

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

Clinical impact of ultra deep versus Sanger sequencing detection of minority mutations on HIV-1 drug resistance genotype interpretation after virological failure

Sofiane Mohamed^{1,4*}, Guillaume Penaranda¹, Dimitri Gonzalez², Claire Camus¹, Hacène Khiri¹, Ronan Boulmé², Chalom Sayada², Patrick Philibert³, Daniel Olive⁴, Philippe Halfon¹

From International Symposium HIV and Emerging Infectious Diseases 2014
Marseille, France. 21-23 May 2013

Aim

Drug resistance mutations are routinely detected using standard Sanger sequencing, which does not detect minor variants with a frequency below 20%. The impact of detecting minor variants generated by ultra-deep sequencing (UDS) on HIV drug-resistance (DR) interpretations has not yet been studied.

Methods

Fifty HIV-1 patients who experienced virological failure were included in this retrospective study. The HIV-1 UDS protocol was performed using the GS Junior (Roche 454 Life Sciences Branford, CT). This UDS protocol allowed the detection and quantification of minor and major HIV-1 protease and reverse transcriptase variants related to genotypes A, B, C, E, F and G. DeepChek[®]-HIV (ABL, SA and TherapyEdge[™], USA) simplified drug resistance (DR) interpretation software was used to compare Sanger sequencing and UDS at two different thresholds ($\geq 1\%$ and $\geq 20\%$). DeepChek[®]-HIV utilizes the ANRS, HIVdb and Rega algorithms.

Results

The total time required for the UDS protocol was found to be approximately three times longer than Sanger sequencing with equivalent reagent costs. UDS detected all of the mutations found by population sequencing and identified additional resistance variants in all patients, primarily by using 1% sensitivity. An analysis of

DR revealed a total of 643 and 224 clinically relevant mutations by UDS and Sanger sequencing, respectively. Three resistance mutations with $>20\%$ prevalence were detected solely by UDS: A98S (23%), E138A (21%) and V179I (25%). A significant difference in the DR interpretations for 19 antiretroviral drugs was observed between the UDS and Sanger sequencing methods. Y181C and T215Y were the most frequent mutations associated with interpretation differences. The major discrepancies between Sanger and UDS were primarily found at the 1% threshold in the three algorithms.

Conclusion

UDS was more sensitive than the standard Sanger sequencing. A combination of UDS and DeepChek[®] software for the interpretation of DR results saved a considerable amount of time and would help clinicians provide suitable treatments. A cut-off of 1% allowed a better characterization of the viral population by identifying additional resistance mutations and improving the DR interpretation.

Authors' details

¹Alphabio Laboratory, Marseille, France. ²Advanced Biological Laboratories (ABL), Luxembourg, Luxembourg. ³European Hospital, Marseille, France. ⁴Paoli Calmettes Institute, Tumor Immunology Laboratory and INSERM Center of Cancer Research, Marseille, France.

Published: 23 May 2014

doi:10.1186/1471-2334-14-S2-O1

Cite this article as: Mohamed et al.: Clinical impact of ultra deep versus Sanger sequencing detection of minority mutations on HIV-1 drug resistance genotype interpretation after virological failure. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2014 **14**(Suppl 2):O1.

¹Alphabio Laboratory, Marseille, France
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article