

Comparison of diabetes risk estimate in the cities of Riyadh and Amman

Alia A. Alghwiri, PT, PhD^a, Ahmad Alghadir, PT, PhD^b, Hamzeh Awad, PT, PhD^c, Shah Nawaz Anwer, MPT^{b,*}

Abstract

A significant rise in the prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in the Middle-east and North Africa (MENA) region has seen over the last few decades. The present observational study aimed to evaluate and compare the risk of developing T2DM in the cities of Riyadh and Amman using the Arab Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire (ARABRISK).

The ARABRISK was administered in a total of 1116 healthy male and female individuals in the age group of 40 to 74 years with no prior history of diabetes in the city of Riyadh (Saudi Arabia) and Amman (Jordan). ARABRISK is an Arabic version of the Canadian Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire (CANRISK), which was adapted and validated for the use in Arab-speaking individuals in Saudi Arabia and Jordan.

The participants from Amman region had higher mean total ARABRISK score compared to the Riyadh region for all categories of ARABRISK. However, the difference was significant in both low- and high-risk categories ($P = .02$ and $P = .01$, respectively) but not significant for moderate category ($P = .17$). In the Riyadh population, female participants had significantly higher ARABRISK total scores compared to male in both moderate- and high-risk categories ($P = .01$). However, in the Amman population, male participants had significantly higher ARABRISK total scores compared to female in both low- and moderate-risk categories ($P = .01$).

The present study suggested an increased risk of developing T2DM in the cities of Riyadh and Amman. However, the population of Amman had a higher risk of developing T2DM compared to the population of Riyadh.

Abbreviations: ARABRISK = Arab Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire, BMI = body mass index, CANRISK = Canadian Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire, HBG = high blood glucose, HBP = high blood pressure, MENA = Middle-east and North Africa, T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Keywords: ARABRISK, diabetes mellitus, MENA, prediabetes, screening

1. Introduction

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in the Middle-east and North Africa (MENA) region has risen significantly over the last few decades.^[1] The prevalence of T2DM in the four countries of the MENA region included among the top 10 countries with the largest prevalence of T2DM.^[2] In the MENA region, as per data are given by the International Diabetes

Federation, approximately 32.8 million adults are affected by diabetes, and this number is likely to be double to 59.9 million by 2030.^[3] In addition, in the year 2012, around 10% of deaths caused due to diabetes and the total cost to treat diabetes was approximately USD 12 billion.^[4] This increase in the prevalence is due to a variety of factors, including rapid economic growth and urbanization, lifestyle changes resulting in low levels of physical activity, high intake of refined carbohydrates, and increased obesity.^[5]

However, very little is known about the risk factors, management strategies, and preventive measures to control the rapid growth of T2DM, and the consequences of this chronic condition in the MENA region.^[2] In addition, there are major differences in the economic growth and urbanization, ethnicity, religious, and cultural backgrounds among the MENA countries. The presence of these heterogeneities are an important factor and could affect the epidemiology, symptoms, quality of care, and health and economic outcomes related to diabetes in the MENA region.^[2]

Recently, Alghadir et al.^[6] reported an increased risk of developing T2DM in the Jordanian population. Another study reported a high risk of developing T2DM in the Saudi population.^[7] However, a comparison of the various risk factors for developing T2DM between these 2 countries was not reported. The cities of Riyadh and Amman were chosen to make these comparisons between 2 Arab nations. Being a capital city, both Riyadh and Amman are densely populated and has a population of more than 6 million and 4 million, respectively. The present observational study aimed to evaluate and compare the risk of developing T2DM in the cities of Riyadh and Amman using the Arab Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire

Editor: Shahrzad Bazargan-Hejazi.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests relevant to this article.

Availability of data and materials: All data generated or analyzed during this study are presented in the manuscript. Please contact the first author for access to data presented in this study.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a Department of Physical Therapy, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan, ^b Rehabilitation Research Chair, College of Applied Medical Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, ^c Health Science Department, Higher College of Technology, ADWC, UAE.

* Correspondence: Shah Nawaz Anwer, CAMS, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. (e-mail: anwer_shahnawazphysio@rediffmail.com).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Medicine (2018) 97:40(e12689)

Received: 26 September 2017 / Accepted: 13 September 2018

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000012689>

(ARABRISK). ARABRISK is an Arabic version of the Canadian Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire (CANRISK), which was adapted and validated for the use in Arab-speaking individuals in Saudi Arabia and Jordan.^[8]

2. Methods

The present study is a cross-sectional questionnaire-based survey using the ARABRISK screening tool to assess the risk of developing T2DM in the cities of Riyadh and Amman, which is a capital city of 2 Arab countries, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and Jordan, respectively. Participants were recruited from randomly selected public places, such as parks and malls, from the respective capital cities of 2 Arab countries, including Amman and Riyadh, from Jordan and Saudi Arabia, respectively. The inclusion criteria were community-dwelling healthy male and female individuals in the age group of 40 to 74 years. The individual with the confirmed diagnosis of diabetes mellitus was excluded. Eligible participants completed the ARABRISK between June and September 2014. ARABRISK is a reliable and valid scale for the use in Arab-speaking individuals.^[8] ARABRISK score is interpreted by adding up raw scores for each of the 12 items and divided into 3 risk categories: low risk < 21; moderate risk 21 to 32; and high risk \geq 33.^[8]

The ethical approval was attained from the University of Jordan ethical committee, Amman, Jordan and Rehabilitation Research Chair, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia to conduct this research. Each eligible participant signed a written informed consent. Interested participants instructed to complete the whole questionnaire with no missing data was allowed.

2.1. Statistical analysis

A statistical power analysis was performed to estimate the sample size needed from each city based on an effect size of 0.10, alpha = 0.05, and power = 0.80.^[9] The necessary sample size needed from each city was approximately $n = 393$.

The participants' characteristics were described using the descriptive statistics including measures of central tendency and variability. The percentages of the ARABRISK items' categories were represented and compared between Jordan and Saudi population. Mann-Whitney *U* test for 2 independent samples was performed between Jordan and Saudi population to explore significant differences between both nationalities on the ARABRISK total score among categories. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS statistics for Windows version 20. A value of $P < .05$ was considered significant for all the statistics.

3. Results

A total of 1116 subjects including 603 in Riyadh (Saudi Arabia) and 513 in Amman (Jordan) were recruited from the public places. The total ARABRISK score for the whole sample ranged from 8 to 76 with a mean total score of 35 (standard deviation = 12). The results of ARABRISK specific items and total score between Jordan and Saudi participants are presented in Table 1. By using Mann-Whitney *U* test for 2 independent samples, we found that the Jordanians had higher ARABRISK total scores than did Saudis for all categories of ARABRISK. However, the difference was significant in both low- and high-risk categories but not significant for a moderate category, as presented in Table 2. In the Riyadh population, female participants had significantly higher ARABRISK total scores compared to male in

Table 1

Results of ARABRISK specific items and total score between Jordanian and Saudi Arabian (SA) participants.

| Q | ARABRISK items | Jordan, n (%) | SA, n (%) | Total, n (%) |
|----|--|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1 | Age | | | |
| | 40–44 | 156 (30) | 247 (41) | 403 (36) |
| | 45–54 | 172 (34) | 265 (44) | 437 (39) |
| | 55–64 | 98 (19) | 79 (13) | 177 (16) |
| | 65–74 | 87 (17) | 12 (2) | 99 (9) |
| 2 | Gender | | | |
| | Male | 333 (65) | 438 (73) | 771 (69) |
| | Female | 180 (35) | 165 (27) | 345 (31) |
| 3 | BMI | | | |
| | <25 | 244 (48) | 98 (16) | 342 (31) |
| | 25–29 | 130 (25) | 284 (47) | 414 (37) |
| | 30–34 | 65 (13) | 164 (27) | 229 (20) |
| | \geq 35 | 74 (14) | 57 (10) | 131 (12) |
| 4 | Waist circumference | | | |
| | Male < 94/Female < 80 | 155 (30) | 128 (21) | 283 (25) |
| | Male 94–102/Female 80–88 | 74 (15) | 149 (25) | 223 (20) |
| | Male > 102/Female > 88 | 284 (55) | 326 (54) | 610 (55) |
| 5 | Daily physical activity \geq 30 min | | | |
| | Yes | 309 (60) | 194 (32) | 503 (45) |
| | No | 204 (40) | 409 (68) | 613 (55) |
| 6 | Daily consumption of fruits/vegetables | | | |
| | Every day | 268 (52) | 278 (46) | 546 (49) |
| | Not every day | 245 (48) | 325 (54) | 570 (51) |
| 7 | HBP | | | |
| | Yes | 190 (37) | 198 (33) | 388 (35) |
| | No | 323 (63) | 405 (67) | 728 (65) |
| 8 | HbG | | | |
| | Yes | 146 (29) | 110 (18) | 256 (23) |
| | No | 367 (71) | 493 (82) | 860 (77) |
| 9 | History of gestational diabetes | | | |
| | Yes | 6 (1) | 45 (7) | 51 (5) |
| | No, do not know, or not applicable | 507 (99) | 558 (93) | 1065 (95) |
| 10 | Positive family history of diabetes (mother, father, siblings, and children) | | | |
| | One of them | 28 (5) | 128 (21) | 156 (14) |
| | Two of them | 68 (13) | 144 (24) | 212 (19) |
| | Three of them | 143 (28) | 106 (18) | 249 (22) |
| | All of them | 254 (50) | 59 (10) | 313 (28) |
| | Others or no/do not know | 20 (4) | 166 (27) | 186 (17) |
| 11 | Ethnicity of parents | 513 (100) | 603 (100) | 1116 (100) |
| | Arab | | | |
| 12 | Education | | | |
| | Some high school or less | 165 (32) | 218 (36) | 383 (34) |
| | High school diploma | 152 (30) | 119 (20) | 271 (24) |
| | College or university degree | 196 (38) | 266 (44) | 462 (42) |
| | Total score points | | | |
| | Low risk (<21) | 37 (7) | 89 (15) | 126 (11) |
| | Moderate risk (21–32) | 166 (32) | 193 (32) | 359 (32) |
| | High risk (\geq 33) | 310 (61) | 321 (53) | 631 (57) |

ARABRISK = Arab Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire, BMI = body mass index, HbG = high blood glucose, and HBP = high blood pressure.

both moderate- and high-risk categories ($P = .01$). However, in the Amman population, male participants had significantly higher ARABRISK total scores compared to female in both low- and moderate-risk categories ($P = .01$), as presented in Table 3.

4. Discussion

The outcomes of the ARABRISK questionnaire were useful in drawing a picture of the risk of getting diabetes in the residents of

Table 2

Mean and standard deviation of ARABRISK total score between Jordanian and Saudi Arabian (SA) participants.

| Total score categories | Jordanian (Mean ± standard deviation) | SA (Mean ± standard deviation) | * P-value |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Low risk (<21) | 17.27 ± 2.70 | 15.72 ± 3.42 | .02 |
| Moderate risk (21–32) | 27.34 ± 3.35 | 26.87 ± 3.41 | .17 |
| High risk (≥33) | 45.11 ± 9.37 | 41.93 ± 6.85 | .01 |

ARABRISK = Arab Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire.

* Mann–Whitney for 2 independent samples was significant at P-value < .05.

Table 3

Gender-wise distribution of ARABRISK total score in Jordanian and Saudi Arabian (SA) participants.

| | | Jordanian (Mean ± standard deviation) | SA (Mean ± standard deviation) |
|--------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Male | Low risk (<21) | 18.64 ± 1.50 | 15.73 ± 3.32 |
| | Moderate risk (21–32) | 38.93 ± 12.13 | 32.06 ± 11.18 |
| | High risk (≥33) | 45.22 ± 9.66 | 41.18 ± 6.70 |
| Female | Low risk (<21) | 16.43 ± 2.95 | 15.64 ± 4.03 |
| | Moderate risk (21–32) | 34.42 ± 12.55 | 36.39 ± 11.26 |
| | High risk (≥33) | 44.83 ± 8.66 | 43.55 ± 6.91 |

ARABRISK = Arab Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire.

Riyadh and Amman. The present study investigated the possible justifications of high-risk factors and the degree to which modifiable risk factors, such as body mass index (BMI) and a sedentary lifestyle, supports our study outcomes. It is a known fact that the number of diabetic patients in Saudi Arabia and Jordan are high.^[10,11] Also, the number rises significantly and rapidly over the last few decades due to changes in the lifestyle behaviors. The completion of the questionnaire gives participants an overall ARABRISK score that indicates their risk of having T2DM. The majority of items in ARABRISK questionnaire are from the domain of lifestyle; therefore, the use of ARABRISK would be more appropriate compared to race/ethnicity or cultural differences.

Our study outcomes indicated that one-third of the participants in Amman and two-thirds of the participants in Riyadh represent BMI between overweight and obesity. Many longitudinal studies have reported that BMI is one of the strongest predictors for T2DM.^[12–14] About one-third of the participants in the present study suffered from hypertension and scored high in ARABRISK. Similarly, previous studies have reported that the hypertension progression is an independent predictor of T2DM.^[15–17] The present study reported that more than two-thirds of the Jordanian participants have a direct relative who has been diagnosed with diabetes. For Saudi Arabian subjects, less than 25% of the participants have a family history of diabetes. Such outcomes would support the notion of increasing their risk of developing diabetes as several studies have found that genetic components play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of T2DM.^[18–20]

The study participants in Riyadh were not physically active, more than two-thirds of men and women participants reported that they are not physically active. Additionally, nearly half of the women and men participants reported not eating vegetables or fruits every day. However, in the Jordanian participants, less than two-thirds of men and more than half of the women participants reported that they are physically active. In addition, more than half of the women and men participants reported eating

vegetables or fruit every day. Indeed, the type or frequency of physical activity, as well as types of vegetables and fruits, were not considered in ARABRISK. Longitudinal studies revealed that physical inactivity has a direct relationship with the risk of developing T2DM.^[24–27] Furthermore, prolonged television watching, a marker of a sedentary lifestyle, had a direct relationship with diabetes risk in both men and women.^[21–23]

This study had several limitations. The present study did not consider the HbA_{1c} level to diagnose diabetes mellitus in order to exclude them instead we just relied on a participant declaration of not being diagnosed with diabetes mellitus. Since, in the surveys, the data being collected at a single time point, it is not possible to assess changes in the population unless 2 or more follow-up surveys are done at various time points. In addition, the present study did not report any causal relationship, as the data were collected at a single time point. Moreover, adding other questionnaires that measure the level of physical activity would have added more information about our participants' physical level.

5. Conclusions

The present study suggested an increased risk of developing T2DM in the cities of Riyadh and Amman. However, the population of Amman (Jordan) had a higher risk of developing T2DM compared to the population of Riyadh (Saudi Arabia). Therefore, it is vital to encourage physical activity and reduce sedentary lifestyle in Jordanian and Saudi Arabian population. In addition, there is a need to develop an effective obesity prevention program to minimize the risk of developing obesity and ultimately, the risk of developing diabetes in Saudi Arabia and Jordan.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University for funding through Vice Deanship of Scientific Research Chairs.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Alia A. Alghwiri, Ahmad Alghadir, Hamzeh Awad, and Shahnawaz Anwer

Data curation: Alia A. Alghwiri and Hamzeh Awad

Methodology: Alia A. Alghwiri, Ahmad Alghadir, Hamzeh Awad, and Shahnawaz Anwer

Writing – original draft: Alia A. Alghwiri

Funding acquisition: Ahmad Alghadir

Supervision: Ahmad Alghadir

Writing – review and editing: Ahmad Alghadir, Hamzeh Awad, and Shahnawaz Anwer

Validation: Hamzeh Awad

Formal analysis: Shahnawaz Anwer

References

- Alhwas L, McKay A, Majeed A. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes in the States of the co-operation council for the Arab States of the Gulf: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e40948. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0040948.
- Zabetian A, Keli HM, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, et al. Diabetes in the Middle East and North Africa. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2013;101:106–22.
- Whiting DR, Guariguata L, Weil C, et al. IDF diabetes atlas: global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2011 and 2030. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2011;94:311–21.
- International Diabetes Federation. *The Diabetes Atlas*. 5th ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2011.

- [5] Alhyas L, McKay A, Balasanthiran A, et al. Prevalences of overweight, obesity, hyperglycaemia, hypertension and dyslipidaemia in the Gulf: systematic review. *JRSM Short Rep* 2011;2:55.
- [6] Alghadir A, Alghwiri AA, Awad H, et al. Ten-year diabetes risk forecast in the capital of Jordan: Arab Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire perspective—A strobe-complaint article. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;95:e3181.
- [7] Alghadir A, Awad H, Al-Eisa E, et al. Diabetes risk 10 years forecast in the capital of Saudi Arabia: Canadian Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire (CANRISK) perspective. *Biomed Res* 2014;25:88–96.
- [8] Alghwiri A, Alghadir A, Awad H. The Arab Risk (ARABRISK): translation and validation. *Biomed Res* 2014;25:271–5.
- [9] Kadam P, Bhalerao S. Sample size calculation. *Int J Ayurveda Res* 2010;1:55–7.
- [10] Alqurashi KA, Aljabri KS, Bokhari SA. Prevalence of diabetes mellitus in a Saudi community. *Ann Saudi Med* 2011;31:19–23.
- [11] Ajlouni K, Khader YS, Batieha A, et al. An increase in prevalence of diabetes mellitus in Jordan over 10 years. *J Diabetes Complications* 2008;22:317–24.
- [12] Meisinger C, Thorand B, Schneider A, et al. Sex differences in risk factors for incident type 2 diabetes mellitus: the MONICA Augsburg cohort study. *Arch Intern Med* 2002;162:82–9.
- [13] Knowler WC, Pettitt DJ, Saad MF, et al. Obesity in the Pima Indians: its magnitude and relationship with diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991;53:1543S–51S.
- [14] Almdal T, Scharling H, Jensen JS, et al. Higher prevalence of risk factors for type 2 diabetes mellitus and subsequent higher incidence in men. *Eur J Intern Med* 2008;19:40–5.
- [15] Kumari M, Head J, Marmot M. Prospective study of social and other risk factors for incidence of type 2 diabetes in the Whitehall II Study. *Arch Intern Med* 2004;164:1873–80.
- [16] Conen D, Ridker PM, Mora S, et al. Blood pressure and risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Women's Health Study. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28:2937–43.
- [17] Movahed MR, Sattur S, Hashemzadeh M. Independent association between type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension over a period of 10 years in a large inpatient population. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 2010;32:198–201.
- [18] Amini M, Janghorbani M. Diabetes and impaired glucose regulation in first-degree relatives of patients with type 2 diabetes in Isfahan, Iran: prevalence and risk factors. *Rev Diabet Stud* 2007;4:169–76.
- [19] Meigs JB, Cupples LA, Wilson PW. Parental transmission of type 2 diabetes: the Framingham Offspring Study. *Diabetes* 2000;49:2201–7.
- [20] Harrison TA, Hindorff LA, Kim H, et al. Family history of diabetes as a potential public health tool. *Am J Prev Med* 2003;24:152–9.
- [21] Fretts AM, Howard BV, Kriska AM, et al. Physical activity and incident diabetes in American Indians. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;170:632–9.
- [22] Gimeno D, Elovainio M, Jokela M, et al. Association between passive jobs and low levels of leisure-time physical activity: the Whitehall II cohort study. *Occup Environ Med* 2009;66:772–6.
- [23] Villegas R, Shu XO, Li HL, et al. Physical activity and the incidence of type 2 diabetes in the Shanghai women's health study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2006;35:1553–62.
- [24] Jeon CY, Lokken RP, Hu FB, et al. Physical activity of moderate intensity and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:744–52.
- [25] Hu FB, Li TY, Colditz GA, et al. Television watching and other sedentary behaviors in relation to risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. *JAMA* 2003;289:1785–91.
- [26] Hu FB, Leitzmann MF, Stampfer MJ, et al. Physical activity and television watching in relation to risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus in men. *Arch Intern Med* 2001;161:1542–8.
- [27] Krishnan S, Rosenberg L, Palmer JR. Physical activity and television watching in relation to risk of type 2 diabetes: the Black Women's Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;169:428–34.