



## Research article

# Germination test, seedling growth, and physiochemical traits are used to screen okra varieties for salt tolerance

Hayat Ullah, Tour Jan\*

Department of Botany, University of Malakand, Chakdara, Dir (L), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Differential expression of physiochemical compounds  
Okra  
Salt stress  
Seed germination  
Seedling growth

## ABSTRACT

Excess soil salinity is a major stress factor that inhibits plant growth, development, and production. Among the growth stages, seed germination is particularly susceptible to salt stress. Okra, a nutraceutical vegetable, has a low germination percentage. Literature has revealed genetic diversity in okra, which can be studied to develop salt-tolerant varieties. This study examined the salt tolerance of 13 okra varieties using germination tests and then tested five varieties in pot experiments with different NaCl levels (75, 100, and 125 mM NaCl). Results showed that salt levels affected all varieties, with differential variations in stress response. Salt stress reduced agronomic, and physiochemical traits in the studied varieties. In variety "MALAV-27", the highest salt concentration significantly reduced the shoot length (68.12 %), root length (65.11 %), shoot fresh weight (78.73 %), root fresh weight (68.32 %), shoot dry weight (75.60 %), and root dry weight (75.81 %), along with different physiochemical traits. Variety "NAYAB-F1" performed the best, and maintained the highest shoot length (57.12 %), root length (58.72 %), shoot fresh weight (68.26 %), and root fresh weight (58.34 %), shoot dry weight (69.23 %), root dry weight (62.50 %), and numerous physiochemical traits such as sugar (0.74  $\mu\text{g/g}$ ), proline (0.51  $\mu\text{mol/g}$ ), and chlorophyll 'a' (7.97 mg/g), chlorophyll 'b' (9.56 mg/g). The study recommended 'NAYAB-F1', 'Arka anamika', and 'Shehzadi' as salt-tolerant varieties suitable for selection in salt-tolerant okra breeding programs.

## 1. Introduction

Soil salinization is a significant abiotic stress that disrupts plant growth and development globally [1,2]. It affects 19.5% of irrigated land and 2.1% of arid land [3] with NaCl being the common salt hence the focus of most research [4,5]. It is expected that increasing salinization levels could result in a loss of 50% of cultivated land by 2050 in Asia [6]. Soil salinization continues to grow in size because of excessive irrigation [7]. Salinization occurs in arid and semi-arid regions because of high evaporation, and inadequate amounts of precipitation for considerable leaching [8].

Supersaturated salt concentrations can be toxic to plant cells [9], disrupting ionic balance and increasing osmotic pressure [10]. It also inhibits carbon fixation, thereby reducing leaf vigor and crop productivity [11,12]. Plants have defensive responses to salt [13]. Such as the uptake of osmoregulators and specific ions [14], which protect them from excessive ion toxicity and osmotic stress [15]. Despite osmotic stress and ionic toxicity, plants have to adapt and maintain balanced water absorption [16–18].

Soil salinization can affect seed germination, viability, and chloroplast pigments in most crops like melon and tomato [19,20].

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [tour\\_jan@yahoo.com](mailto:tour_jan@yahoo.com) (T. Jan).

Salinity can negatively impact the germination of plants such as *Oryza sativa*, *Triticum aestivum*, *Zea mays*, and *Brassica* spp. [21–25]. Salinity extremely affects plant growth and development depending on the plant growth stage, variety, and salinity level [26,27]. Okra, a nutritious vegetable crop [28] is a source of calcium, potassium, vitamins, carbohydrates, and unsaturated fatty acids [29]. The viscous fiber of the okra pods manages the level of cholesterol in the human body and thus helps with weight loss [30]. Okra is sensitive to salt stress [31], and its low yield can be partially attributed to salinity in the soil [32,33]. Therefore, identifying and screening okra varieties suitable for growth under salt stress is of great ecological and economic significance. It is incredibly challenging to enhance okra's salt resistance through variety breeding since little is known about the cultivars that confer this tolerance. This study evaluated the responses of thirteen okra varieties to salt levels and their impact on seed germination, seedling growth, and synthesis of biochemical compounds, with the aim of increasing okra yield in saline soils.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Initial screening using germination test

The study involved the collection of healthy seeds of thirteen okra varieties from the Tarnab Agricultural Research Institute in Peshawar, Pakistan (Table-1). Seeds were decontaminated with mercuric chloride solution (0.05 %) for 1 min and germinated in Petri dishes (12 cm diameter), using five replicates of 10 seeds. Seeds were irrigated with different levels of NaCl and received varying doses (50, 75, and 100 mM) of salt (5 mL per Petri dish), and the same amount of distilled water was supplemented to control seeds. The number of germinated seeds was recorded daily for up to ten days after 72 h of sowing. The emergence of plumule and radical from the seed was used as an index of germination. Germination percentage (GP) [34], germination index (GI) [34], and germination energy (GE) [35] were calculated.

$$\text{Germination Percentage} = \frac{\text{total no of germinated seed}}{\text{total no of sowing seed}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Germination Index (GI)} = \frac{\sum diNi}{S} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Germination Energy} = \frac{X_1}{Y_1} + \frac{X_2 - X_1}{Y_2} + \frac{X_3 - X_2}{Y_3} + \dots + \frac{X_n - X_{n-1}}{Y_n} \quad (3)$$

### 2.2. Salinity tolerance test at early growth stage

The study aimed to identify salt-tolerant, salt-sensitive, and salt-tolerant okra varieties. Five varieties that showed germination at all salt levels in the initial Petri dish experiment were selected and further examined in a saline environment with increased salt levels (75, 100, and 125 mM). Pot experiments were conducted in plastic pots containing five seeds of the selected variety (Table-2). After 45 days of recording seedling growth data, five seedlings were selected to measure root and shoot length. The plants were dried at 70 °C to constant weight and weighed.

### 2.3. Vigor index (VI)

The study aimed to determine the vigor index (VI) of different varieties by calculating seed germination and measuring root and shoot length. The vigor index was calculated using the formula of [36].

$$\text{Vigor Index} = \text{Germination \%} \times (\text{RTL (cm)} + \text{STL (cm)}) \quad (4)$$

### 2.4. Physiochemical assessment

The physiochemical evaluation involved the calculation of the sugar content, Proline content, and Chlorophyll contents.

**Table 1**  
List of thirteen okra varieties used in the petri dishes experiment.

S. No	Varieties	S. No	Varieties
1	NAYAB-F1	8	Sabz Pari
2	Arka anamika	9	Feveeri Green
3	NALAV-27	10	Shehzadi
4	Punjab selection	11	Hunza
5	Local Multani	12	Green star
6	Sarhad green	13	Anmol
7	051-F1		

**Table 2**  
List of selected okra five varieties for seedling growth.

S. No	Varieties	S. No	Varieties
1	NAYAB-F1	4	051-F1
2	Arka anamika	5	NALAV-27
3	Shehzadi		

#### 2.4.1. Sugar

Sugar content was determined by heating fresh leaves (0.2 g) with ethanol (80 %) for 10 min, adding 1 mL of phenol (18 %), and then incubating the solution at room temperature for 1 h. After incubation, 2.5 mL H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added to the mixture, and vortex. The absorbance of the supernatant was read at 490 nm on a UV Spectrophotometer.

#### 2.4.2. Proline

Proline content of each variety was determined by the method of [37]. 0.2 g of fresh leave was put in 10 mL of 3 % sulfosalicylic acid in test tubes for 48 h, and then 0.2 mL of glacial acetic acid and ninhydrin reagent were added. The mixture was heated at 100 °C for 1 h and allowed to cool before toluene was added. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 520 nm on a UV spectrophotometer.

#### 2.4.3. Chlorophyll

The chlorophyll content of each variety was determined by the methods of [38]. 0.2 g of fresh leaves were ground and then dipped in 80 % ethanol contained in the test tube. The test tube was heated in a water bath at 80 °C for 15 min. After that the test tube was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 6 min and the absorption of the supernatant was measured with a spectrophotometer.

$$Chl.a \left( 12.7 \left[ (mg\ g - 1f.wt) = [12.7(OD663) - 2.69(OD645)] \times \frac{V}{1000} \times W \right. \right. \quad (5)$$

$$Chl.b [(12.7[(mg\ g - 1f.w)] = [22.7(OD645) - 4.49(OD663)] \times \frac{V}{1000} \times W \quad (6)$$

where, V = Volume of the extract (mL) and W = Weight of the fresh leaf tissue (g).

### 2.5. Data analysis

Average data from three replicates were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS statistical software according to germination percentage over different salt concentrations.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Initial seed germination test

The study evaluated the salinity tolerance of 13 okra varieties by exposing them to different salt concentrations (0, 50, 75, and 100 mM). The results showed that as salt levels increased, germination percentage (GP), germination index (GI) and germination energy (GE) decreased in all varieties (Table 3). Five varieties —“NAYAB-F1,” “Arka anamika,” “MALAV-27,” “Shehzadi,” and “051-F1”— were chosen based on their germination performance under all salt conditions. These cultivars were suitable to test for seedling growth and physiochemical characteristics under stress conditions.

### 3.2. Varieties assessment for seedling growth

The study also found that the varieties showed substantial variation in seedling growth, with ‘NAYAB-F1’ showing the highest values in terms of shoot length (12.56 cm), root length (5.83 cm), fresh and dry weight of shoots (0.290 and 0.024 g), and fresh and dry weight of roots (0.068 g and 0.006 g). ‘Malav-27’ shows the highest decrease in agronomic characteristics, shoot length (7.49 cm), root length (3.57 cm), fresh and dry weight of shoots (0.168 and 0.012 g), and fresh and dry weight of roots (0.043 and 0.002 g), respectively. The vigor index (VI) values also decreased with “Malav-27” (243.2 %) and increased for ‘NAYAB-F1’ (283.7 %) Table-4.

### 3.3. Seedling growth assessment under salinity stress

The study evaluated the effect of salinity levels on the growth of okra seed seedlings, at different salt concentrations (0, 75, 100, and 125 mM). The results showed that the effect of salt stress was proportional to the stress level applied, leading to severe impacts at high levels for all growth traits measured (Table-5). As salinity levels increased, shoot length (SL) and root length (RL) decreased, with the highest decrease observed in variety “Malav-27” with a shoot length (68.12 %), and root length (65.11 %), followed by variety “051-F1” with a shoot length (72.97 %), and root length (60.48 %). NAYAB-F1 was observed to have the lowest decrease in shoot length (57.12

**Table 3**  
Initial germination test in petri dishes for selection of okra varieties under different salt levels.

Traits	Varieties— 0 mM NaCl													
	NAYAB-F1	Arka anamika	MALAV-27	Punjab selection	Local Multani	Sarhad green	Sabz Pari	051-F1	Feveeri Green	Shehzadi	Hunza	Green star	Anmol	
GP(%)	93.3±	100.0 ± 0.0	83.0±	73.3±	80.0±	66.7±	60.0±	86.7±	73.3±	93.3±	80.0±	66.6±	76.6±	
	3.55		3.02	2.09	2.02	1.55	1.20	1.55	2.55	3.55	1.02	2.09	2.09	
GE(%)	1.50±	2.95±	0.92±	0.68±	0.37±	3.29±	2.86±	2.33±	3.62±	0.43±	0.97±	1.58±	3.49±	
	1.75	1.29	0.03	0.49	0.46	0.50	1.00	1.50	0.54	0.57	0.82	0.44	1.36	
GI(%)	9.3±	10.0 ± 0.	8.0 ± 2.0	7.3±	8.0±	6.7±	6.0±	6.7±	7.3±	9.3±	8.0±	8.7±	8.7±	
	1.16			2.05	2.04	1.16	2.05	1.16	0.58	1.15	2.04	2.31	2.31	
Traits	Varieties— 50 mM NaCl													
	NAYAB-F1	Arka anamika	MALAV-27	Punjab selection	Local Multani	Sarhad green	Sabz Pari	051-F1	Feveeri Green	Shehzadi	Hunza	Green star	Anmol	
GP(%)	66.7±	60.0±	53.3±	33.3±	33.3±	20.0±	13.3±	56.7±	26.7±	74.0±	13.3±	46.7±	19.0±	
	3.55	2.03	2.09	3.09	3.09	2.64	1.55	3.51	6.19	2.02	3.09	3.55	2.4	
GE(%)	2.87±	2.39±	2.34±	2.25±	1.57±	1.00±	0.67±	2.01±	1.29±	2.93±	0.67±	2.34±	1.67±	
	1.29	0.93	0.78	1.09	0.87	1.03	0.58	1.73	2.23	1.68	1.16	2.30	0.55	
GI(%)	6.7±	6.0 ± 2.0	5.3±	5.3±	3.3 ± 2.3	2.0±	1.3±	4.7±	2.7±	8.0 ± 2.0	1.3 ± 2.3	4.7±	3.0 ± 2.0	
	3.05		2.31	1.12		3.46	1.15	3.05	4.62			3.06		
Traits	Varieties— 75 mM NaCl													
	NAYAB-F1	Arka anamika	MALAV-27	Punjab selection	Local Multani	Sarhad green	Sabz Pari	051-F1	Feveeri Green	Shehzadi	Hunza	Green star	Anmol	
GP(%)	43.7±	36.4±	33.3±	7.3±	10.0±	6.5±	–	34.4±	11.3±	36.2±	6.7±	12.0 ± 4.64	–	
	4.54	3.09	2.09	3.50	2.06	3.51		3.54	1.46	2.65	1.54			
GE(%)	2.54±	2.33±	2.34±	0.29±	0.95±	1.33±	–	1.33±	1.33±	1.33±	0.33±	0.35±	–	
	2.07	1.16	1.15	0.02	0.93	1.53		0.58	1.53	1.53	0.58	0.60		
GI(%)	4.7±	4.71±	5.3±	0.67±	2.0±	2.7±	–	2.7±	2.7±	2.7±	0.67±	2.0 ± 3.46	–	
	1.16	2.31	2.31	1.15	2.04	3.05		1.15	3.06	3.05	1.15			
Traits	Varieties— 100 mM NaCl													
	NAYAB-F1	Arka anamika	MALAV-27	Punjab selection	Local Multani	Sarhad green	Sabz Pari	051-F1	Feveeri Green	Shehzadi	Hunza	Green star	Anmol	
GP(%)	20.0±	16.13±	13.33 ± 3.1	–	–	–	–	14.0±	–	16.66 ± 2.1	–	–	–	
	2.02	1.04						1.04						
GE(%)	1.0±	0.29±	0.67±	–	–	–	–	0.33±	–	0.67±	–	–	–	
	0.03	0.50	1.16					0.59		1.16				
GI(%)	2.0±	0.67±	1.3±	–	–	–	–	0.67±	–	1.3±	–	–	–	
	0.04	1.15	2.31					1.55		2.31				

**Table 4**  
Effects of the okra varieties on seedling growth, regardless of salt levels.

Varieties	SL cm	RL cm	VI%	SFW(g)	SDW(g)	RFW(g)	RDW(g)
NAYAB-F1	12.56 ± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	5.83 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	283.7	0.290 ± 0.3 <sup>abc</sup>	0.024 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.068 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.006 ± 7.81 <sup>a</sup>
A. anamika	11.68 ± 1.28 <sup>ab</sup>	5.17 ± 0.15 <sup>b</sup>	260.6	0.285 ± 0.2 <sup>abc</sup>	0.023 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.062 ± 0.03 <sup>abc</sup>	0.005 ± 2.19 <sup>ab</sup>
MALAV-27	7.49 ± 1.04 <sup>d</sup>	3.57 ± 1.67 <sup>abc</sup>	243.2	0.168 ± 0.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.012 ± 6.2 <sup>ab</sup>	0.043 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.002 ± 1.32 <sup>a</sup>
051-F1	9.74 ± 0.75 <sup>c</sup>	4.87 ± 0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	254.9	0.227 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>	0.014 ± 0.09 <sup>ab</sup>	0.047 ± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	0.004 ± 1.92 <sup>a</sup>
Shehzadi	11.27 ± 1.31 <sup>ab</sup>	5.20 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	267.6	0.238 ± 0.3 <sup>abc</sup>	0.021 ± 0.02 <sup>ab</sup>	0.056 ± 0.03 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.003 ± 2.49 <sup>a</sup>
CV%	37.29	64.21	29.55	86.5	102.04	60.82	73.26

Mean in the similar column tracked by the equal letter are not significantly different at  $p < 0.05$ , according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

**Table 5**  
Seedling growth assessment of okra varieties under salt stress.

Trait	Varieties	NaCl levels (mM)						
		0	75	Change%	100	Change %	125	Change%
SL	NAYAB-F1	14.53	13.17	-9.36	10.60	-27.05	6.23	-57.12
	Arka anamika	13.83	12.37	-10.55	8.76	-36.65	5.52	-60.09
	MALAV-27	10.87	8.93	-17.84	6.46	-40.57	4.07	-68.12
	051-F1	12.77	11.20	-12.29	7.91	-38.06	3.53	-67.52
	Shehzadi	13.33	11.75	-11.85	8.43	-36.75	5.27	-60.46
SFW	NAYAB-F1	0.542	0.462	-14.76	0.252	-53.50	0.172	-68.26
	Arka anamika	0.515	0.428	-16.89	0.237	-53.98	0.207	-59.81
	MALAV-27	0.475	0.378	-20.42	0.166	-62.52	0.101	-78.73
	051-F1	0.527	0.427	-18.97	0.241	-54.26	0.162	-69.25
	Shehzadi	0.463	0.381	-17.71	0.223	-51.83	0.149	-67.81
SDW	NAYAB-F1	0.052	0.043	-17.30	0.029	-46.15	0.016	-69.23
	Arka anamika	0.061	0.050	-18.03	0.031	-49.18	0.018	-70.37
	MALAV-27	0.041	0.029	-29.26	0.018	-56.09	0.010	-75.60
	051-F1	0.027	0.021	-22.22	0.017	-37.03	0.008	-72.97
	Shehzadi	0.037	0.030	-18.91	0.021	-43.24	0.010	-70.49
RI	NAYAB-F1	4.87	4.16	-14.57	3.37	-30.94	2.01	-58.72
	Arka anamika	3.72	3.14	-15.59	2.53	-31.99	1.47	-60.48
	MALAV-27	3.87	3.08	-20.41	2.12	-45.21	1.35	-65.11
	051-F1	4.76	3.86	-18.90	2.68	-43.69	1.68	-64.70
	Shehzadi	5.63	4.68	-16.87	3.73	-33.74	2.11	-62.52
RFW	NAYAB-F1	0.084	0.074	-11.90	0.052	-38.09	0.035	-58.34
	Arka anamika	0.083	0.070	-15.66	0.048	-42.16	0.030	-63.51
	MALAV-27	0.079	0.064	-18.99	0.041	-48.10	0.025	-68.32
	051-F1	0.073	0.061	-16.43	0.044	-39.72	0.026	-64.38
	Shehzadi	0.074	0.062	-16.21	0.045	-39.18	0.027	-63.58
RDW	NAYAB-F1	0.064	0.057	-10.93	0.038	-40.62	0.024	-62.50
	Arka anamika	0.071	0.063	-11.26	0.039	-45.07	0.024	-66.19
	MALAV-27	0.062	0.050	-19.35	0.028	-54.83	0.015	-75.81
	051-F1	0.065	0.053	-18.46	0.034	-47.69	0.021	-67.69
	Shehzadi	0.076	0.064	-15.79	0.041	-46.05	0.026	-66.78

%) and root length (58.72 %). A similar trend was recorded in the other growth traits evaluated, including shoot fresh weight (SFW), and shoot dry weight (SDW) for the studied varieties.

### 3.4. Physicochemical assessment

#### 3.4.1. Sugar

The evaluations of varieties for sugar content revealed that “NAYAB-F1” had the highest sugar concentration, while “MALAV-27” had the lowest. With increasing levels of salinity, NAYAB-F1 showed a progressive increase in sugar content 0.36, 0.54, 0.68, and 0.74 µg/g at 0.0, 75, 100, and 125 mM NaCl but other varieties’ sugar content increased with 100 mM NaCl and decreased with 125 mM NaCl (Table 6).

#### 3.4.2. Proline

Variety and salt content also affected the proline value. “MALAV-27” had the lowest proline values of 0.29, 0.22, and 0.10 µmol/g, and “NAYAB-F1” had the highest values of 0.48, 0.49, and 0.51 µmol/g across all salinity levels (Table 6).

#### 3.4.3. Photosynthetic pigments

Consequently, the values of chlorophyll “a” and “b” were influenced differently by the variety and salt content. Chlorophyll “a” and “b” values were reduced in stressed seedlings. At the highest concentration of NaCl (125 mM), “NAYAB-F1” demonstrated the highest

**Table 6**  
Responses of okra varieties to salt stress to the content of sugar, proline, and chlorophyll “a” and “b”.

Varieties	Salt levels (mM)	Sugar $\mu\text{g/g}$	Proline $\mu\text{mol/g}$	Chl “a” mg/g	Chl “b” mg/g
NAYAB-F1	0	0.36 $\pm$ 0.02	0.30 $\pm$ 1.13	15.66 $\pm$ 0.59	16.78 $\pm$ 2.06
	75	0.54 $\pm$ 0.01	0.48 $\pm$ 2.51	12.30 $\pm$ 0.91	14.57 $\pm$ 0.54
	100	0.68 $\pm$ 0.01	0.49 $\pm$ 1.01	9.80 $\pm$ 0.18	12.42 $\pm$ 0.57
	125	0.74 $\pm$ 0.02	0.51 $\pm$ 0.01	7.97 $\pm$ 0.26	9.56 $\pm$ 1.49
Arka anamika	0	0.37 $\pm$ 0.08	0.32 $\pm$ 0.85	14.91 $\pm$ 1.36	15.41 $\pm$ 0.60
	75	0.52 $\pm$ 0.46	0.40 $\pm$ 0.02	11.13 $\pm$ 0.96	13.38 $\pm$ 1.86
	100	0.58 $\pm$ 0.64	0.45 $\pm$ 0.05	7.69 $\pm$ 0.75	10.60 $\pm$ 1.30
	125	0.36 $\pm$ 0.53	0.28 $\pm$ 0.49	5.25 $\pm$ 1.49	7.81 $\pm$ 1.14
MALAV-27	0	0.26 $\pm$ 0.02	0.11 $\pm$ 0.24	11.93 $\pm$ 0.91	11.72 $\pm$ 0.13
	75	0.30 $\pm$ 0.02	0.29 $\pm$ 0.01	7.89 $\pm$ 1.22	8.32 $\pm$ 0.79
	100	0.32 $\pm$ 0.52	0.22 $\pm$ 0.28	5.83 $\pm$ 1.89	5.97 $\pm$ 0.381
	125	0.20 $\pm$ 0.53	0.10 $\pm$ 0.43	2.60 $\pm$ 1.81	3.18 $\pm$ 2.50
Shehzadi	0	0.35 $\pm$ 1.50	0.28 $\pm$ 0.61	14.13 $\pm$ 0.28	14.84 $\pm$ 0.91
	75	0.46 $\pm$ 0.31	0.38 $\pm$ 0.24	10.29 $\pm$ 1.90	12.63 $\pm$ 2.76
	100	0.48 $\pm$ 0.33	0.42 $\pm$ 0.36	6.50 $\pm$ 0.63	9.45 $\pm$ 1.94
	125	0.32 $\pm$ 0.51	0.21 $\pm$ 0.50	4.14 $\pm$ 0.72	6.55 $\pm$ 0.41
051-F1	0	0.33 $\pm$ 0.03	0.27 $\pm$ 0.21	14.97 $\pm$ 0.16	13.75 $\pm$ 1.78
	75	0.40 $\pm$ 0.01	0.32 $\pm$ 9.16	8.02 $\pm$ 0.38	10.43 $\pm$ 0.56
	100	0.41 $\pm$ 0.01	0.38 $\pm$ 0.61	5.05 $\pm$ 0.87	7.97 $\pm$ 0.36
	125	0.29 $\pm$ 0.44	0.20 $\pm$ 0.46	3.06 $\pm$ 1.29	5.94 $\pm$ 1.81

values of chlorophyll “a” (7.97 mg/g) and chlorophyll “b” (9.56 mg/g) and “MALAV-27” exhibited the lowest values of chlorophyll “a” (2.60 mg/g) and chlorophyll “b” (3.18 mg/g) compared to the control (Table 6).

#### 4. Discussion

This study aimed to identify salt tolerance levels in thirteen okra varieties during germination and early seedling growth. The results showed that salt stress reduced germination percentage (GP), germination index (GI), germination energy (GE), and vigor index (VI) in okra varieties. The varieties which germinate at a high salinity level can be used as potential contributors to salinity tolerance. One of the most sensitive stages to salt during plant growth and development is the seed germination stage. The germination of seeds is greatly inhibited by salt stress [39]. When the seeds of okra are exposed to adverse conditions, such as salt and low temperatures, their GP decreases further [40]. In a salty environment, seed germination can be suppressed for various reasons. Salinity delays seedling growth, disrupts important basic cellular processes, and affects morphology throughout the seedling stage by changing the rate of emergence and growth in most glycophytes [41]. The study also assessed varying levels of stress tolerance among the varieties, with the ‘NAYAB-F1’ variety being the tolerant variety with 66.7 %, 43.7 %, and 20.0 % GP at 50, 75, and 100 mM salinity levels, respectively. The GPs of six okra varieties were 25.33 %–88.0 % [42]. The varieties that are minimally affected by salt stress may be probable sources of genes for salt tolerance, such varieties are significant in plant breeding programs as confirmed in tomato [43]. The results indicate that saline stress affects all the traits studied differently, the effects being in most cases proportional to the level of stress applied. These results are consistent with previous studies examining the effect of salt stress on seed germination in *Cucurbita maxima* and rice seeds [44,45], similar results were also highlighted in *Cicer arietinum* [46].

Salt stress was evident early in seedling growth, with a decrease in the fresh and dry weight of roots and shoots of the varieties studied. High-stress levels of 125 mM significantly affected seedling growth, but the rate of the effect varied among different varieties. ‘NAYAB-F1’ showed the highest length, fresh and dry weight of roots and shoots, providing evidence for its salt tolerance ability, whereas the lowest values were recorded in variety ‘MALAV-27’ indicating susceptibility to salinity. Related to the above mentioned results, all the noted agronomic traits were greatly affected by salinity stress, particularly at high levels. The negative effect of salt stress on agronomic traits has been previously evidenced in maize variety subjected to drought stress [47], previous reports underline the significant effect of the genotype on the response to salt stress for several crop species [48–50].

The study also found that ‘NAYAB-F1’ varieties had the highest proline content in leaves under salt stress, while ‘MALAV-27’ had the lowest. Our research identified NAYAB-F1 as a salt-tolerant variety and confirmed earlier findings of high proline content in salt-tolerant varieties of plant species such as tomatoes, melons, and potatoes [51–53]. The study also found that salt stress produced an increase in sugar content in stressed varieties. Plants utilize sugar as a crucial osmotic regulator to withstand stressful conditions, and their accumulation in plants under salinity stress serves as an adaptive mechanism [54]. The results of this work are consistent with reports of high sugar content in salinity-stressed okra and fava beans, respectively [55–57]. The response to high salinity could involve a decrease in chlorophyll content in various plant species, including the pepper and winter squash plants [58].

#### 5. Conclusion

The experiment revealed a strong link between salt stress and reduced germination percentage and fresh and dry weight of okra variety plants, which can be used to calculate salt tolerance potential. The overall data indicate the possibility of conducting the early selection of a salt-tolerant okra variety depending on germination and seedling growth performance under salt stress. According to the

results of our study, 'NAYAB-F1', 'Arka anamika', and 'Shehzadi' varieties are recommended as salt-tolerant varieties.

### CRedit authorship contribution statement

**Hayat Ullah:** Writing – original draft, Investigation. **Tour Jan:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Hayat Ullah reports equipment, drugs, or supplies was provided by The University of Haripur. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Department of Botany, University of Malakand, Chakdara Dir (L), KP, Pakistan for providing Laboratory facilities to effectively complete this work.

### References

- [1] C. Hafsi, M.C. Romero-Puertas, D.K. Gupta, A. Luis, L.M. Sandalio, C. Abdely, Moderate salinity enhances the antioxidative response in the halophyte *Hordeum maritimum* L. under potassium deficiency, *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 69 (2010) 129–136.
- [2] A.K. Parida, A.B. Das, Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 60 (2005) 324–349.
- [3] A. Mashali, FAO global network on integrated soil management for sustainable use of salt affected soils, in: *Proceedings of International Symposium on Sustainable Management of Salt Affected Soils in the Arid Ecosystem*, 1997.
- [4] E.P. Tavakkoli, McDonald G.K. Rengasamy, High concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions in soil solution have simultaneous detrimental effects on growth of faba bean under salinity stress, *J. Exp. Bot.* 61 (2010) 4449–4459.
- [5] R. Munns, M. Tester, Mechanisms of salinity tolerance, *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 59 (2008) 651.
- [6] X. Dai, Z. Huo, H. Wang, Simulation for response of crop yield to soil moisture and salinity with artificial neural network, *Field Crops Res.* 121 (2011) 441–449.
- [7] A. Jamil, S. Riaz, M. Ashraf, M.R. Foolad, Gene expression profiling of plants under salt stress, *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 30 (2011) 435–458.
- [8] A. Khan, A.L. Khan, S. Muneer, Y.H. Kim, A. Al-Rawahi, A. Al-Harrasi, Silicon and salinity: crosstalk in crop-mediated stress tolerance mechanisms, *Front. Plant Sci.* 10 (2019) 1429.
- [9] A. Tahira, M.A. Pervez, C.M. Ayyub, M.R. Shaheen, T. Sana, M.A. Shahid, R.M. Bilal, M. Abdul, Evaluation of different okra genotypes for salt tolerance, *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences* 43 (2014) 23–30.
- [10] M.A. Shahid, M.A. Pervez, R.M. Balal, R. Ahmad, C.M. Ayyub, T. Abbas, N. Akhtar, Salt stress effects on some morphological and physiological characteristics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.), *Soil Environ.* (2011) 30–31.
- [11] A. Roohi, B. Nazish, M. Maleeha, S.A. Waseem, A critical review on halophytes: salt tolerant plants, *J. Med. Plants Res.* 533 (2011) 7108–7118.
- [12] L. Sonon, U. Saha, D. Kissel, *Soil Salinity Testing, Data Interpretation and Recommendations*: University of Georgia Cooperative Extension Circular, vol. 10, 2015, pp. 6–19.
- [13] M. Gilliam, J.A. Able, S.J. Roy, Translating knowledge about abiotic stress tolerance to breeding programmes, *The Plant* 90 (2017) 898–917.
- [14] J. Bose, R. Munns, S. Shabala, M. Gilliam, B. Pogson, S.D. Tyerman, Chloroplast function and ion regulation in plants growing on saline soils: lessons from halophytes, *J. Exp. Bot.* 68 (2017) 3129–3143.
- [15] S. Shabala, R.G. White, M.A. Djordjevic, Y.L. Ruan, U. Mathesius, Root-to-shoot signalling: integration of diverse molecules, pathways and functions, *Funct. Plant Biol.* 43 (2015) 87–104.
- [16] H.L. Wang, L. Wang, C.Y. Tian, Z.Y. Huang, Germination dimorphism in *Suaeda acuminata*: a new combination of dormancy types for heteromorphic seeds, *South Afr. J. Bot.* 78 (2012) 270–275.
- [17] D.M. Kasote, S.S. Katyare, M.V. Hegde, H. Bae, Significance of antioxidant potential of plants and its relevance to therapeutic applications, *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 11 (2015) 9–82.
- [18] M.M.F. Mansour, E.F. Ali, Evaluation of proline functions in saline conditions, *Phytochemistry Rev.* 140 (2017) 52–68.
- [19] R. Gadwal, G.A. Naik, Comparative Study on the Effect of Salt Stress on Seed Germination and Early Seedling Growth of Two Hibiscus Species, 2014.
- [20] P. Botía, M. Carvajal, A. Cerdá, V. Martínez, Response of eight *Cucumis melo* cultivars to salinity during germination and early vegetative growth, *Agron. Afr.* 18 (1998) 503–513.
- [21] S. Xu, B. Hu, Z. He, F. Ma, J. Feng, W. Shen, J. Yang, Enhancement of salinity tolerance during rice seed germination by presoaking with hemoglobin, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 124 (2011) 2488–2501.
- [22] H. Akbarimoghaddam, M. Galavi, A. Ghanbari, N. Panjehkeh, Salinity effects on seed germination and seedling growth of bread wheat cultivars, *Trakia J. Sci.* 19 (2011) 43–50.
- [23] E. Carpićy, N. Celyk, G. Bayram, Effects of salt stress on germination of some maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars, *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* (2009) 8–19.
- [24] Z. Khodarahmpour, M. Ifar, M. Motamedi, Effects of NaCl salinity on maize (*Zea mays* L.) at germination and early seedling stage, *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 112 (2012) 298–304.
- [25] N.A. Akram, A. Jamil, Appraisal of physiological and biochemical selection criteria for evaluation of salt tolerance in canola (*Brassica napus* L.), *Pakistan J. Bot.* 395 (2007) 1593–1608.
- [26] H. Zhang, J. Zhu, Z. Gong, J.K. Zhu, Abiotic stress responses in plants, *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 23 (2022) 104–119.
- [27] A. Rasheed, A. Raza, H. Jie, A. Mahmood, Y. Ma, L. Zhao, H. Xing, L. Li, M.U. Hassan, S.H. Qari, Molecular tools and their applications in developing salt-tolerant soybean (*Glycine max* L.) cultivars, *Bioengineering* 9 (2022) 495.
- [28] M. Abid, S.A. Malik, K. Bilal, R.A. Wajid, Response of okra (*abelmoschus esculentus* L.) to EC and SAR of irrigation water, *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 43 (2002) 311–314.
- [29] T.A. Aaron, A.B. Elvis, A. Faustina, T. Kingsley, O. Edmund, Phenotypic traits detect genetic variability in Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench), *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 33 (2016) 3169–3177.
- [30] H.F. Gemed, N. Ratta, G.D. Haki, A.Z. Woldegiorgis, F. Beyene, Nutritional quality and health benefits of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*): a review, *J. Food Process. Technol.* 6 (458) (2015) 2.
- [31] A.M. Esan, K. Masisi, F.A. Dada, C.O. Olaiya, Comparative effects of indole acetic acid and salicylic acid on oxidative stress marker and antioxidant potential of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) fruit under salinity stress, *Sci. Horti.* 216 (2017) 278–283.
- [32] I.U. Haq, A.A. Khan, I.A. Khan, M.A. Azmat, Comprehensive screening and selection of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) germplasm for salinity tolerance at the seedling stage and during plant ontogeny, *J. Zhejiang Univ. - Sci. B.* 13 (2012) 533–544.

- [33] S.H. Habib, H. Kausar, H.M. Saud, Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria enhance salinity stress tolerance in okra through ROS-scavenging enzymes, *BioMed Res. Int.* (2016) 6284547.
- [34] M.A. Kader, S. Lindberg, Uptake of sodium in protoplasts of salt-sensitive and salt-tolerant cultivars of rice, (*Oryza sativa* L.) determined by the fluorescent dye SBFI, *J. Exp. Bot.* 422 (2005) 3149–3158.
- [35] R. Kumar, G.S. Shamet, H. Mehta, N.M. Alam, J.M. Tomar, O.P. Chaturvedi, N. Khajuria, Influence of gibberellic acid and temperature on seed germination in Chilgoza pine (*Pinus gerardiana* Wall.), *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* 194 (2014) 363–367.
- [36] P.M. Dezfuli, F. Sharif-Zadeh, M. Janmohammadi, Influence of priming techniques on seed germination behavior of maize inbred lines (*Zea mays* L.), *J. Agric. Biol. Sci.* 3 (2008) 22–25.
- [37] L.S. Bates, R.P. Waldren, I. Teare, Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies, *Plant Soil* 39 (1973) 205–207.
- [38] D.I. Arnon, Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*, *Plant Physiol.* 24 (1949) 1.
- [39] H. Wu, J.R. Guo, C.F. Wang, K.L. Li, X.W. Zhang, Z. Yang, M.T. Li, B.S. Wang, An effective screening method and a reliable screening trait for salt tolerance of *Brassica napus* at the germination stage, *Front. Plant Sci.* 10 (2019) 530.
- [40] B.B. Dkhil, A. Issa, M. Denden, Germination and seedling emergence of primed okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) seeds under salt stress and low temperature, *Am. J. Plant Physiol.* 9 (2014) 38–45.
- [41] A.M.A. El-Badri, M. Batool, I.A.A. Mohamed, A. Khatib, A. Sherif, Z. Wang, A. Salah, E. Nishawy, M. Ayaad, J. Kuai, Modulation of salinity impact on early seedling stage via nano-priming application of zinc oxide on rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.), *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 166 (2021) 376–392.
- [42] R. Rima, Seed priming significantly affects germination and post germination early seedling growth in okra, *Malays. J. Halal Res.* 4 (2021) 11–13.
- [43] Y.K. Shin, S.R. Bhandari, J.S. Jo, J.W. Song, M.C. Cho, E.Y. Yang, J.G. Lee, Response to salt stress in lettuce: changes in chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, phytochemical contents, and antioxidant activities, *Agronomy* 10 (2020) 16–27.
- [44] N. Tarchoun, W. Saadaoui, N. Mezghani, O.I. Pavli, H. Falleh, S.A. Etropoulos, The effects of salt stress on germination, seedling growth and biochemical responses of Tunisian squash (*Cucurbita maxima* duchesne) germplasm, *Plants* 11 (2022) 800.
- [45] S. Srivastava, P. Shram, Effect of NaCl on chlorophyll fluorescence and thylakoid membrane proteins in leaves of salt sensitive and tolerant rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties, *J. Stress Physiol. Biochem.* 17 (2021) 35–44.
- [46] D.K. Janghel, K. Kumar, R. Sunil, A.K. Chhabra, Genetic diversity analysis, characterization and evaluation of elite chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes, *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 90 (2020) 199–209.
- [47] C. Partheeban, C.N. Chandrasekhar, P. Jeyakumar, R. Ravikesavan, R. Gnanam, Effect of PEG induced drought stress on seed germination and seedling characters of maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes, *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 6 (2017) 1095–1104.
- [48] M. Jamil, E.S. Rha, The effect of salinity (NaCl) on the germination and seedling of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) and cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.), *Plant. Resour.* 7 (2004) 226–232.
- [49] R. Alom, M.A. Hasan, M.R. Islam, Q.F. Wang, Germination characters and early seedling growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under salt stress conditions, *J. Crop Sci. Biotechnol.* 19 (2016) 383–392.
- [50] S. Hannachi, M.C. Van Labeke, Salt stress affects germination, seedling growth and physiological responses differentially in eggplant cultivars (*Solanum melongena* L.), *Sci. Hortic.* 228 (2018) 56–65.
- [51] R. Jaarsma, R.S.M. de Vries, A.H. de Boer, Effect of salt stress on growth, Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation and proline metabolism in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) cultivars, *PLoS One* 8 (2013) e60183.
- [52] B. Sarabi, S. Bolandnazar, N. ghaderi, J. ghashghaie, Genotypic differences in physiological and biochemical responses to salinity stress in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) plants: prospects for selection of salt tolerant landraces, *Plant. Physiol. Biochem.* 119 (2017) 294–311.
- [53] A. De la Torre-González, D. Montesinos-pereira, B. Blasco, J.M. Ruiz, Influence of the proline metabolism and glycine betaine on tolerance to salt stress in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) commercial genotypes, *J. Plant Physiol.* 231 (2018) 329–336.
- [54] P.B. Kavi-kishor, N. Sreenivasulu, Is proline accumulation per se correlated with stress tolerance or is proline homeostasis a more critical issue? *Plant Cell Environ.* 37 (2014) 300–311.
- [55] M.M. Azooz, A. Metwally, M.F. Abou-Elhamd, Jasmonate-induced tolerance of hassawi okra seedlings to salinity in brackish water, *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 37 (2015) 77.
- [56] M.S. Sadak, M.T. Abdelhamid, Influence of amino acids mixture application on some biochemical aspects, antioxidant enzymes and endogenous polyamines of *Vicia faba* plant grown under seawater salinity stress, *Gesunde Pflanz.* 67 (3) (2015) 119–129.
- [57] K. Zhani, B.F. Mariem, M. Fardaous, H. Cherif, K. Zhani, B.F. Mariem, M. Fardaous, H. Cherif, Impact of salt stress (NaCl) on growth, chlorophyll content and fluorescence of Tunisian cultivars of chili pepper (*Capsicum frutescens* L.), *J. Stress Physiol. Biochem.* 8 (2012) 236–252.
- [58] nska A. Serocz, A. Antczak, K. Kami nska, M. Korytowska, A. Korzeniewska, K. Niemirowicz-szczytt, A. Radomski, J. Zawadzki, Evaluation of the selected forms of winter squash (*Cucurbita maxima* Duch.) for the content of free sugars and polysaccharides, *Polish J. Agron.* 16 (2014) 69–73.