Molecular characterization of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in nosocomial infections in a tertiary-care facility: emergence of new clonal complexes in Saudi Arabia

A. Senok¹, R. Ehricht^{4,5}, S. Monecke^{4,5,6}, R. Al-Saedan² and A. Somily³

1) Department of Microbiology and Immunology, College of Medicine, Alfaisal University, 2) Department of Clinical Laboratory Sciences, College of Applied Medical Science, King Saud University, 3) Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, College of Medicine, King Khalid University Hospital and King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 4) Alere Technologies GmbH, 5) InfectoGnostics Research Campus, Jena and 6) Institute for Medical Microbiology and Hygiene, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

Abstract

Changes in the molecular epidemiology of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) continue to be reported. This study was carried out to characterize MRSA isolates in Saudi Arabia. MRSA isolates causing nosocomial infections (n = 117) obtained from 2009–2015 at a tertiary-care facility in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, were studied. Molecular characterization of isolates was carried out using the StaphyType DNA microarray (Alere Technologies, Jena, Germany). Fourteen clonal complexes (CC) were identified, with the most common being CC80 (n = 35), CC6 (n = 15), CC5 (n = 13) and CC22 (n = 12). With the exception of nine ST239 MRSA-III isolates, all others were of community-associated MRSA lineages. The following strains are identified for the first time in Saudi Arabia: ST8-MRSA-IV [PVL⁺/ACME⁺], USA300 (n = 1); ST72-MRSA-IV USA700 (n = 1); CC5-MRSA-IV, [PVL⁺/edinA⁺], WA MRSA-121 (n = 1); CC5-MRSA-V+SCCfus, WA MRSA-14/109 (n = 2), CC97-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-54/63; CC2250/2277-MRSA-IV and WA MRSA-114. CC15-MRSA (n = 3) was identified for the first time in clinical infection in Saudi Arabia. None of the isolates harboured vancomycin resistance genes, while genes for resistance to mupirocin and quaternary ammonium compounds were found in one and nine isolates respectively. Fifty-seven isolates (48.7%) were positive for Panton-Valentine leukocidin genes. While the staphylokinase (sak) and staphylococcal complement inhibitor (scn) genes were present in over 95% of the isolates, only 37.6% had the chemotaxis-inhibiting protein (chp) gene. Increasing occurrence of community-acquired MRSA lineages plus emergence of pandemic and rare MRSA strains is occurring in our setting. Strict infection control practices are important to limit the dissemination of these MRSA strains.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases.

Keywords: Clonal complex, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Panton-Valentine leukocidin gene, Saudi Arabia, StaphyType DNA microarray

Original Submission: 15 May 2016; Revised Submission: 13 July 2016; Accepted: 25 July 2016

Article published online: 29 July 2016

Corresponding author: A. Senok, Department of Microbiology & Immunology, College of Medicine, Alfaisal University, PO Box 50927, Riyadh 11533, Saudi Arabia

E-mail: asenok@alfaisal.edu

Presented in part at the 1st Gulf Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease Congress, 4–7 May 2016, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

Introduction

A shift in the epidemiology of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) has been demonstrated, with reports of community-acquired (CA) MRSA strains causing nosocomial

infections [1]. Published literature from the Arabian Gulf region has shown the spread of CA-MRSA into the healthcare setting, with differences in the predominant strains reported from countries in the region [2–5]. However, there are few data on the molecular characterization of MRSA isolates in Saudi Arabia, where a different population structure of MRSA might be expected as a result of the large number of pilgrims who visit the country annually; the large immigrant workforces from the Indian subcontinent, Maghreb countries, Egypt and Yemen; and a relative absence of Northern American and European tourists [6–8].

We carried out molecular characterization of MRSA isolates causing nosocomial infections in a hospital in Saudi Arabia. The findings indicate emergence of new MRSA clonal complexes.

Methods

Specimen collection and bacterial strains

The study was carried out at the King Khalid University Hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Ethical approval was obtained from the hospital ethics committee. Archived MRSA isolates associated with clinical infection identified from 2009 to 2015 were studied. Bacterial identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing were performed using standard laboratory techniques and the automated MicroScan Walkaway 96 plus System (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostic) in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines [9]. Methicillin resistance was confirmed on Mueller-Hinton agar using the disk diffusion method to demonstrate resistance to oxacillin and 30 mg cefoxitin disks [9].

Array procedures

Molecular characterization was carried out using the StaphyType DNA microarray (Alere Technologies, Jena, Germany). The included target genes, primer and probe sequences and procedures have been published previously [10]. Briefly, MRSA isolates were collected from Columbia blood agar, and DNA extraction was carried out by enzymatic lysis [10] followed by purification using a commercially available kit utilizing spin columns (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The purified DNA was used as template in a linear primer elongation reaction, during which biotin-16-dUTP was incorporated into the resulting amplicons and the singlestranded DNA products were hybridized stringently to the microarray. Incubation with horseradish peroxidasestreptavidin conjugate, which binds to biotin labels, was carried out, and a dye was added which precipitated in the presence of the peroxidase, resulting in the formation of visible spots where hybridization had occurred. Microarray images were taken and analysed with a dedicated reader and software (Alere Technologies). Assignment to clonal complexes and sequence types, as well as identification of epidemic strains was carried out fully automatically with the same software module [8,10].

Results

A total of 117 isolates obtained from wound swabs (n=83), blood cultures (n=26), endotracheal aspirates (n=4), sputum (n=2) and urine (n=2) were studied. A majority of the patients were male (n=62), and their mean \pm standard deviation age was 34.9 \pm 26.1 years. Most of the patients (83%) were Saudi nationals. There was 100% concordance in the phenotypic and genotypic MRSA identification. All isolates were susceptible to vancomycin.

There was a wide clonal diversity, with 14 clonal complexes (CC) being identified. The most common CCs were CC80, CC6, CC5 and CC22 (Table 1). The most prevalent strain (n = 35; 29.9%) was CC80-MRSA-IV [PVL⁺] (European CA-MRSA clone). Although one isolate with a truncated/atypical SCCmec element was identified, we did not find Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL)-negative variants of CC80. All the 15 CC6 strains carried SCCmec IV and were identical to Western Australia (WA) MRSA-51. The 13 CC5 strains belonged, based on hybridization patterns, to four distinct strains, with the predominant one being CC5-MRSA-IV+SCCfus ("Maltese clone") (Table 1). Twelve isolates were identified as CC22, with the Middle Eastern variant CC22-MRSA-IV [tst I^{+}] being the most prevalent (n = 7). The only classical hospital-acquired (HA)-MRSA isolates identified were nine CC8 isolates, which were ST239-MRSA-III+SCCmer (Vienna/ Hungarian/Brazilian Clone).

Our data and actual literature indicate the first report of the following strains in Saudi Arabia: ST8-MRSA-IV [PVL $^+$ /ACME $^+$], USA300 (n=1); ST72-MRSA-IV USA700 (n=1); CC5-MRSA-IV, [PVL $^+$ /edinA $^+$], WA MRSA-I21 (n=1); CC5-MRSA-V+SCCfus, WA MRSA-I4/109 (n=2); CC97-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-54/63 (n=1); and CC2250/2277-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-I14. The CC15-MRSA strain (n=3) was identified for the first time in clinical infection in Saudi Arabia. Additionally, a strain was observed that was either a CC22-MRSA-IV [fnbB-,sec/I $^-$], United Kingdom epidemic MRSA-I5 (UK-EMRSA-I5)/Barnim EMRSA or a tst1-negative deletion variant of a strain commonly

TABLE I. Distribution of MRSA clonal complexes

Clonal complex	Strain affiliation	Tota
CCI	CCI-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-I/57	1
CCS	CC5-MRSA-IV+SCCfus, Maltese clone $(n = 7)$	13
	CC5-MRSA-V+SCCfus, WA MRSA-14/109 $(n = 3)$	
	CC5-MRSA-IV, $[PVL^{+}]$ $(n = 2)$	
	CC5-MRSA-IV, $[PVL^+/edinA^+]$, WA MRSA-121 $(n = 1)$	
CC6	CC6-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-51	15
CC8	ST239-MRSA-III+ccrC, Vienna/Hungarian/Brazilian clone $(n = 9)$	Ш
	ST8-MRSA-IV [PVL $^+$ /ACME $^+$], USA300 (n = 1)	
	ST72-MRSA-IV, USA700 $(n = 1)$	
CC15	CC15-MRSA $(n = 1)$	3
	CC15-MRSA-V+SCCfus $(n = 2)$	
CC22	CC22-MRSA-IV [fnbB ⁺], UK-EMRSA-15/Barnim	12
	EMRSA variant $(n = 1)$	
	CC22-MRSA-IV $[PVL^{+}]$ $(n = 4)$	
	CC22-MRSA-IV [tst1 $^{+}$],/Middle Eastern variant (n = 7)	
CC30	CC30-MRSA-IV [PVL $^{+}$], Southwest Pacific clone ($n = 6$)	8
	CC30-MRSA-? [VI+fus composite] $(n = 2)$	
CC80	CC80-MRSA-IV [PVL ⁺], European CA-MRSA clone	35
CC88	CC88-MRSA-IV [PVL ⁺]	6
CC97	CC97-MRSA-V $[\overline{f}usC^{+}]$ $(n = 7)$	8
	CC97-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-54/63 $(n = 1)$	_
CC2250	CC2250/2277-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-114	2
CC96	CC96-MRSA-IV	- 1
CC45	CC45/agrIV-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-23	!
CC913	ST913-MRSA-IV	
Total		117

MRSA, methicillin-resistant $\it Staphylococcus aureus; UK-EMRSA, United Kingdom epidemic MRSA; WA MRSA, Western Australia MRSA.$

TABLE 2. Distribution of virulence and resistance gene markers in 117 isolates

		Isolates positive for gene	
Virulence and resistance genes		n	%
Accessory gene regulator allele I	agrl	46	39.
Accessory gene regulator allele II	agrII	17	14.
Accessory gene regulator allele III	agrIII	51	43.
Accessory gene regulator allele IV	agrIV	6 117	5.1
Alternate penicillin-binding protein 2, defining MRSA		7	6.0
Mercury resistance operon	merA merB	7	6.0
SCCmec XI	mecC	Ó	0.0
	blaZ-SCCmec XI		0.0
β-Lactamase	blaZ	105	
β-Lactamase repressor (inhibitor)	blal	105	
β-Lactamase regulatory protein	blaR	105	89.
Rrna adenine N-6-methyl-transferase, erythromycin/	ermA	10	8.5
clindamycin resistance		_	
Erythromycin/clindamycin resistance	ermB	0	0.0
Erythromycin/clindamycin resistance	ermC	21	17.
Linco-samid-nucleo-tidyltrans-ferase	linA	6	5.1
Energy-dependent efflux of erythromycin	msrA aacA-aphD	5 14	4.3 12.
Bifunctional enzyme Aac/Aph, gentamicin resistance Amino-glycoside adenyl-transferase, tobramycin	aadD	10	8.5
resistance	dddD	10	0.5
3'5'-Aminog-lycoside phospho-transferase, neo-/	aphA3	42	35.
kanamycin resistance			
Strepto-thricine-acetyl-transferase	sat	42	35.
Dihydro-folate reductase type I	dfrA	9	7.7
Fusidic acid resistance	farl	34	29.
Hypothetical protein associated with fusidic acid	Q6GD50 (fusC)	23	19.
resistance			
Mupirocin resistence protein	mupR	1 31	0.9 26.
Tetracycline-resistance Tetracycline-resistance	tetK tetM	10	8.5
Chloramphenicol acetyltransferase	cat	2	1.7
23S rRNA methyltransferase	cfr	0	0.0
Chloramphenicol/florfenicol exporter	fexA	ĭ	0.9
Metallothiol transferase	fosB	52	44.
	fosB-plasmid	7	6.0
Quaternary ammonium compound resistance	qacA	9	7.7
protein A	_	_	
Quaternary ammonium compound resistance	qacC	0	0.0
protein C	=		
Transport/efflux protein	tetEfflux	103	
Vancomycin resistance gene	vanA	0	0.0
Vancomycin resistance gene from enterococci and Clostridium	vanB	U	0.0
Teicoplanin resistance gene from enterococci	vanZ	0	0.0
Toxic shock syndrome toxin I	tst I (consensus)	10	8.5
Panton-Valentine leukocidin F component	lukF-PV	57	48.
Panton-Valentine leukocidin S component	lukS-PV	57	48.
Staphylokinase	sak	113	96.
Chemotaxis-inhibiting protein	chp	44	37.
Staphylocccal complement inhibitor	scn	116	
Exfoliative toxin serotype A	etA	1	0.9
Exfoliative toxin serotype B	etB	0	0.0
Exfoliative toxin D	etD	36	30.
Epidermal cell differen-tiation inhibitor	edinA	2	1.7
Epidermal cell differentiation inhibitor B	edinB	36	30.
Epidermal cell differentiation inhibitor C	edinC ACME	0 I	0.0
Arginine catabolic mobile element locus	ACME	1	U.7

observed in the Middle East ("Gaza epidemic strain") (n=1) (Table 1), thus warranting further investigations on differentiation and molecular epidemiology of CC22 MRSA.

Table 2 shows the distribution of resistance and virulence genes. The most predominant accessory gene regulator alleles were *agrl* and *agrlll*. None of the isolates harboured the SCC*mec* XI and/or the *mecC* gene. A majority of isolates (n = 105; 89.7%) carried the β-lactamase operon. Twenty-three isolates (19.7%) carried the fusidic acid resistance gene *fusC*

(Q6GD50). Only one isolate had the mupirocin resistance gene (mupR), and the gene encoding the quaternary ammonium compound resistance protein A (qacA) was found in nine isolates (7.7%). Almost half of the isolates were PVL positive (n = 57; 48.7%). While the staphylokinase (sak) and staphylococcal complement inhibitor (scn) genes were present in over 95% of the isolates, only 37.6% had the chemotaxis-inhibiting protein (chp) gene (Table 2). The arginine catabolic mobile element (ACME) locus was present in only one isolate (CC8-MRSA-IV, "USA300").

Discussion

An increasing trend of MRSA endemicity has been reported in healthcare facilities in Saudi Arabia, with CA-MRSA contributing to the burden of infections [8]. However, there are few data describing the MRSA clonal complexes in Saudi Arabia [6-8]. A 2005 report showed the predominance of the hospital-acquired lineage ST239 MRSA-III [7]. Using DNA microarray-based typing, a high diversity of clonal complexes with predominance of CC8/ST239-III, CC22-IV, CC30-IV and CC80-IV was demonstrated in 2011-2012 in a tertiary-care hospital in Riyadh [8]. The findings from our study also show a high diversity in MRSA and suggest a potential for rapid changes. This is rather different than the situation in the United States, where "USA300" dominates, or the Western Europe, where PVL-negative CC22-MRSA-IV (UK-EMRSA-I5/Barnim EMRSA) are most abundant [1]. In addition, our findings confirm that a shift has occurred, with what are presumably CA-MRSA clonal lineages now predominating within the healthcare, setting as majority of the isolates harboured SCCmec IV and V [6,8]. Of significance, however, is that we now report the first identification of new MRSA strains, including rare and pandemic ones, in Saudi Arabia.

CC5

In this study, CC5-MRSA-IV+SCCfus, Maltese clone strain, was the predominant CC5. This strain, which was originally identified in Malta, harbours the fusidic acid resistance marker Q6GD50 (fusC), SCCmec IV and additional recombinase genes [11]. As it has only been reported in one study in Saudi Arabia, our finding represents the second reported identification in the country and the region [8]. Similar to previous report from Saudi Arabia, we also identified CC5-MRSA-IV, [PVL+] (paediatric clone, or USA800) [8]. This has been identified as the second most predominant MRSA strain in Qatar [5]. Three variants of PVL-positive CC5-MRSA-IV based on combination of virulence genes have been described [10]. The WA MRSA-I2I is one of these variants, as it is a PVL-positive CC5-

MRSA-IV strain harbouring the edinA gene and has not been previously identified in our region. CC5-MRSA-V has been described in Australia, Ireland and the United Arab Emirates [10]. Our identification of two isolates designated as CC5-MRSA-V [fusC⁺] represents the first description of an isolate very similar to WA MRSA-I4 in Saudi Arabia.

CC6

All 15 CC6 strains were identical to WA MRSA-51 [12]. This is similar to previous work in Riyadh in which all the CC6 strains were WA MRSA-51 strains and represents its second description in Saudi Arabia [8]. This strain has been described in Australia and United Arab Emirates [10,12]. CC6 methicillinsusceptible S. aureus (MSSA) has been reported as a common clone in Middle Eastern camels; however, only one isolate was identified in nasal colonization isolates in Riyadh [10,13].

CC8

A majority of the CC8 isolates belonged to the ST239-MRSA-III+SCCmer (Vienna/Hungarian/Brazilian clone). Our findings support recent data which indicates that this HA-MRSA strain, which has been described as the oldest pandemic MRSA strain, has been displaced by CA-MRSA strains in the hospital environment in Saudi Arabia [8]. However, in a departure from the 2012 report by Monecke et al. [8] in which all CC8 isolates were ST239, our findings indicate the appearance of two other CC8 strains. We present the first report of the pandemic USA300 (ST8-MRSA-IV [PVL+/ACME+]) and USA700 (ST72-MRSA-IV) in Saudi Arabia. USA300 is the dominant MRSA strain in the United States, and although it has been identified in Europe, the occurrence is much less frequent there [14,15]. In our region, this strain has been described in the United Arab Emirates and Qatar [5,10]. The ST72 strain belongs to CC8 according to the MLST (multilocus sequence typing) database, but it typically yields a different hybridization profile compared to other CC8 strains. It has been suggested that this strain probably arose as consequence of multiple recombination events of the CC5 and CC8 genomes [10]. Our isolate was USA700, which is a PVL-positive ST72-MRSA-IV strain. In our region, it had been identified in the United Arab Emirates, and our finding represents its first identification in Saudi Arabia [10].

CC15

Although CC-15-MSSA is ubiquitous among healthy carriers, CC15 MRSA remains rare [10]. Recent work from Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, found CC15-MSSA as the predominant nasal colonization MSSA isolate [13]. CC-15 MRSA isolates have been identified in few reports [6,16,17]. Two CC-15 MRSA isolates which were nasal colonizers were reported from Iran

and Saudi Arabia [6,17]. Our findings therefore indicate the first report of CC-15 MRSA isolate causing nosocomial infection in the region.

CC22

Similar to a previous report from Saudi Arabia, both PVLpositive and PVL-negative CC22 strains were identified [8]. The CC22-MRSA-IV UK-EMRSA 15 is a pandemic PVL-negative strain which has very high incidence of 50-95% in Western Europe and has also been described in Malta, Kuwait, the United Arab Emirates and Qatar [5,10,18]. There is also a Middle Eastern variant that differs from the true UK-EMRSA-15 in its SCCmec subtype (SCCmec IVa rather than IVh/j) and in the presence of the tst1 gene. Our finding echoes previous reports of the identification of this strain in other reports from the region [10]. PVL-positive CC22 MRSA-IV has been described in Germany, Australia, England, Ireland, Hong Kong and the United Arab Emirates [10]. This strain might be widespread in the region, as they were also described in a previous study from Saudi Arabia and in German patients with ties to Turkey [8,10].

CC30

Six isolates belonged to the CC30-MRSA-IV [PVL⁺], Southwest Pacific clone (USA1100). This strain is widely distributed in the Pacific islands and was first identified in New Zealand among the Samoan immigrant population [10]. It is a widespread MRSA clone and has been found in several European countries as well as in Hong Kong, Taiwan and the United States [10]. In our region, it has been described in Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, the United Arab Emirates, Oman and Qatar [3,5,8]. The occurrence of this strain in our region could possibly be linked to the large population of expatriates from the Pacific region, in particular the Philippines. In this study we identified a previously undescribed CC30-MRSA-VI/SCCfus strain which was PVL-positive. This strain differs from other known CC30 strains in its carriage of SCCmec type VI with the fusidic acid resistance gene marker.

CC80

The majority of our isolates were PVL-positive CC80-MRSA IV (European CA-MRSA clone), which is in accordance with previous reports from Saudi Arabia and from other countries in the region [5,10,19,20]. This strain has also been shown to be predominant in North Africa, which suggests a spread from Europe into North Africa and the Middle East [21–23]. Conversely, this strain could have spread from these regions into Europe as a consequence of travel to Turkey and the Maghreb countries (which are both popular holiday destinations and the homelands of large immigrant communities in

Europe) [10]. In contrast to reports from France and Croatia as well as a previous report from Saudi Arabia, no PVL-negative variant of CC80 was identified in our study [8,24]. However, we identified one variant, CC80-MRSA, which was PVL positive, which had a truncated/atypical SCCmec element lacking ccr genes.

CC88

CC88-MRSA-IV strains carrying the exfoliative toxin gene etA have been described in the Netherlands, Portugal, Angola, Senegal and Japan [10]. The CC88-MRSA-IV strains we identified in this study were PVL positive but lacked the etA gene. This is in contrast to a previous report from Saudi Arabia in which the CC88-MRSA-IV [PVL⁺] identified harboured the etA gene [8].

CC97

This MRSA clonal complex has only been sporadically identified in reports from Australia, France, the United Arab Emirates, Kuwait and Egypt [10,25]. Seven of the eight CC97 strains in this study were CC97-MRSA-V [fusC⁺] and were similar to those previously identified in Saudi Arabia [8]. One CC97-MRSA belonged to CC97-MRSA-IV (corresponding to WA MRSA-54/63), which had not previously been described in Saudi Arabia.

Two isolates of CC2250/2277-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-II4, and one each of CCI-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-I/57, CC45/ agrIV-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-23 and CC913 were identified. The CCI isolate had SCCmec IV, lacked the SCCfus and was PVL negative. CCI-MRSA isolates with these characteristics included WA MRSA-57 and WA MRSA-1 [10]. The strain affiliation for our isolate is therefore regarded as CCI-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-1/57, which has been described in Germany, Ireland and Egypt [10]. This strain appears infrequent in our setting; only one was identified in previous work in Saudi Arabia [8]. The CC45/agrIV-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-23 strain, which has been linked to Hong Kong, South China and Australia, has previously been reported in Saudi Arabia [8,10]. Our report of CC2250/2277-MRSA-IV, WA MRSA-II4 marks the first description of the Staphylococcus argenteus lineage MRSA in Saudi Arabia. CC913 represents a rare group which had previously been identified in a Lebanese immigrant in Germany and in subjects from the Negev region [10]. This first identification in our setting supports the notion of a wider distribution of this lineage in the Middle East.

The PVL genes (lukF-PV+lukS-PV) have been implicated as playing a role in the development of skin and soft tissue infections. Similar to our findings, high numbers of PVL-positive strains have been reported from Algeria and the United Arab Emirates [10,26]. However, our findings are higher compared

to reports from some European countries [10,15,27,28]. Previously published data from Saudi Arabia showed a lower PVL prevalence, ranging from 8% to 19% [29]. Reasons for this difference are largely unknown; it could be speculated that these PVL-positive MRSA have emerged from PVL-positive MSSA. However, if this were the case, then frequency of PVL-positive MSSA should also be high in our population. Recent data on MSSA isolates from nasal colonization in Riyadh showed very low prevalence of PVL genes [13]. A number of other virulence genes were detected in different proportions. A high prevalence of the staphylococcal complement inhibitor (scn) and staphylokinase (sak) were observed. However, chemotaxis-inhibiting protein (chp) was identified in fewer isolates. These virulence genes have also been identified as being widely distributed in MSSA associated with nasal colonization in Saudi Arabia [13].

Although studies have shown an increasing trend of phenotypic resistance to various antibiotics in CA-MRSA isolates from Saudi Arabia, no vancomycin resistance has yet been reported [30]. However, there are few data on the distribution of resistance genes [8]. The DNA microarray used in this study enabled us to describe a wide spectrum of resistance and virulence genes. Our findings are in accordance to previously reported work using this methodology to characterize MRSA isolates from Saudi Arabia [8]. To better map the evolution of resistance genes in MRSA isolates in our setting, further work using robust methodologies such as the DNA microarray approach are needed.

A limitation of this work is that it was carried out in a single healthcare facility. However, the findings highlight the urgent need for multicentre studies to elucidate the population structure of MRSA in Saudi Arabia. Strict adherence to infection control practices and continued surveillance using improved technology are advocated.

Acknowledgement

RAS is supported by King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology (research grant A-T-36-251).

Conflict of Interest

RE and SM are employees of Alere Technologies GmbH, but this did not influence the study design, and they have no competing interests to declare. None of the other authors has any financial or other relationships that may constitute a conflict of interest.

References

- Mediavilla JR, Chen L, Mathema B, Kreiswirth BN. Global epidemiology of community-associated methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA). Curr Opin Microbiol 2012;15:588–95.
- [2] Udo EE. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: the new face of an old foe? Med Princ Pract 2013;22(Suppl. 1): 20–9.
- [3] Udo EE, Al-Lawati BA, Al-Muharmi Z, Thukral SS. Genotyping of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in the sultan qaboos university hospital, Oman reveals the dominance of Panton-Valentine leucocidin-negative ST6-iv/t304 clone. New Microbes New Infect 2014;2:100-5.
- [4] Sonnevend A, Blair I, Alkaabi M, Jumaa P, Al Haj M, Ghazawi A, et al. Change in meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus clones at a tertiary care hospital in the United Arab Emirates over a 5-year period. J Clin Pathol 2012;65:178–82.
- [5] El-Mahdy TS, El-Ahmady M, Goering RV. Molecular characterization of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolated over a 2-year period in a Qatari hospital from multinational patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2014;20:169–73.
- [6] Abou Shady HM, Bakr AE, Hashad ME, Alzohairy MA. Staphylococcus aureus nasal carriage among outpatients attending primary health care centers: a comparative study of two cities in Saudi Arabia and Egypt. Braz J Infect Dis 2015;19:68–76.
- [7] Cirlan M, Saad M, Coman G, Bilal NE, Elbashier AM, Kreft D, et al. International spread of major clones of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: nosocomial endemicity of multi locus sequence type 239 in Saudi Arabia and Romania. Infect Genet Evol 2005;5:335–9.
- [8] Monecke S, Skakni L, Hasan R, Ruppelt A, Ghazal SS, Hakawi A, et al. Characterisation of MRAS strains isolated from patients in a hospital in Ryadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. BMC Microbiol 2012;12:146.
- [9] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 24th informational supplement (m100-s24). Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2014.
- [10] Monecke S, Coombs G, Shore AC, Coleman DC, Akpaka P, Borg M, et al. A field guide to pandemic, epidemic and sporadic clones of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. PLoS One 2011;6:e17936.
- [11] Scicluna EA, Shore AC, Thurmer A, Ehricht R, Slickers P, Borg MA, et al. Characterisation of MRSA from Malta and the description of a Maltese epidemic MRSA strain. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2010;29: 163–70.
- [12] Coombs GW, Nimmo GR, Pearson JC, Collignon PJ, Bell JM, McLaws ML, et al. Australian group on antimicrobial resistance hospital-onset Staphylococcus aureus surveillance programme annual report, 2011. Commun Dis Intell Q Rep 2013;37:E210–8.
- [13] Sarkar A, Raji A, Garaween G, Soge O, Rey-Ladino J, Al-Kattan W, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence markers in methicillin sensitive Staphylococcus aureus isolates associated with nasal colonization. Microb Pathog 2016;93:8–12.
- [14] Moran GJ, Krishnadasan A, Gorwitz RJ, Fosheim GE, McDougal LK, Carey RB, et al. Methicillin-resistant S. aureus infections among patients in the emergency department. N Engl J Med 2006;355:666–74.
- [15] Otter JA, Havill NL, Boyce JM, French GL. Comparison of communityassociated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from teaching

- hospitals in London and the USA, 2004–2006: where is USA300 in the UK? Eur | Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009;28:835–9.
- [16] Campanile F, Bongiorno D, Borbone S, Stefani S. Hospital-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (HA-MRSA) in Italy. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 2009;8:22.
- [17] Japoni-Nejad A, Rezazadeh M, Kazemian H, Fardmousavi N, van Belkum A, Ghaznavi-Rad E. Molecular characterization of the first community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains from Central Iran. Int J Infect Dis 2013;17:e949–54.
- [18] Udo EE, Al-Sweih N, Noronha B. Characterisation of non-multiresistant methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (including EMRSA-15) in Kuwait hospitals. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006;12:262–9.
- [19] Tokajian S. New epidemiology of Staphylococcus aureus infections in the Middle East. Clin Microbiol Infect 2014;20:624–8.
- [20] Udo EE, O'Brien FG, Al-Sweih N, Noronha B, Matthew B, Grubb WB. Genetic lineages of community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Kuwait hospitals. J Clin Microbiol 2008;46:3514–6.
- [21] Bekkhoucha SN, Cady A, Gautier P, Itim F, Donnio PY. A portrait of Staphylococcus aureus from the other side of the Mediterranean Sea: molecular characteristics of isolates from Western Algeria. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009;28:553–5.
- [22] Ben Nejma M, Mastouri M, Bel Hadj Jrad B, Nour M. Characterization of st80 Panton-Valentine leukocidin-positive community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus clone in Tunisia. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2013;77:20-4.
- [23] Enany S, Yaoita E, Yoshida Y, Enany M, Yamamoto T. Molecular characterization of Panton-Valentine leukocidin-positive communityacquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates in Egypt. Microbiol Res 2010;165:152–62.
- [24] Budimir A, Deurenberg RH, Bosnjak Z, Stobberingh EE, Cetkovic H, Kalenic S. A variant of the southern German clone of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus is predominant in Croatia. Clin Microbiol Infect 2010;16:1077–83.
- [25] Udo EE, Aly NY, Sarkhoo E, Al-Sawan R, Al-Asar AS. Detection and characterization of an ST97-SCCmec-V community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus clone in a neonatal intensive care unit and special care baby unit. J Med Microbiol 2011;60:600–4.
- [26] Antri K, Rouzic N, Dauwalder O, Boubekri I, Bes M, Lina G, et al. High prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clone ST80-IV in hospital and community settings in Algiers. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011:17:526–32.
- [27] Conceicao T, Tavares A, Miragaia M, Hyde K, Aires-de-Sousa M, de Lencastre H. Prevalence and clonality of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in the Atlantic Azores islands: predominance of SCCmec types IV, V and VI. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2010;29: 543–50.
- [28] Rossney AS, Lawrence MJ, Morgan PM, Fitzgibbon MM, Shore A, Coleman DC, et al. Epidemiological typing of MRSA isolates from blood cultures taken in Irish hospitals participating in the European antimicrobial resistance surveillance system (1999–2003). Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2006;25:79–89.
- [29] Asghar AH. Molecular characterization of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolated from tertiary care hospitals. Pak J Med Sci 2014;30:698–702.
- [30] Shibl A, Senok A, Memish Z. Infectious diseases in the Arabian Peninsula and Egypt. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:1068–80.