



Optimal Cerebral Perfusion Pressure Guided by Brain Oxygen Pressure Measurement

Matyas Kovacs¹, Lorenzo Peluso^{1*}, Hassane Njimi¹, Olivier De Witte², Elisa Gouvêa Bogossian¹, Armin Quispe Cornejo¹, Jacques Creteur¹, Sophie Schuind¹ and Fabio Silvio Taccone¹

¹ Department of Intensive Care, Hopital Erasme, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium, ² Department of Neurosurgery, Hopital Erasme, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Anders Lewén, Uppsala University, Sweden

Reviewed by:

Teodor Mikael Svedung Wettervik, Uppsala University, Sweden Minjee Kim, Northwestern University, United States

> *Correspondence: Lorenzo Peluso lorenzopeluso80@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neurocritical and Neurohospitalist Care, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 29 June 2021 Accepted: 29 September 2021 Published: 28 October 2021

Citation:

Kovacs M, Peluso L, Njimi H, De Witte O, Gouvêa Bogossian E, Quispe Cornejo A, Creteur J, Schuind S and Taccone FS (2021) Optimal Cerebral Perfusion Pressure Guided by Brain Oxygen Pressure Measurement. Front. Neurol. 12:732830. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.732830 **Background:** Although increasing cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) is commonly accepted to improve brain tissue oxygen pressure (PbtO₂), it remains unclear whether recommended CPP targets (i. e., >60 mmHg) would result in adequate brain oxygenation in brain injured patients. The aim of this study was to identify the target of CPP associated with normal brain oxygenation.

Methods: Prospectively collected data including patients suffering from acute brain injury and monitored with $PbtO_2$, in whom daily CPP challenge using vasopressors was performed. Initial CPP target was >60 mmHg; norepinephrine infusion was modified to have an increase in CPP of at least 10 mmHg at two different steps above the baseline values. Whenever possible, the same CPP challenge was performed for the following days, for a maximum of 5 days. CPP "responders" were patients with a relative increase in PbtO₂ from baseline values > 20%.

Results: A total of 53 patients were included. On the first day of assessment, CPP was progressively increased from 73 (70–76) to 83 (80–86), and 92 (90–96) mmHg, which resulted into a significant PbtO₂ increase [from 20 (17–23) mmHg to 22 (20–24) mmHg and 24 (22–26) mmHg, respectively; p < 0.001]. Median CPP value corresponding to PbtO₂ values > 20 mmHg was 79 (74–87) mmHg, with 2 (4%) patients who never achieved such target. Similar results of CPP targets were observed the following days. A total of 25 (47%) were PbtO₂ responders during the CPP challenge on day 1, in particular if low PbtO₂ was observed at baseline.

Conclusions: $PbtO_2$ monitoring can be an effective way to individualize CPP values to avoid tissue hypoxia. Low $PbtO_2$ values at baseline can identify the responders to the CPP challenge.

Keywords: brain injury, brain oxygenation, optimal perfusion, individualized therapy, traumatic brain injury, intracranial hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage

1

INTRODUCTION

Monitoring of patients with critical illness has expanded significantly over the past several decades. As for hemodynamics, respiratory or renal functions, several studies have underlined the importance of monitoring brain function, not only in patients with a primary brain injury [i.e., traumatic brain injury (TBI), subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), post-anoxic brain injury], but also in those with a systemic disease, such as sepsis, acute pancreatitis, or cardiogenic shock, who may present different alterations of cerebral function and potentially may develop secondary brain injuries (1), which can impact on long-term functional and cognitive recovery (2).

In patients suffering from TBI, the combination of intracranial pressure (ICP) and cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP)-guided therapy is recommended for the current management of these patients (3); similarly, ICP and CPP are the cornerstone of management of severe non-traumatic brain injury patients, although the evidence suggesting that such approach might impact on long-term outcomes remain limited (4). Importantly, increased ICP could be a late and insensitive indicator of some secondary brain injuries (5, 6); in particular, the occurrence of tissue hypoxia may occur even in the absence of intracranial hypertension and, when untreated or refractory to therapeutic interventions, is associated with an increased risk of poor neurological outcome in brain injured patients (7, 8). As such, rather than protocolizing therapies to target fixed ICP and CPP values for all patients, independently from the underlying brain injury, comorbid diseases, and the severity of brain edema, the implementation of brain tissue oxygen pressure (PbtO2) monitoring could be helpful to individualize ICP and CPP values based on cerebral oxygenation.

Although higher than recommended CPP targets (i.e., >60 mmHg) have been associated with adequate PbtO2 values in heterogeneous populations of brain injured patients, few prospective studies have evaluated the effects of increasing CPP using vasopressors on PbtO2 in such patients. In one small study (n = 11) on TBI patients, increasing CPP from 70 to 90 mmHg with norepinephrine resulted in a significant increase in PbtO₂ (from 17 ± 8 to $22 \pm$ mmHg), despite brain metabolism was only marginally affected (8). In another study including 14 TBI patients, increasing CPP resulted also in a significant increase in PbtO₂, although this was measured after a challenge of inspired oxygen at 100% on the ventilator (9). However, all these studies did not specifically investigate the proportion of patients requiring higher than recommended CPP to obtain adequate PbtO2 values, neither whether this CPP target would change along the hospital stay.

The aim of this study was therefore to evaluate which level of CPP corresponds to an adequate $PbtO_2$ in an heterogeneous population of brain injured patients. Secondary aims were to assess: (a) whether this level of CPP change over time and (b) the characteristics of patients requiring higher than recommended CPP targets to have optimal brain oxygenation values.

METHODS

Study Population

This was an analysis of prospectively collected data including all adult (>18 years of age) patients with an acute primary brain injury admitted to the ICU of Erasme Hospital, Brussels, Belgium, between January 2016 and December 2020. Eligible patients were those: (a) having a PbtO₂ monitoring catheter, which was inserted according to the decision of senior ICU physician and an experienced neurosurgeon; (b) receiving vasopressors to target a CPP of at least 60-70 mmHg; (c) who underwent daily CPP challenge as part of routine patient management (see below). Data for all measurements were recorded into the patient management data system (PDMS, Picis Critical Care Manager; Picis Inc., Wakefield, MA, USA). Exclusion criteria were a malfunctioning PbtO₂ catheter, the absence of vasopressor therapy, elevated ICP (>25 mmHg) refractory to different interventions (i.e., sedation, osmotic therapy, and hyperventilation), clinical contraindication to increased CPP (i.e., frequent arrhythmias or acute heart failure). The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Erasme Hospital (Comité d'Ethique Hospitalo-Facultaire Erasme – ULB; P2021/038), which waived the need of informed consent given the observational design of the study analyzing recorded data into the PDMS.

Patients' Management and CPP Challenge

Patients were managed according to local protocols, based on international recommendations (3, 4, 10, 11). A triple lumen bolt allowing the insertion of a PbtO₂ probe (IM3.ST_EU; Integra LifeSciences Corporation, Plainsboro, NJ, USA), alone or in association with an eight-contact depth EEG electrode and a microdialysis catheter, was placed in the operating room by a neurosurgeon in patients with TBI, subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), or intracranial hemorrhage (ICH), who had indications for ICP monitoring (i.e., abnormal CT-scan findings and a Glasgow Coma Score on admission < 9). The bolt was positioned in the normal-appearing brain area of the injured side or, in case of aneurysmal SAH, on either the ipsilateral side of the aneurysm (i.e., anterior circulation) or on the right side (i.e., no aneurysm identified or aneurysm located in the posterior circulation). Other continuously monitored variables included electrocardiogram, mean arterial pressure (MAP), peripheral oxygen saturation, end-tidal carbon dioxide and body temperature (i.e., with urinary or esophageal probes), as a routine approach in all severe brain injured patients.

For patients requiring vasopressors, initial CPP and PbtO₂ targets are >60 mmHg and >20 mmHg, respectively. Cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) was calculated as the difference between MAP and ICP; MAP was zeroed at the level of the left atrium (i.e., with patient at 30° recumbent position). After the initial daily assessment of the patient, which can also include the evaluation of pupillary function using an automated pupillometry (NeurOptics NPi-200; Neuroptics, Irvine, CA, USA) to calculate the Neurological Pupil Index (NPi), or brain flow velocities with Transcranial Doppler (TCD) to measure mean flow velocities (mFV) and the pulsatility index (PI) (12),

	Overall (n = 53)	UO (n = 33)	FO (n = 20)	<i>p</i> -value
Demographics				
Age, years	50 (40–58)	51 (44–58)	47 (38–57)	0.45
Male gender, n (%)	31 (58)	19 (58)	12 (60)	1.00
Comorbidities				
Hypertension, n (%)	14 (26)	10 (30)	4 (20)	0.53
Heart disease, n (%)	2 (4)	0	2 (10)	0.14
Alcohol, n (%)	12 (23)	7 (21)	5 (25)	0.75
Smoking, <i>n</i> (%)	12 (23)	6 (18)	6 (30)	0.34
Diabetes, n (%)	7 (13)	5 (15)	2 (10)	0.70
Previous neurological disease, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (4)	1 (3)	1 (5)	1.00
CKD, n (%)	0	0	0	
COPD, <i>n</i> (%)	4 (8)	3 (9)	1 (5)	1.00
Immunosuppression, n (%)	0	0	0	-
Cancer, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (6)	3 (9)	0	0.28
Liver cirrhosis, n (%)	1 (2)	1 (3)	0	1.00
On admission				
Glasgow coma scale	7 (3–10)	5 (3–9)	7 (5–13)	0.14
Type of disease, n (%)				0.25
TBI	20 (38)	10 (30)	10 (50)	
SAH	29 (55)	21 (64)	8 (40)	
ICH	4 (8)	2 (6)	2 (10)	
During ICU stay				
Vasopressors, n (%)	53 (100)	33 (100)	20 (100)	-
Mechanical ventilation, n (%)	53 (100)	33 (100)	20 (100)	-
RRT, n (%)	1 (2)	1 (3)	0	1.00
Osmotic therapy, n (%)	45 (85)	29 (88)	16 (80)	0.46
Decompressive craniectomy, <i>n</i> (%)	10 (19)	5 (15)	5 (25)	0.48
Hypothermia, <i>n</i> (%)	10 (19)	9 (27)	1 (5)	0.07
Anti-epileptics, n (%)	48 (91)	29 (88)	19 (95)	0.64
Barbiturates, n (%)	21 (40)	16 (48)	5 (25)	0.15
Intracranial	36 (68)	26 (79)	10 (50)	0.04
hypertension, n (%)				
Seizures, n (%)	9 (17)	5 (15)	4 (20)	0.72
On the first day of asse	essment			
Body temperature, °C	37 (36.8–37.3)	37 (36.8–37.3)	36.9 (36.7–37.3)	0.72
PaCO ₂ , mmHg	37 (36–39)	37 (36–39)	37 (36–38)	0.95
PaO ₂ , mmHg	102 (98–110)	100 (98–108)	104 (99–111)	0.38
Lactate, mmol/L	1 (0.8–1.2)	1 (0.8–1.2)	1.0 (0.8–1.1)	0.25
Hemoglobin, g/dl	11.3 (10.8–12.2)	11.2 (10.8–12.1)	11.4 (10.9–12.2)	0.88
FVm MCA, cm/sec	55.3 (48.5–65)	51.7 (47.5–67.3)	58.0 (54.7–63.2)	0.46
Pulsatility Index	0.90 (0.73–1.05)	0.93 (0.73–1.08)	0.85 (0.73–1.04)	0.77

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of study population, according to neurological outcome (UO, unfavorable; FO, favorable).

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

	Overall (n = 53)	UO (n = 33)	FO (n = 20)	p-value
Mean NPi	4.6 (4.03–4.66)	4.3 (3.2–4.7)	4.6 (4.3–4.6)	0.82
iPbtO ₂ , mmHg	20 (17–23)	19 (16–23)	21 (18–24)	0.34
Brain hypoxia, <i>n</i> (%)	26 (49)	18 (55)	8 (40)	0.40
Outcomes				
ICU stay, days	21 (11–26)	17 (11–25)	24 (14–28)	0.15
Hospital mortality, n (%)	18 (34)	18 (55)	0	< 0.01

CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TBI, traumatic brain injury; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; RRT, renal replacement therapy; PaCO₂, partial arterial CO₂ pressure; PaO₂, partial arterial oxygen pressure; mFV-MCA, mean MCA flow velocity; NPI, neurological pupil index; iPbtO₂, brain tissue oxygenation at baseline; ICU, intensive care unit.

CPP was set around 60-70 mmHg (i.e., if not already within these ranges) and norepinephrine infusion was modified to have an increase in CPP of at least 10 mmHg at two different steps above the baseline values. At each CPP level (i.e., stabilized for at least 5 min), PbtO₂, ICP, NPi, mFV, and PI were collected and results used to adjust vasopressor infusion daily in order to define CPP goals according to PbtO₂ values (i.e., target > 20 mmHg) and/or guide further interventions. This CPP challenge was part of the routine management of patients, as it had a short duration (i.e., 10-15 min) and was performed by an experienced intensivist (FST), whenever possible. Apart from the CPP intervention, all other relevant physiological variables were kept stable. Also, the same CPP challenge was performed for the following days, always initiating on a CPP around 60-70 mmHg and testing two additional steps. A maximum of 5 days of testing was considered (i.e., either brain oxygenation was normalized and the catheter removed or increased ICP or persistent brain hypoxia would prevent further testing); CPP "responders" were defined as those patients with a relative increase in PbtO₂ from baseline values > 20%.

Data Collection

For all patients, demographics, comorbid diseases, reasons for ICU admission as well as ICU length of stay and hospital mortality were collected. The severity of disease scores [i.e., Glasgow Coma Scale on admission, World Federation of Neurological Surgeons (WFNS) score in SAH patients; Marshall (13) and modified Fisher scores (14, 15) for cerebral CT-scan in TBI or SAH patients, respectively; and location and volume of ICH] were collected. The use of different therapies (i.e., mechanical ventilation, sedative, analgesic, vasopressor, inotrope, antiepileptic, barbituric, and/or osmotic drugs), as well as different interventions (i.e., ICP monitoring, hypothermia, hypocapnia, and decompressive craniotomy) was collected. Intracranial hypertension was defined by the observation of at least one ICP value above 20 mmHg for at least 5 min at any time. Brain tissue hypoxia was defined by a PbtO₂ below 20 mmHg.

Neurological outcome at hospital discharge was assessed using the Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) (15); favorable neurological

		Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	<i>p</i> -value
All $(n = 53)$	MAP, mmHg	83 (82–89)	95 (91–99)	105 (100–109)	<0.01
	ICP, mmHg	12 (8–14)	12 (9–15)	11 (9–15)	< 0.01
	CPP, mmHg	73 (70–76)	83 (80–86)	92 (90–96)	< 0.01
	PbtO ₂ , mmHg	20 (17–23)	22 (20–24)	24 (22–26)	< 0.01
UO (n = 33)	MAP, mmHg	83 (81–87)	93 (91–96)	103 (100–110)	< 0.01
	ICP, mmHg	10 (6-14)	11 (7–14)	11 (9–15)	< 0.01
	CPP, mmHg	73 (70–75)	82 (79–85)	91 (89–96)	< 0.01
	PbtO ₂ , mmHg	19 (16–23)	22 (19–24)	24 (22–26)	< 0.01
FO (n = 20)	MAP, mmHg	86 (82–90)	97 (94–100)	107 (102–110)	< 0.01
	ICP, mmHg	13 (10–17)	12 (10–17)	13 (10–17)	0.42
	CPP, mmHg	74 (70–77)	85 (81–88)	93 (91–96)	< 0.01
	PbtO ₂ , mmHg	21 (18–24)	22 (21–25)	24 (23–28)	< 0.01

TABLE 2 | Changes in study variables on the first day of assessment, according to different steps of mean arterial pressure (MAP) and neurological outcome (UO, unfavorable; FO, favorable).

UO, unfavorable outcome; FO, favorable outcome; MAP, mean arterial pressure; ICP, intracranial pressure; CPP, cerebral perfusion pressure; PbtO2, brain tissue oxygenation.

outcome (FO) was considered as a GOS 4–5, while unfavorable outcome (UO) as GOS 1–3.

Study Outcomes

The primary outcome of the study was to evaluate which level of CPP corresponds to a PbtO₂ \geq 20 mmHg. Secondary outcomes included: (a) proportion and characteristics of PbtO₂ responders; (b) comparison of PbtO₂ changes according to the presence of baseline tissue hypoxia; (c) comparison of PbtO₂ changes over time; (d) association of PbtO₂ changes with NPi, mFV, and/or PI changes; (e) differences in PbtO₂ changes during CPP challenges according to neurological outcome.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using R statistical software version 4.0.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing), Prism (GraphPad Software Inc.), and IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh 27 (Armonk, NY, USA). Categorical variables were expressed as count (percentage) and continuous variables as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median (25th-75th percentiles). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used, and histograms and normal-quantile plots were examined to verify the normality of distribution of continuous variables. Differences between groups were assessed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and Student's t-test, or Mann-Whitney U-test for continuous variables, as appropriate. Mixed model procedure with restricted maximum likelihood (REML) estimation and "unstructured" covariance structure was used to examine the differences in PbtO₂ changes during CPP challenge over different days of assessment. All tests are two tailed and the statistical significance was set at the 5% level.

RESULTS

Study Population

Over a total of 162 patients, 109 were excluded (i.e., n = 49, not on vasopressors during the PbtO₂ monitoring period; n = 29, refractory intracranial hypertension; n = 31, measurements



not performed) and 53 were eventually included into the final analysis. No significant differences were observed between included and excluded patients (**Supplementary Table 1**), except for a shorter ICU length of stay and higher mortality for the excluded group. Characteristics of the study population are shown in **Table 1**; the most frequent brain injury was SAH (n = 29, 55%). Hospital mortality occurred in 18 (34%) of patients, while 33 (62%) presented with UO.

CPP Challenge and PbtO₂

On the first day of assessment, baseline $PbtO_2$ and CPP were 20 (16–21) mmHg and 73 (70–76) mmHg, respectively; brain hypoxia at baseline was observed in 26 (49%) of patients

TABLE 3 Characteristics of population according to PbtO ₂ responder or	
non-responder to CPP challenge.	

Demographics Age, years $52 (41-59)$ $50 (40-57)$ 0.57 Male gender, n (%) 11 (44) 20 (71) 0.06 Comorbidities Hypertension, n (%) 9 (36) 5 (18) 0.21 Heart disease, n (%) 0 2 (7) 0.49 Alcohol, n (%) 6 (24) 6 (21) 1.00 Smoking, n (%) 2 (8) 5 (18) 0.43 Previous neurological disease, n (%) 0 2 (7) 0.49 CKD, n (%) 2 (8) 5 (18) 0.43 Previous neurological disease, n (%) 0 0 $-$ COPD, n (%) 1 (4) 3 (11) 0.61 Immunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 $-$ Cancer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission Glasgow coma scale 6 (3–9) 7 (3–11) 0.59 TBI 10 (40) 10 (36)
Age, years $52 (41-59)$ $50 (40-57)$ 0.57 Male gender, n (%)11 (44) $20 (71)$ 0.06 ComorbiditiesHypertension, n (%) $9 (36)$ $5 (18)$ 0.21 Heart disease, n (%) 0 $2 (7)$ 0.49 Alcohol, n (%) $6 (24)$ $6 (21)$ 1.00 Smoking, n (%) $8 (32)$ $4 (14)$ 0.19 Diabetes, n (%) $2 (8)$ $5 (18)$ 0.43 Previous neurological disease, n (%) 0 $2 (7)$ 0.49 CKD, n (%) 0 0 $-$ COPD, n (%) $1 (4)$ $3 (11)$ 0.61 Immunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 $-$ Cancer, n (%) $1 (4)$ $2 (7)$ 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) $1 (4)$ 0 0.47 On admission $ -$ TJpe of disease, n (%) 0 0 $-$ TJP of disease, n (%) $25 (100)$ $28 (100)$ $-$ MED 0 0 $-$ During ICU stay $25 (100)$ $28 (100)$ $-$ Vasopressors, n (%) $25 (100)$ $28 (100)$ $-$ RRT, n (%) $1 (4)$ 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) $21 (84)$ $24 (86)$ 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) $7 (28)$ $3 (11)$ 0.16
Male gender, n (%) 11 (44) 20 (71) 0.06 Comorbidities Hypertension, n (%) 9 (36) 5 (18) 0.21 Heart disease, n (%) 0 2 (7) 0.49 Alcohol, n (%) 6 (24) 6 (21) 1.00 Smoking, n (%) 8 (32) 4 (14) 0.19 Diabetes, n (%) 2 (8) 5 (18) 0.43 Previous neurological disease, n (%) 0 2 (7) 0.49 CKD, n (%) 0 0 - - COPD, n (%) 1 (4) 3 (11) 0.61 Immunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 - Clarcer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission 0 0 - - - C65 TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) ICH ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Comorbidities Hypertension, n (%) 9 (36) 5 (18) 0.21 Heart disease, n (%) 0 2 (7) 0.49 Alcohol, n (%) 6 (24) 6 (21) 1.00 Smoking, n (%) 8 (32) 4 (14) 0.19 Diabetes, n (%) 2 (8) 5 (18) 0.43 Previous neurological disease, n (%) 0 2 (7) 0.49 CKD, n (%) 0 0 - - COPD, n (%) 1 (4) 3 (11) 0.61 1mmunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 - Cancer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 1.04 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 0 - Glasgow coma scale 6 (3-9) 7 (3-11) 0.59 7 7 - Type of disease, n (%) 10 (40) 10 (36) 5 7 1 0 - <i>CH</i> 1 (4) 3 (10) 0 0 - - - - - - - - -
Hypertension, n (%)9 (36)5 (18)0.21Heart disease, n (%)02 (7)0.49Alcohol, n (%)6 (24)6 (21)1.00Smoking, n (%)8 (32)4 (14)0.19Diabetes, n (%)2 (8)5 (18)0.43Previous neurological disease, n (%)02 (7)0.49CKD, n (%)00-COPD, n (%)1 (4)3 (11)0.61Immunosuppression, n (%)00-Cancer, n (%)1 (4)2 (7)1.00Liver Cirrhosis, n (%)1 (4)00.47On admission00-Type of disease, n (%)10 (40)10 (36)SAH14 (56)15 (54)-ICH1 (4)3 (10)-MED00-During ICU stay25 (100)28 (100)-Nachanical ventilation, n (%)25 (100)28 (100)-RRT, n (%)1 (4)00.47Osmotic therapy, n (%)21 (84)24 (86)1.00Decompressive craniectomy, n (%)7 (28)3 (11)0.16
Heart disease, n (%)02 (7)0.49Alcohol, n (%)6 (24)6 (21)1.00Smoking, n (%)8 (32)4 (14)0.19Diabetes, n (%)2 (8)5 (18)0.43Previous neurological disease, n (%)02 (7)0.49CKD, n (%)00-COPD, n (%)1 (4)3 (11)0.61Immunosuppression, n (%)00-Cancer, n (%)1 (4)2 (7)1.00Liver Cirrhosis, n (%)1 (4)00.47On admission00-Glasgow coma scale6 (3-9)7 (3-11)0.59Type of disease, n (%)10 (40)10 (36)5AHIA10 (40)10 (36)5AH14 (56)15 (54)ICH1 (4)3 (10)MED00During ICU stay25 (100)28 (100)-Vasopressors, n (%)25 (100)28 (100)-RRT, n (%)1 (4)00.47Osmotic therapy, n (%)21 (84)24 (86)1.00Decompressive craniectomy, n (%)7 (28)3 (11)0.16Hoothermia, n (%)7 (28)3 (11)0.16
Alcohol, n (%)6 (24)6 (21)1.00Smoking, n (%)8 (32)4 (14)0.19Diabetes, n (%)2 (8)5 (18)0.43Previous neurological disease, n (%)02 (7)0.49CKD, n (%)00-COPD, n (%)1 (4)3 (11)0.61Immunosuppression, n (%)00-Cancer, n (%)1 (4)2 (7)1.00Liver Cirrhosis, n (%)1 (4)00.47On admissionGlasgow coma scale6 (3–9)7 (3–11)0.59Type of disease, n (%)00-CH1 (4)3 (10)MED00During ICU stay25 (100)28 (100)-Vasopressors, n (%)1 (4)00.47Osmotic therapy, n (%)21 (84)24 (86)1.00Decompressive craniectomy, n (%)7 (28)3 (11)0.16
Smoking, n (%) 8 (32) 4 (14) 0.19 Diabetes, n (%) 2 (8) 5 (18) 0.43 Previous neurological disease, n (%) 0 2 (7) 0.49 CKD, n (%) 0 0 - COPD, n (%) 1 (4) 3 (11) 0.61 Immunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 - Cancer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission - - - Glasgow coma scale 6 (3–9) 7 (3–11) 0.59 Type of disease, n (%) 0 0 - TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) - SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) - ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) - MED 0 0 - During ICU stay - - - Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84)
Diabetes, n (%) 2 (8) 5 (18) 0.43 Previous neurological disease, n (%) 0 2 (7) 0.49 CKD, n (%) 0 0 - COPD, n (%) 1 (4) 3 (11) 0.61 Immunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 - Cancer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission - - 0.59 Type of disease, n (%) 7 (3-11) 0.59 TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) - SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) - ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) - MED 0 0 - During ICU stay - - - Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%)
Previous neurological disease, n (%) 0 2 (7) 0.49 CKD, n (%) 0 0 - COPD, n (%) 1 (4) 3 (11) 0.61 Immunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 - Cancer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission - - - Glasgow coma scale 6 (3-9) 7 (3-11) 0.59 Type of disease, n (%) 0 0 - TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) - SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) - ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) - MED 0 0 - During ICU stay 25 (100) 28 (100) - Nechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16
CKD, n (%) 0 0 - COPD, n (%) 1 (4) 3 (11) 0.61 Immunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 - Cancer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission - - - Glasgow coma scale 6 (3–9) 7 (3–11) 0.59 Type of disease, n (%) 0 0 0 TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) - SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) - ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) - MED 0 0 - During ICU stay - - - Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16
COPD, n (%) 1 (4) 3 (11) 0.61 Immunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 - Cancer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission - - - Glasgow coma scale 6 (3–9) 7 (3–11) 0.59 Type of disease, n (%) 0 10 (36) - TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) - SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) - ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) - MED 0 0 - During ICU stay - - - Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RET, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16
Immunosuppression, n (%) 0 0 - Cancer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission - - 0 0.47 Glasgow coma scale 6 (3–9) 7 (3–11) 0.59 Type of disease, n (%) 0 10 (36) - TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) - SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) - ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) - MED 0 0 0 - During ICU stay - - - - Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 - - Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 - Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16
Cancer, n (%) 1 (4) 2 (7) 1.00 Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission
Liver Cirrhosis, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 On admission Glasgow coma scale 6 (3–9) 7 (3–11) 0.59 Type of disease, n (%) 0 0.65 7 0.65 TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) 5 SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) 15 ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) MED 0 0 During ICU stay Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) – Nechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) – RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16
On admission Glasgow coma scale 6 (3–9) 7 (3–11) 0.59 Type of disease, n (%) 0.65 0.65 TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) MED 0 0 During ICU stay Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16
Glasgow coma scale 6 (3–9) 7 (3–11) 0.59 Type of disease, n (%) 0.65 TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) MED 0 0 During ICU stay Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16
Type of disease, n (%) 0.65 TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) MED 0 0 During ICU stay 0 0 Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - Mechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hupothermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
TBI 10 (40) 10 (36) SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) MED 0 0 During ICU stay Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - Mechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hypothermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
SAH 14 (56) 15 (54) ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) MED 0 0 During ICU stay $25 (100)$ 28 (100) Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) Mechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hworthermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
ICH 1 (4) 3 (10) MED 0 0 During ICU stay 25 (100) 28 (100) - Mechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16
MED 0 0 During ICU stay 25 (100) 28 (100) - Mechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hypothermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
During ICU stay Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - Mechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hypothermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
Vasopressors, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - Mechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hypothermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
Mechanical ventilation, n (%) 25 (100) 28 (100) - RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hypothermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
RRT, n (%) 1 (4) 0 0.47 Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hypothermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
Osmotic therapy, n (%) 21 (84) 24 (86) 1.00 Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hypothermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
Decompressive craniectomy, n (%) 7 (28) 3 (11) 0.16 Hypothermia, n (%) 4 (16) 6 (21) 0.73
Hypothermia $n(\%)$ (16) 6(21) 0.73
Anti-epileptics, n (%) 24 (96) 24 (86) 0.36
Barbiturates, <i>n</i> (%) 12 (48) 9 (32) 0.27
Intracranial hypertension, <i>n</i> (%) 19 (76) 17 (61) 0.26
Seizures, <i>n</i> (%) 4 (16) 5 (18) 1.00
On the first day of assessment
Body temperature, °C 37.0 36.9 0.69
(36.8–37.3) (36.7–37.4)
PaCO ₂ , mmHg 38 (35–39) 37 (36–38) 0.51
PaO ₂ , mmHg 102 (98–110) 100 (98–111) 0.79
Lactate, mmol/L 1.1 (0.8–1.2) 1.0 (0.7–1.1) 0.12
Hemoglobin, g/dl 11.3 11.2 0.63
(10.8–12.2) (10.7–12.2)
mFV, cm/sec 56.0 55.3 0.13 (49.7–71.0) (47.3–62.7)
Pulsatility Index 0.91 0.92 0.41
(0.69–1.13) (0.80–1.05)
Mean NPi 4.6 (4.3-4.7) 4.1 (3.2-4.6) 0.30
iPbtO ₂ , mmHg 17 (16–19) 22 (20–26) <0.01
Brain hypoxia, <i>n</i> (%) 20 (80) 6 (21) <0.01

(Continued)

TABLE 3 Co

	Responder (n = 25)	Non responder (n = 28)	<i>p</i> -value
Outcomes			
ICU stay, days	15 (12–24)	23 (11–33)	0.18
Hospital mortality, n (%)	11 (44)	7 (25)	0.16
GOS 3 months	3 (1–4)	3 (1–4)	0.37
UO	16 (64)	17 (61)	1.00

CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TBI, traumatic brain injury; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; RRT, renal replacement therapy; PaCO₂, partial arterial CO₂ pressure; PaO₂, partial arterial oxygen pressure; mFV-MCA, mean MCA flow velocity; NPI, neurological pupil index; iPbtO₂, brain tissue oxygenation at baseline; ICU, intensive care unit; GOS, Glasgow Outcome Scale.

(Tables 1, 2). CPP was progressively increased to 83 (80-86) mmHg and 92 (90-96) mmHg, which resulted into a significant PbtO₂ increase [to 22 (19-22) mmHg and 24 (20-24) mmHg, respectively; p < 0.001; Table 2]. During CPP increase, ICP also significantly decreased from baseline values. Median CPP value corresponding to $PbtO_2$ values $\geq 20 \text{ mmHg on day 1 was}$ 79 (74-87) mmHg, with 2 (4%) patients who never achieved such target; similar CPP values corresponding to PbtO2 values >20 mmHg were observed in traumatic (n = 38) and nontraumatic brain injury [79 (75-86) mmHg vs. 77 (72-88) mmHg; p = 0.52]. CPP challenges were repeated in 34 patients on day 2, 23 on day 3, 11 on day 4, and 7 on day 5; reasons for not performing CPP challenges the following days were mainly increased ICP (n = 4), the need for CPP > 90 mmHg to keep stable cerebral oxygenation (n = 5), or absence of operator and discontinuation of vasopressor therapy in others. Two patients on day 1 and 1 patient on day 3 never achieved the PbtO2 target. Similar values of CPP corresponding to PbtO₂ values ≥ 20 mmHg were observed in the following days [80 (73-87) mmHg on day 2; 79 (72-92) mmHg on day 3; 80 (72-89) mmHg on day 4; 80 (68-92) mmHg on day 5; Figure 1]. No significant complications (i.e., increase in ICP, arrhythmias) during CPP challenge were reported.

Secondary Outcomes

A total of 25 (47%) were PbtO₂ responders during the CPP challenge on day 1. PbtO₂ responders had similar characteristics to non-responders, including the presence of TBI, with the exception a lower PbtO₂ at baseline (**Table 3**). Changes in PbtO₂ on the first day of assessment were significantly higher over time in responders than in non-responders (**Table 4**; **Figure 2**).

Among patients with baseline tissue hypoxia on the first day of assessment (**Supplementary Table 2**), changes in PbtO₂ were significantly higher in those with tissue hypoxia when compared to others (**Table 4**; **Figure 2**). Similar changes in PbtO₂ between days 1, 2, and 3 were observed (Wald chi-square 6.42; p = 0.59; **Figure 3**); data on day 4 and 5 were not included into this analysis because of the high number of missing challenges.

		Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	<i>p</i> -value
Responders($n = 25$)	MAP, mmHg	83 (80–89)	95 (90–98)	103 (100–108)	<0.01
	ICP, mmHg	11 (9–17)	11 (10–15)	11 (9–15)	0.26
	CPP, mmHg	72 (70–74)	82 (80–85)	91 (89–95)	<0.01
	PbtO ₂ , mmHg	17 (16–19)	21 (18–22)	24 (22–26)	< 0.01
No responders ($n = 28$)	MAP, mmHg	83 (82–88)	96 (92–99)	107 (101–110)	< 0.01
	ICP, mmHg	12 (6–14)	12,5 (9–15)	12 (10–15)	0.01
	CPP, mmHg	74 (72–76)	84 (80–87)	94 (90–97)	< 0.01
	PbtO ₂ , mmHg	22 (20–26)	23 (22–26)	24 (22–27)	< 0.01
Normal PbtO ₂ ($n = 27$)	MAP, mmHg	83 (82–88)	95 (92–100)	105 (100–110)	< 0.01
	ICP, mmHg	12 (6–14)	12 (9–14)	11 (9–14)	0.43
	CPP, mmHg	74 (71–76)	85 (81–88)	94 (91–97)	<0.01
	PbtO ₂ , mmHg	23 (21–26)	24 (23–28)	26 (24–29)	<0.01
Tissue hypoxia($n = 26$)	MAP, mmHg	86 (82–89)	95 (91–98)	106 (100–110)	< 0.01
	ICP, mmHg	11 (9–17)	11 (10–17)	12 (9–18)	< 0.01
	CPP, mmHg	72 (70–74)	82 (79–85)	91 (88–96)	<0.01
	PbtO ₂ , mmHg	17 (16–18)	20 (18–22)	22 (21–24)	<0.01

TABLE 4 Changes in study variables on the first day of assessment, according to response to CPP challenge and baseline tissue hypoxia.

MAP, mean arterial pressure; ICP, intracranial pressure; CPP, cerebral perfusion pressure; PbtO₂, Brain tissue oxygenation.





A total of 19 (56%), 10 (42%), 4 (36%), and 3 (43%) were PbtO₂ responders during the CPP challenge on days 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively. A total of 18 (53%), 12 (50%), 4 (36%), and 3 (43%) had baseline brain tissue hypoxia at baseline on days 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

A total of 123 paired assessment of PbtO₂ with mFV and PI changes and 59 of PbtO₂ with NPi changes were available over the first 5 days of assessment. No correlation of PbtO₂ changes were observed with changes in mFV or PI (correlation index of -0.043 and -0.031, with *p*-values of 0.57 and 0.68, respectively), while a weak correlation between PbtO₂ and NPi changes was observed (correlation index of 0.181, *p* = 0.017).

Differences between patients with UO and FO are shown in **Table 1**. Before the CPP challenge, baseline characteristics were similar between groups on day 1. Also, changes in $PbtO_2$ over time on day 1 were not statistically significant between groups (**Table 2**; Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

In this study, including a heterogeneous population of brain injured patients, increasing CPP resulted in a significant increase of the brain oxygenation in most of patients. The "optimal" CPP, i.e., the CPP value corresponding to the absence of tissue hypoxia, was higher than in recommended targets (i.e., around 80 mmHg). Half of patients showed a significant increase in PbtO₂ during the CPP challenge, in particular, if they had lower PbtO₂ values at baseline. The effects of CPP on PbtO₂ changes were similar in the following days of assessment. Non-invasive neuromonitoring could not adequately predict PbtO₂ changes during CPP increase.

The improvement in $PbtO_2$ values during CPP increase using vasopressors has also been reported in previous studies. Johnston et al. reported a significant increase in brain oxygenation when CPP was increased from 70 to 90 mmHg (8); these changes were also associated with an increase in cerebral blood flow and a



decrease in oxygen extraction fraction (OER). However, as CPP challenge resulted in a greater proportional increase in PbtO2 than OER, the authors concluded that this intervention could potentially increase the oxygen gradient between the vascular and tissue compartments. In another study, increasing CPP also resulted into a significant increase in $PbtO_2$ (9). Our study included a larger population of patients, included other diseases than TBI, and tested two different CPP targets above the baseline values. Importantly, this CPP challenge should be performed only in those patients with low PbtO₂ values at the baseline, in the absence of intracranial hypertension or hypoxemia. Increasing CPP in patients with normal PbtO₂ at baseline would result in less significant oxygen improvement and no theoretical effect on tissue metabolism. In one study, Stocchetti et al. also reported that PbtO₂ regularly improved after 22 CPP challenges, in particular when low oxygen values were present at the baseline (16). Baseline PbtO₂ below 20 mm Hg was often associated with CPP values within normal ranges. Interestingly, increasing CPP with other drugs than norepinephrine, such as dopamine, might result in less predictable CPP increase and less significant PbtO₂ increase (17, 18). Moreover, PbtO₂ would change in response to CPP challenge if placed into "at-risk" areas, as in our study, while effects might be minimal if the catheter is inserted into normal-appearing parenchyma (17).

It has been suggested that cerebral hemodynamics after an acute brain injury could be assessed using non-invasive monitoring, such as cerebral blood flow velocities or cerebral autoregulation indices. As such, because $PbtO_2$ is dependent on CPP below the lower limit of autoregulation (i.e., the value of CPP corresponding to the direct dependency of cerebral blood flow from the systemic driving pressure) (19), recommended CPP targets can still result in tissue hypoxia in the absence of elevated ICP, as autoregulation might be impaired or the lower limit shifted toward higher CPP thresholds in brain injured patients (20). Individualized CPP values based on

optimal autoregulation status has also been suggested in TBI patients (20); however, targeting "safe" CPP values based on the plateau curve of autoregulation could not correspond to adequate brain oxygenation in some patients (21). In one study (19), PbtO₂ was pressure dependent when autoregulation was impaired, while it remained within stable values if autoregulation was intact. However, tissue hypoxia could occur even within normal autoregulation indices (19), thus suggesting that only the presence of PbtO₂ monitoring could help to optimize CPP and avoid tissue hypoxia. As such, it is not surprising that changes in PbtO₂ during the CPP challenge would not correlate with changes in mFV or PI, two parameters derived from the analysis of cerebral blood flow velocities that are commonly used to estimate cerebral hypoperfusion in the presence of intracranial hypertension (22). In SAH patients, no correlation was observed between PbtO2 measurements and, simultaneously, TCD recording (23). In another study including TBI patients, episode of cerebral hypoxia had all mFV < 40 cm/s; however, no correlation was observed between PbtO2 and mFV in the whole cohort (24). Unfortunately, we did not specifically assess cerebral autoregulation in our study and could not provide additional data to the relationship between autoregulatory status and brain oxygenation. Similarly, NPi assessment using automated pupillometry could reflect elevated ICP (25); however, as tissue hypoxia may occur even within normal ICP values, the lack of sufficient correlation between PbtO2 and NPi changes was expected.

This study has several limitations to acknowledge. First, the small sample size and monocentric and retrospective design might introduce significant selection biases and limit the generalizability of our findings, although characteristics of included and excluded patients were similar. Second, although we suggested the need for higher than recommended CPP targets, MAP transducer was zeroed at the atrium level, while some centers would place the zero-reference point next to cerebral anatomical structures, i.e., the foramen of Monro, which would result in 10-15 mmHg lower CPP, depending on the elevation of the head of the bed (usually $15-30^{\circ}$) (26). Third, many patients had baseline ICP, CPP, and PbtO2 within normal values at baseline, which might not entirely justify a daily "CPP challenge." However, the duration of CPP challenge was short, being supervised by an experienced intensivist, and was clinically relevant, as conducted in a well-designed approach, and informative for daily patient management. Interestingly, in those patients with normal PbtO₂ levels at baseline, lower than recommended CPP targets might have been theoretically used, still maintaining adequate oxygen levels. However, lower CPP values would be outside of routine management in brain injured patients and future studies should prospectively evaluate the safety of such approach. Fourth, the procedure was considered as safe (i.e., no complications were recorded); however, we did not assess changes in cerebral metabolism (i.e., glucose or lactate/pyruvate ratio), which might have also been influenced by changes in brain hemodynamics. Fifth, CPP augmentation was not compared with other interventions able to increase PbtO₂ in brain injured patients (i.e., increase in FiO₂ and arterial hyperoxia) (27). As such, whether increased CPP rather than,

as an example, increased FiO2 would result in similar effects on brain oxygenation or would have a different safety profile in brain injured patients remains unknown. Sixth, we only assessed short-terms effects of increasing CPP, while persistently high CPP values might be associated with an increased risk of acute respiratory failure, at least in TBI patients, and would not result in better neurological outcome than standard targets (28). Seventh, we defined tissue hypoxia as a $PbtO_2 < 20$ mmHg; ischemic thresholds for PbtO₂ have been tested using the association of this value with patients' outcome; a recent study identified a threshold of 19 mmHg which adequately separated patients with unfavorable and favorable neurological outcome after TBI (29). However, the ischemic threshold might vary among different brain disease and within patients and the presence of concomitant metabolism monitoring (i.e., microdialysis) might be helpful to identify ischemic levels of PbtO2 when they would be associated with low cerebral glucose levels and increased lactate/pyruvate ratio. Eighth, the cerebrovascular pathophysiology among the different types of acute brain injury is different; in particular, SAH patients can develop delayed cerebral ischemia between 4 and 15 days after the injury, whereas cerebral hyperemia and intracranial hypertension may be more common in TBI patients. As such, our findings are also relevant to the very early phase after the injury and in the absence of uncontrolled intracranial hypertension. Finally, we provided different descriptive analyses without multivariable assessment, as the number of events was limited; however, multiple statistical comparisons exposes to the inflation of false positive tests within dependent datasets.

CONCLUSIONS

In this heterogeneous population of acute brain injured patients, $PbtO_2$ monitoring suggested the need for higher than

REFERENCES

- Helbok R, Schiefecker AJ, Beer R, Dietmann A, Antunes AP, Sohm F, et al. Early brain injury after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a multimodal neuromonitoring study. *Crit Care.* (2015) 19:75. doi: 10.1186/s13054-015-0809-9
- Maldonado JR. Acute brain failure: pathophysiology, diagnosis, management, and sequelae of delirium. *Crit Care Clin.* (2017) 33:461–519. doi: 10.1016/j.ccc.2017.03.013
- Carney N, Totten AM, O'Reilly C, Ullman JS, Hawryluk GWJ, Bell MJ, et al. Guidelines for the management of severe traumatic brain injury, fourth edition. *Neurosurgery*. (2017) 80:6–15. doi: 10.1227/NEU.000000000001432
- 4. Le Roux P, Menon DK, Citerio G, Vespa P, Bader MK, Brophy GM, et al. Consensus summary statement of the International multidisciplinary consensus conference on multimodality monitoring in neurocritical care : a statement for healthcare professionals from the neurocritical care society and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med.* (2014) 40:1189–209. doi: 10.1007/s00134-014-3369-6
- Eriksson EA, Barletta JF, Figueroa BE, Bonnell BW, Vanderkolk WE, McAllen KJ, et al. Cerebral perfusion pressure and intracranial pressure are not surrogates for brain tissue oxygenation in traumatic brain injury. *Clin Neurophysiol.* (2012) 123:1255–60. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2011.08.035
- 6. Fiore M, Bogossian E, Creteur J, Oddo M, Taccone FS. Role of brain tissue oxygenation (PbtO2) in the management of subarachnoid

recommended CPP targets to avoid tissue hypoxia. A higher response in brain oxygenation to the CPP challenge was observed in those patients with low $PbtO_2$ values at baseline. The effects of CPP increase over brain oxygenation are consistent over time.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethical Committee of the Erasme Hospital. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FST, SS, and MK conceived the study protocol. AQ and FST performed the transcranial Doppler. MK, EG, and LP collected the data. HN, LP, and MK analyzed the data. FST, MK, JC, and OD drafted the present manuscript. SS, EG, LP, and AQ critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur. 2021.732830/full#supplementary-material

haemorrhage: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open. (2020) 10:e035521. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035521

- Kirkman MA, Smith M. Brain oxygenation monitoring. Anesthesiol Clin. (2016) 34:537–56. doi: 10.1016/j.anclin.2016.04.007
- Johnston AJ, Steiner LA, Coles JP, Chatfield DA, Fryer TD, Smielewski P, et al. Effect of cerebral perfusion pressure augmentation on regional oxygenation and metabolism after head injury. *Crit Care Med.* (2005) 33:189– 95. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000149837.09225.BD
- Rosenthal G, Hemphill JC, Sorani M, Martin C, Morabito D, Obrist WD, et al. Brain tissue oxygen tension is more indicative of oxygen diffusion than oxygen delivery and metabolism in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Crit Care Med.* (2008) 36:1917–24. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181743d77
- Connolly ES, Rabinstein AA, Carhuapoma JR, Derdeyn CP, Dion J, Higashida RT, et al. Guidelines for the management of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/american Stroke Association. *Stroke.* (2012) 43:1711–37. doi: 10.1161/STR.0b013e3182587839
- Morgenstern LB, Hemphill JC, Anderson C, Becker K, Broderick JP, Connolly ES, et al. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. (2010) 41:2108–29. doi: 10.1161/STR.0b013e3181ec611b
- Purkayastha S, Sorond F. Transcranial Doppler ultrasound: technique and application. Semin Neurol. (2012) 32:411–20. doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1331812

- Marshall LF, Marshall SB, Klauber MR, Van Berkum Clark M, Eisenberg H, Jane JA, et al. The diagnosis of head injury requires a classification based on computed axial tomography. *J Neurotrauma*. (1992) 9 (Suppl. 1): S287–92.
- Rosen DS, Macdonald RL. Subarachnoid hemorrhage grading scales: a systematic review. *Neurocrit Care*. (2005) 2:110–8. doi: 10.1385/NCC:2:2:110
- Jennett B, Bond M. Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. Lancet Lond Engl. (1975) 1:480–4. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(75) 92830-5
- Stocchetti N, Chieregato A, De Marchi M, Croci M, Benti R, Grimoldi N. High cerebral perfusion pressure improves low values of local brain tissue O2 tension (PtiO2) in focal lesions. *Acta Neurochir Suppl.* (1998) 71:162–5. doi: 10.1007/978-3-7091-6475-4_47
- Kiening KL, Härtl R, Unterberg AW, Schneider GH, Bardt T, Lanksch WR. Brain tissue pO2-monitoring in comatose patients: implications for therapy. *Neurol Res.* (1997) 19:233–40. doi: 10.1080/01616412.1997.11740805
- Steiner LA, Johnston AJ, Czosnyka M, Chatfield DA, Salvador R, Coles JP, et al. Direct comparison of cerebrovascular effects of norepinephrine and dopamine in head-injured patients. *Crit Care Med.* (2004) 32:1049–54. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000120054.32845.A6
- Jaeger M, Dengl M, Meixensberger J, Schuhmann MU. Effects of cerebrovascular pressure reactivity-guided optimization of cerebral perfusion pressure on brain tissue oxygenation after traumatic brain injury. *Crit Care Med.* (2010) 38:1343–7. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181d45530
- Donnelly J, Czosnyka M, Adams H, Robba C, Steiner LA, Cardim D, et al. Individualizing thresholds of cerebral perfusion pressure using estimated limits of autoregulation. *Crit Care Med.* (2017) 45:1464–71. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000002575
- Radolovich DK, Czosnyka M, Timofeev I, Lavinio A, Hutchinson P, Gupta A, et al. Reactivity of brain tissue oxygen to change in cerebral perfusion pressure in head injured patients. *Neurocrit Care.* (2009) 10:274–9. doi: 10.1007/s12028-009-9190-3
- 22. Robba C, Taccone FS. How I use transcranial Doppler. *Crit Care Lond Engl.* (2019) 23:420. doi: 10.1186/s13054-019-2700-6
- Craven CL, Sae-Huang M, Hoskote C, Watkins LD, Reddy U, Toma AK. Relationship between brain tissue oxygen tension and transcranial Doppler ultrasonography. *World Neurosurg.* (2021) 149:e942–6. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2021.01.070
- 24. Sokoloff C, Williamson D, Serri K, Albert M, Odier C, Charbonney E, et al. Clinical usefulness of transcranial doppler as a screening tool for early cerebral

hypoxic episodes in patients with moderate and severe traumatic brain injury. *Neurocrit Care.* (2020) 32:486–91. doi: 10.1007/s12028-019-00763-y

- Robba C, Pozzebon S, Moro B, Vincent JL, Creteur J, Taccone FS. Multimodal non-invasive assessment of intracranial hypertension: an observational study. *Crit Care Lond Engl.* (2020) 24:379. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-03105-z
- Reinstrup P, Unnerbäck M, Marklund N, Schalen W, Arrocha JC, Bloomfield EL, et al. Best zero level for external ICP transducer. *Acta Neurochir.* (2019) 161:635–42. doi: 10.1007/s00701-019-03856-x
- Dellazizzo L, Demers SP, Charbonney E, Williams V, Serri K, Albert M, et al. Minimal PaO₂ threshold after traumatic brain injury and clinical utility of a novel brain oxygenation ratio. *J Neurosurg.* (2018) 1–9. [Epub ahead of print].
- Contant CF, Valadka AB, Gopinath SP, Hannay HJ, Robertson CS. Adult respiratory distress syndrome: a complication of induced hypertension after severe head injury. J Neurosurg. (2001) 95:560–8. doi: 10.3171/jns.2001.95.4.0560
- Hirschi R, Hawryluk GWJ, Nielson JL, Huie JR, Zimmermann LL, Saigal R, et al. Analysis of high-frequency PbtO2 measures in traumatic brain injury: insights into the treatment threshold. *J Neurosurg.* (2018) 1–11. doi: 10.3171/2018.4.JNS172604. [Epub ahead of print].

Conflict of Interest: FST is the Scientific Advisor for Neuroptics Inc. and received lecture fees from Integra LifeSciences.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Kovacs, Peluso, Njimi, De Witte, Gouvêa Bogossian, Quispe Cornejo, Creteur, Schuind and Taccone. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.