



Article Madecassic Acid—A New Scaffold for Highly Cytotoxic Agents

Oliver Kraft[®], Ann-Kathrin Hartmann, Sophie Hoenke[®], Immo Serbian[®] and René Csuk *[®]

Organic Chemistry, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Kurt-Mothes-Str. 2, D-06120 Halle (Saale), Germany; oliver.kraft@chemie.uni-halle.de (O.K.); ak.hartmann@gmx.de (A.-K.H.); sophie.hoenke@chemie.uni-halle.de (S.H.); immoserbian@gmail.com (I.S.)

* Correspondence: rene.csuk@chemie.uni-halle.de

Abstract: Due to their manifold biological activities, natural products such as triterpenoids have advanced to represent excellent leading structures for the development of new drugs. For this reason, we focused on the syntheses and cytotoxic evaluation of derivatives obtained from gypsogenin, hederagenin, and madecassic acid, cytotoxicity increased—by and large—from the parent compounds to their acetates. Another increase in cytotoxicity was observed for the acetylated amides (phenyl, benzyl, piperazinyl, and homopiperazinyl), but a superior cytotoxicity was observed for the corresponding rhodamine B conjugates derived from the (homo)-piperazinyl amides. In particular, a madecassic acid homopiperazinyl rhodamine B conjugate **24** held excellent cytotoxicity and selectivity for several human tumor cell lines. Thus, this compound was more than 10,000 times more cytotoxic than parent madecassic acid for A2780 ovarian cancer cells. We assume that the presence of an additional hydroxyl group at position C–6 in derivatives of madecassic, as well as the (2α , 3β) configuration of the acetates in ring A, had a beneficial effect onto the cytotoxicity of the conjugates, as well as onto tumor/non-tumor cell selectivity.

Keywords: madecassic acid; gypsogenin; hederagenin; cytotoxicity; mitocan



Citation: Kraft, O.; Hartmann, A.-K.; Hoenke, S.; Serbian, I.; Csuk, R. Madecassic Acid—A New Scaffold for Highly Cytotoxic Agents. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 4362. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms23084362

Academic Editor: Daniela Grimm

Received: 23 March 2022 Accepted: 13 April 2022 Published: 14 April 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Despite all efforts and tremendous achievements in the fight against cancer, in 2020 10 million people worldwide died as a consequence of this disease [1], and 19.3 million new cases were reported by the International Agency for Research on Cancer [2]. Many chemotherapeutic agents hitherto used for the therapy of cancer are natural products or derived from them. Several compounds derived from pentacyclic triterpenes were successfully encountered as highly cytotoxic as well as exhibiting pronounced tumorselectivity [3–7]. A closer look into this class of compounds, however, revealed that research was mainly focused on derivatives of betulinic, ursolic, and oleanolic acid and-to a minor extent—of glycyrrhetinic acid [8–15]. The number of investigations dealing with cytotoxic agents derived from gypsogenin, hederagenin, or madecassic acid, however, remained small over many years [16-19]. This may be due to the fact that the availability of these compounds is considered to be worse than that of, for example, betulinic acid [20-22]. This has changed as gypsogenin can now be obtained in good yields from the corresponding saponin (which is available in large technical quantities). Hederagenin was previously only available from extracts from the pericarp of the soap tree [23,24]. However, it is now even more convenient, obtained by a partial synthesis from also readily available gypsogenin [23]. Furthermore, hederagenin and gypsogenin can be interconverted into each other by a short sequence and in good yields [23].

Recently, we were able to show that acetylated pentacyclic triterpenoid amides are highly cytotoxic for many human tumor cell lines [24–27], while parent triterpenoic acids possess low cytotoxicity. The cytotoxicity of these compounds, however, intensifies upon acetylation and amidation, and even more by linking them with rhodamine B [28]. Thus, the synthesis of different rhodamine B conjugates has moved into the focus of scientific

interest [25–27,29]. For example, benzyl-, piperazinyl-, and homopiperazinyl-amides and their resulting rhodamine B conjugates show cytotoxic effects even in nanomolar concentration. The presence of an extra cationic moiety such as a rhodamine B scaffold enhances their cytotoxic activity tremendously. However, many of these conjugates were also less cytotoxic to non-malignant fibroblasts (NIH 3T3) [26-28,30]. As a consequence of these promising results, and to have a closer look into this class of compounds, gypsogenin and hederagenin were chosen as starting materials for further studies. For comparison, madecassic acid was also included to investigate the possible influence of the presence of extra hydroxyl groups onto cytotoxic activity and selectivity. During our work, different acetylated triterpenoic derivatives modified at their carboxylic group were accessed, such as substituted benzyl, piperazinyl, and homopiperazinyl amides which were subsequently linked at their distal amino group as an amide to a rhodamine B moiety. Previous studies showed an increase in malignant cells' membrane potential in relation to non-malignant cells. Thus, cationic compounds such as rhodamine B conjugates accumulate in these areas and cause selective cell death [31,32]. This is regarded as the main reason for the selective cell death of tumor cells upon incubation with the rhodamine B conjugates.

Our main objective was to find out whether triterpene amides, as well as spacered triterpene rhodamine conjugates, exhibit good cytotoxicity when the pentacyclic triterpene skeleton holds a vicinal hydroxyl groups in ring A, and optionally another hydroxyl group at another position. These requirements are fulfilled, for example, by the compounds gypsogenin, hederagenin, or madecassic acid. This should also allow a comparison with the conjugates of ursolic, oleanolic, and maslinic acid presented earlier.

Thus, a set of novel terpenoic amides and their rhodamine B conjugates was synthesized, and the cytotoxicity of the compounds was determined by sulforhodamine B (SRB) assays.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemistry

Hydrolysis of Gypsophila saponin provided gypsogenin as previously reported (1, Scheme 1), whose reduction with NaBH₄ gave hederagenin (2) in 78% isolated yield [23]; madecassic acid (3) was purchased from different local suppliers.

Acetylation of **1–3** gave acetates **4–6**, respectively [16,24,27]. These compounds were each treated with oxalyl chloride followed by the addition of benzylamine, aniline, piper-azine, or homopiperazine to furnish amides **7–18**, respectively.

Coupling amides 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, and 18 with rhodamine B (after having being activated in situ with oxalyl chloride) gave the rhodamine B conjugates 19–24, respectively (Scheme 2) [33].

Thus, compounds **19–24** can be regarded as analogs of previously reported piperazinyl and homopiperazinyl rhodamine B conjugates [26,33], whereas **7**, **8**, **11**, **12**, **15**, and **16** are structurally similar to the benzyl- and phenylamides previously reported by Siewert et al. [30] and Kaminskyy et al. [34] However, compounds of this study differ from those previously synthesized from ursolic, oleanolic, glycyrrhetinic, betulinic, or platanic acid inasmuch as the conjugates of this study hold (an) extra hydroxyl group(s) (protected, in part, as an acetate).

2.2. Biological Evaluation

To assess their cytotoxicity parent, triterpenoic acids 1–3, their acetates 4–6, the amides 7–18, as well as the respective rhodamine B conjugates 19–24 were subjected to SRB assays employing several human tumor cell lines (A375, HT29, MCF-7, A2780, and HeLa) as well as non-malignant fibroblasts (NIH 3T3). The results from these assays are compiled in Table 1. The EC₅₀ values in μ M from SRB assays were determined after 72 h of treatment, and the values are averaged from three independent experiments performed each in triplicate, confidence interval CI = 95%; mean \pm standard mean error).





Scheme 1. Reactions and conditions: (a) aq. HCl, 60 °C, 5 d, 2%; (b) NaBH₄, THF/MeOH, 0 °C, 2 h, 72%; (c) Ac2O, NEt₃, DMAP (cat.), DCM, 23 °C, 1 h, 4 (79%), 5 (94%), 6 (78%); (d) (COCl)₂, DCM, DMF (cat.), then amine, 23 °C, 1 h; \rightarrow 7 (81%), 8 (65%), 9 (64%), 10 (83%), 11 (52%), 12 (98%), 13 (80%), 14 (41%), 15 (41%), 16 (60%), 17 (41%), and 18 (81%).



Scheme 2. Reactions and conditions: rhodamine B, (COCl)₂, DCM, NEt₃ (cat.), DMF (cat.), 23 °C, 1 h, then starting material, $0 \circ C \rightarrow 23 \circ C$, 1 h; \rightarrow **19** (64%), **20** (56%), **21** (47%), **22** (31%), **23** (56%), and **24** (48%).

Table 1. SRB assay: EC50 values (μ M) after 72 h of treatment; averaged from three independent experiments performed each in triplicate; confidence interval CI = 95%. Human cancer cell lines: A375 (melanoma), HT29 (colorectal carcinoma), MCF7 (breast adenocarcinoma), A2780 (ovarian carcinoma), HeLa (cervical carcinoma), and (NIH 3T3 (non-malignant fibroblasts); cut-off 30 μ M, n.d. not determined. Doxorubicin (DX) has been used as a positive standard.

#	A375	HT29	MCF7	A2780	HeLa	NIH 3T3
1	17.3 ± 1.6	>30	18.5 ± 2.2	13.0 ± 1.6	25.0 ± 4.1	16.7 ± 2.8
2	26.3 ± 1.8	>30	25.7 ± 1.9	19.6 ± 1.6	>30	>30
3	>30	>30	>30	>30	n.d.	>30
4	>30	>30	24.6 ± 4.7	18.7 ± 2 ,7	>30	13.0 ± 1.5
5	17.1 ± 1.1	19.0 ± 0.6	9.8 ± 1.0	11.9 ± 0.5	12.4 ± 0.7	9.6 ± 0.6
6	3.5 ± 0.5	14.5 ± 1.1	7.1 ± 0.6	8.1 ± 0.7	n.d.	7.6 ± 0.8
7	3.3 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 1.8	8.8 ± 1.6	4.4 ± 0.3	n.d.	18.5 ± 4.6
8	7.9 ± 3.3	>30	>30	19.9 ± 1.4	n.d.	>30
9	1.5 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.2	n.d.	2.3 ± 0.3
10	1.6 ± 0.6	0.7 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.5	1.7 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.2
11	1.1 ± 0.6	3.8 ± 0.7	3.4 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.3	n.d.	>30
12	0.6 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.6	1.9 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.2	n.d.	>30
13	1.3 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.2	n.d.	2.0 ± 0.3
14	1.2 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.3
15	1.0 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.1	n.d.	17.9 ± 2.1
16	1.3 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.9	2.2 ± 0.1	n.d.	21.1 ± 1.1
17	1.9 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.3	n.d.	2.4 ± 0.6
18	2.2 ± 0.3	2.4 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.3	n.d.	2.5 ± 0.2
19	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
20	0.0319 ± 0.0036	0.0566 ± 0.0123	0.0558 ± 0.0072	0.0131 ± 0.0007	0.1021 ± 0.0263	0.1600 ± 0.0226
21	0.055 ± 0.01	0.086 ± 0.01	0.066 ± 0.008	0.025 ± 0.002	-	0.305 ± 0.06
22	0.031 ± 0.007	0.083 ± 0.02	0.086 ± 0.01	0.018 ± 0.001	-	0.441 ± 0.12
23	0.055 ± 0.01	0.108 ± 0.02	0.054 ± 0.01	0.015 ± 0.001	-	0.277 ± 0.03
24	0.0095 ± 0.0015	0.0127 ± 0.0038	0.0162 ± 0.0045	0.0029 ± 0.0005	0.0526 ± 0.0151	0.1013 ± 0.0231
DX	n.d.	0.9 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.3	0.02 ± 0.01	n.d.	1.7 ± 0.3

As a result, and as expected, the parent compounds **1–3** (holding unsubstituted hydroxyl groups) were—by and large—less cytotoxic than their corresponding acetates **4–6**. The former compounds showed some cytotoxicity for all human tumor cell lines and moderate selectivity.

The latter compounds, however, holding one or more acetyl groups at positions 2, 3, and 23, showed an increased cytotoxic activity for all cancer lines, with EC_{50} values as low as $EC_{50} = 3.50 \ \mu\text{M}$ (for A375 and compound 6).

Transforming the carboxyl group into an amide led to compounds of increased cytotoxic effects onto the tumor cells. Benzylamides (7, 11, and 15), phenyl amides (8, 12, and 16), piperazinyl amides (9, 13, and 17) and homopiperazinyl amides (10, 14, and 18) held high cytotoxicity and moderate selectivity. In this series of compounds, hederagenin derived phenyl amide 12 was most cytotoxic with an EC₅₀ value of 0.66 μ M for A375 tumor cells. Comparing the cytotoxic effects of phenyl- and benzyl amides conjugates with those measured for the corresponding piperazinyl and homopiperazinyl analogues showed the former of higher cytotoxicity but also of an improved selectivity (malignant vs. non-malignant cells).

Rhodamine B analogues **19–24** showed both an increased cytotoxicity but also improved selectivity. The lowest EC_{50} value was determined for **24** with $EC_{50} = 0.0029 \pm 0.0005$ for A2780 ovarian tumor cells.

The selectivity between malignant cells and the non-malignant cells was also affected by the kind of spacer linking the triterpene with the rhodamine B moiety. Thereby, a significant difference in cytotoxicity was detected between compounds holding a homopiperazinyl (**20**, **22**, and **24**) instead of piperazinyl spacer (**19**, **21**, and **23**). As a result, compound **24**, the most active as well as selective compound with homopiperazinyl spacer, was nearly 10,000 times more cytotoxic than parent madecassic acid.

The mode of action—as previously shown for several triterpene-rhodamine B conjugates—is to act as mitocans. To demonstrate this also for **24**—the most cytotoxic compound of this study—A375 cells were stained with acridine orange (AO), rhodamine 123, and Hoechst 33,342. Thereby, Hoechst 33,342 binds selectively to DNA while rhodamine 123 is usually applied for dying mitochondria. The results of these staining experiments are depicted in Figure 1 and prove that **24** also acts as a mitocan, as this compound is localized within the cells exactly in the same area as rhodamine 123, while a location in the nucleus can be ruled out from the staining experiments using Hoechst 33,342.





The influence of an extra hydroxyl group in ring B can best be seen from the cytotoxicity of the analogs of madecassic acid. The results from the SRB assays showed compounds **3**, **6**, **15**, **16**, **17**, **18**, **23**, and **24** as cytotoxic with EC₅₀ values as low as $0.015 \pm 0.001 \mu$ M; for compound **24** and A2780 cells, a selectivity index SI_{EC50, NIH 3T3/EC50, A2780 of approximately 20 can be calculated. From these results, we conclude that the presence of an additional hydroxyl group at position C-6 in the triterpenoid skeleton might be responsible for a significantly improved cytotoxicity.}

As compounds holding two acetyl groups in ring A with $(2\alpha, 3\beta)$ configuration (such as **24** or the analogues prepared earlier from maslinic or tormentic acid) are clearly more cytotoxic than those bearing only one acetyl group (such as oleanolic and ursolic, but also glycyrrhetinic, betulinic, or platanic acid), this confirms our original working hypothesis. At present, triterpenes with a larger number of hydroxyl or acetyl groups are being converted to the corresponding spacered rhodamine B conjugates in our laboratories in order to verify whether the trend shown here has general validity.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General

A detailed description of materials and methods can be found in the Supplementary Materials File. For biological screening, the cell lines were obtained from ATCC: A375 (CRL-1619), HT29 (HTB-38), MCF7 (HTP-22), A2780 (HTP-77), HeLa (CCL-2), and NIH 3T3 (CRL-1573) ¹³C NMR spectra (Supplementary Materials) were recorded as APT spectra showing CH and CH₃ groups as positive signals and CH₂ groups and quaternary carbons as negative signals.

3.2. General Procedure for the Acetylation of Triterpenoic Acids (GPA)

The triterpenoic acids 1–3 (1 eq.) were each dissolved in dry DCM (20 mL), treated with TEA (4.5 eq.), DMAP (cat.), and acetic anhydride (4.0 eq.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h; for work-up, aq. ammonium hydroxide (7 M, 0.5 mL) was added. After 30 min, the mixture was diluted with Et₂O (250 mL), washed with HCl (0.1 M, 1×250 mL), water (2 × 250 mL), and brine (1 × 125 mL), dried with MgSO₄, and the organic phase was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was subjected to column chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate) to afford compounds **4–6**, each as a colorless solid.

3.3. General Procedure for the Synthesis of of Triterpenoic Amides 7–18 (GPB)

To a solution of 4–6 (1 eq.) in dry DCM (10 mL), oxalyl chloride (4 eq.), DMF (0.24 eq.), and TEA (0.24 eq.) were added. After stirring for 2 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, re-evaporated with DCM (3×10 mL), and the residue was dissolved in dry DCM (10 mL). A solution of the respective amine or diamine (3 eq.) in dry DCM, TEA (1 eq.), and DMAP (cat.) was added, and stirring at room temperature was continued until completion of the reaction (as indicated by TLC). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was subjected to column chromatography to yield compounds 7–18.

3.4. General Procedure for Synthesis of Rhodamine B Conjugates 19–24 (GPC)

A solution of rhodamine B (1.5 eq.), oxalyl chloride (6 eq.), DMF (0.2 eq.), and TEA (0.2 eq.) in dry DCM (30 mL) was stirred at ambient temperature for 3 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, re-evaporated with dry DCM (3×30 mL), and the residue was dissolved in dry DCM (30 mL). To this solution, compounds 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, or 18 (1 eq.), TEA (1.5 eq.), and DMAP (cat.) were added. After stirring for 1 h, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting solid was subjected to column chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1 or *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 7:3) to yield compounds 19–24, each as a purple solid.

3.5. (3β,4α) 3-Hydroxy-23-oxoolean-12-en-28-oic Acid (1) [639-14-5]

The saponin of *Gypsophila* (300 g; Dr. H. Schmittmann GmbH, Velbert, Germany) was treated with aq. hydrochloric acid (15%, 1000 mL) at 60 °C for 120 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was air dried, grounded, and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 L) under reflux. The solvent was evaporated, and the dark brown residue was subjected to column chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 7:3) to yield **1** (6.2 g) as a colorless solid; m.p.: 275–278 °C (lit.: [24] 274–276 °C); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +89.5^{\circ}$ (*c* = 0.5, EtOH), [lit.: [35] $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +91.4^{\circ}$ (*c* = 1.45, EtOH)]; and MS (ESI): *m/z* (%) 491.0 ([M + Na]⁺, 100).

3.6. (3β,4α) 3,23-Dihydroxyolean-12-en-28-oic Acid (2) [465-99-6]

To an ice-cold solution of **1** (2.0 g, 4.23 mmol) in THF/MeOH (100 mL, 1:1) NaBH₄ (193 mg, 5.1 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred for 2 h, quenched with aq. hydrochloric acid (2 M, 50 mL) and extracted with chloroform (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was subjected by column chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 7:3) to yield **2** (1.4 g, 72%) as a colorless solid; m.p.: 331–334 °C (lit.: [23] 332–335 °C); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +80.3^\circ$ (*c* = 0.52, pyridine), [lit.: [36] $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +81.2^\circ$ (pyridine)]; and MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) 471.1 ([M-H]⁻, 100).

3.7. *Madecassic Acid* (3)

This compound was obtained from different local suppliers and used as received.

3.8. (3β,4α) 3-(Acetyloxy)-23-oxoolean-12-en-28-oic Acid (4) [27706-38-3]

Following GPA from 1 (1500 mg, 3.18 mmol) followed by column chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2), 4 (2.40 mmol, 79%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 175–178 °C (lit.: [24] 177–178 °C); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +77^\circ$ (*c* = 0.6, CHCl₃), [lit.: [37] $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +78^\circ$ (*c* = 0.6, CHCl₃)]; and MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) 511.6 ([M–H]⁻, 90).

3.9. (3β,4α) 3,23-Bis(acetyloxy)olean-12-en-28-oic Acid (5) [5672-32-2]

Following GPA from 2 (2000 mg, 4.23 mmol) followed by column chromatography (SiO₂, n-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2), 5 (1880 mg, 94%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 185–189 °C (lit.: [38] 173–175 °C); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +76.8^{\circ}$ (*c* = 0.17, CHCl₃) [lit.: [39] $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +78^{\circ} \text{ (CHCl}_3)]; R_F = 0.37 \text{ (SiO}_2, n-\text{hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2); IR (ATR): } v = 2944br, 1738s,$ 1692s, 1467w, 1366s, 1239vs, 1040s, 771w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.29-5.24$ $(m, 1H, 12-H), 4.79 (dd, J = 11.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 3.87 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H, 23-H_a), 3.70 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 30-H_a), 3.70 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 30-H_a), 3.70 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 30-H_a), 3.70 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 3.70 (d, J = 11.6 Hz)$ $J = 11.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 23\text{-H}_{b}$, 2.82 (*dd*, J = 13.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H, 18-H), 2.06 (*s*, 3H, 15-H_a + 16-H_a + 22–H_a), 2.04 (*s*, 3H, 34–H), 2.02 (*s*, 3H, 32–H), 1.97 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 4.0 Hz, 2H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b), $1.92-1.86 (m, 3H, 16-H_b + 2-H_a + 2-H_b), 1.79 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, 22-H_b), 1.77 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, 22-H_b), 1.77 (d, J = 4.4 Hz), 1.92-H_b + 2-H_b + 2-H_$ 1H, 7–H_a), 1.65–1.56 (*m*, 5H, 7–H_b + 19–H_a + 9–H +1–H_a + 5–H), 1.43–1.33 (*m*, 3H, 6–H_a + 6 –H_b + 21–H_a), 1.24–1.16 (*m*, 3H, 19–H_b + 21–H_b + 15–H_b), 1.12 (*s*, 1H, 1–H_b) 1.11 (*s*, 3H, 27-H), 0.97 (s, 3H, 25-H), 0.92 (s, 3H, 29-H), 0.90 (s, 3H, 30-H), 0.82 (s, 3H, 24-H), 0.74 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 184.0 (C–28), 171.0 (C–31), 170.7 (C–33), 143.6 (C-13), 122.4 (C-12), 74.5 (C-3), 65.4 (C-23), 52.0 (C-5), 47.7 (C-9), 46.5 (C-17), 45.8 (C-19), 41.5 (C-4), 40.9 (C-14), 40.5 (C-18), 39.3 (C-8), 37.7 (C-1), 36.8 (C-10), 33.8 (C-21), 33.0 (C-30), 32.4 (C-7), 32.2 (C-20), 30.7 (C-15), 27.6 (C-2), 25.8 (C-27), 23.6 (C-29), 23.4 (C-11), 22.9 (C-16) 22.8 (C-22), 21.2 (C-32), 20.9 (C-34), 17.9 (C-6), 17.1 (C-26), 15.8 (C-25), 13.1 (C–24) ppm; and MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) 555.6 ([M–H][–], 90).

3.10. (2α,3β,4α,6β) 2,3,23-Tris(acetyloxy)-6-hydroxyurs-12-en-28-oic Acid (6) [99598-46-6]

Following GPA from 3 (500 mg, 0.99 mmol) followed by column chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2), 6 (392 mg, 78%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 188–194 °C (lit.: [40] 189–192 °C); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +15.0^\circ$ (*c* = 0.18, CHCl₃) [lit.: [41] $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +19.5^\circ$ $(CHCl_3)$]; R_F = 0.19 (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH 100:1); IR (ATR): v = 3533br, 3230br, 2921br, 2875w, 1755s, 1726s, 1707s 1458w, 1363w, 1230s, 1031s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.28$ (*t*, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 12–H), 5.23 (*ddd*, *J* = 11.6, 10.3, 4.8 Hz, 1H, 2–H), 5.01 (*d*, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H, 3–H), 4.34 (*d*, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 6–H), 3.94 (*d*, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H, 23–H_a), 3.71 (*d*, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H, 23–H_b), 2.29–2.14 (*m*, 1H, 18–H), 2.06 (*s*, 3H, 36–H), 2.03 (*s*, 3H, 32–H), 1.98 (*s*, 3H, 34–H), 2.05–1.97 (m, 2H, 16–H_a + 16–H_b), 1.95–1.78 (m, 2H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b), 1.77–1.60 (m, 4H, 22– H_a + 22– H_b + 15– H_a + 5–H), 1.58–1.48 (*m*, 2H, 21– H_a , 20–H), 1.46 (*d*, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 3H, 29–H), 1.37 (*d*, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, 9–H), 1.35–1.28 (*m*, 5H, 21–H_b + 1–H_a + 1–H_b + 7–H_a + 7–H_b), 1.26 (s, 3H, 26–H), 1.22 (s, 1H, 19–H), 1.08 (s, 1H, 15–H_b), 1.05 (s, 3H, 25–H), 1.04 (s, 3H, 27-H), 0.95 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H, 30-H), 0.86 (s, 3H, 24-H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 183.3 (C-28), 170.8 (C-35), 170.4 (C-31), 170.3 (C-33), 137.2 (C-13), 125.6 (C-12), 74.9 (C-3), 69.9 (C-2), 67.9 (C-6), 65.4 (C-23), 52.4 (C-18), 48.2 (C-5), 47.9 (C-17), 47.8 (C-9), 47.1 (C-10), 45.8 (C-4), 42.4 (C-1), 40.7 (C-20), 39.0 (C-19), 38.8 (C-8), 38.6 (C-7), 37.3 (C-14), 36.6 (C-22), 30.6 (C-21), 27.9 (C-15), 24.0 (C-16), 23.5 (C-11), 23.3 (C-27), 21.1 (C-30), 21.0 (C-34), 20.9 (C-32), 20.8 (C-36), 18.6 (C-29), 18.5 (C-25), 16.9 (C-26), 15.3 (C-24) ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 629.3 ([M–H]⁻, 90); analysis calculated for C₃₆H₅₄O₉ (630.81): C 68.54, H 8.63; found: C 68.36, H 8.90.

3.11. $(3\beta,4\alpha)$ 3-Acetyloxy-N-(benzyl)-23-oxo-olean-12-en-28-amide (7)

Following GPB from 4 (300 mg, 0.58 mmol) and benzylamine (186 mg, 1.74 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2), 7 (242 mg, 81%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 119–121 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +35.1^\circ$ (c = 0.15, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.30 (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2); IR (ATR): $\nu = 3391br$, 2944w, 2872w, 1732s, 1645s, 1513s, 1452s, 1363s, 1233vs, 1028s, 696w cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 245 nm (2.37); ¹H

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.27 (s, 1H, 23–H), 7.38–7.17 (m, 6H, 35–H + 39–H + 37–H + 36–H + 38–H), 5.31 (*t*, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 12–H), 4.96 (*t*, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H, 3–H), 4.96 (*t*, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H, 33–H_a), 4.59 (*dd*, *J* = 14.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H, 33–H_b), 2.61–2.52 (*m*, 1H, 18–H), 2.03 (*s*, 1H, 32–H), 19–H_a + 1–H_a + 7–H_a + 7–H_b + 15–H_a + 15–H_b + 2–H_a + 2–H_b), 1.60–1.49 (*m*, 2H, 22–H_a + 6–H_a), 1.43–1.37 (*m*, 1H, 21–H_a), 1.36–1.31 (*m*, 1H, 5–H), 1.25 (*t*, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H, 22–H_b + 21–H_b), 1.21–1.17 (*m*, 1H, 19–H_b), 1.16 (*s*, 3H, 27–H), 1.12–1.00 (*m*, 2H, 15–H_b + 1–H_b), 1.08 (s, 3H, 24–H), 0.97–0.82 (m, 2H, 6–H_a + 6–H_b), 0.98 (s, 3H, 26–H), 0.94 (s, 3H, 29–H), 0.90 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.66 (s, 3H, 25–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.4 (C–23), 177.8 (C-28), 170.3 (C-31), 144.9 (C-13), 138.4 (C-34), 128.6 (C-35), 128.6 (C-39), 127.8 (C-36), 127.8 (C-38), 127.4 (C-37), 122.3 (C-12), 73.3 (C-3), 54.2 (C-4), 47.8 (C-5), 47.5 (C-9), 46.6 (C-17), 46.3 (C-19), 43.6 (C-33), 42.3 (C-14), 42.1 (C-18), 39.7 (C-80), 37.8 (C-1), 35.7 (C-10), 34.1 (C-21), 33.0 (C-29), 32.7 (C-7), 31.7 (C-22), 30.7 (C-20), 27.3 (C-15), 25.7 (C-27), 23.8 (C-30), 23.6 (C-11), 22.5 (C-2), 21.0 (C-16), 21.0 (C-32), 20.4 (C-6), 16.9 (C-25), 15.6 (C-26), 9.5 (C-24) ppm; MS (ESI): m/z (%) 602.6 ([M + H]⁺, 20); 624.6 ([M + Na]⁺, 60); and 640.6 $([M + K]^+, 30)$; analysis calculated for $C_{39}H_{55}NO_4$ (601.87): C 77.83, H 9.21, N 2.33; found: C 77.59, H 9.43, N 2.08.

3.12. $(3\beta,4\alpha)$ 3-Acetyloxy-N-(phenyl)-23-oxo-olean-12-en-28-amide (8)

Following GPB from 4 (300 mg, 0.58 mmol) and aniline (162 mg, 1.74 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 9:1), 8 (194 mg, 65%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 150–152 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +85.3^\circ$ (*c* = 0.17, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.20 (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 9:1); IR (ATR): v = 3385*br*, 2941*br*, 2857*w*, 1735*s*, 1596*s*, 1239*vs*, 1025*s*, 751*vs*, 690*vs* cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 243 nm (0.4); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.28 (s, 1H, 23–H), 7.50–7.44 (m, 2H, 34–H + 38–H), 7.35–7.29 (m, 2H, 35–H + 37–H), 7.17–7.15 (*m*, 1H, 36–H), 5.56 (*q*, *J* = 2.8, 1.9 Hz, 1H, 12–H), 5.03 (*d*, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H, 3–H), 2.73–2.65 (*m*, 1H, 18–H), 2.14–2.01 (*m*, 3H, 32–H), 1.98 (*s*, 2H, 16–H_a + 16–H_b), 1.88–1.77 (*m*, 3H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b + 7–H_a), 1.76–1.54 (*m*, 7H, 9–H + 19–H_a + 1–H_a + 7–H_b + 15–H_a + 2–H_a $+2-H_b$), 1.53–1.46 (*m*, 2H, 22–H_a + 6–H_a), 1.42–1.32 (*m*, 1H, 21–H_a), 1.30–1.24 (*m*, 1H, 5–H), 1.30–1.24 (*m*, 1.23–1.21 (*m*, 2H, 22–H_b + 21–H_b + 6–H_b), 1.23 (*s*, 1H, 19–H_b), 1.20 (*s*, 3H, 27–H), 1.10–1.04 (*m*, 2H, 15–H_b + 1–H_b), 1.07 (*s*, 3H, 24–H) 1.02 (*s*, 3H, 26–H), 0.94 (*s*, 3H, 29–H), 0.92 (*s*, 3H, 30–H), 0.73 (s, 3H, 25–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 177.0 (C–28), 171.3 (C–33), 170.9 (C-31), 138.6 (C-13), 128.4 (C-12), 80.9 (C-3), 73.6 (C-19), 70.3 (C-2), 55.5 (C-18), 55.1 (C-17), 54.9 (C-5), 47.2 (C-9), 45.6 (C-35), 45.4 (C-36), 43.9 (C-1), 41.7 (C-14), 41.0 (C-20), 39.8 (C-8), 39.4 (C-4), 38.1 (C-10), 34.8 (C-22), 32.8 (C-7), 28.4 (C-23), 28.3 (C-15), 27.7 (C-29), 25.9 (C-21), 25.3 (C-16), 24.3 (C-27), 23.7 (C-11), 21.1 (C-34), 20.9 (C-32), 18.3 (C-6), 17.6 (C-24), 16.6 (C-26), 16.4 (C-25), 16.0 (C-30) ppm; and MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) 586.7 ([M-H]⁻, 90); analysis calculated for C₃₈H₅₃NO₄ (587.85): C 77.64, H 9.09, N 2.38; found: C 77.41, H 9.23, N 2.05.

3.13. $(3\beta,4\alpha)$ 3-Acetyloxy-N-(piperazinyl)-23-oxo-olean-12-en-28-amide (9)

Following GPB from 4 (300 mg, 0.58 mmol) and piperazine (150 mg, 1.74 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), **9** (243 mg, 81%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 150–154 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +36.5^\circ$ (c = 1.15, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.27 (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH, 95:5); IR (ATR): v = 3325br, 2947br, 2855w, 1735s, 1625s, 1239vs, 754s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.26$ (s, 1H, 23–H), 5.21 (t, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H, 12–H), 4.98 (dd, J = 11.0, 5.3 Hz, 1H, 3–H), 3.71–3.56 (m, 4H, 34–H_a + 34–H_b + 35–H_a + 35–H_b), 3.31–3.29 (m, 4H, 33–H_a + 33–H_b + 36–H_a + 36–H_b), 3.08–2.83 (m, 1H, 18–H), 2.15–2.13 (m, 4H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b + 16–H_a + 16–H_b), 1.93 (s, 3H, 32–H), 1.82–1.54 (m, 8H, 9–H + 19–H_a + 1–H_a + 7–H_a + 7–H_b + 15–H_a + 2–H_b), 1.50–1.40 (m, 3H, 22–H_a + 6–H_a + 21–H_a), 1.29–1.25 (m, 1H, 5–H), 1.25–1.20 (m, 2H, 22–H_b + 21–H_b), 1.20 (s, 3H, 27–H), 1.18–1.16 (m, 1H, 19–H_b), 1.06 (s, 3H, 24–H), 1.08–1.0 (m, 2H, 15–H_b + 1–H_b), 1.02 (s, 3H, 25–H), 0.98–0.84 (m, 1H, 6–H_b), 0.94 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.91 (s, 3H, 29–H), 0.77 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 204.9$ (C–23), 175.8 (C–28), 170.5 (C–31), 144.6 (C–13), 121.2

(C-12), 73.4 (C-3), 54.0 (C-4), 48.2 (C-33), 48.2 (C-36), 48.0 (C-17), 47.8 (C-5), 47.6 (C-9), 46.9 (C-19), 45.0 (C-34), 45.0 (C-35), 43.7 (C-18), 41.7 (C-14), 39.4 (C-8), 37.4 (C-1), 35.6 (C-10), 33.5 (C-21), 33.0 (C-7), 32.1 (C-29), 31.9 (C-22), 29.8 (C-20), 27.7 (C-15), 25.1 (C-27), 23.0 (C-30), 22.9 (C-11), 22.6 (C-16), 22.1 (C-2), 20.2 (C-32), 19.4 (C-6), 16.2 (C-26), 14.6 (C-25), 8.5 (C-24) ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 581.6 ([M + H]⁺, 90); analysis calculated for C₃₆H₅₆N₂O₄ (580.85): C 74.44, H 9.72, N 4.82; found: C 74.06, H 9.98, N 4.61.

3.14. $(3\beta,4\alpha)$ 3-Acetyloxy-N-(homopiperazinyl)-23-oxo-olean-12-en-28-amide (10)

Following GPB from 4 (300 mg, 0.58 mmol) and homopiperazine (174 mg, 1.74 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), **10** (286 mg, 83%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 176–179 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -4.39^\circ$ (c = 0.145 CHCl₃); R_F = 0.34 (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH, 9:1); IR (ATR): v = 2923w, 1741s, 1621w, 1455w, 1368m, 1230vs, 1156w, 1087w, 1043m, 1029m, 964w, 920w, 751m, 665w, 460w, 422w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 9.26$ (s, 1H, 23-H), 5.27–5.22 (m, 1H, 12–H), 5.00–4.91 (m, 1H, 3–H), 3.97–3.37 (m, 6H, 33-H + 35-H + 37-H), 3.36-2.90 (m, 3H, 34-H + 18-H), 2.34-2.00 (m, 3H, 36-H + 16–H), 1.98–1.81 (*m*, 2H, 11–H), 1.94 (*s*, 3H, 32–H), 1.79–1.58 (*m*, 8H, 2–H + 1–H_a + 16–H_b + 19–H_a + 22–H + 9–H), 1.57–1.39 (*m*, 3H, 15–H_a + 6–H_a + 7–H_a), 1.39–1.26 (*m*, 2H, 21–H_a + 5–H), 1.26–0.99 (m, 5H, 21–H_b + 7–H_b + 19–H_b + 1–H_b + 15–H_b), 1.14 (s, 3H, 27–H), 1.06 (s, 3H, 24–H), 0.99–0.88 (m, 1H, 6–H_b), 0.96 (s, 3H, 26–H), 0.93 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.89 (s, 3H, 29–H), 0.73 (s, 3H, 25–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.5 (C–23), 176.2 (C–28), 170.3 (C-31), 144.7 (C-13), 121.3 (C-12), 73.4 (C-3), 54.3 (C-4), 49.2 (C-35), 47.9 (C-5), 47.8 (C-17), 47.7 (C-9), 47.2 (C-34), 46.5 (C-33 + C-37), 46.3 (C-19), 43.6 (C-18), 42.0 (C-14), 39.4 (C-8), 37.7 (C-1), 35.9 (C-10), 33.9 (C-21), 33.0 (C-29), 32.1 (C-7), 30.4 (C-20), 30.0 (C-22), 27.8 (C-15), 26.6 (C-36), 25.9 (C-27), 24.0 (C-30), 23.3 (C-11), 22.5 (C-16), 22.5 (C-2), 21.0 (C-32), 20.4 (C-6), 16.9 (C-25), 15.6 (C-26), 9.5 (C-24) ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) = 595.1 $([M + H]^+, 100)$; analysis calculated for $C_{37}H_{58}N_2O_4$ (594.87): C 74.71, H 9.82, N 4.71; found: C 74.50, H 10.02, N 4.55.

3.15. $(3\beta, 4\alpha)$ 3,23-Bis(acetyloxy)-N-(benzyl)-olean-12-en-28-amide (11)

Following GPB from 5 (450 mg, 0.81 mmol) and benzylamine (260 mg, 2.43 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2), **11** (117 mg, 26%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 210–214 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = +39.22° (*c* = 0.159, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.20 (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2); IR (ATR): v = 3432w, 2950br, 1726vs, 1631s, 1253vs, 1034*s*, 745*vs* cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 260 nm (3.28); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.35–7.27 (*m*, 2H, 37–H + 41–H), 7.25–7.19 (*m*, 3H, 38–H + 40–H + 39–H), 5.30 (*t*, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 12–H), 4.76 (*dd*, *J* = 11.3, 4.9 Hz, 1H, 3–H), 4.63–4.57 (*m*, 1H, 35–H_a), 4.14 (*dd*, J = 14.7, 4.4 Hz, 1H, 35–H_b), 3.86 (*d*, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H, 23–H_a), 3.70 (*d*, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H, 23–H_b), 2.60–2.50 (*m*, 1H, 18–H), 2.05 (*s*, 3H, 15–H_a + 16–H_a + 22–H_a), 2.05 (*s*, 3H, 34–H), 2.01 (*s*, 3H, 32–H), 1.99–1.91 (*m*, 5H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b + 16–H_b), 1.87–1.77 (*m*, 1H, 22–H_b), 1.76–1.54 $(m, 5H, 7-H_a + 7-H_b + 19-H_a + 9-H + 1-H_a), 1.52 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, 2-H_a), 1.45-1.31 (m, 2-H_a), 1.45-1$ 3H, 6–H_a + 6–H_b + 21–H_a), 1.28–1.15 (*m*, 4H, 19–H_b + 21–H_b + 15–H_b + 5–H), 1.14–0.95 (*m*, 2H, 1–H_b + 2–H_b) 1.13 (*s*, 3H, 27–H), 0.93 (*s*, 3H, 25–H), 0.91 (*s*, 3H, 29–H), 0.90 (*s*, 3H, 30–H), 0.83 (s, 3H, 24–H), 0.66 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 177.9 (C-28), 170.9 (C-31), 170.6 (C-33), 144.9 (C-13), 138.4 (C-36), 128.6 (C-37), 128.6 (C-41), 127.8 (C-38), 127.8 (C-40), 127.3 (C-39), 122.7 (C-12), 74.4 (C-3), 65.4 (C-23), 47.8 (C-5), 47.6 (C-9), 46.6 (C-17), 46.3 (C-19), 43.6 (C-35), 42.3 (C-4), 42.0 (C-14), 40.5 (C-18), 39.3 (C-8), 37.8 (C-1), 36.6 (C-10), 34.1 (C-21), 33.0 (C-30), 32.6 (C-7), 32.0 (C-20), 30.7 (C-15), 27.3 (C-2), 25.6 (C-27), 23.8 (C-29), 23.6 (C-11), 23.4 (C-16), 22.9 (C-22), 21.2 (C-32), 20.9 (C-34), 17.9 (C–6), 16.9 (C–26), 15.8 (C–25), 13.1 (C–24) ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 646.8 ([M + H]⁺, (23)), 668.7 ($[M + Na]^+$, 55), 684.6 ($[M + K]^+$, 60); analysis calculated for C₄₁H₅₉NO₅ (645.93): C 76.24, H 9.21, N 2.17; found: C 75.98, H 9.44, N 2.02.

3.16. (3β,4α) 3,23-Bis(acetyloxy)-N-(phenyl)-olean-12-en-28-amide (12)

Following GPB from 5 (450 mg, 0.81 mmol) and aniline (226 mg, 2.43 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, n-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2), **12** (440 mg, 98%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 210–214 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +39.2^\circ$ (*c* = 0.16, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.20 (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2); IR (ATR): v = 2947*b*r, 1744*s*, 1657*s*, 1267*s*, 1028*w*, 748*s* cm⁻ UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 237 nm (0.4); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.49–7.46 (*m*, 2H, 36–H + 40–H), 7.35–7.24 (*m*, 2H, 37–H + 39–H), 7.10–7.05 (*m*, 1H, 38–H), 5.55 (*t*, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 12–H), 4.78 (*dd*, *J* = 11.5, 4.9 Hz, 1H, 3–H), 3.85 (*d*, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H, 23–H_a), $3.70 (d, J = 11.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 23-\text{H}_{b}), 2.72-2.64 (m, 1\text{H}, 18-\text{H}), 2.06 (s, 3\text{H}, 15-\text{H}_{a} + 16-\text{H}_{a} + 16-\text{H}_{a})$ 22– H_a), 2.05 (s, 3H, 34–H), 2.02 (s, 3H, 32–H), 1.98 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 2H, 11– H_a + 11– H_b), $1.88-1.69 (m, 5H, 16-H_b + 2-H_a + 2-H_b + 22-H_b + 7-H_a), 1.64-1.59 (m, 5H, 7-H_b + 19-H_a)$ + 9–H + 1–H_a + 5–H), 1.47–1.33 (*m*, 3H, 6–H_a + 6–H_b + 21–H_a), 1.31–1.22 (*m*, 3H, 19–H_b + 21–H_b + 15–H_b), 1.19 (s, 3H, 27–H), 1.10 (tq, J = 10.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H, 1–H_b), 0.94 (s, 3H, 29–H), 0.94 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.94 (s, 3H, 25–H), 0.81 (s, 3H, 24–H), 0.72 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 176.4 (C-28), 170.9 (C-31), 170.7 (C-33), 145.1 (C-13), 138.0 (C-35), 128.8 (C-37), 128.8 (C-39), 124.1 (C-38), 123.1 (C-12), 119.8 (C-36), 119.8 (C-40), 74.4 (C-3), 65.4 (C-23), 47.8 (C-5), 47.6 (C-9), 47.2 (C-17), 46.7 (C-19), 42.6 (C-4), 42.1 (C-14), 40.5 (C-18), 39.4 (C-8), 37.8 (C-1), 36.7 (C-10), 34.2 (C-21), 33.0 (C-30), 32.5 (C-7), 32.0 (C-20), 30.7 (C-15), 27.3 (C-2), 25.6 (C-27), 24.1 (C-29), 23.6 (C-11), 23.6 (C-16), 22.9 (C-22), 21.2 (C-32), 20.9 (C-34), 17.8 (C-6), 16.9 (C-26), 15.9 (C-25), 13.1 (C-24) ppm; and MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) 632.7 ([M + H]⁺, 40), 654.7 ([M + Na]⁺, 35), 670.7 ([M + K]⁺, 25); analysis calculated for C₄₀H₅₇NO₅ (631.90): C 76.03, H 9.09, N 2.22; found: C 75.81, H 9.27, N 1.97.

3.17. $(3\beta,4\alpha)$ 3,23-Bis(acetyloxy)-N-(piperazinyl)-olean-12-en-28-amide (13)

Following GPB from 5 (450 mg, 0.81 mmol) and piperazine (210 mg, 2.43 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2), **13** (360 mg, 80%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 183–187 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +37.9^{\circ}$ (*c* = 0.18, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.20 (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2); IR (ATR): v = 3354br, 2947br, 1735s, 1625s, 1410w, 1242vs, $1002s, 754w \text{ cm}^{-1}; {}^{1}\text{H} \text{ NMR} (500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_{3}): \delta = 5.25 (t, J = 3.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 12\text{-H}), 4.78 (dd, 100 \text{ m})$ J = 11.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H, 3–H), 3.87 (*dd*, J = 11.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, 23–H_a), 3.71–3.51 (*m*, 5H, 23–H_b) $+35-H_{a} + 35-H_{b} + 38-H_{a} + 38-H_{b}$, $3.37-2.96 (m, 4H, 36-H_{a} + 36-H_{b} + 37-H_{a} + 37-H_{b})$, 2.96–2.75 (m, 1H, 18–H), 2.44 (dd, J = 17.5, 9.9 Hz, 1H, 5–H), 2.22–2.08 (m, 1H, 22–Ha), $2.08-2.05 (m, 5H, 15-H_a + 16-H_a), 2.05 (s, 3H, 34-H), 2.01 (s, 3H, 32-H), 1.88 (td, J = 17.7), 1.88 (td, J = 1$ 16.0, 11.8 Hz, 5H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b + 16–H_b + 2–H_a + 2–H_b), 1.76–1.54 (*m*, 6H, 22–H_b + 7–H_a + 7–H_b + 19–H_a + 9–H + 1–H_a), 1.41–1.27 (*m*, 3H, 6–H_a + 6–H_b + 21–H_a), 1.26–1.13 (*m*, 3H, 25–H), 0.91 (s, 3H, 29–H), 0.89 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.82 (s, 3H, 24–H), 0.73 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 174.9 (C-28) 171.0 (C-31), 170.6 (C-33), 144.9 (C-13), 121.3 (C-12), 74.6 (C-3), 65.5 (C-23), 51.6 (C-5), 47.9 (C-35), 47.9 (C-38), 47.9 (C-9), 47.3 (C-17), 46.4 (C-19), 46.2 (C-36), 46.2 (C-37), 45.0 (C-4), 41.8 (C-14), 40.5 (C-18), 39.1 (C-8), 37.7 (C-1), 36.8 (C-10), 34.0 (C-21), 33.0 (C-30), 32.5 (C-7), 32.2 (C-20), 30.4 (C-15), 29.9 (C-2), 27.9 (C-27), 25.8 (C-29), 24.1 (C-11), 23.4 (C-16), 22.9 (C-22), 21.2 (C-32), 20.9 (C-34), 17.9 (C–6), 16.9 (C–26), 15.8 (C–25), 13.1 (C–24) ppm; and MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) 626.0 ([M + H]⁺, 80); analysis calculated for C₃₈H₆₀N₂O₅ (624.91): C 73.04, H 9.68, N 4.48; found: C 72.84, H 9.82, N 4.15.

3.18. $(3\beta,4\alpha)3,23$ -Bis(acetyloxy)-N-(homopiperazinyl)-olean-12-en-28-amide (14)

Following GPB from **5** (450 mg, 0.81 mmol) and homopiperazine (243 mg, 2.43 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), **14** (186 mg, 41%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 176–180 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +31.2^\circ$ (c = 0.11, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.36 (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH 9:1); IR (ATR): v = 2944*b*r, 1738*s*, 1622*w*, 1467*w*, 1239*s*,1034*s*, 745*w* cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.27-5.22$ (m, 1H, 12–H), 4.77 (dd, J = 11.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H, 3–H), 4.02–3.82 (m, 1H, 23–H_a), 3.69 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H, 23–H_b), 3.44–3.14 (m, 2H, 39–H_a + 39–H_b), 3.06 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 2H, 35–H_a + 35–H_b), 2.83– 2.50 (m, 5H, 36–H_a + 36–H_b + 37–H_a + 37–H_b

+ 18–H), 2.18–2.08 (*m*, 2H, 16–H_a + 22–H_a), 2.05 (*s*, 3H, 34–H), 2.01 (*s*, 3H, 32–H), 2.00–1.79 (*m*, 2H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b), 1.77–1.44 (*m*, 10H, 16–H_b + 15–H_a + 38–H_a + 38–H_b + 22–H_b + 2–H_a + 2–H_b + 19–H_a + 1–H_a + 5–H), 1.40–1.33 (*m*, 5H, 7–H_a + 19–H_b + 6–H_a + 6–H_b + 21–H_a), 1.26–1.14 (*m*, 5H, 7–H_b + 9–H + 1–H_b + 21–H_b + 15–H_b), 1.11 (*s*, 3H, 27–H), 0.94 (*s*, 3H, 25–H), 0.93 (*s*, 3H, 29–H), 0.89 (*s*, 3H, 30–H), 0.82 (*s*, 3H, 24–H), 0.71 (*s*, 3H, 26–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 176.4 (C–28), 170.9 (C–31), 170.6 (C–33), 144.5 (C–13), 121.7 (C–12), 74.5 (C–3), 65.5 (C–23), 56.6 (C–39), 47.8 (C–5), 47.8 (C–9), 47.0 (C–36), 46.6 (C–17), 45.7 (C–19), 46.3 (C–37), 43.7 (C–35), 41.9 (C–4), 40.9 (C–14), 40.5 (C–18), 39.1 (C–8), 37.7 (C–1), 36.8 (C–10), 33.8 (C–21), 33.0 (C–30), 32.4 (C–7), 31.9 (C–20), 30.0 (C–15), 27.8 (C–2), 25.9 (C–38), 25.5 (C–27), 24.0 (C–29), 23.3 (C–11), 22.9 (C–16), 21.2 (C–32), 20.9 (C–34), 22.9 (C–22), 17.9 (C–6), 16.9 (C–26), 15.8 (C–25), 13.1 (C–24) ppm; and MS (ESI): *m/z* (%) 639.7 ([M + H]⁺, 90); analysis calculated for C₃₉H₆₂N₂O₅ (638.93): C 73.31, H 9.78, N 4.38; found: C 73.05, H 9.93, N 4.11.

3.19. $(2\alpha, 3\beta, 4\alpha, 6\beta)$ 2,3,23-Tris(acetyloxy)-N-(benzyl)-6-hydroxyurs-12-en-28-amide (15)

Following GPB from 6 (150 mg, 0.24 mmol) and benzylamine (77 mg, 0.72 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, n-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2), **15** (186 mg, 41%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 90–95 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -11.7^\circ$ (c = 0.12, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.25 (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2); IR (ATR): v = 3388*br*, 2924*br*, 1741*vs*, 1643*s*, 1371*s*, 1233*vs*, 1028vs, 601w cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 260 nm (0.1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_3): \ \delta = 7.32 \ (dd, J = 8.0, \ 6.3 \ \mathrm{Hz}, \ 2\mathrm{H}, \ 40-\mathrm{H} + 42-\mathrm{H}), \ 7.30-7.22 \ (m, \ 3\mathrm{H}, \ 39-\mathrm{H} + 43-\mathrm{H} + 43-\mathrm{H} + 43-\mathrm{H})$ 41–H), 5.33–5.26 (*m*, 2H, 12–H + 2–H), 5.13–4.99 (*m*, 1H, 3–H), 4.50 (*d*, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H, 6–H), 4.47 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H, 1–H_a + 37–H_a), 4.26–4.19 (m, 3H, 23–H_a + 1–H_b + 37–H_b), 3.69 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H, 23–H_b), 2.34 (*dd*, *J* = 19.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H, 18–H), 2.05 (*s*, 3H, 36–H), 2.00 (*s*, 3H, 32–H), 2.00 (s, 1H, 16–H_a), 1.98 (s, 3H, 34–H), 1.95–1.80 (m, 3H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b + 16–H_b), $1.70-1.56 (m, 4H, 22-H_a + 22-H_b + 15-H_a + 5-H), 1.56-1.44 (m, 2H, 21-H_a + 20-H), 1.40-1.28$ (*m*, 4H, 9–H + 19–H + 21–H_b + 7–H_a), 1.25 (*s*, 3H, 24–H), 1.20 (*s*, 3H, 26–H), 1.18–1.15 (*m*, 1H, 15–H_b), 0.95 (*d*, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H, 7–H_b), 0.95 (*s*, 3H, 29–H), 0.88 (*s*, 3H, 25–H), 0.84 (*s*, 3H, 27–H), 0.83 (s, 3H, 24–H), 0.82 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 3H, 30–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 177.6 (C-28), 171.0 (C-35), 170.4 (C-31), 170.2 (C-33), 141.0 (C-38), 138.3 (C-13), 128.7$ (C-39), 128.7 (C-43), 128.0 (C-40), 128.0 (C-42), 127.5 (C-41), 126.2 (C-12), 73.4 (C-3), 69.1 (C-2), 67.9 (C-6), 64.9 (C-23), 54.4 (C-18), 48.1 (C-5), 47.2 (C-10), 46.0 (C-17), 45.0 (C-4), 43.9 (C-1), 43.8 (C-37), 42.3 (C-9), 39.0 (C-19), 38.9 (C-8), 38.4 (C-7), 38.2 (C-14), 37.0 (C-20), 32.3 (C-22), 30.8 (C-21), 27.1 (C-15), 24.7 (C-16), 23.4 (C-11), 22.3 (C-26), 21.9 (C-34), 21.2 (C-30), 21.1 (C-25), 21.0 (C-27), 20.9 (C-32), 20.8 (C-36), 17.3 (C-29), 14.1 (C-24) ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 702.3 ([M + H-H₂O]⁻, 90), 724.2 ([M + H-H₂O + Na]⁺, 85); analysis calculated for C₄₃H₆₁NO₈ (719.96): C 71.74, H 8.54, N 1.95; found: C 71.55, H 8.71, N 1.68.

3.20. $(2\alpha, 3\beta, 4\alpha, 6\beta)$ 2,3,23-Tris(acetyloxy)-N-(phenyl)-6-hydroxyurs-12-en-28-amide (16)

Following GPB from **6** (150 mg, 0.24 mmol) and aniline (67 mg, 0.72 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2), **16** (170 mg, 60%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 135–139 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +10.9^\circ$ (c = 0.08, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.15 (SiO₂, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2); IR (ATR): v = 3403br, 2927br, 1741s, 1438s, 1233vs, 1031s, 757s, 690s cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 246 nm (0.3); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.50-7.42$ (m, 2H, 38–H + 42–H), 7.33–7.24 (m, 2H, 39–H + 41–H), 7.10–7.05 (m, 1H, 40–H), 5.62 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H, 12–H), 5.33–5.25 (m, 1H, 2–H), 5.15 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H, 23–H_b), 2.38 (s, 1H, 21–H_a), 2.36 (dd, J = 18.9, 6.4 Hz, 1H, 18–H), 2.07 (s, 3H, 36–H), 2.06 (s, 3H, 32–H), 2.02 (s, 3H, 34–H), 2.00–1.98 (m, 3H, 16–H_a + 16–H_b + 7–H_a), 1.91–1.79 (m, 4H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b + 5–H + 9–H), 1.72 (td, J = 13.8, 4.3 Hz, 1H, 15–H_a), 1.66–1.53 (m, 3H, 22–H_a + 22–H_b + 7–H_b), 1.50–1.42 (m, 2H, 21–H_b + 19–H), 1.42–1.30 (m, 3H, 1–H_a + 1–H_b + 20–H), 1.26–1.22 (m, 1H, 15–H_b), 1.21 (s, 3H, 26–H), 1.12 (s, 3H, 27–H), 1.02 (s, 3H, 25–H), 0.99 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 3H, 29–H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H, 30–H), 0.87 (s, 3H, 24–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 176.1$ (C–28), 170.9 (C–35), 170.4 (C–31), 170.3 (C–33), 141.4

(C-13), 138.1 (C-37), 129.1 (C-39) 129.1 (C-41), 126.6 (C-12), 124.1 (C-40), 119.7 (C-38), 119.7 (C-42), 73.5 (C-3), 69.1 (C-2), 67.6 (C-6), 64.9 (C-23), 54.7 (C-18), 48.0 (C-5), 47.8 (C-17), 46.1 (C-9), 45.0 (C-4), 44.1 (C-10), 42.4 (C-1), 39.1 (C-19), 38.7 (C-8), 38.5 (C-7), 38.2 (C-20), 37.3 (C-14), 36.8 (C-22), 32.3 (C-21), 27.1 (C-15), 24.9 (C-16), 23.7 (C-11), 22.4 (C-27), 21.9 (C-30), 21.1 (C-34), 20.9 (C-32), 20.8 (C-36), 18.6 (C-29), 17.4 (C-25), 17.2 (C-26), 15.3 (C-24) ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 686.1 ([M-H-H₂O]⁻, 90), 704.2 ([M-H]⁻, 17); analysis calculated for C₄₂H₅₉NO₈ (705.93): C 71.46, H 8.42, N 1.98; found: C 71.21, H 8.57, N 1.62.

3.21. $(2\alpha, 3\beta, 4\alpha, 6\beta)$ 2,3,23-Tris(acetyloxy)-N-(piperazinyl)-6-hydroxyurs-12-en-28-amide (17)

Following GPB from 6 (500 mg, 0.79 mmol) and piperazine (204 mg, 2.37 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), **17** (206 mg, 41%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 133–138 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +20.25^\circ$ (*c* = 0.08, CHCl₃); R_F = 0.66 (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH, 9:1); IR (ATR): ν = 3414*b*r, 2932*b*r, 1741*s*, 1631*s*, 1366*s*, 1233*v*s, 1025*s*, 748s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.36–5.23 (*m*, 1H, 12–H), 5.13 (*d*, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 2H, 3-H + 2-H), $4.24 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 2H, 6-H + 23-H_a)$, $3.73-3.57 (m, 1H, 23-H_b)$, $3.42-3.24 (m, 2H_b)$, 3.44H, $37-H_a + 37-H_b + 40-H_a + 40-H_b$), 2.89-2.46 (*m*, 4H, $38-H_a + 38-H_b + 39-H_a + 39-H_b$), 2.44 (*d*, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H, 18–H), 2.33 (*dd*, *J* = 18.8, 6.2 Hz, 1H, 22–H_a), 2.03 (*s*, 3H, 36–H), 1.99 (s, 3H, 32–H), 1.98 (s, 3H, 1–H_a, 16–H_a + 16–H_b), 1.98 (s, 3H, 34–H), 1.93–1.79 (m, 2H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b), 1.75 (*dd*, *J* = 11.7, 5.3 Hz, 1H, 9–H), 1.68–1.58 (*m*, 2H, 22–H_b + 5–H), 1.52–1.46 (*m*, $1H, 21-H_a$, 1.36-1.26 (*m*, $5H, 1-H_b + 21-H_b + 20-H + 19-H + 7-H_a$), 1.21 (*s*, 3H, 26-H), 1.16 $(d, J = 3.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 15\text{--}\text{H}_{a}), 1.12 (s, 3\text{H}, 29\text{--}\text{H}), 1.09\text{--}0.98 (m, 1\text{H}, 7\text{--}\text{H}_{b}), 0.95 (s, 3\text{H}, 25\text{--}\text{H}), 1.09\text{--}0.98 (m, 110\text{--}0.98 (m, 1100\text{--}0.98 (m, 1100\text{-$ 0.96–0.92 (*m*, 1H, 15–H_b), 0.94 (s, 3H, 27–H), 0.88 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.85 (s, 3H, 24–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 175.3 (C-28), 171.1 (C-35), 170.4 (C-31), 170.2 (C-33), 143.9 (C-13), 126.1 (C-12), 73.6 (C-3), 69.1 (C-2), 67.9 (C-6), 65.0 (C-23), 58.8 (C-18), 48.8 (C-37), 48.8 (C-40), 46.1 (C-17), 45.9 (C-9), 45.8 (C-4), 45.5 (C-5), 45.4 (C-10), 45.0 (C-38), 45.0 (C-39), 42.3 (C-1), 40.5 (C-20), 39.5 (C-19), 38.7 (C-8), 38.3 (C-7), 38.3 (C-14), 32.3 (C-22), 30.4 (C-21), 30.3 (C-16), 27.4 (C-15), 25.4 (C-11), 23.4 (C-25), 23.3 (C-27), 22.4 (C-26), 22.3 (C-30), 21.9 (C-34), 21.2 (C-29), 20.9 (C-32), 20.6 (C-36), 17.5 (C-24) ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 681.3 ([M + H-H₂O]⁺, 90); analysis calculated for C₄₀H₆₂N₂O₈ (698.94): C 68.74, H 8.94, N 4.01; found: C 68.45, H 9.13, N 3.83.

3.22. $(2\alpha, 3\beta, 4\alpha, 6\beta)$ 2,3,23-Tris(acetyloxy)-N-(homopiperazinyl)-6-hydroxyurs-12-en-28-amide (18)

Following GPB from 6 (500 mg, 0.79 mmol) with homopiperazine (254 mg, 2.37 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), 18 (437 mg, 81%) was obtained as a colorless solid; m.p.: 146.5–150.5 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +14.38$ (c = 0.142 CHCl₃); $R_F = 0.45$ (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH, 9:1); IR (ATR): $\nu = 2943m$, 1731s, 1620m, 1464m, 1402m, 1371*m*, 1237*vs*, 1210*m*, 1180*m*, 1044*m*, 1029*s*, 976*m*, 938*m*, 749*s* cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 5.24-5.09$ (m, 2H, 12–H, 2–H), 5.08–5.01 (m, 1H, 3–H), 3.83 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, 23–H_a), 3.55 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, 23–H_b), 4.02–2.87 (m, 10H, 38–H + 41–H + 40–H + 37–H + 39–H), 2.50–2.11 (m, 2H, 18–H + 16–H_a), 2.06 (s, 3H, 32–H), 1.99 (s, 3H, 36–H), 2.09–1.84 (m, 3H, 1–H + 11–H), 1.95 (s, 3H, 34–H), 1.83–1.68 (m, 1H, 22–H_a), 1.66–1.54 (m, 2H, 9–H $+ 22-H_{b}$), 1.53–1.18 (m, 8H, 21–H + 7–H + 19–H + 6–H + 5–H), 1.15–0.98 (m, 3H, 1–H_b + 15–H), 1.07 (s, 3H, 25–H), 1.05 (s, 3H, 27–H), 0.93 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 3H, 30–H), 0.86 (s, 3H, 24–H), 0.84 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 3H, 29–H), 0.70 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 176.6 (C-28), 171.0 (C-35), 170.5 (C-31), 170.5 (C-33), 138.7 (C-13), 124.9 (C-12), 75.0 (C-3), 70.0 (C-2), 65.4 (C-23), 55.2 (C-18), 49.1 (C-41), 47.8 (C-40), 47.7 (C-39), 47.7 (C-5), 47.7 (C-9), 47.7 (C-38), 46.5 (C-17), 43.8 (C-1), 43.8 (C-37), 42.4 (C-14), 42.0 (C-4), 39.5 (C-19), 38.7 (C-20), 38.0 (C-8), 37.9 (C-10), 34.4 (C-22), 32.5 (C-7), 30.5 (C-21), 28.1 (C-15), 26.1 (C-16), 23.4 (C-11), 23.4 (C-27), 21.3 (C-30), 21.2 (C-34), 21.0 (C-36), 20.9 (C-32), 18.0 (C–6), 17.4 (C–29), 17.2 (C–25), 17.1 (C–26), 14.0 (C–24) ppm; and MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) 701.5 $([M + H]^+, 100)$; analysis calculated for C₄₀H₆₄N₂O₈ (712.97): C 69.07, H 9.05, N 3.93; found: C 68.86, H 9.28, N 3.70.

3.23. N-[9-[2-($\{4-(3\beta,4\alpha)-3-Acetyloxy-23,28-dioxours-12-en-28-yl]-1-piperazinyl\}carbonyl)$ phenyl]-6-diethylamino-3H-xanthen-3-ylidene]-N-ethylethanaminium Chloride (**19**)

Following GPC from 9 (186 mg, 0.32 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), **19** (213 mg, 64%) was obtained as a purple solid; m.p.: 243–247 °C; $R_F = 0.29$ (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH, 9:1); IR (ATR): $\nu = 2928w$, 1633*m*, 1586*vs*, 1481*m*, 1411*s*, 1335s, 1273m, 1244s, 1179vs, 1132s, 1044w, 921m, 788w, 482w, 410w cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 260 (4.54), 307 (4.20), 356 (3.90), 561 (5.08) nm; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.25 (s, 1H, 23–H), 7.71–7.59 (m, 1H, 38–H), 7.56–7.46 (m, 1H, 37–H), 7.37–7.20 (m, 1H, 39-H), 7.16-6.89 (*m*, 2H, 44-H + 44'-H), 6.82-6.70 (*m*, 2H, 47-H + 47'-H), 5.23-5.17 (*m*, 1H, 12–H), 4.99-4.90 (*m*, 1H, 3–H), 3.84-3.13 (*m*, 16H, 49–H + 49'–H + 34–H + 33–H), 3.07–2.80 (m, 1H, 18–H), 2.20–1.98 (m, 1H, 16–Ha), 1.93 (s, 3H, 32–H), 1.91–1.82 (m, 2H, 11–H), 1.78–1.51 (m, 6H, 2–H + 1–H_a + 19–H_a + 9–H + 22–H_a), 1.49–1.36 (m, 3H, 6–H_a + $15-H_a + 7-H_a$), 1.29 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 13H, 49-H + 49'-H + 5-H), $1.25-1.16 (m, 2H, 22-H_b)$, $1.09 (s, 3H, 27-H), 1.16-1.00 (m, 4H, 19-H_{b} + 21-H_{b} + 7-H_{b} + 1-H_{b}), 1.04 (s, 3H, 23-H),$ 0.92 (s, 3H, 25–H), 1.00–0.78 (m, 3H, 15–H), 0.85 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.83 (s, 3H, 29–H), 0.63 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.6 (C–24), 175.7 (C–28), 170.4 (C–31), 167.8 (C-35), 157.8 (C-48), 157.8 (C-48'),155.9 (C-46), 155.8 (C-46'), 155.7 (C-42), 144.9 (C-13), 135.1 (C-41), 132.4 (C-45), 132.4 (C-45'), 130.8 (C-36), 130.4 (C-39), 130.3 (C-40), 130.3 (C-38), 127.7 (C-37), 121.1 (C-12), 114.4 (C-44), 114.4 (C-44'), 113.9 (C-43), 113.9 (C-43'), 96.4 (C-47), 96.4 (C-47'), 73.5 (C-3), 54.4 (C-4), 47.9 (C-5), 47.9 (C-34), 47.9 (C-41), 47.7 (C-9), 47.6 (C-17), 46.3 (C-49), 46.3 (C-49'), 46.3 (C-19), 43.7 (C-18), 42.0 (C-14), 42.0 (C-33), 42.0 (C-39), 39.5 (C-8), 37.8 (C-1), 36.0 (C-10), 34.0 (C-21), 33.0 (C-29), 32.3 (C-7), 30.4 (C-20), 29.8 (C-22), 27.9 (C-15), 25.9 (C-27), 24.1 (C-30), 23.4 (C-11), 22.6 (C-2), 22.4 (C-16), 21.1 (C-32), 20.5 (C-6), 16.98 (C-26), 15.7 (C-25), 12.8 (C-50), 12.8 (C-50'), 9.5 (C-24) ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 1005.7 ([M-Cl]⁺, 100); analysis calculated for C₆₄H₈₅ClN₄O₆ (1041.86): C 73.78, H 8.22, N 5.38; found: C 73.49, H 8.47, N 5.08.

3.24. N-[9-[2-($\{4-(3\beta, 4\alpha)-3-Acetyloxy-23,28-dioxours-12-en-28-yl$]-1,4-diazepan-1-yl}carbonyl) phenyl]-6-diethylamino-3H-xanthen-3-ylidene]-N-ethylethanaminium Chloride (**20**)

Following GPC from 10 (176 mg, 0.30 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), 20 (171 mg, 56%) was obtained as a purple solid; m.p.: 270–276 °C; $R_F = 0.29$ (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH, 9:1); IR (ATR): $\nu = 2927w$, 1739*m*, 1650*w*, 1644*w*, 1625*m*, 1587vs, 1529w, 1468m, 1412s, 1381m, 1336s, 1245s, 1180vs, 1074m, 975m, 824w, 748m, 620w, 472*w*, 412*w* cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = λ_{max} (log ε) = 260 (4.19), 308 (3.89), 356 (3.59), 562 (4.75) nm; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 9.25$ (s, 1H, 24-H), 7.73–7.53 (m, 1H, 24-H), 7.75–7.53 (m, 1H, 24-H), 7.75–7.53 (m, 1H, 24-H), 7.75–7.53 (m, 1H, 24-H), 7.75–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.75–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.75–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.75 (m, 2H), 7 41-H), 7.49-7.37 (m, 1H, 40-H), 7.36-7.08 (m, 3H, 48-H + 42-H), 7.04-6.58 (m, 2H, 50-H + 50'-H), 5.31-5.16 (m, 1H, 12-H), 5.05-4.86 (m, 1H, 3-H), 4.22-3.47 (m, 8H, 52-H + 52'-H + 33-H + 35-H + 37-H), 3.45-2.94 (m, 1H, 34-H + 18-H), 2.41-2.17 (m, 2H, 36-H), 1.93 (s, 3H, 32–H), 2.15–1.80 (m, 2H, 11–H), 1.79–1.56 (m, 6H, 16–H + 1–H_a + 19–H_a + 22–H_a + 9–H), 1.56-1.24 (m, 4H, 7–H_a + 5–H + 2–H), 1.31 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 12H, 53–H + 53'–H), 1.23-0.99 $(m, 5H, 21-H + 7-H_b + 19-H_b + 1-H_a), 1.10 (s, 3H, 27-H), 1.04 (s, 3H, 23-H), 1.04-0.80$ (m, 15–H + 6–H), 0.94 (s, 3H, 25–H), 0.90 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.85 (s, 3H, 29–H), 0.67 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.5 (C–24), 175.6 (C–28), 170.3 (C–31), 167.7 (C-38), 157.7 (C-51), 157.7 (C-51'), 155.8 (C-49), 155.7 (C-49'), 155.6 (C-45), 144.8 (C-13), 135.0 (C-44), 132.3 (C-48), 130.7 (C-39), 130.3 (C-43), 130.2 (C-42), 130.2 (C-41), 127.6 (C-40), 121.0 (C-12), 114.3 (C-47), 114.3 (C-47'), 113.8 (C-46), 113.8 (C-46'), 96.4 (C-50), 96.3 (C-50'), 73.4 (C-3), 54.3 (C-4), 49.2 (C-35), 47.8 (C-5), 47.6 (C-9), 47.4 (C-17), 47.2 (C-34), 46.5 (C-33 + C-37), 46.2 (C-19), 46.2 (C-52), 46.1 (C-52'), 43.5 (C-18), 41.9 (C-14), 39.4 (C-8), 37.7 (C-1), 35.8 (C-10), 33.9 (C-21), 32.9 (C-29), 32.2 (C-7), 30.3 (C-22), 27.7 (C-15), 26.6 (C-36), 25.8 (C-27), 24.0 (C-30), 23.2 (C-11), 22.4 (C-16), 21.9 (C-2), 21.0 (C-32), 20.4 (C-6), 16.9 (C-26), 15.5 (C-25), 12.7 (C-53), 12.7 (C-53'), 9.4 (C-23) ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 1019.1 ([M–Cl]⁺, 100); analysis calculated for C₆₅H₈₇ClN₄O₆ (1055.88): C 73.94, H 8.31, N 5.31; found: C 73.76, H 8.57, N 5.05.

3.25. N-9-[2-($\{4-[(3\beta,4\alpha)-3,23-Bis(acetyloxy)-28-oxours-12-en-28-yl]-1-piperazinyl\}carbonyl)$ phenyl]-6-diethylamino-3H-xanthen-3-ylidene]-N-ethylethanaminium Chloride (**21**)

Following GPC from 13 (500 mg, 0.80 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), 21 (237 mg, 47%) was obtained as a purple solid; m.p.: 236–243 °C; $R_F = 0.19$ (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH 95:5); IR (ATR): $\nu = 3340br$, 2938br, 1728s, 1585vs, 1338vs, 1240vs, 1005s, 686w cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 258 (0.3), 306 (0.1), 354 (0.1), 561 (1.0) nm; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.72–7.43 (*m*, 3H, 42–H + 43–H + 41–H), 7.38–7.29 (*m*, 1H, 44–H), 7.36–7.24 (*m*, 2H, 49–H + 49′–H), 7.04 (*d*, J = 37.5 Hz, 2H, 48–H + 48′–H), 6.83–6.63 (*m*, 2H, 51–H + 51′–H), 5.21 (*d*, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 12–H), 4.76 (*dd*, *J* = 11.5, 4.9 Hz, 1H, 3–H), $3.96-3.80 (m, 1H, 23-H_a)$, $3.69-3.57 (m, 3H, 23-H_b + 53-H + 53'-H)$, 3.41-3.32 (m, 2)2H, 36–H_a + 36–H_b + 37–H_a + 37–H_b), 3.27–3.22 (*m*, 2H, 35–H_a + 35–H_b + 38–H_a + 38–H_b), 3.02–2.83 (*m*, 1H, 18–H), 2.63–2.40 (*m*, 1H, 5–H), 2.05 (*d*, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 3H, 32–H), 2.02 (*s*, 3H, 34–H), 1.96–1.75 (*m*, 2H, 11–H_a + 11–H_b), 1.72–1.38 (*m*, 14H, 16–H_a + 16–H_b + 19–H_a + 7–H_a $+7-H_{b}+2-H_{a}+2-H_{b}+1-H_{a}+9-H+6-H_{a}+6-H_{b}+15-H_{a}+22-H_{a}+21-H_{a}), 1.31 (t, t)$ $J = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 54\text{--}\text{H} + 54'\text{--}\text{H}), 1.24\text{--}1.08 (m, 5\text{H}, 19\text{--}\text{H}_{b} + 15\text{--}\text{H}_{b} + 22\text{--}\text{H}_{b} + 1\text{--}\text{H}_{b} + 21\text{--}\text{H}_{b}),$ 1.07 (s, 3H, 27–H), 1.00 (d, J = 23.5 Hz, 3H, 29–H), 0.92 (s, 3H, 25–H), 0.86 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 3H, 30–H), 0.81 (s, 3H, 24–H), 0.64 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 175.7 (C-28), 171.0 (C-31), 170.6 (C-33), 167.7 (C-37), 157.7 (C-52), 157.7 (C-52'), 155.8 (C-46), 155.7 (C-50), 155.6 (C-50'), 144.6 (C-13), 135.1 (C-45), 132.3 (C-49), 132.3 (C-49'), 130.7 (C-40), 130.4 (C-44), 130.2 (C-42), 130.2 (C-43), 127.6 (C-41), 121.4 (C-12), 114.3 (C-48), 114.3 (C-48'), 113.8 (C-47), 113.8 (C-47'), 96.3 (C-51), 96.3 (C-51'), 74.5 (C-3), 65.4 (C-23), 55.8 (C-5), 47.9 (C-9), 47.7 (C-17), 47.5 (C-36), 47.5 (C-37), 46.2 (C-19), 46.1 (C-53), 46.1 (C-53'), 45.4 (C-4), 43.5 (C-18), 41.7 (C-35), 41.7 (C-38), 40.5 (C-14), 39.0 (C-8), 37.7 (C-1) 36.8 (C-10), 34.0 (C-21), 32.9 (C-30), 32.5 (C-7), 32.3 (C-20), 30.3 (C-15), 29.9 (C-2), 27.8 (C-27), 25.7 (C-29), 24.0 (C-11), 23.4 (C-16), 22.9 (C-22), 21.2 (C-32), 20.9 (C-34), 17.9 (C-6), 16.9 (C–26), 15.8 (C–25), 13.1 (C–24) 12.7 (C–54), 12.7 (C–54') ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 1049.86 ([M–Cl]⁺, 60); analysis calculated for C₆₆H₈₉ClN₄O₇ (1085.91): C 73.00, H 8.26, N 5.16; found: C 72.84, H 8.41, N 4.87.

3.26. N-9-[2-($\{4-[(3\beta,4\alpha)-3,23-Bis(acetyloxy)-28-oxours-12-en-28-yl]-1,4-diazepan-1-yl\}$ carbonyl)phenyl]-6-diethylamino-3H-xanthen-3-ylidene]-N-ethylethanaminium Chloride (**22**)

Following GPC from 14 (156 mg, 0.24 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), 22 (49 mg, 31%) was obtained as a purple solid; m.p.: 243–248 °C; $R_F = 0.29$ (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH, 9:1); IR (ATR): v = 3424br, 2941br, 1732s, 1640w, 1588s, 1338w, 1244s, 690w cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 256 (0.2), 304 (0.1), 354 (0.1), 558 (0.5) nm; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.84–7.68 (*m*, 1H, 42–H), 7.67–7.55 (*m*, 2H, 43–H + 44–H), 7.43 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H, 45–H), 7.26 (s, 2H, 50–H + 50'–H), 7.05 (t, J = 11.1 Hz, 2H, 49-H + 49'-H), 6.89-6.70 (m, 2H, 52-H + 52'-H), 5.31-5.17 (m, 1H, 12-H), 4.80-4.69 (m, 1H, 3–H), 3.84 (*t*, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H, 23–H_a), 3.74–3.40 (*m*, 5H, 23–H_b + 54–H+ 54′–H + 39–H_a + 39–H_b), 3.13 (*d*, *J* = 75.5 Hz, 2H, 35–H_a + 35–H_b), 2.94–2.82 (*m*, 5H, 18–H + 36–H_a + 36–H_b + $37-H_a + 37-H_b$, 2.18–2.07 (*m*, 1H, 16–H_a + 22–H_a) 2.05 (*s*, 3H, 34–H), 2.00 (*s*, 3H, 32–H), 1.87 $(tq, J = 18.0, 9.1, 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H, 11-H_a + 11-H_b), 1.74-1.35 (m, 14H, 16-H_b + 19-H_a + 19-H_b + 19-H_b)$ $15-H_a + 38-H_a + 38-H_b + 2-H_a + 2-H_b + 22-H_b + 1-H_a + 7-H_a + 6-H_a + 6-H_b + 21-H_a),$ 1.26–1.13 (*m*, 5H, 7–H_b + 9–H + 1–H_b + 21–H_b + 15–H_b + 55–H + 55′–H), 1.12 (*s*, 3H, 27–H), 0.94 (s, 3H, 25–H), 0.93 (s, 3H, 29–H), 0.89 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.81 (s, 3H, 24–H), 0.74 (s, 3H, 26–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 174.5 (C–28), 171.0 (C–31), 170.7 (C–33), 166.8 (C–40), 157.7 (C-53), 157.7 (C-53'), 155.7 (C-47), 155.7 (C-51), 155.6 (C-51'), 144.7 (C-13), 135.0 (C-46), 132.2 (C-50), 132.2 (C-50'), 130.6 (C-41), 130.4 (C-45), 130.3 (C-43), 130.2 (C-44), 127.8 (C-42), 121.4 (C-12), 114.3 (C-49), 114.3 (C-49'), 113.9 (C-48), 113.9 (C-48'), 96.2 (C-52), 96.2 (C-52'), 74.6 (C-3), 65.5 (C-23), 56.3 (C-39), 48.0 (C-5), 47.9 (C-9), 47.8 (C-36), 46.6 (C-17), 46.3 (C-37), 46.2 (C-54), 46.2 (C-54'), 45.5 (C-19), 43.7 (C-35), 41.9 (C-4), 40.6 (C-14), 40.5 (C-18), 39.1 (C-8), 37.7 (C-1), 36.8 (C-10), 34.1 (C-21), 33.0 (C-30), 32.4 (C-7), 32.3 (C-20), 30.3 (C-15), 30.0 (C-2), 27.9 (C-27), 25.8 (C-29), 23.9 (C-11), 23.4 (C-16), 22.9 (C-22), 21.2 (C-32), 20.9 (C-34), 18.0 (C-6), 16.8 (C-26), 15.8 (C-25), 13.1 (C-24), 12.9 (C-55), 12.9 (C–55') ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) = 1064.1 ([M–Cl]⁺, 70); analysis calculated for C₆₇H₉₁ClN₄O₇ (1099.94): C 73.16, H 8.34, N 5.09; found: C 72.87, H 8.53, N 4.85.

3.27. N-{6-Diethylamino-9-[2-({4[$(2\alpha, 3\beta, 4\alpha, 6\beta)-2, 3, 23$ -tris(acetyloxy)-6-hydroxy-28-oxours-12-en-28-yl)-1-piperazinyl]carbonyl]phenyl]-3H-xanthen-3-ylidene)-N-ethylethanaminium Chloride (23)

Following GPC from 17 (156 mg, 0.22 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), 23 (88 mg, 56%) was obtained as a purple solid; m.p.: 228–233 °C; R_F = 0.20 (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH, 95:5); IR (ATR): ν = 2915*w*, 2897*w*, 1735*s*, 1568*s*, 1466*s*, 1340*s*, 1232*s*, 1160*vs*, 1077*s* cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 256 (0.4), 305 (0.2), 354 (0.1), 560 (1.0) nm; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.84–7.61 (*m*, 2H, 44–H, 45–H), 7.54–7.47 (*m*, 1H, 43–H), 7.35–7.27 (*m*, 3H, 46–H, 51–H, 51′–H), 7.18–6.93 (*m*, 2H, 50–H, 50′–H), 6.92–6.67 (*m*, 2H, 53–H, 53′–H), 5.32–5.24 (*m*, 1H, 12–H), 5.13 (*dd*, *J* = 10.4, 6.7 Hz, 2H, 3–H, 2–H), 4.23 (*dd*, *J* = 11.9, 7.7 Hz, 2H, 6–H, 23–H_a), 3.78–3.51 (*m*, 3H, 55–H, 55′–H, 23–H_b), 3.49–3.18 (*m*, 8H, 37–H_a, 37–H_b 40–H_a, 40–H_b, 38–H_a, 38–H_b, 39–H_a, 39–H_b), 2.23–2.11 (*m*, 1H, 22–H_a), 2.09 (s, 3H, 36–H), 2.16–2.07 (m, 2H, 18–H, 16–H_a), 2.02 (s, 3H, 32–H), 2.00 (s, 3H, 34–H), 1.98 (s, 3H, 1–Ha, 16–H), 1.92–1.77 (m, 2H, 11–Ha, 11–Hb), 1.80–1.54 (m, 1H, 9–H), 1.66–1.27 (*m*, 14H, 6–H_a, 6–H_b, 7–H_a, 7–H_b, 16–H_b, 22–H_b, 21–H_a, 1–H_b, 15–H_a, 21–H_b, 20–H, 19–H_a, 56-H, 56'-H), 1.25 (s, 3H, 26-H), 1.22-0.92 (m, 2H, 15-H_b, 19-H_b), 1.12 (s, 3H, 29-H), 0.99 (s, 3H, 25–H), 0.93 (s, 3H, 27–H), 0.86 (s, 3H, 30–H), 0.82–0.75 (m, 1H, 5–H), 0.83 (s, 3H, 24–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 174.6 (C–28), 171.0 (C–35), 170.5 (C–31), 170.2 (C–33), 167.7 (C-41), 157.7 (C-54), 157.7 (C-54'), 155.9 (C-48), 155.6 (C-52), 155.6 (C-52'), 144.7 (C-13), 135.0 (C-47), 132.3 (C-51), 132.3 (C-51'), 130.7 (C-42), 130.3 (C-46), 130.2 (C-44), 130.1 (C-45), 127.7 (C-43), 126.0 (C-12), 114.3 (C-50), 114.3 (C-50'), 113.9 (C-49), 113.9 (C-49'), 96.2 (C-53), 96.2 (C-53'), 73.5 (C-3), 69.1 (C-2), 67.5 (C-6), 64.9 (C-23), 58.7 (C-18), 48.7 (C-37), 48.7 (C-39), 46.2 (C-17), 45.9 (C-55), 45.9 (C-55'), 45.9 (C-9), 45.7 (C-4), 45.5 (C-5), 45.3 (C-10), 45.0 (C-38), 45.0 (C-39), 42.3 (C-1), 40.6 (C-20), 39.3 (C-19), 38.6 (C-8), 38.3 (C-7), 38.2 (C-14), 32.3 (C-22), 30.4 (C-21), 30.3 (C-16), 27.4 (C-15), 25.4 (C-11), 23.4 (C-25), 23.3 (C-27), 22.4 (C-26), 22.3 (C-30), 21.9 (C-34), 21.1 (C-29), 20.9 (C-32), 20.7 (C-36) 17.4 (C–24), 12.7 (C–56), 12.7 (C–56') ppm; and MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) = 1123.7 ([M–Cl][–], 35); analysis calculated for C₆₈H₉₁ClN₄O₁₀ (1159.94): C 70.41, H 7.91, N 4.83; found: C 7021, H 8.13, N 4.74.

3.28. N-{6-Diethylamino-9-[2-({4[$(2\alpha, 3\beta, 4\alpha, 6\beta)-2, 3, 23-tris(acetyloxy)-6-hydroxy-28-oxours-12-en-28-yl)-1, 4-diazepan-1-yl}carbonyl]phenyl]-3H-xanthen-3-ylidene)-N-ethylethanaminium Chloride (24)$

Following GPC from 18 (250 mg, 0.35 mmol) followed by chromatography (SiO₂, chloroform/methanol, 9:1), 24 (197 mg, 48%) was obtained as a purple solid; m.p.: 269–273 °C; $R_F = 0.34$ (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH 9:1); IR (ATR): $\nu = 2925w$, 1739m, 1645w, 1626m, 1587vs, 1412s, 1395m, 1336s, 1244s, 1179vs, 1160m, 1073m, 1029m, 976m, 746s, 683s, 472w, 410w cm⁻¹; UV-Vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 260 (4.43), 307 (4.10), 355 (3.80), 562 (4.98) nm; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.72–7.54 (*m*, 2H, 46–H + 45–H), 7.50–7.37 (*m*, 1H, 44–H), 7.33–7.07 (*m*, 3H, 47–H + 51–H + 51′–H), 6.96–6.62 (*m*, 2H, 54–H + 54′–H), 5.58–5.46 (*m*, 1H, 12–H), 5.38-5.20 (*m*, 1H, 2–H), 5.11 (*d*, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H, 3–H), 4.21 (*d*, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, 23–H_a), 4.15–2.81 (*m*, 19H, 56–H + 56′–H + 23–H_b + 37–H + 38–H + 39–H + 40–H + 41–H), 2.52–2.22 (*m*, 4H, 18–H + 22–H_a + 16–H), 2.20–1.84 (*m*, 3H, 1–H_a + 11–H), 2.02 (*s*, 3H, 36–H), 1.97 (s, 3H, 34–H), 1.96 (s, 3H, 32–H), 1.83–1.66 (m, 1H, 9–H), 1.64–1.50 (m, 1H, 22–H_b), 1.31 (s, $12H, 57-H + 57'-H), 1.49-1.22 (m, 5H, 21-H_{b} + 1-H_{b} + 19-H + 6-H + 20-H), 1.18 (s, 3H, 3H, 3H)$ 25-H), 1.10 (s, 3H, 24-H), 1.03-0.87 (m, 1H, 5-H), 0.93 (s, 3H, 27-H), 0.91 (s, 3H, 29-H), 0.83 (*s*, 3H, 26–H), 0.82 (*s*, 3H, 30–H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 176.8 (C–28), 171.1 (C-35), 170.5 (C-31), 170.4 (C-33), 168.1 (C-42), 157.9 (C-55), 157.9 (C-55'), 155.9 (C-53), 155.9 (C-53'), 155.8 (C-49), 143.1 (C-13), 134.1 (C-48), 132.5 (C-51), 132.5 (C-51'), 131.4 (C-52), 131.4 (C-52'), 130.2 (C-46), 130.1 (C-47), 129.7 (C-45), 126.9 (C-44), 122.7 (C-12), 114.2 (C-51), 114.2 (C-51'), 114.0 (C-50), 113.8 (C-50'), 96.4 (C-54), 96.4 (C-54'), 73.7 (C-3), 69.3 (C-2), 65.1 (C-23), 55.8 (C-18), 49.4 (C-37), 49.4 (C-41), 46.6 (C-40), 46.4 (C-39), 46.3

(C–56), 46.6 (C–56'), 46.2 (C–38), 46.2 (C-17), 46.0 (C-9), 45.1 (C-4), 43.9 (C-14), 42.4 (C-1), 38.8 (C-19), 38.6 (C-5), 38.5 (C-20), 38.4 (C-6), 38.4 (C-8), 38.3 (C-10), 34.2 (C-7), 32.4 (C-22), 30.4 (C-21), 27.4 (C-15), 25.4 (C-16), 23.5 (C-11), 23.1 (C-27), 22.4 (C-25), 22.0 (C-24), 21.3 (C-29), 21.2 (C-26), 21.0 (C-34), 21.0 (C-32), 20.8 (C-36), 17.7 (C-30), 12.8 (C-57 + C-57') ppm; and MS (ESI): m/z (%) 1137.7 ([M–CI]⁺, 25); analysis calculated for C₆₉H₉₃ClN₄O₁₀ (1173.97): C 70.59, H 7.99, N 4.77; found: C 70.33, H 8.16, N 4.52.

4. Conclusions

Several amides and rhodamine B conjugates derived from parent triterpenoic acids gypsogenin, hederagenin, and madecassic acid were synthesized and their cytotoxicity was evaluated in SRB assay. While the parent acids exhibited very low cytotoxicity, their corresponding acetates proved better. Acetylated amides, however, gave significantly lower EC_{50} values than the acetates. A significant increase in cytotoxicity was observed for the corresponding rhodamine B conjugates obtained from the latter. While rhodamine B is not cytotoxic (cut-off 30 μ M), triterpenoic conjugates of rhodamine B holding a piperazinyl or homopiperazinyl spacer were cytotoxic, even in nano-molar concentration, with compound **24** being the most cytotoxic one. In comparison, homopiperazinyl-spacered compounds were more tumor-cell selective than their piperazinyl-spacered analogs. The preparation of benzyl and phenylamides succeeded in generating compounds holding similar bioactivity as previously reported for some analogs derived from betulinic, ursolic, glycyrrhetinic, or oleanolic acid. Our present studies showed spacered conjugates derived from madecassic acid of excellent cytotoxicity in single-digit nanomolar concentration combined with a noteworthy tumor/non-tumor cell selectivity.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3 390/ijms23084362/s1, Supplementary File S1: details of the SRB assay, and depicted NMR (¹H, ¹³C).

Author Contributions: R.C. brought the idea, managed the research, and prepared the manuscript; O.K., A.-K.H., and I.S. prepared the compounds for screening, O.K. and R.C. undertook draft preparation, and S.H. conducted biological experiments. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: We acknowledge the financial support within the funding program Open Access Publishing by the German Research Foundation (DFG).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We like to thank D. Ströhl and his team for the NMR spectra and T. Schmidt for numerous MS spectra; IR, UV/vis spectra and elemental analyses were measured by M. Schneider; the cell lines were kindly provided by Th. Müller (Dep. of Haematology/Oncology, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Cancer. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer (accessed on 20 September 2021).
- Latest Global Cancer Data: Cancer Burden Rises to 19.3 Million New Cases and 10.0 Million Cancer Deaths in 2020. Available online: https://www.iarc.who.int/news-events/latest-global-cancer-data-cancer-burden-rises-to-19-3-million-new-cases-and-10-0-million-cancer-deaths-in-2020/ (accessed on 20 September 2021).
- Siddiqui, B.S.; Begum, S.; Siddiqui, S.; Lichter, W. Two cytotoxic pentacyclic triterpenoids from Nerium oleander. *Phytochemistry* 1995, 39, 171–174. [CrossRef]
- Chudzik, M.; Korzonek-Szlacheta, I.; Krol, W. Triterpenes as potentially cytotoxic compounds. *Molecules* 2015, 20, 1610–1625. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goncalves, B.M.F.; Salvador, J.A.R.; Marin, S.; Cascante, M. Synthesis and biological evaluation of novel asiatic acid derivatives with anticancer activity. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 3967–3985. [CrossRef]

- 6. Kim, M.-R.; Lee, H.-H.; Hahm, K.-S.; Moon, Y.-H.; Woo, E.-R. Pentacyclic triterpenoids and their cytotoxicity from the stem bark of Styrax japonica S. et Z. *Arch. Pharmacal Res.* **2004**, *27*, 283–286. [CrossRef]
- Baratto, L.C.; Porsani, M.V.; Pimentel, I.C.; Pereira Netto, A.B.; Paschke, R.; Oliveira, B.H. Preparation of betulinic acid derivatives by chemical and biotransformation methods and determination of cytotoxicity against selected cancer cell lines. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2013, 68, 121–131. [CrossRef]
- Drag, M.; Surowiak, P.; Drag-Zalesinska, M.; Dietel, M.; Lage, H.; Oleksyszyn, J. Comparison of the cytotoxic effects of birch bark extract, betulin and betulinic acid towards human gastric carcinoma and pancreatic carcinoma drug-sensitive and drug-resistant cell lines. *Molecules* 2009, 14, 1639–1651. [CrossRef]
- 9. Kommera, H.; Kaluderovic, G.N.; Dittrich, S.; Kalbitz, J.; Dräger, B.; Müller, T.; Paschke, R. Carbamate derivatives of betulinic acid and betulin with selective cytotoxic activity. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20*, 3409–3412. [CrossRef]
- 10. Wiemann, J.; Heller, L.; Perl, V.; Kluge, R.; Ströhl, D.; Csuk, R. Betulinic acid derived hydroxamates and betulin derived carbamates are interesting scaffolds for the synthesis of novel cytotoxic compounds. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *106*, 194–210. [CrossRef]
- 11. Mazumder, K.; Tanaka, K.; Fukase, K. Cytotoxic activity of ursolic acid derivatives obtained by isolation and oxidative derivatization. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 8929–8944. [CrossRef]
- Lewinska, A.; Adamczyk-Grochala, J.; Kwasniewicz, E.; Deregowska, A.; Wnuk, M. Ursolic acid-mediated changes in glycolytic pathway promote cytotoxic autophagy and apoptosis in phenotypically different breast cancer cells. *Apoptosis* 2017, 22, 800–815. [CrossRef]
- Hao, J.; Liu, J.; Wen, X.; Sun, H. Synthesis and cytotoxicity evaluation of oleanolic acid derivatives. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2013, 23, 2074–2077. [CrossRef]
- 14. Friedrich, S.; Serbian, I.; Hoenke, S.; Wolfram, R.K.; Csuk, R. Synthesis and cytotoxic evaluation of malachite green derived oleanolic and ursolic acid piperazineamides. *Med. Chem. Res.* 2020, *29*, 926–933. [CrossRef]
- 15. Schwarz, S.; Csuk, R. Synthesis and antitumour activity of glycyrrhetinic acid derivatives. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *18*, 7458–7474. [CrossRef]
- Rodriguez-Hernandez, D.; Barbosa, L.C.A.; Demuner, A.J.; Ataide Martins, J.P.; Fischer, L.; Csuk, R. Hederagenin amide derivatives as potential antiproliferative agents. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2019, *168*, 436–446. [CrossRef]
- Liu, X.-x.; Yang, Y.-t.; Wang, X.; Wang, K.-y.; Liu, J.-q.; Lei, L.; Luo, X.-m.; Zhai, R.; Fu, F.-h.; Wang, H.-B.; et al. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of novel α-hederagenin derivatives with anticancer activity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2017, 141, 427–439. [CrossRef]
- Emirdag-Ozturk, S.; Karayildirim, T.; Capci-Karagoz, A.; Alankus-Caliskan, O.; Ozmen, A.; Poyrazoglu-Coban, E. Synthesis, antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities, and structure-activity relationships of gypsogenin derivatives against human cancer cells. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2014, 82, 565–573. [CrossRef]
- 19. Valdeira, A.S.C.; Darvishi, E.; Woldemichael, G.M.; Beutler, J.A.; Gustafson, K.R.; Salvador, J.A.R. Madecassic Acid Derivatives as Potential Anticancer Agents: Synthesis and Cytotoxic Evaluation. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2019**, *82*, 2094–2105. [CrossRef]
- Pavlova, N.I.; Savinova, O.V.; Nikolaeva, S.N.; Boreko, E.I.; Flekhter, O.B. Antiviral activity of betulin, betulinic and betulonic acids against some enveloped and non-enveloped viruses. *Fitoterapia* 2003, 74, 489–492. [CrossRef]
- 21. Wang, H.M.; Soica, C.M.; Wenz, G. A comparison investigation on the solubilization of betulin and betulinic acid in cyclodextrin derivatives. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* 2012, 7, 289–291. [CrossRef]
- Pisha, E.; Chai, H.; Lee, I.-S.; Chagwedera, T.E.; Farnsworth, N.R.; Cordell, G.A.; Beecher, C.W.W.; Fong, H.H.S.; Kinghorn, A.D.; Brown, D.M.; et al. Discovery of betulinic acid as a selective inhibitor of human melanoma that functions by induction of apoptosis. *Nat. Med.* 1995, 1, 1046–1051. [CrossRef]
- Serbian, I.; Ströhl, D.; Csuk, R. Interconversion of hederagenin and gypsogenin and accessing 4-epi-hedragonic acid. *Phytochem. Lett.* 2020, *39*, 35–38. [CrossRef]
- Heller, L.; Schwarz, S.; Weber, B.A.; Csuk, R. Gypsogenin Derivatives: An Unexpected Class of Inhibitors of Cholinesterases. Arch. Pharm. 2014, 347, 707–716. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Sommerwerk, S.; Heller, L.; Kuhfs, J.; Csuk, R. Selective killing of cancer cells with triterpenoic acid amides—The substantial role of an aromatic moiety alignment. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *122*, 452–464. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wolfram, R.K.; Fischer, L.; Kluge, R.; Ströhl, D.; Al-Harrasi, A.; Csuk, R. Homopiperazine-rhodamine B adducts of triterpenoic acids are strong mitocans. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2018, 155, 869–879. [CrossRef]
- 27. Kahnt, M.; Wiemann, J.; Fischer, L.; Sommerwerk, S.; Csuk, R. Transformation of asiatic acid into a mitocanic, bimodal-acting rhodamine B conjugate of nanomolar cytotoxicity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2018**, *159*, 143–148. [CrossRef]
- Hoenke, S.; Serbian, I.; Deigner, H.-P.; Csuk, R. Mitocanic Di- and Triterpenoid Rhodamine B Conjugates. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 5443. [CrossRef]
- Kozubek, M.; Serbian, I.; Hoenke, S.; Kraft, O.; Csuk, R. Synthesis and cytotoxic evaluation of hydroxycinnamic acid rhodamine B conjugates. *Results Chem.* 2020, 2, 100057. [CrossRef]
- Siewert, B.; Pianowski, E.; Obernauer, A.; Csuk, R. Towards cytotoxic and selective derivatives of maslinic acid. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2014, 22, 594–615. [CrossRef]
- Christman, J.E.; Miller, D.S.; Coward, P.; Smith, L.H.; Teng, N.N.H. Study of the selective cytotoxic properties of cationic, lipophilic mitochondrial-specific compounds in gynecologic malignancies. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 1990, 39, 72–79. [CrossRef]
- 32. Sakamuru, S.; Attene-Ramos, M.S.; Xia, M. Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Assay. Methods Mol. Biol. 2016, 1473, 17–22.

- 33. Sommerwerk, S.; Heller, L.; Kerzig, C.; Kramell, A.E.; Csuk, R. Rhodamine B conjugates of triterpenoic acids are cytotoxic mitocans even at nanomolar concentrations. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *127*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Kaminskyy, D.; Bednarczyk-Cwynar, B.; Vasylenko, O.; Kazakova, O.; Zimenkovsky, B.; Zaprutko, L.; Lesyk, R. Synthesis of new potential anticancer agents based on 4-thiazolidinone and oleanane scaffolds. *Med. Chem. Res.* 2012, 21, 3568–3580. [CrossRef]
- Amanmuradov, K.; Tanyurcheva, T.N. Triterpenic glycoside from Acanthophyllum adenophorum. *Khim. Prir. Soedin.* 1969, *5*, 326.
 Van der Haar, A.W. Saponin-like Substances from the Leaves of Polyscias nodosa and Hedera helix. *Arch. Pharm.* 1913, 250,
- 424–435.
 37. Ruzicka, L.; Giacomello, G. Polyterpenes and polyterpenoids. CVII. Conversion of gypsogenin (albsapogenin) into oleanolic acid. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1936, *19*, 1136–1140. [CrossRef]
- 38. Mitra, A.K.; Karrer, P. Holboellia latifolia, a new source of hederagenin. Helv. Chim. Acta 1953, 36, 1401. [CrossRef]
- Haynes, L.J.; Plimmer, J.R.; Sue-Ho, W.M. Isolation and identification of hederagenin from ackee, Blighia sapida. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 744–745.
- 40. Van Loc, T.; Nhu, V.T.Q.; Chien, T.V.; Ha, L.T.T.; Thao, T.T.P.; Sung, T.V. Synthesis of madecassic acid derivatives and their cytotoxic activity. Z. Naturforsch. B J. Chem. Sci. 2018, 73, 91–98. [CrossRef]
- Rastogi, R.P.; Sarkar, B.; Dhar, M.L. Chemical examination of *Centella asiatica* L. Isolation of the chemical constituents. *J. Sci. Ind. Res.* 1960, 19B, 252–257.