Arzani D, et al. Polymorphisms in Metabolic Genes, Their Combination and Interaction With Tobacco Smoke and Alcohol Consumption and Risk of Gastric Cancer: A Case-Control Study in an Italian Population. *BMC Cancer* (2007) 7(1):206. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-7-206
- 47. Zhang X-M, Zhong R, Liu L, Wang Y, Yuan J-X, Wang P, et al. Smoking and COX-2 Functional Polymorphisms Interact to Increase the Risk of Gastric

Cardia Adenocarcinoma in Chinese Population. *PLoS One* (2011) 6(7): e21894–e. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021894

- Yang JJ, Ko KP, Cho LY, Shin A, Gwack J, Chang SH, et al. The Role of TNF Genetic Variants and the Interaction With Cigarette Smoking for Gastric Cancer Risk: A Nested Case-Control Study. *BMC Cancer* (2009) 9:238. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-9-238
- Xu X, Padilla MT, Li B, Wells A, Kato K, Tellez C, et al. MUC1 in Macrophage: Contributions to Cigarette Smoke-Induced Lung Cancer. *Cancer Res* (2014) 74(2):460–70. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-1713
- Rokkas T SP, Pistiolas D, Margantinis G, Koukoulis G. Helicobacter Pylori Infection and Gastric Histology in First-Degree Relatives of Gastric Cancer Patients: A Meta-Analysis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2010) 22:1128 – 33. doi: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e3283398d37
- Dhillon PK, Farrow DC, Vaughan TL, Chow WH, Risch HA, Gammon MD, et al. Family History of Cancer and Risk of Esophageal and Gastric Cancers in the United States. *Int J Cancer* (2001) 93(1):148–52. doi: 10.1002/ijc.1294
- Bernini M BS, Roviello F, Scarpa A, Moore P, Pedrazzani C, Beghelli S, et al. Family History of Gastric Cancer: A Correlation Between Epidemiologic Findings and Clinical Data. *Gastric Cancer* (2006) 9:9–13. doi: 10.1007/ s10120-005-0350-7
- 53. Garcia-Gonzalez MA, Lanas A, Quintero E, Nicolas D, Parra-Blanco A, Strunk M, et al. Gastric Cancer Susceptibility is Not Linked to Pro-and Anti-Inflammatory Cytokine Gene Polymorphisms in Whites: A Nationwide Multicenter Study in Spain. Am J Gastroenterol (2007) 102(9):1878–92. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01423.x
- Lissowska J, Groves FD, Sobin LH, Fraumeni JFJr., Nasierowska-Guttmejer A, Radziszewski J, et al. Family History and Risk of Stomach Cancer in Warsaw, Poland. Eur J Cancer Prevention (1999) 8(3):223–7. doi: 10.1097/00008469-199906000-00010
- Bakir T, Can G, Erkul S, Siviloglu C. Stomach Cancer History in the Siblings of Patients With Gastric Carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer Prevention* (2000) 9(6):401–8. doi: 10.1097/00008469-200012000-00005
- Bakir T, Can G, Siviloglu C, Erkul S. Gastric Cancer and Other Organ Cancer History in the Parents of Patients With Gastric Cancer. *Eur J Cancer Prevention* (2003) 12(3):183–9. doi: 10.1097/00008469-200306000-00003
- Palli D, Russo A, Ottini L, Masala G, Saieva C, Amorosi A, et al. Red Meat, Family History, and Increased Risk of Gastric Cancer With Microsatellite Instability. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61(14):5415–9.
- Eto K, Ohyama S, Yamaguchi T, Wada T, Suzuki Y, Mitsumori N, et al. Familial Clustering in Subgroups of Gastric Cancer Stratified by Histology, Age Group and Location. *Eur J Surg Oncol* (2006) 32(7):743–8. doi: 10.1016/ j.ejso.2006.04.005
- Minami Y, Tateno H. Associations Between Cigarette Smoking and the Risk of Four Leading Cancers in Miyagi Prefecture, Japan: A Multi-Site Case-Control Study. *Cancer Sci* (2003) 94(6):540–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2003.tb01480.x

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Nguyen, Dang, Dang, Tran, Vo, Yamaguchi and Ta. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.