<span id="page-0-0"></span>



# Nickel-Catalyzed Addition of Aryl Bromides to Aldehydes To Form Hindered Secondary Alcohols

Kevin J. Garcia[,](#page-3-0)<sup>®</sup> Michael M. Gilbert, and Daniel J. Weix<sup>[\\*](#page-3-0)®</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, United States

## S [Supporting Information](#page-3-0)

ABSTRACT: Transition-metal-catalyzed addition of aryl halides across carbonyls remains poorly developed, especially for aliphatic aldehydes and hindered substrate combinations. We report here that simple nickel complexes of bipyridine and PyBox can catalyze the addition of aryl halides to both aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes using zinc metal as the reducing agent. This convenient approach tolerates acidic functional groups that are not compatible with Grignard reactions, yet sterically hindered substrates still couple in high yield (33 examples, 70% average yield). Mechanistic studies show that an arylnickel, and not an arylzinc, adds efficiently to cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde, but only in the presence of a Lewis acid co-catalyst  $(ZnBr<sub>2</sub>)$ .

C ross-coupling has revolutionized how molecules are<br>made.<sup>1</sup> However, despite decades-old advancements in<br>the rhodium catalyzed addition of ary propositionization the rhodium-catalyzed addition of arylboronic acids to aldehydes $2,3$  and the low functional-group compatibility of organomagnesium and organolithium reagents, a recent analysis of medicinal chemistry patents found that the Grignard reaction remains among the most-used reactions.<sup>1b</sup> This is in contrast to couplings with organic halides and alkenes, where cross-coupling approaches have largely supplanted other C−C bond-forming methods.<sup>1</sup> This might be because arylboron reagents require extra steps to synthesize, often via reactive organometallic intermediates (Scheme 1).

A catalytic method for the direct addition of aryl halides to aldehydes would be ideal, but relatively few couplings of this type have been reported.<sup> $4,5$  $4,5$  $4,5$ </sup> The coupling of aryl iodides with aldehydes in the presence of a reducing agent can be catalyzed by  $Cr/Ni$ ,  $^6$  $^6$  Co, $^7$  $^7$  and Rh.<sup>8,9</sup> Aryl bromides are an order of



magnitude more abundant than aryl iodides (Scheme  $1$ ),<sup>[10](#page-4-0)</sup> but their use in aldehyde arylation remains underdeveloped $11-13$  $11-13$  $11-13$ and a topic of current interest.<sup>[14](#page-4-0),[15](#page-4-0)</sup>

A major challenge for all of these reductive arylation methods remains couplings with less reactive substrates. There are few examples of couplings with sterically hindered aldehydes<sup>[16](#page-4-0)</sup> and aryl halides.<sup>[17](#page-4-0)</sup> There are even fewer examples of coupling hindered aryl halides with aliphatic aldehydes, perhaps because aliphatic aldehydes are already deactivated toward migratory insertion.<sup>[18](#page-4-0),[19](#page-4-0)</sup> We report here a solution to these challenging substrates as well as studies showing that the key bond-forming step occurs by a 1,2-migratory insertion of arylnickel across the aldehyde.

Early experiments focused on coupling a branched aliphatic aldehyde, cyclohexane carboxaldehyde, with a simple aryl bromide, 4-bromoanisole, because no arylations of this type were known. Confirming Cheng's earlier report,<sup>[13a](#page-4-0)</sup> we also found that reactions with phosphine ligands gave a poor yield (Table 1, entry 1). We next examined a variety of nitrogen ligands, inspired by recent work by Fujihara and Martin with activated carbonyls $4$  and Voskoboynikov's positive results with





a Reactions were run on 0.25 mmol scale in 1 mL of THF for 12 h. Yields are corrected GC yields. For additional data on reactions with L2, L5, and L8−L10, see the [Supporting Information](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.8b13709/suppl_file/ja8b13709_si_001.pdf). <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield after column chromatography.

Received: December 23, 2018 Published: January 29, 2019

## Scheme 2. Substrate Scope



<sup>a</sup>Reactions were conducted on 0.5 mmol scale in 2 mL of THF for 12−16 h. Yields are isolated yields after chromatography on silica gel. X = Br for all substrates unless otherwise noted. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield for a gram-scale reaction using standard glassware.

aromatic aldehydes.<sup>[13d](#page-4-0)</sup> Many bidentate and tridentate ligands provided secondary alcohol 23, but unsubstituted and alkylsubstituted bipyridines provided the highest yield [\(Table 1](#page-0-0), entries 2−6). While we initially screened our reactions at 80  $\rm{°C}$ , we found that reactions conducted at 60  $\rm{°C}$  and with less zinc provided nearly the same results (entries 4 and 5). The side reaction that limits the yield of product 23 is pinacol coupling of the aldehyde.<sup>20</sup> The remaining aryl bromide is converted into biaryl and hydrodehalogenated arene.

Adaptation of these conditions to benzaldehyde required only a re-examination of the optimal ligands (Scheme 2). For 4-bromoanisole, 4,4′-di-tert-butyl-2,2′-bipyridine (L4) was optimal (Scheme 2, product 1), but it only provided 17% yield for ethyl 4-bromobenzoate (product 8). We found that terpyridine ligands provided improved results and the tetramethyl PyBox derivative L11 provided the highest yield for this electron-poor aryl bromide (70% yield of 8).

The optimized conditions are applicable to a variety of aldehydes and aryl bromides (Scheme 2). Notable features of the scope are compatibility with both aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes, tolerance for acidic functional groups (4, 5, 25,  $27$ ),<sup>21</sup> and the ability to form hindered secondary alcohols  $(15-22, 28-33).^{22}$  $(15-22, 28-33).^{22}$  $(15-22, 28-33).^{22}$  Finally, the chemistry is operationally simple and scales well: 1.67 g of alcohol 29 was synthesized on the benchtop using standard glassware without the need for rigorous exclusion of oxygen.

These results are the state of the art for hindered secondary alcohol synthesis without pre-formed organometallic reagents. We could find no previous examples of coupling any aryl bromide with a 2,6-disubsituted aldehyde (21, 22). Although a few couplings with aliphatic aldehydes are known, it is notable that no examples of 2° or 3° aldehydes have been reported (23−33). Addition of hindered aryl groups, even to unhindered aldehydes, is also challenging for published

## Journal of the American Chemical Society Communication Communication Communication

methods. As an extreme case, the only other examples of coupling 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl with aldehydes (as in 20 and 31) utilized aryllithium or arylmagnesium reagents. Finally, this method could be used to form secondary alcohols from the combination of hindered aryl bromides with hindered aldehydes (20 and 28−33).

Given the large improvement in reactivity with sterically hindered substrates compared to previous reports, it would be beneficial to understand the mechanism. Five potential mechanisms have strong precedent in the literature: (1) 1,2 migratory insertion of an arylnickel(II) intermediate across the aldehyde;<sup>13a,[19](#page-4-0)</sup> (2) 1,2-migratory insertion of an arylnickel(I) intermediate across the aldehyde; (3) in situ formation of a diarylzinc reagent<sup>[23](#page-4-0)</sup> that could react with the aldehyde;<sup>[24](#page-4-0)</sup> (4) in situ formation of a radical from the aldehyde with subsequent capture by arylnickel and reductive elimination;  $9,15$  $9,15$ and (5) reaction of a  $(\text{L})$ Ni $^{\text{II}}(\mu^2\text{-aldehyde})$  species with arylzinc and subsequent reductive elimination.<sup>[25](#page-4-0)</sup> For a bisphosphine nickel system, Cheng had suggested migratory insertion via nickel(II) based upon the finding that a mixture of  $Ni(cod)_2$  with L1, benzaldehyde, and bromoanisole only formed alcohol product when zinc bromide was present.<sup>13a</sup> Considering the differences in ligand and reactivity observed, it was not clear if this hypothesis could be extended to our system.

A series of studies appears to rule out three of these mechanisms and point to migratory insertion of arylnickel across the aldehyde (Scheme 3). First, we found that arylzinc





a Reaction conducted at 0.18 M ArZnBr with a 1:1 ratio of ArZnBr/ CyCHO. <sup>b</sup>Reaction conducted with a 1:1:1 ratio of [Ni]/CyCHO/ ArZnBr.

reagents react slowly with cyclohexane carboxaldehyde to form product 23 (20% yield after 24 h). We do not think that this process is important in catalytic reactions because the amount of product formed is diminished further when catalytic  $(L3)$ NiBr<sub>2</sub> is present (10% yield of 23 with equal amounts of bianisole). The amount of diarylzinc present in solution would likely be low because direct insertion of zinc into aryl bromides is slow-a catalytic reaction run without nickel does not consume any 4-bromoanisole or cyclohexane carboxaldehyde—and the Schlenk equilibrium to convert unreactive ArZnBr into reactive  $Ar_2Zn$  will be less favorable with added ZnBr<sub>2</sub>. These results appear to rule out direct arylation via diarylzinc reagents.

Second, we considered transmetalation of arylzinc reagents with  $(\mathbf{L})\mathbf{N}$ i $\mathbf{I}(\mu^2\text{-aldehyde})$ , followed by reductive elimination. Reaction of pre-formed  $(L3)Ni^0(cod)$  with aldehyde, followed by 4-methoxyphenylzinc bromide formed no addition product (Scheme 3). This rules out the intermediacy of  $(L3)$ Ni<sup>II</sup> $(\mu^2$ aldehyde) species.

Third, pre-formed  $(L3)$ Ni<sup>II</sup>(2-cumyl)Br (37) only reacts with cyclohexane carboxaldehyde to form product 29 in the presence of zinc bromide (Scheme 4). This is consistent with



## Scheme 4. Stoichiometric Reactions of  $(L)Ni<sup>H</sup>(Ar)Br<sup>a</sup>$

<sup>a</sup>Reactions were run at 0.0125 M in [Ni] in THF at 60  $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h. Yield is corrected GC yield. See [Supporting Information](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.8b13709/suppl_file/ja8b13709_si_001.pdf) for additional experiments and full details.

arylnickel(II) 1,2-migratory insertion. The role of  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  could be to abstract a halide from 37 to form  $[(L)Ni<sup>II</sup>(Ar)]ZnBr<sub>3</sub>,<sup>23b</sup>$  $[(L)Ni<sup>II</sup>(Ar)]ZnBr<sub>3</sub>,<sup>23b</sup>$  $[(L)Ni<sup>II</sup>(Ar)]ZnBr<sub>3</sub>,<sup>23b</sup>$ accelerate migratory insertion via  $\sigma$ -coordination of the carbonyl oxygen, or both. Finally, these conditions are not consistent with formation of an alkyl radical from the aldehyde because arylnickel(II) 37 is not sufficiently reducing.

To examine the possibility that reduction of 37 is accelerated by abstraction of bromide by  $ZnBr<sub>2</sub>$ , we also examined the addition of a strong single-electron reductant that would not have a strong halide affinity or be able to form an arylmetal reagent,  $Cp*_{2}Co^{26}$  $Cp*_{2}Co^{26}$  $Cp*_{2}Co^{26}$  This reaction resulted in no product formation, in sharp contrast with the studies of Fujihara with similar arylnickel(II) complexes and  $CO_2$ .<sup>[4a](#page-3-0)</sup> When  $Cp_{2}^{*}Co$  and  $ZnBr_{2}$  were both added to the reaction of  $(L3)$ Ni<sup>II</sup>(2-cumyl)Br with cyclohexane carboxaldehyde, product was formed, but in diminished yield compared to the reaction without reductant. While we cannot rule out the intermediacy of arylnickel(I), at this time we think an arylnickel(II) is more likely.

Based upon these experiments, we propose the mechanism in [Scheme 5](#page-3-0). Reduction of the nickel pre-catalyst forms 38, and oxidative addition of Ar−Br forms 39. The pinacol side product appears to arise from 38 because it was formed in larger amounts in experiments that started with nickel(0) or in experiments that generated nickel $(0)$  in situ (Scheme 3 and [Supporting Information\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.8b13709/suppl_file/ja8b13709_si_001.pdf). Arylnickel(II) 39 interacts with  $ZnBr<sub>2</sub>$  and aldehyde to form a reactive intermediate, here depicted with zinc coordination to the aldehyde as 40. 1,2-

## <span id="page-3-0"></span>Scheme 5. Proposed Mechanism



 ${}^a$ Ligand abbreviated for clarity. Conversion of 40 to 41 could proceed by a number of pathways. See discussion.

Migratory insertion of the aryl group into the aldehyde could form an alkoxynickel(II) product or directly release product and form 41. While we have no definitive evidence for either intermediate, alkoxynickel intermediates can lead to the formation of ketone, a side product that is observed in trace amounts, even under optimized conditions.<sup>[27](#page-4-0)</sup>

In conclusion, the formation of very hindered diarylmethanols and hindered benzylic alcohols by the addition of aryl bromides to aldehydes has been reported for the first time. The simple conditions do not require silicon additives to trap the alcohol or visible light to generate reactive species and can tolerate acidic functional groups. These studies open the door to rapid advancement in the use of carbonyls in metalcatalyzed addition reactions.<sup>2</sup>

#### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the [ACS Publications website](http://pubs.acs.org) at DOI: [10.1021/jacs.8b13709](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jacs.8b13709).

Detailed procedures, additional optimization data, and full characterization data ([PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.8b13709/suppl_file/ja8b13709_si_001.pdf)

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*[dweix@wisc.edu](mailto:dweix@wisc.edu)

#### ORCID<sup>®</sup>

Kevin J. Garcia: [0000-0002-7656-959X](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7656-959X) Daniel J. Weix: [0000-0002-9552-3378](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9552-3378)

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research reported in this publication was supported by the University of Wisconsin-Madison and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award numbers T32GM008505 (K.J.G.) and S10OD020022 (UW Chemistry Instrumentation Center). The authors are indebted to Jill A. Caputo (Univ. of Rochester), Melodie Christensen (Merck), who conducted preliminary studies with phosphine ligands that led to this work, Kai Kang (Univ. of Wisconsin−Madison) for the synthesis of the arylnickel(II) complex, and Matthew J. Goldfogel (Univ. of Wisconsin−Madison) for the synthesis of a reductant used in mechanistic studies. We also thank Chiral Technologies (Joe Barendt) for the donation of achiral SFC columns used in this work.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Roughley, S. D.; Jordan, A. M. The Medicinal Chemist's Toolbox: An Analysis of Reactions Used in the Pursuit of Drug Candidates. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 3451−3479. (b) Schneider, N.; Lowe, D. M.; Sayle, R. A.; Tarselli, M. A.; Landrum, G. A. Big Data from Pharmaceutical Patents: A Computational Analysis of Medicinal Chemists' Bread and Butter. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 4385−4402.

(2) (a) Sakai, M.; Ueda, M.; Miyaura, N. Rhodium-Catalyzed Addition of Organoboronic Acids to Aldehydes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3279−3281. (b) Fagnou, K.; Lautens, M. Rhodium-Catalyzed Carbon−Carbon Bond Forming Reactions of Organometallic Compounds. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 169−196.

(3) Arylations with Pd, Ni, and Co have also been developed. For leading references, see: Karthikeyan, J.; Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C.- H. Cobalt-Catalyzed Addition Reaction of Organoboronic Acids with Aldehydes: Highly Enantioselective Synthesis of Diarylmethanols. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 8989−8992.

(4) (a) Fujihara, T.; Nogi, K.; Xu, T.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. Nickel-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Aryl and Vinyl Chlorides Employing Carbon Dioxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9106−9109. (b) Börjesson, M.; Moragas, T.; Gallego, D.; Martin, R. Metal-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Organic (Pseudo)Halides with CO<sub>2</sub>. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6739−6749. (c) Moragas, T.; Correa, A.; Martin, R. Metal-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling Reactions of Organic Halides with Carbonyl-Type Compounds. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 8242− 8258.

(5) A useful approach for arenes that have native directing groups is arylation via C−H activation, but this strategy has proven challenging due to reversibility of the arylation on less-activated aldehydes. This has led to a variety of strategies to trap the product. See: (a) Kuninobu, Y.; Fujii, Y.; Matsuki, T.; Nishina, Y.; Takai, K. Rhenium-Catalyzed Insertion of Nonpolar and Polar Unsaturated Molecules into an Olefinic C−H Bond. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2711− 2714. (b) Li, Y.; Zhang, X.-S.; Chen, K.; He, K.-H.; Pan, F.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. N-Directing Group Assisted Rhodium-Catalyzed Aryl C−H Addition to Aryl Aldehydes. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 636−639. (c) Hummel, J. R.; Ellman, J. A. Cobalt(III)-Catalyzed Synthesis of Indazoles and Furans by C−H Bond Functionalization/Addition/ Cyclization Cascades. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 490−498.

(6) (a) Fürstner, A.; Shi, N. Nozaki−Hiyama−Kishi Reactions Catalytic in Chromium. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12349−12357. (b) Jin, H.; Uenishi, J.; Christ, W. J.; Kishi, Y. Catalytic Effect of Nickel(II) Chloride and Palladium(II) Acetate on Chromium(II)- Mediated Coupling Reaction of Iodo Olefins with Aldehydes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5644−5646.

(7) Chang, H.-T.; Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C.-H. Highly Efficient Cyclization of O-Iodobenzoates with Aldehydes Catalyzed by Cobalt Bidentate Phosphine Complexes: A Novel Entry to Chiral Phthalides. Chem. - Eur. J. 2007, 13, 4356−4363.

(8) Zhou, F.; Li, C.-J. The Barbier−Grignard-Type Arylation of Aldehydes Using Unactivated Aryl Iodides in Water. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4254.

(9) In an alternative approach, Doyle has reported on the coupling of aryl aldehyde acetals with aryl iodides via  $\alpha$ -alkoxy radicals: Arendt, K. M.; Doyle, A. G. Dialkyl Ether Formation by Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Acetals and Aryl Iodides. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9876−9880.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>Journal of the American Chemical Society Communication Communication Communication

(10) For Ar–X,  $X = B(OH)_2$ , 8525;  $X = Zn$ , Mg, Li, or Cu, 2812; X  $=$  I, 81 140; X = Br, 639 580. Data gathered from [eMolecules](https://reaxys.emolecules.com/index.php) database via REAXYS searches for ARY-X and HAR-X on July 18, 2018.

(11) Electrochemical reaction with stoichiometric Ni: Budnikova, Y. G.; Keshner, T. D.; Kargin, Y. M. Electroreductive Coupling of Organic Halides with Aldehydes Catalyzed by Nickel(0) Complex with 2,2'-Bipyridine. Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 2001, 71, 453−456.

(12) Electrochemically driven NHK reactions: (a) Grigg, R.; Putnikovic, B.; Urch, C. J. Electrochemically Driven Catalytic Pd(0)/Cr(II) Mediated Coupling of Organic Halides with Aldehydes. The Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi Reaction. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 6307−6308. (b) Durandetti, M.; Périchon, J.; Nédélec, J.-Y. Nickeland Chromium-Catalysed Electrochemical Coupling of Aryl Halides with Arenecarboxaldehydes. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 9009−9013. (c) Durandetti, M.; Nédélec, J.-Y.; Périchon, J. An Electrochemical Coupling of Organic Halide with Aldehydes, Catalytic in Chromium and Nickel Salts. The Nozaki−Hiyama−Kishi Reaction. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2073−2076.

(13) Ni-catalyzed: (a) Majumdar, K. K.; Cheng, C.-H. Ni(II)/Zn-Mediated Chemoselective Arylation of Aromatic Aldehydes: Facile Synthesis of Diaryl Carbinols. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2295−2298. (b) Rayabarapu, D. K.; Chang, H.-T.; Cheng, C.-H. Synthesis of Phthalide Derivatives Using Nickel-Catalyzed Cyclization of o-Haloesters with Aldehydes. Chem. - Eur. J. 2004, 10, 2991−2996. (c) Yurino, T.; Ueda, Y.; Shimizu, Y.; Tanaka, S.; Nishiyama, H.; Tsurugi, H.; Sato, K.; Mashima, K. Salt-Free Reduction of Nonprecious Transition-Metal Compounds: Generation of Amorphous Ni Nanoparticles for Catalytic C−C Bond Formation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14437−14441. (d) Asachenko, A. F.; Valaeva, V. N.; Kudakina, V. A.; Uborsky, D. V.; Izmer, V. V.; Kononovich, D. S.; Voskoboynikov, A. Z. Coupling of Aromatic Aldehydes with Aryl Halides in the Presence of Nickel Catalysts with Diazabutadiene Ligands. Russ. Chem. Bull. 2016, 65, 456−463.

(14) Pd/Cu-catalyzed via transient  $\alpha$ -silyloxycopper intermediates: (a) Takeda, M.; Yabushita, K.; Yasuda, S.; Ohmiya, H. Synergistic Palladium/Copper-Catalyzed Csp3−Csp2 Cross-Couplings Using Aldehydes as Latent  $\alpha$ -Alkoxyalkyl Anion Equivalents. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 6776−6779. (b) Yabushita, K.; Yuasa, A.; Nagao, K.; Ohmiya, H. Asymmetric Catalysis Using Aromatic Aldehydes as Chiral  $\alpha$ -Alkoxyalkyl Anions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 113−117.

(15) Recently, MacMillan reported the coupling of aryl bromides with an excess of alcohol via hydrogen-atom-abstraction-formed  $\alpha$ hydroxy radicals: Twilton, J.; Christensen, M.; DiRocco, D. A.; Ruck, R. T.; Davies, I. W.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Selective Hydrogen Atom Abstraction through Induced Bond Polarization: Direct  $\alpha$ -Arylation of Alcohols through Photoredox, HAT, and Nickel Catalysis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 5369−5373.

(16) Rh-catalyzed arylation with PhI tolerated 2-substituted aldehydes reasonably well (2-iodotoluene, 70%; naphthaldehyde, 57%; o-anisaldehyde,  $63\%$  yield).<sup>[8](#page-3-0)</sup> Few examples of hindered aldehydes have been reported for nickel-catalyzed arylation: 2,4 dimethoxybenzaldehyde (12% yield)<sup>13a</sup> and 2,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde  $(93%)^{13d}$  have been coupled with PhBr.

(17) Rh-catalyzed arylation with PhCHO does not tolerate a 2- iodotoluene (trace product).<sup>[8](#page-3-0)</sup> Nickel-catalyzed arylation is more tolerant for couplings with PhCHO but varies with the catalyst used. For example, bromomesitylene was coupled in 98% yield,<sup>13d</sup> but the same substrate failed in an earlier study.<sup>13a</sup>

(18) Few couplings with aliphatic aldehydes have been reported. The only hindered examples are the nickel-catalyzed coupling of methyl 2-bromobenzoic acid ester with heptanal (38% yield) and butanal  $(24\%$  yield)<sup>13b</sup> and the Rh-catalyzed coupling of 2phenylpropionaldehyde with iodobenzene (62% yield).<sup>8</sup>

(19) Krug, C.; Hartwig, J. F. Direct Observation of Aldehyde Insertion into Rhodium−Aryl and −Alkoxide Complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1674−1679.

(20) Pinacol product grows in with the cross-coupled product. Minor side products observed in early optimization (<10%) resulted from aldehyde reduction and product oxidation to ketone.

(21) Acidic functional groups presented a challenge for Rh-catalyzed arylation (product 4 was formed in only  $27\%$  yield).<sup>[8](#page-3-0)</sup>

(22) At this time, reactions with substrates that contain a ketone provide lower yields (product 34 in the [Supporting Information](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.8b13709/suppl_file/ja8b13709_si_001.pdf), 14% yield). In addition, ethyl 4-bromobenzoate and N-Boc-5-bromoindole provided low yields with cyclohexane carboxaldehyde (products 35 and 36 in the [Supporting Information\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.8b13709/suppl_file/ja8b13709_si_001.pdf).

(23) Via a Schlenk equilibrium, see: (a) Côté, A.; Charette, A. B. General Method for the Expedient Synthesis of Salt-Free Diorganozinc Reagents Using Zinc Methoxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2771−2773. (b) McCann, L. C.; Organ, M. G. On The Remarkably Different Role of Salt in the Cross-Coupling of Arylzincs From That Seen With Alkylzincs. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 4386−4389.

(24) Diphenylzinc is reported to react quickly with aldehydes under some conditions: Huang, W.-S.; Pu, L. The First Highly Enantioselective Catalytic Diphenylzinc Additions to Aldehydes: Synthesis of Chiral Diarylcarbinols by Asymmetric Catalysis. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4222−4223.

(25) (a) Hoshimoto, Y.; Ohashi, M.; Ogoshi, S. Catalytic Transformation of Aldehydes with Nickel Complexes through  $\eta^2$ Coordination and Oxidative Cyclization. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1746−1755. (b) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Beyond Aresta's Complex: Ni- and Pd-Catalyzed Organozinc Coupling with CO<sub>2</sub>. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7826−7827.

(26) The reported reduction potential of  $Cp^*_{2}$ Co is -1.94 V, and that of  $(bpy)$ Ni<sup>I/II</sup>(Mes)Br is -1.41 V vs SCE. Both are considerably more reducing than zinc (∼ −1.0 V vs SCE). (a) Connelly, N. G.; Geiger, W. E. Chemical Redox Agents for Organometallic Chemistry. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 877−910. (b) Klein, A.; Kaiser, A.; Sarkar, B.; Wanner, M.; Fiedler, J. The Electrochemical Behaviour of Organonickel Complexes: Mono-, Di- and Trivalent Nickel. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 2007, 965−976. (c) Pavlishchuk, V. V.; Addison, A. W. Conversion Constants for Redox Potentials Measured versus Different Reference Electrodes in Acetonitrile Solutions at 25°C. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2000, 298, 97−102. (d) Schley, N. D.; Fu, G. C. Nickel-Catalyzed Negishi Arylations of Propargylic Bromides: A Mechanistic Investigation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16588− 16593.

(27) While the ketone derived from 23 was observed in up to 15% yield in our initial studies, less than 3% ketone side product was formed after optimization. Cheng had similarly reported ketone as a side product in ref 13a, and later found this to be the major product at higher temperatures with aryl iodides; see: Huang, Y.-C.; Majumdar, K. K.; Cheng, C.-H. Nickel-Catalyzed Coupling of Aryl Iodides with Aromatic Aldehydes: Chemoselective Synthesis of Ketones. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1682−1684.

(28) For a concurrent study on rhodium-catalyzed arylation that does not require a metal reducing agent, see: Swyka, R. A.; Zhang, W.; Richardson, J.; Ruble, J. C.; Krische, M. J. Rhodium-Catalyzed Aldehyde Arylation via Formate-Mediated Transfer Hydrogenation: Beyond Metallic Reductants in Grignard-NHK-Type Addition. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, [DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b13652](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b13652).