RESEARCH ARTICLE

The crystal structure of Ac-AChBP in complex with α -conotoxin LvIA reveals the mechanism of its selectivity towards different nAChR subtypes

Manyu Xu¹, Xiaopeng Zhu², Jinfang Yu¹, Jinpeng Yu², Sulan Luo^{2⊠}, Xinquan Wang^{1⊠}

¹ The Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Protein Science, School of Life Sciences, Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Structural Biology, Collaborative Innovation Center for Biotherapy, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

² Key Laboratory of Tropical Biological Resources, Ministry of Education, Key Lab for Marine Drugs of Haikou, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China

Correspondence: luosulan2003@163.com (S. Luo), xinquanwang@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn (X. Wang)

Received March 17, 2017 Accepted April 27, 2017

ABSTRACT

The α3* nAChRs, which are considered to be promising drug targets for problems such as pain, addiction, cardiovascular function, cognitive disorders etc., are found throughout the central and peripheral nervous system. The α -conotoxin (α -CTx) LvIA has been identified as the most selective inhibitor of $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChRs known to date, and it can distinguish the α 3 β 2 nAChR subtype from the $\alpha 6/\alpha 3\beta 2\beta 3$ and $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChR subtypes. However, the mechanism of its selectivity towards α 3 β 2, α 6/ α 3 β 2 β 3, and a3β4 nAChRs remains elusive. Here we report the co-crystal structure of LvIA in complex with Aplysia californica acetylcholine binding protein (Ac-AChBP) at a resolution of 3.4 Å. Based on the structure of this complex, together with homology modeling based on other nAChR subtypes and binding affinity assays, we conclude that Asp-11 of LvIA plays an important role in the selectivity of LvIA towards $\alpha 3\beta 2$ and $\alpha 3/\alpha 6\beta 2\beta 3$ nAChRs by making a salt bridge with Lys-155 of the rat α3 subunit. Asn-9 lies within a hydrophobic pocket that is formed by Met-36, Thr-59, and Phe-119 of the rat β2 subunit in the α 3 β 2 nAChR model, revealing the reason for its more potent selectivity towards the α3β2 nAChR subtype. These results provide molecular insights that

Manyu Xu and Xiaopeng Zhu contributed equally to this work.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s13238-017-0426-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

can be used to design ligands that selectively target $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChRs, with significant implications for the design of new therapeutic α -CTxs.

KEYWORDS base editor, high-fidelity, mouse embryos, proximal-site deamination, whole-genome sequencing

INTRODUCTION

Neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) are a group of ligand-gated cation-selective ion channels that play key roles in fast signal transmission in the nervous system (Zoli et al., 2015; Hurst et al., 2013). They are activated by neurotransmitters such as acetylcholine and choline, and they also respond to numerous non-endogenous neuroactive molecules such as nicotine (Cecchini and Changeux, 2015). The nAChRs are implicated in various neurological diseases, including pain, Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease, substance addiction, epilepsy, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and depression (Dineley et al., 2015; Le Novere et al., 2002; Laviolette and van der Kooy, 2004), which makes them important drug development targets.

The nAChRs belong to the Cys-loop superfamily of pentameric ligand-gated ion channels (pLGIC), a superfamily which also includes serotonin (5-HT3), gamma-aminobutyric-acid (GABAA and GABAC), and glycine receptors (Ortells and Lunt, 1995). In vertebrates, neuronal nAChRs are composed of α subunits ($\alpha 2-\alpha 10$) and β subunits ($\beta 2-\beta 4$), which combine to form a large array of homo- or hetero-pentamers, such as ($\alpha 3$)₃($\beta 2$)₂, ($\alpha 3$)₃($\beta 4$)₂, ($\alpha 7$)₅, et cetera (Le Novere et al., 2002; Karlin, 2002). Both α and β subunits consist of an extracellular N-terminal ligand-binding domain, four C-terminal transmembrane regions (M1–M4), and an intracellular region extending between M3 and M4 (Hendrickson et al., 2013). Due to sequence and structural homology, especially the high similarity in the ligand-binding site among different nAChRs, the development of novel drugs specific for one type of nAChR or addressing the specificity and selectivity issues of natural agonists and antagonists is a very challenging task, which requires the provision of detailed structural information.

Structural studies of nAChRs have experienced a number of important breakthroughs in the past decades (Karlin, 2002; Unwin, 1995; Brejc et al., 2001; Celie et al., 2004; Morales-Perez et al., 2016). The early results came from low-resolution cryo-EM studies of the Torpedo sp. acetylcholine (ACh) receptor (Unwin, 1993, 1995, 2005; Beroukhim and Unwin, 1995; Miyazawa et al., 1999). On the other hand, the crystal structure of the acetylcholine-binding proteins (AChBPs) from mollusks, which are soluble homologs of the extracellular domain (ECD) of nAChR (Brejc et al., 2001; Smit et al., 2001), represented a great leap in the understanding of the structure and function of nAChRs. After this breakthrough, the X-ray crystallographic structures of mouse muscle-type α1 (Dellisanti et al., 2007), two α7 nAChR ECD-AChBPs chimeras (Li et al., 2011; Nemecz and Taylor, 2011), human neuronal α9 nAChR ECD (Zouridakis et al., 2014), and the full-length heteromeric human $(\alpha 4)_3(\beta 2)_2$ receptor were determined in quick succession (Morales-Perez et al., 2016). In addition, crystal structures of AChBPs or nAChR ECD in complex with different types of ligands, including AChBPs/nicotine (Celie et al., 2004), AChBPs/a-cobratoxin (Bourne et al., 2005), AChBPs/aconotoxins (PnIA (A10L, D14K) (Celie et al., 2005), TxIA (A10L) (Dutertre et al., 2007), ImI (Hansen et al., 2005; Ulens et al., 2006), and GIC (Lin et al., 2016)), human α9 nAChR ECD/methyllycaconitine (Zouridakis et al., 2014), and human α2 nAChR ECD/epibatidine (Kouvatsos et al., 2016), provided valuable information for our understanding of receptorligand binding specificity and selectivity. Interestingly, despite the only 20%-24% sequence identity with nAChRs, AChBPs display a striking structural resemblance to the nAChR ECD, and their pharmacological properties closely resemble those of nAChRs. Therefore, AChBPs still represent the best template for the characterization of ligand binding to the extracellular ligand-binding domain of nAChRs (Cecchini and Changeux, 2015).

Conotoxins are disulfide-bridged peptides isolated from cone-snail venom, which act on a wide range of ion channels, including voltage-gated sodium, potassium and calcium channels as well as nAChRs (Lebbe et al., 2014). To date, five types of conotoxins, termed α , δ , κ , μ , and ω , have been isolated and characterized, and each type attacks a different target, whereby α -conotoxins mainly inhibit nAChRs (Tsetlin et al., 2009). The α -conotoxins display a consensus fold with a central helical region braced by two conserved

disulfide bridges (Azam and McIntosh, 2009). Based on the number of residues between the second and third, as well as between the third and fourth cysteine residues, α -conotoxins are classified into different families, such as α 3/5, α 4/3, α 4/6, α 4/7 et cetera (Mir et al., 2016). As one of the largest and most diverse groups of nAChR antagonists, they have tremendous therapeutic potential for the treatment of various neurological diseases, including epilepsy and neuropathic pain (Tsetlin et al., 2009; Azam and McIntosh, 2009).

Owing to their relatively rigid framework structure, combined with great diversity at the amino-acid sequence level, a-conotoxins bind to distinct nAChR subtypes with different selectivity (Rucktooa et al., 2009), which makes them remarkable probes for structural studies. Because ligands that selectively inhibit α3β2, α6/α3β2β3, and α3β4 nAChRs are lacking, in an earlier study we investigated the $\alpha 4/7$ conotoxin LvIA, which is the first a-conotoxin discovered from the carnivorous marine gastropod Conus lividus (Luo et al., 2014). It has high affinity for $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChRs with an IC₅₀ of 8.7 nmol/L, and it is notable for being their most selective known probe, as it can distinguish the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChRs from the $\alpha 6/\alpha 3\beta 2\beta 3$ (IC₅₀ 108 nmol/L) and $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChR (IC₅₀ 148 nmol/L) subtypes (Luo et al., 2014). As α3* nAChRs are likely to modulate pain sensation and cardiovascular function, and the α 3 subunit is structurally very closely related to $\alpha 6$ (Salas et al., 2009; Paolini and De Biasi, 2011), strategies to selectively distinguish between the $\alpha 3^*$ and $\alpha 6^*$ subunits, as well as to modulate the function of $\alpha 3^*$ nAChRs are of great importance.

To reveal the mechanism responsible for the distinctive binding profile and selectivity of LvIA towards different α 3*nAChRs, we solved the crystal structure of α -conotoxin LvIA in complex with the acetylcholine binding protein from *Aplysia californica* (Ac-AChBP) at 3.4 Å resolution. Based on this complex structure, together with homology models based on other nAChR subtypes, as well as binding affinity assays, we offer an explanation for its binding features, which has significant implications for the design of new therapeutic α -conotoxin derivatives.

RESULTS

Overall structure of the Ac-AChBP complex

We solved the crystal structure of Ac-AChBP in complex with α -CTx LvIA at 3.4 Å resolution, using the molecular replacement method (Table 1). The complex displays a striking structural resemblance to earlier Ac-AChBP/ α -CTx structures that were solved before. Upon structural superimposition, the Ac-AChBP/LvIA complex structure had a RMSD of 0.72 Å for all paired C α atoms compared with the Ac-AChBP/PnIA (A10L, D14K) complex (PDB code 2BR8) (Celie et al., 2005), 0.52 Å with the Ac-AChBP/ImI complex (PDB code 2C9T and 2BYP) (Hansen et al., 2005; Ulens et al., 2006), 0.92 Å with the Ac-AChBP/TxIA (A10L) complex (PDB code 2UZ6) (Dutertre et al., 2007), 0.78 Å with the

 Table
 1. Crystal
 diffraction
 data
 collection
 and
 structural

 refinement
 statistics

Data collection	
Beamline	SSRF BL17U
Wavelength	0.9796 Å
Space group	P212121
Cell dimensions	
a, b, c (Å)	77.39, 83.99, 209.68
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90
Resolution (Å)	27.71–3.44 (3.56–3.44)
R _{merge} (%)	17.0 (87.6)
//δ/	8.5 (3.0)
Completeness (%)	98
Redundancy	5.0 (5.1)
Refinement	
Resolution (Å)	27.71–3.44 (3.56–3.44)
No. reflections	18405 (1463)
R _{work} /R _{free} (%)	23.9/28.3
No. atoms	8833
B-factors (Å ²)	94.75
r.m.s. deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.004
Bond angles (°)	0.66
Ramachandran plot (%)	
Favored	98.45
Allowed	1.55
Disallowed	0

Ac-AChBP/BulA complex (PDB code 4EZ1) and 0.34 Å with the Ac-AChBP/GIC complex (PDB code 5CO5) (Lin et al., 2016). The protein forms a windmill-like pentamer along a five-fold axis, forming five highly similar ligand-binding sites between two adjacent protomers (Fig. 1). Upon binding, α -CTxs are buried in the five ligand-binding sites (Fig. 1A). α -CTx LvIA is a C-terminally-amidated peptide comprising 16 amino acids with two disulfide bridges. In the ligandbinding site, it shares a common orientation with other previously determined α -CTxs (Fig. S1), with its central helix protruding into the binding site and the N- and C-termini located at the bottom and top of the binding site, respectively (Fig. 1B)

Structural basis for interactions between the $\alpha\text{-}CTxs$ LvIA and Ac-AChBP

Each α-CTx molecule interacts with two adjacent Ac-AChBP protomers at their interface, forming the principal and complementary binding sides. Most interactions on the principal side were between the peptide and the C-loop (Gln-184~Tyr193) of one of the Ac-AChBP protomers. Upon binding to LvIA, the C-loop has a significant conformational change that was also observed in the complex structures of Ac-AChBP with other α -CTxs (Fig. S2). Residues His-5, Pro-6, Ala-7, and His-12 of α -CTx LvIA play key roles on the principal binding site. His-5 forms a hydrogen bond with Tyr-91, Pro-6 undergoes a hydrophobic interaction with Tyr-91 and Trp-145, Ala-7 displays extensive hydrophobic interactions with Trp-145, Val-146, Tyr-147, and Tyr-193, while a salt bridge between His-12 of α -CTx LvIA and Glu-191 of Ac-AChBP was also observed. In addition, the Cys-2/Cys-8 disulfide bridge of the peptide was found to be stacked against the vicinal Cys-188/Cys-189 disulfide bond of Ac-AChBP (Fig. 2A).

The complementary binding side is situated at the interface between the LvIA and the β -sheet of another Ac-AChBP protomer. On the complementary binding side, Ser-4 and Asn-9 of LvIA form hydrogen bonds with Ser-165 and Gln-55 of Ac-AChBP, respectively. An electronic interaction was also observed between Asp-11 of LvIA and Arg-77 of Ac-AChBP. Overall, the complementary side does not seem to play a significant role in the interaction between the peptide and the Ac-AChBP (Fig. 2B).

Binding assay of LvIA with Ac-AChBP

To validate our co-crystal structure and identify residues that confer potency for Ac-AChBP, we conducted surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis to study the binding affinities of LvIA and its mutants. The results are summarized in Table 2. The wild-type LvIA and its analogues were produced using solid-phase peptide synthesis. Among the studied mutants, H5A, P6A, and H12A completely lost their binding capacity for Ac-AChBP. The other substitutions, such as Ac-AChBP S4A, exhibited comparatively small decreases of binding affinity. The most notable changes were the improved binding affinities of LvIA (N9A) and LvIA (D11A). LvIA (N9A) bound Ac-AChBP with a K_d value of 82.78 nmol/L, which represents a 1.6-fold higher affinity than native LvIA. LvIA (D11A) had a K_d value of 17.48 nmol/L for Ac-AChBP, which means that its potency had increased 7.5-fold.

Homology modeling of rat α 3 β 2, α 6 β 2, and α 3 β 4 nAChRs and docking with LvIA

LvIA exhibits a high affinity towards the rat α 3 β 2 nAChR (8.67 nmol/L), but has a 13-fold lower affinity towards the rat α 6/ α 3 β 2 β 3 nAChRs (108 nmol/L) and a 17-fold lower affinity towards the rat α 3 β 4 subtype (148 nmol/L). To gain molecular insights into the interactions of LvIA with the α 3 β 2, α 6 β 2, and α 3 β 4 nAChR subtypes, homology models of the extracellular ligand binding domain of rat α 3 β 2, α 6 β 2, and α 3 β 4 nAChRs bound to LvIA were constructed using the co-crystal structure of Ac-AChBP/LvIA as template. Homology



Figure 1. The X-ray crystal structure of α -conotoxin LvIA binding to Ac-AChBP. (A) The top view of Ac-AChBP/LvIA structure, showing LvIA (blue) in five binding sites. (B) The side view of Ac-AChBP in complex with LvIA, two adjacent protomers of the pentamer with a bound α -conotoxin LvIA molecule (in blue).



Figure 2. Binding interface between α-conotoxin LvIA and Ac-AChBP. (A) The disulfide bridge packing and hydrogen-bonding interactions (represented by yellow dashed line) on the principal side. Residues His-5 and His-12 of the LvIA form hydrogen bonds with Tyr-91 and Glu-191 of the Ac-AChBP, respectively. Disulfide bond C2-C8 in the LvIA closely packed together with C188-C189 in the Ac-AChBP. (B) On the complementary binding side, Ser-4 and Asn-9 of LvIA forms a hydrogen bond (represented by yellow dashed line) with Ser-165 and Gln-55 of Ac-AChBP, respectively. Electronic interaction was also observed between Asp-11 of LvIA and Arg-77 of Ac-AChBP.

modeling has been used in many publications examining conotoxins and nAChRs (McDougal et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2010; Sambasivarao et al., 2014). The interacting residues between LvIA and Ac-AChBP, rat $\alpha 3\beta 2$, rat $\alpha 6\beta 2$, and rat $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChRs are listed in Table 3. A sequence alignment of Ac-AChBP with the rat $\alpha 3$, $\alpha 6$, $\beta 2$, and $\beta 4$ nAChRs is shown in Fig. 3. Asterisks (*) indicate amino acids that are not

conserved between the $\alpha 3$ and $\alpha 6,$ or $\beta 2$ and $\beta 4$ ligand-binding sites.

In the homology model of LvIA bound to the rat α 3 β 2 nAChR, we noticed that Pro-6 of α -CTx LvIA exhibits very strong hydrophobic interactions with Trp-149 of α 3 and Trp-57 of the β 2 subunit, on the principal and complementary binding sides, respectively. His-5 of LvIA has a hydrogen

Peptide	Sequence	K _d (nmol/L)	Ratio
LvIA WT	GCCSHPACNVDHPEIC*	131.6	1
LvIA (S4A)	GCCAHPACNVDHPEIC*	23.83	0.18
LvIA (H5A)	GCCSAPACNVDHPEIC*	ND	ND
LvIA (P6A)	GCCSHAACNVDHPEIC*	ND	ND
LvIA (A7G)	GCCSHPGCNVDHPEIC*	ND	ND
LvIA (N9A)	GCCSHPACAVDHPEIC*	82.78	0.63
LvIA (D11A)	GCCSHPACNVAHPEIC*	17.48	0.13
LvIA (H12A)	GCCSHPACNVDAPEIC*	ND	ND

Table 2. Binding affinities of α-conotoxin LvIA and its mutants towards Ac-AChBP

ND not determined.

Asterisks indicate an amidated C terminusc.

bond with Tyr-193 and extensive hydrophobic interactions with Tyr-93, Tyr-190, and Tyr-197 of the α 3 subunit. Ala-7 exhibits hydrophobic interactions with Trp-149, Tyr-151, and Tyr-197 of the α 3 subunit, whereas His-12 of LvIA forms a salt bridge with Glu-195 of the α 3 subunit (Fig. 4A and Table 2). These interactions were very similar to the contacts observed in the Ac-AChBP/LvIA crystal structure (Table 2). However, Asn-9 lies within a hydrophobic pocket that is formed by Met-36, Thr-59, and Phe-119 of β 2 subunit (Fig. 5A), which was different from the hydrogen-bond contact between Ac-AChBP and LvIA.

A comparison of the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ and $\alpha 6\beta 2$ nAChR models allowed us to pinpoint the key amino acid residues on the principal side which is responsible for increased binding of LvIA to the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ vs. the $\alpha 6\beta 2$ subtype (Fig. 4). One notable change is the substitution of Lys-155 in the $\alpha 3$ subunit with Glu-155 in the $\alpha 6$ subunit. Lys-155 in the $\alpha 3$ subunit, which is a positively charged residue, forms a salt bridge with the negatively charged Asp-11 of LvIA. However, in the $\alpha 6$ subunit, Lys-155 is replaced by Glu-155, which causes an electrostatic repulsion of the Asp-11 from LvIA. This phenomenon alone may account for a large part of the observed selective potency towards the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ as opposed to the $\alpha 6\beta 2$ nAChR subtype.

Additionally, a comparison of the a3b2 and a3b4 nAChR models allowed us to determine the key residues on the complementary side responsible for increased binding of LvIA to the α 3 β 2 vs. the α 3 β 4 subtype (Fig. 5). We previously reported mutational studies conducted to assess the influence of residues from the $\beta 2$ subunit versus those from the β 4 subunit on the binding of α -CTx LvIA (Zhangsun et al., 2015). Two β 2 mutations, α 3 β 2 (F119Q) and α 3 β 2 (T59K), strongly enhanced the binding affinity of LvIA (IC_{50}) 0.58 nmol/L and IC₅₀ 0.96 nmol/L, respectively), and one β 2 mutation, a3b2 (V1111), substantially reduced the affinity (IC₅₀ 126 nmol/L) (Zhangsun et al., 2015). According to our α 3 β 2 model, Asn-9 lies within a hydrophobic pocket that is formed by Met-36, Thr-59, and Phe-119, whereas Val-10 undergoes a hydrophobic interaction with Val-111. The side chains of the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ (T59K) and $\alpha 3\beta 2$ (F119Q) nAChRs

increase the polar contact between LvIA and the β 2 subunit, forming two hydrogen bonds with Asn-9 of LvIA, which explains the improved binding affinity of LvIA towards the α 3 β 2 (T59K) and α 3 β 2 (F119Q) nAChR subtypes. Substitution of valine with isoleucine in the α 3 β 2 (V111I) nAChR subtype may cause a steric clash between Val-10 and β 2 V-111, explaining the decreased binding affinity of LvIA. However, in our α 3 β 4 nAChR model, there is only a small positional shift of Asn-9, making it form a hydrogen bond with Lys-61, but also lose the extensive hydrophobic interactions with IIe-123, GIn-121, and Leu-123. This may explain why LvIA is more potent towards α 3 β 2, demonstrating that hydrophobic interactions are crucial for the potency of LvIA when acting on the α 3 β 2 and α 3 β 4 nAChR subtypes.

DISCUSSION

Analysis of the precise role of a3* nAChRs has been hampered by the lack of specific molecular probes. The α-conotoxin LvIA was discovered and characterized in 2014 (Luo et al., 2014). It has a high affinity for the α 3 β 2 nAChR with an IC₅₀ of 8.7 nmol/L, and is selective for the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChR subtype over the $\alpha 3/\alpha 6\beta 2\beta 3$ and $\alpha 3\beta 4$ subtypes. The previously characterized conotoxins that block a3b2 nAChRs offer only poor selectivity towards a3B2 vs. a6B2* nAChRs (Luo et al., 2014). However, the expression patterns of a3b2* nAChR and a6b2* nAChRs overlap in dopaminergic regions, where α6β2* nAChRs predominate. LvIA may therefore be a highly valuable probe to interrogate the function and significance of a3b2 nAChRs in normal and disease physiology. In this study, we solved a co-crystal structure of Ac-AChBP/LvIA, and together with LvIA docking on different nAChR subtypes, concluded that Asn-9 and Asp-11 of α-CTx LvIA are the key residues responsible for its selectivity. According to molecular docking results, the Asp-11 residue of LvIA can make a salt bridge with Lys-155 of the rat α3 subunit, whereas it is electrostatically repulsed by Glu-155 of the rat α6 subunit, explaining the large difference in affinity towards the α 3 β 2 and α 6 β 2 nAChR subtypes. Asn-9

U	
C	
ංඊ	
2	
Ð	
0	
ር	

Table 3. Con	tacts between residues of Lv	IA alla ulose ol A		יה חווא עווארוו זה			
LvIA/Ac-AChi	BP crystal structure	LvIA/a3β2 nAChI	R model	LvIA/a3β4 nAC	thR model	LvIA/a6β2 nAC	hR model
Ac-AChBP	LVIA	α3β2nAChRs	Lvia	α3β4nAChRs	LvIA	α6β2nAChRs	Lvia
Principal side							
Tyr-91	His-5, Pro-6	Tyr-93	His-5, Pro-6	Tyr-93	His-5, Pro-6	Tyr-93	His-5, Pro-6
Ser-144		Ser-148	Ala-7	Ser-148	Ala-7		
Trp-145	Pro-6, Ala-7	Trp-149	Pro-6, Ala-7	Trp-149	Pro-6, Ala-7	Trp-149	Pro-6, Ala-7
Val-146	Ala-7	Ser-150	Asp-11	Ser-150	Asp-11	Thr-150	Ala-7
Tyr-147	Ala-7	Tyr-151	Ala-7	Tyr-151	Ala-7	Tyr-151	Ala-7
Ser-148	Asp-11						
Glu-151	Asp-11	Lys-155	Asp-11	Lys-155	Asp-11	Glu-155	Asp-11
Gln-184	His-5						
Tyr-186	Gly-1, Cys-2, His-5	Tyr-190	Gly-1, Cys-2, His-5	Tyr-190	Gly-1, Cys-2, His-5	Tyr-190	Cys-2, His-5
Cys-188,	Cys-2, lle-15					Cys-192	Cys-2, Ile-15
Cys-189	Cys-2, Cys-8, His-12	Cys-193	Cys-8, His-12	Cys-193	Cys-8, His-12	Cys-193	His-12
Glu-191	His-12	Glu-195	His-12	Glu-195	His-12	Glu-195	His-12
Туг-193	His-5, Ala-7, Cys-8, Asp- 11, His-12	Tyr-197	His-5, Ala-7, Cys-8, Asp- 11, His-12	Tyr-197	His-5, Ala-7, Cys-8, Asp- 11, His-12	Tyr-197	His-5, Ala-7, Cy Asp-11
Complement	ary side						
		Met-36	Asn-9	Glu-38	Cys-16	Met-36	Asn-9
Thr-34		Ser-38	Ser-4			Ser-38	Ser-4
Tyr-53	Ser-4	Trp-57	Ser-4, Pro-6	Trp-59	Pro-6	Trp-57	Ser-4, Pro-6
GIn-55	Asn-9, Cys-16	Thr-59	Asn-9	Lys-61	Asn-9, Cys-16	Thr-59	Asn-9
Arg-57	Cys-16	Glu-61	Cys-16	Glu-63	Pro-13	Glu-61	Cys-16
Asp-75		Lys-79	Asp-11			Lys-79	Asp-11
Arg-77	Asp-11	Arg-81	Asp-11	Arg-83	Asp-11	Arg-81	Asp-11
Val-106	Val-10	Val-111	Val-10	lle-113	Val-10	Val-111	Val-10
Thr-108				Arg-115	Pro-13		
Met-114	Asn-9, Val-10, Pro-13	Phe-119	Asn-9, Val-10	Gln-121	Val-10, Pro-13	Phe-119	Asn-9, Val-10
lle-116	Pro-6, Asn-9, Val-10			Leu-123	Val-10		
Asp-157	Cys-16	Lys-163	Pro-13, Cys-16	Lys-165	Pro-13, Cys-16	Lys-163	Pro-13, Cys-16
Asp-162	Cys-3, Ser-4	Ser-168	Ser-4	lle-170	Cys-3, Ser-4	Ser-168	Ser-4
Ser-164	Gly-1, Ser-4	Asp-170	Gly-1	Asp-172	Gly-1, Ser-4	Asp-170	Gly-1
Ser-165	Ser-4	Asp-171	Ser-4	Asp-173	Ser-4	Asp-171	Ser-4

Cys-8,

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Author(s) 2017. This article is an open access publication

Sequence alignment of Ac-AChBP, rat a3 nAChR and rat a6 nAChR AC-ACHBP QANLMRLKSD LENRSPMYPG PTKD--DPLT VTLGFTLQDI VKADSSTNEV DLVYYEQQRW 58 rato3 SEAEHRLFQY LFEDYNEIIR PVANVSHPVI IQFEVSMSQL VKVDEVNQIM ETNLWLKQIW 60 $rat\alpha 6$ CVSEEQLFHT LFAHYNRFIR PVENVSDPVT VHFELAITQL ANVDEVNQIM ETNLWLRHVW 60 120 100 AC-ACHBP KLNSLMWDPN EYGNITDFRT SAADIWTPDI TAYSST-RPV QVLSPQIAVV THDGSVMFIP 117 ratα3 NDYKLKWKPS DYQGVEFMRV PAEKIWKPDI VLYNNADGDF QVDDKTKALL KYTGEVTWIP 120 ratα6 KDYRLCWDPT EYDGIETLRV PADNIWKPDI VLYNNAVGDF QVEGKTKALL KYDGVITWTP 120 140 160 180 AC-ACHBP AQRLSFMC -- DPTGVDSEEG ATCAVKFG VYSGFEIDLK TDTDQVDLSS YYASSKYEIL 175 ratα3 PAIFKSSCKI DVTYFPFDY- QNCTMKFG (<mark>D</mark>KA<mark>K</mark>IDLV LIGSSMNLKD YWESGEWAII 179 S ratα6 PAIFKSSCPM DITFFPFDH- QNCSLKFG TYDKAEIDLL IIGSKVDMND FWENSEWEIV 179 200 220 AC-ACHBP SATOTROVOH PYID VNLVVKFRER RAGNGFFRNL FD 217 ratα3 KAPGYKHE<mark>I</mark>K QD ITYSL - - - -----YIRRL - - 209 ratα6 DASGYKHDIK IYTD ITYSF-------- YIRRL -- 209 ^B Sequence alignment of Ac-AChBP, rat β 2 nAChR and rat β 4 nAChR Т Ac-AChBP Q-ANLM-RLK SDLFNRSP----MYPGPTKD DPLTVTLGFT LQDIVKADSS TNEVDLVYYE 54 rat B2 --TDTEERLV EHLLDPSRYN KLIRPATNGS ELVTVQLMVS LAQLISVHER EQIMTTNVWL 58 ratβ4 RLANAEEKLM DDLLNKTRYN NLIRPATSSS QLISIRLELS LSQLISVNER EQIMTTSI<mark>W</mark>L 60 80 100 120 Ac-AChBP QQRWKLNSLM WDPNEYGNIT DFRTSAADIW TPDITAYSST RPVQVLSPQI - AVVTHDGSV 113 KVRLPSKHIW LPDVVLYNNA DGMYEVSFYS NAVVSYDGSI 118 rat β2 TQEWEDYRLT WKPEDFDNMK ILRIPAKRVW LPDIVLYNNA DGTYEVSVYT NV<mark>I</mark>VRSNGSI 120 ratβ4 KQEWTDYRLA WNSSCYEGVN 140 160 180 Ac-AChBP MFIPAQRLSF MCDPTGVDSE ----EGATCA VKFGSWVYSG FEIDLKTDTD QVDLSSYYAS 169 ratβ2 FWLPPAIYKS ACK---IEVK HFPFDQQNCT MKFRSWTYDR TEIDLVLKSD VASLDDFTPS 175 ratβ4 QWLPPAIYKS ACK---IEVK HFPFDQQNCT LKFRSWTYDH TEIDMVLKSP TAIMDDFTPS 177 200 220 AC-ACHBP SKYEILSATQ TRQVQHYSCC PEPYIDVNLV VKFRERRAGN GFFRNLF-D 217 ratβ2 GEWDIIALPG RRNEN----- PDDSTYVDIT YDFIIRR--- ---KPLFYT 213 ratβ4 GEWDIVALPG RRTVN----- PQDPSYVDVT YDFIIKR--- ---KPLFYT 215

Figure 3. Primary sequence comparison of Ac-AChBP, and the rat α 3, α 6, β 2, and β 4 nAChRs. (A) Sequence alignment of Ac-AChBP, rat α 3 nAChR, and rat α 6 nAChR; regions colored yellow indicate the amino acids that are not conserved between the α 3 and α 6 ligand binding sites, regions colored blue indicate the amino acids that are conserved between the Ac-AChBP, α 3 nAChR, and α 6 nAChR ligand binding sites. (B) Sequence alignment of Ac-AChBP, rat β 2 nAChRs, and rat β 4 nAChRs; regions colored with yellow indicate the amino acids that are not conserved between the α 3 and α 6 ligand binding sites, regions colored between the α 3 and α 6 ligand binding sites, regions colored blue indicate the amino acids that are conserved between the α 3 and α 6 ligand binding sites, regions colored blue indicate the amino acids that are conserved between the Ac-AChBP, β 2 nAChR, and β 4 nAChR ligand binding sites.

lies within a hydrophobic pocket that is formed by Met-36, Thr-59, and Phe-119 in the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChR model, revealing the reason for its more potent selectivity towards the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChR subtype.

Our results also confirm the results reported by Hone et al. that an N11R substitution in α -CTx PeIA essentially abolished the activity of PeIA for $\alpha3\beta2$ but not for $\alpha6/\alpha3\beta2\beta3$ nAChR subtypes (Hone et al., 2013). PeIA (N11R) has a positively charged amino acid in the 11th position, while LvIA has a negatively charged residue in its place. Their homology models indicate that PeIA(N11R)-binding is disfavored in $\alpha3$ -containing nAChRs, potentially due to a repulsive charge-charge interaction with Lys152 from the rat $\alpha3$ subunit (in this publication, Lys152 is equal to Lys155 due to a different numbering system), which was in good agreement with our experimental results. In conclusion, charged residues in the

11th position of the 4/7 α -CTx might be important determinants of binding to α 6 and α 3 subunits.

Taken together, our findings increase the understanding of the interactions between the α -CTx LvIA and various nAChR subtypes. We identified key residues, such as His-5, Pro-6, Asn-9, Asp-11, and His-12, that are involved in toxin-receptor interaction. This information will be valuable in the design and development of potent α 3 β 2-selective drugs, with significant implications for the treatment of neuropathic pain and nicotine addiction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Peptide synthesis

We used regio-selective disulfide bond formation with Acm-protected cysteine residues incorporated at positions 1 and 3, and a two-step



Figure 4. Homology modelling of rat α 3 and rat α 6 bound with α -conotoxin LvIA using Ac-AChBP/LvIA as the template. (A) In the rat α 3 homology model, the Pro-6 of α -CTx LvIA exhibits very strong hydrophobic interactions with the Trp-149 of α 3 and Trp-57 of β 2 subunit both on the principal and complementary binding side; His-5 of LvIA has a hydrogen bond with Tyr-193 and widely hydrophobic interactions with Tyr-93, Tyr-190, and Tyr-197 of α 3 subunit; Ala-7 exhibits hydrophobic interactions with Trp-149, Tyr-151, and Tyr-197 of α 3 subunit and His-12 of LvIA forms a salt bridge with Glu-195 of α 3 subunit. (B) In the rat α 6 homology model, contacts were very similar with the α 3 model except Lys-155 in α 3 subunit is replaced by Glu-155 and cause an electrostatic repulsion with Asp-11 of LvIA.



Figure 5. Homology modelling of rat β 2 and rat β 4 bound with α -conotoxin LvIA using Ac-AChBP/LvIA as the template. (A) In the rat β 2 homology model, Asn-9 resides in a hydrophobic pocket that is formed by Met-36, Thr-59, and Phe-119; Val-10 performs a hydrophobic interaction with Val-111. (B) In rat β 4 homology model, there is a little positional shift of Asn-9, making it form a hydrogen bond with Lys-61 but losing extensively hydrophobic interactions with Ile-123, Gln-121, and Leu-123.

oxidation procedure, to produce the alanine mutant peptides in a globular conformation (I–III and II–IV disulfide bonds). Briefly, the first disulfide bridge was closed using 20 mmol/L potassium ferricyanide in 0.1 mol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. The solution was allowed to react for 45 min, and the monocyclic peptide was purified by reverse-phase HPLC. Simultaneous removal of the acetamidomethyl groups and closure of the second disulfide bridge was accomplished via oxidation, by combining the monocyclic peptide in the HPLC eluent with an equal volume of 10 mmol/L iodine in H₂O/trifluoroacetic acid/acetonitrile (78:3:25 by volume) and allowing it to react for 10 min. The reaction was terminated

by the addition of ascorbic acid, diluted 20-fold with 0.1% (*v*/*v*) trifluoroacetic acid, and the bicyclic product purified by reverse-phase HPLC, same as above. The masses of the peptides were verified by matrixassisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry.

Protein expression and purification

Ac-AChBP was overexpressed in High Five insect cells maintained in SIM-HF medium (Sino Biological Inc., China) using the Bac-to-Bac baculovirus expression system (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Sf9 insect cells were maintained in Insect-XPRESS™ Proteinfree Insect Cell Medium (Lonza, Switzerland). The cDNA encoding the full-length Ac-AChBP was cloned into the pFastBac-Dual vector (Invitrogen) with a C-terminal 6× His tag to facilitate purification. The plasmid was used to transform competent E. coli DH10 Bac cells, and the extracted bacmid was used to transfect Sf9 cells using the Cellfectin II Reagent (Invitrogen). The low-titer virions (P0) were harvested after incubation of the transfected cells at 26°C for 7-9 days and amplified to generate high-titer virus stock. An aliquot comprising 10 mL of the amplified high-titer virus (P1) was used to infect cultures comprising 1 L of High Five insect cells at a density of 2 \times 10⁶ cells/mL. The culture supernatants containing soluble Ac-AChBP were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C, 48-72 h after infection. The culture supernatants were further concentrated and buffer-exchanged to HBS (10 mmol/L Hepes, pH 7.2, 150 mmol/L NaCl) using a 30 KD ultrafiltration cartridge. Ac-AChBP was captured on Nickel-NTA resin (GE Healthcare, USA) and eluted with 500 mmol/L imidazole in HBS buffer. Further purification was performed by gel-filtration chromatography on the Superdex 200 10/300 High Performance column (GE Healthcare, USA) with protein solution as mobile phase at 0.5 mL/min.

Crystallization and data collection

Purified Ac-AChBP and synthesized α -CTx LvIA were mixed at a molar ratio of 1:1.5 at 4°C. After incubation for 2 h, the sample was loaded onto a Superdex 200 10/300 High Performance column (GE Healthcare). The peak fractions were collected and concentrated to ~20 mg/mL in HBS buffer for crystallization. Crystals were successfully grown at 18°C using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method by mixing equal volumes of protein and reservoir solution. Crystals of Ac-AChBP/LvIA grew in buffer containing 1.2 mol/L DL-Malic acid pH 7.0, 0.1 mol/L BIS-TRIS propane pH 7.0. Prior to data collection, the crystals were cryocooled in liquid nitrogen, using reservoir solution plus 20% (v/v) glycerol as cryoprotectant. Diffraction data were collected at the BL17U beam line of the Shanghai Synchrotron Research Facility (Shanghai, China). Diffraction data were indexed, integrated and scaled using HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997).

Structure determination and refinement

The structure was solved via molecular replacement using the PHA-SER crystallographic software with Ac-AChBP/GIC (PDB code 5CO5) as search model (McCoy et al., 2007). The model was further rebuilt in COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and refined in PHENIX (Adams et al., 2002). Structure validation was performed with the program PROCHECK (Laskowski et al., 1993), and all structural figures were generated using PYMOL (http://www.pymol.org/). Data collection and structure refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1.

SPR analysis

Real-time binding analysis using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) was conducted on a Biacore S200 instrument (GE Healthcare, USA) at 25°C. Ac-AChBP was immobilized on a research-grade CM5 sensor chip (GE Healthcare, USA) using the amine-coupling

method. Flow cell 1 was left blank as a reference. Ac-AChBP (20 µg/mL) in 10 mmol/L sodium acetate pH 5.0 was immobilized to 600 response units in flow cell 2. For the collection of data, α -CTx LvIA and its mutants were injected into the flow cells in a buffer comprising 10 mmol/L HEPES pH 7.2, 150 mmol/L NaCl, and 0.005% (v/v) Tween-20 at various concentration using a 30 µL/min flow rate. Data were analyzed using the Biacore S200 evaluation software by fitting to a 1:1 Langmuir binding model.

Homology modelling and docking

All the modelling and docking were performed in Discovery Studio Client 4.0 (Accelrys, San Diego, CA). The molecular models of extracellular ligand-binding domains of the rat nAChRs such as α 3 β 2, α 6 β 2, and α 3 β 4 were generated based on the template of Ac-AChBP structure using the homology modelling program Modeler (Webb, 2014). The LvIA docking was based on the reference model of the Ac-AChBP/LvIA complex. The models were refined with a side-chain refinement and energy minimization process. All modelling and docking structures were verified by the program Profiles-3D in the Discovery Studio platform, as well as by the MolProbity server (Davis et al., 2007; Davis et al., 2004).

PDB deposition

The coordinates and diffraction data have been deposited into the Protein Data Bank with accession code 5XGL.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank scientists at SSRF BL17U beam line for assistance in diffraction data collection. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 31470751 and U1405228 to Xinquan Wang) and the Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Structural Biology. This work was also supported, in part, by the Major International Joint Research Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (81420108028), and Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Teams in Universities Grant (IRT_15R15).

ABBREVIATIONS

5-HT3, serotonin; α-CTx, α-conotoxin; Ac-AChBP, acetylcholine binding protein; Ach, acetylcholine; AChBPs, acetylcholine-binding proteins; ECD, extracellular domain; GABAA, gamma-aminobutyricacid; nAChRs, nicotinic acetylcholine receptors; pLGIC, pentameric ligand-gated ion channels

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICS GUIDELINES

Manyu Xu, Xiaopeng Zhu, Jinfang Yu, Jinpeng Yu, Sulan Luo, and Xinquan Wang declare that they have no conflict of interest. This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by the any of the authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.X. expressed, purified and crystallized the protein. M.X. and J.Y. carried out the SPR analysis. X.Z. and J.Y. synthesized the peptides.

M.X. collected and processed the diffraction data. X.W. and M.X. carried out the structural determination and refinement. M.X. and X. W. wrote the manuscript with help from all authors. X.W. and S.L. designed the research and supervised the project and commented on the manuscript.

OPEN ACCESS

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

REFERENCES

- Adams PD et al (2002) PHENIX: building new software for automated crystallographic structure determination. Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Biol Crystallogr 58:1948–1954
- Azam L, McIntosh JM (2009) Alpha-conotoxins as pharmacological probes of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Acta Pharmacol Sin 30(6):771–783
- Beroukhim R, Unwin N (1995) Three-dimensional location of the main immunogenic region of the acetylcholine receptor. Neuron 15(2):323–331
- Bourne Y et al (2005) Crystal structure of a Cbtx nd antagonistbound s essential interactions between snake α-neurotoxins and nicotinic receptors. The EMBO Journal 24(8):1512–1522
- Brejc K et al (2001) Crystal structure of an ACh-binding protein reveals the ligand-binding domain of nicotinic receptors. Nature 411(6835):269–276
- Cecchini M, Changeux J-P (2015) The nicotinic acetylcholine receptor and its prokaryotic homologues: Structure, conformational transitions & allosteric modulation. Neuropharmacology 96 (Part B):137–149
- Celie PHN et al (2004) Nicotine and carbamylcholine binding to nicotinic acetylcholine receptors as studied in AChBP crystal structures. Neuron 41(6):907–914
- Celie PHN et al (2005) Crystal structure of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor homolog AChBP in complex with an [alpha]-conotoxin PnIA variant. Nat Struct Mol Biol 12(7):582–588
- Davis IW et al (2004) MOLPROBITY: structure validation and allatom contact analysis for nucleic acids and their complexes. Nucleic Acids Res 32(Web Server issue):W615–W619
- Davis IW et al (2007) MolProbity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. Nucleic Acids Res 35 (Web Server issue):W375–W383
- Dellisanti CD et al (2007) Crystal structure of the extracellular domain of nAChR alpha1 bound to alpha-bungarotoxin at 1.94 A resolution. Nat Neurosci 10(8):953–962
- Dineley KT, Pandya AA, Yakel JL (2015) Nicotinic ACh receptors as therapeutic targets in CNS disorders. Trends Pharmacol Sci 36 (2):96–108

- Dutertre S et al (2007) AChBP-targeted alpha-conotoxin correlates distinct binding orientations with nAChR subtype selectivity. EMBO J 26(16):3858–3867
- Emsley P, Cowtan K (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Biol Crystallogr 60:2126–2132
- Hansen SB et al (2005) Structures of Aplysia AChBP complexes with nicotinic agonists and antagonists reveal distinctive binding interfaces and conformations. EMBO J 24(20):3635–3646
- Hendrickson L, Guildford M, Tapper A (2013) Neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: common molecular substrates of nicotine and alcohol dependence. Front Psychiatry. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00029
- Hone AJ et al (2013) Positional scanning mutagenesis of alphaconotoxin PeIA identifies critical residues that confer potency and selectivity for alpha6/alpha3beta2beta3 and alpha3beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. J Biol Chem 288(35):25428–25439
- Hurst R, Rollema H, Bertrand D (2013) Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: from basic science to therapeutics. Pharmacol Ther 137(1):22–54
- Karlin A (2002) Emerging structure of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Nat Rev Neurosci 3(2):102–114
- Kouvatsos N et al (2016) Crystal structure of a human neuronal nAChR extracellular domain in pentameric assembly: Ligandbound alpha2 homopentamer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113 (34):9635–9640
- Laskowski RA et al (1993) PROCHECK—a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. J Appl Crystallogr 26:283–291
- Laviolette SR, van der Kooy D (2004) The neurobiology of nicotine addiction: bridging the gap from molecules to behaviour. Nat Rev Neurosci 5(1):55–65
- Le Novere N, Corringer PJ, Changeux JP (2002) The diversity of subunit composition in nAChRs: evolutionary origins, physiologic and pharmacologic consequences. J Neurobiol 53(4):447–456
- Lebbe EK et al (2014) Conotoxins targeting nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: an overview. Mar Drugs 12(5):2970–3004
- Li S-X et al (2011) Ligand-binding domain of an [alpha]7-nicotinic receptor chimera and its complex with agonist. Nat Neurosci 14 (10):1253–1259
- Lin B et al (2016) From crystal structure of α -conotoxin GIC in complex with Ac-AChBP to molecular determinants of its high selectivity for α 3 β 2 nAChR. Scientific Reports 6:22349
- Luo S et al (2010) Atypical alpha-conotoxin LtIA from *Conus litteratus* targets a novel microsite of the alpha3beta2 nicotinic receptor. J Biol Chem 285(16):12355–12366
- Luo S et al (2014) A novel alpha4/7-conotoxin LvIA from *Conus lividus* that selectively blocks alpha3beta2 vs. alpha6/alpha3beta2beta3 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. FASEB J 28(4):1842– 1853
- McCoy AJ et al (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. J Appl Crystallogr 40(Pt 4):658–674
- McDougal OM et al (2013) pKa determination of histidine residues in alpha-conotoxin MII peptides by 1H NMR and constant pH molecular dynamics simulation. J Phys Chem B 117(9):2653–2661
- Mir R et al (2016) Conotoxins: structure, therapeutic potential and pharmacological applications. Curr Pharm Des 22(5):582–589

- Miyazawa A et al (1999) Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor at 4.6 Å resolution: transverse tunnels in the channel1. J Mol Biol 288 (4):765–786
- Morales-Perez CL, Noviello CM, Hibbs RE (2016) X-ray structure of the human alpha4beta2 nicotinic receptor. Nature 538 (7625):411–415
- Nemecz A, Taylor P (2011) Creating an alpha7 nicotinic acetylcholine recognition domain from the acetylcholine-binding protein: crystallographic and ligand selectivity analyses. J Biol Chem 286(49):42555–42565
- Ortells MO, Lunt GG (1995) Evolutionary history of the ligand-gated ion-channel superfamily of receptors. Trends Neurosci 18 (3):121–127
- Otwinowski Z, Minor W (1997) Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. Macromol Crystallogr Pt A 276:307–326
- Paolini M, De Biasi M (2011) Mechanistic insights into nicotine withdrawal. Biochem Pharmacol 82(8):996–1007
- Rucktooa P, Smit AB, Sixma TK (2009) Insight in nAChR subtype selectivity from AChBP crystal structures. Biochem Pharmacol 78 (7):777–787
- Salas R et al (2009) Nicotinic receptors in the habenulo-interpeduncular system are necessary for nicotine withdrawal in mice. J Neurosci 29(10):3014–3018
- Sambasivarao SV et al (2014) Cover picture: acetylcholine promotes binding of α -conotoxin MII at α 3 β 2 nicotinic acetyl-choline receptors (ChemBioChem 3/2014). ChemBioChem 15 (3):413–424

- Smit AB et al (2001) A glia-derived acetylcholine-binding protein that modulates synaptic transmission. Nature 411(6835):261–268
- Tsetlin V, Utkin Y, Kasheverov I (2009) Polypeptide and peptide toxins, magnifying lenses for binding sites in nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Biochem Pharmacol 78(7):720–731
- Ulens C et al (2006) Structural determinants of selective alphaconotoxin binding to a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor homolog AChBP. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103(10):3615–3620
- Unwin N (1993) Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor an 9 Å resolution. J Mol Biol 229(4):1101–1124
- Unwin N (1995) Acetylcholine receptor channel imaged in the open state. Nature 373(6509):37–43
- Unwin N (2005) Refined structure of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor at 4A resolution. J Mol Biol 346(4):967–989
- Webb B, Sali A (2014) Comparative protein structure modeling using MODELLER. Curr Protoc Bioinform 47:5.6.1–5.6.32
- Zhangsun D et al (2015) Key residues in the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor beta2 subunit contribute to alpha-conotoxin LvIA binding. J Biol Chem 290(15):9855–9862
- Zoli M, Pistillo F, Gotti C (2015) Diversity of native nicotinic receptor subtypes in mammalian brain. Neuropharmacology 96(Pt B):302–311
- Zouridakis M et al (2014) Crystal structures of free and antagonistbound states of human alpha9 nicotinic receptor extracellular domain. Nat Struct Mol Biol 21(11):976–980