scientific reports



OPEN Effect of vitamin E supplementation on cardiometabolic risk factors, inflammatory and oxidative markers and hormonal functions in PCOS (polycystic ovary syndrome): a systematic review and meta-analysis

Ghazale Tefagh¹, Moloud Payab^{2,10}, Mostafa Qorbani³, Farshad Sharifi⁴, Yasaman Sharifi², Mahbubeh Sadat Ebrahimnegad Shirvani⁵, Farzad Pourghazi², Rasha Atlasi⁶, Zhaleh Shadman⁴, Nafiseh Rezaei^{7,8}, Erfan Mohammadi-Vajari⁹, Bagher Larijani² & Mahbube Ebrahimpur^{4,10}

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a common endocrinopathy among reproductive-age women. Various therapeutical approaches are currently used to manage or control symptoms associated with PCOS. This systematic review intended to assess the effects of Vit E supplementation on cardiometabolic risk factors, inflammatory and oxidative markers, and hormonal functions in PCOS women based on the clinical trial's results. The databases including PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane, Web of Science, and Embase were used to find all relevant studies. The authors reviewed all relevant clinical trials via systematic evaluation of abstracts and titles. Searches were conducted on August 1, 2020. After the initial search and reading of the article's title and abstract, 353 articles were reviewed; finally, 12 articles met the inclusion criteria. Vitamin E supplementation improves lipid profile, decreases insulin and HOMA-IR levels. Furthermore, while Vitamin E supplementation decreases LH and testosterone concentrations, it increases FSH and progestrone concentrations. The following meta-analysis showed that vitamin E supplementation made statistically significant improvements in triglyceride (TG) and low-density lipoproteins (LDL) levels, meanwhile, pooled mean difference for

¹Advanced Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology Research Center, Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ²Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, First Floor, No 10, Jalal-Al-Ahmad Street, North Kargar Avenue, Tehran 14117-13137, Iran. ³Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran. ⁴Elderly Health Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Population Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, First Floor, No 10, Jalal-Al-Ahmad Street, North Kargar Avenue, Tehran 14117-13137, Iran. ⁵Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁶Evidence Based Practice Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁷Department of Medical Library and Information Science, Paramedicine Faculty, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. 8Department of Medical Library and Information Science, Paramedicine Faculty, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran. ⁹School of Medicine, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran. ¹⁰These authors contributed equally: Moloud Payab and Mahbube Ebrahimpur. Memail: moloudpayab@gmail.com; m-ebrahimpur@tums.ac.ir

waist circumference (WC) and HOMA-IR were also statistically significant. Supplementary regimens containing vitamin E can positively affect metabolic and hormonal parameters in women with PCOS.

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a common endocrinopathy among women in reproductive age with a variable prevalence between 4 and 8%, as defined by the NIH/NICHD criteria¹. PCOS is a heterogeneous syndrome characterized by symptoms of hyperandrogenism (e.g. acne, hirsutism, and alopecia), anovulation (e.g. irregular menstrual cycles, oligomenorrhea, and amenorrhea), and polycystic ovarian morphology². PCOS is associated with a variety of metabolic conditions, including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), hypertension, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and atherosclerosis^{3–5}. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia are common findings in PCOS as 44–70% of patients suffer from them^{6,7}. Meanwhile, Dyslipidemia which can significantly decrease high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and increase triglyceride (TG) concentrations are certainly the most prevalent and persistent cardiovascular risk factors encountered in women with PCOS⁸.

The pathophysiology of PCOS is not clearly elaborated yet, but it might be associated with genetic factors, lifestyle, and deficiency of essential micronutrients in patients with insulin resistance and oxidative stress^{9,10}. The first-line treatments of PCOS are mostly lifestyle modifications including exercise and diet alterations¹¹, as imbalanced element status is an essential foundation for insulin resistance in PCOS ¹². There is growing interest in using different combinations of dietary supplements such as magnesium and vitamin E, as their synergistic impact might help improve metabolic profiles in several diseases with metabolic abnormalities ¹³⁻¹⁵. Magnesium and vitamin E co-supplementation for 12 weeks could have beneficial effects on insulin metabolic parameters along with markers of cardio-metabolic risk in women with PCOS ¹⁶. Furthermore, Omega-3 fatty acids (FA) and vitamin E co-supplementations for 12 weeks in PCOS women are stated to have significantly improved insulin resistance indices and both total and free testosterone. Moreover, the beneficial effects on gene expression and oxidative stress biomarkers in this regimen have been reported ¹⁷. For instance, another study showed that it could significantly improve lipoprotein gene expression (a) and oxidized low-density lipoprotein, lipid profiles, and biomarkers of oxidative stress in patients with PCOS ¹⁸.

According to our search in the literature, there has not been a systematic review that has evaluated the role of vitamin E supplementation in PCOS treatment, this study aimed to assess the effects of vitamin E supplementation on cardiometabolic risk factors, inflammatory and oxidative markers, and hormonal functions in PCOS women based on the clinical trials' results.

Methods

This study is reported using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guideline ¹⁹.

Search strategy and data collection. All studies evaluating the effects of supplementary vitamin E regimens on cardiometabolic risk factors, inflammatory and oxidative markers, and hormonal functions in comparison to control group (placebo/no treatment) in PCOS patients have been searched and reviewed. The databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Embase, were used to find all relevant studies. Also, the references of the relevant articles were explored to find other relevant articles. The search was not restricted to any specific time frame or language. Three emails with acceptable intervals (about two weeks) were sent to the corresponding authors of restricted access articles' for full texts. Searches were conducted on August 1, 2020, and reported the search strategy in Table 1 supplementary.

Inclusion criteria. Types of studies:

All relevant clinical trials (including double and single-blind and data from a parallel and cross-over group designed) evaluating the effects of vitamin E supplementary regimens in PCOS patients were gathered, and single-arm studies were not included in the study. Two authors (MM and GhT) independently screened all ofthe retrieved clinical trials using their titles and abstracts. Full-text of relevant articles were collected to assess their relevance according to the inclusion/exclusion criteria.

Types of participants:

The studies that evaluated the effects of vitamin E supplementation outcomes in the PCO adult population (\geq 18 years) were included in this study. In this regard, the subjects of the study contained patients with the PCOS receiving vitamin E supplementary regimens and control groups of PCOS patients receiving placebo or no treatment; we exclude those studies that have populations restricted to specific diseases or conditions.

Types of Interventions:

This systematic review study included all studies evaluating vitamin E supplementation (alone or as a part of combination therapy) in PCOS patients.

Types of outcomes:

The effects of vitamin E on the following outcomes were evaluated in PCOS patients:

No	Author , year	Country	Type of Study	Study Subject	Sample Size	Dose /duration of supplementation	Intervention type	Control Group	Mean Age	Outcome	Follow up duration	Measurement interval
1	Chen ^{22 a}	China	RCT	PCOS	I=105 C=110	100 mg/day oral vitamin E /for 25 days	МТ	Placebo: CC (100 mg/ day for 5 days starting on day 3 of a sponta- neous menstrual cycle or withdrawal bleeding) and HMG (75 IU every second day Starting from day 8)	26.88±2.84	Estradiol Testoster- one LH FSH PRL	Until mis- carriage or delivery	_
2	Hager ²⁴	Austria	RCT	PCOS	I=30 C=30	30 mg vitamin E + 500 mg Omega-3 fatty acids + 800 µg folic acid, 70 µg selenium, 4 mg catechin, 12 mg glycyrrhizin, 30 mg Co-Q10 / 12 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (200 μg folic acid)	27.7±5.7	Testoster- one SHBG FSH LH Estradiol BMI HOMA-IR	12 Weeks	Baseline and after 3 months
3	Jamilian ¹⁶	Iran	RCT	PCOS	I=30 C=30	400 mg/ day Vita- min E + 250 mg/ day Magne- sium/12 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (Barij Essence Pharma- ceuticals, Kashan, Iran)	29.2±7.2	Weight BMI FBS Ins HOMA-IR TC TG LDL HDL	12 Weeks	Baseline and after 3 months
4	Sadeghi ²⁹	Iran	RCT	PCOS	I=32 C=30	400 IU vitamin E + 2 omega-3 pills daily each containg : 180 mg of Eicosapentae- noic acid (EPA) and 120 mg of Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) / 8 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (oral paraf- fin)	26.67±3.35	TAC CAT GSH MDA	8 Weeks	Baseline and after 2 months
5	Izad ²⁶	Iran	RCT	PCOS	I=21 C=21	400 IU vitamin E+200 mg /daily CoQ10/8 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (CoQ10 pla- cebo + vita- min E placebo)	28.33±5.52	BMI WC TG TC LDL HDL Non-HDL	8 Weeks	Baseline and after 2 months
6	Shokrpou ³⁰	Iran	RCT	PCOS	I=30 C=30	400 mg/day Vita- min E + 250 mg/ day Magne- sium/12 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (Barij Essence Pharma- ceuticals, Kashan, Iran)	27.2±7.1	weight BMI CRP MDA GSH TAC NO Testoster- one SHBG	12 Weeks	Baseline and after 3 months
7	Jamilian ²⁷	Iran	RCT	PCOS	I=20 C=20	400 IU vitamin E+1000 mg Omega-3 fatty acids/12 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (paraffin)	22.3±4.7	Weight BMI WC	12 Weeks	Baseline and after 3 months
8 Contin	Izadi ²⁵	Iran	RCT	PCOS	I=21 C=21	400 IU Vitamin E+200 mg /daily CoQ10/8 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (CoQ10 pla- cebo + vita- min E placebo)	28.33±5.52	Weight BMI FBS Ins HOMA-IR Testoster- one Estradiol SHBG FSH LH Progester- one	8 Weeks	Baseline and after 2 months

No	Author , year	Country	Type of Study	Study Subject	Sample Size	Dose /duration of supplementation	Intervention type	Control Group	Mean Age	Outcome	Follow up duration	Measurement interval
9	Talari ³¹	Iran	RCT	PCOS	I=30 C=30	400 IU vitamin E+1000 mg Omega-3/12 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (paraffin)	- (18–40)	NO CRP	12 Weeks	Baseline and after 3 months
10	Panti ²⁸	Nigeria	RCT	PCOS	I=100 C=100	15 mg vitamin E + 5000 IU vitamin A, 5 mg vitamin B1, 2 mg vitamin B1, 2 mg vitamin B1, 2 mg vitamin B12, 75 mg vitamin C, 400 IU vitamin D3, 45 mg Nicoti- namide, 1000 mcg folic acid, 50 mg ferrous fumarate, 70 mg calcium phosphate, 0.1 mg Copper sulphate, 0.01 mg Man- ganese sulphate, 50 mg Zinc sul- phate, 0.025 mg Potassium iodide, 0.5 mg Magnesium oxide /6 months	СТ	Placebo (ferrous fumarate 100 mg)	28.18±0.82	MDA	6 months	Baseline and after 6 months
11	Ebrahimi ²³	Iran	RCT	PCOS	I=34 C=34	400 IU Vitamin E + 1000 mg Omega-3 Fatty Acids/12 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (placebos capsules by Barij Essence Kashan, Iran)	23.8±4.6	Weight BMI FBS Ins HOMA-IR HOMA-B Testoster- one—total Testoster- one—total Testoster- one-free DHEAS SHBG	12 Weeks	Baseline and after 3 months
12	Rahmani ³⁵	Iran	RCT	PCOS	I=34 C=34	400 IU vitamin E + 1000 mg omega-3 fatty acids /12 Weeks	СТ	Placebo (placebos Capsules by Barij Essence Kashan, Iran)	24.9±5.5	Weight BMI TC TG LDL HDL MDA GSH TAC FSH LH	12 Weeks	Baseline and after 3 months

Table 1. Descriptions of the studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between PCO and vitamin E supplementation. *RCT* randomized controlled trial, *PCOS* polycystic ovarian syndrome, *I* intervention, *C* control, *MT* mono therapy, *CT* combination therapy, *CC* clomiphene citrate, *HMG* human menopausal gonadotropin, *IU* international unit, *LH* luteinizing hormone, *FSH* follicular stimulating hormone, *PRL* prolactin, *CoQ10* co-enzyme Q10, *SHBG* sex hormone binding globulin, *HOMA-IR* homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, *TC* total cholesterol, *TG* triglyceride, *LDL* low density lipoprotein, *HDL* high density lipoprotein, *TAC* total antioxidant capacity, *CAT* catalase, *GSH* glutathione, *MDA* malondialdehyde, *WC* weight circumference, *FBS* fasting blood sugar, *Ins* insulin, *HOMA-B* homeostatic model assessment of beta cell function, *DHEAS* dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate. ^aIn this study intervention group consists of groups B and C with Vitamin E treatment during follicular and luteal phase, respectively.

- 1. Cardiometabolic risk factors including lipid profile (Total Cholesterol (TC), HDL, Low-Density Lipoprotein (LDL), TG), glycemic indices (Fasting Blood Sugar (FBS), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), Insulin (ins), Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR)), and anthropometric measures (weight, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC))
- 2. Biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress including C-reactive protein (CRP), plasma nitric oxide (NO), total antioxidant capacity (TAC), glutathione (GSH), malondialdehyde (MDA)
- 3. Sex hormones including free testosterone, total testosterone, sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEAS), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), progesterone, estradiol

Data extraction and quality assessment. Data were extracted independently from included trials by two authors according to a predefined data extraction sheet. The extracted data included (a) bibliographic and general information (author, title, publication year, type of study, randomization, and location), (b) participants (sample size and mean age), (c) intervention (type of intervention (single/combination therapy), dose of sup-



Figure 1. Flow chart for study identification and selection.

plementation and duration), (d) control group (no treatment, placebo therapy), and (e) outcomes (reported outcomes, and follow-up time).

Two authors independently assessed the quality of included studies using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool^{20,21}.

Statistical analysis and data synthesis. The effects of vitamin E supplementation on cardiometabolic risk factors, inflammatory and oxidative markers, and hormonal functions in PCOS women were assessed using the standardized mean difference (SMD). The meta-analysis of SMD was performed and the outcome was demonstrated as pooled standardized mean difference with 95% confidence interval. The fixed and random effect models were considered for analysis based on homogeneity of data (I² < 50% considered as fix effect and I² \ge 50% considered as a random effect). The publication bias was assessed using Egger test and was presented schematically using the funnel plot. Because of the scarcity of data subgroup analysis was not carried out on the extracted data.

Ethical considerations. In this study, ethical approval is not essential because used data are not subjects, and the results are discussed through peer-reviewed publications.

Results

Description of included studies. The flow chart of the search process and study selection is depicted in Fig. 1. Following a search on PubMed (n=33), Scopus (n=174), Web of Science (n=54), and the Embase (n=17) databases, 278 relevant articles were identified. After the initial search and reading of the article's title and abstract, 353 articles were reviewed; finally, 12 articles met the inclusion criteria^{16,18,22–31}. The characteristics of included clinical trials are summarized in Table 1. Most of the studies about vitamin E and PCO treatment were conducted in Iran. Eleven studies^{16,18,22–31} evaluated the effects of vitamin E co-supplementation with other supplements such as omega 3 fatty acids and magnesium in PCOS women. Table 1 shows details different regimens used in each study.

Quality of included studies. Five studies^{15,17,23,27,34} did not describe the method used for allocation concealment clearly. Two studies^{23,28} were single-blind and four others^{2,17,22,27} did not describe the blinding process in detail. Detection bias was considered high for three studies^{16,23,27} and was unclear for nearly all other



Figure 2. Assessment of the risk of bias in the included studies. Green circle (+): Low risk, Red circle (–): High risk, ?: Unclear.

studies^{2,15,17,22,24,25,28,34}. Three studies^{2,22,34} did not report some outcomes after the intervention. One study²³ had a high risk of selective reporting bias as they did not report hormonal changes. The complete risk of bias evaluation is presented in Fig. 2. The GRADE framework^{20,21} rated the strength of the evidence for all outcomes as moderate, except for BMI^{16,23,24,26,27,31-33} and weight^{16,23,24,26,27,32}, which were rated as high; progesterone²⁴, LH^{24,26,29,31}, FSH^{24,26,29,31}, and CRP^{32,34}, which were rated as low; and CAT²⁸ and PRL²⁹, which were rated as very low streng th (Table2 supplementary).

Outcomes

Effect of vitamin E supplementation on sex hormones. Four studies evaluated testosterone levels pre and post Vitamin E co-supplementation (with magnesium, omega-3 FAs, and CoQ10). Table 2 shows all studies that showed a significant decrease in this regard in between the intervention group and the control group. regarding the estradiol levels, two studies reported a similar increase in both intervention and control groups following vitamin E supplementation. In contrast, another studyreported no significant differences in estradiol levels following vitamin E + omega3 FAs supplementation. As shown on Table 2, only one study reported a small increase in estradiol levels with Vitamin E + CoQ10 supplement group (d=-0.33) in comparison with the slight decrease that was observed in their control group (d=0.21). Three studies evaluated Vitamin E's effect on

		Intervention (mean ± SD))			Control (mean±SD)				Between Groups		
		Before	After	Change		Before	After	Change		Change	Significance	Effect size
Authors, year	Outcome	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	d	Mean±SD	Mean ± SD	Mean±SD	d	Mean±SD	NR	NR
	Estradiol	44.87 ± 30.52	336.51±155.62	291.64±139.461	- 2.60	44.47±28.87	245.23±126.74	200.76±111.82	- 2.18	NR	NR	NR
	Testosterone	1.49 ± 0.52	NR	NR	NR	1.33±0.59	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chen ²² between A	LH	7.44±3.45	NR	NR	NR	6.94±3.21	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
and B ^a	ESH	5 29 + 2 35	NR	NR	NR	5 30 + 1 67	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	PRI	14.97+9.97	NR	NR	NR	14 24 + 7 92	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Estradiol	45.61 + 37.42	214 92 + 114 11		- 1 99	44 47 + 28 87	245 23+126 74		- 2.18	NR	NR	NR
	Testestesses	151-059	NID	NID	ND	122:050	ND	ND	ND	NID	NR	ND
Chen ²² between A	Testosterone	1.51±0.38	INK	NR	NR NR	1.33±0.39	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
and C ^a	LN	0.0312.02	INK	INK	INK	0.94±3.21	NK	NR	INK	NR	NK	INK
	FSH	5.43±2.44	NR	NR	NR	5.30±1.67	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	PRL	14.76±8.01	NR	NR	NR	14.24±7.92	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Testosterone	0.50±0.19	0.43±0.15	-0.06±0.09	0.40	0.43±0.13	0.44±0.12	0.01 ± 0.50	- 0.07	0.07±0.50	NR	NR
	SHBG	46.4±20.2	48.3±19.2	1.8±8.3	- 0.09	44.2±27.3	47.1±26.7	- 2.5±10.6	- 0.10	-4.30 ± 13.23	NR	NR
	FSH	5.5±1.9	5.8±1.8	0.4±1.6	- 0.16	5.9±1.6	5.2±1.4	-0.8 ± 1.9	0.46	-1.20 ± 2.46	NR	NR
Hager ²⁴	LH	13.2±6.1	10.7±3.6	-2.5 ± 4.8	0.49	11.2±6.5	10.0 ± 5.3	-1.3 ± 4.7	0.20	1.20 ± 6.67	NR	NR
	Estradiol	60.71 ± 39.60	57.18±26.23	-6.36±25.65	0.10	59.61±29.02	57.50±23.07	-2.11 ± 18.19	0.08	4.25±31.39	NR	NR
	BMI	26.2±5.6	NR	NR	NR	25.6±5.4	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	HOMA-IR	8 (26.7)	NR	NR	NR	9 (30.0)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Weight	66.7±9.5	66.6±9.5	- 0.1 ± 0.3	0.01	67.8±10.9	67.7±11.1	- 0.1±0.8	0.009	0±0.82	NR	NR
	BMI	25.5±3.5	25.5±3.3	- 0.03±0.1	0	26±4.7	26±4.7	- 0.05±0.3	0	- 0.02±0.27	NR	NR
	FBS	92.1±12.2	90.9±11.9	-1.2±6.6	0.09	93.7±5.8	94.4±6.5	0.7±5.2	- 0.11	1.90±8.36	NR	NR
	Ins	13.4+5.8	123+50	-11+30	0.20	12.2+5.1	139+45	16+37	- 0.35	2 70 + 4 75	NR	NR
In milian 16	HOMA ID	20114	28.12	-1.115.0	0.15	28.12	22111	0.4100	- 0.35	2.7014.75	NR	ND
Jamman	HOMA-IK	5.0±1.4	2.0 ± 1.2	-0.2±0.7	0.15	2.8 ± 1.2	3.2 ± 1.1	0.4±0.9	- 0.34	0.80±1.09	NK	INK
	TC	181.6±40.4	174.5±32.2	-7.0±32.6	0.19	185.0±34.4	193.2±33.7	8.1±26.6	- 0.24	15.10±42.00	NR	NR
	TG	125.0±53.0	110.0±55.0	-15.0±24.4	0.27	128.1±60.6	134.7±68.9	6.7±22.2	- 0.10	21.70±32.92	NR	NR
	LDL	104.5±36.0	101.4±30.4	-3.1 ± 30.8	0.09	106.2±37.1	114.2±38.9	8.0±27.8	- 0.21	11.10±41.40	NR	NR
	HDL	52.1 ± 10.1	51.1±8.6	-1.0 ± 7.0	0.10	53.1±9.3	52.0±10.9	-1.1 ± 6.8	0.10	-0.10 ± 9.73	NR	NR
	TAC	12.42 ± 1.95	13.58±2.06	1.15 ± 0.93	- 0.57	12.22 ± 1.91	12.16±1.96	-0.6 ± 0.72	0.03	-1.75 ± 0.21	NR	NR
C. J. Lill	CAT	10.18 ± 1.27	12.01±1.26	1.19 ± 1.06	- 1.44	11.14±1.11	11.26±1.15	0.12±0.36	- 0.10	-1.07 ± 0.20	NR	NR
Sadegin	GSH	10.65±2.57	12.15±2.66	1.5±1.06	- 0.57	10.77±2.53	11.00±2.65	0.23±1.43	- 0.08	-1.27 ± 0.31	NR	NR
	MDA	1.76±0.29	1.42±0.26	- 0.34±0.32	1.23	1.38±0.26	1.95±2.23	0.57±2.20	- 0.35	0.91 ± 0.39	NR	NR
	BMI	29.28±3.23	28.70±3.13	- 0.59±2.84	0.18	28.73±3.39	28.74±2.9	0.01 ± 2.84	- 0.00	0.6±4.02	NR	NR
	wc	94.31±8.33	91.81±7.94	- 2.5 ± 7.28	0.30	89.33±7.97	88.43±8.04	- 0.89±7.16	0.11	1.61±10.2	NR	NR
	TG	108.67±32.00	95.24±5.86	- 13.43±10.43	0.58	112.86±42.27	112.09±9.09	- 0.77 ± 37.52	0.02	5.51±54.28	NR	NR
Imadi ²⁶	TC	163 38 + 26 30	152 86 + 20 08	0.52+21.67	0.40	157 42 + 19 46	150 67 + 22 87	2 24 + 18 80	0.10	11 76 + 28 44	NIP	NIP
izadi		07.00.025.64	733.80120.98	- 9.32121.07	0.40	70.57.04.15	139.07 1 22.87	2.24110.07	- 0.10	10.05 - 00.6	NR	NR
	LDL	87.98±25.04	78.07 ± 21.14	- 9.31 ± 21.30	0.39	79.37 ± 24.17	82.33±22.84	2.96 ± 21.05	- 0.12	12.27 ± 29.8	NR	NR
	HDL	53.67±7.44	56.14±10.08	2.47±8.18	- 0.27	55.28±11.94	54.71±9.81	- 0.57 ± 9.91	0.05	- 3.04±12.64	NR	NR
	Non-HDL	109.71±27.87	97.71±21.72	-11.77 (-17.57, -5.97)	0.48	102.14±24.39	104.95±24.79	2.87 (-2.90, 8.64)	- 0.11	NR	NR	NR
	BMI	29.28±4.24	28.92±4.23	- 0.363.78	-	28.73±3.39	28.74±2.9	0.01 ± 2.84	-	0.37±4.699	NR	NR
	TC	163.41±21.86	159±18.96	- 4.41±18.43	-	157.43±18.46	159.67±22.87	2.24±18.89	-	6.65±26.06	NR	NR
Izadi ³⁶ VIT E	TG	111.68 ± 44.41	105.18 ± 8.22	-6.5 ± 40.02	-	112.86±42.27	112.09 ± 9.09	-0.77 ± 37.52	0.02	5.51 ± 54.28	NR	NR
	LDL	82.53 ± 20.51	78.1±19.83	-4.43 ± 18.05	-	79.57 ± 24.17	82.53±22.84	2.96 ± 21.05	-	7.39 ± 27.36	NR	NR
	HDL	58.54±9.21	59.86±8.45	- 1.32 ± 7.92	-	55.28±11.94	54.71±9.81	-0.57 ± 9.91	0.05	-1.89 ± 12.51	NR	NR
	WC	95±10.82	92.18±10.94	- 2.82±9.73	-	89.33±7.92	88.43±8.04	-0.89 ± 7.16	-	1.93 ± 11.981	NR	NR
	Weight	69.4±10.7	69.2±10.6	-0.2±0.3	0.01	70.9±10.3	70.7±10.4	-0.1 ± 0.6	0.01	0.10 ± 0.65	NR	NR
	BMI	27.1±4.2	27.0±4.1	-0.1±0.1	0.02	27.9±4.2	27.8±4.2	-0.1±0.2	0.02	0.00 ± 0.21	NR	NR
	CRP	3.7±1.9	3.1±1.7	06±1.619	0.33	3.5±1.5	3.7±1.5	0.2±1.34	- 0.13	NR	NR	NR
	MDA	2.7±0.2	2.6±0.2	- 0.1±0.17	0.50	2.4±0.5	2.5±0.5	0.1±0.44	- 0.20	NR	NR	NR
Shokrpour ³⁰	GSH	508.1±69.1	519.4±47.7	11.3±459.62	- 0.19	481.1±101.2	483.8±94.2	2.7±87.60	- 0.02	NR	NR	NR
	TAC	522.4+30.6	590 7 + 52 2	68 3 + 501 52	- 1 59	5137+817	514 5 + 77 3	08+7121	- 0.01	NR	NR	NR
	NO	34 4 + 2 3	387+40	4 3 + 32 91	-131	36.6+5.6	37.0+5.8	04+510	- 0.07	NR	NR	NR
		34412.5	30.7 14.0	4.51.52.51	- 1.51	30.013.0	37.015.8	0.415.10	- 0.07	NR NR	NR	NR
	Testosterone	1.4±0.8	1.3±0.7	-0.1±1.21	0.13	1.2±0.5	1.2±0.8	0±0.5	0	NR	NK	INK
	SHBG	51.4±26.4	62.9±36.3	11.5±52.14	- 0.36	48.5±15.1	49.2±15.2	0.7±13.55	- 0.04	NR	NR	NR
	Weight	73.6±11.7	72.7±11.8	-0.9±1.5	0.07	69.8±17.1	69.4±16.9	-0.4±1.1	0.02	0.50±1.83	NR	NR
Jamilian ²⁷	BMI	28.8±5.1	28.5±5.1	-0.3±0.6	0.05	26.5±5.9	26.3±5.8	-0.2 ± 0.4	0.03	0.10 ± 0.71	NR	NR
	WC	90.0±12.7	89.6±12.6	-0.4 ± 0.5	0.03	87.1 ± 12.4	86.9±12.2	-0.2 ± 0.6	0.01	0.20 ± 0.75	NR	NR
	Weight	75.32±8.66	74.23±8.9	1.43±7.85	0.12	73.23±7.58	73.2 9±7.3	0.15±6.659	- 0.008	-1.28 ± 10.29	NR	NR
	BMI	29.28±3.23	28.7±3.13	- 0.58±2.84	0.25	28.7 3±3.39	28.74±2.9	0.01±2.84	- 0.003	0.59±4.021	NR	NR
	FBS	89.52±18.66	81.90±15.46	- 7.62±15.52	0.44	79.95±9.25	80.57±8.96	-0.62 ± 8.14	- 0.06	8.24±17.5	NR	NR
	Ins	15.49±6.33	11.37±6.44	- 4.12±5.71	0.64	13.47±9.73	12.47±7.73	-1±8.01	0.11	3.12±9.83	NR	NR
	HOMA-IR	3.30±1.29	1.89±0.89	- 1.41±1.038	1.27	2.73±2.12	2.55±1.70	-0.18 ± 1.74	0.09	1.23±2.016	NR	NR
Izadi ²⁵ vit	Testosterone	1.42±0.36	0.96±0.32	- 0.46±0.306	1.35	1.33±0.35	1.47±0.39	0.14±0.332	- 0.37	0.6±0.45	NR	NR
E+COQ10	Estradiol	91.85±28.16	101.65±30.7	9.8±26.42	- 0.33	74.43±17.95	71.09±12.38	- 3.34±14.44	0.21	- 13.14±30.10	NR	NR
	SHBG	27.60 (21.85.40.05)	50 30 (33 00 %6 95)	NR		42 30 (25 20 56 90)	40.80 (31.00.44.50)	NR		NR	NR	NR
	TELI	4.60 (4.05 12.2)	6 90 (5 15 10 90)	NID	-	7 20 (2 70 7 65)	5.00 (31.00, 44.30)	ND	-	NID	NIP	ND
	ran	1.00 (1.95,12.3)	0.00 (0.15,10.80)	198	-	/.50 (5./0, /.65)		14R	-	INR.	148	INK
	LH	8.50 (6.35,15.0)	7.00 (4.40,15.80)	NR	-	8.40 (5.20,17.8)	10.80 (6.70, 17.95)	NŔ	-	NR	NŔ	NR
	Progesterone	1.78±0.78	2.27±1.08	NR	- 0.52	1.62±0.99	1.60±1.12	NR	0.01	NR	NR	NR
Continued												

		Intervention (mean ± SD)			Control (mean±SD)				Between Groups		
		Before	After	Change		Before	After	Change		Change	Significance	Effect size
Authors, year	Outcome	Mean±SD	Mean ± SD	Mean±SD	d	Mean±SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	d	Mean±SD	NR	NR
	Estradiol	85.45±17.79	99.66±23.01	14.21±5.22	18.83	74.43±17.95	71.09±12.3	- 3.34±14.4	0.21	- 17.55±15.04	NR	NR
	Testosterone	1.21 ± 0.29	0.85 ± 0.21	-0.36 ± 0.08	0.23	1.33±0.35	1.47 ± 0.39	0.14±0.11	- 0.37	0.5±1.32	NR	NR
Izadi ²⁵ Vit E	HOMA-IR	2.8±1.17	2.35 ± 1.01	-0.45 ± 0.16	0.985	2.73±2.12	2.55±1.7	-0.18 ± 1.74	0.09	0.27 ± 1.70	NR	NR
	FBS	85.5±20.28	81.18±10.28	-4.32 ± 10	16.33	79.95±9.25	80.57±8.96	0.62±8.14	- 0.06	4.94±12.73	NR	NR
	Ins	13.72±5.92	11.44±4.57	12.79±1.35	4.84	13.47±9.73	12.47±7.73	-1 ± 8.01	0.11	-13.79 ± 7.93	NR	NR
Talari ³¹	NO	49.6±2.3	51.3±4.7	1.7±4.7	- 0.45	46.0 ± 6.0	46.1±5.9	0.1 ± 2.6	- 0.01	-1.60 ± 5.36	NR	NR
	CRP	2877.9 ± 2095.5	2487.3±1673.1	- 390.6±942.9	0.20	2646.7±1492.3	2883.7±1488.9	237.0±754.3	- 0.15	627.60 ± 1205.86	NR	NR
Panti A ²⁸	MDA	3.91 ± 0.05	2.89 ± 0.06	-1.02 ± 0.05	18.46	3.99±0.05	3.75±1.61	-0.24 ± 1.58	0.21	0.78 ± 1.58	NR	NR
	Weight	72.4 ± 10.7	71.9 ± 10.7	- 0.5 ± 1.3	0.04	75.1 ± 18.2	74.8 ± 18.3	- 0.3 ± 1.1	0.01	0.20 ± 1.69	NR	NR
	BMI	28.0 ± 4.3	27.8±4.3	- 0.2 ± 0.5	0.04	28.5 ± 6.6	28.3±6.7	-0.2 ± 0.4	0.03	0.00 ± 0.64	NR	NR
	FBS	90.2±10.2	87.0±8.6	- 3.2 ± 7.2	0.33	94.8±7.4	94.1±9.1	-0.7 ± 6.4	0.08	2.50 ± 9.61	NR	NR
	Ins	10.8 ± 4.8	9.8±4.9	- 1.0 ± 3.5	0.20	9.8±5.7	12.5±6.6	2.7±6.6	- 0.43	3.70±7.46	NR	NR
	HOMA-IR	2.4 ± 1.2	2.2±1.2	- 0.2±0.8	0.16	2.3 ± 1.4	2.9±1.6	0.6±1.5	- 0.39	0.80 ± 1.69	NR	NR
Ebrahimi ²³	HOMA-B	39.7±18.6	35.4±19.1	- 4.3 ± 14.3	0.22	33.7±21.4	44.1±25.4	10.5±24.5	- 0.44	14.80 ± 28.33	NR	NR
	Testosterone— total	1.2±0.9	0.7±0.6	- 0.5±0.7	0.65	1.1±0.6	1.0±0.6	-0.1 ± 0.5	0.16	0.40 ± 0.81	NR	NR
	Testosterone- free	4.5±3.2	3.3±2.4	- 1.2 ± 2.1	0.42	3.9±2.7	3.7±2.3	-0.2 ± 1.7	0.07	1.00 ± 2.68	NR	NR
	DHEAS	4.5±2.3	3.5±2.0	- 1.0 ± 2.1	0.46	5.2±1.9	4.3±1.5	-0.9 ± 1.1	0.52	0.10±2.33	NR	NR
	SHBG	37.5±15.9	44.1±21.3	6.6±14.5	- 0.35	39.1±15.0	44.9±16.9	5.8±13.7	- 0.36	-0.80 ± 19.93	NR	NR
	Weight	74.1 ± 10.7	73.8±10.8	- 0.3 ± 1.1	0.02	77.6±18.2	77.4±18.3	-0.2 ± 1.1	0.01	0.10 ± 1.51	NR	NR
	BMI	28.4 ± 4.4	28.2±4.6	-0.1 ± 0.4	0.04	29.0±6.5	29.0±6.5	-0.1 ± 0.4	0	0.00 ± 0.52	NR	NR
	TC	181.8±28.0	161.5±31.4	-20.3 ± 16.6	0.68	166.4±29.2	178.6±29.9	12.2±26.1	- 0.41	32.50 ± 30.89	NR	NR
	TG	122.7±61.7	100.6 ± 54.0	- 22.1 ± 22.3	0.38	120.6±59.4	128.3±72.6	7.7±23.6	- 0.11	29.80 ± 32.41	NR	NR
	LDL	111.1±26.5	94.4±29.8	- 16.7±15.3	0.59	92.9±25.5	104.8±26.3	11.9±26.1	- 0.45	28.60 ± 30.19	NR	NR
Rahmani ³⁵	HDL	46.2 ± 10.0	47.0±9.5	0.8±3.6	- 0.08	49.4 ± 8.1	48.1±9.3	- 1.3±6.3	0.14	-2.10 ± 7.22	NR	NR
	MDA	2.9 ± 0.6	2.5±0.6	-0.3 ± 0.4	0.66	2.2±0.5	2.2±0.5	-0.008 ± 0.6	0	0.29 ± 0.69	NR	NR
	GSH	525.3±84.1	544.8±81.3	19.5±39.3	- 0.23	511.8±69.1	555.2±62.4	43.3±66.3	- 0.65	23.80±77.01	NR	NR
	TAC	860.5±101.0	949.9±119.3	89.4±108.9	- 0.80	969.5±85.3	975.4±98.0	5.9±116.2	- 0.06	- 83.50 ± 159.21	NR	NR
	FSH	7.3±2.5	7.2±2.5	- 0.1 ± 3.5	0.03	7.9±2.8	8.1±3.2	0.2±3.0	- 0.06	0.30±3.49	NR	NR
	LH	11.0±8.0	10.5±8.9	- 0.5±10.1	0.05	13.5±13.3	11.4±7.7	- 2.1 ± 13.3	0.19	- 1.60 ± 16.67	NR	NR

Table 2. The effect of vitamin E supplementation on cardiometabolic risk factors, inflammatory and oxidative markers, and hormonal functions in PCOS women. ^aIn this study intervention group consists of groups B and C with Vitamin E treatment during follicular and luteal phase, respectively. *SD* standard deviation, *d* Coheoh's d, *LH* luteinizing hormone, *FSH* follicular stimulating hormone, *PRL* prolactin, *SHBG* sex hormone binding globulin, *HOMA-IR* homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, *FBS* fasting blood sugar, *Ins* insulin, *TC* total cholesterol, *TG* triglyceride, *LDL* low density lipoprotein, *HDL* high density lipoprotein, *TAC* total antioxidant capacity, *CAT* catalase, *GSH* glutathione, *MDA* malondialdehyde, *WC* weight circumference, *HOMA-B* homeostatic model assessment of beta cell function, *DHEAS* dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate.

.....

LH levels; One study reported a medium decrease in the intervention group (d=0.49) in comparison with the control group. This study involved simultaneous use of vitamin E, Omega-3 FA, folic acid, selenium, catechin, glycyrrhizin, and coenzyme Q10, Another study also reported a significant decrease in LH levels following vitamin E + CoQ10 supplementation. two studies reported respectively a considerable improvement in the levels of SHBG with Vitamin E + CoQ10 and vitamin E + magnesium supplementation . On the other hand, two other studies failed to show any significant change in SHBG levels following Vitamin E supplementation. Three studies that have evaluated FSH levelsand two of themshowed an increase in FSH levels with vitamin E supplementation. Meanwhile Only one study evaluated progesterone changes, and they have reported a significant increase (d=-0.52) following vitamin E + CoQ10 supplementation. Regarding DHEAS changes one study reported an increase which was not different from the increase observed in the control group. In view of the fact that gonadotropins are released in a pulsatile fashion and with various concentrations throughout the menstrual cycle and since all studies have not measured gonadotropin levels on the same point through the cycle with othersthecomparison between them is less feasible and accurate.

Effect of vitamin E supplementation on BMI, weight. Seven studies evaluated BMI changes, but only two studies have shown significant albeit small decrease in BMI following vitamin E + CoQ10 supplementation. a study conducted in 2019 also reported a small significant decrease in waist circumference (d = 0.3). Changes in weight were not significant in either one of the studies that evaluated this concept.

Effect of vitamin E supplementation on Insulin resistance parameters. It has been hypothesized that Vitamin E supplementation could affect insulin resistance parameters among patients with PCOS. All three studies have evaluated HOMA score and insulin level changes following dietary supplementation and have shown promising results (Table 2). one of these studies showed a significant small decrease in HOMA score and insulin level (d=0.15 and 0.2 respectively) in their vitamin E+magnesium supplemented study group¹⁶. Meanwhile, another study reported a significant small decrease in HOMA-IR, HOMA-B and insulin levels (d=0.16 and 0.22 and 0.2 respectively) following vitamin E+Omega 3 fatty acid supplementation. one of the

studies reported that CoQ10 supplementation with and without vitamin E led to a significant sizeable decrease in HOMA scores and insulin levels (d=1.27 and 0.64 respectively); however, it was also emphasized that vitamin E supplementation alone did not have a similar impact. Only one study out of these three studies,. reported a significant decrease in FBS levels.

Effect of vitamin E supplementation on lipid profile. Vitamin E may also help PCOS patients by improving their lipid profile. Three studies that evaluated cholesterol, LDL, and TG levels changes following Vit E supplementation showed promising results. As Table 2 shows, While one of the studies reports a small significant decrease in cholesterol, LDL, and TG levels (d=0.19 and 0.09 and 0.27 respectively) in thevitamin E + magnesium supplemented study group, anotherstudy reports a significant moderate decrease in cholesterol, LDL, and TG levels following supplementation with vitamin E+CoQ10 (d=0.4, 0.39, and 0.58 respectively). furthermore, another study claimed a moderate to huge decrease in cholesterol, LDL, and TG levels (d=0.68 and 0.59 and 0.38) following vitamin E+Omega 3 fatty acid supplementation. Three studies evaluated HDL levels and only one reported beneficial effects for vitamin E+CoQ10 co-supplementation.

Effect of vitamin E supplementation on oxidative stress parameters. Some studies have suggested vitamin E supplementations may have beneficial effects on oxidation biomarkers . Vitamin E supplementation was reported to lead to a significant increase in TAC in three studies and their respective cohen's d values is as the following: (d = -0.57), (d = -1.59) and (d = -0.8) (Table 2). One study also reported a significant increase in catalase and glutathione levels and a significant decrease in malondialdehyde levels following supplementation with vitamin E plus omega-e fatty acids (d = -1.44, -0.57, and 1.23 respectively). From the data in Table 2, the two studies suggested a significant decrease in CRP levels (d = 0.33 and 0.2 respectively) and an increase in NO levels (d = -1.3 and -0.45) after supplementation with vitamin E + magnesium and vitamin E + omega-3 fatty acids. All three studies evaluating MDA levels reported a medium to a large decrease in values following vitamin E supplementation. Considering GSH levels, while one of the studies reported a significant small increase in the vitamin E + magnesium supplemented group (d = -0.19), another failed to show any significant change.

Meta-analyses. Vitamin E and anthropometric indices. Fixed effect meta-analysis of eight included studies reported the effect of vitamin E on BMI. A pooled mean difference wasn't statistically significant (SMD: -0.17, CI: 95%:-0.95, 0.61) without heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$), which means vitamin E didn't improve BMI. Three articles investigated the effect of vitamin E on WC. A pooled mean difference was found to be significant (SMD: 3.38, 95% CI: 0.05–6.71) without heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$). Six studies demonstrated the effects of Vitamin E on weight, and the pooled mean difference compared with the placebo group was -0.86 (95%CI:-3.32,1.60) without heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$) (Fig. 3).

Vitamin E and lipid profile. Four studies compared the effects of vitamin E versus placebo on TG, TC, LDL, and HDL on both baseline levels and follow-up. Overall the decrease of TC was -9.11 (95% CI: -16.14,-2.09) with $32\% I^2$ heterogeneities. Vitamin E did not significantly improve the HDL levels (SMD: 0.79, 95% CI: 1.78, 3.36) with I^2 heterogeneities of 17%. The meta-analyses suggested that vitamin E intake resulted in a statistically significant improvement in TG (SMD: -13.84, 95% CI:-22.36,-5.32 with I^2 heterogeneities of 68%) and LDL (SMD:-7.21, 95% CI:-14.18,-0.23 with I^2 heterogeneities of 0%) (Fig. 4).

Vitamin E and hormonal indices. Five studies demonstrate the effects of Vitamin E intake on testosterone. A pooled mean difference wasn't significant for testosterone (SMD:-0.27, 95%CI: -0.58, 0.03) with heterogeneity ($I^2 = 92\%$). In three studies, pooled mean difference for effects of vitamin E on estradiol compared with the placebo group was 19.56 (95% CI: 0.06, 39.06) with high heterogeneity ($I^2 = 86\%$). Three Clinical trials reported the effect of vitamin E on SHBG. A pooled mean difference wasn't significant for SHBG (SMD: 2.81, 95%CI: -3.61, 9.24) with a heterogeneity of ($I^2 = 32\%$) (Fig. 5).

Vitamin E and oxidation indices. Three clinical trials showed the effects of Vitamin E on GSH and TAC. Vitamin E didn't significantly improve GSH 1.18(95% CI: -0.15, 2.50) with heterogeneity of (I^2 =45%) and TAC (SMD: 18.83, 95% CI: -33.92, 2.50) with high heterogeneity (I^2 =90%). Vitamin E didn't significantly improve MDA either (SMD: -0.21, 95% CI:-0.75, 0.32) with high heterogeneity (I^2 =92%) (Fig. 6).

Vitamin E and other indices. Four clinical trials reported the effects of vitamin E on HOMA-IR and Insulin. A pooled mean difference was significant for HOMA-IR (SMD: -0.51, CI: 95%: -0.88, -0.13) and wasn't significant for insulin (SMD: -2.82, 95% CI: -6.75, 1.11) with heterogeneity of I^2 = 52%.

Four articles reported the effect of vitamin E on FBS. Meta-analyses showed that vitamin E intake didn't significantly improve FBS (SMD: -2.82, 95% CI: -6.75, 1.11) with a heterogeneity of (I^2 = 52%) (Fig. 7).

Discussion

The purpose of the current systematic review was to investigate the effects of vitamin E on cardiometabolic risk factors, inflammatory and oxidative markers, and hormonal function in PCOS patients. To our knowledge, this study is the first systematic review to assess the supplementary regimen role in PCOS treatment.

Vitamin E supplementation decreases testosterone and LH levels whereas it increases progesterone and FSH levels. So far, Studies have been unable to demonstrate a significant change in estradiol and DHEAS levels following vitamin E co-supplementation. A study by A Ciji et al. reported the effects of vitamin E supplementation

Study	Total	Experii Mean	mental SD	Total	Mean	Contro SE)	Mean D	ifference	MC	95	%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Jamilian M1, 2019 Izadi A1, 2019 Shokrpour M2, 2018 Jamilian M2, 2019 Izadi A2, 2018 Ebrahimi F, 2017 Rahmani E, 2016 Izadi A3, 2019	30 21 30 20 21 34 34 22	25.50 28.70 27.00 28.50 28.70 27.80 28.20 28.20 28.92	3.3000 3.1300 4.1000 5.1000 3.1300 4.3000 4.6000 4.2300	30 21 30 20 21 34 34 21	26.00 28.74 27.80 26.30 28.74 28.30 29.00 28.74	4.7000 2.9000 4.2000 5.8000 2.9000 6.7000 6.5000 2.9000	-			-0.50 -0.04 -0.80 -0.04 -0.04 -0.50 -0.80 0.18) [-2.56; 1 [-1.86; 1 [-2.90; 1 [-1.18; 5 [-1.86; 1 [-3.18; 2 [-3.48; 1 [-1.98; 2	1.56] 1.78] 1.30] 5.58] 1.78] 2.18] 1.88] 2.34]	14.4% 18.3% 13.8% 5.3% 18.3% 8.5% 8.5% 13.0%	14.4% 18.3% 13.8% 5.3% 18.3% 8.5% 8.5% 13.0%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 =$	212 0, <i>p</i> =	0.91		211			-1	-		-0.17 -0.17	' [-0.95; 0 ' [-0.95; 0).61]).61]	100.0% 	 100.0%

(A). Vitamin E and BMI

		Expe	erimental			Control								Weight	Weight
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD		Mean	Differ	ence	ME	95%	-CI	(fixed)	(random)
Izadi A, 2019	21	91.81	7.9400	21	88.43	8.0400					- 3.38	[-1.45; 8.	21]	47.4%	47.4%
Jamilian M, 2019	20	89.60	12.6000	20	86.90	12.2000				-	- 2.70	[-4.99; 10.	39]	18.7%	18.7%
Izadi A, 2019	22	92.18	10.9400	21	88.43	8.0400				1	- 3.75	i [-1.97; 9.	47]	33.8%	33.8%
Fixed effect model	63			62						÷	3.38	[0.05; 6.	71]	100.0%	
Random effects model										<u> </u>	3.38	[0.05; 6.	71]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 =$	0. p =	0.98													
5 5 1							-10	-5	0	5	10				

(B). Vitamin E and WC

Study	Total	Expe Mean	rimental SD	Total	Mean	Control SD		Mean Difference	Ð	MD	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Jamilian M1, 2019 Shokrpour M, 2018 Jamilian M2, 2019 Izadi A, 2018 Ebrahimi F, 2017 Rahmani E, 2016	30 30 20 21 34 34	66.60 69.20 72.70 74.23 71.90 73.80	9.5000 10.6000 11.8000 8.9000 10.7000 10.8000	30 30 20 21 34 34	67.70 70.70 69.40 73.29 74.80 77.40	11.1000 10.4000 16.9000 7.3000 18.3000 18.3000				-1.10 -1.50 3.30 0.94 -2.90 -3.60	[-6.33; 4.13] [-6.81; 3.81] [-5.73; 12.33] [-3.98; 5.86] [-10.03; 4.23] [-10.74; 3.54]	22.2% 21.5% 7.4% 25.0% 12.0% 11.9%	22.2% 21.5% 7.4% 25.0% 12.0% 11.9%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 =$	169 : 0, <i>p</i> =	0.81		169			-10			-0.86 -0.86	[-3.32; 1.60] [-3.32; 1.60]	100.0% 	 100.0%

(C). Vitamin E and Weight

Figure 3. (A) Vitamin E and BMI. (B) Vitamin E and WC. (C) Vitamin E and weight.

to reverse oxidant agents' impact on steroid hormones such as testosterone and estradiol. To the best of our knowledge, no other review study has evaluated the effects of supplementary vitamin E regimens on steroidal hormones. No study showed a significant change in weight following vitamin E supplementationexcept for one which showed a small significant decrease in BMI following vitamin E + CoQ10 supplementation ²³. furthermore, Insulin resistance is known to play a critical role in many PCOS comorbidities. A study conducted by Cussons AJ et al. reported that insulin resistance and obesity could lead to ventricular and endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis. All three studies evaluating the impact of vitamin E supplementation on insulin resistance showed decreased HOMA score and insulin levels.

A study by Renjing Xu et al. reported the beneficial effect of vitamin E on glycemic control parameters because of its antioxidant effect. And as oxidative stress might increase hemoglobin glycation ³⁴. and as the detrimental effects of high blood glucose levels on pancreatic islet cells have been linked to oxidative stress. Antioxidant supplementation could manage oxidative stress.

In regards to insulin resistance and dyslipidemia, Diamanti-Kandarakis suggested that insulin resistance can increase TG and LDL levels and decrease HDL levels in PCOS patients. Moreover, they proposed that



(A). Vitamin E and Total Cholesterol

		Expe	rimental			Control											Weight	Weight
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD		P	lean	Diffe	rence	е		MD		95%-CI	(fixed)	(random)
Jamilian M, 2019	30	101.40	30.4000	30	114.20	38.9000			-	+	-		-	12.80	[-30.4]	7; 4.87]	15.6%	15.6%
Izadi A, 2018	21	78.67	21.1400	21	82.53	22.8400		-						-3.86	[-17.1]	7; 9.45]	27.5%	27.5%
Rahmani E, 2016	34	94.40	29.8000	34	104.80	26.3000			•	+			-	10.40	[-23.7	6; 2.96]	27.3%	27.3%
Izadi A, 2018	22	78.10	19.8300	21	82.53	22.8400		-		-	_			-4.43	[-17.2	4; 8.38]	29.7%	29.7%
Fixed effect model Random effects model	107			106					$\stackrel{\downarrow}{\leftarrow}$					-7.21 -7.21	[-14.18 [-14.18	; -0.23] ; -0.23]	100.0%	 100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 =$	= 0, <i>p</i> =	0.79				-	30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30		-			

(B). Vitamin E and LDL

		Expe	rimental			Control								Weight	Weight
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD		Mea	n Differe	ence	MD	ç	5%-CI	(fixed)	(random)
Jamilian M, 2019 Izadi A, 2018 Rahmani E, 2016 Izadi A, 2018	30 21 34 22	51.10 56.14 47.00 59.86	8.6000 10.0800 9.5000 8.4500	30 21 34 21	52.00 54.71 48.10 54.71	10.9000 9.8100 9.3000 9.8100		_		-	 -0.90 1.43 -1.10 5.15	[-5.87; [-4.59; [-5.57; [-0.33;	4.07] 7.45] 3.37] 10.63]	26.7% 18.2% 33.1% 22.0%	26.6% 19.3% 31.6% 22.6%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $\hat{\ell}$ = 17%, τ^2	107 = 1.40	58, p = (0.31	106		-	.10	-5	÷	∽ =- 5	 0.79 0.85	[-1.78; [-1.98;	3.36] 3.68]	100.0% 	 100.0%

(C). Vitamin E and HDL

Study	Total	Expe Mean	rimental SD	Total	Mean	Control SD	Mean Differenc	e MD	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Jamilian M, 2019	30	110.00	55.0000	30	134.70	68.9000		-24.70	[-56.25; 6.85]	1.2%	6.4%
Izadi A, 2018	21	95.24	5.8600	21	112.09	9.0900		-16.85	[-21.48; -12.22]	54.4%	44.1%
Rahmani E, 2016	34	100.60	54.0000	34	128.30	72.6000		-27.70	[-58.11; 2.71]	1.3%	6.8%
Izadi A, 2018	22	105.18	8.2200	21	112.09	9.0900	} -	-6.91	[-12.10; -1.72]	43.2%	42.7%
Fixed effect model	107			106			<u>به</u>	-12.78	[-16.19; -9.37]	100.0%	
Random effects model							\diamond	-13.84	[-22.36; -5.32]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 68\%$, τ^2	= 37.24	426, <i>p</i> = (0.02								
							-40 -20 0 20	40			

(D). Vitamin E and Triglyceride

Figure 4. (A) Vitamin E and total cholesterol. (B). Vitamin E and LDL. (C) Vitamin E and HDL. (D) Vitamin E and triglyceride.

Study	Total	Expe Mean	rimental SD	Total	Mean	Control SD		Mean	Differe	nce		MD		95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Sadeghi F,2019(4)	30	57.18	26.2300	- 30	57.50	23.0700						-0.32	[-12.82	; 12.18]	32.5%	33.4%
Shokrpour M,2018(6)	21	101.65	30.7000	21	71.09	12.3800				+ •	_	30.56	[16.40	; 44.72]	25.3%	32.1%
Rahmani E, 2016(12)	22	99.66	23.0100	21	71.09	12.3800				÷	-	28.57	[17.59	; 39.55]	42.2%	34.5%
Fixed effect model	73			72					.	\Rightarrow		19.68	[12.56	; 26.81]	100.0%	
Random effects model											-	19 56	0.00	39 061		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $\hat{f} = 86\%$, τ^2	= 255.8	3017, <i>p</i> <	0.01					I				10.00	[0.00	, 55.60]		100.0%
							-40	-20	0	20	40					

(A). Vitamin E and Estradiol

		Exper	imental			Control				Weight	Weight
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	MD	95%-CI	(fixed)	(random)
Hager M, 2019	30	0.43	0.1500	30	0.44	0.1200	: : *	-0.01	[-0.08; 0.06]	74.9%	22.3%
Shokrpour M, 2018	30	1.30	0.7000	30	1.20	0.6000		0.10	[-0.23; 0.43]	3.2%	17.8%
Izadi A1, 2018	21	0.96	0.3200	21	1.47	0.3900		-0.51	[-0.73; -0.29]	7.6%	20.3%
Ebrahimi F, 2017	34	0.70	0.6000	34	1.00	0.6000		-0.30	[-0.59; -0.01]	4.3%	18.8%
Izadi A2, 2018	22	0.85	0.2100	21	1.47	0.3900		-0.62	[-0.81; -0.43]	10.0%	20.8%
Fixed effect model	137			136			-	-0.12	[-0.18; -0.06]	100.0%	
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 92\% \tau^2$	= 0 10	64 <i>n</i> <	0.01					-0.27	[-0.58; 0.03]		100.0%
	2.10	, /					-0.5 0 0.5	5			

(B). Vitamin E and Testosterone

	Experimental	Contro	4			Weight	Weight
Study	Total Mean SD	Total Mean S	D Mean Difference	MD	95%-CI	(fixed) (random)
Sadeghi F,2019(4)	30 48.30 19.2000	30 47.10 26.700) — <u>– – –</u>	1.20	[-10.57; 12.97]	29.8%	31.8%
Shokrpour M,2018(6)	30 62.90 36.3000	30 49.20 15.200) <u>i</u> = 	- 13.70	[-0.38; 27.78]	20.8%	24.6%
Rahmani E, 2016(12)	34 44.10 21.3000	34 44.90 16.900		-0.80	[-9.94; 8.34]	49.4%	43.6%
Fixed effect model	94	94		2.81	[-3.61; 9.24]	100.0%	
Random effects model				3.40	[-4.63; 11.42]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $\hat{f} = 33\%$, τ^2	= 16.6729, <i>p</i> = 0.23						
- , ,			-20 -10 0 10 20				

(C). Vitamin E and SHBG

Figure 5. (A) Vitamin E and estradiol. (B) Vitamin E and testosterone. (C) Vitamin E and SHBG.

.....

hyperandrogenism among PCOS patients may also play a role in increasing HDL levels ³³. Vitamin E co-supplementation decreased cholesterol, LDL, and TG levels in all three studies that evaluated the effects of vitamin E supplementary regimens on lipid profile in PCOS ^{2,16,35}. Sepidarkish M et al.'s study showed that vitamin E and fatty acid supplementation could only decrease VLDL levels and do not change other lipid profiles' parameters ³². A review and meta-analysis on the effects of omega-3 and vitamin E co-supplementation in patients with metabolic syndrome showed that this supplementary regimen could reduce both LDL and TG levels in these patients ³⁴.

There is a proposed mechanism for vitamin E's beneficial effects on lipid profile improvement, lipid peroxidation ³⁶ and protection of LDL from oxidation. Niki E et al. have stated that Vitamin E's anti-oxidative feature is due to its beneficial effects on oxidative stress parameters [40].

The RCTs reviewed in this study showed a significant increase in TAC, NO, catalase, glutathione, GSH levels. they have also reported a substantial decrease in malondialdehyde, CRP, and MDA levels following supplementary regimen administration in PCOS patients. A study by Sepidarkish et al. showed vitamin E, and omega-3 fatty acid co-supplementation to have increased NO levels and TAC while decreasing MDA levels³².

Strengths and limitations. This study is the first systematic review assessing the role of vitamin E supplementation in PCOS. In this systematic review, eligible studies couldn't control confusing residual variables. All of the Studies were adjusted for age and PCOS, but some of the reviews didn't consider well-defined risk factors for changing hormone levels.

This systematic review was unable to show inherent differences in vitamin E supplementation effects on PCOS between different populations and races. More studies evaluating the impact of supplementary regimens in various races and societies are needed. Moreover, due to the limited number of available studies ,we could not compare supplemental regimens' effects between different age groups. The reviewed studies have not pointed out

Study	Total	Expe Mean	erimental SD	Total	Mean	Control SD	Mean Difference	MD	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Sadeghi F, 2019 Shokrpour M, 2018 Rahmani E, 2016	32 30 34	12.15 519.40 544.80	2.6600 47.7000 81.3000	30 30 34	11.00 483.80 555.20	2.6500 94.2000 62.4000	·	1.15 35.60 - 10.40	[-0.17; 2.47] [-2.18; 73.38] [-44.85; 24.05]	99.7% 0.1% 0.1%	63.3% 17.1% 19.5%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 45\%$, τ^2	96 = 137.1	2524, <i>p</i> =	0.16	94			-60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60	1.18 4.80	[-0.15; 2.50] [-13.51; 23.10]	100.0% 	 100.0%

(A). Vitamin E and GSH

Study	Total	Exp Mean	erimental SD	Total	Mean	Control SD		Mean	Differ	ence	MD	9	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Sadeghi F, 2019 Shokrpour M, 2018 Rahmani E, 2016	32 30 34	13.58 590.70 949.90	2.0600 52.2000 119.3000	30 30 34	12.16 514.50 975.40	1.9600 77.3000 98.0000					 1.42 76.20 -25.50	[0.42; [42.82; 1 [-77.40;	2.42] 09.58] 26.40]	99.9% 0.1% 0.0%	38.5% 33.4% 28.1%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 90\%$, τ^2	96 = 1879).3527, p	< 0.01	94			-100	-50		50	 1.48 18.83	[0.48; [-33.92;	2.48] 71.58]	100.0% 	 100.0%

(B). Vitamin E and TAC

		Experi	imental	Control						Weight	Weight	
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	MD	95%-CI	(fixed)	(random)	
Sadeghi F, 2019	32	1.42	0.2600	30	1.95	2.2300		-0.53	[-1.33; 0.27]	2.9%	17.7%	
Shokrpour M, 2018	30	2.60	0.2000	30	2.50	0.5000	· · · ·	0.10	[-0.09; 0.29]	50.8%	28.3%	
Panti A, 2018	100	2.89	0.0600	100	3.75	1.6100		-0.86	[-1.18; -0.54]	18.9%	26.6%	
Rahmani E, 2016	34	2.50	0.6000	34	2.20	0.5000		0.30	[0.04; 0.56]	27.4%	27.4%	
Fixed effect model	196			194				-0.05	[-0.18; 0.09]	100.0%		
Heteroneneity: $r^2 = 92\% \tau^2$	= 0.25	54 0 < 1	0.01					-0.21	[-0.75, 0.52]		100.070	
notorogonoty. / = 52.76, t	- 0.20	, p < (0.01				-1 -05 0 05 1					

(C). Vitamin E and MDA

Figure 6. (A). Vitamin E and GSH. (B) Vitamin E and TAC. (C) Vitamin E and MDA.

as to whether their study populations had vitamin E deficiencies or not. Some studies have proposed that some of the beneficial effects of vitamin E supplementation might be limited to vitamin-E deficient people.

Another limitation is that due to the focus of PROSPERO (International prospective register of systematic reviews) on COVID-19 registrations during the 2020 pandemic, The PROSPERO team has not checked the eligibility of our review.

Conclusions and implications for future research. We found that supplementary regimens containing vitamin E can positively affect the patients who are diagnosed with PCOS in regards to metabolic and hormonal parameters. It can improve their hormonal profile by decreasing testosterone and LH levels and by increasing progesterone and FSH levels. It can also reduce insulin resistance, cholesterol, LDL, and TG levels among these patients, it can also improve their cardio-metabolic profile. We also found that vitamin E supplementation can decrease oxidative stress in PCOS.

More studies are needed in order to evaluate the effects of vitamin E supplementation in different ethnicities and age groups. Other studies thatassess the effects of vitamin E supplementation in both vitamin E sufficient and deficient populations will add to current knowledge about the role of vitamin E supplementary regimens in PCOS.

Study	Total	Exper Mean	imental SD	Total	Mean	Control SD	Mean Difference	MD	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Jamilian M, 2019 Izadi A, 2018 Ebrahimi F, 2017 Izadi A, 2018	30 21 34 22	12.30 11.37 9.80 11.44	5.0000 6.4400 4.9000 4.5700	30 21 34 21	13.90 12.47 12.50 12.47	4.5000 7.7300 6.6000 7.7300		-1.60 -1.10 -2.70 -1.03	[-4.01; 0.81] [-5.40; 3.20] [-5.46; 0.06] [-4.85; 2.79]	40.5% 12.7% 30.7% 16.1%	40.5% 12.7% 30.7% 16.1%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 =$	107 0, ρ =	0.88		106				-1.78 -1.78	[-3.31; -0.25] [-3.31; -0.25]	100.0% 	 100.0%

(A). Vitamin E and Insulin

		Experi	imental	Control						Weight	Weight	
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	MD	95%-CI	(fixed)	(random)	
Jamilian M, 2019 Izadi A1, 2018 Ebrahimi F, 2017 Izadi A2, 2018	20 21 34 22	2.80 1.89 2.20	1.2000 0.8900 1.2000	20 21 34 21	3.20 2.55 2.90	1.1000 1.7000 1.6000		-0.40 -0.66 -0.70	[-1.11; 0.31] [-1.48; 0.16] [-1.37; -0.03]	27.8% 21.0% 31.3%	27.8% 21.0% 31.3% 20.0%	
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 =$	97 97 0, p =	0.79	1.0100	96	2.00	1.7000	-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1	-0.20 -0.51 -0.51	[-0.88; -0.13] [-0.88; -0.13]	100.0% 	 100.0%	

(B). Vitamin E and HOMA-IR

	Experimental			Control											Weight	Weight
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD		Mean	Differe	ence		MD	9	95%-CI	(fixed)	(random)
Jamilian M, 2019 Izadi A, 2018	30 21	90.90 81.90	11.9000 15.4600	30 21	94.40 80.57	6.5000 8.9600	-		-		_	-3.50 1.33	[-8.35; [-6.31;	1.35] 8.97]	29.0% 11.7%	27.9% 17.1%
Ebrahimi F, 2017	34	87.00	8.6000	34	94.10	9.1000		• <u> </u>				-7.10	[-11.31;	-2.89]	38.6%	31.2%
Izadi A, 2018	22	81.18	10.2800	21	80.57	8.9600						0.61	[-5.15;	6.37]	20.6%	23.8%
Fixed effect model	107			106					-			-3.48	[-6.09;	-0.86]	100.0%	
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 52\%$, τ^2	= 8.27	83, <i>p</i> =	0.10					-======	7	1		-2.82	[-6.75;	1.11]		100.0%
							-10	-5	0	5	10					

(C). Vitamin E and FBS

Figure 7. (A) Vitamin E and insulin. (B) Vitamin E and HOMA-IR. (C) Vitamin E and FBS.

Received: 24 July 2021; Accepted: 16 March 2022 Published online: 06 April 2022

References

- 1. Azziz, R. et al. The prevalence and features of the polycystic ovary syndrome in an unselected population. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 89(6), 2745–2749 (2004).
- Izadi, A. et al. Hormonal and metabolic effects of coenzyme Q10 and/or vitamin E in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 104(2), 319–327 (2019).
- 3. Boots, C. E. & Jungheim, E. S. Inflammation and human ovarian follicular dynamics. In Seminars in Reproductive Medicine, 33(4), 270–275 (2015).
- Shorakae, S., et al. The Emerging Role of Chronic Low-Grade Inflammation in the Pathophysiology of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Semin. Reprod. Med. 33(4), 257–269 (2015).
- Anagnostis, P., Tarlatzis, B. C. & Kauffman, R. P. J. M. Polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS): Long-term metabolic consequences. *Metabolism* 86, 33–43 (2018).
- 6. Tsilchorozidou, T., Overton, C. & Conway, G. S. J. C. E. The pathophysiology of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf)* **60**(1), 1–17 (2004).
- 7. Jamil, A. S. *et al.* A case–control observational study of insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome among the four phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome based on Rotterdam criteria. *Reprod. Health* **12**(1), 7 (2015).
- Macut, D. Bjekić-Macut, J. & Savić-Radojević, A. Dyslipidemia and oxidative stress in PCOS. Front. Horm. Res. 40, 51–63 (2013).
 Ovalle, F. & Azziz, R. Insulin resistance, polycystic ovary syndrome, and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Fertil. Steril. 77(6), 1095–1105
- (2002).
 10. Zhang, D. *et al.* The effects of oxidative stress to PCOS. *Sichuan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban* 39(3), 421–423 (2008).
- Teede, H. J. et al. Assessment and management of polycystic ovary syndrome: summary of an evidence-based guideline. Med. J. Aust. 195(6), S65–S112 (2011).

- Chakraborty, P. et al. Altered trace mineral milieu might play an aetiological role in the pathogenesis of polycystic ovary syndrome. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 152(1), 9–15 (2013).
- 13. Chang, W. et al. Effects of vitamin E and magnesium on glucolipid metabolism in obese rats. Wei sheng yan jiu= Journal of hygiene research 43(5), 713–718 (2014).
- 14. Dou, M. *et al.* Supplementation with magnesium and vitamin E were more effective than magnesium alone to decrease plasma lipids and blood viscosity in diabetic rats. *Nutr. Res.* **29**(7), 519–524 (2009).
- 15. Shokrpour, M. & Asemi, Z. J. B. T. E. R. The effects of magnesium and vitamin E co-supplementation on hormonal status and biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. 191(1), 54–60 (2019).
- Jamilian, M., Sabzevar, N. K. & Asemi, Z. The effect of magnesium and vitamin E co-supplementation on glycemic control and markers of cardio-metabolic risk in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A randomized, double-blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Hormone Metab. Res.* 51(02), 100–105 (2019).
- Ebrahimi, F. A. *et al.* The effects of omega-3 fatty acids and vitamin E co-supplementation on indices of insulin resistance and hormonal parameters in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Hormone Metab. Res.* 125(06), 353–359 (2017).
- Rahmani, E. et al. The effects of omega-3 fatty acids and vitamin E co-supplementation on gene expression of lipoprotein (a) and oxidized low-density lipoprotein, lipid profiles and biomarkers of oxidative stress in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. Mol. Cell Endocrinol. 439, 247–255 (2017).
- 19. Moher, D. *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement (Chinese edition). *PLoS Med.* 7(9), e1000097 (2009).
- Guyatt, G. H. *et al.* GRADE: An emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 336(7650), 924–926 (2008).
- 21. Schünemann, H., The GRADE Handbook (Cochrane Collaboration, 2013).
- 22. Chen, J. *et al.* Effect of a short-term vitamin E supplementation on oxidative stress in infertile PCOS women under ovulation induction: A retrospective cohort study. *BMC Womens Health* **20**(1), 1–9 (2020).
- Ebrahimi, F. A. et al. The effects of omega-3 fatty acids and vitamin E co-supplementation on indices of insulin resistance and hormonal parameters in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes 125(06), 353–359 (2017).
- 24. Hager, M. *et al.* The impact of a standardized micronutrient supplementation on PCOS-typical parameters: A randomized controlled trial. **300**(2), 455–460 (2019).
- Izadi, A. et al. Hormonal and metabolic effects of coenzyme Q10 and/or vitamin E in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 104(2), 319–327 (2018).
- Izadi, A. et al. Independent and additive effects of coenzyme Q10 and vitamin E on cardiometabolic outcomes and visceral adiposity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Arch. Med. Res. 50(2), 1–10 (2019).
- 27. Jamilian, M. *et al.* The effects of omega-3 and vitamin E co-supplementation on parameters of mental health and gene expression related to insulin and inflammation in subjects with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J. Affect. Disord.* **229**, 41–47 (2018).
- 28. Panti, A. A. *et al.* Oxidative stress and outcome of antioxidant supplementation in patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS). 7, 1667–1672 (2018).
- 29. Sadeghi, F. et al. Omega-3 and vitamin E co-supplementation can improve antioxidant markers in obese/overweight women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Int. J. Vitamin Nutr. Res. 2, 1450 (2019).
- Shokrpour, M. & Asemi, Z. The effects of magnesium and vitamin E co-supplementation on hormonal status and biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. 191(1), 54–60 (2019).
- 31. Talari, H. R. et al. The effects of omega-3 and vitamin e co-supplementation on carotid intima-media thickness and inflammatory factors in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. Oman Med. J. 33(6), 473 (2018).
- Sepidarkish, M. et al. Effect of omega-3 fatty acid plus vitamin E Co-Supplementation on oxidative stress parameters: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin. Nutr. 39(4), 1019–1025 (2020).
- Diamanti-Kandarakis, E. et al. Pathophysiology and types of dyslipidemia in PCOS. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 18(7), 280-285 (2007).
- 34. Asbaghi, O. *et al.* Effect of Omega-3 and vitamin E co-supplementation on serum lipids concentrations in overweight patients with metabolic disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr.* **13**(4), 2525–2531 (2019).
- 35. Rahmani, E. et al. The effects of omega-3 fatty acids and vitamin E co-supplementation on gene expression of lipoprotein (a) and oxidized low-density lipoprotein, lipid profiles and biomarkers of oxidative stress in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 439, 247–255 (2017).
- Niki, E. J. F. R. B. Medicine, Role of vitamin E as a lipid-soluble peroxyl radical scavenger: In vitro and in vivo evidence. Free Radic Biol. Med. 66, 3–12 (2014).

Acknowledgements

Implementation of this study was sponsored by the Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center).

Author contributions

M.P., M.Q., and M.E. participated in the study design, drafting of the paper, and had significant role in development of the selection criteria and data extraction criteria. G.H.T., Y.S.H., F.P., Z.H.S.H., and E.M.V. contributed to the development of the selection criteria, data extraction criteria, and drafting of the paper. N.R. and F.S.H. developed the search strategy and performed statistical analysis. B.L. participated in critical review. M.S.E.S.H. and E.M.V. assessed the quality of studies using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool. All authors read, provided feedback, and approved the final paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09082-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.P. or M.E.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022