

Article



## **Rearrangements of Cycloalkenyl Aryl Ethers**

# Mercedesz Törincsi<sup>1</sup>, Melinda Nagy<sup>1</sup>, Tamás Bihari<sup>2</sup>, András Stirling<sup>2</sup>, Pál Kolonits<sup>1</sup> and Lajos Novak<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Organic Chemistry and Technology, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Gellért tér 4, Budapest 1111, Hungary; torincsi@mail.bme.hu (M.T.); nagymelynda@freemail.hu (M.N.); Kolonits@mail.bme.hu (P.K.)
- <sup>2</sup> Research Centre for Natural Sciences of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Department of Theoretical Chemistry, Magyar Tudósok Körútja 2, Budapest 1117, Hungary; bihari.tamas@ttk.mta.hu (T.B.); stirling.andras@ttk.mta.hu (A.S.)
- \* Correspondence: lnovak@mail.bme.hu; Tel.: +361-463-2207

Academic Editor: Mark A. Rizzacasa Received: 14 January 2016; Accepted: 12 April 2016; Published: 19 April 2016

**Abstract:** Rearrangement reactions of cycloalkenyl phenol and naphthyl ethers and the acid-catalyzed cyclization of the resulting product were investigated. Claisen rearrangement afforded 2-substituted phenol and naphthol derivatives. Combined Claisen and Cope rearrangement resulted in the formation of 4-substituted phenol and naphthol derivatives. In the case of cycloocthylphenyl ether the consecutive Claisen and Cope rearrangements were followed by an alkyl migration. The mechanism of this novel rearrangement reaction is also discussed.

**Keywords:** Claisen rearrangement; Cope rearrangement; phenol ethers; naphthyl ethers; reaction mechanism

## 1. Introduction

Phenol and its derivatives play an important role in organic chemistry. They are high useful building blocks in natural products and pharmaceuticals. They are also the starting compounds in the industrial synthesis of diverse antiseptics, dyes, aspirin, anilines, phenolic resins and caprolactams [1–5]. Furthermore, benzofurans, which can be prepared from phenols, have shown a wide range of biological activities. Substituted benzofuran (coumaran) is one of the most important heterocycles and is a powerful scaffold for many biological activities. Its derivatives have also been successfully applied in the synthesis of molecules which are pharmacologically active inhibitors against many diseases, viruses, microbes, fungi, and enzymes [6–10]. Naphthols and their methyl ethers also possess useful biologically activities. They show inhibitory activity with preferential inhibition on cyclooxygenase I and II [11,12].

Previously we developed methods for the preparation of cycloalkano-naphthofuran derivatives by the reaction of naphthol and cycloalka-1,3-dienes [13]. In those one-pot reactions we had assumed that the process went through the initial formation of naphthyl ether followed by acid catalyzed intramolecular cyclization. However, we were unable to isolate the naphthyl ether intermediates and under the forcing conditions some part of the product underwent fast dehydrogenation. With the aim of continuing our efforts to synthesize novel heterocyclic compounds, we have now examined the synthesis and rearrangement reaction of phenyl and naphthyl ethers. We report here the results and the preparation of the new compounds.

### 2. Results and Discussions

Ethers 3a-f were prepared by the reaction of compounds 1a and 1b and the appropriate bromocycloalk-1-ene 2 in acetone, in the presence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NaI. These reactions yielded ethers 3

in good to acceptable yields. The attempted thermal reaction of ethers resulted only decomposition. TsOH·  $H_2O$  (pKa = -2.8)-catalyzed reactions of the phenol ethers **3a** and **3b** gave a mixture of three compounds (entries 1 and 2, Scheme 1). The major products were the 2-cycloalkyl derivatives **4a** and **4b**, formed by [3,3] rearrangement. The minor products were 4-substituted phenols **6a** and **6b** (Table 1). They were formed by consecutive Claisen- and Cope-rearrangements [14–19]. Under these strong acidic conditions, the decomposition of ethers **3a** and **4b** took place, and small amounts of dioxane derivatives **5a** and **5b** were also isolated.



Scheme 1. Acid-catalyzed rearrangement of ethers 3.

Table 1. Acid-catalyzed reaction of ethers 3a-f.

Entry	Starting Materials	Temperature (°C)	Reaction Time (h)	Product(s) (Yields %)
1	3a	20	24	4a (28); 6a (22); 5a (12)
2	3b	50	12	4b (26); 6b (20); 5b (14)
3	3c	50	22	<b>4c</b> (47)
4	3d	20	24	4d (37); 6d (14); 5a (14)
5	3e	20	40	4e (22); 6e (15); 5b (16)
6	3f	50	8	<b>4f</b> (47)

Acid-catalyzed reaction of cyclooctylphenol **3c** yielded only Claisen product **4c**. However, treatment of **4c** with stronger acid,  $CF_3SO_3H$  ( $pK_a = -15$ ), yielded an unexpected product **7**. A plausible

reaction mechanism for the formation of this product is depicted in Scheme 2. In the Cope rearrangement of compound **4c** compound **6c** was formed. After protonation, a 1,2-alkyl shift took place on the conjugated acid **10** and then the quinoid intermediate took up a hydride anion [20–22].



Scheme 2. Ring-contraction rearrangement of compound 6c.

We have investigated the generality of the above discussed reaction. Acid-catalyzed reaction of naphthyl ether **3d** also yielded three products (entry 4). Namely, the Claisen rearrangement product **4d**, the combined Claisen and Cope rearrangement product **6d**, and the dioxane derivative **5a**, in a 5:2:3 ratio. Essentially the same result was obtained with the cyclohepthyl ether homolog **3e** (entry 5). From this reaction compounds **4e**, **6e**, and the decomposition product **5b** were isolated.

The acid-catalyzed reaction of cyclooctylnaphthyl ether **3f** generated only one product, the  $\alpha$ -substituted naphthol derivative **4f** (entry 6). Our effort to obtain the combined rearrangement product **6f** with stronger acid failed. Here, the first product **4f** showed a strong intramolecular cyclization tendency and the cycloocta[*b*]naphtho[2,1-*d*]furan derivative **8f** (*cis/trans* ring fusion ratio 1:2) was isolated. Later on we used CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H for the cyclization of compounds **4a**, **4b** and **4d–f**. The reactions were rather fast at room temperature and yielded predominantly compounds **8a–e**, besides trace amounts of compounds **9a–e**.

We also studied these cyclization reactions under microwave irradiation. The reactions were rather slow and to achieve complete cyclization we had to apply a higher temperature (200  $^{\circ}$ C) and longer reaction times. Under these conditions dehydrogenative aromatization took place and compounds **9** could be isolated.

In order to understand this puzzling difference between the two activation modes we sought to understand the mechanism of the Cope rearrangement. To this end we have performed DFT calculations. First we have focused on the rearrangement of three molecules: 2-(2-cyclohexene-1-yl)-phenol (4a), 2-(2-cycloheptene-1-yl)-phenol (4b), and 2-(2-cyclooctene-1-yl)-phenol (4c). We have calculated both the thermal and the ionic (proton-catalyzed) routes. First we note that without proton catalysis the rearrangements hardly take place, because the bond breaking between the aromatic and aliphatic rings gives rise to a highly unstable intermediate (we have calculated more than 100 kcal/mol activation energies for this step). In contrast, proton catalysis allows a new route, where the bond breaking steps are facilitated by the excess protons. The calculated free energy profiles are shown in Figure 1, whereas the corresponding structures for the rearrangement of the cycloheptene ring are shown in Figure 2. To demonstrate why a two-step mechanism is preferred to a one-step rearrangement, a simple 2D potential energy surface (PES) scan has been performed as a function of the breaking and forming CC bonds. Figure 3 plots the calculated PES for compound 4a. The PES shows that a hypothetical one-step process would cross a much higher energy region. Therefore the two-step process is favored. We have found entirely analogous routes for the other two molecules. The free energy values are listed in Table 2.

red—4c.



**Figure 1.** Free energy profiles for the Cope rearrangement reactions of compounds **4a**, **4b** and **4c**. The letters designate the reaction intermediate and transition states as displayed in Figure 2. The final deprotonation step is indicated by the last dashed lines. Color code: dark grey line—**4a**; blue—**4b**;



**Figure 2.** The mechanism of the proton-catalyzed Cope rearrangement. (A): the most stable protonated form; (B): suitable tautomeric form for Cope rearrangement; (C): first transition state  $(TS_1)$ ; (D): intermediate structure; (E): second transition state  $(TS_2)$ ; (F): protonated product state. Color code: green—carbon; red—oxygen; white—hydrogen.



**Figure 3.** Calculated PES (in kcal/mol) for compound **4a** as a function of the breaking (horizontal axis) and forming CC bonds (vertical axis). The zero level is set to the most stable structure within the calculation domain. The most stable reactant and product regions are only partially shown at the upper left and lower right corners. TS and intermediate regions are indicated by white circles.

4a	4b	4c
0	0	0
14.5	15.1	19.4
25.1	25.2	24.1
14.1	13.9	17.5
23.2	21.1	22.9
10.0	13.9	12.8
-0.9	-1.5	-5.6
	4a   0   14.5   25.1   14.1   23.2   10.0   -0.9	4a 4b   0 0   14.5 15.1   25.1 25.2   14.1 13.9   23.2 21.1   10.0 13.9   -0.9 -1.5

**Table 2.** Relative free energies of the reactant, product and intermediate states and the reaction free energies (in neutral form,  $\Delta G_r$ ) in kcal/mol for compounds **4a**, **4b** and **4c**.

The most stable protonated form (A) features a benzylic structure which efficiently delocalizes the extra charge of the proton. The rearrangement implies however the presence of a proton at the C2 position on the aromatic ring which requires a tautomeric rearrangement and a 14–19 kcal/mol free energy investment. The next step is the bond breaking between the two rings and the formation of a peculiar intermediate state (D) where the positively charged aliphatic ring is stabilized by the close aromatic ring. This step requires 24–25 kcal/mol activation free energy which indicates that this process can indeed occur at ambient conditions. This is the rate-determining step of the process. In the following step the intermediate goes through a slightly lower barrier (21–23 kcal/mol range for the three compounds) and forms the protonated product (F). Comparison of the free energies of the product and reactant states in their neutral, unprotonated states reveals a moderate exergonicity for all the three rearrangements (between -1 and -6 kcal/mol). Assuming a few kcal/mol uncertainty for our computational methodology [23–26], we can conclude that the rearrangement reactions are reversible and an equilibrium of the two Cope-converted structures can be expected.

The stabilization effect of the aromatic ring in intermediate state D is crucial. We have found a compelling proof of this effect when explored a similar rearrangement paths for some analogous compounds: 7-(2-cycloalken-1-yl)-8-quinolines [27], where again 6-, 7- and 8-membered cycloalkene rings were considered. In an acidic environment the resting state of these compounds is the *N*-protonated form (Scheme 3). The rearrangement can then occur along two different pathways. In the first route additional protonation of the aromatic ring yields the proper doubly-protonated form for the Cope rearrangement. However, formation of the D-analogue intermediate would require the interaction of two positively charged rings, which is highly unfavorable and the system breaks apart. The second possibility is that the *N*-protonated form rearranges to the proper protonated form for the intramolecular Cope reaction where the 7C position of the quinoline is protonated. Due to the strong basicity of the *N* site, this is a much less favorable state by 29.0 kcal/mol free energy implying that this form cannot be observed in practice. The rate-determining step features a transition state at 42.0 kcal/mol on the free energy scale. These results indicate that the rearrangement of these quinolines cannot be observed under normal circumstances.



Scheme 3. Rearrangement of quinoline 12.

#### 3. Experimental Section

#### 3.1. General Information

Solvents were used as received from commercial vendors and no further attempts were made to purify or dry them. Mps were determined on a Büchi apparatus (Büchi labortechnik GmbH, Essen, Germany) and are uncorrected. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on an Alpha FT spectrophotometer (Bruker Bio spin GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany). <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker DRX-500 spectrometer. All NMR spectra are reported in ppm relative to TMS. MS spectra were conducted on a 6140 quadropole LC/MS instrument (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA, ESI was +2 kV). Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) precoated silica gel 60  $F_{254}$  plates were used for TLC and Kieselgel 60 for column chromatography. Solvent were mixed on a v/v basis. HPLC chromatographic analyses and separation were performed with a Waters 600 system (Waters GmbH, Eschborn, Germany) equipped with a photodiode array detector 990. The column used was a Supelcosil<sup>TM</sup> SPLC-18-DB, 250 mm × 10 mm. Microwave accelerated reactions were taken in a CEM Focused Microwave<sup>TM</sup> synthesis System (CEM Corporation, Matthews, NC, USA).

Phenol and naphth-1-ol were obtained from commercial sources and were the highest grade available. 3-Bromocyclohex-1-ene (**2a**) [28], 3-bromocyclohept-1-ene (**2b**) [29], and 3-bromocyclooct-1-ene (**2c**) [30] were prepared using the corresponding literature procedures.

The calculations have been performed by using the Gaussian 09 program package [20]. We have used density functional theory (DFT) with the dispersion-corrected, range-separated hybrid  $\omega$ B97XD exchange-correlation functional [24]. Structural optimizations, transition state (TS) searches and vibrational calculations have been carried out using the 6-31+G \* basis set. The Gibbs free energy contributions to the electronic energy have been calculated by employing the harmonic oscillator, rigid rotor, ideal gas approximation. The TS structures have been identified by having a single imaginary frequency. In addition mixed IRC-optimization calculations have been performed to confirm that a given TS connects the corresponding intermediate states. For the solvent effects we have applied implicit solvent model within the SMD formalism [25]. Since technical reasons do not allow to employ mixed solvents, water has been selected as a suitable choice to represent the strong acidic environment. For the final free energy profile the larger 6-311++G(3df, 3pd) basis set has been employed for a single point energy calculation (including the solvation effects) on the optimized structures, whereas the free energy contributions are taken from the calculations employing the smaller basis set.

#### 3.2. Preparation of Ethers 3: General Procedure

To a cold stirred suspension of compound **1** (0.02 mol),  $K_2CO_3$  (0.02 mol), and NaI (0.001 mol) in dry acetone (50 mL) was added bromocycloalkene **2** and the resulting mixture was refluxed for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with water and then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). Evaporation of the solvent gave a residue which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (20% EtOAc in hexane, as eluent).

(2-*Cyclohexen-1-yloxy)benzene* (**3a**) [**31**]. Yield: 65%: light yellow oil:  $R_f = 0.77$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). IR (KBr): 1599, 1584, 1492, 1445, 1298, 1288. 1235, 1077, 1065 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.5$ –2.0 (m, 3H), 2.02 (m, 1H), 2.12 (m, 1H), 2.45 (m, 1H), C<sub>4</sub>'-C<sub>6</sub>' H-s, 4.79 (br. s, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 5.87 (m, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>'H), 5.96 (m, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>'H), 6.92 (m, 3H, C<sub>2</sub>-H, C<sub>4</sub>-H, and C<sub>6</sub>-H), 7.27 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H, C<sub>3</sub>-H and C<sub>5</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 19.03 (C-5'), 25.13 (C-4'), 28.32 (C-6'), 70.81 (C-1'), 115.89 (C-2 and C-6), 120.62 (C-4), 126.41 (C-3'), 129.47 (C-3 and C-5), 132.08 (C-2'), 157.84 (C-1). MS: *m/z* (%) = 174 (M<sup>+</sup>, 54), 145 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 74), 93 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, 100).

(2-*Cyclohepten-1-yloxy)benzene* (**3b**) [**32**]. Yield: 68%: light yellow oil:  $R_f = 0.72$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.40$  (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 1.68 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 1.70 (C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 1.75 (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 2.03 (m, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H and C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 2.12 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 2.23 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 4.89 (m,1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 5.82 (m, 1H, C\_1'-H), 5.82

C<sub>2</sub>'-H), 5.85 (m, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 6.87 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, C<sub>2</sub>-H and C<sub>6</sub>-H), 6.91 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>-H), 7.26 (J = 8 Hz, 2H, C<sub>3</sub>-H and C<sub>5</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 26.51$  (C-5'), 27.49 (C-6'), 28.54 (C-4'), 33.10 (C-7'), 77.18 (C-1'), 115.65 (C-2 and (C-6), 120.47 (C-4), 129.41 (C-3 and C-5), 130.84 (C-3'), 135.74 (C-2'), 157.68 (C-1). MS: m/z (%) = 188 (M<sup>+</sup>, 58), 159 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, 82), 93 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>11</sub>, 100).

(2-*Cycloocten-1-yloxy)benzene* (**3c**) [33]. Yield: 74%: light yellow oil:  $R_f = 0.77$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). IR (KBr): 1598, 1584, 1492, 1452, 1299, 1238, 1171, 1038, 982 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.4$ –1.8 (m, 7H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 2.28 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), C<sub>8</sub>' H-s, 5.08 (m, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 5.52 (m, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H), 5.73 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 6.87 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, C<sub>2</sub>-H and C<sub>6</sub>-H), 6.90 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>-H), 7.24 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H, C<sub>3</sub>-H and C<sub>5</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 23.45$  (C-7'), 26.22 (C-6'), 26.73 (C-4'), 29.07 (C-5'), 35.81 (C-8'), 75.03 (C-1'), 115.54 (C-2 and C-6), 120.41 (C-4), 129.32 (C-3 and C-5), 129.85 (C-3'), 133.16 (C-2'), 158.26 (C-1). MS: m/z (%) = 204 (M<sup>+</sup>, 46), 175 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 76), 95 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>13</sub>, 100).

1-(*Cyclohex-2-enyloxy*)*naphthalene* (**3d**). Yield: 56%; yellow oil:  $R_f = 0.84$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). *R*<sub>t</sub> = 6.67 min. IR (KBr): 1598, 1586, 1491, 1448, 1432, 1396, 1235, 1035 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.70$  (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 1.93 (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 2.02 (m, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 2.07 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 2.17 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 5.00 (m, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 6.00 (m, 2H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H and C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>-H), 7.36 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.42 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>-H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.29 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>8</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 19.23$  (C-5'9), 25.23 (C-4'), 28.51 (C-6'), 71.31 (C-1'), 106.36 (C-2), 120.06 (C-4), 122.45 (C-8), 125.00 (C-7), 125.83 (C-3), 126.28 (C-6), 126.42 (C-2'), 126.54 (C-8*a*), 127.40 (C-5), 132.10 (C-3'), 134.76 (C-4*a*), 153.66 (C-1). MS: *m*/*z* (%) = 224 (M<sup>+</sup>, 65), 195 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 52), 181 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O: C, 85.75; H, 7.14. Found: C, 85.52; H, 7.28.

1-[(Z)-Cyclohept-2-enyloxy]naphthalene (**3e**). Yield: 62%; yellow oil:  $R_f = 0.9$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1).  $R_t = 6.68$  min. IR (KBr): 1577, 1506, 1460, 1444, 1395, 1265, 1236, 1154, 1095, 1061 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.46$  (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 1.75 (m, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H and C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 1.92 (m, 1H, C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 2.07 (C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 2.17 (m, 2H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H and C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 2.28 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 5.08 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 5.90 (m, 2H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H and C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 6.76 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>-H), 7.34 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.38 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>-H), 7.45 (m, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>-H and C<sub>7</sub>-H), 7.78 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.30 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>8</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 26.62$  (C-5'), 27.53 (C-6'), 28.58 (C-4'), 33.13 (C-7'), 77.56 (C-1'), 106.22 (C-2), 119.92 (C-4), 122.31 (C-8), 125.01 (C-7), 125.79 (C-3), 126.27 (C-8a), 127.41 (C-5), 131.04 (C-2'), 134.69 (C-4a), 135.73 (C-3'), 153.37 (C-1). MS: m/z (%) = 238 (M<sup>+</sup>, 15), 209 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 3), 181 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, 13), 157 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, 21), 144 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O: C, 85.72; H, 7.56. Found: C, 85.52; H, 7.38.

1-[(Z)-Cyclooct-2-enyloxy]naphthalene (**3f**). Yield: 58%;  $R_f$  = 0.9 (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). IR (KBr): 1627, 1595, 1577, 1507, 1461, 1448, 1395, 1347, 1266, 1237, 1155, 1093, 1064 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.54 (m, 2H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H and C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 1.72 (m, 4H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H and C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 1.90 (m, 1H, C<sub>8</sub>'-H), 2.22 (m, 2H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H and C<sub>8</sub>'-H), 2.35 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 5.28 (m, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 5.60 (m, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H), 5.78 (m, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>-H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.39 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>-H), 7.44 (m, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>-H and C<sub>7</sub>-H), 7.77 (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.30 (m, 1H, C<sub>8</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 23.45 (C-7'), 26.28 (C-6'), 26.76 (C-4'), 29.07 (C-5'), 35.80 (C-8'), 75.61 (C-1'), 106.34 (C-2), 119.87 (C-4), 122.26 (C-8), 124.94 (C-7), 125.84 (C-3), 126.14 (C-8a), 126.19 (C-6), 127.40 (C-5), 129.89 (C-3'), 133.22 (C-2'), 134.58 (C-4a), 153.93 (C-1). MS: *m*/*z* (%) = 252 (M<sup>+</sup>, 52), 209 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, 8), 194 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, 15), 181 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>, 169 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>, 28), 157 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>11</sub>, 78), 144 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>, 100)), Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O: C, 85.72; H, 7.93. Found: C, 85.54; H, 7.92.

#### 3.3. Rearrangement of Ethers 3: General Procedure

A mixture of ether (2 mmol) and 3.8 g (2 mmol) PTS·  $H_2O$  in 100 mL dry toluene was stirred and heated for the time indicated in Table 1. After cooling to room temperature water (50 mL) was added and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with toluene and the combined organic solutions were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = 5:1).

2-(2-*Cyclohexen-1-yl)phenol* (**4a**) [34]. Yield: 25%; light yellow oil:  $R_f = 0.63$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). IR (KBr): 1598, 1491, 1432, 1288, 1235, 1172, 1035 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.5$ -2.2 (m, 6H, 3CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.6 (m, 1H, CH), 5.85 (m, 1H, CH=), 6.08 (m, 1H, CH=), 6.74 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.85 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.14 (m, 2H, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 21.42$ , 25.01, 30.03, 37.99, 116.12, 120.61, 126.60, 128.01, 128.85, 129.56, 129.71, 153.60. MS: m/z (%) 174 (M<sup>+</sup>, 97), 159 (M<sup>+</sup>-15, 40), 145 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 100), 131 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, 84).

4-(2-*Cyclohexen-1-yl)phenol* (**6a**) [35]. Yield: 36%;  $R_f = 0.36$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1).  $R_t = 4.48$  min. IR (KBr): 3474 (OH), 1649, 1606, 1589, 1453, 1432, 1340, 1298, 1287, 1188 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.67$  (m, 3H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H and C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 2.12 (m, 3H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H and C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 4.12 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 5.45 (br.s, 1H, OH), 5.80 (m, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H), 5.98 (m, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 6.73 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>-H), 7.18 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.46 (m, 1H, C<sub>7</sub>-H), 7.50 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>-H), 8.07 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.23 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 20.72$  (C-5'), 25.28 (C-4'), 30.92 (C-6'), 36.53 (C-1'), 108.05 (C-2), 122.41 (C-8), 123.43 (C-5), 124.69 (C-7), 124.89 (C-8a), 124.98 (C-3), 126.25 (C-6), 128.62 (C-3'), 130.45 (C-2'), 132.39 (C-4a), 134.30 (C-4). MS: m/z (%) = 174 (M<sup>+</sup>, 92%), 145 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 100%), 131 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, 87%), 120 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub>).

*Dodecahydrooxanthrene* (**5a**) [36]. Yield: 12%;  $R_f$ = 0.9 (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). IR (KBr): 1458, 1445, 1432, 1358, 1267, 1256, 1195, 1177, 1160, 1116, 1048, 1032, 998 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.52 (m, 4H, C<sub>2</sub>-H, C<sub>3</sub>-H, C<sub>7</sub>-H, C<sub>8</sub>-H), 1.81 (m, 4H, C<sub>2</sub>-H, C<sub>3</sub>-H, C<sub>7</sub>-H, C<sub>8</sub>-H), 1.89 (m, 4H, C<sub>1</sub>-H, C<sub>4</sub>-H, C<sub>6</sub>-H, C<sub>9</sub>-H), 2.46 (m, 4H, C<sub>1</sub>-H, C<sub>4</sub>-H, C<sub>6</sub>-H, C<sub>9</sub>-H), 4.45 (m, 4H, C<sub>4a</sub>-H, C<sub>5a</sub>-H, C<sub>9a</sub>-H, C<sub>10a</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 22.38 (C-2, C-3, C7, C-8), 31.97 (C-1, C-4, C-6, C-9), 55.20 (C-4a, C-5a, C-9a, C-10a).

2-(2-Cyclohepten-1-yl)phenol (**4b**) [31]. Yield: 32%;  $R_f = 0.5$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). IR (KBr): 3427 (OH), 1591, 1501, 1488, 1452, 1261, 1094, 1042 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.48$  (m, 1H, C<sub>5'</sub>-H), 1.66 (m, 1H, C<sub>6'</sub>-H), 1.82 (m, 1H, C<sub>5'</sub>-H), 1.85 (m, 2H, C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 1.98 (m, 1H, C<sub>6'</sub>-H), 2.24 (m, 1H, C<sub>4'</sub>-H), 2.32 (m, 1H, C<sub>4'</sub>-H), 3.73 (m, 1H, C<sub>1'</sub>-H), 5.75 (m, 1H, C<sub>2'</sub>-H), 5.94 (C<sub>3'</sub>-H), 6.79 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>-H), 6.89 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>-H), 7.10 (td, J = 8 Hz and 1.5 Hz, 1H. C<sub>5</sub>-H), 7.16 (dd, J = 8 Hz and 1.5 Hz, 1H. C<sub>3</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 27.16$  (C-5'), 28.86 (C-4'), 29.97 (C-6'), 34.14 (C-7'), 41.84 (C-1'), 115.91 (C-6), 120.87 (C-4), 127.22 (C-5), 128.47 (C-3), 132.91 (C-2), 133.39 (C-3'), 135.49 (C-2'), 153.00 (C-1). MS: m/z (%) = 188 (M<sup>+</sup>, 64), 187 (M<sup>+</sup>-H, 100), 173 (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 36), 159 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 92).

2-(2-*Cyclooct*-1-*yl*)*phenol* (4c) [35]. Yield: 47%;  $R_f = 0.76$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). IR (KBr): 3428 (OH), 1590, 1501, 1487, 1452, 1235, 1195, 1043 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.4$ –2.5 (m, 10 H, 5CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.9 (m, 1H, CH), 5.20 (m, 1H. OH), 5.50 (m, 1H, CH=), 5.93 (m, 1H, CH=), 6.78 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.93 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.12 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.22 (m, 1H, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 25.62$ , 26.57, 26.71, 29.65, 33.79, 35.31, 115.92, 120.81, 126.51, 127.34, 131.15, 132.30, 132.89, 154.14. MS: m/z (%) = 202 (M<sup>+</sup>, 48), 201 (M<sup>+</sup>-H, 100), 187 (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 42), 173 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 87).

2-(*Cyclohex-2-enyl)naphthalen-1-ol* (4d) [36,37]. Yield: 37%; light yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.78$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1);  $R_t = 7.18$  min. IR (KBr): 3454 (OH), 3053, 3016, 1654, 1649, 1599, 1572, 1508, 1465, 1444, 1386, 1358, 1269, 1177, 1131, 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.70$  (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 1.77 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 1.88 (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 2.06 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 2.20 (m, 2H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 3.60 (m, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 6.00 (m, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H), 6.17 (m, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 6.19 (s, 1H, OH), 7.20 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>-H), 7.42 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>-H), 7.44 (m, 1H, C<sub>7</sub>-H), 7.75 (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.17 (m, 1H, C<sub>8</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 21.76$  (C-5'), 25.07 (C-4'), 30.14 (C-6'), 39.76 (C-1'), 119.95 (C-4), 121.54 (C-8), 123.56 (C-2), 125.14 (C-7), 125.17 (C-8a), 125.68 (C-6), 127.37 (C-5), 128.02 (C-3), 129.89 (C-2'), 132.16 (C-3'), 133.47 (C-4a), 149.42 (C-1). MS: m/z = 224 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 195 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 75), 165 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>11</sub>, 43), 152 (34), 139 (22).

2-[(Z)-Cyclohept-2-enyl]naphthalen-1-ol (4e).Yield: 36%;  $R_f = 0.8$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1);  $R_t = 7.49$  min. IR (KBr): 3442 (OH), 3053, 3013, 1654, 1649, 1599, 1572, 1508, 1442, 1385, 1356, 1290, 1267, 1230, 1177, 1112, 1070, 1021 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.55$  (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 1.60 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 1.70 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 1.95 (m, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), C<sub>6</sub>'-H)), 1.99 (m, 1H, C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 2.04 (m, 1H, C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 2.33 m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 2.43 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 4.65 (m, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 5.83 (m, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H), 5.86 (s, 1H, OH), 6.01 (m, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.43 (m, 3H, C<sub>4</sub>-H), 7.76 (dd, *J* = 7.5 and 1.5 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.18 (dd, *J* = 7 and 2 Hz, C<sub>8</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 27.25$  (C-6'), 28.90 (C-6'), 29.53 (C-4'), 29.61 (C-5'), 33.59 (C-7'),

43.27 (C-1'), 120.120.32 (C-4), 121.42 (C-8), 125.02 (C-2), 125.62 (C-3), 125.67 (C-8*a*), 126.76 (C-7), 127.40 (C-6), 127.47 (C-5), 133.30 (C-3'), 134.66 (C-2'), 134.76 (C-4*a*), 148.15 (C-1). MS: m/z (%) = 238 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 221 (M<sup>+</sup>-OH, 7.6), 209 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 23), 195 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, 55). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O: C, 85.67; H, 7.61. Found: C, 85.92; H, 7.42.

Dodecahydro-1H,6aH-dicyclohepta[b,e][1,4]dioxine (**5b**). Yield: 16%;  $R_f = 0.90$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1).  $R_t = 5.62$  min. IR (KBr): 1577, 1453, 1428, 1400, 1266, 1235, 1216, 1160, 1118, 1094, 1066, 1003 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.66$  (m, 8H, C<sub>2</sub>-H, C<sub>3</sub>-H, C<sub>4</sub>-H, C<sub>8</sub>-H, C<sub>9</sub>-H, and C<sub>10</sub>-H), 1.87 (m, 4H, C<sub>2</sub>-H, C<sub>4</sub>-H, C<sub>8</sub>-H, and C<sub>10</sub>-H), 2.07 (m, 4H, C<sub>1</sub>-H, C<sub>5</sub>-H, C<sub>7</sub>-H, and C<sub>11</sub>-H), 2.35 (m, 4H, C<sub>1</sub>-H, C<sub>5</sub>-H, C<sub>7</sub>-H, and C<sub>11</sub>-H), 4.65 (m, 4H, C<sub>5a</sub>-H, C<sub>6a</sub>-H, C<sub>11a</sub>-H, and C<sub>12a</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 23.18$  (C-2, C-4, C-8, and C-10), 26.45 (C-3 and C-9), 33.21 (C-1, C-5, C-7 and C-11), 60.16 (C-5*a*, 6*a*, 11*a* and 12*a*). MS: m/z (%) = 224 (M<sup>+</sup>, 68), 183 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 165 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O, 92), 155 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 75.51; H, 10.70. Found: C, 75.78; H, 10.89.

2-[(Z)-Cyclooct-2-enyl]naphthalen-1-ol (4f). Yield: 52%;  $R_f = 0.83$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.45$  (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H),1.53 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 1.68 (m, 1H, C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 1.83 (m, 3H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H, C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 1.97 (m, 1H, C<sub>8</sub>'-H), 2.02 (m, 1H, C<sub>8</sub>'-H), 2.31 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 2.54 (m, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 4.07 (m, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 5.53 (m, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H), 5.79 (s, 1H, OH), 6.00 (m, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 7.37 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.44 (m, 3H, C<sub>4</sub>-H), 7.76 (dd, J = 7.5 and 1.5 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.18 (dd, J = 7 and 2 Hz, C<sub>8</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 25.64$  (C-7'), 26.48 (C-6'), 26.80 (C-4'), 29.61 (C-5'), 33.59 (C-8'), 35.59 (C-1'), 120.13 (C-4), 121.54 (C-8), 124.02 (C-2), 124.17 (C-3), 124.76 (C-8a), 125.17 (C-7), 125.63 (C-6), 127.37 (C-5), 132.80 (C-3'), 132.88 (C-2'), 133.25 (C-4a), 149.26 (C-1). MS: m/z (%) = 252 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 251 (M<sup>+</sup>-H, 69), 223 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 60). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O: C, 75.72; H, 7.93. Found: C, 75.79; H, 8.14.

4-(*Cyclohex-2-enyl)naphthalen-1-ol* (**6d**). Yield: 28%;  $R_f = 0.5$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1).  $R_t = 7.02$  min. IR (KBr): 3331 (OH), 3058, 3018, 1626, 1599, 1587, 1517, 1445, 1377, 1354, 1302, 1264, 1212, 1146, 1049 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.67$  (m, 3H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H and C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 2.12 (m, 3H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H and C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 4.12 (m, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 5.45 (br.s, 1H, OH), 5.80 (m, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H), 5.98 (m, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>-H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.46 (m, 1H, C<sub>7</sub>-H), 7.50 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>-H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.23 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>8</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 20.72$  (C-5'), 25.28 (C-4'), 30.92 (C-6'), 36.53 (C-1'), 108.05 (C-2), 122.41 (C-8), 123.43 (C-5), 124.69 (C-7), 124.89 (C-8a), 124.98 (C-3), 126.25 (C-6), 128.62 (C-3'), 130.45 (C-2'), 132.39 (C-4a), 134.30 (C-4), 149.96 (C-1). MS: m/z (%) = 224 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 207 (M<sup>+</sup>-OH, 6), 195 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 74), 181 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, 70), 165 (39), 152 (36). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O: C, 85.68; H, 7.19. Found: C, 85.43; H, 7.39.

4-(*Cyclohept-2-enyl*)*naphthalen-1-ol* (**6e**). Yield: 32%;  $R_f = 0.42$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1).  $R_t = 7.47$  min. IR (KBr): 3357 (OH), 3072, 3052, 1626, 1601, 1589, 1516, 1443, 1378, 1355, 1275, 1258, 1215, 1146, 1054, 1014 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.53$  (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 1.74 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 1.85 (m, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 1.94 (m, 2H, C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 1.99 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 2.32 (m, 2H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H), 4.1 (br.s, 1H, OH), 4.20 (m, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H), 5.80 (m, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H), 5.88 (m, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H), 6.76 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>-H), 7.23 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.47 (m, 1H, C<sub>7</sub>-H), 7.51 (m, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>-H), 8.04 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.23 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, C<sub>8</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 27.17$  (C-5'), 28.88 (C-4'), 30.64 (C-6'), 35.01 (C-7'), 42.35 (C-1'), 108.16 (C-2), 122.30 (C-8), 123.82 (C-3), 123.92 (C-5), 124.72 (C-7), 124.88 (C-8a), 126.15 (C-7), 131.28 (C-3'), 132.14 (C-4a), 136.09 (C-4), 137.75 (C-2'), 149.79 (C-1). MS: m/z (%) = 238 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 221 (M<sup>+</sup>-OH, 10), 209 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 32), 195 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, 181 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, 75), 169 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, 45). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O: C, 85.67; H, 7.61. Found: C, 85.78; H, 7.89.

4-(*Cycloheptylmethyl*)*phenol* (7). A mixture of **4f** (192 mg, 0.95 mmol) and CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H (1.6 mL, 1.9 mmol) was stirred under argon at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with 1 N NaOH (3 mL) and then extracted with chloroform (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography to yield 7 (60 mg, 32%), light yellow oil.  $R_f$  = 0.6 (hexane–EtOAc 5:1).  $R_t$  = 7.54 min. IR (KBr): 3363 (OH), 1612, 1597, 1513, 1458, 1445, 1375, 1359, 1223, 1171, 1043 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.15 (m, 2H,

C<sub>2</sub>'-H and C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 1.37 (m, 2H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H and C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 1.49 (m, 2H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H and C<sub>5</sub>'-H), 1.60 (m, 4H, C<sub>3</sub>'-H, C<sub>4</sub>'-H, C<sub>5</sub>'-H and C<sub>6</sub>'-H), 1.68 (m, 3H, C<sub>1</sub>'-H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H and C<sub>7</sub>'-H), 2.43 (d, *J* = 7 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.7 (br.s, 1H, OH), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H, C<sub>2</sub>-H and C<sub>6</sub>-H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H, C<sub>3</sub>-H and C<sub>5</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 26-36 (C-3' and C-6'), 28.40 (C-4' and C-5'), 34.32 (C-2' and C-7'). 41.50 (C-1'), 43.59 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 114.90 (C-2 and C6), 130.21 (C-3 and C-5), 134.11 (C-4), 153.41 (C-1). MS: *m*/*z* (%) = 204 (M<sup>+</sup>, 16), 203 (M<sup>+</sup>-1, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O: C, 82.30; H, 9.87. Found: C, 82.58; H, 9.69.

## 3.4. General Procedures for Cyclization of Compounds 4a,b and 4d-f

Method A: A mixture of 4 (1 mmol) and 0.30 g (0.18 mL, 2 mmol) of  $CF_3SO_3H$  was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (15 mL), the resulting solution was basified with 1 N NaOH solution and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>; solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography.

Method B: Compounds 4 (3 mmol) were heated in microwave reactor at 210  $^{\circ}$ C for 8 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography.

1,2,3,4,4a,9b-Hexahydrodibenzo[b,d]furan (8a) [38]. Method A. Yield 52%.

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydrodibenzo[b,d]furan (9a) [39]. Method B. Yield 46%.

6,7,8,9,10,10a-Hexahydro-5aH-benzo[b]cyclohepta[d]furan (8b) [39]. Method B. Yield: 14%.

7,8,9,10-Tetrahydro-6H-benzo[b]cyclohepta[d]furan (9b) [39]. Method B. Yield 56%.

5a,6,7,8,9,10,11,11a-Octahydrobenzo[b]cycloocta[d]furan (8b) [40]. Method A. Yield: 56%.

6b,7,8,9,10,10a-Hexahydrobenzo[b]naphtho[2,1-d]furan (8d). Method A. Yield: 45%, light yellow oil.  $R_f = 0.76$  (hexane–EtOAc 5:1).  $R_t = 6.23$  min. IR (KBr): 1637, 1572, 1508, 1441, 1374, 1351, 1258, 1176, 1074, 1039 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.95$  (m, 2H, C<sub>8</sub>-H), 1.97 (m, 2H, C<sub>9</sub>-H), 270 (m, 2H, C<sub>7</sub>-H), 2.82 (m, 2H, C<sub>10</sub>-H), 4.87 (m, 1H, C<sub>6b</sub>-H), 4.89 (m, 1H, C<sub>10a</sub>-H), 7.27 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>-H), 7.40 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>6</sub>-H), 7.44 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>2</sub>-H), 7.55 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>5</sub>-H), 7.80 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>4</sub>-H), 8.20 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, C<sub>1</sub>-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 21.80$  (C-7), 22.90 (C-8), 23.07 (C-9), 23.61 (C-10), 44.70 (C-10), 89.50 (C-6b), 117.75 (C-1), 118.55 (C-11b), 121.35 (C-5), 124.05 (C-3), 125.98 (C-2), 128.34 (C-4), 130.87 (C-4a), 149.35 (C-11a). MS: m/z (%) = 224 (M<sup>+</sup>, 64), 195 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 7.5), 181 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, 42), 170 ((M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 100), 152 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O, 15). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O: C, 85.72; H, 7.12. Found: C, 85.58; H, 7.59.

7,8,9,10-Tetrahydrobenzo[b]naphtho[2,1-d]furan. (9d) [13]. Method B. Yield 53%.

7,8,9,10,11,11a-Hexahydro-6bH-cyclohepta[b]naphtho[2,1-d]furan (8e) [13]. Method A. Yield: 49%.

7,8,9,10,11-Pentahydrocyclohepta[b]naphtho[2,1-d]furan (9e) [13]. Method B. Yield: 67%.

6b,7,8,9,10,11,12,12a-Octahydrocycloocta[b]naphtho[2,1-d]furan (8f) [13]. Method B. Yield: 48%.

## 4. Conclusions

The acid-catalyzed Claisen and Cope rearrangements of cycloalkenyl phenyl and naphthyl ethers **3** afforded 2- and 4-substituted phenols and naphth-1-ols **4** and **6**, respectively, under moderate temperature conditions. The acid-catalyzed intramolecular cyclization of compounds **4** gave the corresponding cycloalkanobenzofuran and cycloalkanonaphthofurans **8a–c** and **8e,f**. In the microwave assisted reactions of compounds **4** partial aromatization of the products **8** took place and compounds **9** were isolated as a result of the required higher reaction temperature. The divergent product formations of the acidic and thermal activations have been rationalized by DFT calculations.

The acid-catalyzed reaction of 2-cyclooctanylphenol **4f** represents an unexpected twist. After the Cope rearrangement, a migration of the alkyl group took place and as a result of this ring contraction reaction we could isolate 4-cyclohepthylmethylphenol (7).

**Author Contributions:** L. Novak and A. Stirling conceived and decided the experiments, M. Törincsi and M. Nagy performed the experiments, T. Bihari performed the calculations, and P. Kolonits analyzed the analytical data.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **References and Notes**

- Cicerale, S.; Lucas, L.; Keast, R. Biological activities of phenolic compounds present in virgin olive oil. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2010, 11, 458–479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Pereira, D.M.; Valentao, P.; Pereira, J.A.; Andrade, P.B. Fenolics: From chemistry to biology. *Molecules* 2009, 14, 2202–2211. [CrossRef]
- 3. Huang, W.Y.; Cai, Y.Z.; Zhang, Y. Natural phenolic compounds from medicinal herbs and dietary plants, potential use for cancer prevention. *Nutr. Cancer* **2010**, *62*, 1–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Harbor, J.B. Biochemistry of Phenolic Compounds; Academic Press: London, UK, 1964.
- 5. Vermeries, W.; Nicolson, R. Biochemistry of Phenolic Compounds; Springer: Heidelberg, Germany, 2006.
- 6. Kadieva, M.G.; Oganesyan, F.T. Methods for the synthesis of benzofuran derivatives (Review). *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* **1997**, *33*, 1245–1258. [CrossRef]
- 7. De Luca, I.; Nieddu, G.; Porcheddu, A.; Giacomelli, G. Some recent approaches to the synthesis of 2-substituted benzofurans. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *16*, 1–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Chang, M.Y.; Lee, T.W.; Wu, M.H. Synthesis of substituted dihydrobenzofurans and bis-dihydrobenzofurans. *Heterocycles* **2012**, *85*, 1607–1613. [CrossRef]
- 9. Zhou, X.; Li, M.; Wang, X.B.; Wang, T.; Kong, Y. Synthesis of benzofuran derivatives via rearrangement and their inhibitory activity on acetylcholinesterase. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 8593–8601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Khanam, H.; Uzzaman, S. Bioactive benzofuran derivatives: A review. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *97*, 483–504. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kongkathip, N.; Hasitapan, K.; Pradiphal, N.; Kirtihara, K.; Jongkon, N.; Kongkathip, B. Synthesis of novel 2-(2-cyclopentyl)- and 2-(2-cyclohexyl) substituted 1-naphthol derivatives with anticyclooxygenase avtivity. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2006, *13*, 3663–3674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kongkathip, B.; Sangma, C.; Kirtihara, K.; Luangkamin, S.; Hasitapan, K.; Jongkon, N.; Hannougbua, S.; Kongkathip, N. Inhibitory effect of 2-substituted-1-naphthol derivatives on cyclooxygenase I and II. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2005, 13, 2167–2175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Orovecz, O.; Kovács, P.; Kaleta, Z.; Párkányi, L.; Szabó, É; Novák, L. Rearrangement of allyl aryl ethers; VI: Reaction of naphthols with cycloalkadienes. *Synthesis* **2003**, *7*, 1043–1048.
- 14. Rehbein, J.; Hierseman, M. Mechanistic aspects of the aliphatic Claisen Rearrangement. In *The Claisen Rearrangement*, 1st ed; Horseman, M., Nubbemeyer, U., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Winheim, Germany, 2007; pp. 525–557.
- 15. Castro, A.M.M. Claisen rearrangement over the past nine decades. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 2939–3002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Lutz, R.P. Catalysis of the Cope and Claisen rearrangements. Chem. Rev. 1984, 84, 205–247. [CrossRef]
- 17. Rhoads, S.J.; Rauling, N.R. The Claisen and Cope rearrangements. Org. React. 1975, 22, 1–252.
- 18. Nubbemeyer, U. Recent advances in asymmetric [3,3]-sigmatrop rearrangements. *Synthesis* **2003**, 961–1008. [CrossRef]
- Ivakura, I.; Kaneko, Y.; Hayashi, S.; Yabushita, A.; Kobayashi, T. The reaction mechanism of Claisen rearrangement obtained by transition state spectroscopy and single direct-dynamic trajectory. *Molecules* 2013, 18, 1995–2004. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. In the mass spectrum of one reaction product 1,2,3,4,56,6a,10,11, 14a-dodecahydrodicycloocta[b,e][1,4]dioxin was observed (mass number 246.1620). This compounds may be formed from the expected hexadecahydro compound (**5**, n = 3). This reaction may be the hydride source. Unfortunately, so far we have been unable to isolate this compound in pure state.

- Pisanenko, D.A.; Smimov-Zamkov, Y.I.; Srebrodolsky, Y.I. Investigated the reaction of the more reactive 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopentene and phenol, and 3-(4-ethoxyphenyl)cyclopentene in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub>· H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> at 60-85 °C, in CCl<sub>4</sub>. When 0.3 eq. of catalyst was used at 60 °C they observed the formation of arylcycloalkylation products (1,3- and 1,1-diarylcyclopentanes and 1,4-diaryl1,3-cyclopentadienes). Increasing the temperature intensified the process of ionic hydrogenation and isomerization of 1,3- and 1,1-diarylcyclopentanes and promoted the formation of 1,4-diaryl-1,3-cyclopentadienes. *Ukrainskii Khimicheskii Zhurnal (Russian Ed.)* 2007, 73, 118–127.
- 22. The referees recommended to perform the acid-catalyzed reaction with [(4-alkyl-2-cyclohexen-1-yl)oxy]benzene. We have prepared the [(4-methyl-2-cyclohex-1-yl)oxy]benzene. The rearrangement reaction was carried out between 20 °C and 40 °C, and we obtained only 2-(6-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-yl)phenol as a mixture of *cis* and *trans* isomers (ratio 2:3). We repeated the reaction in the presence of the reactive anisole and we have also isolated the above products, and no "cross reaction" was observed. We consider these results as an evidence for the intramolecular reaction.
- 23. Gaussian 09, Revision D.01; Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2013.
- 24. Chai, J.D.; Gordon, H. Long-range corrected hybrid density functionals with damped atom-atom dispersion corrections. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615–6620. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Marenich, A.V.; Cramer, C.J.; Truhlar, D.G. Performance of SM6, SM8, and SMD on the SAMPL1 test set for the prediction of small-molecule solvation free energies. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 6378–6396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Krenske, E.H.; Davison, E.C.; Forbes, I.T.; Warner, J.A.; Smith, A.L.; Holmes, A.B.; Houk, K.N. Reverse Cope elimination of hydroxylamines and alkenes or alkynes. Theoretical investigation of tether length and substituent effects. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 2434–2441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Törincsi, M.; Kolonits, P.; Novák, L. Synthesis and further rearrangements of 7-(2-cycloalken-1-yl)-8-quinolins. *Monatsch. Chem.* **2014**, 145, 993–999. [CrossRef]
- 28. Blomquist, A.T.; Kwlatek, J. Addition of ketene to cyclic conjugated dienes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 2098–2100. [CrossRef]
- 29. Menurath, M.; Gauducheau, M. α-(2-Hydroxy-3-oxocycloheptane) propinlactone. *Ric. Sci. Rend. Sez. A* **1961**, *1*, 95–96.
- Bond, C.W.; Cresswell, A.J.; Davies, S.G.; Fletcher, A.M.; Kurasawa, W.; Lee, J.A.; Roberts, P.M. Ammonium-directed oxidation of cyclic allylic and homoallylic amines. *J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 74, 6735–6748. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Gaux, C.; Lhoste, P.; Simon, D.; Masden, A. Palladium(II)-catalyzed phenoxycarbonylation of allylic carbonates. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1996**, *511*, 139–143. [CrossRef]
- 32. Nautiyal, P.; Rastogi, S.N. Prepared by the reaction of phenol and 1-bromocycloheptene. Synthesis of 2-aryloxy-8-oxabicyclo[5.1.0]octanes. *Ind. J. Chem. Sect. B* **1979**, *17B*, 159–161.
- 33. Wisser, M.S.; Harrity, J.P.A.; Joseph, P.A.; Hoveyda, A.H. Zirconium-catalyzed kinetic resolution of cyclic allylic ethers. *JACS* **1996**, *118*, 3779–3780.
- 34. Cornforth, J.W.; Hughes, G.K.; Lions, F. Prepared by the reaction of phenol and 1,2-dibromocyclohexene. The pyrrolysis of phenyl cyclohexenyl ether. *J. Proc. Soc. NSW* **1938**, *71*, 323–329.
- 35. Leo, E.A.; Delgado, J.; Domingo, L.R.; Espinos, A.M.; Miranda, M.A.; Tormos, R. Photogeneration of o-quinone methides from o-cycloalkenylphenols. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9643–9647. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Lin, X.; Yingiong, C.; Shaupeng, L.; Xin, C.; Han, J.; Jia, H.; Xiang, W.; Decal, Z.; Zhou, G.C. Synthesis and inhibitory evaluation of cyclohexen-2-yl and cyclohexyl-substituted phenols and quinones to endothelial cell and cancer cells. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *45*, 2147–2153.
- 37. Nashimura, T.; Ohtaka, S.; Kimura, A.; Hayama, H.; Haseba, Y.; Yasuhiro, H.; Uemura, S. Prepared by the alkylation of 1-naphthol with cyclohexanone using cation-exchanged montmorillonite. Metal cation-exchanged montmorillonite (Mn + mont)-catalyzed reductive alkylation of phenol and 1-naphthol with cyclohexanones. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2000**, *194–195*, 415–425.
- Kurono, N.; Honda, E.; Komatsu, F.; Orito, K.; Tokuda, M. Regioselective synthesis of substituted 1-indalol, 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans and 2,3-dihydroindoles by electrochemical radical cyclization using an arene mediator. *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 1791–1801. [CrossRef]

- 39. Bachelot, J.P.; Caubere, P. Prepared by the condensation of cyclic ketone enolates with benzofuranes. Aryne and SNAr reactions of polyhalobenzenes. 6. Synthesis of benzofurans. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, 47, 234–238. [CrossRef]
- 40. Laan, J.A.M.; Giesen, F.L.L.; Ward, J.P. Cycloalkylation of PhOH with cyclic dienes in the presence of aluminum phenoxide. *Chem. Ind.* **1989**, 354–355.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds 3–8 are available from the authors.



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).