


# BMJ Open Occupational risk factors and breast cancer in Beijing, China: a hospital-based case-control study

Aihua Li ,<sup>1</sup> Zhuang Shen,<sup>1</sup> Zhifeng Sun,<sup>2</sup> Shuiying Yun,<sup>3</sup> Xingkuan Tian,<sup>4</sup> Zaifang Hu,<sup>5</sup> Guixin Yu,<sup>1</sup> Li Hu,<sup>1</sup> Zihuan Wang,<sup>1</sup> Yan Ye<sup>1</sup>

**To cite:** Li A, Shen Z, Sun Z, *et al.* Occupational risk factors and breast cancer in Beijing, China: a hospital-based case-control study. *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e054151. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054151

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054151>).

Received 08 June 2021

Accepted 12 October 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Occupational Health, Beijing Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Occupational Health, Beijing Dongcheng District Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Occupational Diseases, Beijing Shijingshan District Center for Diseases Prevention and Control, Beijing, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Integrated Evaluation, Beijing Mentougou District Center for Diseases Prevention and Control, Beijing, China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Occupational Health, Beijing Shunyi District Center for Diseases Prevention and Control, Beijing, China

## Correspondence to

Dr Yan Ye; [yeyan80@126.com](mailto:yeyan80@126.com)

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction** Studies on the association between breast cancer and occupational hazards are limited, especially in China. This is the first study to explore the relationship between breast cancer and occupational hazards in Beijing, China.

**Design** A hospital-based case-control study.

**Setting** Eight local hospitals in Beijing, China.

**Participants** A total of 973 female participants, comprising 495 cases and 478 controls, were recruited in our study. We identified patients who underwent diagnosis for breast cancer at one of the eight local hospitals in Beijing between 1 January 2015 and 31 December 2019; controls were individuals randomly matched from the same hospital where the cases were confirmed.

**Main outcome and measure** Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression was used to estimate the occupational risk factors associated with breast cancer, including night shift work history and work posture.

**Results** In the case group, the breast cancer type was mainly invasive, which accounted for 85.66% of all the breast cancer patients. Five risk factors were included in the final LASSO model, including body mass index (BMI), marital status, menopause, night shift work history and work posture. Furthermore, these risk factors were considered for multivariate logistic regression, and the analyses suggested that the risk of breast cancer was significantly associated with higher BMI ( $\geq 28.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, OR: 2.85, 95% CI: 1.29 to 6.30); married status: married (OR: 2.67, 95% CI: 1.28 to 5.56) or divorced (OR: 4.51, 95% CI: 1.84 to 11.07); menopause (OR: 6.89, 95% CI: 5.07 to 9.36); night shift work (OR: 1.53, 95% CI: 1.11 to 2.11); and maximum standing or walking, and minimal sitting (OR: 1.80, 95% CI: 1.19 to 2.73).

**Conclusion** Breast cancer is associated with occupational risk factors. Night shift work, especially in a standing posture, can increase the incidence of breast cancer in women in Beijing, China.

## INTRODUCTION

As per the statistics in 2020, breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer and a leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide.<sup>1</sup> Breast cancer is also the most common cancer in Chinese women, and the number of new cases of female breast cancer

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study to evaluate the association between breast cancer and occupational risk factors in Beijing, China.
- This study used the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator logistic regression model to analyse the risk factors for breast cancer, with a better discriminatory power that significantly improved the accuracy of the research outcomes than previous studies.
- Case-control study design has a limitation, as it is prone to recall and selection biases and hinders the inference of causality.

in 2020 ranked the first among all malignant tumours.<sup>2</sup> Studies suggest that primary prevention, and early diagnosis and therapies can effectively reduce the incidence and mortality in breast cancer.<sup>3</sup>

The risk factors for breast cancer have become the focus of research. In previous studies, we found that the increased risk of breast cancer in participants was associated with baseline characteristics (older age,<sup>4</sup> high body mass index (BMI), obesity or overweight, and family history of breast cancer),<sup>5-6</sup> reproductive factors (early menarche and late menopause)<sup>7-8</sup> and lifestyle (exposure to tobacco smoke and high dietary intake of fats or fatty foods).<sup>9-12</sup> An increasing number of studies are analysing the relationship between occupational hazards and incidence of breast cancer. Some meta-analyses found that night shift work is a risk factor for breast cancer.<sup>13</sup> For instance, a case-control study from Guangzhou reported that night-shift work was associated with an increased risk of breast cancer OR (95% CI): 1.34 (1.05 to 1.72).<sup>14</sup> Contrastingly, a case-control study from South Korea, including 1721 pairs of participants, did not observe any correlation between the two.<sup>15</sup> Furthermore, few

studies have found working posture and intensity of physical activity to be related to the incidence of breast cancer<sup>16 17</sup>; but, these were limited number of studies with smaller sample sizes, and therefore, had certain limitations and biases. Nevertheless, few studies in China have explored the occupational risk factors for breast cancer. A study in Guangzhou, China, explored the relationship between shift work at night, sleep time and nap during the day and breast cancer; however, the occupational hazards discussed were not comprehensive.<sup>14</sup>

The Gail *et al*'s model, a popular assessment of breast cancer risk, was originally designed to identify women at an increased risk for entry in chemoprevention trials, but it had limited discriminatory power—approximately 60% for the values of receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) curves.<sup>18</sup> Several other models were also developed for predicting breast cancer risk using similar indicators. In mainland China, there were only two studies (Shanghai and Nanjing) to assess risk factors for breast cancer,<sup>19 20</sup> which included a limited number of single nucleotide polymorphisms and some risk factors; however, these also had discriminatory power of approximately 60%, possibly due to inclusion of fewer research variables.

Therefore, we conducted this study to investigate, for the first time, the relationship between occupational hazards, such as night shift work, working posture, working hours and physical activity, and the risk of breast cancer in women in Beijing. Furthermore, we incorporated these risk factors into the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) logistic regression model to improve the discriminatory power, and effectively screen the risk factors for breast cancer. Additionally, we have provided a theoretical basis for early screening and treatment of breast cancer.


## METHODS

### Study population

A 1:1 case–control study was conducted to explore the risk factors associated with the development of breast cancer in women in Beijing, between 1 January 2015 and 31 December 2019. Cases who underwent diagnosis for breast cancer at one of the eight local hospitals in Beijing were considered for inclusion in the study. Breast cancer was confirmed based on physical examination, mammography and pathological verification. The controls were individuals matched randomly from the same hospital where the cases were confirmed. Cases who met the following criteria were included in the study: (1) female; (2) aged 20–84 years; (3) newly diagnosed with primary breast cancer and (4) resided in Beijing for at least 5 years. The inclusion criteria for women in the control group were: (1) female; (2) aged 20–84 years; (3) no medical record of breast cancer, but sought medical advice at the same hospital during the same duration and (4) resided in Beijing for at least 5 years. All patients who met the inclusion criteria and provided an informed consent were included in the study. We classified breast cancer according to the Chinese Anti-Cancer Association Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Breast Cancer (2019 Edition).<sup>21</sup>

### Data collection

Face-to-face interviews were conducted by trained interviewers using a standardised questionnaire in a private office. Each questionnaire required approximately 15 min. The questionnaire included basic demographic information (BMI, level of education, marital status, smoking, alcohol consumption, dinner, sleeping time per day, etc), reproduction factors (age at menarche, previous conception, abortion history, menopause, history of benign breast diseases and family history of breast cancer) and occupational risk factors (night



**Figure 1** Study design.

**Table 1** Basic characteristics

| Variables                     | Cases<br>n (%) | Controls<br>n (%) | Total<br>n (%) | P value |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Total                         | 495 (100)      | 478 (100)         | 973 (100)      |         |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )      |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| ≤18.4                         | 24 (4.85)      | 37 (7.74)         | 61 (6.27)      |         |
| 18.5–23.9                     | 185 (37.37)    | 290 (60.67)       | 475 (48.82)    |         |
| 24–27.9                       | 202 (40.81)    | 128 (26.78)       | 330 (33.92)    |         |
| ≥28.0                         | 84 (16.97)     | 23 (4.81)         | 107 (10.10)    |         |
| Education                     |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| <Middle school                | 179 (36.16)    | 48 (10.04)        | 227 (23.33)    |         |
| High school                   | 181 (36.57)    | 82 (17.15)        | 263 (27.03)    |         |
| >University                   | 135 (27.27)    | 348 (72.80)       | 483 (49.64)    |         |
| Marital status                |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| Single                        | 10 (2.02)      | 66 (13.81)        | 76 (7.81)      |         |
| Married                       | 435 (87.88)    | 387 (80.96)       | 822 (84.48)    |         |
| Divorced                      | 50 (10.10)     | 25 (5.23)         | 75 (7.71)      |         |
| Smoking                       |                |                   |                | 0.311   |
| Yes                           | 13 (2.63)      | 8 (1.67)          | 21 (2.16)      |         |
| No                            | 482 (97.37)    | 470 (98.33)       | 952 (97.84)    |         |
| Alcohol consumption           |                |                   |                | 0.438   |
| Yes                           | 13 (2.63)      | 9 (1.88)          | 22 (2.26)      |         |
| No                            | 482 (97.37)    | 469 (98.12)       | 951 (97.74)    |         |
| Nighttime eating              |                |                   |                | 0.012   |
| Yes                           | 48 (9.70)      | 72 (15.06)        | 120 (12.33)    |         |
| No                            | 447 (90.30)    | 406 (84.94)       | 853 (87.67)    |         |
| Sleeping time per day (hours) |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| <22:00                        | 189 (38.18)    | 104 (21.76)       | 293 (30.11)    |         |
| 22:00–24:00                   | 292 (58.99)    | 353 (73.85)       | 645 (66.29)    |         |
| 0:00–4:00                     | 14 (2.83)      | 21 (4.39)         | 35 (3.60)      |         |
| Oestrogen receptor status     |                |                   |                |         |
| Negative                      | 381 (76.97)    |                   |                |         |
| Positive                      | 114 (23.03)    |                   |                |         |
| Progesterone receptor status  |                |                   |                |         |
| Negative                      | 358 (72.32)    |                   |                |         |
| Positive                      | 137 (27.68)    |                   |                |         |
| Breast cancer type            |                |                   |                |         |
| Non-invasive                  | 71 (14.34)     |                   |                |         |
| Invasive                      | 424 (85.66)    |                   |                |         |
| Metastasis                    |                |                   |                |         |
| Yes                           | 121 (24.44)    |                   |                |         |
| No                            | 374 (75.56)    |                   |                |         |

shift work history, types of work shifts, years of night shift work, work posture, working hours per day, and exposure to industrial dust and high temperature at the office). BMI classification in this study is based on the Chinese Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Overweight and Obese Adults.<sup>22</sup> To determine a family history of breast cancer, each participant was required to report whether her immediate relatives

(father, mother or siblings) were previously diagnosed with breast cancer.

### Statistical analysis

For the description of participant characteristics, variables are presented as numbers and percentages, which are compared using the  $\chi^2$  test between cases and controls. Factors with  $p < 0.10$  in univariate

**Table 2** Reproductive characteristics

| Variables                         | Cases<br>n (%) | Controls<br>n (%) | Total<br>n (%) | P value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Total                             | 495 (100)      | 478 (100)         | 973 (100)      |         |
| Age at menarche (years)           |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| ≤12                               | 93 (18.79)     | 137 (28.66)       | 230 (23.64)    |         |
| 13                                | 115 (23.23)    | 121 (25.31)       | 236 (24.25)    |         |
| 14                                | 121 (24.44)    | 119 (24.9)        | 240 (24.67)    |         |
| 15                                | 72 (14.55)     | 55 (11.51)        | 127 (13.05)    |         |
| ≥16                               | 94 (18.99)     | 46 (9.62)         | 140 (14.39)    |         |
| Previously conceived              |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| Yes                               | 464 (93.74)    | 371 (77.62)       | 835 (85.82)    |         |
| No                                | 31 (6.26)      | 107 (22.38)       | 138 (14.18)    |         |
| Abortion history                  |                |                   |                | 0.012   |
| Yes                               | 243 (49.09)    | 273 (57.11)       | 516 (53.03)    |         |
| No                                | 252 (50.91)    | 205 (42.89)       | 457 (46.97)    |         |
| Menopause                         |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| Yes                               | 374 (75.56)    | 127 (26.57)       | 501 (51.49)    |         |
| No                                | 121 (24.44)    | 351 (73.43)       | 472 (48.51)    |         |
| History of benign breast diseases |                |                   |                | 0.201   |
| Yes                               | 98 (19.80)     | 91 (19.04)        | 189 (19.42)    |         |
| No                                | 360 (72.73)    | 364 (76.15)       | 724 (74.41)    |         |
| Unknown                           | 37 (7.47)      | 23 (4.81)         | 60 (6.17)      |         |
| Family history of breast cancer   |                |                   |                | 0.025   |
| Yes                               | 44 (8.89)      | 24 (5.02)         | 68 (6.99)      |         |
| No                                | 441 (89.09)    | 442 (92.47)       | 883 (90.75)    |         |
| Unknown                           | 10 (2.02)      | 12 (2.51)         | 22 (2.26)      |         |

analysis were included in the LASSO model. Analyses with LASSO model were performed to select potential predictors to be used by shrinking the coefficients toward zero by setting a constraint on the sum of the absolute standardised coefficients. Shrinkage estimates with LASSO provided an important way for adjusting model's overfitting. Finally, the risk factors selected by LASSO model were analysed by non-conditional multivariate logistic regression that reports p values, OR and 95% CIs. All analyses were performed using the SAS software V.9.4. Results with two-sided  $p < 0.05$  were considered to be statistically significant.

### Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in the design or execution of this study.

## RESULTS

Overall, 1033 participants were recruited in the study with a response rate of 94.86% (1033/1089). Sixty women were excluded from the study as they failed to meet the inclusion criteria. Therefore, 973 participants, including 495 cases and 478 controls, with response rates of 93.73%

(495/528) and 95.41% (478/501), respectively, were considered for the final analysis. The detailed information is presented in [figure 1](#).

### Basic characteristics of cases and controls

Women with breast cancer had a higher BMI (24.0–27.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in 40.81% and ≥28.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in 16.97% cases,  $p < 0.001$ ), and >30% had a middle school level education or below ( $p < 0.001$ ) than that in controls ([table 1](#)).

### Reproductive characteristics of cases and controls

As shown in [table 2](#), increased number of cases with breast cancer had delayed menarche (age ≥16 years in 18.99%,  $p < 0.001$ ), conceived (93.74%,  $p < 0.001$ ), reached menopause (75.56%,  $p < 0.001$ ) and a family history of breast cancer (8.89%,  $p = 0.054$ ) than that in controls.

### Comparison of occupational risk factors in cases and controls

As shown in [table 3](#), >30% of the participants had night shift work history. Rotating night shift was the frequent type of work shift, and accounted for 21.27%. Majority of the times sitting, and sometimes standing or walking, was

**Table 3** Occupational risk factors

| Variables                                           | Cases<br>n (%) | Controls<br>n (%) | Total<br>n (%) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Total                                               |                |                   |                |         |
| Night shift work history                            |                |                   |                | 0.001   |
| Yes                                                 | 122 (24.65)    | 172 (35.98)       | 294 (30.22)    |         |
| No                                                  | 373 (75.35)    | 306 (64.02)       | 679 (69.78)    |         |
| Types of shift work                                 |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| Permanent night shift                               | 4 (0.81)       | 2 (0.42)          | 6 (0.62)       |         |
| Rotating night shift                                | 79 (15.96)     | 128 (26.78)       | 207 (21.27)    |         |
| Irregular night shift                               | 39 (7.88)      | 42 (8.79)         | 81 (8.32)      |         |
| No                                                  | 373 (75.35)    | 306 (64.02)       | 679 (69.78)    |         |
| Years of night shift work                           |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| ≤1                                                  | 8 (1.62)       | 14 (2.93)         | 22 (2.26)      |         |
| 2–5                                                 | 37 (7.47)      | 52 (10.88)        | 89 (9.15)      |         |
| 6–10                                                | 28 (5.66)      | 52 (10.88)        | 80 (8.22)      |         |
| ≥11                                                 | 49 (9.90)      | 54 (10.48)        | 103 (10.59)    |         |
| No                                                  | 373 (75.35)    | 306 (64.02)       | 679 (69.78)    |         |
| Work posture                                        |                |                   |                | <0.001  |
| Majority sitting, and sometimes standing or walking | 264 (53.33)    | 313 (65.48)       | 577 (59.30)    |         |
| Comparable sitting and standing                     | 118 (23.84)    | 104 (21.76)       | 222 (22.82)    |         |
| Majority standing or walking, and sometimes sitting | 113 (22.83)    | 61 (12.76)        | 174 (17.88)    |         |
| Working hours per day                               |                |                   |                | 0.191   |
| <2                                                  | 24 (4.85)      | 9 (1.88)          | 33 (3.39)      |         |
| 2–4                                                 | 30 (6.06)      | 20 (4.18)         | 50 (5.14)      |         |
| 5–7                                                 | 131 (26.46)    | 157 (32.85)       | 288 (29.6)     |         |
| ≥8                                                  | 310 (62.63)    | 292 (61.09)       | 602 (61.87)    |         |
| Industrial dust                                     |                |                   |                | 0.001   |
| Yes                                                 | 450 (90.91)    | 460 (96.23)       | 910 (93.53)    |         |
| No                                                  | 45 (9.09)      | 18 (3.77)         | 63 (6.47)      |         |
| High temperature at the office                      |                |                   |                | 0.003   |
| Yes                                                 | 467 (94.34)    | 469 (98.12)       | 936 (96.20)    |         |
| No                                                  | 28 (5.66)      | 9 (1.88)          | 37 (3.80)      |         |

the frequent work posture, and accounted for 59.30%. More than 90% of the participants had industrial dust (93.53%) and high temperature (96.20%) exposure history.

#### Risk factors for breast cancer by LASSO selection and multivariate logistic regression analysis

Five risk factors, including BMI, marital status, menopause, night shift work history and work posture, were finally included in the LASSO model. Furthermore, these risk factors were selected for multivariate logistic regression. The analyses indicated that the incidence of breast cancer significantly associated with higher BMI ( $\geq 28.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$ , OR: 2.85, 95% CI: 1.29 to 6.30), married (OR: 2.67, 95% CI: 1.28 to 5.56) or divorced (OR: 4.51, 95% CI: 1.84 to 11.07) marital status, menopause (OR: 6.89, 95% CI: 5.07 to 9.36), night shift work (OR: 1.53,

95% CI: 1.11 to 2.11) and majority standing or walking and sometimes sitting posture (OR: 1.80, 95% CI: 1.19 to 2.73) (table 4).

#### Accuracy of model

The accuracy of the logistic model was determined by the ROC curves, with an area under the curve of 0.8691. The detailed information is shown in figure 2.


#### DISCUSSION

In this case-control study, we found the incidence of breast cancer to be closely related to occupational hazards. Majority of the participants with a history of night shift work and who worked in standing posture had an increased risk of breast cancer. Additionally, we found that some baseline demographic characteristics, such as



**Table 4** Multivariate logistic regression analyses for risk factors for breast cancer

| Variables                                           | P value | OR   | 95% CI        |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|------|---------------|
| <b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>                       |         |      |               |
| ≤18.4                                               |         | 1.00 |               |
| 18.4–23.9                                           | 0.212   | 0.67 | 0.35 to 1.26  |
| 24.0–27.9                                           | 0.405   | 1.32 | 0.69 to 2.55  |
| ≥28.0                                               | 0.010   | 2.85 | 1.29 to 6.30  |
| <b>Marital status</b>                               |         |      |               |
| Single                                              |         | 1.00 |               |
| Married                                             | 0.009   | 2.67 | 1.28 to 5.56  |
| Divorced                                            | 0.001   | 4.51 | 1.84 to 11.07 |
| <b>Menopause</b>                                    |         |      |               |
| Yes                                                 | <0.001  | 6.89 | 5.07 to 9.36  |
| No                                                  |         | 1.00 |               |
| <b>Night shift work history</b>                     |         |      |               |
| Yes                                                 | 0.010   | 1.53 | 1.11 to 2.11  |
| No                                                  |         | 1.00 |               |
| <b>Work posture</b>                                 |         |      |               |
| Majority sitting, and sometimes standing or walking |         | 1.00 |               |
| Comparable sitting and standing                     | 0.878   | 1.03 | 0.71 to 1.49  |
| Majority standing or walking, and sometimes sitting | 0.005   | 1.80 | 1.19 to 2.73  |

**Figure 2** Accuracy of model analysis using receiver-operator characteristic.

obesity (BMI  $\geq 28$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>), married or divorced marital status, and menopause were also risk factors for breast cancer.

We found 1.53-times increased incidence of breast cancer in individuals with a history of night shift work that in those with no such history. The possible mechanism could be that night shift work disrupts the circadian rhythm and contributes to an increased risk of breast cancer. Studies have shown that changes in the circadian rhythm inhibit the secretion of melatonin that stimulates secretion of oestrogen, changes the functions of oestrogen receptors and inhibits the activation of tumour antiproliferative mechanisms, and thus, increases the risk of breast cancer in women.<sup>23</sup> It is indicated that immunohistochemical indicators are closely linked to breast cancer. Furthermore, a study has indicated that epigenetic regulation of *CLOCK*, *BMALI*, *CRY1* and *PER1* may contribute to incidence of breast cancer in shift workers.<sup>24</sup> Moreover, telomere shortening associated with the duration and intensity of night work is also a risk factor for breast cancer in women.<sup>25</sup> Several studies have also indicated that night shift work is a risk factor for breast cancer.<sup>26–28</sup>

Therefore, considering the significantly higher risk of breast cancer in people working at night, the government should implement prophylactic treatment for cancers. Additionally, it is necessary to limit night shift work in women with the highest risk of developing breast cancer, and comply with appropriate number of breaks and ergonomic working conditions.

Furthermore, we found that working postures, such as standing or walking, also increased the risk of breast cancer. A majority of the workers working in standing position performed heavy physical activity than those working in sitting position. Previous studies have showed that many diseases are related to the immune function of the body.<sup>29</sup> A moderate physical activity can increase the number of natural killer cells, lymphocytes, macrophages and monocytes, and, therefore, enhance the immune function in the body and reduce the occurrence of breast cancer. However, heavy physical activity reduces immune function. An epidemiological survey based in Africa showed that incidence of breast cancer is not reduced by high-intensity physical activity.<sup>30</sup> Moreover, a population-based prospective cohort study found that occupational sedentariness was associated with increased breast cancer risk.<sup>31</sup> Therefore, avoiding standing for long durations and ensuring a reasonable schedule of work and rest will help reduce the incidence of breast cancer.

Studies found that obesity can significantly increase the risk of breast cancer. The World Cancer Research Foundation suggests that obesity is a risk factor for breast cancer.<sup>32–33</sup> In obese women, adipocytokine disorders, insulin and insulin-like growth factor system disorders, and abnormally increased oestrogen levels can increase the risk of breast cancer.<sup>34,35</sup> A large-scale prospective study of 162 691 postmenopausal women in the UK indicated a positive correlation between obesity in postmenopausal women and risk of breast cancer.<sup>36</sup> A prospective cohort

study of 3.5 million in Spain also reported that postmenopausal women have an increased risk of breast cancer.<sup>37</sup> However, the relationship between obesity in premenopausal women and risk of breast cancer is not validated and needs further study.<sup>38 39</sup> Therefore, the prevalence of overweight and obesity should be reduced by changing lifestyles and adopting reasonable diet to reduce the incidence of breast cancer.

Compared with the incidence in unmarried women, married or divorced women showed an increased risk of breast cancer. Married or divorced women may face greater mental stress, such as work–family conflicts, grievances, etc. Long-term psychological depression and accumulation of negative energy may worsen the sickness. Therefore, an optimistic attitude, and adopting methods to combat stress and eliminate negative emotions, can help prevent the occurrence of breast cancer. We also found that menopausal status is a high-risk factor for breast cancer than non-menopausal status. It may be that menopausal women are older, more likely to develop breast cancer<sup>40 41</sup> and more likely to receive menopausal hormone therapy.<sup>42</sup> Moreover, a meta-analysis of 58 studies showed that the risk of breast cancer increases by 15%–29% after receiving menopausal hormone therapy.<sup>43</sup> Therefore, postmenopausal women should take hormone therapy as little as possible to reduce the incidence of breast cancer.

A major advantage of this study is that it explores the relationship between occupational hazards and breast cancer based on a hospital case–control study. For the first time, eight medical institutions were randomly selected in Beijing, and a questionnaire survey was conducted in the form of one-to-one interviews. Additionally, this study used the LASSO logistic regression model to analyse the risk factors for breast cancer, with a discriminatory power of approximately 80% that significantly improved the accuracy of the research outcomes than that with discriminatory power of 60% reported in a previous study.<sup>44</sup> However, the limitations of the study should be mentioned. First, this is a case–control study and is prone to recall and selection biases. Nevertheless, we selected patients who were diagnosed with breast cancer for the first time in the past 5 years and trained investigators to minimise recall bias. Moreover, the control and case groups were selected from the same medical institution to minimise the selection bias. Second, we only investigated breast cancer patients in eight medical institutions in Beijing, which has certain limitations. However, according to the sample size calculation formula, the number of people surveyed in this research has met the requirements, and the research results are relatively stable. Third, we did not mention the age of the respondent in the questionnaire; this needs to be investigated in future studies. Fourth, further research is needed to explore average number of night days, the age at which the participants started performing night shifts, working posture and the relationship between obesity, interaction of physical activity and breast cancer, and obesity and breast cancer in women with different menopausal status.

In conclusion, findings of the present study indicated that night shift work and a standing or walking work posture were associated with a risk of breast cancer. We suggest that national awareness campaigns that aim to limit night shift work in women with the highest risk of developing breast cancer, avoiding standing for a long duration, and ensuring a reasonable schedule of work and rest, could be implemented to reduce the incidence of breast cancer in China.

**Acknowledgements** The authors are grateful to the study participants whose data has made this study possible.

**Contributors** AL wrote the main manuscript text and analysed the data. ZShen and YY conducted the analysis and contributed to study design. ZSun, SY, XT and ZH contributed to data collection. GY, LH and ZW helped to review the manuscript outline and suggested revisions. All authors have reviewed the manuscript and approved the submitted version. YY was responsible for the overall content as the guarantor.

**Funding** This study was supported by Beijing Center for Diseases Prevention and Control and Center of Preventive Medical Research (grant number: 2019-BJYJ-05). The funding organisation had no role in the design and implementation of the study, analysis of the data or writing of the manuscript.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

**Patient consent for publication** Consent obtained directly from patient(s)

**Ethics approval** The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Beijing Center for Disease Control and Prevention (IRB #201920).

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** All data will be made available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

#### ORCID iD

Aihua Li <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1560-3889>

#### REFERENCES

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, *et al.* Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021;71:209–49.
- Cao W, Chen H-D, Yu Y-W, *et al.* Changing profiles of cancer burden worldwide and in China: a secondary analysis of the global cancer statistics 2020. *Chin Med J* 2021;134:783–91.
- Vineis P, Wild CP. Global cancer patterns: causes and prevention. *Lancet* 2014;383:549–57.
- Varghese F, Wong J. Breast cancer in the elderly. *Surg Clin North Am* 2018;98:819–33.
- Forster AS, Forbes LJL, Abraham C, *et al.* Promoting early presentation of breast cancer: a preliminary evaluation of a written intervention. *Chronic Illn* 2014;10:18–30.
- Lee H, Li J-Y, Fan J-H, *et al.* Risk factors for breast cancer among Chinese women: a 10-year nationwide multicenter cross-sectional study. *J Epidemiol* 2014;24:67–76.
- Tan M-M, Ho W-K, Yoon S-Y, *et al.* A case–control study of breast cancer risk factors in 7,663 women in Malaysia. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0203469.
- Dunneer Y, Greenwood DC, Cade JE. Diet, menopause and the risk of ovarian, endometrial and breast cancer. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2019;78:438–48.
- Mizoo T, Taira N, Nishiyama K, *et al.* Effects of lifestyle and single nucleotide polymorphisms on breast cancer risk: a case–control study in Japanese women. *BMC Cancer* 2013;13:565–79.

- 10 Kiyabu GY, Inoue M, Saito E, *et al.* Fish, n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids intake and breast cancer risk: The Japan Public Health Center-based prospective study. *Int J Cancer* 2015;137:2915–26.
- 11 Matta M, Huybrechts I, Biessy C, *et al.* Dietary intake of trans fatty acids and breast cancer risk in 9 European countries. *BMC Med* 2021;19:81.
- 12 Ko K-P, Kim S-W, Ma SH, *et al.* Dietary intake and breast cancer among carriers and noncarriers of BRCA mutations in the Korean hereditary breast cancer study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013;98:1493–501.
- 13 Pahwa M, Labrèche F, Demers PA. Night shift work and breast cancer risk: what do the meta-analyses tell us? *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2018;44:432–5.
- 14 Wang P, Ren F-M, Lin Y, *et al.* Night-Shift work, sleep duration, daytime napping, and breast cancer risk. *Sleep Med* 2015;16:462–8.
- 15 Pham T-T, Hwang M, Lee E-S, *et al.* Night-Shift work and risk of breast cancer in Korean women. *Clin Epidemiol* 2019;11:743–51.
- 16 Kehm RD, Genkinger JM, MacInnis RJ, *et al.* Recreational physical activity is associated with reduced breast cancer risk in adult women at high risk for breast cancer: a cohort study of women selected for familial and genetic risk. *Cancer Res* 2020;80:116–25.
- 17 Phillips SM, Dodd KW, Steeves J, *et al.* Physical activity and sedentary behavior in breast cancer survivors: new insight into activity patterns and potential intervention targets. *Gynecol Oncol* 2015;138:398–404.
- 18 Gail MH, Costantino JP, Pee D, *et al.* Projecting individualized absolute invasive breast cancer risk in African American women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007;99:1782–92.
- 19 Dai J, Hu Z, Jiang Y, *et al.* Breast cancer risk assessment with five independent genetic variants and two risk factors in Chinese women. *Breast Cancer Res* 2012;14:17–28.
- 20 Wang Y, Gao Y, Battsend M, *et al.* Development of a risk assessment tool for projecting individualized probabilities of developing breast cancer for Chinese women. *Tumour Biol* 2014;35:10861–9.
- 21 Breast Cancer Professional Committee of the Chinese Anti-Cancer Association. Guidelines and Norms for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Breast Cancer of the Chinese Anti-Cancer Association (2019 Edition)[J]. *Chinese Journal of Cancer* 2019;29:609–79.
- 22 Wang H, Zhai F. Programme and policy options for preventing obesity in China. *Obes Rev* 2013;14 Suppl 2:134–40.
- 23 Pham T-T, Lee E-S, Kong S-Y, *et al.* Night-Shift work, circadian and melatonin pathway related genes and their interaction on breast cancer risk: evidence from a case-control study in Korean women. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:10982.
- 24 Samulin Erdem J, Skare Øivind, Petersen-Øverleir M, *et al.* Mechanisms of breast cancer in shift workers: DNA methylation in five core circadian genes in nurses working night shifts. *J Cancer* 2017;8:2876–84.
- 25 Samulin Erdem J, Noto Heidi Ødegaard, Skare Øivind, *et al.* Mechanisms of breast cancer risk in shift workers: association of telomere shortening with the duration and intensity of night work. *Cancer Med* 2017;6:1988–97.
- 26 Gehlert S, Clanton M, on behalf of the Shift Work and Breast Cancer Strategic Advisory Group. Shift work and breast cancer. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17:9544–51.
- 27 Szkiela M, Kusideł E, Makowiec-Dąbrowska T, *et al.* Night shift Work-A risk factor for breast cancer. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17:659–70.
- 28 Sweeney MR, Sandler DP, Niehoff NM, *et al.* Shift work and working at night in relation to breast cancer incidence. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2020;29:687–9.
- 29 Xu Y, Rogers CJ. Physical activity and breast cancer prevention: possible role of immune mediators. *Front Nutr* 2020;7:557997.
- 30 Gong Z, Hong C-C, Bandera EV, *et al.* Vigorous physical activity and risk of breast cancer in the African American breast cancer epidemiology and risk Consortium. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2016;159:347–56.
- 31 Johnsson A, Broberg P, Johnsson A, *et al.* Occupational sedentariness and breast cancer risk. *Acta Oncol* 2017;56:75–80.
- 32 James FR, Wootton S, Jackson A, *et al.* Obesity in breast cancer—what is the risk factor? *Eur J Cancer* 2015;51:705–20.
- 33 Ghoncheh M, Momenimovahed Z, Salehiniya H. Epidemiology, incidence and mortality of breast cancer in Asia. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2016;17:47–52.
- 34 Picon-Ruiz M, Morata-Tarifa C, Valle-Goffin JJ, *et al.* Obesity and adverse breast cancer risk and outcome: mechanistic insights and strategies for intervention. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2017;67:378–97.
- 35 Lee K, Kruper L, Dieli-Conwright CM, *et al.* The impact of obesity on breast cancer diagnosis and treatment. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2019;21:41–6.
- 36 Guo W, Key TJ, Reeves GK. Adiposity and breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women: results from the UK Biobank prospective cohort. *Int J Cancer* 2018;143:1037–46.
- 37 Recalde M, Davila-Batista V, Díaz Y, *et al.* Body mass index and waist circumference in relation to the risk of 26 types of cancer: a prospective cohort study of 3.5 million adults in Spain. *BMC Med* 2021;19:10.
- 38 Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, *et al.* Body-Mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Lancet* 2008;371:569–78.
- 39 Houghton SC, Eliassen H, Tamimi RM, *et al.* Central adiposity and subsequent risk of breast cancer by menopause status. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2021;113:900–8.
- 40 Youn HJ, Han W. A review of the epidemiology of breast cancer in Asia: focus on risk factors. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2020;21:867–80.
- 41 Ghoncheh M, Pournamdar Z, Salehiniya H. Incidence and mortality and epidemiology of breast cancer in the world. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2016;17:43–6.
- 42 Chlebowski RT, Anderson GL, Aragaki AK, *et al.* Association of menopausal hormone therapy with breast cancer incidence and mortality during long-term follow-up of the women's health Initiative randomized clinical trials. *JAMA* 2020;324:369–80.
- 43 Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Type and timing of menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer risk: individual participant meta-analysis of the worldwide epidemiological evidence. *Lancet* 2019;394:1159–68.
- 44 Wang F, Dai J, Li M, *et al.* Risk assessment model for invasive breast cancer in Hong Kong women. *Medicine* 2016;95:e4515.