ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY

Effect of four neuraminidase inhibitors on influenza in Osaka, Japan: An eight-year survey

Yoshihiro Tochino MD, PhD^{1,2} | Naoko Yoshii MD, PhD^{2,3} | Masashi Fujioka MD⁴ | Takashi Hamazaki MD, PhD⁵ | Tomoya Kawaguchi MD, PhD² | Hiroshi Kakeya MD, PhD³ | Taichi Shuto MD, PhD¹

¹Department of Medical Education and General Practice, Osaka City University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan

³Department of Infection Control Science, Osaka City University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

⁴Fujioka Pediatric Clinic, Osaka, Japan

⁵Department of Pediatrics, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan

Correspondence

Yoshihiro Tochino, Department of Medical Education and General Practice Osaka City University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka City University, 1-4-3, Asahi-machi, Abenoku, Osaka, 545-8585, Japan. Email: m1152721@med.osaka-cu.ac.jp

Abstract

Background: After the A/H1N1 influenza pandemic in 2009, two new drugs against influenza, namely laninamivir and peramivir, were released in 2010 in Japan. We investigated prescription trends of four neuraminidase inhibitors (NAIs), which are laninamivir, peramivir, oseltamivir, and zanamivir, and assessed clinical data related to influenza for 8 years.

Methods: Patients living in Osaka Prefecture and diagnosed with influenza responded to a postcard questionnaire that collected data regarding their demographic characteristics, symptoms including fever, prescribed drugs, and influenza type.

Results: Laninamivir was most prescribed to patients aged ≥ 10 years, and oseltamivir was most prescribed to patients aged < 10 years. All four NAIs had similar effects on influenza. Patients with type A influenza experienced fever alleviation earlier than those with type B influenza. Older patients tended to have lower fever. Most seasons had similar results.

Conclusions: Our descriptive epidemiologic study revealed the status of patients with influenza and their medication use.

KEYWORDS

fever, influenza, neuraminidase inhibitor, questionnaire

1 | INTRODUCTION

After the A/H1N1 influenza pandemic in 2009, two new drugs against influenza, namely laninamivir and peramivir, were released in 2010 in Japan. Particularly, laninamivir was released only in Japan. Four neuraminidase inhibitors (NAIs) are typically used after rapid influenza diagnostic tests: oseltamivir (orally administered twice daily for 5 days), zanamivir (inhaled twice daily for 5 days), laninamivir (inhaled just once on the first day), and peramivir (intravenously administered once on the first day or once daily for a maximum of 3 days).

Influenza is one of the most common viral infections affecting global communities annually. During influenza season, doctors typically perform rapid influenza diagnostic tests for patients with sudden-onset high fever. If tested positive, NAIs can be prescribed to patients, even to those with no risk factors. Meta-analyses of 2009 influenza pandemic showed that early NAI treatment versus late was associated with a significant reduction in mortality.¹ Sugaya N. et al also showed early treatment with NAIs led to very low mortality rate in children during the 2009 influenza pandemic in Japan.²

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. Journal of General and Family Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japan Primary Care Association

Patients diagnosed with influenza are prescribed NAIs in outpatient clinics, after which they rarely revisit the hospital or clinic. Therefore, it is difficult for doctors to know the treatment outcomes of these patients. During the winter season, doctors are too busy to investigate detailed treatment outcomes owing to the volume of patients with viral infections, cardiovascular diseases, or respiratory diseases. Therefore, we conducted a less time-intensive self-reported survey using a postcard questionnaire. This method poses a lower burden for doctors and for patients with influenza previously treated with NAIs.³⁻⁶

To investigate the status of patients with influenza and their medication use, we distributed postcard survey questionnaires to patients in Osaka Prefecture from the 2010-2011 winter season. During 2010-2011 season, laninamivir appeared to alleviate fever faster, with fewer adverse events.³ During 2011-2012 season, laninamivir was prescribed more often than during the previous season and the four NAIs had similar fever-alleviating effects.⁴ Furthermore, we had reported that during the 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 seasons, fever in patients with type A influenza was alleviated earlier than those with type B influenza.^{5,6}

This descriptive epidemiologic study was performed to reveal three points. (a) Difference of each NAI's effects: We report prescription trends of four NAIs and time course of fever in patients after taking these inhibitors; (b) difference between influenza type; and (c) difference of fever in patients of influenza by ages. We present data of eight seasons. season. In the first year of this survey, we recruited institutions which are recommended by department of respiratory medicine, pediatric medicine, and otolaryngology of Osaka City University. As of hospitals, specialists of internal medicine, pediatric medicine, and otolaryngology were in charge of this survey. Each clinic was in charge of 10-30 postcards, depending on their institutional capacity. Overall, 833-1050 postcards were prepared for distribution by clinics. Inclusion criteria were that patients were diagnosed using rapid influenza diagnostic tests, and NAIs were prescribed between December and April the next year in every vear for 8 years. Doctors delivered postcards by convenient sampling. After informed consent was obtained, doctors entered information on the patient's age, sex, influenza type (A or B), and drug details on the front of the postcards and provided them to the patients. After returning home, patients answered questions regarding their highest temperature (twice daily), flu vaccination status, and other symptoms. (Figure 1) Then, the postcards were returned to Osaka City University.

Information reported during the 2011-2012 season included their highest temperature (recorded twice daily, in the morning and in the evening) and the presence or absence of sore throat, fatigue, headache, runny nose, and joint pain. A sample postcard from the 2012-2013 season is presented in Figure 1. Highest fevers were asked for 8 years, but other questions have been changed partly by reviewing results and questions every year. Cases with missing data on age, sex, influenza type, or prescribed NAIs were excluded.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is observational, cross-sectional study of influenza for eight years. This study was conducted in 72 hospitals or clinics in Osaka Prefecture, and some institutions were changed by the We defined the duration of fever as the time from NAI administration until the patients became afebrile. Fever reduction was defined as temperatures < 37.5° C in patients aged < 10 years or < 37.0° C in those aged \geq 10 years, as previously described.^{7,8} This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Osaka City University (Approval No. 2465, 3000).

Front page 545-8585 To Osaka City University, Respiratory Medicine	([Back page Please circle below Did you have influenza last year? Y/N Did you receive a flu shot last year? Y/N Did you receive a flu shot this year? Y/N Do you have any of the following diseases? None / Hypertension / Hyperlipidemia / Diabetes mellitus / Asthma / Allergy Please provide your temperature following treatm Day 0 is the first day you used the influenza medication									
				Da	Day0		. C	Day2	Day3		Day4
		Mor	ning		°C	°		°C		°C	°C
		Night			°C	°(°C		°C	°C
	if	■ Please write the day you improved if you have symptoms below sore fatigue headache runny joint pain throat nose							nt pain		
Doctors, please complete below:		Day ()	Day ()	Day ()	Day ()	Day	·()
■Age () M • F ■Type of influenza virus : A • B ■Laninamivir •Oseltamivir •Zanamivir •Peramivir	[AM Ple	™ ase p	AM rovide	PM e info	AM ormatic	PM on ab	AM out an	_{РМ} y side	AM effe	PM ects:

FIGURE 1 Sample postcard from the 2012-2013 season. Front side information was completed by doctors, and back side information was completed (and returned via post) by patients

2.1 | Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with JMP (version 10; SAS Institute, Inc). Mann-Whitney test was performed to compare the proportion of NAIs between two age groups of type A influenza. A log-rank test was used to compare about fever alleviation among NAIs or influenza type. A Fisher's exact test was used to compare the proportion of highest fever among age groups. For all statistical analysis, *P* values of <.05 were considered significant.

3 | RESULTS

Characteristics of this survey are displayed in Table 1. Gender was mostly even. Approximately 15% of patients had other diseases such as hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and asthma. Most patients were administered NAIs within 48 hours and approximately under 10% of patients were still not afebrile at 5 days after taking NAIs. The return rate was also displayed in Table 1. The return rate was approximately 40% each year.

3.1 | Laninamivir was most prescribed to patients aged \geq 10 years, and oseltamivir was most prescribed to those aged < 10 years

Figure 2 presents the proportion of patients taking each NAI during each of the eight seasons. Laninamivir was the most-prescribed NAI in all patients. Peramivir was prescribed to only a small percentage of patients each year (Figure 2A). Four years after the release of laninamivir and peramivir, the proportion of patients taking each NAI stabilized: laninamivir, 49%-53%; oseltamivir, 30%-35%; zanamivir, 13%-16%; and peramivir, 2%-3%.

Among patients diagnosed with type A influenza, laninamivir was prescribed to significantly older patients (typically aged \geq 10 years), whereas oseltamivir was prescribed to significantly younger patients (aged < 10 years) since the 2011-2012 season (*P* < .05) (Figure 2 B-1, 2). As seen in Figure 2C, only around 20 patients were diagnosed with type B influenza during each season. Zanamivir was prescribed to approximately 20% of patients with type B influenza. This NAI

was preferred for use in patients with type B influenza compared to those with type A.

3.2 | All NAIs had similar effects on influenza

The time until fever alleviation did not differ according to the NAI used except the 2016-2017 season. Figure 3A shows representative results from the 2014-2015 season to 2017-2018 season. The data before 2013-2014 season were already reported in previous papers.³⁻⁶ Most seasons had the same results about the effects of NAIs for alleviation of fever.

3.3 | Patients with type A influenza achieved fever alleviation earlier than those with type B influenza

The time until fever alleviation was compared between patients with type A influenza and those with type B influenza during the 2015-2016 season and 2017-2018 season (Figure 3B). We did not show the data of 2014-2015 and 2016-2017 season because of small number of influenza type B patients. The data before 2013-2014 season were already reported in previous papers, and they were mostly the same results.³⁻⁶ Patients with type A virus achieved fever alleviation significantly earlier.

3.4 | Older patients had significantly lower fever

Figure 4 shows the proportion of patients with the highest temperatures according to age group from 2014-2015 to 2017-2018 season. We did not show the data before 2013-2014 season because they were already published.³⁻⁶ The number of patients with fever \geq 39°C gradually decreased and that of patients with fever <38°C gradually increased with age (*P* < .0001). Thus, older patients with influenza may not uniformly exhibit high fever.

4 | DISCUSSION

This 8-year survey showed the prescription trends of four NAIs during each season. Laninamivir is used only in Japan and was the

TABLE 1 Characteristics and clinical feature of patients for eight years

	Gender	Age		Туре	Other	NAIs over			
	Male/Female	9 and under	10 and over	A/B	diseases,%	48 h, %	Non afebrile, %	Return rate, %	
2010-11	126/121	130	117	179/68	13.0 (32/247)	N/A	4.9 (12/247)	25.8 (249/963)	
2011-12	158/155	163	150	274/39	11.2 (35/313)	N/A	3.2 (10/313)	39.7(330/833)	
2012-13	116/125	116	125	223/18	10.8 (26/241)	N/A	4.6 (18/241)	30.5 (263/863)	
2013-14	159/148	150	157	232/75	14 (43/307)	N/A	9.1 (28/307)	31(326/1050)	
2014-15	181/165	121	225	337/9	19.4 (67/346)	N/A	6.6 (23/346)	35.9 (359/1000)	
2015-16	150/182	134	198	187/145	16.6 (55/332)	2.1 (7/332)	12 (40/332)	40 (360/900)	
2016-17	164/177	113	228	340/1	19.7 (67/341)	0.3 (1/341)	4.5 (12/341)	39.1 (352/900)	
2017-18	131/156	132	155	147/140	18.9 (54/287)	1.4 (4/287)	7.3 (21/287)	34.2 (308/900)	

FIGURE 2 Proportion of the four prescribed neuraminidase inhibitors for each of the eight seasons. A, All patients. B-1,2, Patients with type A influenza further divided into two groups by age. There were significant differences in proportion of NAIs. C, Patients with type B influenza

(A) all pat	tients				(C) ty	vpe B	patien	ts			
2010-2011	47		29 22			31		47	2	1	
2011-2012	38	21	38		3	31			38		
2012-2013	45	12	12 39								
2013-2014	40	11	47		20	20 17		63			
2014-2015	30 15	5	53								
2015-2016	33 1	5	50		2	3	22	4	49		
2016-2017	35 :	13	49								
2017-2018	32 1	.6	50		2	7	19	5	1		
(B1) type A patients, <10					(B2) type A patients, ≥10						
2010-2011	68		17 13			38		28 32			
2011-2012	55		17	25	20		25	52	2	*	k
2012-2013	76	5		12 12	17	9		65		*	ķ
2013-2014	67		15	5 15	25	3		69		*	ķ
2014-2015	60		16 24		14	14 13		69		*	ķ
2015-2016	58		15	26	25	7	1	64		*	k
2016-2017	57		18 25		25	25 11		60		*	ķ
2017-2018	60		14	24	19	12		68		*	ķ
						*: P<	.05 compa	ared with typ	e A patie	nts, <10	,
	🗆 oselt	amivir	🗆 za	anamivir	■ lar	inam	ivir 🛛	perami	vir		

most-prescribed NAI. Patients of similar ages and influenza type had similar proportions of prescribed NAIs during each season. Presumably, the NAIs were chosen considering the patients' ages, drug compliance, and number of doses. These data can be a useful reference for general physicians and clinicians of internal medicine, otolaryngology, and pediatrics.

There was no difference in the time to alleviate fever among the four NAIs prescribed to patients with influenza. Proper prescription of anti-influenza drugs requires a physician to understand the characteristics of each NAI, which is explained below. Laninamivir is administered via a one-time inhalation and may, therefore, be associated with a high rate of compliance. However, small children, particularly those aged < 3 years, have difficulty inhaling laninamivir.⁷ Peramivir should be infused via an intravenous drip for more than

15 minutes. Therefore, peramivir was prescribed to few patients to prevent the spread of influenza in clinics or hospitals during long hospital stays. Oseltamivir and zanamivir were administered for longer durations than laninamivir and peramivir. Importantly, patients need to complete five full days of these drugs and not discontinue early or when they begin to feel better. The National Institute of Infectious Diseases of Japan has reported that anti-influenza drug resistance was rarely observed during 8 years,⁹ potentially leading to similar effects of the four NAIs. Anti-influenza drugs should be chosen based on the individual patient's condition and drug characteristics.

Among patients with type A influenza, fever was alleviated earlier than in those with type B influenza. This trend held true almost every year, and older patients had lower fevers. Many studies have reported that patients with type A influenza experienced better



FIGURE 3 Comparison of fever alleviation. A, Fever alleviation associated with the four neuraminidase inhibitors from the 2014-2015 to 2017-2018 season. B, Comparison of fever alleviation in patients with type A and B influenza during the 2015-2016 and 2017-2018 season



FIGURE 4 Proportion of patients with highest fever, by age group. Note that older patients significantly experience lower fevers

efficacy with NAIs than those with type B influenza,^{10,11} and these findings are in agreement with the results of this study. Importantly, we obtained nearly identical results each year. In general, adults aged \geq 65 years exhibited attenuated febrile responses. This might reflect altered thermoregulatory responses or lower baseline core body temperatures.¹²⁻¹⁷ Our results are concurrent with those of previous studies; moreover, to the best of our knowledge, this may be the first report to confirm that maximum fever gradually lowered according to age in patients with influenza. These results indicate that even if elderly patients do not have high fever, for example under 38°C, we should consider a possibility of influenza infection.

Although this postcard survey was relatively easy to conduct and produced results with a low burden for doctors and patients, three limitations exist. The first limitation is bias of doctors and patients. Doctors' biases involve a prescription bias and a selection bias. Doctors deciding which NAI to prescribe could produce a prescription bias, which is influenced by prescribers' belief, drug access, or other social factors. We had previously reported that there were no significant differences in sex, age distribution, or choice of prescribed drugs between our survey and the Japan Physicians Association report, which is one of the most reliable investigations of influenza in Japan.^{3-6,10} This study used the convenience selection which led to a selection bias of doctors. This survey only included patients who visited clinics and underwent rapid influenza diagnostic tests, which also led to a selection bias, too. Patients' biases involve a reporting bias and a recall bias, which lead to an information bias. This type of study may include some inaccurate data of patients. The second limitation was the postcard return rate. Our observed return rate was approximately 40%, which was not very high. Therefore, the sample may not be representative of all patients with influenza. Only patients who could measure fever twice a day might return postcards. These results may reflect that all patients did not measure fever twice a day. The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare had provided the number of prescriptions of NAIs in 2012-2013 based on

data furnished by pharmaceutical companies.¹⁸ These data showed the proportions of prescribed NAIs to be 45% 14%, 39%, and 2% for oseltamivir, zanamivir, laninamivir, and peramivir, respectively. These proportions are similar to our findings: 45% oseltamivir, 12% zanamivir, 39% laninamivir, and 4% peramivir. Therefore, our survey population might be representative supporting the reliability of our data. The third limitation is not to show a comparison between patients with NAIs and without NAIs. It is not possible to verify whether NAI is effective compared to nonuse.

It is very important for them to know the current status of the four NAIs. This study supported NAIs had mostly the same effect and better to alleviate fever in type A influenza patients than type B, or elderly patients did not have high fever in influenza infection.

In conclusion, our descriptive epidemiologic study about influenza patients for eight years revealed the status on patients of influenza and their medication use.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Institutions of collaboration: Ikuno Clinic for Internal Medicine, Ikuwakai Memorial Hospital, Ishikiriseiki Hospital, Izumiotsu Municipal Hospital, Ishida Clinic, Ishitani Clinic, Izumi Municipal Hospital, Izumiotsu Municipal Hospital, Izuoka Clinic, Izuno Clinic, Imamura Clinic, Osaka Hospital of Japan Seafarers relief Association, Osaka Seamen's Insurance Hospital, Oshima Clinic for Internal Medicine, Otsuka Internal Medicine Clinic, Kayou Internal Medicine and Pediatric clinic, Kido Clinic, Kyowa Hospital, Kuze Pediatric Clinic, Kourigaokayuukeikai Hospital, Kooriyama Pediatric Clinic, Gotoh Otolaryngology Clinic, Komori Internal Medicine, Osaka Saiseikai Izuo Hospital, Saito Clinic, Sakazaki Pediatrics Clinic, Sano Internal Medicine, Shirai Hospital, Sunami Internal Medicine Clinic, Sumiyoshi Democratic Clinic, Daito Chuo Hospital, Takamatu Internal Medicine Clinic, Takechi Internal Medicine and Pediatric Clinic, Tachibana Internal Medicine Clinic, Tani Clinic, Tane General Hospital, Tooyama Otolaryngology Clinic, Tooyama Internal Medicine Clinic, Tochino Otolaryngology Clinic, Nakajima Pediatric Clinic, Nagasawa Clinic, Nakahama Clinic, Nagayoshi General Hospital, Nishiyodo Hospital, Nishijima Internal Pediatric Medicine, Nishimori Clinic, Neyagawaikuno Hospital, Hata Internal Medicine Clinic, Hananomachi Family Clinic, Baba Memorial Hospital, Hamasaki Clinic, Hara Clinic, Hanna Sanatorium, PL Hospital, Higashisano Hospital, Higashisumiyosi Morimoto Hospital, Hirai Clinic, Fujii Internal Medicine and Pediatric Clinic, Fujioka Pediatric Clinic, Fujitani Clinic, Fujito Clinic, Fuchu Hospital, Bell-lifecare Clinic, Masaki Clinic, Masuda Clinic, Minamiura Pediatric Clinic, Murakawa Clinic, Moriguchi-ikuno Hospital, Yamagami Clinic, Yamada Internal Medicine Clinic, Y amamoto Internal Medicine, Yodogawa Christian Hospital

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare no conflict of interest for this article.

ORCID

Yoshihiro Tochino D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2437-0682

REFERENCES

- Muthuri SG, Myles PR, Venkatesan S, Leonardi-Bee J, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS. Impact of neuraminidase inhibitor treatment on outcomes of public health importance during the 2009–2010 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis in hospitalized patients. J Infect Dis. 2013;207:553–63.
- Sugaya N, Shinjoh M, Mitamura K, Takahashi T. Very low pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 mortality associated with early neuraminidase inhibitor treatment in Japan: analysis of 1000 hospitalized children. J Infect. 2011;63:288–94.
- Tochino Y, Fujioka M, Watanabe T, Tanaka H, Asai K, Kimura T, et al. A questionnaire study concerning the current status of influenza patients and their medication in Osaka Prefecture. Jpn J Chemother. 2012;60:541–8.
- Tochino Y, Sakazaki H, Nakajima T, Fujioka M, Yamada M, Shintaku H, et al. A questionnaire study concerning the current status of influenza patients and their medication in the 2011–12 season. Jpn J Clin Exp Med. 2013;90:86–90.
- Yoshii N, Tochino Y, Fujioka M, Kureya Y, Asai K, Kimura T, et al. Comparison of the clinical courses of patients with influenza after neuraminidase inhibitors treatment: a postcard survey of the 2013–2014 influenza season in Osaka. Osaka City Med J. 2016;62(1):29–38.
- Tochino Y, Fujioka M, Sakazaki H, Ikuno Y, Tochino R, Yoshii N, et al. Current usage and effectiveness of influenza medications and

factors regarding the time taken to alleviate fever based on postcard questionnaire survey. J Gen Fam Med. 2017;18(6):386–92.

- Watanabe A, Chang SC, Kim MJ, Chu DW, Ohashi Y, MARVEL Study Group. Long-acting neuraminidase inhibitor laninamivir octanoate versus oseltamivir for treatment of influenza: A double-blind, randomized noninferiority clinical trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;51:1167-75.
- Sugaya N, Ohashi Y. Long-acting neuraminidase inhibitor laninamivir octanoate (CS-8958) versus oseltamivir as treatment for children with influenza virus infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54:2575–82.
- National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan. Antiviral resistance surveillance in Japan (as of December 27 2018). Available from https://www.niid.go.jp/niid/en/influ-resist-e.html. Accessed January 9, 2019.
- Kawai N, Karatsu N, Ikematsu H, Kashiwagi S, Iwaki N. The manual of influenza care for the 2013-14 season. Jpn J Phys Assoc. 2013;28(Supplement):1-48.
- Kawai N, Ikematsu H, Iwaki N, Maeda T, Satoh I, Hirotsu N, et al. A comparison of the effectiveness of oseltamivir for the treatment of influenza A and influenza B: a Japanese multicenter study of the 2003-2004 and 2004-2005 influenza seasons. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;15(43):439-44.
- Falsey AR, Baran A, Walsh EE. Should clinical case definitions of influenza in hospitalized older adults include fever? Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 2015;9(Suppl 1):23–9.
- Gravenstein S, Davidson HE. Current strategies for management of influenza in the elderly population. Clin Infect Dis. 2002;35:729–37.
- Lam PP, Coleman BL, Green K, Powis J, Richardson D, Katz K, et al. Predictors of influenza among older adults in the emergency department. BMC Infect Dis. 2016;16:615.
- Castle SC, Norman DC, Yeh M, Miller D, Yoshikawa TT. Fever response in elderly nursing home residents: are the older truly colder? J Am Geriatr Soc. 1991;39:853–7.
- Kenney WL, Munce TA. Invited review: aging and human temperature regulation. J Appl Physiol. 1985;2003(95):2598–603.
- Hartman L, Zhu Y, Edwards KM, Griffin MR, Talbot HK. Underdiagnosis of influenza virus infection in hospitalized older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2018;66(3):467–72.
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. The number of prescription of neuraminidase inhibitors in 2012–13 based on data furnished by pharmaceutical company (in Japanese). Available from http://www. mhlw.go.jp/file/05-Shingikai-10601000-Daijinkanboukouseikagakuka-Kouseikagakuka/0000027857_1_1.pdf. Accessed January 9, 2019.

How to cite this article: TochinoY, YoshiiN, FujiokaM, et al. Effect of four neuraminidase inhibitors on influenza in Osaka, Japan: An eight-year survey. *J Gen Fam Med*. 2020;21:18–23. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgf2.286