



Construction and Verification of a Combined Hypoxia and Immune Index for Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma

Bin Wang^{1†}, Lixiao Liu^{2†}, Jinting Wu¹, Xiaolu Mao¹, Zhen Fang¹, Yingyu Chen³ and Wenfeng Li^{1*}

¹Department of Medical Oncology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China, ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China, ³Department of Neurosurgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Mónica Hebe Vazquez-Levin, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina

Reviewed by:

Kshipra Chauhan, Independent researcher, Ghaziabad, India Daiwei Wan, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, China

*Correspondence:

Wenfeng Li Liwenfeng@wmu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Genetics and Oncogenomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

> Received: 18 May 2021 Accepted: 21 January 2022 Published: 09 February 2022

Citation:

Wang B, Liu L, Wu J, Mao X, Fang Z, Chen Y and Li W (2022) Construction and Verification of a Combined Hypoxia and Immune Index for Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. Front. Genet. 13:711142. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.711142 Clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) is one of the most aggressive malignancies in humans. Hypoxia-related genes are now recognized as a reflection of poor prognosis in cancer patients with cancer. Meanwhile, immune-related genes play an important role in the occurrence and progression of ccRCC. Nevertheless, reliable prognostic indicators based on hypoxia and immune status have not been well established in ccRCC. The aims of this study were to develop a new gene signature model using bioinformatics and open databases and to validate its prognostic value in ccRCC. The data used for the model structure can be accessed from The Cancer Genome Atlas database. Univariate, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO), and multivariate Cox regression analyses were used to identify the hypoxia- and immune-related genes associated with prognostic risk, which were used to develop a characteristic model of prognostic risk. Kaplan-Meier and receiver-operating characteristic curve analyses were performed as well as independent prognostic factor analyses and correlation analyses of clinical characteristics in both the training and validation cohorts. In addition, differences in tumor immune cell infiltrates were compared between the high and low risk groups. Overall, 30 hypoxia- and immune-related genes were identified, and five hypoxia- and immune-related genes (EPO, PLAUR, TEK, TGFA, TGFB1) were ultimately selected. Survival analysis showed that the high-risk score on the hypoxia- and immune-related gene signature was significantly associated with adverse survival outcomes. Furthermore, clinical ccRCC samples from our medical center were used to validate the differential expression of the five genes in tumor tissue compared to normal tissue through quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). However, more clinical trials are needed to confirm these results, and future experimental studies must verify the potential mechanism behind the predictive value of the hypoxia- and immune-related gene signature.

Keywords: clear cell renal cell carcinoma, hypoxia, immune, TCGA, prognosis, signature, qRT-PCR

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is one of the most common malignant tumors of the urinary system, approximately 4% (73,750 new cases) of newly diagnosed carcinomas in United States (Siegel et al., 2020). In 2020, the global incidence of RCC was 431,000 patient cases, and the death toll was 179,000 people, which represented 1.8% of the global death toll from cancer; morbidity and mortality rates are still increasing (Padala et al., 2020). Clear cell RCC (ccRCC) accounts for approximately 75-80% of the pathological types of RCC (Ricketts et al., 2018; Vuong et al., 2019). Because it is insensitive to radiotherapy and chemotherapy, treatment of metastatic RCC remains poorly effective (Pal and Agarwal, 2016; Lieder et al., 2017; Lara and Evans, 2019). The primary treatment for early ccRCC is surgery, whereas chemotherapy, targeted therapy (tyrosine kinase inhibitors and mTOR inhibitors), and immunotherapy are the preferred treatments for advanced ccRCC (Vermassen et al., 2017; Atkins and Tannir, 2018; Chen et al., 2019). However, drug resistance after targeted therapy and limitations of immunotherapy impair patients' long-term outcomes (Duensing and Hohenfellner, 2016; Xu et al., 2020).

Hypoxia-related mechanisms have long been considered markers of cancer signaling pathways (Jing et al., 2019). The hypoxic tumor microenvironment is closely associated with poor prognosis and poor survival (Gilkes et al., 2014). The fast propagation of tumor cells and the lack of blood supply lead to low oxygen levels within the tumor, which can lead to an anoxic focus. The genes with expression changes triggered under this condition are called hypoxia-related genes (HRGs). In solid tumors, tumor cells express hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1), which persuades the expression of factors involved in tumorigenesis, including extracellular matrix remodeling, angiogenesis, cell migration, drug resistance, and tumor stem cell maintenance (Hajizadeh et al., 2019). A few studies have shown that hypoxia in tumor cells can promote angiogenesis, glycolysis, cell invasion, cell survival, and immune escape and eventually can lead to tumorigenesis and metastasis (Lee et al., 2019; Luo and Wang, 2019). The predictive power of HRGs in the prognosis of major malignancies (lung cancer or gastric cancer) has been well demonstrated (de Heer et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021).

Currently, it is believed that the loss of immune cell function in the tumor microenvironment is one of the important mechanisms for malignant tumors to escape from the human immune system (Lawson et al., 2020). Current studies have shown that immune-related genes (IRGs) play an vital role in the development of RCC (Xu et al., 2019; Lawson et al., 2020). There is evidence that high levels of activated CD8⁺ T cells are associated with better prognosis in many cancers, including kidney cancer (Youngblood et al., 2017; Yao et al., 2018). In a retrospective analysis of the S-Trac trial using adjuvant sunitinib in high-risk patients with renal cancer, the number of CD8⁺ T-cell infiltrates in tumor samples highly correlated with survival prognosis in the sunitinib group (George et al., 2018). Interestingly, direct and indirect interactions between hypoxia and immune status have been found in the RCC microenvironment (Samanta and Semenza, 2018). In RCC, the EGLN/HIF signaling axis promotes tumorigenesis by altering the function of various components of the tumor microenvironment, including cancer-associated fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and immune cells (Huang et al., 2017).

So far, the relationship between the expression of hypoxia- and immune-related genes and ccRCC has not been studied in detail. In this study, a risk scoring model based on five hypoxia- and immune-related genes was constructed and validated using a public database to individualize prognosis in patients with ccRCC. In addition, the model was combined with clinical features to improve the accuracy of overall survival prediction. Differences in tumor immune cell infiltration between the high and low risk groups were also analyzed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Acquisition and Analysis

The flow chart of this study is shown in Figure 1. We collected the gene expression data in the database of The Cancer Genome Atlas Program (TCGA-KIRC, https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov) (Liu et al., 2018). The research included the data of all 539 ccRCC tumor samples and 72 normal kidney samples. Clinical information of ccRCC patients was downloaded from TCGA-KIRC dataset, including age, gender, survival status, follow-up time, tumor grade, tumor stage, TNM stage. Then, patients with follow-up time less than 30 days and incomplete information were excluded, and 507 ccRCC patients were included in the model construction and survival analysis. 254 hypoxia-related genes were collected from HARRIS_HYPOXIA.gmt and WINTER_HYPOXIA_ METAGENE.gmt by gene aggregation analysis (GSEA, http:// www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea) (Harris 2002; Subramanian et al., 2005; Winter et al., 2007). In addition, 1,318 IRGs were derived from IMMPORT database (Tian et al., 2020) (https:// www.immport.org/home).

Dentifying Differentially Expressed HRGs and IRGs in Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma

To identify the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between tumor and normal samples, the "limma" package in R was used to process the mRNA sequencing data (Ritchie et al., 2015) and visualized by "pheatmap" and "vioplot" packages in R (Cheng et al., 2021). The original data were preprocessed and standardized, and then 539 tumor samples were compared with 72 normal samples. The screening criteria for differential genes was false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05, *p* value < 0.05 and | logFC| > 1. Venn diagrams (Jia et al., 2021) are used for graphical depiction of the unions, intersections and distinctions among DEGs, HRGs and IRGs.

Pathway Enrichment Analysis to Identify Molecular Functions

To better understand the function of all hypoxia- and immunerelated genes, we performed pathway enrichment analysis on



these genes. We used the "clusterProfiler" package in R (Yu et al., 2012) to analyze the signaling path-related genes through the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000) database and to analyze their biologic processes, molecular functions, and cellular components through the R Gene Ontology (GO) database program (Gene Ontology Consortium, 2015). The aim was to determine whether the genes screened were indeed involved in hypoxia and immunity.

Hypoxia- and Immune-Related Gene Prognosis Model Construction

We used a univariate Cox model to analyze the relationship between the expression levels of HRGs and IRGs and the overall survival of patients with ccRCC. Univariate Cox regression analyses were used to calculate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) to identify genes associated with over-all survival (van Dijk et al., 2008). Using *p* value < 0.01 as the cutoff for identifying relevant genes, we selected survival-related genes. To avoid gene abundance fitting, we used the "glmnet" package to perform LASSO regression to screen for genes with higher correlation (Friedman et al., 2010). Finally, we used multivariate Cox regression analysis to determine the optimal prognostic indicators of the model (Li et al., 2020). The prognostic risk score model was established as follows:

$$Risk\ score\ =\sum_{i=1}^n\beta_iG_i$$

(β_i is the coefficient of the gene *i* in multivariate Cox analysis; G_i represents the expression value of gene *i*; *n* is the number of genes in the signature) (Cai et al., 2020).

Survival Analysis and ROC Curve

We used univariate Cox regression analysis to verify the influence of individual clinicopathologic factors on prognosis (van Dijk et al., 2008). In this study, all samples were reclassified into appropriate subgroups based on age, sex, and stage. We then collected mean risk scores for different subgroups and performed survival analyses to verify the validity of the predictive prognostic model. We used Kaplan-Meier survival analysis to compare prognostic power between subgroups using the "survival" and the "surviner" packages in R (Heagerty et al., 2000). The Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (ROC) curves were compared to investigate the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of the model (Heagerty et al., 2000).

Validation Cohort Analysis

The ccRCC samples obtained from TCGA were randomly divided into two groups, the training cohort (n = 354) and the validation cohort (n = 153) (**Supplementary Table S1**). We used the same method described in section 2.5 for validation in the validation group.

Immune Microenvironment Analysis

We downloaded immune cell infiltration tables for TCGA-listed tumors from TIMER (http://timer.comp-genomics.org) (Li et al., 2017)and CIBERSORT (https://cibersort.stanford.edu) (Newman et al., 2015). We analyzed the correlation between risk score and immune cells using "limma" and "ggpubr" packages (Cheng et al., 2021). Immunization differences between high and low risk groups were compared.

Nomogram Construction and Validation

To develop a more convenient and qualitative predictive tool for ccRCC patients, we used the "rms" package and "survival" package in R (Liu et al., 2021) to establish a nomogram based on the factors that were determined to have independent predictive ability by the entire TCGA cohort after multivariate Cox analysis, and calibration curves were plotted for 2, 4, and 6 years to judge the precision of the nomogram (Iasonos et al., 2008).

The Expression of Genes Was Verified by qRT-PCR

Six ccRCC tissues and normal kidney tissues were collected from the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. Total RNA was extracted from ccRCC samples and normal renal tissue samples using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Dalian, China). Reverse transcription quantitative PCR was applied to explore the mRNA expression of the hub genes using a 7500 PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (Zhou et al., 2021). The following cycling conditions were adopted: 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s and 60°C for 30 s. The qPCR assays were performed for each sample in a reaction volume of 10 µL. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to determine relative gene expression levels, and β -Actin was used as an internal control to normalize the data (Sun et al., 2021). The primers used in this study were provided by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Company and are shown in **Supplementary Table S2**. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 8.0 Software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, United States) (Huang et al., 2020), and *t*-test was used to test the differences between tumor and normal samples (*p* value < 0.05) (Katzendorn et al., 2021).

Statistical Analyses

All analyses were performed using R version 4.0.5. Unless otherwise noted, p value < 0.05 was significant.

RESULTS

Identification of Differentially Expressed Hypoxia-Related Genes and Immune-Related Genes in Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma

The database from TCGA included 539 tumor samples and 72 normal kidney samples. By comparing tumour and normal tissue samples, we finally screened 7,369 DEGs (Figure 2A, FDR value < 0.05, p value < 0.05 and $|\log FC| > 1$). Compared with normal samples, 5,467 genes were upregulated and 1,903 genes were downregulated in tumor samples (Figure 2B). We collected data from HARRIS_HYPOXIA.gmt and WINTER HYPOXIA METAGENE.gmt to obtain a total of 254 HRGs. A total of 1,318 IRGs were derived from the IMMPORT database. Then, the intersection part of Venn diagram showed 30 common genes from the 7,369 DEGs, 254 HRGs and 1,318 IRGs (Figure 2C, Supplementary Table S3), which called the differentially expressed hypoxia- and immunerelated genes were used for subsequent analysis.

Functional Analysis of Hypoxia-Related Genes and Immune-Related Genes Pathways in Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma

GO function analysis of these 30 genes showed that they were involved in hypoxia, bacterial origin molecules, lipopolysaccharides, regulation of vascular development, endothelial cell migration, angiogenesis, and vascular development (Figures 3A,B). KEGG pathway analysis showed that they were involved in rheumatoid arthritis-related pathways, the RAP1 signaling pathway, the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, the calcium signaling pathway, the RAS signaling pathway, the MAPK signaling pathway, and the HIF-1 signaling pathway (Figures 3C,D). Based on these results, it has been shown that the genes we selected are indeed related to hypoxia and immunity.

Construction and Verification of the Survival Model

To search for new genetic biomarkers associated with prognosis in patients with ccRCC, we first performed univariate Cox





analyses. BP: biologic process; CC: cellular component; MF: molecular function.

analysis, in which 11 genes were significantly associated with overall survival (p value < 0.01, **Supplementary Table S4**). In addition, to screen for genes with higher correlation and to prevent overfitting of the model, we used LASSO regression analysis to reduce the number of candidate genes to six (p value < 0.05, **Figures 4A,B**, **Supplementary Table S5**). Five genes (*EPO*, *TGFB1*, *TGFA*, *TEK*, and *PLAUR*) independently related to overall survival were obtained by multivariate Cox

analysis (*p* value < 0.05). Subsequently, we divided patients with ccRCC into low and high risk groups and examined the prognostic predictive performance of the new survival model consisting of five genetic risk characteristics. The hypoxia- and immune-related risk signature was constructed as follow: Risk score = 0.006828553 × Expression of EPO + 0.006828553 × Expression of TGFB1--0.011708366 Expression of TGFA--0.094278339 × Expression of TEK+ 0.044483942 × Expression of PLAUR. We



evaluated the distribution of risk scores, survival information in the training cohort. As showcased in **Figure 4C**, with the gradual increase of the risk scores, the survival time of patients in high- and low-risk group gradually decreased, while the mortality rate gradually increased. As the risk score gradually increased, the expression levels of HRGs and IRGs in the samples gradually increased, and the overall survival rate showed a significant downward trend (**Figure 5A**). Principal components analysis (PCA) was also performed for all genes and for HRGs, HRGs and IRGs, and risk genes (**Figure 5B**). PCA showed that patients from different groups could be clearly grouped on the basis of signatures selected in all data sets. Analysis of the five hypoxia- and immune-related genes also showed high expression of *EPO*, *TGFB1*, *TGFA*, and *PLAUR*, but low expression of *TEK* in tumor samples (**Table 1**).

Testing in the Validation Cohort

Consistent with the results of the training cohort, the low-risk group in the validation cohort had a better prognosis than the high-risk group did (**Figure 6A**). The area under the curve (AUC)

(95% CI) values of the model based on the five selected genes at 2, 4, 5, and 6 years were 0.644, 0.666, 0.711, and 0.714, respectively (**Figure 6B**), indicating that the model achieved good sensitivity and specificity for survival prediction.

Internal Validation With the Clinical Survival Prediction Model

The clinicopathologic characteristics of patients are listed in **Table 2**. We performed survival analyses on the subtype clinicopathologic parameters for patients in both groups. The overall survival of the high-risk group was significantly lower than that of the low-risk group (p value < 0.05) (**Figure 4C**). Kaplan-Meier plots were generated in digital form, highlighting the prognostic value of various clinical variables, and demonstrating that the data proved to be reasonable and valid. The prognostic performance of the five genes was closely related to prognosis and an AUC value of 0.719 in the model indicated a good prognostic prediction effect (**Figure 7C**).



FIGURE 5 | Risk score analysis of disease-specific survival-related prognostic models. (A) Risk score distribution, survival status, and expression heat map. (B) Principal components analysis (PCA)maps show the distribution of patients according to all genes (n = 56,753), differentially expressed HRGs (n = 98), differentially expressed HRGs and IRGs (n = 30), and risk genes (n = 5).

TABLE 1	Five hypoxia-	and immune-related	genes expressions	in low and	high risk	groups
---------	---------------	--------------------	-------------------	------------	-----------	--------

Name	Coefficient	Туре	Regulation	HR	95%CI	<i>p</i> value
EPO	0.00952	Protective	Up	1.010	1.002-1.017	0.0164402
TGFB1	0.00682	Protective	Up	1.007	0.999-1.015	0.0787113
TGFA	-0.01171	Risky	Up	0.988	0.979-0.998	0.0208366
TEK	-0.09428	Risky	Down	0.910	0.871-0.950	0.0000199
PLAUR	0.04448	Protective	Up	1.045	1.023-1.069	0.0000706



FIGURE 6 | Validation cohort. (A) Survival curve for low-risk and high-risk subgroups in the validation cohort. (B) Time-dependent receiver operating characteristic curve comparison of the validation cohort. Areas under the curve (AUCs) at 2, 4, 5, and 6 years were calculated.

Number of Patients 507 354 153 Age	Variables	TCGA	Training group	Validation group	
Age	Number of Patients	507	354	153	
< 65 323 222 101 ≥ 65 184 132 52 Gender Female 174 115 59 Male 333 239 94 Survival Status Alive 345 239 106 Dead 162 115 47 Grade G G1 12 8 4 G2 215 144 71 G3 199 148 51 G4 73 48 25 GX 5 5 0 Unknow 3 1 2 2 2 3 I 253 169 84 11 2 Stage I 16 88 28 0 I 253 169 84 11 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 0 0 0 T classification T1 259 173 86 <td>Age</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Age				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<65	323	222	101	
Gender Female 174 115 59 Male 333 239 94 Survival Status Alive 345 239 106 Dead 162 115 47 Grade G1 12 8 4 G2 215 144 71 G3 199 148 51 G4 73 48 25 GX 5 5 0 Unknow 3 1 2 Stage I 253 169 84 II 53 35 18 III III 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T Classification T T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 T3 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 <t< td=""><td>≥65</td><td>184</td><td>132</td><td>52</td></t<>	≥65	184	132	52	
Female 174 115 59 Male 333 239 94 Survival Status Alive 345 239 106 Dead 162 115 47 Grade 61 12 8 4 G2 215 144 71 G3 199 148 51 G4 73 48 25 GX 5 5 0 Unknow 3 1 2 Stage I 253 169 84 II 53 35 18 III III 116 88 28 IV V 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 T 259 173 86 12 IT 13 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 IM 16 13 8 M1 78	Gender				
Male 333 239 94 Survival Status Alive 345 239 106 Dead 162 115 47 Grade 61 12 8 4 G2 215 144 71 63 199 148 51 G4 73 48 25 6X 5 0 0 Unknow 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 Stage I 253 169 84 1 2 Stage I 253 169 84 1 2 Stage I 116 88 28 1 1 2 Stage I 116 88 28 1<	Female	174	115	59	
Survival Status Alive 345 239 106 Dead 162 115 47 Grade	Male	333	239	94	
Alive345239106Dead16211547Grade $G1$ 1284G221514471G319914851G4734825GX550Unknow312StageI253169I25316984II533518III1168828IV825923Unknow330T classificationTTT125917386T2654421T317212844T41192M classification $M1$ 7856MX26188Unknow220N classification $N0$ 225152NX26618977	Survival Status				
Dead 162 115 47 Grade 61 12 8 4 G2 215 144 71 G3 199 148 51 G4 73 48 25 GX 5 5 0 Unknow 3 1 2 Stage I 253 169 84 II 53 35 18 III 53 35 18 III 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 13 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M Massification MX 26 18 8 123 M1 78 56 22 0 MX <td< td=""><td>Alive</td><td>345</td><td>239</td><td>106</td></td<>	Alive	345	239	106	
Grade 61 12 8 4 G2 215 144 71 G3 199 148 51 G4 73 48 25 GX 5 5 0 Unknow 3 1 2 Stage I 253 169 84 II 53 35 18 III 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 13 T3 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M classification MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N N N M1 78 56 22 0 N N2 26 18 8 0 N N0 225<	Dead	162	115	47	
G11284G221514471G319914851G4734825GX550Unknow312StageI253169I2533518II533518II1168828IV825923Unknow330T classificationTTT125917386T2654421T317212844T41192MclassificationM0401278123M1785622MXQ61880NX2615273N116137NX26618977	Grade				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	G1	12	8	4	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	G2	215	144	71	
G4 73 48 25 GX 5 5 0 Unknow 3 1 2 Stage I 253 169 84 I 53 35 18 II 53 35 18 III 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 13 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M Massification MX 26 18 8 123 M1 78 56 22 MX 26 18 8 123 MX 26 18 8 123 123 123 M1 78 56 22 0 123 123 13 14 <td>G3</td> <td>199</td> <td>148</td> <td>51</td>	G3	199	148	51	
GX 5 5 0 Unknow 3 1 2 Stage I 253 169 84 I 53 35 18 II 16 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T T1 259 T2 65 44 21 T3 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M classification M1 78 56 22 M1 78 56 22 0 NX 26 18 8 0 N0 225 152 73 73 N1 16 13 7 77	G4	73	48	25	
Unknow 3 1 2 Stage I 253 169 84 II 53 35 18 III 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 13 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 0 M classification M M 26 18 8 0 N N 26 18 8 0 N N N 26 18 7 N N N 266 189 77	GX	5	5	0	
Stage I 253 169 84 II 53 35 18 III 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 13 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 0 M classification M M 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification N N 26 18 8 123 M1 7 N N 26 18 8 123 N N N N N 26 18 8 123 N	Unknow	3	1	2	
I 253 169 84 II 53 35 18 III 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 13 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 2 0 M1 78 56 22 MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification N N 225 152 73 N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	Stage				
II 53 35 18 III 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T 173 86 T2 65 44 21 T3 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M classification M1 78 56 22 MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification NX 26 18 73 73 73 NX 266 189 77 73 74	1	253	169	84	
III 116 88 28 IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 13 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 0 M classification M1 78 56 22 M1 8 Unknow 2 2 0 0 N classification 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification 8 0 N N N 26 18 8 0 N	11	53	35	18	
IV 82 59 23 Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 13 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 0 0 0 0 123 0 0 0 0 123 0 0 0 0 123 0 0 0 0 123 0 0 0 0 123 0 0 0 0 123 0	III	116	88	28	
Unknow 3 3 0 T classification T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 T3 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M classification 123 M1 78 56 22 MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification 73 NX 26 18 73 NX 266 189 77	IV	82	59	23	
T classification T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 T3 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M classification	Unknow	3	3	0	
T1 259 173 86 T2 65 44 21 T3 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M classification	T classification				
T2 65 44 21 T3 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M classification M0 401 278 123 M1 78 56 22 MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification N0 225 152 73 N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	T1	259	173	86	
T3 172 128 44 T4 11 9 2 M classification	T2	65	44	21	
T4 11 9 2 M classification M0 401 278 123 M1 78 56 22 MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification N0 225 152 73 N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	T3	172	128	44	
M classification 123 M0 401 278 123 M1 78 56 22 MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification 73 73 N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	T4	11	9	2	
M0 401 278 123 M1 78 56 22 MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification N0 225 152 73 N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	M classification				
M1 78 56 22 MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification N0 225 152 73 N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	MO	401	278	123	
MX 26 18 8 Unknow 2 2 0 N classification	M1	78	56	22	
Unknow 2 2 0 N classification N0 225 152 73 N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	MX	26	18	8	
N classification N0 225 152 73 N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	Unknow	2	2	0	
NO 225 152 73 N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	N classification				
N1 16 13 7 NX 266 189 77	NO	225	152	73	
NX 266 189 77	N1	16	13	7	
	NX	266	189	77	

TABLE 2 | The clinical characteristic information of all patients with clear cell renal

 cell carcinoma (ccRCC) in The Cancer Genome Atlas database.

The model of hypoxia- and immune-related genes was significantly superior to traditional clinical factors, such as age, gender, and tumor grade (AUC values of 0.658, 0.665, 0.508, and 0.718), in the ability to predict prognosis (**Figure 7C**). Univariate Cox regression analysis confirmed these observations (**Figure 7A**). Analysis showed that age, tumor grade, tumor stage, and corresponding risk score were clinicopathologic characteristics associated with overall survival. In addition, multivariate Cox regression analysis (**Figure 7B**) confirmed that age, tumor grade, tumor stage, and risk score were four independent prognostic factors associated with poor overall survival (**Figures 8A,B**). These results confirmed that the findings of this study are based on an actual signal in the data with HRGs and IRGs and are not driven by clinical bias.

Stratified analysis was then performed in different subgroups to re-confirm the prognostic characteristics. Prognostic markers differed significantly in most subgroups (**Figures 8C-K,M**, *p* value < 0.05), but the results were less satisfactory in the N1 and M1 subgroups (**Figures 8L,N**). The results showed that the five characteristic models of hypoxia- and immune-related genes had a good predictive effect for the prognosis of ccRCC.

Compositions of Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells in Patients With Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma

We compared the proportions of tumor-infiltrating immune cells between ccRCC and normal samples (**Supplementary Figure S1**). The results showed a significant difference in ccRCC and normal samples (**Supplementary Figure S2**). Furthermore, we investigated the level of infiltration of seven immune cell types to explore the relationship between risk score and infiltrating immune cell subtypes. The results showed a positive correlation between the high-risk group and the infiltrating immune cells at the tumor site, specifically memory B cells (**Figure 9H**). However, the high-risk group negatively correlated with CD4⁺ T cells, B cells, neutrophils, macrophages, CD8⁺ T cells, naive B cells, and plasma B cells, and the low-risk score was likely to be accompanied by many immune cell infiltrations (**Figures 9A–G**). These results suggest that prognostic characteristics may affect the prognosis of patients with ccRCC by regulating the tumor immune microenvironment.

Establishment of a Nomogram Based on Risk Score and Clinicopathological Factors

Based on the outcomes of multivariate analysis of entire TCGA cohort, we constructed a prognostic nomogram to develop a more convenient and qualitative predictive tool that can predict the survival risk of individual patients (**Figure 10A**). In addition, the 2-, 4- and 6-year calibration curves were plotted, respectively (**Figure 10B**), which showed a good consistency between the predicted and actual survival rates of patients with ccRCC in the entire TCGA cohort.

Validation of Candidate Genes by qRT-PCR

We further examined the differential expression of *EPO*, *TEK*, *TGFA*, *TGFB1* and *PLAUR* genes between ccRCC tissue and normal renal tissue samples. The qRT-PCR results showed that compared with the normal renal tissues, the expression level of *EPO*, *PLAUR*, *TGFA* and *TGFB1* were higher in the ccRCC tissue, while the expression level of *TEK* were lower, trends in the expression levels of these genes were consistent with our findings (**Figure 11**).

DISCUSSION

Thus far, most research on RCC has focused on the ccRCC subtype. More than 90% of chronic RCC diagnoses are characterized by loss of heterozygosity on the short arm of chromosome 3 (Gnarra et al., 1994). Approximately 50% of the cases have gene mutations (Schraml et al., 2002), whereas 5–10% of cases have promoter hypermethylation, leading to







T stage, (K, L) N stage, and (M, N) M stage.



accumulation of HIF and overexpression of many genes, including those that promote angiogenesis and metabolic reprogramming (Godlewski et al., 2017).

In this study, we conducted a bioinformatics analysis based on a public database and found 30 differentially expressed hypoxia- and immune-related genes in patients with ccRCC. After conducting multiple Cox regression analyses, we identified five independent prognostic genes: *EPO*, *TEK*, *TGFA*, *TGFB1*, *PLAUR*. Based on these results, we developed a new prognostic model for predicting the overall survival of ccRCC patients. In addition, we validated the model and obtained consistent results, suggesting that this hypoxia- and immune-related gene signature can be used as a prognostic marker for ccRCC.

Among the five genes we obtained, the *EPO* gene is involved in the regulation of human classical physiologic response to hypoxia, and the study of its regulation led to the discovery of a human oxygen sensing mechanism (Schodel and Ratcliffe, 2019). It has been reported that *EPO* is highly expressed in RCC and is directly controlled by hypoxia via HIF-1. HIF-1 DNA is a *trans* acting factor and binds to the *cis*-hypoxia response element of the *EPO* gene promoter (Semenza 1998; Papworth et al., 2009; Masson and Ratcliffe, 2014). The erythropoietin it encodes is an erythropoietic growth factor, which can not only stimulate angiogenesis (Hardee et al., 2006) but also stimulate the proliferation of tumor cells (Hardee et al., 2006). In addition, studies have shown that human renal cancer cells express the EPO receptor, which, when activated, can stimulate the proliferation of cultured renal cancer cells *in vitro* (Hardee et al., 2006).

TEK was originally thought to be a specific receptor for endothelial cells, which plays an important role in the regulation of angiogenesis and remodeling and influences the formation of the tumor microenvironment (Chen et al., 2021). Alterations in *TEK* expression have been observed in many cancers, such as oral



squamous cell carcinoma; leukemia; and breast, gastric, and thyroid cancers (Mitsutake et al., 2002; He et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016; Cortes-Santiago et al., 2016; Kitajima et al., 2016). Recent studies have reported that high *TEK* expression be related to poor prognosis in patients with ccRCC, and these reports conform with the results of our study (Ha et al., 2019).

Transforming growth factor- α (TGFA), as a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor family, is believed to be an important mediator in tumorigenesis and malignant progression (Holbro et al., 2003; Hynes and Lane, 2005; Asami and Atagi, 2014). Transforming growth factor- α /epidermal growth factor receptor signaling promotes the occurrence and progression of cancer cells and generates a tumor microenvironment advantageous to metastasis (Sasaki et al., 2013). The regulation of autocrine signaling by transforming growth factor- α ligand through the epidermal growth factor receptor is also involved in the development and progression of epithelial tumors (Sporn and Todaro, 1980; Sporn and Roberts, 1985).

The transforming growth factor- β superfamily is a group of multifunctional cytokines involved in cell proliferation and

differentiation, angiogenesis, immunosuppression, cell motility, apoptosis, wound healing, and embryonic development (Katz et al., 2013). Of the three TGFB isoforms that exist in humans, TGFB1 is the most abundant (Zu et al., 2012). It is encoded by the TGFB1 gene on chromosome 19q13.2 and is associated with susceptibility to cancer. TGFB was confirmed as a promoter of the invasion and metastasis of tumor cells by regulating the immune system and the tumor microenvironment (Mishra et al., 2005; Massague 2008). TGFB1 has enhanced the proliferation and metastatic potential of renal carcinoma by upregulating lymphoid enhancer-binding factor 1/integrin aMB2 (Liu and Shang, 2020).

The protein encoded by the *PLAUR* gene is the receptor of PLAU (plasminogen activator, urokinase), which plays a momentous role in the migration and proliferation of tumor cells through remodeling of the extracellular matrix and the tumor microenvironment (Grismayer et al., 2012; Hakelius et al., 2013; Narayanaswamy et al., 2016). In addition, *PLAUR*-mediated PLAU signal transduction activation effects are



independent of proteolysis through ITGB1 and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (Larusch et al., 2013) and modulate single-chain PLAU-mediated angiogenesis.

In conclusion, we used public databases to develop a risk scoring model based on five hypoxia- and immune-related genes as potential features reflecting the prognosis of ccRCC. Compared to several researchers already established and validated signatures (Ghatalia et al., 2019; Hua et al., 2020), our model contains not only immune-related genes, but also hypoxia-related genes. Current evidence suggests that show that hypoxia and hypoxia-related pathways play critical roles in the occurrence and progress of renal cancer (Gossage et al., 2015; Choueiri and Kaelin, 2020). Most ccRCC are associated with loss of von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor (pVHL) function and deregulation of hypoxia pathways (Schodel et al., 2016). Targeting the HIF2-Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) axis, multiple VEGF inhibitors are approved for the treatment of ccRCC, and a HIF2a inhibitor has advanced to phase 3 development for this disease (Choueiri and Kaelin, 2020). Therefore, our results are more closely related to the mechanisms of ccRCC development and clinical treatment applications, and can provide a better perspective for ccRCC research and personalized prediction. However, more clinical trials are needed to verify our observations, and additional experimental studies must verify the potential mechanism behind the predictive value of this hypoxia- and immunerelated gene signature in ccRCC.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and

accession number(s) can be found in the article/ Supplementary Material.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WL conceived and designed the study with BW and LL. JW and XM drafted the manuscript and analyzed the data. ZF and YYC handled the picture and article format. WL, BW and LL reviewed the data. All authors have read and approved the final published manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported by funds from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81871318).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2022.711142/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Asami, K., and Atagi, S. (2014). Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors for Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. World J. Clin. Oncol. 5, 646–659. doi:10.5306/wjco.v5.i4.646
- Atkins, M. B., and Tannir, N. M. (2018). Current and Emerging Therapies for First-Line Treatment of Metastatic clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 70, 127–137. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2018.07.009
- Cai, L., Hu, C., Yu, S., Liu, L., Yu, X., Chen, J., et al. (2020). Identification and Validation of a Six-Gene Signature Associated with Glycolysis to Predict the Prognosis of Patients with Cervical Cancer. *BMC Cancer* 20, 1133. doi:10.1186/ s12885-020-07598-3
- Chen, L., Zeng, X., Kleibeuker, E., Buffa, F., Barberis, A., Leek, R. D., et al. (2016). Paracrine Effect of GTP Cyclohydrolase and Angiopoietin-1 Interaction in Stromal Fibroblasts on Tumor Tie2 Activation and Breast Cancer Growth. Oncotarget 7, 9353–9367. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.6981
- Chen, S., Yu, M., Ju, L., Wang, G., Qian, K., Xiao, Y., et al. (2021). The Immunerelated Biomarker TEK Inhibits the Development of clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma (ccRCC) by Regulating AKT Phosphorylation. *Cancer Cel Int* 21, 119. doi:10.1186/s12935-021-01830-1
- Chen, V. J., Hernandez-Meza, G., Agrawal, P., Zhang, C. A., Xie, L., Gong, C. L., et al. (2019). Time on Therapy for at Least Three Months Correlates with Overall Survival in Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Cancers* 11, 1000. doi:10. 3390/cancers11071000
- Cheng, F., Zheng, B., Wang, J., Zhao, G., Yao, Z., Niu, Z., et al. (2021). Comprehensive Analysis of a New Prognosis Signature Based on Histone Deacetylases in clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Cancer Med.* 10, 6503–6514. doi:10.1002/cam4.4156
- Cheng, Q., Chen, X., Wu, H., and Du, Y. (2021). Three Hematologic/immune System-specific Expressed Genes Are Considered as the Potential Biomarkers for the Diagnosis of Early Rheumatoid Arthritis through Bioinformatics Analysis. J. Transl Med. 19, 18. doi:10.1186/s12967-020-02689-y
- Choueiri, T. K., and Kaelin, W. G., Jr. (2020). Targeting the HIF2-VEGF axis in Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Nat. Med.* 26, 1519–1530. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1093-z
- Cortes-Santiago, N., Hossain, M. B., Gabrusiewicz, K., Fan, X., Gumin, J., Marini, F. C., et al. (2016). Soluble Tie2 Overrides the Heightened Invasion Induced by Anti-angiogenesis Therapies in Gliomas. *Oncotarget* 7, 16146–16157. doi:10. 18632/oncotarget.7550
- de Heer, E. C., Jalving, M., and Harris, A. L. (2020). HIFs, Angiogenesis, and Metabolism: Elusive Enemies in Breast Cancer. J. Clin. Invest. 130, 5074–5087. doi:10.1172/JCI137552
- Duensing, S., and Hohenfellner, M. (2016). Adjuvant Therapy for Renal-Cell Carcinoma: Settled for Now. *The Lancet* 387, 1973–1974. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00653-X
- Friedman, J., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R. (2010). Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent. J. Stat. Softw. 33, 1–22. doi:10.18637/jss.v033.i01
- Gene Ontology Consortium (2015). Gene Ontology Consortium: Going Forward. Nucleic Acids Res. 43, D1049–D1056. doi:10.1093/nar/gku1179
- George, D. J., Martini, J.-F., Staehler, M., Motzer, R. J., Magheli, A., Escudier, B., et al. (2018). Immune Biomarkers Predictive for Disease-free Survival with Adjuvant Sunitinib in High-Risk Locoregional Renal Cell Carcinoma: From Randomized Phase III S-TRAC Study. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 24, 1554–1561. doi:10. 1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-2822
- Ghatalia, P., Gordetsky, J., Kuo, F., Dulaimi, E., Cai, K. Q., Devarajan, K., et al. (2019). Prognostic Impact of Immune Gene Expression Signature and Tumor Infiltrating Immune Cells in Localized clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *J. Immunother. Cancer* 7, 139. doi:10.1186/s40425-019-0621-1
- Gilkes, D. M., Semenza, G. L., and Wirtz, D. (2014). Hypoxia and the Extracellular Matrix: Drivers of Tumour Metastasis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 14, 430–439. doi:10. 1038/nrc3726
- Gnarra, J. R., Tory, K., Weng, Y., Schmidt, L., Wei, M. H., Li, H., et al. (1994). Mutations of the VHL Tumour Suppressor Gene in Renal Carcinoma. *Nat. Genet.* 7, 85–90. doi:10.1038/ng0594-85
- Godlewski, J., Krazinski, B. E., Kowalczyk, A. E., Kiewisz, J., Kiezun, J., Kwiatkowski, P., et al. (2017). Expression and Prognostic Significance of

EP300, TP53 and BAX in Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* 37, 2927–2937. doi:10.21873/anticanres.11646

- Gossage, L., Eisen, T., and Maher, E. R. (2015). VHL, the story of a Tumour Suppressor Gene. Nat. Rev. Cancer 15, 55-64. doi:10.1038/nrc3844
- Grismayer, B., Sato, S., Kopitz, C., Ries, C., Soelch, S., Schmitt, M., et al. (2012). Overexpression of the Urokinase Receptor Splice Variant uPAR-Del4/5 in Breast Cancer Cells Affects Cell Adhesion and Invasion in a Dose-dependent Manner and Modulates Transcription of Tumor-Associated Genes. *Biol. Chem.* 393, 1449–1455. doi:10.1515/hsz-2012-0206
- Ha, M., Son, Y. R., Kim, J., Park, S. M., Hong, C. M., Choi, D., et al. (2019). TEK Is a Novel Prognostic Marker for clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 1451–1458. doi:10.26355/eurrev_201902_17102
- Hajizadeh, F., Okoye, I., Esmaily, M., Ghasemi Chaleshtari, M., Masjedi, A., Azizi, G., et al. (2019). Hypoxia Inducible Factors in the Tumor Microenvironment as Therapeutic Targets of Cancer Stem Cells. *Life Sci.* 237, 116952. doi:10.1016/j. lfs.2019.116952
- Hakelius, M., Koskela, A., Ivarsson, M., Grenman, R., Rubin, K., Gerdin, B., et al. (2013). Keratinocytes and Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma Cells Regulate Urokinase-type Plasminogen Activator and Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1 in Fibroblasts. *Anticancer Res.* 33, 3113–3118.
- Hardee, M. E., Arcasoy, M. O., Blackwell, K. L., Kirkpatrick, J. P., and Dewhirst, M. W. (2006). Erythropoietin Biology in Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 12, 332–339. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-1771
- Harris, A. L. (2002). Hypoxia a Key Regulatory Factor in Tumour Growth. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2, 38–47. doi:10.1038/nrc704
- He, Y.-F., Wang, C.-Q., Yu, Y., Qian, J., Song, K., Sun, Q.-M., et al. (2015). Tie2-Expressing Monocytes Are Associated with Identification and Prognoses of Hepatitis B Virus Related Hepatocellular Carcinoma after Resection. *PLoS One* 10, e0143657. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143657
- Heagerty, P. J., Lumley, T., and Pepe, M. S. (2000). Time-dependent ROC Curves for Censored Survival Data and a Diagnostic Marker. *Biometrics* 56, 337–344. doi:10.1111/j.0006-341x.2000.00337.x
- Holbro, T., Civenni, G., and Hynes, N. E. (2003). The ErbB Receptors and Their Role in Cancer Progression. *Exp. Cel Res.* 284, 99–110. doi:10.1016/s0014-4827(02)00099-x
- Hua, X., Chen, J., Su, Y., and Liang, C. (2020). Identification of an Immune-Related Risk Signature for Predicting Prognosis in clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Aging* 12, 2302–2332. doi:10.18632/aging.102746
- Huang, W., Li, G., Wang, Z., Zhou, L., Yin, X., Yang, T., et al. (2020). A Ten-N6-Methyladenosine (m6A)-Modified Gene Signature Based on a Risk Score System Predicts Patient Prognosis in Rectum Adenocarcinoma. *Front.* Oncol. 10, 567931. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.567931
- Huang, Y., Lin, D., and Taniguchi, C. M. (2017). Hypoxia Inducible Factor (HIF) in the Tumor Microenvironment: Friend or Foe? *Sci. China Life Sci.* 60, 1114–1124. doi:10.1007/s11427-017-9178-y
- Hynes, N. E., and Lane, H. A. (2005). ERBB Receptors and Cancer: the Complexity of Targeted Inhibitors. Nat. Rev. Cancer 5, 341–354. doi:10.1038/nrc1609
- Iasonos, A., Schrag, D., Raj, G. V., and Panageas, K. S. (2008). How to Build and Interpret a Nomogram for Cancer Prognosis. J. Clin. Oncol. 26, 1364–1370. doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.12.9791
- Jia, A., Xu, L., and Wang, Y. (2021). Venn Diagrams in Bioinformatics. Brief Bioinform 22, bbab108. doi:10.1093/bib/bbab108
- Jing, X., Yang, F., Shao, C., Wei, K., Xie, M., Shen, H., et al. (2019). Role of Hypoxia in Cancer Therapy by Regulating the Tumor Microenvironment. *Mol. Cancer* 18, 157. doi:10.1186/s12943-019-1089-9
- Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 27–30. doi:10.1093/nar/28.1.27
- Katz, L. H., Li, Y., Chen, J.-S., Muñoz, N. M., Majumdar, A., Chen, J., et al. (2013). Targeting TGF-β Signaling in Cancer. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 17, 743–760. doi:10.1517/14728222.2013.782287
- Katzendorn, O., Peters, I., Dubrowinskaja, N., Tezval, H., Tabrizi, P. F., von Klot, C. A., et al. (2021). DNA Methylation of Tumor Associated Calcium Signal Transducer 2 (TACSTD2) Loci Shows Association with Clinically Aggressive Renal Cell Cancers. BMC Cancer 21, 444. doi:10.1186/s12885-021-08172-1
- Kitajima, D., Kasamatsu, A., Nakashima, D., Miyamoto, I., Kimura, Y., Saito, T., et al. (2016). Tie2 Regulates Tumor Metastasis of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinomas. J. Cancer 7, 600–607. doi:10.7150/jca.13820

- Lara, P. N., Jr., and Evans, C. P. (2019). Cytoreductive Nephrectomy in Metastatic Renal Cell Cancer. JAMA Oncol. 5, 171–172. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.5503
- Larusch, G. A., Merkulova, A., Mahdi, F., Shariat-Madar, Z., Sitrin, R. G., Cines, D. B., et al. (2013). Domain 2 of uPAR Regulates Single-Chain Urokinase-Mediated Angiogenesis through β1-integrin and VEGFR2. Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 305, H305–H320. doi:10.1152/ajpheart. 00110.2013
- Lawson, K. A., Sousa, C. M., Zhang, X., Kim, E., Akthar, R., Caumanns, J. J., et al. (2020). Functional Genomic Landscape of Cancer-Intrinsic Evasion of Killing by T Cells. *Nature* 586, 120–126. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2746-2
- Lee, S., Hallis, S. P., Jung, K.-A., Ryu, D., and Kwak, M.-K. (2019). Impairment of HIF-1α-Mediated Metabolic Adaption by NRF2-Silencing in Breast Cancer Cells. *Redox Biol.* 24, 101210. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2019.101210
- Li, H., Wang, X., Zhang, M., Wang, M., Zhang, J., and Ma, S. (2020). Identification of HOXA1 as a Novel Biomarker in Prognosis of Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 7, 602068. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2020.602068
- Li, T., Fan, J., Wang, B., Traugh, N., Chen, Q., Liu, J. S., et al. (2017). TIMER: A Web Server for Comprehensive Analysis of Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells. *Cancer Res.* 77, e108–e110. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0307
- Lieder, A., Guenzel, T., Lebentrau, S., Schneider, C., and Franzen, A. (2017). Diagnostic Relevance of Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma in the Head and Neck: An Evaluation of 22 Cases in 671 Patients. *Int. Braz. J Urol.* 43, 202–208. doi:10.1590/S1677-5538.IBJU.2015.0665
- Liu, J., Lichtenberg, T., Hoadley, K. A., Poisson, L. M., Lazar, A. J., Cherniack, A. D., et al. (2018). An Integrated TCGA Pan-Cancer Clinical Data Resource to Drive High-Quality Survival Outcome Analytics. *Cell* 173, 400–416.e11. doi:10.1016/j. cell.2018.02.052
- Liu, T.-T., Li, R., Huo, C., Li, J.-P., Yao, J., Ji, X.-l., et al. (2021). Identification of CDK2-Related Immune Forecast Model and ceRNA in Lung Adenocarcinoma, a Pan-Cancer Analysis. *Front. Cel Dev. Biol.* 9, 682002. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021. 682002
- Liu, Y., and Shang, D. (2020). Transforming Growth Factor-B1 Enhances Proliferative and Metastatic Potential by Up-Regulating Lymphoid Enhancer-Binding Factor 1/integrin α M β 2 in Human Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Mol. Cel Biochem* 465, 165–174. doi:10.1007/s11010-019-03676-8
- Luo, W., and Wang, Y. (2019). Hypoxia Mediates Tumor Malignancy and Therapy Resistance. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1136, 1–18. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-12734-3_1
- Massagué, J. (2008). TGFβ in Cancer. Cell 134, 215–230. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008. 07.001
- Masson, N., and Ratcliffe, P. J. (2014). Hypoxia Signaling Pathways in Cancer Metabolism: the Importance of Co-selecting Interconnected Physiological Pathways. *Cancer Metab.* 2, 3. doi:10.1186/2049-3002-2-3
- Mishra, L., Derynck, R., and Mishra, B. (2005). Transforming Growth Factor-SS Signaling in Stem Cells and Cancer. *Science* 310, 68–71. doi:10.1126/science. 1118389
- Mitsutake, N., Namba, H., Takahara, K., Ishigaki, K., Ishigaki, J., Ayabe, H., et al. (2002). Tie-2 and Angiopoietin-1 Expression in Human Thyroid Tumors. *Thyroid* 12, 95–99. doi:10.1089/105072502753522310
- Narayanaswamy, P. B., Tkachuk, S., Haller, H., Dumler, I., and Kiyan, Y. (2016). CHK1 and RAD51 Activation after DNA Damage Is Regulated via Urokinase receptor/TLR4 Signaling. *Cell Death Dis* 7, e2383. doi:10.1038/cddis.2016.291
- Newman, A. M., Liu, C. L., Green, M. R., Gentles, A. J., Feng, W., Xu, Y., et al. (2015). Robust Enumeration of Cell Subsets from Tissue Expression Profiles. *Nat. Methods* 12, 453–457. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3337
- Padala, S. A., Barsouk, A., Thandra, K. C., Saginala, K., Mohammed, A., Vakiti, A., et al. (2020). Epidemiology of Renal Cell Carcinoma. *World J. Oncol.* 11, 79–87. doi:10.14740/wjon1279
- Pal, S. K., and Agarwal, N. (2016). Finding a Niche for Girentuximab in Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* 13, 442–443. doi:10.1038/nrurol. 2016.115
- Papworth, K., Bergh, A., Grankvist, K., Ljungberg, B. o. r., and Rasmuson, T. (2009). Expression of Erythropoietin and its Receptor in Human Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Tumor Biol.* 30, 86–92. doi:10.1159/000216844
- Ricketts, C. J., De Cubas, A. A., Fan, H., Smith, C. C., Lang, M., Reznik, E., et al. (2018). The Cancer Genome Atlas Comprehensive Molecular Characterization of Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Cell Rep* 23, 3698–4326.e5. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018. 03.075

- Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W., et al. (2015). Limma powers Differential Expression Analyses for RNA-Sequencing and Microarray Studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, e47. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv007
- Samanta, D., and Semenza, G. L. (2018). Metabolic Adaptation of Cancer and Immune Cells Mediated by Hypoxia-Inducible Factors. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* (*Bba*) - *Rev. Cancer* 1870, 15–22. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2018.07.002
- Sasaki, T., Hiroki, K., and Yamashita, Y. (2013). The Role of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor in Cancer Metastasis and Microenvironment. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2013, 1–8. doi:10.1155/2013/546318
- Schödel, J., Grampp, S., Maher, E. R., Moch, H., Ratcliffe, P. J., Russo, P., et al. (2016). Hypoxia, Hypoxia-Inducible Transcription Factors, and Renal Cancer. *Eur. Urol.* 69, 646–657. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2015.08.007
- Schödel, J., and Ratcliffe, P. J. (2019). Mechanisms of Hypoxia Signalling: New Implications for Nephrology. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 15, 641–659. doi:10.1038/ s41581-019-0182-z
- Schraml, P., Struckmann, K., Hatz, F., Sonnet, S., Kully, C., Gasser, T., et al. (2002). VHL Mutations and Their Correlation with Tumour Cell Proliferation, Microvessel Density, and Patient Prognosis in clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. J. Pathol. 196, 186–193. doi:10.1002/path.1034
- Semenza, G. L. (1998). Hypoxia-inducible Factor 1: Master Regulator of O2 Homeostasis. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 8, 588–594. doi:10.1016/s0959-437x(98)80016-6
- Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., and Jemal, A. (2020). Cancer Statistics, 2020. CA A. Cancer J. Clin. 70, 7–30. doi:10.3322/caac.21590
- Sporn, M. B., and Roberts, A. B. (1985). Autocrine Growth Factors and Cancer. *Nature* 313, 745–747. doi:10.1038/313745a0
- Sporn, M. B., and Todaro, G. J. (1980). Autocrine Secretion and Malignant Transformation of Cells. N. Engl. J. Med. 303, 878–880. doi:10.1056/ NEJM198010093031511
- Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V. K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B. L., Gillette, M. A., et al. (2005). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis: a Knowledge-Based Approach for Interpreting Genome-wide Expression Profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 102, 15545–15550. doi:10.1073/pnas.0506580102
- Sun, J.-L., Zhang, N.-P., Xu, R.-C., Zhang, G.-C., Liu, Z.-Y., Abuduwaili, W., et al. (2021). Tumor Cell-Imposed Iron Restriction Drives Immunosuppressive Polarization of Tumor-Associated Macrophages. J. Transl Med. 19, 347. doi:10.1186/s12967-021-03034-7
- Tian, R., Hu, J., Ma, X., Liang, L., and Guo, S. (2020). Immune-related Gene Signature Predicts Overall Survival of Gastric Cancer Patients with Varying Microsatellite Instability Status. *Aging* 13, 2418–2435. doi:10.18632/aging. 202271
- van Dijk, P. C., Jager, K. J., Zwinderman, A. H., Zoccali, C., and Dekker, F. W. (2008). The Analysis of Survival Data in Nephrology: Basic Concepts and Methods of Cox Regression. *Kidney Int.* 74, 705–709. doi:10.1038/ki.2008.294
- Vermassen, T., De Meulenaere, A., Van de Walle, M., and Rottey, S. (2017). Therapeutic Approaches in clear Cell and Non-clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Acta Clinica Belgica* 72, 12–18. doi:10.1080/17843286.2016.1193269
- Vuong, L., Kotecha, R. R., Voss, M. H., and Hakimi, A. A. (2019). Tumor Microenvironment Dynamics in Clear-Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Cancer Discov.* 9, 1349–1357. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0499
- Wang, W.-J., Ouyang, C., Yu, B., Chen, C., Xu, X.-F., and Ye, X.-Q. (2021). Role of Hypoxia-inducible Factor-2a in Lung Cancer (Review). Oncol. Rep. 45, 57. doi:10.3892/or.2021.8008
- Winter, S. C., Buffa, F. M., Silva, P., Miller, C., Valentine, H. R., Turley, H., et al. (2007). Relation of a Hypoxia Metagene Derived from Head and Neck Cancer to Prognosis of Multiple Cancers. *Cancer Res.* 67, 3441–3449. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3322
- Xu, W.-H., Xu, Y., Wang, J., Wan, F.-N., Wang, H.-K., Cao, D.-L., et al. (2019). Prognostic Value and Immune Infiltration of Novel Signatures in clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma Microenvironment. *Aging* 11, 6999–7020. doi:10.18632/ aging.102233
- Xu, W., Atkins, M. B., and McDermott, D. F. (2020). Checkpoint Inhibitor Immunotherapy in Kidney Cancer. Nat. Rev. Urol. 17, 137–150. doi:10. 1038/s41585-020-0282-3
- Yao, J., Xi, W., Zhu, Y., Wang, H., Hu, X., and Guo, J. (2018). Checkpoint Molecule PD-1-Assisted CD8+ T Lymphocyte Count in Tumor Microenvironment Predicts Overall Survival of Patients with Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma

Treated with Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors. Cancer Manag. Res. 10, 3419–3431. doi:10.2147/CMAR.S172039

- Youngblood, B., Hale, J. S., Kissick, H. T., Ahn, E., Xu, X., Wieland, A., et al. (2017). Effector CD8 T Cells Dedifferentiate into Long-Lived Memory Cells. *Nature* 552, 404–409. doi:10.1038/nature25144
- Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y., and He, Q.-Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *OMICS*. 16, 284–287. doi:10.1089/omi.2011.0118
- Zhou, P., Lu, Y., Zhang, Y., and Wang, L. (2021). Construction of an Immune-Related Six-IncRNA Signature to Predict the Outcomes, Immune Cell Infiltration, and Immunotherapy Response in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 11, 661758. doi:10.3389/fonc. 2021.661758
- Zu, X., Zhang, Q., Cao, R., Liu, J., Zhong, J., Wen, G., et al. (2012). Transforming Growth Factor-β Signaling in Tumor Initiation, Progression and Therapy in Breast Cancer: an Update. *Cell Tissue Res* 347, 73–84. doi:10.1007/s00441-011-1225-3

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Wang, Liu, Wu, Mao, Fang, Chen and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.