

Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health Vol. **9**(4); December (2019), pp. 223–232 DOI: https://doi.org/10.2991/jegh.k.191105.001; ISSN 2210-6006; eISSN 2210-6014 https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/jegh



#### **Research Article**

# Relationship between the Human Development Index and its Components with Oral Cancer in Latin America

Brenda Yuliana Herrera-Serna<sup>1,\*</sup>, Edith Lara-Carrillo<sup>2</sup>, Victor Hugo Toral-Rizo<sup>2</sup>, Regiane Cristina do Amaral<sup>3</sup>, Raul Alberto Aguilera-Eguía<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oral Health Department, Autonomous University of Manizales, Antigua Estación del Ferrocarril, Manizales, Caldas 17100, Colombia <sup>2</sup>School of Dentistry, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Av. Paseo Tollocan, Toluca de Lerdo, Mexico 50130, Mexico <sup>3</sup>Department of Dentistry, Campus Prof. João Cardoso Nascimento Rua Cláudio Batista, Federal University of Sergipe, Cidade Nova, Aracaju 49060-108, Brazil <sup>4</sup>Department of Public Health, School of Medicine, Kinesiology Career, Catholic University of the Santisima Concepcion, Av. Alonso de Ribera 2850, Concepción 4090541, Chile

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

Article History

Received 24 July 2019 Accepted 03 November 2019

#### Keywords

Latin America socioeconomic factors mouth neoplasms development indicators ecological study

#### ABSTRACT

To evaluate the relationship between the Human Development Index (HDI) and its components with oral cancer (OC) in Latin America. Ecological study in 20 Latin American countries in 2010 and 2017, which evaluated the relationship between the Age-Standardized Rates (ASRs) of incidence and mortality from oral cancer and the following indicators: HDI, with its components (income, education, and health indexes); and the Gini and Theil-L indexes. Among the countries with the highest HDI, men from Brazil and Cuba had the highest incidence and mortality ASRs per 100,000 inhabitants (ASR incidence >7.5 and mortality >4.5). Among those with the lowest HDI, Haiti was the most affected country (ASR incidence >4.1 and mortality >3.0). The highest male:female ratio was in Paraguay in both years (incidence >3.5 and mortality >4.0). Mortality from oral cancer is negatively related to the global HDI in both years, with regression coefficients (95% confidence interval) being -5.78 (-11.77, 0.20) in 2010 and -5.97 (-11.38, -0.56) in 2017; and separate (independent) from the income [-4.57 (-9.92, 0.77) in 2010 and -4.84 (-9.52, -0.17) in 2017] and health indexes [-5.81 (-11.10, -0.52) and -6.52 (-11.32, -1.72) in 2017] (p < 0.05) in the countries with lower HDI. Oral cancer incidence and mortality rates vary both among and within Latin American countries according to sex, with a greater burden on men. The HDI is negatively related to mortality from oral cancer in the countries of medium and low HDI.

© 2019 Atlantis Press International B.V.

This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Oral cancer (OC) affects the lips, oral mucosa, gums, palate, tongue, floor of the mouth, and the retromolar area (ICD-11 2B60-2B69) [1]. The neoplasia mainly occurs on the lips, tongue, and floor of the mouth, and squamous cell carcinoma is the most common histologic type (>90%) [2]. According to GLOBOCAN, in 2018 there were 354,864 new cases reported (246,420 men and 108,444 women), and a mortality of 177,384 cases (119,693 men and 57,691 women) [3], which is equivalent to a 48% mortality rate. Currently, around 77% of OC cases are concentrated in developing countries [4]; and a 17.2% increase in mortality is expected in Central and South America by 2030 [3].

Latin America is a region characterized by high incidence rates for OC, with Brazil, Cuba, and Uruguay leading [5]. It is the fifth most frequent cancer among men in Brazil [6]; whereas men in Cuba present a higher mortality for this type of cancer in comparison with their peers in the United States and Canada, with a tendency to increase [7]. This reflects a clear disparity between sexes, where men bear 72% of the burden of this disease in the region, with

standardized mortality ratios from OC (8.7) being surpassed only by lung cancer (11.0) [8]. However, more relevance and social intervention have been given to other types of cancer that are less prevalent and in other population groups. This may be due to a lack of visibility of the problem and of the effect that socioeconomic factors and other inequalities have on its distribution [9–12], especially with the inequality characteristics that are particular to Latin America [10,13]. At the same time, inequality can directly affect cancer diagnosis and treatment conditions [14,15]. Specifically, waiting for a diagnosis increases the impact of OC severity and can be an implied factor for mortality [16]. It has been shown that the Mortality Incidence Ratio reflects patterns of a population's cancer risk, survival at 5 years, and flaws in the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment methods over the same period of time [17–19].

In this context, the Human Development Index (HDI), with its different components, is an indicator of a country's social and economic level, and is related to several pathologies, including cancer [20]. Several studies have examined the HDI and its relationship with cancer incidence and mortality, with results varying by the location of analysis and the type of cancer [12,21–25].

Therefore, studying the effect of living conditions, reflected in a compound indicator such as the HDI, on the epidemiology of OC in a contextualized and updated manner in the Latin American

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: bherrera@autonoma.edu.co

*Data availability statement*: The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article, and any additional questions will be answered by the corresponding author (BYH-S), upon request.

region is required to highlight what actions should be taken in terms of public health. In this sense, this study evaluates the relationship between the HDI and its components with OC in Latin America in 2010 and 2017.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This ecological study evaluated the relation between Age-Standardized Rates (ASRs) of OC incidence and mortality in Latin America in 2010 and 2017, and indicators such as: the HDI, with its components (income, education, and health indexes); and the Gini and Theil-L inequality indexes. The 20 studied countries include the Dominican Republic, Haiti, and Cuba, according to the latest data available for each of them. Belize, the Antilles, Guyana, French Guyana, and Suriname were not included as they are considered to have different social and economic characteristics [26].

#### 2.1. Data Sources

Crude and age-standardized rates per 100,000 inhabitants for each one of the countries, differentiated by sex, corresponding to 2010 and 2017 were obtained from the Institute for Health and Metrics Evaluation through its data visualization and analysis tool Global Health Data Exchange (GHDx) [27]. The information extracted for this study comes from different subnational and national reports and statistics, compiled, analyzed, and presented in the context of demographic and health research by the GHDx. The strategy for data extraction included: incidence and deaths; all ages and age standardized; years 2010 and 2017; neoplasm of the oral cavity and lips; causality; each of the established countries in Latin America; men and women; and number of cases and rates.

The HDI is an index composed of three basic dimensions of human development, and is based on the necessary resources for a dignified life [28]:

Health index: It is evaluated according to life expectancy at birth, the average amount of time in which a person lives and dies in a country.

Education index: It is evaluated by adult literacy rate and the combined gross rate of primary, secondary, and higher education enrollment; it also included the number of years of compulsory education.

Income index: It is based on gross domestic product per capita adjusted for purchasing power parity (US\$).

The predefined distribution categories of HDI were used to describe each country: low (HDI < 0.5), medium ( $0.5 \le$  HDI < 0.7), high ( $0.7 \le$  HDI < 0.8), and very high (HDI  $\ge$  0.8). The very high and high HDI levels were grouped together and so were the low and medium levels as alternatives for the analysis. These data were obtained from the Human Development Reports [29] (http://hdr. undp.org/en/composite/IHDI).

#### 2.1.1. Social inequality

In the literature on social inequality regarding monetary income, the most used way to know its distribution is to use some measure of inequality, which includes those that are affected in a social welfare function such as the Gini index, the Lorenz curve, and Theil-L [30]. In this study, we estimated the inequality with the Gini and Theil-L indexes. Both indexes are measured by the World Bank and the data can be extracted by one of its tools; LAC Equity Lab tabulations (http://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/poverty/lac-equitylab1/income-inequality/income-distribution). The data added from the LAC are based on 17 countries from Latin America and the Caribbean for which the micro data was available; Haiti is not included, and data on Cuba is limited. In case data was not available for a specific year, the values are calculated by the World Bank using data from the World Development Indicators to estimate regional measurements.

#### 2.2. Statistical Analysis

To analyze the adherence of the data to the normal distribution, the Shapiro–Wilk test was used by the HDI-established groups. Normality of the data was met with p > 0.05. We performed univariate linear regression models to estimate the variation of the variables of OC in relation to socioeconomic indicators. In this regression model, the dependent variables were OC incidence and mortality. The independent variables were HDI with its components (health, education, and income indexes), Gini, and Theil-L. A regression model was estimated for each exposure–outcome pair, describing the slope and its 95% confidence interval (CI), predictive capacity ( $r^2$ ), and p-value. Statistical significance was reported assuming p < 0.05. All the analyses were run in Stata software v.15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA), and Infogram was used to present some of the results.

#### 2.2.1. Indicator analysis

General incidence and mortality rates in countries with different life expectancy rates can offer a false idea of greater risk in countries with a larger elderly population. In these cases, ASRs cancel out the effect of unequal age compositions among populations and allow for a more adequate comparison [31] that were used for the analysis.

One aspect to consider when comparing HDI between countries is that weighting the three components of the index equally suggests a perfect substitution between longevity, knowledge, and living standards and, therefore, implicit trade-offs between the three dimensions. As a result, countries ranked very closely together can have significantly different development indexes in a given dimension. Moreover, as the absolute value of each component affects the level of the HDI, the maximum and minimum values selected for normalization affect the value of the index, resulting in a change in the ranking order [32]. Hence, dimensions have to be analyzed separately as well. Also, the current HDI consists of both stock (life expectancy and literacy rate) and flow (GDP per capita) measures of different aspects of human development. As a result, it reflects the cumulative past efforts on health and education, and current income levels. To see the effect of policies implemented in a period of time, we decided to measure two different years (2010 and 2017).

Regarding the Gini index, the coefficient varies between 0 and 1. A Gini coefficient of 0 reflects complete equality, whereas a Gini coefficient of 1 shows perfect inequality [33]. The Gini coefficient is able

to provide a basis for the value judgment of income inequality. The internationally accepted standard is that if the value of the Gini coefficient is <0.2, the observed income distribution is highly similar; if the value varies between 0.2 and 0.3, the distribution of income is relatively medium; if it is between 0.3 and 0.4, the income distribution is moderately high; and if it is >0.4, income inequality tends to be large [31].

The second measure of inequality is Theil's entropic index (Theil-L). It is a measure of finer concentration than the Gini coefficient. The Theil-L index is normalized in a range between 0 and 1, where values close to 0 mean less inequality and close to 1 mean greater inequality. This measures inequality in the distribution of

individuals according to per capita household income. It is calculated through logarithm or ratio between the arithmetic and geometric means of household income per capita of individuals [34].

# 3. RESULTS

The study analyses constitute the regional overview of the current patterns of OC incidence and mortality in relation to predefined levels of HDI. Table 1 shows cases, crude rates, and ASRs of OC incidence and mortality by sex and years of measurement according by HDI-established groups. Figure 1 shows the differences between

 Table 1
 Oral cancer incidence and mortality rates in Latin America in 2010 and 2017 according to the classification of the Human Development Index (HDI)

				Incidence Mortality									
Country	Gender		2010			2017			2010			2017	
		Cases	CR	ASR	Cases	CR	ASR	Cases	CR	ASR	Cases	CR	ASR
Very high and high HDI													
Argentina	Men	910	4.52	5.02	1001	4.68	4.97	476	2.37	2.66	511	2.39	2.57
	Women	547	2.60	2.27	618	2.77	2.31	225	1.07	0.88	246	1.1	0.86
Chile	Men	186	2.22	2.43	233	2.6	2.52	97	1.16	1.3	119	1.33	1.31
	Women	136	1.58	1.39	177	1.92	1.49	54	0.63	0.54	69	0.75	0.55
Uruguay	Men	114	6.97	6.44	125	7.53	6.65	63	3.83	3.53	67	4.03	3.54
	Women	65	3.71	2.64	72	4.04	2.73	28	1.61	1.01	30	1.71	1.00
Brazil	Men	5476	5.58	7.52	6592	6.39	7.44	3071	3.54	4.40	3650	3.13	4.25
	Women	2563	2.54	3.03	3102	2.91	2.97	1038	1.16	1.29	1240	1.03	1.22
Colombia	Men	368	1.62	2.65	464	1.94	2.68	217	1.12	1.64	266	0.96	1.59
	Women	442	1.89	2.49	559	2.27	2.54	180	0.89	1.08	219	0.77	1.04
Costa Rica	Men	46	2.01	2.60	57	2.37	2.60	27	1.36	1.58	33	1.18	1.53
Cult	Women	51	1.38	1.53	41	1.69	1.61	12	0.65	0.62	16	0.54	0.63
Cuba	Men	54/ 260	9.64	8.20	224	5 71	8.50	520	0.58	4.82	3//	5.64 1.00	4.85
Dominican Donublic	Mon	200	4.05	5.52	524 224	3.71	5.01	112	2.41	1.40	137	1.99	1.55
Dominican Republic	Women	152	2.11	4.09	180	2.00	3.00	140	1.20	1.55	115	1.95	1.56
Ecuador	Men	88	1.17	1.82	101	1.21	1 71	52	0.69	1.55	58	0.69	1.00
Leuadoi	Women	131	1.17	2 34	162	1.21	2 39	53	0.07	0.99	50 64	0.02	0.97
Mexico	Men	838	1.71	2.31	1006	1.50	2.32	475	0.87	1 34	559	0.70	1.27
inenico	Women	850	1.42	1.88	1056	1.63	1.94	315	0.59	0.73	381	0.53	0.73
Panama	Men	34	1.87	2.64	46	2.29	2.87	20	1.30	1.61	26	1.11	1.66
	Women	31	1.74	2.14	36	1.84	2.01	13	0.70	0.90	14	0.7	0.78
Paraguay	Men	85	2.75	4.03	105	3.01	4.01	56	1.84	3.14	68	1.94	2.68
0 1	Women	26	0.86	1.13	32	0.95	1.14	14	0.48	0.78	17	0.48	0.60
Peru	Men	196	1.25	1.95	206	1.35	1.93	116	0.74	1.22	143	0.86	0.95
	Women	266	1.97	2.56	266	2.10	2.49	107	0.79	1.08	158	0.96	0.99
Venezuela	Men	288	1.99	3.21	370	2.37	3.35	159	1.28	1.87	200	1.1	1.89
	Women	268	1.84	2.57	334	2.11	2.59	100	0.77	1.03	122	0.69	1.00
Medium and low HDI													
Bolivia	Men	62	1.24	2.12	74	1.33	2.07	42	0.83	1.46	49	0.89	1.41
	Women	86	1.73	2.56	108	1.95	2.64	40	0.79	1.23	50	0.90	1.25
El Salvador	Men	43	1.49	2.11	51	1.76	2.21	26	0.89	1.31	30	1.05	1.35
	Women	67	2.09	2.51	82	2.51	2.67	26	0.82	1.02	32	0.97	1.05
Guatemala	Men	68	0.95	1.95	69	0.99	1.90	41	0.59	1.29	44	0.60	1.23
	Women	96	1.26	2.11	106	1.40	2.14	39	0.50	0.93	42	0.54	0.91
Honduras	Men	40	1.07	2.04	50	1.19	2.06	24	0.65	1.32	30	0.72	1.31
	Women	100	2.65	3.94	123	2.96	3.99	40	1.07	1.78	48	1.15	1.74
Nicaragua	Men	22	0.76	1.51	28	0.93	1.60	13	0.46	0.99	17	0.56	1.01
	Women	20	0.70	1.08	26	0.84	1.13	9	0.29	0.50	11	0.34	0.49
Haiti	Men	103	2.04	4.18	121	2.20	4.17	73	1.44	3.08	86	1.56	3.08
	Women	82	1.58	2.74	95	1.70	2.75	39	0.76	1.47	46	0.82	1.48

Authors' elaboration based on Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) (http://ghdx.healthdata.org). CR, crude rate; ASR, age-standardized rate per 100,000 inhabitants.







**Figure 2** | Incidence and mortality of oral cancer according to HDI groups in 2010 and 2017 (age-standardized rates per 100,000 pop). *Source:* Author's elaboration.



sexes for both incidence and mortality. The highest male:female ratio was in Paraguay in both years (incidence >3.5 and mortality >4.0); and was only inverted in Honduras in the two measured years (M:F > 0.5). The highest ASR of incidence and mortality for OC were found in countries with higher HDI (Figure 2). Men from Brazil and Cuba had the highest incidence and mortality ASRs per 100,000 inhabitants (ASR incidence >7.5 and mortality >4.5). Haiti was the most affected country among those with the lowest HDI (ASR incidence >4.1 and mortality >3.0).

The established groups showed notable progress for both the global HDI indicators and its components (Table 2). Brazil and the Dominican Republic showed the greatest advances in the measured rankings. Cuba had the largest decrease in the ranking (–5), with the most notable decrease in its education index (0.82 in 2010 and 0.77 in 2017). Among the medium and low HDI countries, El Salvador descended in the ranking, although its global HDI

Country	Year	Total population (Million)	HDI	Change in ranking 2010–2017	Income index	Education index	Health index	Gini	Theil-L
Very high and high HDI									
Argentina	2010	41.22	0.81	-2	0.79	0.80	0.86	0.43	0.32
	2017	43.85	0.82		0.78	0.81	0.87	0.42	0.33
Chile	2010	16.99	0.81	0	0.78	0.75	0.90	0.48	0.45
	2017	17.91	0.84		0.81	0.80	0.92	0.48	0.45
Uruguay	2010	3.37	0.77	1	0.77	0.69	0.87	0.45	0.37
	2017	3.44	0.80		0.80	0.73	0.88	0.40	0.28
Brazil	2010	196.80	0.73	7	0.75	0.62	0.83	0.53	0.56
	2017	207.65	0.76		0.74	0.69	0.85	0.51	0.52
Colombia	2010	45.92	0.72	2	0.70	0.64	0.82	0.55	0.61
	2017	48.65	0.75		0.74	0.68	0.84	0.51	0.52
Costa Rica	2010	4.55	0.75	1	0.73	0.65	0.90	0.48	0.44
	2017	4.86	0.79		0.75	0.72	0.92	0.49	0.44
Cuba	2010	11.33	0.78	-5	0.63	0.82	0.91	() <sup>a</sup>	() <sup>a</sup>
	2017	11.48	0.77		0.65	0.77	0.92	$()^{a}$	() <sup>a</sup>
Dominican Republic	2010	9.90	0.70	8	0.71	0.61	0.81	0.47	0.40
	2017	10.65	0.73		0.74	0.64	0.83	0.45	0.38
Ecuador	2010	14.93	0.72	4	0.68	0.63	0.85	0.49	0.47
	2017	16.39	0.75		0.70	0.69	0.87	0.45	0.38
Mexico	2010	117.32	0.74	$^{-1}$	0.76	0.62	0.86	0.47	0.44
	2017	127.54	0.77		0.77	0.68	0.88	0.43	0.51
Panama	2010	3.64	0.76	-1	0.75	0.67	0.87	0.52	0.52
	2017	4.03	0.78		0.79	0.69	0.89	0.50	0.47
Paraguay	2010	6.21	0.67	2	0.64	0.60	0.80	0.51	0.61
	2017	6.73	0.70		0.67	0.63	0.82	0.48	0.47
Peru	2010	29.37	0.72	0	0.68	0.65	0.83	0.46	0.40
	2017	31.77	0.75		0.72	0.69	0.85	0.44	0.35
Venezuela	2010	29.03	0.76	-1	0.77	0.69	0.83	$()^{a}$	() <sup>a</sup>
	2017	31.57	0.77		0.73	0.73	0.84	0.47	() <sup>a</sup>
Medium and low HDI									
Bolivia	2010	9.92	0.65	2	0.60	0.64	0.71	0.46	0.38
	2017	10.89	0.69		0.63	0.68	0.76	0.45	0.35
El Salvador	2010	6.16	0.67	-4	0.64	0.59	0.80	0.44	0.34
	2017	6.34	0.68		0.66	0.58	0.82	0.40	0.30
Guatemala	2010	14.63	0.61	4	0.63	0.46	0.79	0.48	0.63
	2017	16.58	0.65		0.65	0.51	0.82	0.48	0.50
Honduras	2010	8.19	0.60	2	0.55	0.48	0.81	0.53	0.54
	2017	9.11	0.61		0.56	0.50	0.82	0.50	0.45
Nicaragua	2010	5.74	0.62	3	0.56	0.52	0.83	0.44	0.37
- -	2017	6.15	0.66		0.60	0.56	0.85	0.46	0.46
Haiti	2010	10.00	0.47	0	0.41	0.40	0.64	$()^{a}$	() <sup>a</sup>
	2017	10.85	0.50		0.43	0.43	0.67	0.41	() <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Data missing from source. Authors' elaboration based on United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) (http://knoema.com/HDREPT2018/human-development-report). HDI, Human Development Index.



**Figure 3** Correlation between age-standardized oral cancer mortality and the Human Development Index with its components in Latin America in 2010 and 2017. ARG, Argentina; BOL, Bolivia; BRAS, Brazil; CHL, Chile; COL, Colombia; CRI, Costa Rica; CUB, Cuba; DOM, Dominican Republic; ECU, Ecuador; SLV, El Salvador; GTM, Guatemala; HTI, Haiti; HND, Honduras; MEX, Mexico; NIC, Nicaragua; PAN, Panama; PRY, Paraguay; PER, Peru; URY, Uruguay; VEN, Venezuela. *Source*: Authors' elaboration based on data from Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation [27].

			Inciden	ce rates					Mortalit	y rates		
<b>/ariables</b>	2010			2017			2010			2017		
	B (95% CI)	r	þ	B (95% CI)	r	þ	B (95% CI)	r	þ	B (95% CI)	r	р
lery high and high HDI												
IDI	1.29(-18.32, 20.90)	0.002	0.888	-4.73(-26.90, 17.44)	0.017	0.650	1.18(-11.99, 9.62)	0.005	0.815	-4.71(-16.36, 6.93)	0.061	0.395
ncome index	-6.38(-20.95, 8.20)	0.070	0.359	-10.25(-26.28, 5.79)	0.139	0.189	-4.71(-12.51, 3.09)	0.126	0.213	-6.56(-14.88, 1.76)	0.197	0.111
<b>Iducation index</b>	5.37 (-5.19, 15.94)	0.092	0.290	2.45 (-12.33, 17.24)	0.011	0.724	2.12(-3.86, 8.09)	0.047	0.455	-0.14(-8.12, 7.85)	0.000	0.970
Health index	-1.26(-23.53, 21.01)	0.001	0.904	-1.00(-24.76, 22.76)	0.001	0.928	-1.77(-14.02, 10.48)	0.008	0.758	-1.99(-14.79, 10.72)	0.010	0.739
Jini	-0.08(-21.67, 21.51)	0.000	0.994	-2.81(-22.37, 16.75)	0.009	0.758	1.41 (-10.51, 13.32)	0.007	0.798	-0.13(-10.89, 10.63)	0.000	0.980
Theil-L	-0.15(-8.28, 7.98)	0.000	0.967	-2.78(-12.35, 6.78)	0.040	0.531	0.55(-3.94, 5.03)	0.007	0.791	-0.90(-6.21, 4.40)	0.014	0.712
Aedium and low HDI												
IDI	-6.78(-18.06, 4.49)	0.411	0.170	-7.08(-17.46, 3.30)	0.473	0.131	-5.78(-11.77, 0.20)	0.643	0.051	-5.97(-11.38, -0.56)	0.701	0.038
ncome index	-5.23(-15.01, 4.55)	0.355	0.212	-5.55(-14.52, 3.42)	0.424	0.161	-4.57(-9.92, 0.77)	0.595	0.053	-4.84(-9.52,-0.17)	0.674	0.045
Iducation index	-3.44(-14.10, 7.21)	0.168	0.420	-4.05(-14.46, 6.35)	0.227	0.340	2.84(-9.75, 4.07)	0.245	0.318	-3.17(-10.07, 3.73)	0.289	0.271
Health index	-6.85(-17.34, 3.64)	0.451	0.144	-7.50 (-18.01, 3.01)	0.495	0.119	-5.81(-11.10, -0.52)	0.700	0.038	-6.52(-11.32, -1.72)	0.780	0.020
Jini	11.31 (-9.57, 32.18)	0.498	0.183	-4.63(-29.58, 20.32)	0.062	0.634	5.79(-4.31, 15.90)	0.526	0.165	-4.36(-21.14, 12.43)	0.115	0.511
Theil-L	1.42(-7.44, 10.29)	0.080	0.644	-2.13(-15.57, 11.31)	0.078	0.649	0.75(-3.64, 5.15)	0.091	0.622	-0.95(-7.45, 5.55)	0.068	0.673

remained stable (<0.68). Other countries in this group showed increases in ranking, with Bolivia and Nicaragua presenting the greatest advances in the global HDI (increase of <0.04).

In 2010, the Gini index in the group of countries with very high and high HDI fluctuated from 0.43 in Argentina to 0.55 in Colombia. In 2017, Uruguay showed the biggest change by descending from 0.45 in 2010 to 0.40 in 2017. Colombia and Brazil had the highest values in 2017 (<0.51). Among this group, the Theil-L index behavior was similar and only Mexico showed an increase between 2010 and 2017 (0.44 and 0.51, respectively). The Gini index in the medium and low HDI countries showed the highest values in Honduras (>0.50). The highest values of the Theil-L index were observed in Guatemala with 0.63 in 2010 and 0.50 in 2017, which also represented the biggest change in this group.

From the simple linear models, only the outcome of mortality and global HDI in lower HDI countries for both years (2010 and 2017) were statistically significant (*p*-value <0.05). Mortality from OC is negatively related to the global HDI in both years with regression coefficients (95% confidence interval) being -5.78 (-11.77, 0.20) in 2010 and -5.97 (-11.38, -0.56) in 2017; and separately to the income [-4.57 (-9.92, 0.77) in 2010 and -4.84 (-9.52, -0.17) in 2017] and health indexes [-5.81 (-11.10, -0.52) and -6.52 (-11.32, -1.72) in 2017] (*p* < 0.05) in the countries with lower HDI. This means that the proportion of total variance ( $r^2$ ) explained by these regressions was 64% in 2010 and 70% in 2017. The values of the global HDI were assumed to be due to the income ( $r^2 = 0.59$  in 2010 and  $r^2 = 0.67$  in 2017) and health ( $r^2 = 0.70$  in 2010 and  $r^2 = 0.78$  in 2017) indexes. The Gini and Theil-L inequality indicators did not show a significant correlation in any of the cases (Table 3).

According to statistically significant data and the coefficients of determination on the simple linear models of pairs between mortality by OC as an outcome and HDI with its components of income and health as predictors [35], we decided to analyze linearity as we corroborated that it was not possible to run a different type of regression. The residuals were graphically analyzed to determine normality through the Kernel density plot and they showed a slight positive bias. The Shapiro-Wilk test was also included (Table 4), although it should be interpreted with caution given the sample size. In this test, the models of pairs between mortality and the income index in both years did not show normality (p < 0.05), unlike its square root transformation. For the other pairs of outcome-predictor variables, assessing all the evidence, it can be concluded that variables of mortality are approximately distributed as normal. Heteroscedasticity was analyzed by the White test (p > 0.05) (Table 4). Error specification tests were run on the models and showed correctness of link function specification (p > 0.05). Owing to the limitations of the sample from countries with lower HDI in Latin America and of the characteristics of the analyzed indicators and dimensions, to make the coefficient more interpretable, the models without transformations were the definitive.

# 4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we used the HDI as an indicator of socioeconomic development, and OC incidence and mortality rates as markers of the extent of the cancer transition in the Latin American region. Results showed OC burden estimates vary from developing and developed countries. The region's populations were classified by Table 3 Effect of Human Development Index (HDI) components and other inequity indicators on oral cancer incidence and mortality rates in linear regression

**Table 4** | Residual analysis of simple linear regression models between oralcancer mortality and Human Development Index (HDI) with its incomeand health index components

Year	Predictor	Shapiro-Wilk W test for normality	White's test for Heteroskedasticity
2010	HDI	0.151	0.641
	Income index	0.000	0.457
	Health index	0.551	0.796
2017	HDI	0.608	0.551
	Income index	0.017	0.545
	Health index	0.435	0.822

broad levels of HDI. However, this classification is not deterministic and some countries are rapidly transitioning from one ranking to another due to political decisions and methodology of the index [28].

Results showed that OC incidence and mortality occurred more often in developed countries. As observed with colorectal cancer [36,37], regions with higher standards of living and better socioeconomic status were found to have higher incidence rates. Brazil and Cuba are among the countries with greater incidence of and mortality from OC; both are considered as high HDI countries. They also share a high prevalence of tobacco and alcohol consumption, especially among men [38,39]. The scale-up of tobacco and alcohol control, especially after the adoption of the Framework Convention for Tobacco Control [38] and Global Strategy to Reduce the Harmful Use of Alcohol [39] are some major public health success stories. Nonetheless, smoking and drinking remain some leading risks for early death and disability worldwide, and therefore continue to require sustained political commitment. Brazil has shown some advances in the implementation of these policies, resulting in a slight decrease in the prevalence of their consumption [40,41], as well as greater control of the prevalence of OC thanks to their health system [42]. Nonetheless, more efforts are required. The highest incidence and mortality rates found in these countries agree with previous studies [5,8,43], which showed that in Cuban and Brazilian men both OC incidence and mortality tend to increase.

However, incidence rates are influenced by the intensity of diagnostic efforts and access to health care services. In low HDI countries, poor access to cancer control and prevention, and late diagnosis lead to low incidence registries and high mortality rates versus incidence rates. This explains our results that despite high HDI countries having the highest OC incidence rates, the mortality:incidence ratio is higher in low HDI countries (<50% in high HDI versus >56% in low HDI countries). This supports the findings of some researchers that the stability and even reduction in mortality rates that has occurred for prostate cancer in developed regions over the past several years is attributed to early detection initiatives [44].

Results of the linear regression model show a significant association of OC mortality rates with HDI and its health and income dimensions.

In lower HDI countries we found a negative association of OC mortality rates with health index in both 2010 and 2017. Life expectancy is a summary measure of health of a population, which reflect climate, culture, and public investment in preventive care, all of which tend to change slowly and have lasting effects [32]. This is

reflected in the increase in life expectancy at birth in countries with lower HDI as the result of a stable effort over time of policies that place health in the public agenda and as the focus of multiple assistances, especially to reduce infant mortality [29,45]. In contrast, OC represents an important cause of morbidity and mortality in young groups at a global scale (20–39 years), especially among men [46]; which could affect this indicator more rapidly. In addition, as suggested by Khazaei et al. [23], a negative association between, for example, mortality and life expectancy for prostate cancer can be related to better facilities for the control of cancer in developed areas.

Although this study did not find a significant correlation between the education index and OC, it has been suggested to measure for education by sex as it has been observed that there are differences between men and women in expected number of years of education and enrollment rates between primary and tertiary education, and their relationship with the incidence and mortality of bladder cancer [25], and OC rates highly differ between sexes.

The third part of the HDI is sufficient income, which is related to the gross domestic product. This study shows a negative and significant relationship between the income index and OC in countries with lower HDI, which is in agreement with that of Siakholak et al. [22]. It is known that low-income population groups tend to have precarious oral health, less access to oral health services, and nutritional deficiencies, which are common in oral and oropharyngeal cancer cases [47–49].

The Gini and Theil-L indexes did not show significant correlation, rather they are contradictory between coefficients positively related to OC incidence but negatively to mortality. However, these incidences of inequality have shown to be sensitive to individual and contextual measurement of oral health conditions at national levels, showing negative relationships toward worse oral health conditions [50], less access to and quality of health services [51], and inequality in the distribution of human health services [52]. Moreover, all Latin American countries presented Gini indices >0.4, which implies that income inequality tends to be large in the region in general. Therefore, national studies are required that allow disaggregation by units and have a more accurate picture when analyzing the suggested relationship between social inequalities and OC.

This study may include the self-limitations of ecological designs, such as ecological fallacy, and the findings should not be interpreted as causal elements. HDI measurements have received criticism, as the combination of static and dynamic measurements may not reflect some changes within the countries at a given moment, which is why we chose to take measurements from two different years. To date, we are unaware of any other similar study that compares ASRs of OC incidence and mortality at a Latin American level, grouping its countries by higher or lower HDI.

### 5. CONCLUSION

Oral cancer incidence and mortality rates vary widely between Latin American countries. The HDI is inversely related to OC mortality in medium and low HDI countries, as well as its health and income indexes. The countries examined have had notable improvement in the HDI components, especially those with low and medium HDI. However, the relationships between incidence and mortality, and between sexes suggest the need to strengthen the implementation of comprehensive policies, with periodic evaluations, that take into consideration specific methods to reach the population that is most affected and that the burden of the disease could be affecting younger population groups. Consequently, OC must be more visible in national political agendas so that the population seeks opportune medical advice, the health services and their human resources are able to respond to the demand, and that incidence is not synonymous with mortality in 50% of cases.

# **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

B.Y.H-S. led and designed the study, E.L-C. and V.H.T-R. contributed to the design and implementation of the research, R.C.A. led the analysis of the results, and R.A.A-E. aided in interpreting the results and worked on the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis, and manuscript.

## FUNDING

The present study was developed within the framework of the doctoral training of BYH-S with the support of a CONACYT-Mexico scholarship. The researchers are autonomous in the preparation and publication of the results.

#### REFERENCES

- World Health Organization (WHO). International Classification of Diseases, 11th Revision (ICD-11). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2018.
- [2] Martinez VD, MacAulay CE, Guillaud M, Lam WL, Zhang L, Corbett KK, et al. Targeting of chemoprevention to high-risk potentially malignant oral lesions: challenges and opportunities. Oral Oncol 2014;50;1123–30.
- [3] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68;394–424.
- [4] Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 2015;136;E359–E86.
- [5] Perdomo S, Martin Roa G, Brennan P, Forman D, Sierra MS. Head and neck cancer burden and preventive measures in Central and South America. Cancer Epidemiol 2016;44;S43–S52.
- [6] Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística; 2014.
- [7] Chatenoud L, Bertuccio P, Bosetti C, Malvezzi M, Levi F, Negri E, et al. Trends in mortality from major cancers in the Americas: 1980–2010. Ann Oncol 2014;25;1843–53.

- [8] Curado MP, de Souza DLB. Cancer burden in Latin America and the Caribbean. Ann Glob Health 2014;80;370–7.
- [9] Antunes JLF, Toporcov TN, Biazevic MGH, Boing AF, Bastos JL. Gender and racial inequalities in trends of oral cancer mortality in Sao Paulo, Brazil. Rev Saúde Pública 2013;47;470–8.
- [10] Guerra G, Borde E, Salgado de Snyder VN. Measuring health inequities in low and middle income countries for the development of observatories on inequities and social determinants of health. Inter J Equity Health 2016;15;9.
- [11] Antunes JLF, Borrell C, Pérez G, Boing AF, Wünsch-Filho V. Inequalities in mortality of men by oral and pharyngeal cancer in Barcelona, Spain and São Paulo, Brazil, 1995–2003. Int J Equity Health 2008;7;14.
- [12] Mahdavifar N, Ghoncheh M, Mohammadian-Hafshejani A, Khosravi B, Salehiniya H. Epidemiology and inequality in the incidence and mortality of nasopharynx cancer in Asia. Osong Public Health Res Perspect 2016;7;360–72.
- [13] Di Cesare M, Khang YH, Asaria P, Blakely T, Cowan MJ, Farzadfar F, et al. Inequalities in non-communicable diseases and effective responses. Lancet 2013;381;585–97.
- [14] Blankart CR. Does healthcare infrastructure have an impact on delay in diagnosis and survival? Health Policy 2012;105; 128–37.
- [15] Gigliotti J, Madathil S, Makhoul N. Delays in oral cavity cancer. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2019;48;1131–7.
- [16] van Harten MC, Hoebers FJP, Kross KW, van Werkhoven ED, van den Brekel MWM, van Dijk BAC. Determinants of treatment waiting times for head and neck cancer in the Netherlands and their relation to survival. Oral Oncol 2015;51;272–8.
- [17] Maruvka YE, Tang M, Michor F. On the validity of using increases in 5-year survival rates to measure success in the fight against cancer. PLoS One 2014;9;e83100.
- [18] Sunkara V, Hébert JR. The colorectal cancer mortality-toincidence ratio as an indicator of global cancer screening and care. Cancer 2015;121;1563–9.
- [19] Hébert JR, Daguise VG, Hurley DM, Wilkerson RC, Mosley CM, Adams SA, et al. Mapping cancer mortality-to-incidence ratios to illustrate racial and sex disparities in a high-risk population. Cancer 2009;115;2539–52.
- [20] Bray F, Jemal A, Grey N, Ferlay J, Forman D. Global cancer transitions according to the Human Development Index (2008–2030): a population-based study. Lancet Oncol 2012;13;790–801.
- [21] Ghoncheh M, Mirzaei M, Salehiniya H. Incidence and mortality of breast cancer and their relationship with the Human Development Index (HDI) in the world in 2012. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2016;16;8439–43.
- [22] Siakholak FR, Ghoncheh M, Pakzad R, Gandomani HS, Ghorat F, Salehiniya H. Epidemiology, incidence and mortality of oral cavity and lips cancer and their relationship with the human development index in the world. Biomed Res Ther 2016;3;872–88.
- [23] Khazaei S, Rezaeian S, Ayubi E, Gholamaliee B, Pishkuhi MA, Khazaei S, et al. Global prostate cancer incidence and mortality rates according to the Human Development Index. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2016;17;3793–6.
- [24] Khodamoradi F, Ghoncheh M, Pakzad R, Gandomani HS, Salehiniya H. The incidence and mortality of brain and central nervous system cancer and their relationship with Human Development Index in the world. World Cancer Res J 2017;4;e985.
- [25] Mahdavifar N, Ghoncheh M, Pakzad R, Momenimovahed Z, Salehiniya H. Epidemiology, incidence and mortality of bladder

cancer and their relationship with the development index in the world. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2016;17;381–6.

- [26] Goss PE, Lee BL, Badovinac-Crnjevic T, Strasser-Weippl K, Chavarri-Guerra Y, St Louis J, et al. Planning cancer control in Latin America and the Caribbean. Lancet Oncol 2013;14;391–436.
- [27] Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). Global Health Data Exchange [Internet]. Global Burden of Disease (GBD); 2018. Available from: http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool
- [28] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Human Development Indicators and Indices: 2018 Statistical Update Team. New York, USA: United Nations Development Programme, 1 UN Plaza; 2018.
- [29] Programa de las Naciones Unidas para el Desarrollo (UNDP). Human Development Report 2016. Human Development for Everyone. New York, USA: United Nations Development Programme, 1 UN Plaza; 2016.
- [30] Gurusamy V, Jiménez-Sánchez L, Jaramillo-Villanueva JL, Martínez-Carrera DC, Sánchez-Hernández M, Méndez-Cadena ME. Estructura del ingreso y consumo de hogares rurales en diferentes regiones agro-económicas de Puebla, México. Agric Soc Desarro 2018;15;215–33.
- [31] Organización Panamericana de la Salud. Indicadores de salud: Aspectos conceptuales y operativos (Sección 2) [Internet]. Elaboración y medición de indicadores de salud; 2019, pp. 1–6 [cited 2019 Mar 30]. Available from: https://www.paho.org/hq/index.php? option,com\_content&view,article&id,14402:health-indicatorsconceptual-and-operational-considerations-section-1&Itemid, 0&lang,es
- [32] Hou J, Walsh PP, Zhang J. The dynamics of Human Development Index. Soc Sci J 2015;52;331–47.
- [33] Instituto Latinoamericano y del Caribe de Planificación Económica y Social (ILPES). El uso de indicadores socioeconómicos en la formulación y evaluación de proyectos sociales: aplicación metodológica. En Serie Manuales. Santiago de Chile: CEPAL/Naciones Unidas; 2001.
- [34] Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo. Desarrollo en las Américas
   [Internet]. Departamento de Investigación, Sociometro BID; 2017.
   Available from: https://www.iadb.org/es/investigacion-y-datos/ sociometro-bid%2C6981.html
- [35] Chatterjee S, Hadi AS, Price B. Regression analysis by example.3rd ed., New York, USA: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.
- [36] Khazaei S, Rezaeian S, Khazaei S, Mansori K, Sanjari Moghaddam A, Ayubi E. Effects of Human Development Index and its components on colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: a global ecological study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2016;17;253-6.
- [37] Khosravi Shadmani F, Ayubi E, Khazaei S, Sani M, Mansouri Hanis S, Khazaei S, et al. Geographic distribution of the incidence of colorectal cancer in Iran: a population-based study. Epidemiol Health. 2017;39;e2017020.

- [38] Portes LH, Machado CV. [WHO framework convention on tobacco control: adherence and establishment in Latin America]. Rev Panam Salud Pública 2015;38;370–9 (in Portugese).
- [39] World Health Organization (WHO). Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2018.
- [40] Szklo AS, de Almeida LM, Figueiredo VC, Autran M, Malta D, Caixeta R, et al. A snapshot of the striking decrease in cigarette smoking prevalence in Brazil between 1989 and 2008. Prev Med 2012;54;162–7.
- [41] de Menezes RF, Bergmann A, de Aguiar SS, Thuler LCS. Alcohol consumption and the risk of cancer in Brazil: a study involving 203,506 cancer patients. Alcohol 2015;49;747–51.
- [42] Martins Filho PRS, de Santana Santos T, da Silva LCF, Piva MR. Oral cancer in Brazil: a secular history of Public Health Policies. Rev Gaúch Odontol 2014;62;159–64.
- [43] Warnakulasuriya S. Global epidemiology of oral and oropharyngeal cancer. Oral Oncol 2009;45;309–16.
- [44] Baade PD, Youlden DR, Cramb SM, Dunn J, Gardiner RA. Epidemiology of prostate cancer in the Asia-Pacific region. Prostate Int 2013;1;47–58.
- [45] Global Burden of Disease Health Financing Collaborator Network. Past, present, and future of global health financing: a review of development assistance, government, out-of-pocket, and other private spending on health for 195 countries, 1995–2050. Lancet 2019;393;2233–60.
- [46] Fidler MM, Gupta S, Soerjomataram I, Ferlay J, Steliarova-Foucher E, Bray F. Cancer incidence and mortality among young adults aged 20–39 years worldwide in 2012: a population-based study. Lancet Oncol 2017;18;1579–89.
- [47] Cohen N, Fedewa S, Chen AY. Epidemiology and demographics of the head and neck cancer population. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am 2018;30;381–95.
- [48] Shin JY, Yoon JK, Shin AK, Diaz AZ. The influence of insurance status on treatment and outcomes in oral cavity cancer: an analysis on 46,373 patients. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2018;47; 1250–7.
- [49] Rivera C. Essentials of oral cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015;8; 11884–94.
- [50] Ramírez-Puerta BS, Escobar-Paucar G, Ochoa-Acosta EM, Franco-Cortés ÁM. Inequalities in indicators of early childhood caries experience in the municipality of Andes (Antioquia, Colombia). Rev Fac Odontol Univ Antioq 2018;30; 32–42.
- [51] da Silva JV, da Costa Oliveira AGR. Individual and contextual factors associated to the self-perception of oral health in Brazilian adults. Rev Saúde Pública 2018;52;29.
- [52] Lucio-García CA, Recaman AL, Arredondo A. Evidencias sobre la inequidad en la distribución de recursos humanos en salud. Horiz Sanit 2018;17;77–82.