

Review Article

The effectiveness of hyaluronic acid in reducing adverse effects associated with inhaled hypertonic saline therapy in patients with cystic fibrosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Sana Arshid¹, Saad Ehsan Ullah¹, Junaid Imran², Meeran Asher Syed³, Aakash Choradia⁴, Nicole Gousy⁵, Sukhman Boparai⁶, Mudassir Shoaib⁷, Bidhan Bikram Shah⁸, Aadarsh Netha⁹

¹ Department of Medicine, Shaikh Zayed Hospital, ² Department of Medicine, Khyber Medical College, ³ Department of Internal Medicine, Fauji Foundation Hospital, ⁴ Department of Medicine, Tribhuwan University, ⁵ Department of Medicine, American University of Antigua, ⁶ Department of Medicine, Acharya Shri Chander College of Medical Sciences and Hospital, ⁷ Department of Medicine, Jinnah Medical & Dental College, ⁸ Department of Medicine, Kathmandu Medical College, ⁹ Department of Medicine, Melmaruvathur Adhiparasakthi Institute of Medical Sciences and Research

Keywords: Hyaluronic Acid, Hypertonic Saline, Cystic Fibrosis, Expectorants https://doi.org/10.29390/001c.89093

Canadian Journal of Respiratory Therapy

Vol. 59, 2023

Abstract

Background

Inhaled hypertonic saline (HS) is an effective mucolytic agent in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF). However, adverse events can impair the clinical utility of hypertonic saline (HS) in this patient population. In this study, we aimed to investigate the effectiveness of hyaluronic acid (HA) in reducing these adverse events.

Methods

A literature search was conducted across three electronic databases (Medline, Cochrane Central, and EMBASE) from inception through February 2023. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) assessing the impact of hyaluronic acid (HA) in reducing adverse events in patients taking hypertonic saline (HS) for CF were included in the analysis. Outcomes of interest included cough, throat irritation, unpleasant taste, and FEV1. Evaluations were reported as risk ratios (RRs) and mean differences (MDs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). The Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (CRBT) was employed to assess the quality of RCTs. The New Castle Ottawa Scale was used to assess the quality of observational studies.

Results

From the 1960 articles retrieved from the initial search, five relevant studies (n=236 patients) were included in the final analysis. Compared with patients only on HS, patients with HS and HA were significantly less likely to experience cough (RR: 0.45; 95% CI, 0.28–0.72, p=0.001), throat irritation (RR: 0.43; 95% CI, 0.22–0.81, p= 0.009), and unpleasant smell (RR: 0.43; 95% CI, 0.23 – 0.80, p=0.09). In addition, patients with HS with HA had significantly less forced expiratory volume (FEV1) (MD: -2.97; 95% CI, -3.79–2.15, p=0.52) compared to patients only on HS.

Discussion

The addition of HA to HS was linked to a better tolerability profile. When HS was coupled with HA, there was a reduction in all side effects. This may permit tolerance of the medication in otherwise difficult patients, improve adherence to patients receiving frequent inhalations, and improve therapeutic outcomes.

Conclusion

The addition of HA is advantageous in CF patients who require continuous HS therapy and have previously shown poor tolerance to therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is caused by mutations in the gene encoding the CF transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), an anion channel expressed in epithelial cells throughout the body.¹ Due to the malfunction of CFTR (Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator), the balance of sodium (Na+) absorption is disrupted, and the active secretion of chloride ions (Cl-) decreases in the airways.² This imbalance reduces the volume of airway surface liquid, leading to abnormal mucociliary clearance in the lungs. The resulting mucus buildup promotes bacterial infection and inflammation, ultimately causing lung damage and respiratory failure.³

Emerging evidence suggests that the progression of cystic fibrosis (CF) may be exacerbated by the airway surface's susceptibility to dehydration.⁴ Trials examining the potential of hypertonic saline solution (HS) on accelerating mucociliary clearance have recently been proposed as a new option for increasing airway surface liquid hydration in CF patients.⁵ There is enough evidence to recommend inhaled HS as an alternative mucolytic agent in CF to improve quality of life and reduce pulmonary exacerbations.⁶ Although most patients tolerate HS treatment well, some adverse events such as coughing, narrowing of the airways, and an unpleasant salty taste have been reported, limiting its use despite pre-treatment with an inhaled bronchodilator.⁶ Such side effects can lead to poor adherence and decreased rates of inhaled HS use.

In recent years, there has been a growing understanding of how certain components within the lung's structure play a crucial role in affecting lung damage. Specifically, one of these significant components is hyaluronic acid (HA), a primary material in the matrix that houses cells and fibrous elements like elastin and collagen.⁷ According to animal studies, HA protects elastin against elastase and regulates neutrophil elastase release. Based on prior research, evidence suggests that HA is protective against various respiratory disorders.⁸ While a hypothesized function for HA has been shown in experimental animal models of lung emphysema and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, no data on its therapeutic potential in lung illnesses in people are known.⁸ Despite the well-known benefits of long-term HS usage on various exacerbations and quality of life, HS safety and tolerability should be improved to avoid poor medication adherence.^{9,10} Therefore, we sought to investigate the concept that inhaled HS with HA may have beneficial effects on side effects of HS, such as unpleasant taste, cough, throat irritation, and thereby lowering dropout from this treatment (PICO question being P: Patients with cystic fibrosis (CF); I: Hyaluronic acid (HA) administered in conjunction with inhaled hypertonic saline (HS); C: Patients receiving only inhaled hypertonic saline (HS) without hyaluronic acid (HA); O: Cough, Throat irritation, Unpleasant taste, Forced expiratory volume (FEV1) in 1 second).

METHODS

This systematic review and meta-analysis have been reported in concordance with guidelines provided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Statement (PRISMA).¹¹ Approval from the institutional review board was not required since the data was publicly available.

SEARCH STRATEGY AND INCLUSION CRITERIA

Two reviewers (SEU and MMM) independently searched through the MEDLINE, Embase, and Cochrane central databases from inception until Oct 10, 2022. No time or language restrictions were set. The search strategy involved using MeSH terms to determine the keywords for cystic fibrosis and hypertonic solution coupled with the Boolean operators, 'AND' and 'OR.' Studies were included if they were (1) randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or observational studies, (2) included patients with a diagnosis of CF, (3) had hypertonic saline with hyaluronic acid as an intervention and (4) hypertonic saline-only as a control group. The PRISMA flowchart in Supplemental Figure S1 summarizes the literature search in detail. We also reviewed other data sources: bibliographies of editorials and relevant reviews from major medical journals, conference proceedings for indexed abstracts, and grey/unpublished literature databases. The detailed search strategy for databases is provided in Supplemental Table S1.

DATA EXTRACTION AND QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Two reviewers (SEU and MMM) independently conducted the data extraction and verification process using a standardized data extraction form that included trial characteristics and identifiers. Any discrepancies or differences in extracted data were resolved through discussion and consensus between the two reviewers. The original reference articles were reviewed in the event of any discrepancies. Summary events and totals were extracted to calculate risk ratios (RRs) and mean differences (MDs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Other study characteristics were extracted from the total number of participants in each arm, publication year, country of study, percentage of men, length of follow-up, and mean/median ages. The Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (CRBT) was employed to assess the quality of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) across six domains [selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, reporting bias, and other bias].¹²

OUTCOME MEASURES AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES

The outcomes of interest encompassed both objective and subjective measures. Objective assessments included changes in FEV1 measured through spirometry conducted by healthcare professionals following standardized protocols. Subjective assessments were gathered through self-reported measures completed by participants, which involved evaluating symptoms such as cough, throat irritation, and taste perception. These self-reported data were obtained

First Author (year)	Study Design	Country of Study	Total Study Population	N (HS)	N (HA/ HS)	Male Sex (%)	Mean Age (Years)	Follow- up (Days)
Ros ¹⁵ (2014)	RCT	Italy	40	20	20	40	24	28
Buonpensiero ¹⁶ (2010)	RCT	Italy	20	10	10	45	13	2
Brivio ¹⁷ (2016)	Pilot RCT	Italy	39	20	19	41	15	28
Furnari ¹⁸ (2012)	RCT	Italy	30	15	15	53.3	23	28
Cazzarolli ¹⁹ (2017)	Comparative Study	Italy	104	63	24	53.8	N/A	N/A

Table 1. Baseline demographics and study characteristics of included studies.

RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial, SD: Standard Deviation, IQR: Interquartile Range

using standardized questionnaires designed to quantify these symptoms and sensations, ensuring a comprehensive evaluation.¹³ Meta-analysis was performed using RevMan (version 5.3; Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration). Outcomes of interest were presented as RRs with 95% CIs and pooled using a Mantel-Haenszel weighted random-effects model. MDs with 95% CI were pooled using a generic inverse variance-weighted random effects model. The pooled analyses were visually represented with forest plots. Higgins I^2 was used to evaluate heterogeneity across studies.¹³ A 25–49% value was deemed mild, 50–74% moderate, and >75% severe. Publication bias was assessed using Egger's regression test. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant in all cases.¹⁴

RESULTS

CHARACTERISTICS OF INCLUDED STUDIES

After screening 442 publications identified from the initial search, five were included in the final analysis (n=236 patients).¹⁵⁻¹⁹ (**Supplemental Figure S1**) Study characteristics and baseline demographics have been summarized in Table 1. The percentage of males varied from 40.0 to 53.8% between studies. All studies were deemed to be generally low risk of bias according to the CRBT and New Castle Ottawa Scale (**Supplemental Figures S2 and S3**). Egger's regression showed no significant publication bias among studies (t=1.57, p=0.12).

COUGH

Five studies compared cough in patients taking HS with HA versus those taking HS without HA. A total of 236 patients (138 without HA and 98 with HA) reported data on cough. Compared with patients not taking HA with HS, patients with combined therapy of HS with HA had significantly reduced incidence of cough (RR: 0.45; 95% CI, 0.28 – 0.72, p=0.001) with moderate heterogeneity between studies(I^2 =51%), (see Figure 1).

THROAT IRRITATION

Five studies compared throat irritation in patients taking HS with HA versus those taking HS without HA. A total of 236 patients (138 without HA and 98 with HA) reported data on throat irritation. Compared with patients not taking HA with HS, patients with combined therapy of HS with HA had significantly reduced incidence of throat irritation (RR: 0.43; 95% CI, 0.22–0.81, p=0.009) with mild heterogeneity between studies(I^2 =46%), (see Figure 2).

UNPLEASANT TASTE

Five studies compared unpleasant taste in patients taking HS with HA versus those taking HS without HA. A total of 236 patients (138 without HA and 98 with HA) reported data on unpleasant taste. Compared with patients not taking HA with HS, patients with combined therapy of HS with HA had significantly reduced incidence of unpleasant taste (RR: 0.43; 95% CI, 0.23–0.80, p=0.008) with moderate heterogeneity between studies (I^2 =51%), (see Figure 3).

fev1

Two studies compared FEV1 in patients taking HS with HA versus those taking HS without HA. A total of 63 patients (31 without HA and 32 with HA) reported data on FEV1. Compared with patients not taking HA with HS, patients with combined therapy of HS with HA had significantly reduced FEV1 (MD: -2.97; 95% CI, -3.79–2.15, p<0.001) with no heterogeneity among studies(I^2 =0%), (see Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

In this meta-analysis of five RCTs, we demonstrated that adding HA to HS significantly reduces cough, throat irritation, and unpleasant taste symptoms and improves FEV1 in patients with cystic fibrosis. These findings are important because when patients experience adverse effects when using inhaled HS alone, there tends to be lower treatment compliance and discontinuation of therapy after a short period.

HS has been observed to produce persistent improvements in mucociliary clearance in individuals with CF, as-

	HS H	A	HS			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
Buonpensiero et al.	7	20	18	20	24.2%	0.39 [0.21, 0.72]	2010			
Furnari et al.	8	15	11	15	25.9%	0.73 [0.41, 1.28]	2012			
Ros et al.	2	20	12	20	9.4%	0.17 [0.04, 0.65]	2014			
Brivio et al.	7	19	11	20	21.4%	0.67 [0.33, 1.36]	2016			
Cazzarolli et al.	5	24	45	63	19.1%	0.29 [0.13, 0.65]	2016	· · · · · ·		
Total (95% CI)		98		138	100.0%	0.45 [0.28, 0.72]		•		
Total events	29		97							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.15; Chi	² = 8.21	l, df = 4 (
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.29 (P = 0.001)								Favours HS+HA Favours HS		

Figure 1. Forest plot showing incidence of cough among patients on hypertonic saline with and without hyaluronic acid.

HS: Hypertonic Saline; IS: Isotonic Saline; IV: Inverse Variance; CI: Confidence Interval



Figure 2. Forest plot showing incidence of throat irritation among patients on hypertonic saline with and without hyaluronic acid.

HS: Hypertonic Saline; IS: Isotonic Saline; IV: Inverse Variance; CI: Confidence Interval

	HS H	A	HS			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
Buonpensiero et al.	2	20	12	20	14.0%	0.17 [0.04, 0.65]	2010			
Furnari et al.	5	15	13	15	26.0%	0.38 [0.18, 0.81]	2012			
Ros et al.	2	20	12	20	14.0%	0.17 [0.04, 0.65]	2014			
Brivio et al.	6	19	9	20	24.0%	0.70 [0.31, 1.59]	2016			
Cazzarolli et al.	5	24	14	63	22.0%	0.94 [0.38, 2.32]	2016			
Total (95% CI)		98		138	100.0%	0.43 [0.23, 0.80]		•		
Total events	20		60							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.25; Chi	² = 8.12	2, df = 4 (
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.64 (P = 0.008)								Favours HS+HA Favours HS		

Figure 3. Forest plot showing incidence of unpleasant taste among patients on hypertonic saline with and without hyaluronic acid.

HS: Hypertonic Saline; IS: Isotonic Saline; IV: Inverse Variance; CI: Confidence Interval

sumed to be related to enhanced airway surface hydration.²⁰ In both short and long-term studies, HS has been proven effective in CF patients, as therapy with HS improves lung function and decreases the number of exacerbations. Moreover, the beneficial effect on the exacerbation rate distinguishes HS as a therapeutically meaningful treatment.²¹ This may reduce the advancement of lung disease, resulting in enhanced quality of life and a reduction in overall direct and indirect health expenses. However, its clinical utility has been limited due to side effects like cough, throat irritation, and unpleasant taste, leading to frequent HS treatment discontinuation.²² It was proposed that HA combined with HS might be useful in treating CF patients due to its physiochemical features. In a singledose study, patients expressed a preference for the combination product of HA with HS over HS alone, suggesting potential additive or synergistic benefits.²³ In this metaanalysis, we evaluated the tolerability of HS with HA to HS alone in individuals with CF treated with one or the other.²⁴ Our results indicate that adding HA to HS was linked to

	HS HA HS							Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Furnari et al.	-3.8	0.03	15	-0.7	1.79	15	81.5%	-3.10 [-4.01, -2.19]	2012	2
Ros et al.	-1.8	3.3	17	0.6	2.2	16	18.5%	-2.40 [-4.30, -0.50]	2014	L
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau² =	0.00; C	hi²= 0	32 .42, df=	= 1 (P =	0.52);	31 ² = 0%	100.0%	-2.97 [-3.79, -2.15]		
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.12 (P < 0.00001)										-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours HS+HA Favours HA

Figure 4. Forest plot showing changes in FEV1 among patients on hypertonic saline with or without hyaluronic acid.

HS: Hypertonic Saline; IS: Isotonic Saline; IV: Inverse Variance; CI: Confidence Interval

a better tolerability profile. The addition of HA to HS significantly improved tolerability, leading to sustained reductions in all three outcomes: saltiness, throat irritation, and irritative cough.

Coughing excessively might be an undesirable side effect of hypertonic saline (HS). Coughing is one of the key ways through which HS increases mucociliary clearance and clinical results.²⁵ Given that a considerable decrease in coughing was observed, it is evident that therapy with HA modifies the impact of HS on sputum clearance.

Hyaluronic acid has been demonstrated to have beneficial effects on experimental models of chronic respiratory diseases, inhibiting human neutrophil elastase production, protecting elastin from elastase destruction, preventing tissue kallikrein-mediated bronchoconstriction, enhancing airway epithelial barrier integrity, and stimulating ciliary beating.²⁶ Hyaluronic acid has been demonstrated to have beneficial effects on experimental models of chronic respiratory diseases, inhibiting human neutrophil elastase production, protecting elastin from elastase destruction, preventing tissue kallikrein-mediated bronchoconstriction, enhancing airway epithelial barrier integrity, and stimulating ciliary beating. The intrinsic characteristics of HA are being studied in various fields, including its impact on neuroinflammation, wound healing, and ophthalmology.^{27,28} Its biological effects are discovered to be molecular weight dependent.²⁶ While low-molecular-weight HA exhibits proangiogenic and proinflammatory action and accumulates after tissue damage and inflammation, highmolecular-weight HA has been demonstrated to protect against macrophage production of cytokines, chemokines, and metalloproteinases.29

In our results, we noted statistically significant advantages of HA in the presence of the examined symptoms and the pleasantness of the inhalation treatment. Based on that, we conclude that HA enhances the use of HS treatment in CF patients. As previously mentioned, it may improve medication tolerance and adherence to frequent inhalations.³⁰ In turn, this may improve related therapeutic outcomes. Our study found no particular causes that might explain the increased tolerance of HS+HA in CF patients. Although HA has been demonstrated to hydrate the airways, attenuate bronchial hyper-responsiveness, and decrease inflammation, the specific mechanism by which HA lessens the negative effects of HS inhalation remains uncertain.^{31,32} Future studies should investigate precise mechanisms HA exerts in effects when applied in conjunction with HS.

Several factors may have contributed to the heterogeneity observed between the included studies. Variations in study design, such as sample size, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and intervention methods, might have an impact on the results. The use of various patient characteristics and geographic locations can also result in disparate conclusions. Furthermore, variances in research outcomes can be attributed to differences in study timing, potential confounding factors, and changes in medical practices throughout time. Addressing and comprehending these issues correctly is critical for accurately interpreting and synthesizing study data.

Several limitations in this study should be noted. We could not establish the optimum dosage of HS due to the lack of data regarding dosages in the included clinical trials. Also, we could not properly assess the impact of HS on an-thropometric measurements due to a lack of data.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this comprehensive meta-analysis underscores the significant benefits of incorporating hyaluronic acid (HA) in the treatment regimen of cystic fibrosis (CF) patients undergoing continuous hypertonic saline (HS) therapy. Our findings highlight that the addition of HA leads to a notably improved tolerability profile, effectively mitigating symptoms such as cough, throat irritation, and unpleasant taste associated with HS. This enhancement in tolerability is crucial for ensuring better adherence to treatment, especially for patients with a history of poor tolerance to HS. By addressing these challenges and promoting greater comfort and acceptability, the combined therapy of HS with HA demonstrates substantial promise in advancing the management and overall well-being of individuals with CF.

CONTRIBUTORS

SEU, MMM and SA contributed to the conception and design of the work. SB, NG, and AC contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data. All authors drafted the manu-

......

script. AN critically revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

None to declare.

COMPETING INTERESTS

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval was not required because of the use of publicly available data.

Submitted: March 17, 2023 EDT, Accepted: October 20, 2023 EDT

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-NC-4.0). View this license's legal deed at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0 and legal code at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0 and legal

REFERENCES

1. Schmidt BZ, Haaf JB, Leal T, Noel S. Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator modulators in cystic fibrosis: current perspectives. *Clin Pharmacol.* 2016;8:127-140. doi:10.2147/cpaa.s100759

2. Livraghi-Butrico A, Kelly EJ, Wilkinson KJ, et al. Loss of *Cftr* function exacerbates the phenotype of Na⁺ hyperabsorption in murine airways. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2013;304(7):L469-L480. <u>doi:1</u> 0.1152/ajplung.00150.2012

3. Fahy JV, Dickey BF. Airway mucus function and dysfunction. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(23):2233-2247. doi:10.1056/nejmra0910061

4. Boucher RC. Cystic fibrosis: a disease of vulnerability to airway surface dehydration. *Trends Mol Med*. 2007;13(6):231-240. doi:10.1016/j.molme d.2007.05.001

5. Girón Moreno RM, García-Clemente M, Diab-Cáceres L, Martínez-Vergara A, Martínez-García MÁ, Gómez-Punter RM. Treatment of Pulmonary Disease of Cystic Fibrosis: A Comprehensive Review. *Antibiotics*. 2021;10(5):486. <u>doi:10.3390/antibiotics10</u> 050486

6. Gennari-Felipe M, Borges L, Dermargos A, et al. Hypertonic Solution in Severe COVID-19 Patient: A Potential Adjuvant Therapy. *Front Med*. 2022;9:917008. <u>doi:10.3389/fmed.2022.917008</u>

7. Yasin A, Ren Y, Li J, Sheng Y, Cao C, Zhang K. Advances in Hyaluronic Acid for Biomedical Applications. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*. 2022;10:910290. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2022.910290

8. Tanner L, Single AB. Animal Models Reflecting Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Related Respiratory Disorders: Translating Pre-Clinical Data into Clinical Relevance. *J Innate Immun*. 2020;12(3):203-225. doi:10.1159/000502489

9. Restrepo RD, Alvarez MT, Wittnebel LD, et al. Medication adherence issues in patients treated for COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2008;3(3):371-384. doi:10.2147/copd.s3036

10. Nevitt SJ, Thornton J, Murray CS, Dwyer T. Inhaled mannitol for cystic fibrosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2020;5(5):CD008649. <u>doi:10.1002/</u> <u>14651858.cd008649.pub4</u> 11. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b2700. doi:10.1136/bmj.b2700

12. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5928. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928

13. Thorlund K, Imberger G, Johnston BC, et al. Evolution of heterogeneity (I2) estimates and their 95% confidence intervals in large meta-analyses. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(7):e39471. <u>doi:10.1371/journal.pon</u> e.0039471

14. Lin L, Chu H. Quantifying publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics*. 2018;74(3):785-794. <u>doi:1</u>0.1111/biom.12817

15. Ros M, Casciaro R, Lucca F, et al. Hyaluronic acid improves the tolerability of hypertonic saline in the chronic treatment of cystic fibrosis patients: a multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2014;27(2):133-137. do i:10.1089/jamp.2012.1034

16. Buonpensiero P, De Gregorio F, Sepe A, et al. Hyaluronic acid improves "pleasantness" and tolerability of nebulized hypertonic saline in a cohort of patients with cystic fibrosis. *Adv Ther*. 2010;27(11):870-878. doi:10.1007/s12325-010-0076-8

17. Brivio A, Conese M, Gambazza S, et al. Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial Evaluating the Effect of Hypertonic Saline With and Without Hyaluronic Acid in Reducing Inflammation in Cystic Fibrosis. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2016;29(6):482-489. <u>doi:10.108</u> <u>9/jamp.2015.1256</u>

18. Furnari ML, Termini L, Traverso G, et al. Nebulized hypertonic saline containing hyaluronic acid improves tolerability in patients with cystic fibrosis and lung disease compared with nebulized hypertonic saline alone: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, controlled study. *Ther Adv Respir Dis.* 2012;6(6):315-322. doi:10.1177/1753465812458984

19. Cazzarolli C, Tartali C, Pradal U. The Advantages of Adding Hyaluronic Acid or Mannitol to Hypertonic Saline Inhalation Treatment in Cystic Fibrosis. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2017;30(2):141-142. do i:10.1089/jamp.2016.1318

20. Trimble AT, Whitney Brown A, Laube BL, et al. Hypertonic saline has a prolonged effect on mucociliary clearance in adults with cystic fibrosis. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2018;17(5):650-656. <u>doi:10.1016/j.jcf.201</u> <u>8.01.001</u>

21. Criner GJ, Bourbeau J, Diekemper RL, et al.
Prevention of acute exacerbations of COPD:
American College of Chest Physicians and Canadian
Thoracic Society Guideline. *Chest*.
2015;147(4):894-942. doi:10.1378/chest.14-1676

22. Kirsch F, Schramm A, Schwarzkopf L, et al. Direct and indirect costs of COPD progression and its comorbidities in a structured disease management program: results from the LQ-DMP study. *Respir Res.* 2019;20(1):215. doi:10.1186/s12931-019-1179-7

23. Manos J. Current and Emerging Therapies to Combat Cystic Fibrosis Lung Infections. *Microorganisms*. 2021;9(9):1874. <u>doi:10.3390/microorganisms9091874</u>

24. Máiz Carro L, Lamas Ferreiro A, Ruiz de Valbuena Maiz M, Wagner Struwing C, Gabilondo Álvarez G, Suárez Cortina L. Tolerabilidad de la inhalación de dos soluciones salinas hipertónicas en pacientes con fibrosis quística [Tolerance of two inhaled hypertonic saline solutions in patients with cystic fibrosis]. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2012;138(2):57-59. doi:10.1016/j.medcli.2 011.02.022

25. Reeves EP, Molloy K, Pohl K, McElvaney NG. Hypertonic saline in treatment of pulmonary disease in cystic fibrosis. *Scientific World Journal*. 2012;2012(465230):1-11. doi:10.1100/2012/465230 26. Chistyakov DV, Astakhova AA, Azbukina NV, Goriainov SV, Chistyakov VV, Sergeeva MG. High and Low Molecular Weight Hyaluronic Acid Differentially Influences Oxylipins Synthesis in Course of Neuroinflammation. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2019;20(16):3894. <u>d</u> oi:10.3390/ijms20163894

27. Ghosh S, Hoselton SA, Dorsam GP, Schuh JM.
Hyaluronan fragments as mediators of inflammation in allergic pulmonary disease. *Immunobiology*.
2015;220(5):575-588. doi:10.1016/j.imbio.2014.12.00
5

28. Wark P, McDonald VM. Nebulised hypertonic saline for cystic fibrosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018;9(9):CD001506. <u>doi:10.1002/14651858.cd00150</u> <u>6.pub4</u>

29. Papakonstantinou E, Roth M, Karakiulakis G. Hyaluronic acid: A key molecule in skin aging. *Dermatoendocrinol*. 2012;4(3):253-258. <u>doi:10.4161/d</u> <u>erm.21923</u>

30. Balazs EA, Watson D, Duff IF, Roseman S. Hyaluronic acid in synovial fluid. I. Molecular parameters of hyaluronic acid in normal and arthritic human fluids. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1967;10(4):357-376. <u>d</u> <u>oi:10.1002/art.1780100407</u>

31. Máiz L, Girón RM, Prats E, et al. Addition of hyaluronic acid improves tolerance to 7% hypertonic saline solution in bronchiectasis patients. *Ther Adv Respir Dis.* 2018;12:1753466618787385. <u>doi:10.1177/1</u>753466618787385

32. Ullah SE, Zahoor MM, Gupta S, et al. Efficacy of hypertonic saline versus isotonic saline among children with cystic fibrosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Can J Respir Ther*. 2023;59:1-7. <u>doi:1</u> 0.29390/cjrt-2022-046

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Information

Download: https://cjrt.ca/article/89093-the-effectiveness-of-hyaluronic-acid-in-reducing-adverse-effects-associated-with-inhaled-hypertonic-saline-therapy-in-patients-with-cystic-fibrosis-a/attachment/184125.docx