



# The Risk of Adverse Effects of TNF-α Inhibitors in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Network Meta-Analysis

Bei He<sup>1†</sup>, Yun Li<sup>1†</sup>, Wen-wen Luo<sup>1</sup>, Xuan Cheng<sup>1</sup>, Huai-rong Xiang<sup>1</sup>, Qi-zhi Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Jie He<sup>1</sup> and Wen-xing Peng<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmacy, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China, <sup>2</sup> Institute of Clinical Pharmacy, Central South University, Changsha, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Jochen Mattner, University of Erlangen Nuremberg, Germany

#### Reviewed by:

Hiroki Mitoma, Kyushu University, Japan Giuseppe Murdaca, University of Genoa, Italy

### \*Correspondence:

Wen-xing Peng pwx.csu@csu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 13 November 2021 Accepted: 24 January 2022 Published: 16 February 2022

#### Citation:

He B, Li Y, Luo W-w, Cheng X, Xiang H-r, Zhang Q-z, He J and Peng W-x (2022) The Risk of Adverse Effects of TNF-α Inhibitors in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Network Meta-Analysis. Front. Immunol. 13:814429. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.814429 **Objectives:** To evaluate the safety of each anti-TNF therapy for patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and then make the best choice in clinical practice.

**Methods:** We searched PUBMED, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library. The deadline for retrieval is August 2021. The ORs, Confidence Intervals (CIs), and p values were calculated by STATA.16.0 software for assessment.

**Result:** 72 RCTs involving 28332 subjects were included. AEs were more common with adalimumab combined disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) compared with placebo (OR = 1.60, 95% Cl: 1.06, 2.42), DMARDs (1.28, 95% Cl: 1.08, 1.52), etanercept combined DMARDs (1.32, 95% Cl: 1.03, 1.67); certolizumab combined DMARDs compared with placebo (1.63, 95% Cl: 1.07, 2.46), DMARDs (1.30, 95% Cl: 1.10, 1.54), etanercept combined DMARDs (1.34, 95% Cl: 1.05, 1.70). In SAEs, comparisons between treatments showed adalimumab (0.20, 95% Cl: 0.07, 0.59), etanercept combined DMARDs (0.39, 95% Cl: 0.15, 0.96), golimumab (0.19, 95% Cl: 0.05, 0.77), infliximab (0.15, 95% Cl: 0.03, 0.71) decreased the risk of SAEs compared with golimumab combined DMARDs. In infections, comparisons between treatments showed adalimumab (0.56, 95% Cl: 0.35, 0.91), golimumab combined DMARDs (0.51, 95% Cl: 0.31, 0.83) decreased the risk of infections compared with infliximab combined DMARDs. No evidence indicated that the use of TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors influenced the risk of serious infections, malignant tumors.

**Conclusion:** In conclusion, we regard etanercept monotherapy as the optimal choice for RA patients in clinical practice when the efficacy is similar. Conversely, certolizumab + DMARDs therapy is not recommended.

Systematic Review Registration: identifier PROSPERO CRD42021276176.

Keywords: adverse effects, TNF-a inhibitors, rheumatoid arthritis, network meta-analysis, serious adverse events

# INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is one of the most prevalent chronic inflammatory diseases, which can cause cartilage and bone damage as well as a disability that carries a substantial burden for both the individual and society (1). Currently, antitumors necrosis factor (anti-TNF) therapy has been established as an efficacious therapeutic strategy in RA (2). TNF- $\alpha$  is a proinflammatory cytokine known to have a key role in the pathogenesis of chronic immune-mediated diseases (3). Five TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors have received regulatory approval for clinical use in rheumatology: adalimumab, golimumab, infliximab, certolizumab, and etanercept (4). They are commonly used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis.

Besides therapeutic effects, some studies reported that TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors may also cause some adverse effects in patients with RA (5–8). Although there have been some pair-wise metaanalyses and network meta-analyses that evaluate the safety of different TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors therapies for patients with RA. Nevertheless, most of the trials only focused on total AEs and SAEs or just one kind of detailed AEs, and some of the initial meta-analyses were contradicted by subsequent studies. For instance, Bongartz et al. reported that RA patients who were treated by anti-TNF therapies had an increased risk of serious infections and malignancies (9), while another trial evaluating malignancy risk in RA patients concluded that there was no significant evidence of an increased risk of malignancy using TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors (10).

To evaluate the safety of TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors in patients with RA, we choose six safety outcomes to systematically assess 10 anti-TNF therapies from 72 RCTs with a sample size of 28332 patients. Our network meta-analysis seeks to infer the risk of adverse effects of two therapies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis by direct and indirect comparisons. Simultaneously, it extracts and analyzes data from all randomized control trials (RCTs) to select the best therapy. The objective of the current study is to better characterize the safety of each anti-TNF therapy for patients with RA and then make the best choice in clinical practice.

# **METHOD**

### **Study Selection**

We searched PUBMED, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library with the terms of drugs (adalimumab, certolizumab, etanercept, infliximab, and golimumab) and diseases (rheumatoid arthritis). After matching each "drug" and "disease", restricting search results with the condition "randomized controlled trial", we finally form the retrieval expressions that adapt to different databases. The deadline for retrieval is August 2021. Two investigators performed the literature screening according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria independently. The repeated studies were excluded firstly. Afterward, excluded unrelated studies by reading the titles and abstracts. The literature that met the inclusion and exclusion criteria was further screened by reading the full text. Disagreements were resolved by consensus Equations.

# **Inclusive Criteria**

RCTs associated with adalimumab, certolizumab, etanercept, infliximab, and golimumab in the treatment of rheumatic diseases are included. Subjects should be greater than or equal to 18 years old and should be diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis according to American College of Rheumatology criteria or other authoritative criteria. Disease progression, race, nationality, and complications are not limited. For the types of interventions, the experimental groups use TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors, with or without disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). The control groups use placebo (with or without DMARDs) or DMARDs alone.

### **Exclusive Criteria**

RCTs that accord with any of the following criteria will be excluded: (1) studies with no accessible records of AE, SAE, malignant tumors, infections, severe infections, or malignant tumors (requiring intravenous antibiotic treatment or hospitalization or threatening patient's life); (2) repetitive studies with shorter follow-up time; (3) studies with improper control (other therapy in experimental group or control group); (4) studies with Jadad score lower than or equal to 3 points; (5) studies with full texts not available.

### **Data Extraction**

Data extraction was performed independently by He Bei and Li Yun, and the EndNote software was used to filter duplications and irrelevant literature by reading titles and abstracts. The remaining articles were then browsed in full text to determine whether they met the inclusion criteria. After removing ineligible publications, the two reviewers independently extracted data from each study, and disagreements were resolved by reaching a consensus. From each eligible study, we extracted and summarized the following details: the first author, year of publication, country, the total number of participants, type of TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors, age range, follow-up time, duration of trials.

# Assessment of Risk of Bias

Two investigators independently assessed each study's risk of bias as low, unclear, and high. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. The items included: Random sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding of participants and personnel; blinding of outcome assessment; incomplete outcome data; selective reporting; other bias.

# **Quality Assessment**

Two reviewers independently used the modified Jadad scale to assess the quality of RCTs (randomized control trials). NOS includes three aspects (selection, comparability, and exposure for case-control studies or outcomes for cohort studies), as well as scores of 4, 2, and 3, respectively. The modified Jadad scale comprises four parts: generation of the allocation sequence, concealment of allocation, blinding, and incomplete outcome data, and scores of 2, 2, 2, and 1 for four parts, respectively. Studies with scores of 1-3 were considered to be of low quality; 4-7 high quality.

# Data Synthesis and Analysis

Network meta-analysis was performed to compare each of the 10 anti-TNF therapies. Based on the multivariate framework, the network meta-analysis was conducted using frequency theory, and two program packages, network, and mymeta, developed by STATA 16 software based on multiple regression theory, were used for statistical analysis. Firstly, an evidence network diagram was drawn to show the comparison between interventions, and the consistency test was conducted according to the existence of closed rings. Second, for counting data, OR was used for calculation, the network meta of adverse drug reactions was analyzed, 95% confidence interval was used for all effect sizes, and 95%CI of OR did not cross effect line 1, indicating that P<0.05 was statistically significant. SUCRA analysis was used to seek therapies that had the highest probability of adverse events, with the higher the SUCRA value, the higher the risk. Stata 16.0 draws a comparative-correction funnel plot to determine

whether there is a small sample effect in the analysis and recognition network, to evaluate the publication bias of the final screening. All tests were two-sided with a significance level of 0.05.

# RESULT

By searching databases, we retrieved 3200 original records. After excluding duplicates and irrelevant articles, 211 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. By reading full-text, 72 articles met the inclusive criteria and exclusive criteria (11-82). The following diagram of the study selection process for this metaanalysis is shown in Figure 1. The 72 articles included 28332 patients, followed up for about 16-104 weeks. 72 articles involved RCT experiments, including 21 adalimumab trials, 13 certolizumab trials, 21 etanercept trials, 9 golimumab



З

trials, and 8 infliximab trials. Table 1 summarizes the relevant characteristics.

# **Adverse Events**

58 articles (12, 15, 16, 19, 21-26, 28-38, 40-42, 44-47, 49-56, 58-69, 71-75, 77, 79-82) reported the occurrence of AEs and 23778 RA patients was included. The network of eligible comparisons is shown in Figure 2. Network meta-analysis showed that adalimumab combined DMARDs compared with placebo therapy statistically significantly increased the risk of AEs by 60% (1.60, 95% CI: 1.06, 2.42); compared with DMARDs, the risk of AEs increased by 28% (1.28, 95% CI: 1.08, 1.52) (Table 2 and Figure 3). Certolizumab also found that compared with placebo therapy, the risk of AE increased by 127% (2.27, 95% CI: 1.22, 4.24). In addition, certolizumab combined DMARDs compared with placebo therapy statistically significantly increased the risk of AEs by 63% (1.63, 95% CI: 1.07, 2.46); compared with DMARDs, the risk of AEs increased by 30% (1.30, 95% CI: 1.10, 1.54). Comparisons between treatments showed certolizumab combined DMARDs increased the risk of AEs compared with etanercept combined DMARDs (1.34, 95% CI: 1.05, 1.70); adalimumab combined DMARDs increased the risk of AEs compared with etanercept combined DMARDs (1.32, 95% CI: 1.03, 1.67) (Table 2). There was no statistically significant difference between other comparisons.

We have made global consistency. The test result p-value was 0.9095, so the consistency model could be used. We also established local consistency and the p-value of the test result exceeded 0.05, which was considered local. We analyzed SUCRA to research the probability of adverse events for each therapy. The results indicated that certolizumab had the highest probability to cause AEs (SUCRA = 0.906), while PBO had the lowest probability to cause AEs (SUCRA = 0.066) compared with the other therapies (**Figure 3**). There was a funnel plot with no obvious asymmetry, indicating no publication bias (**Figure 4**).

# **Serious Adverse Events**

58 articles (12, 13, 15, 17-19, 22, 24-27, 29-32, 34-36, 38, 40-52, 54, 56-60, 62-70, 72-82) reported the occurrence of SAEs and 23805 RA patients was included. The network of eligible comparisons was shown in Figure 5. Network meta-analysis showed that golimumab combined DMARDs compared with placebo therapy statistically significantly increased the risk of SAEs by 227% (3.27, 95% CI: 1.08, 9.92); Compared with DMARDs, the risk of SAEs increased by 170% (2.70, 95% CI: 1.15, 6.32). Comparisons between treatments showed adalimumab (0.20, 95% CI: 0.07, 0.59), etanercept(0.35, 95% CI: 0.12, 1.00), etanercept combined DMARDs (0.39, 95% CI: 0.15, 0.96), golimumab (0.19, 95% CI: 0.05, 0.77) decreased the risk of SAEs compared with golimumab combined DMARDs; adalimumab (0.39, 95% CI: 0.18, 0.84) decreased the risk of SAEs compared with certolizumab combined DMARDs; golimumab combined DMARDs increased the risk of SAEs compared with infliximab (6.50, 95% CI: 1.41, 29.90) (Table 3). There was no statistically significant difference between other comparisons.

We did the global consistency test. The test result p-value was 0.8840. We also made local consistency and the test result

p-value was greater than 0.05, which was considered to be locally consistent. According to the SUCRA analysis, golimumab combined DMARDs had the highest risk to cause SAEs (SUCRA = 0.940), while adalimumab had the lowest risk to cause SAEs (SUCRA = 0.130) compared with the other 11 therapies (**Figure 6**). There was a funnel plot asymmetry, with the right corner of the pyramidal part of the funnel missing, which suggested a possible bias (**Figure 7**).

### Infections

40 articles (12, 15, 17, 22, 25-28, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 38, 40-42, 45, 49, 54-56, 58-60, 62-66, 72-77, 79-82) reported the occurrence of AEs and 15285 RA patients was included. The network of eligible comparisons was shown in the Supplementary Figure 1. Network meta-analysis showed that golimumab combined DMARDs compared with DMARDs increased the risk of infections by 35% (1.35, 95% CI: 1.10, 1.66); infliximab combined DMARDs compared with DMARDs increased the risk of infections by 102% (2.02, 95% CI: 1.31, 3.11). Comparisons between treatments showed adalimumab combined DMARDs (0.59, 95% CI: 0.37, 0.95), etanercept (0.49, 95% CI: 0.28, 0.88), etanercept combined DMARDs (0.56, 95% CI: 0.35, 0.91), golimumab combined DMARDs (0.51, 95% CI: 0.31, 0.83) decreased the risk of infections compared with infliximab combined DMARDs (supplementary Table 1). There was no statistically significant difference between other comparisons.

We did the global consistency test. The test result p-value was 0.6713. We also established local consistency and the p-value of the test result exceeded 0.05, which was considered local. According to the SUCRA analysis, infliximab combined DMARDs had the highest risk to cause infections (SUCRA = 0.910), while DMARDs had the lowest risk to cause infections SUCRA = 0.210) compared with the other 11 therapies (**Supplementary Figure 2**). There was a funnel plot (**Supplementary Figure 3**) with no obvious asymmetry, indicating no publication bias.

# **Serious Infections**

55 articles (11–20, 22, 23, 26–38, 40, 42, 45, 47–49, 51, 52, 54, 56– 60, 62–66, 68, 69, 72–77, 80–82) reported the occurrence of serious infections, involving a total of 24740 RA patients. The network of eligible comparisons was shown in the **Supplementary Figure 4**. Network meta-analysis showed that there was no statistically significant difference between 12 therapies (**Supplementary Table 2**).

We did the global consistency test. The resulting p-value was 0.4900. We also made local consistency and the test result p-value was greater than 0.05, which was considered to be locally consistent. According to the SUCRA analysis, certolizumab had the highest risk to cause serious infections (SUCRA =0.817), while etanercept combined DMARDs had the lowest risk to cause serious infections (SUCRA = 0.285) compared with the other 11 therapies (**Supplementary Figure 5**). There was a funnel plot asymmetry, with the right corner of the pyramidal part of the funnel missing, which suggested a possible bias (**Supplementary Figure 6**).

#### TABLE 1 | Characteristics of included studies.

Author, Year		Duration of trials (years)	Quality score	Follow- up time (Week)	Average age (years old)	Duration of rheumatoid arthritis (years)	Number of women (n)	Number of patients (n)	Total number of cases (n)	Intervention measures
Den et al.		NA	4	4	55	11.9	22	31	120	Placebo
(11)					54	11	10	17		adalimumab 0.5mg/Kg
					58	11.2	10	18		adalimumab 1mg/Kg
					54	10.8	8	18		adalimumab 3mg/Kg
					59	14.5	15	18		adalimumab 5mg/Kg
					53	8.9	12	18		adalimumab 10mg/Kg
Frust et al.		NA	4	24	55	9.3	253	318	636	adalimumab 40mg eow+DMARD
(15)					55.8	11.5	252	318		placebo+DMARD
Van der		NA	4	12	53.7	10.4	61	72	284	adalimumab 20mg qw
Putte et al.					52.6	10	57	70		adalimumab 40mg qw
(13)					53.2	10.1	50	72		adalimumab 80mg qw
					50.2	9.4	57	70		placebo
Weinblatt		NA	5	24	53.5	13.1	52	69	271	adalimumab 20mg eow+MTX
et al. (14)					57.2	12.2	50	67		adalimumab 40mg eow+MTX
					55.5	12.8	55	73		adalimumab 80mg eow+MTX
					56	11.1	51	62		placebo+MTX
Keystone		NA	5	52	56.1	11	158	207	619	adalimumab 40mg eow+MTX
et al. (16)					57.3	11	160	212		adalimumab 20mg qw+MTX
					56.3	10.9	146	200		placebo+MTX
van der		2000.1-	7	26	53.1	9.3	84	106	544	adalimumab 20mg eow
Putte et al.		2001.6			54.4	11.3	81	112		adalimumab 20mg qw
(19)					52.7	10.6	90	113		adalimumab 40mg eow
					51.8	11.9	81	103		adalimumab 40mg qw
					53.5	11.6	85	110		placebo
Breedveld	PREMIER	NA	6	104	51.9	0.7	193	268	799	adalimumab 40mg eow+MTX
et al. (20)	(NCT00195663)				52.1	0.7	212	274		adalimumab 40mg eow
					52	0.8	190	257		placebo+MTX
Kim et al.		NA	5	18	48.5	6.8	62	65	128	40 mg adalimumab eow+MTX
(25)					49.8	6.9	53	63		placebo +MTX
Miyasaka	CHANGE	2004.2-	5	24	54.8	10	69	87	352	adalimumab 20mg eow
et al. (31)		2005.6			56.9	9.9	72	91		adalimumab 40mg eow
					54.3	9.5	72	87		adalimumab 80mg eow
					53.4	8.4	67	87		placebo
Bejarano		2003.3.5-	7	56	47	9.5	44	75	148	adalimumab 40mg eow+MTX
et al. (28)		2004.12.2			47	7.9	39	73		placebo+MTX
Chen et al. (33)		NA	5	12	53	6.2	26	35	47	adalimumab 40mg eow+MTX
					53	8.3	11	12		MTX
van	NCT00853385	2009.1.30-	3	12	52.5	8.1	162	204	312	adalimumab 40 mg eow
Vollenhoven et al. (46)		2011.2.10			53.7	7.9	82	108		placebo
Detert et al.	HIT HARD	2007.6-	5	24	47.2	0.15	61	87	172	adalimumab 40mg eow+MTX
(48)		2010.9			52.5	0.14	57	85		MTX
Kavanaugh	OPTIMA	2006.12-	5	26	50.7	0.33	380	515	1032	adalimumab 40mg eow+MTX
et al. (49)	(NCT00420927)	2010.7			50.4	0.38	382	517		placebo+MTX
Hørslev-	OPERA	2007.8-	5	104	56.2	0.24	56	89	180	adalimumab 40mg eow+MTX
Petersen et al. (57)		2009.12			54.2	0.23	63	91		placebo+MTX
Kennedy	AI TARA	2010 11-	5	12	50.2	NR	78	85	214	patecilizumab
et al. (58)	, <u></u> ,,	2012 7	0		50.6	NR	68	85		adalimumab 40mg eow
01 01. (00)		2012.1			48.8	NR	37	44		placebo
Takeuchi	HOPEFUL 1	2009.3-	5	26	54	0.3	144	171	334	adalimumab 40mg eow+MTX
et al. (62)		2000.0	0	20	54	0.3	128	163	004	
Taylor et al	RA-REAM	2012 11-	5	24	53	10	251	330	818	adalimumab 40mg eow
(74)		2012.11-	0	<u>~</u> #	53	10	280	488	010	nlacebo
(/ ™) Fleischmann	SELECT -	2015.9	6	26	51	8	512	651	1620	placebo ±MTX
r = 300  mma	COMPARE	2013.12-	0	20	54	S R	150	307	1023	adalimumah 40 ma+MTY
		2017.0	Л	26	19 19	3	20	521	107	adalimumab 40mg gw±MTX
ot al (78)	(10101000704)	2010.0-	4	20	/1	0	22 02	55	107	adalimumab 40 mg gw+wrtx
	NCT02889796	2014.10	7	24	53	2	266	325	800	adalimumab 40 mg biw±MTY
(81)	140102003130	2010.6.00	ı	<u>~</u> #	52	7.2	200	175	000	
(01)		2013.0.20			00	1.0	091	+10		

(Continued)

### TABLE 1 | Continued

Author, Year		Duration of trials (years)	Quality score	Follow- up time (Week)	Average age (years old)	Duration of rheumatoid arthritis (years)	Number of women (n)	Number of patients (n)	Total number of cases (n)	Intervention measures
Fleischman	FAST4WARD	2003.6-	6	24	52.7	8.7	87	111	220	certolizumab 400mg
et al. (77) Smolen et al., 2009	RAPID 2	2004.7 20005.6- 2006.9	4	24	54.9 51.9 52.2	6.5 6.1	97 192 206	246 248	619	certolizumab 400mg + MTX certolizumab 200mg + MTX
Choy et al.	NCT00544154	2002.10-	7	24	51.5 53	5.6 9.4	107 91	125 126	247	placebo + MTX certolizumab 400mg + MTX
Weinblatt et al. (47)	REALISTIC (NCT00717236)	2004.1 2008.7- 2010.3	7	12	55.4	9.9 8.6	660	q	1063	certolizumab (certolizumab 400 mg qw 0, 2 and 4,followed by certolizumab 200 mg eow) +DMARDs
schiff et al. (61)	NCT01147341		4	52	53.9 56.1	8.9 12	169 NR	212 27	37	placebo +DMARDs certolizumab(400 mg qw 0, 2 and 4, followed by 200mg eow) +DMARDs
Yamamoto et al. (63)	J-RAPID	2008.11.19- 2010.8.18	7	24	59 54.3 50.6 55.4	14 6.0 5.6 6.0	NR 58 69 69	10 72 82 85	316	placebo +DMARDs certolizumab 100mg eow + MTX certolizumab 200mg eow + MTX certolizumab 400mg eow + MTX
Furst et al. (64)	DOSEFLEX		5	16	51.9 51.5 55.6 53.1	5.8 6.5 5.9 6.4	66 56 49 57	77 69 70 69	208	placebo + MTX Placebo +MTX certolizumab 200 mg eow +MTX certolizumab 400 mg q4w +MTX
Smolen et al. (65)	CERTAIN	2008.6- 2010.12	5	24	53.6	4.5	81	96	194	certolizumab(400 mg certolizumab qw 0, 2 and 4, followed by 200 mg certolizumab eow)+DMARDs
Atsumi et al. (66)	C-OPERA (NCT01451203)	2011.10- 2013.8	7	52	54 49.4	4.7 4.0	75 129	98 159	316	placebo +DMARDs certolizumab 400mg/200mg eow +MTX
Emery et al. (72)	C-EARLY (NCT01519791)	2012.1- 2015.9	6	52	49 50.4	4.3 0.24	127 497	157 660	879	placebo + M1X certolizumab 400mg/200mg eow +MTX
Kang et al. (75)	(NCT00993317)	2009.12- 2011.8	4	24	51.2	6.5	72	219 85	127	certolizumab 400mg/200mg eow +MTX
Bi et al. (76)	RAPID-C (NCT02151851)	2014.7.23- 2016.6.17	6	24	48.2	7.0	35 268	42 316	429	certolizumab 200 mg eow (loading dose: 400 mg certolizumab qw 0, 2 and 4) + MTX
Hetland et al. (79)	NCT01491815	2012.12.3- 2018.12.11	6	24	47.1 54.6 55.3	6.6 0.53 0.56	95 139 139	113 197 202	399	(PBO) + MTX active conventional treatment certolizumab 200 mg qw (400
Genovese et al. (39)		1997.5- 1999.3	5	104	49	1	75	217	632	three 2.5-mg MTX qw and placebo biw
					50	0.9	75 74	208		10 mg of etanercept biw and three placebo tablets qw, 25 mg of etanercept biw and
Smolen et al. (1)		2011.12.14- 2013.11.11	4	12	53	5.9	96	457	914	three placebo tablets qw certolizumab pegol (400 mg weeks 0, 2,
Keystone et al. (16)		NA	5	8	54 53 52	10.8 9.0 8.2	38 169 121	457 53 214 153	420	auaiimumao (40 mg once q2w) plus placebo 50 mg etanercept qw 25 mg etanercept biw

(Continued)

Author, Year		Duration of trials (years)	Quality score	Follow- up time (Week)	Average age (years old)	Duration of rheumatoid arthritis (years)	Number of women (n)	Number of patients (n)	Total number of cases (n)	Intervention measures
van der Heijde et al.	TEMPO	2000.10- 2001.7	6	104	52.5 53.2	6·8 6·8	171 171	231 223	682	etanercept 25mg biw + MTX etanercept 25mg biw + placebo
(26) Lan et al.		NR	4	12	53 47.55	6·3 NR	180 50	228 29	58	placebo + MTX etanercept 25mg biw + MTX
(21) Van Diel		0000.0	4	10	50.79	10	100	29	014	placebo +MTX
et al. (22)	ADORE	2003.3-	4	10	53 54	9.8	120	159	314	etanercept 25 mg biw $\pm$ MTX
Weisman	RA	NA	6	16	60.6	10.1	192	266	535	etanercept 25mg biw
et al. (27)					59.3	9.4	210	269		placebo
Emery et al.	COMET	2004.10-	7	52	50.5	8.8	196	274	542	etanercept 50mg qw + MTX
(29)		2006.2			52.3	9.3	191	268		MTX
Kameda	JESMR	2005.6-	4	24	58.1	10.6	62	71	146	etanercept 25 mg eow
et al. (41)	(INC 100688 103) ELL Clinical	2007.1	4	52	55.0	8.1	60 15	75 60	120	MIX+etanercept
et al. (43)	Trials Register 2006-006275-21/ GB	2010.4	7	02	53.2	5.5	18	60	120	etanercept 50 mg qw
Kim et al.	APPEAL	2007.6-	6	16	48.4	6.5	17	197	300	etanercept 25 mg biw+MTX
(44)		2009.3			48.5	6.9	12	103		DMARD+MTX
Takeuchi	NCT00445770	NA	6	52	51.8	3.0	145	182	550	etanercept 25 mg biw
et al. (80)					51.5	2.9	154	192		etanercept 10 mg biw
Eman ( at al	NOT00010450	2000 10 00	F	20	50.4	3.0	140	176	100	MIX
Emery et al.	NC100913438	2009.10.20-	Э	39	49.0 47.7	0.54	47	65	193	etanercept (25 mg)+ivit $\lambda$
(00)		2012.12.11			50.9	0.59	42	65		placebo
Machado	NCT00848354	2009.6-	5	24	48.4	7.9	248	281	423	etanercept(50 mg qw)+MTX
et al. (59)		2011.3			48.6	9.0	128	142		(DMARD) + MTX
Nam et al.	EMPIRE	2006.10-	7	78	47.9	0.5	44	55	110	etanercept 50mg qw + MTX
(60)		2009.5	_		48.4	0.67	40	55		placebo + MTX
Smolen et al.	PRESERVE	2008.3.6-	3	52	46.4	6.4	157	202	34	etanercept 25mg qw+MTX
(02)	(110100000409)	2009.9.9			48.3	7.3	167	202		placebo+MTX
Keystone	CAMEO	2008.6-	6	104	54.3	9.0	72	98	205	etanercept 50 mg gw
et al. (67)	(NCT00654368)	2012.12			54.4	9.3	84	107		etanercept 50 mg qw + MTX
van	NCT00858780	NR	4	20	53.8	11.5	17	23	73	etanercept50mg qw + MTX
Vollenhovn					59.6	16.6	18	27		etanercept25mg qw + MTX
et al. (70)		0000 0	-	50	56.1	12.3	16	23	101	placebo +MTX
Yamanaka		2009.8-	5	52	52.8 54.6	2.0	138	161	191	etanercept 25 mg blw + MTX
Pavelka	NCT01578850	2014.4	6	28	46 1	8.0	136	167	343	etanercept 50mg gw +DMARDs
et al. (73)		2015.3	-		47.2	8.3	143	176		placebo +DMARDs
Curtis et al.	SEAM- RA	2015.2.20-	6	48	56.2	9.7	76	101	153	MTX
(82)		2018.6.26			54.8	11.0	77	101		etanercept
			_		55.9	10.3	40	51	170	MTX + etanercept
Kay et al.		2003.12.1-	5	20	52	5.6	26	35	172	placebo + MTX
(30)		2006.2.21			07 48	0.Z 8.2	30	30		50mg golimumab $q4w + MTX$
					57.5	6.3	26	34		100mg golimumab eow + MTX
					53.5	9.0	27	34		50mg golimumab eow + MTX
Emery et al.	GO-BEFORE	2005.12.12-	6	24	50.9	3.5	135	159	634	Golimumab 50 mg q4w + MTX
(34)		2007.10.1			50.2	3.6	125	159		Golimumab 100 mg q4w + MTX
					48.2	4.1	159	159		Golimumab 100 mg q4w + Placebo
Kouotona		20005 10 10	E	10	48.6	2.9	134	160	A A A	Placebo+MTX
et al. (36)	GU-FURWARD	20005.12.19-	э	10	52 50	4.5 6.7	72 79	89 80	444	Golimumab 50 mg q4w + MTX Golimumab 100 mg $q4w \pm MTY$
ot ui. (00)		2001.0.11			51	5.9	105	133		Golimumab 100 mg q4w + Placebo
					52	6.5	109	133		Placebo+MTX

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 | Continued

Author, Year		Duration of trials (years)	Quality score	Follow- up time (Week)	Average age (years old)	Duration of rheumatoid arthritis (years)	Number of women (n)	Number of patients (n)	Total number of cases (n)	Intervention measures
Smolen et al.	GO-AFTER	2006.2.21-	7	16	55	9.6	113	153	461	Golimumab 50 mg q4w
(38)	(NCT00299546)	2007.9.26			55	8.7	122	153		Golimumab 100 mg q4w
	NOTOCOLOGE	0000 0 0 4	0	10	54	9.8	132	155	0.10	Placebo
Kremer et al.	NC100361335	2006.8.24-	6	16	49.9	7.4	21	128	643	Golimumab 2mg/kg q12w
(40)		2008.8.25			48.4	8.4	10	129		Golimumab 4mg/kg q12w
					49.7	8.1	30	129		Golimumab 2mg/kg q12w + M1X
					49.6	9.4	25	128		Golimumab 4mg/kg q12w + M1X
		0000 5	_		50.2	7.4	24	129		Placebo + MIX
Tanaka et al.	GO-FORTH	2008.5-	5	16	50.4	8.8	15	86	261	Golimumab 50 mg q4w + MTX
(45)		2009.11			50	8.1	78	87		Golimumab 100 mg q4w + MTX
					51.1	8.7	73	88		Placebo + MTX
lakeuchi	GO-MONO	NA	4	16	52.9	8.1	81	101	308	Golimumab 50 mg q4w
et al. (53)					51.6	9.4	85	102		Golimumab 100 mg q4w
			_		52.4	9.2	86	105		Placebo
Weinblatt	GO-FURTHER	2009.9.14-	7	16	51.4	7.0	157	197	592	Placebo +MTX
et al. (55)	(NCT00973479)	2011.5.18			51.9	6.9	326	395		Golimumab2 mg/kg+MTX
Li et al. (68)	NCT01248780	2010.8-	4	24	47.7	7.6	110	132	264	Golimumab 50 mg q4w + MTX
		2012.7			46.7	8.0	104	132		Placebo + MTX
Maini et al.		1997.3.31-	7	102	54	10	70	86	428	infliximab 3mg/kg, q8w+MTX
(17)		2000.3.9			52	9	66	86		infliximab 3mg/kg, q4w+MTX
					54	11	67	87		infliximab 10mg/kg, q8w+MTX
					52	12	59	81		infliximab 10mg/kg, q4w+MTX
					51	11	70	88		placebo +MTX
St. Clair		2000.7.21-	7	54	51	0.8	255	359	1004	infliximab 3mg/kg, q8w+MTX
et al. (18)		2002.2.28			50	0.9	247	363		infliximab 6mg/kg, q8w+MTX
					50	0.9	212	282		placebo +MTX
Abe et al.		2000.4.19-	4	6	55.2	9.1	40	49	147	infliximab 3mg/kg, q8w+MTX
(12)		2000.10.27			56.8	7.1	40	51		infliximab 10mg/kg, q8w+MTX
					55.1	7.5	35	47		placebo +MTX
Westhoven	START	2001.9-	6	22	53	7.8	288	360	1082	infliximab 3mg/kg +MTX
et al. (23)		2003.11			52	6.3	281	361		infliximab 10mg/kg +MTX
					52.0	8.4	302	361		placebo+MTX
Zhang et al.		2003.7-	4	18	47.9	NR	13	87	173	infliximab (Remicade, Centocor)
(24)		2004.7								at a dose of 3 mg/kg body
										weight qw 0, 2, 6 and 14.
					48.9	NR	13	86		placebo
Schiff et al.	ATTEST	2005.2-	6	28	49.1	7.3	136	165	275	infliximab 3mg/kg, q8w+MTX
(32)		2007.2			49.4	8.4	96	110		placebo +MTX
Kim et al.	NCT00202852,	2005.6-	5	30	49.3	7.4	64	69	138	Infliximab
(50)	NCT00732875	2006.5			51.4	9.8	64	69		placebo
Leirisalo-	NCT00908089	2003.3-	6	102	47	0.33	35	50	3403	infliximab
Repo et al. (51)		2005.4			46	0.33	31	49		placebo

biw, twice a week; qw, weekly; eow, every two weeks; q4w, every four weeks; q8w, every 8 weeks; q12w, every 12 weeks; MTX, methotrexate; DMARD, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; NA, not re.

# **Malignant Tumors**

32 articles (14–20, 23, 26, 27, 29–32, 34–39, 43, 47–49, 52, 57, 60, 65, 74, 75, 77, 79) reported the occurrence of malignant tumors, involving 16947 RA patients. The network of eligible comparisons was shown in the **Supplementary Figure 7**. Mesh meta-analysis showed that there was no statistically significant difference between 12 therapies (**Supplementary Table 3**).

We did the global consistency test. The test result p-value was 0.6219. We also made local consistency and the test result p-value was greater than 0.05, which was considered to be locally consistent. According to the SUCRA analysis (**Supplementary Figure 8**), golimumab had the highest risk to

cause malignant tumors (SUCRA =0.778), while golimumab combined DMARDs had the lowest risk to cause malignant tumors (SUCRA = 0.285) compared with the other 11 therapies.

# DISCUSSION

Based on the data and information of included RCTs, our study aims to evaluate the risk of adverse effects of 10 anti-TNF therapies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. All available direct and indirect evidence of various treatment options was analyzed and compared simultaneously by network meta-



analysis, which has a great advantage over traditional metaanalysis and makes up for the lack of head-to-head comparisons (83). To comprehensively assess the safety of anti-TNF therapies in RA patients, we also pay attention to detailed AEs like

Treatm~t	SUCRA	PrBest	MeanRank
ADA	33.5	0.2	8.3
ADA+D	74.0	5.5	3.9
CZP	90.6	70.7	2.0
CZP+D	76.1	7.3	3.6
ETA	37.1	0.2	7.9
ETA+D	27.2	0.0	9.0
GOL	53.5	4.4	6.1
GOL+D	59.1	1.7	5.5
INF	51.8	5.7	6.3
INF+D	59.9	4.2	5.4
PBO	6.6	0.0	11.3
DMARD	30.6	0.0	8.6

**FIGURE 3** | The analysis SUCRA of adverse events for 12 therapies. ADA, adalimumab; + D, plus DMARD; CZP, certolizumab; ETA, etanercept; GOL, golimumab; INF, infliximab; PBO, placebo; DMARD, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs.

infections, serious infections, malignant tumors. What's more, our meta-analysis included all RCTs with medium or high quality more recent studies to August 2021, which avoided the deficiency of observational studies and low-quality studies.

TABLE 2	OR of adverse	events for 12	therapies.								
ADA	1.31	1.86	1.33	1.04	1.00	1.16	1.20	1.15	1.21	0.82	1.02
	(0.83,2.07)	(0.93,3.71)	(0.84,2.12)	(0.68,1.60)	(0.64,1.55)	(0.72,1.85)	(0.74,1.92)	(0.65,2.03)	(0.71,2.06)	(0.61,1.10)	(0.66,1.58)
0.76	ADA	1.42	1.02	0.79	0.76	0.88	0.91	0.88	0.92	0.62	0.78
(0.48,1.20)	+DMARD	(0.67,3.00)	(0.80,1.29)	(0.57,1.10)	(0.60,0.97)	(0.50,1.55)	(0.70,1.18)	(0.46,1.67)	(0.65,1.30)	(0.41,0.94)	(0.66,0.92)
0.54	0.70	CZP	0.72	0.56	0.54	0.62	0.64	0.62	0.65	0.44	0.55
(0.27,1.07)	(0.33,1.49)		(0.34,1.51)	(0.27,1.15)	(0.26,1.11)	(0.30,1.31)	(0.30,1.37)	(0.28,1.37)	(0.30,1.42)	(0.24,0.82)	(0.26,1.14)
0.75	0.98	1.40	CZP	0.78	0.75	0.87	0.90	0.86	0.91	0.62	0.77
(0.47,1.20)	(0.78,1.25)	(0.66,2.96)	+DMARD	(0.56,1.09)	(0.59,0.95)	(0.49,1.54)	(0.69,1.17)	(0.45,1.64)	(0.65,1.27)	(0.41,0.93)	(0.65,0.91)
0.96	1.26	1.79	1.28	ETA	0.96	1.11	1.15	1.11	1.16	0.79	0.98
(0.63,1.48)	(0.91,1.75)	(0.87,3.69)	(0.92,1.78)		(0.73,1.25)	(0.65,1.90)	(0.81,1.63)	(0.60,2.04)	(0.77,1.75)	(0.55,1.14)	(0.74,1.30)
1.00	1.32	1.87	1.34	1.04	ETA	1.16	1.20	1.15	1.21	0.82	1.02
(0.65,1.56)	(1.03,1.67)	(0.90,3.88)	(1.05,1.70)	(0.80,1.36)	+DMARD	(0.67,2.01)	(0.92,1.56)	(0.62,2.14)	(0.86,1.70)	(0.56,1.20)	(0.86,1.21)
0.86	1.13	1.61	1.15	0.90	0.86	GOL	1.03	0.99	1.04	0.71	0.88
(0.54,1.38)	(0.64,1.99)	(0.77,3.38)	(0.65,2.04)	(0.53,1.53)	(0.50,1.49)		(0.58,1.84)	(0.53,1.87)	(0.56,1.95)	(0.47,1.06)	(0.51,1.52)
0.84	1.10	1.56	1.11	0.87	0.83	0.97	GOL	0.96	1.01	0.69	0.85
(0.52,1.34)	(0.85,1.42)	(0.73,3.32)	(0.86,1.44)	(0.61,1.23)	(0.64,1.09)	(0.54,1.73)	+DMARD	(0.50,1.85)	(0.70,1.45)	(0.45,1.05)	(0.70,1.04)
0.87	1.14	1.62	1.16	0.90	0.87	1.01	1.04	INF	1.05	0.71	0.89
(0.49,1.54)	(0.60,2.17)	(0.73,3.58)	(0.61,2.20)	(0.49,1.67)	(0.47,1.61)	(0.53,1.90)	(0.54,2.00)		(0.53,2.09)	(0.44,1.16)	(0.48,1.65)
0.83	1.09	1.54	1.10	0.86	0.83	0.96	0.99	0.95	INF	0.68	0.85
(0.49,1.41)	(0.77,1.53)	(0.70,3.39)	(0.79,1.55)	(0.57,1.30)	(0.59,1.16)	(0.51,1.79)	(0.69,1.42)	(0.48,1.89)	+DMARD	(0.42,1.10)	(0.63,1.14)
1.22	1.60	2.27	1.63	1.27	1.22	1.41	1.46	1.40	1.47	PBO	1.25
(0.91,1.63)	(1.06,2.42)	(1.22,4.24)	(1.07,2.46)	(0.88,1.83)	(0.83,1.78)	(0.95,2.11)	(0.95,2.25)	(0.86,2.29)	(0.91,2.38)		(0.85,1.82)
0.98	1.28	1.82	1.30	1.02	0.98	1.13	1.17	1.13	1.18	0.80	DMARD
(0.63,1.51)	(1.08,1.52)	(0.88,3.79)	(1.10,1.54)	(0.77,1.35)	(0.82,1.16)	(0.66,1.96)	(0.96,1.43)	(0.60,2.10)	(0.88,1.59)	(0.55,1.17)	

Results below the diagonal are the rate ratios with 95% confidence intervals from the network meta-analysis of direct and indirect comparisons between the row-defining treatment and the column-defining treatment. Numbers in red highlight statistically significant results. ADA, adalimumab; + D, plus DMARD; CZP, certolizumab; ETA, etanercept; GOL, golimumab; INF, infliximab; PBO, placebo; DMARD, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs.



Therefore, our studies are much more reliable than the other meta-analyses or network meta-analyses.

After analysis of 10 therapies for patients with RA from 72 RCTs, we found golimumab monotherapy, infliximab monotherapy, etanercept monotherapy, adalimumab monotherapy, and etanercept+DMARDs therapy are the safer treatments when the



FIGURE 5 | Network of treatment comparisons for serious adverse events. The size of the circles corresponds to the total number of people. Direct comparable treatments are connected with a line. ADA, adalimumab; + D, plus DMARD; CZP, certolizumab; ETA, etanercept; GOL, golimumab; INF, infliximab; PBO, placebo; DMARD, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs.

efficacies are similar, they did not increase the risk of all analyzed safety indexes. A comprehensive analysis of the results of network meta-analysis and SUCRA sequencing diagram of adverse reactions showed that etanercept monotherapy is the safest therapy of the 10 therapies was etanercept monotherapy. Etanercept monotherapy was recommended as an alternative treatment due to its good safety outcomes. Certolizumab+DMARDs was considered the worst therapy, so it was necessary to avoid using this therapy. Besides, etanercept may be able to reduce the expression and production of vascular endothelial growth factor, NO, and inducible NO synthase and contribute to having a beneficial effect upon the progression of atherosclerosis, reducing the risk of acute cardiovascular and/or cerebrovascular events (84). This is further demonstrated that etanercept therapy is safer. In 2014, Murdaca et al. investigated the role of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at positions -238, - 308, and + 489 of the TNF-a gene in the response to TNF-a inhibitors (adalimumab, etanercept, or infliximab) and found that the SNP + 489 G allele may promote the response to etanercept. Thus, genetic polymorphisms could be performed before treatment to determine suitability for the etanercept monotherapy (85).

After head-to-head comparisons for the effects of these 10 anti-TNF therapies on the risk of serious infections, malignant tumors, we found no difference of 10 therapies. And compared with PBO therapy or DMARDs therapy, these 10 anti-TNF therapies did not affect the risk of serious infections, malignant tumors, and tuberculosis infection. This may be indicated that these 10 anti-TNF therapies are safe for serious infections, malignant tumors, and tuberculosis infection.

Interestingly, among these 10 anti-TNF therapies, five are TNF-a inhibitor monotherapies and another five are TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors combinations of DMARDs. It was easy to find that in most cases the safety of TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitor monotherapy was superior to the corresponding TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors combinations

TABLE 3 | OR of serious adverse events of 12 therapies.

-											
ADA	2.05	4.27	2.57	1.80	1.96	0.96	5.08	0.78	2.20	1.55	1.88
	(0.94,4.49)	(0.94,19.46)	(1.19,5.56)	(0.94,3.42)	(0.97,3.97)	(0.36,2.60)	(1.68,15.30)	(0.24,2.49)	(1.00,4.81)	(0.94,2.56)	(0.93,3.80)
0.49	ADA	2.08	1.25	0.88	0.95	0.47	2.48	0.38	1.07	0.76	0.92
(0.22,1.07)	+DMARD	(0.40,10.71)	(0.78,2.02)	(0.44,1.75)	(0.59,1.54)	(0.15,1.52)	(0.99,6.22)	(0.10,1.42)	(0.65,1.75)	(0.34,1.68)	(0.65,1.30)
0.23	0.48	CZP	0.60	0.42	0.46	0.23	1.19	0.18	0.51	0.36	0.44
(0.05,1.07)	(0.09,2.47)		(0.12,3.08)	(0.09,1.99)	(0.09,2.27)	(0.04,1.20)	(0.19,7.30)	(0.03,1.08)	(0.10,2.65)	(0.09,1.53)	(0.09,2.19)
0.39	0.80	1.66	CZP	0.70	0.76	0.38	1.98	0.30	0.85	0.61	0.73
(0.18,0.84)	(0.49,1.29)	(0.32,8.50)	+DMARD	(0.35,1.38)	(0.48,1.21)	(0.12,1.20)	(0.79,4.92)	(0.08,1.12)	(0.53,1.38)	(0.28,1.32)	(0.53,1.02)
0.56	1.14	2.38	1.43	ETA	1.09	0.54	2.83	0.43	1.22	0.87	1.05
(0.29,1.06)	(0.57,2.29)	(0.50,11.25)	(0.72,2.83)		(0.62,1.91)	(0.19,1.53)	(1.00,8.02)	(0.13,1.45)	(0.61,2.45)	(0.47,1.58)	(0.57,1.91)
0.51	1.05	2.18	1.31	0.92	ETA	0.49	2.59	0.40	1.12	0.79	0.96
(0.25,1.04)	(0.65,1.69)	(0.44,10.77)	(0.83,2.08)	(0.52,1.61)	+DMARD	(0.16,1.50)	(1.04,6.47)	(0.11,1.41)	(0.69,1.82)	(0.39,1.61)	(0.69,1.34)
1.04	2.13	4.42	2.66	1.86	2.03	GOF	5.26	0.81	2.28	1.61	1.95
(0.38,2.80)	(0.66,6.85)	(0.83,23.50)	(0.83,8.50)	(0.65,5.32)	(0.67,6.15)		(1.29,21.45)	(0.21,3.13)	(0.70,7.36)	(0.68,3.80)	(0.64,5.97)
0.20	0.40	0.84	0.51	0.35	0.39	0.19	GOF	0.15	0.43	0.31	0.37
(0.07,0.59)	(0.16,1.01)	(0.14,5.15)	(0.20,1.26)	(0.12,1.00)	(0.15,0.96)	(0.05,0.77)	+DMARD	(0.03,0.71)	(0.17,1.08)	(0.10,0.93)	(0.16,0.87)
1.28	2.63	5.46	3.29	2.30	2.51	1.24	6.50	INF	2.81	1.99	2.41
(0.40,4.08)	(0.71,9.76)	(0.93,32.24)	(0.89,12.15)	(0.69,7.70)	(0.71,8.85)	(0.32,4.79)	(1.41,29.90)		(0.76,10.45)	(0.70,5.67)	(0.68,8.55)
0.46	0.93	1.94	1.17	0.82	0.89	0.44	2.31	0.36	INF	0.71	0.86
(0.21,1.00)	(0.57,1.53)	(0.38,10.02)	(0.72,1.89)	(0.41,1.64)	(0.55,1.45)	(0.14,1.42)	(0.92,5.79)	(0.10,1.32)	+DMARD	(0.32,1.57)	(0.61,1.21)
0.64	1.32	2.74	1.65	1.16	1.26	0.62	3.27	0.50	1.41	PBO	1.21
(0.39,1.06)	(0.60,2.92)	(0.65,11.51)	(0.76,3.61)	(0.63,2.11)	(0.62,2.55)	(0.26,1.46)	(1.08,9.92)	(0.18,1.43)	(0.64,3.13)		(0.59,2.47)
0.53	1.09	2.27	1.37	0.95	1.04	0.51	2.70	0.41	1.17	0.83	DMARD
(0.26,1.07)	(0.77,1.55)	(0.46,11.25)	(0.98,1.90)	(0.52,1.74)	(0.75,1.45)	(0.17,1.57)	(1.15,6.32)	(0.12,1.47)	(0.83,1.65)	(0.40,1.69)	

Results below the diagonal are the rate ratios with 95% confidence intervals from the network meta-analysis of direct and indirect comparisons between the row-defining treatment and the column-defining treatment. Numbers in red highlight statistically significant results. ADA, adalimumab; + D, plus DMARD; CZP, certolizumab; ETA, etanercept; GOL, golimumab; INF, infliximab; PBO, placebo; DMARD, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug.

Treatm~t	SUCRA	PrBest	MeanRank
ADA	12.9	0.0	10.6
ADA+D	56.6	0.2	5.8
CZP	82.8	41.4	2.9
CZP+D	76.6	1.5	3.6
ETA	47.5	0.3	6.8
ETA+D	52.2	0.0	6.3
GOL	16.7	0.2	10.2
GOL+D	94.0	55.6	1.7
INF	12.3	0.1	10.7
INF+D	63.2	0.6	5.0
PBO	38.5	0.1	7.8
DMARD	46.6	0.0	6.9

FIGURE 6 | The analysis SUCRA of serious adverse events for 12 therapies. ADA, adalimumab; + D, plus DMARD; CZP, certolizumab; ETA, etanercept; GOL, golimumab; INF, infliximab; PBO, placebo; DMARD, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs.

11



of DMARDs. For example, the SUCRAs of safety outcomes for golimumab+ DMARDs are as follows: 59.1% (AEs), 94.0% (SAEs), and 57.5% (serious infections). By contrast, golimumab monotherapy was safer with corresponding SUCRAs of 53.5%, 16.7%, and 31.8%. Previous researchers have also conducted comparisons between TNF-a inhibitor monotherapy and TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitor combined with MTX. For instance, Breedveld et al. demonstrated that the proportions of RA patients inducing AEs and serious infections were higher under the treatment of adalimumab + DMARDs than the adalimumab monotherapy, which was in line with our results. However, some studies published before also presented no difference between the two kinds of treatment groups (86). Patients with RA treated with etanercept and those treated with etanercept + DMARDs were similar. Thus, further research should be conducted to estimate whether TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitor combined with DMARDs therapy benefits TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitor monotherapy or not.

Although we have made the study as comprehensive as possible, there are still some limitations. Firstly, even though the included trials were all RCTs, the results of safety comparisons among 10 drug therapies still showed some statistical inconsistency. Perhaps the RCTs with contradictions between direct and indirect evidence should be reconsidered. Secondly, 22 trials only had a follow-up time of fewer than 20 weeks. A short duration was not enough to judge the safety of treatment. Thirdly, medication dose, treatment cost, patient compliance, and other influential factors also affected trial homogeneity. Last but not least, different RCTs included in our research had different definitions of safety outcomes. There was still a shortage of clear definitions of AEs and SAEs.

In conclusion, we regard etanercept monotherapy as the optimal choice for RA patients in clinical practice when the efficacy was similar. Conversely, certolizumab+DMARDs therapy was not recommended. It was necessary to conduct long-term studies on patients with RA to provide a more complete assessment of diverse treatments and make a more judicious choice in clinical practice. All efforts should be made to improve the life quality and health standards for patients with RA.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

W-xP, YL, and BH conceived this meta-analysis. YL and XC extracted data. H-rX provided statistical advice and Q-zZ did all statistical analyses. YL, BH, H-rX, and XC checked for statistical inconsistency and interpreted data. YL, BH, and W-wL contributed to data interpretation. YL, BH, and JH drafted the report. H-rX, XC, and JH critically reviewed the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.814429/full#supplementary-material

# REFERENCES

- Smolen JS, Aletaha D, McInnes IB. Rheumatoid Arthritis. Lancet (2016) 388:2023–38. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30173-8
- Koga T, Kawakami A, Tsokos GC. Current Insights and Future Prospects for the Pathogenesis and Treatment for Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Clin Immunol* (2021) 225:108680. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2021.108680
- Bradley JR. TNF-Mediated Inflammatory Disease. J Pathol (2008) 214:149– 60. doi: 10.1002/path.2287
- Smolen JS, Landewé R, Bijlsma J, Burmester G, Chatzidionysiou K, Dougados M, Nam J, et al. EULAR Recommendations for the Management of Rheumatoid Arthritis With Synthetic and Biological Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drugs: 2016 Update. Ann Rheum Dis (2017) 76:960–77. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210715
- Gottenberg JE, Morel J, Perrodeau E, Bardin T, Combe B, Dougados M, et al. Comparative Effectiveness of Rituximab, Abatacept, and Tocilizumab in Adults With Rheumatoid Arthritis and Inadequate Response to TNF Inhibitors: Prospective Cohort Study. *BMJ* (2019) 364:l67. doi: 10.1136/ bmj.l67
- 6. Genovese MC, Fleischmann R, Kivitz A, Lee EB, Hoogstraten HV, Kimura T, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Sarilumab in Combination With csDMARDs or as Monotherapy in Subpopulations of Patients With Moderately to Severely Active Rheumatoid Arthritis in Three Phase III Randomized, Controlled Studies. *Arthritis Res Ther* (2020) 22:139. doi: 10.1186/s13075-020-02194-z
- Dantes E, Tofolean DE, Fildan AP, Craciun L, Dumea E, Tofolean I, et al. Lethal Disseminated Tuberculosis in Patients Under Biological Treatment -Two Clinical Cases and a Short Review. J Int Med Res (2018) 46:2961–9. doi: 10.1177/0300060518771273
- Papadopoulos CG, Gartzonikas IK, Pappa TK, Markatseli TE, Migkos MP, Voulgari PV, et al. Eight-Year Survival Study of First-Line Tumour Necrosis Factor Alpha Inhibitors in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Real-World Data From a University Centre Registry. *Rheumatol Adv Pract* (2019) 3:rkz007. doi: 10.1093/rap/rkz007
- Bongartz T, Sutton AJ, Sweeting MJ. Anti-TNF Antibody Therapy in Rheumatoid Arthritis and the Risk of Serious Infections and Malignancies: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Rare Harmful Effects in Randomized Controlled Trials. JAMA (2006) 295:2275–85. doi: 10.1001/jama.295.19.2275
- Maneiro JR, Souto A, Gomez-Reino JJ. Risks of Malignancies Related to Tofacitinib and Biological Drugs in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Network Meta-Analysis. Semin Arthritis Rheum (2017) 47:149–56. doi: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2017.02.007
- Den Broeder A, van de Putte L, Rau R, Schattenkirchner M, Riel PV, Sander O, et al. A Single Dose, Placebo Controlled Study of the Fully Human Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor-α Antibody Adalimumab (D2E7) in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis. J Rheumatol (2002) 29:2288–98.
- 12. Abe T, Takeuchi T, Miyasaka N, Hashimoto H, Kondo H, Ichikawa Y, et al. A Multicenter, Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo Controlled Trial of Infliximab Combined With Low Dose Methotrexate in Japanese Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis. J Rheumatol (2006) 33:37–44.
- 13. van de Putte LBA, Rau R, Breedveld FC, Kalden JR, Malaise MG, van Riel PLC M, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the Fully Human Anti-Tumour Necrosis Factor Alpha Monoclonal Antibody Adalimumab (D2E7) in DMARD Refractory Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis: A 12 Week, Phase II Study. Ann Rheum Dis (2003) 62:1168–77. doi: 10.1136/ard.2003.009563
- 14. Weinblatt ME, Keystone EC, Furst DE, Moreland LW, Weisman MH, Birbara CA, et al. Adalimumab, A Fully Human Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha Monoclonal Antibody, for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis in Patients Taking Concomitant Methotrexate: The ARMadalimumab Trial. Arthritis Rheum (2003) 48:35–45. doi: 10.1002/art.10697
- Furst DE, Schiff MH, Fleischman n RM, Strand V, Birbara CA, Compagnone D, et al. Adalimumab, A Fully Human Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor-α Monoclonal Antibody, and Concomitant Standard Antirheumatic Therapy for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis: Results of STAR (Safety Trial of Adalimumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis). J Rheumatol (2003) 30:2563–71.
- 16. Keystone EC, Kavanaugh AF, Sharp JT, Tannenbaum H, Hua Y, Teoh L, et al. Radiographic, Clinical, and Functional Outcomes of Treatment With Adalimumab (a Human Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor Monoclonal Antibody) in Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis Receiving

Concomitant Methotrexate Therapy: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, 52-Week Trial. *Arthritis Rheum* (2004) 50:1400–11. doi: 10.1002/art.20217

- 17. Maini RN, Breedveld FC, Kalden JR, Smolen JS, Furst D, Weisman MH, et al. Sustained Improvement Over Two Years in Physical Function, Structural Damage, and Signs and Symptoms Among Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis Treated With Infliximab and Methotrexate. *Arthritis Rheum* (2004) 50:1051–65. doi: 10.1002/art.20159
- St.Clair EW, van der Heijde D, Smolen JS, Maini RN, Bathon JM, Emery P, et al. Combination of Infliximab and Methotrexate Therapy for Early Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. Arthritis Rheum (2004) 50:3432–43. doi: 10.1002/art.20568
- 19. van de Putte LB, Atkins C, Malaise M, Sany J, Russell AS, van Riel P, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Adalimumab as Monotherapy in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis for Whom Previous Disease Modifying Antirheumatic Drug Treatment Has Failed. Ann Rheum Dis (2004) 63:508– 16. doi: 10.1136/ard.2003.013052
- 20. Breedveld FC, Weisman MH, Kavanaugh AF, Cohen SB, Pavelka K, Vollenhoven R, et al. The PREMIER Study: A Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind Clinical Trial of Combination Therapy With Adalimumab Plus Methotrexate Versus Methotrexate Alone or Adalimumab Alone in Patients With Early, Aggressive Rheumatoid Arthritis Who Had Not Had Previous Methotrexate Treatment. *Arthritis Rheum* (2006) 54:26–37. doi: 10.1002/ art.21519
- Lan JL, Chou SJ, Chen DY, Chen YH, Hsieh TY, Young M Jr. A Comparative Study of Etanercept Plus Methotrexate and Methotrexate Alone in Taiwanese Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: A 12-Week, Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study. *J Formos Med Assoc* (2004) 103 (8):618–23.
- 22. van Riel PL, Taggart AJ, Sany J, Gaubitz M, Nab HW, Pedersen R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Combination Etanercept and Methotrexate Versus Etanercept Alone in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis With an Inadequate Response to Methotrexate: The ADORE Study. Ann Rheum Dis (2006) 65:1478–83. doi: 10.1136/ard.2005.043299
- 23. Westhovens R, Yocum D, Han J, Berman A, Strusberg I, Geusens P, et al. The Safety of Infliximab, Combined With Background Treatments, Among Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis and Various Comorbidities: A Large, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Arthritis Rheum* (2006) 54:1075–86. doi: 10.1002/art.21734
- 24. Zhang FC, Hou Y, Huang F, Wu DH, Bao CD, Ni LQ, et al. Infliximab Versus Placebo in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients Receiving Concomitant Methotrexate: A Preliminary Study From China. *APLAR J Rheumatol* (2006) 9:127–30. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-8077.2006.00186.x
- 25. Kim HY, Lee SK, Song YW, Yoo DH, Koh EM, Yoo B, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Phase III Study of the Human Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor Antibody Adalimumab Administered as Subcutaneous Injections in Korean Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients Treated With Methotrexate. *APLAR J Rheumatol* (2007) 10:9–16. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-8077.2007.00248.x
- 26. Van Der Heijde D, Klareskog L, Landewé R, Bruyn GAW, Cantagrel A, Durez P, et al. Disease Remission and Sustained Halting of Radiographic Progression With Combination Etanercept and Methotrexate in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* (2007) 56:3928–39. doi: 10.1002/art.23141
- 27. Weisman MH, Paulus HE, Burch FX, Kivitz AJ, Fierer J, Dunn M, et al. A Placebo-Controlled, Randomized, Double-Blinded Study Evaluating the Safety of Etanercept in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis and Concomitant Comorbid Diseases. *Rheumatol (Oxford)* (2007) 46:1122–5. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kem033
- Bejarano V, Quinn M, Conaghan PG, Reece R, Keenan A-M, Walker D, et al. Effect of the Early Use of the Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor Adalimumab on the Prevention of Job Loss in Patients With Early Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* (2008) 59:1467–74. doi: 10.1002/art.24106
- 29. Emery P, Breedveld FC, Hall S, Durez P, Chang DJ, Robertson D, et al. Comparison of Methotrexate Monotherapy With a Combination of Methotrexate and Etanercept in Active, Early, Moderate to Severe Rheumatoid Arthritis (COMET): A Randomised, Double-Blind, Parallel Treatment Trial. *Lancet* (2008) 372:375–82. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(08) 61000-4

- 30. Kay J, Matteson EL, Dasgupta B, Nash P, Durez PS, Hall S, et al. Golimumab in Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis Despite Treatment With Methotrexate: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Dose-Ranging Study. Arthritis Rheum (2008) 58:964–75. doi: 10.1002/art.23383
- Miyasaka N. Clinical Investigation in Highly Disease-Affected Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients in Japan With Adalimumab Applying Standard and General Evaluation: The CHANGE Study. *Mod Rheumatol* (2008) 18:252–62. doi: 10.1007/s10165-008-0045-0
- 32. Schiff M, Keiserman M, Codding C, Songcharoen S, Berman A, Naviager S, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Abatacept or Infliximab vs Placebo in ATTEST: A Phase III, Multi-Centre, Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis and an Inadequate Response to Methotrexate. Ann Rheum Dis (2008) 67:1096–103. doi: 10.1136/ard.2007.080002
- 33. Chen DY, Chou SJ, Hsieh TY, Chen YH, Chen HH, Hsieh CW, et al. Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Comparative Study of Human Anti-TNF Antibody Adalimumab in Combination With Methotrexate and Methotrexate Alone in Taiwanese Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis. J Formos Med Assoc (2009) 108:310–9. doi: 10.1016/ s0929-6646(09)60071-1
- 34. Emery P, Fleischmann RM, Moreland LW, Hsia EC, Strusberg I, Durez P, et al. Golimumab, a Human Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor  $\alpha$  Monoclonal Antibody, Injected Subcutaneously Every Four Weeks in Methotrexate-Naive Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: Twenty-Four-Week Results of a Phase III, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study of Golimumab Before Methotrexate as First-Line Therapy for Early-Onset Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* (2009) 60:2272–83. doi: 10.1002/art.24638
- 35. Fleischmann R, Vencovsky J, van Vollenhoven RF, Borenstein D, Box J, Coteur G, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Certolizumab Pegol Monotherapy Every 4 Weeks in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis Failing Previous Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Therapy: The FAST4WARD Study. Ann Rheum Dis (2009) 68:805–11. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.099291
- 36. Keystone EC, Genovese MC, Klareskog L, Hsia EC, Hall ST, Miranda PC, et al. Golimumab, a Human Antibody to Tumour Necrosis Factor {Alpha} Given by Monthly Subcutaneous Injections, in Active Rheumatoid Arthritis Despite Methotrexate Therapy: The GO-FORWARD Study. Ann Rheum Dis (2009) 68:789–96. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.099010
- 37. Smolen J, Landewé RB, Mease P, Brzezicki J, Mason D, Luijtens K, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Certolizumab Pegol Plus Methotrexate in Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: The RAPID 2 Study. A Randomised Controlled Trial. Ann Rheum Dis (2009) 68:797–804. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.101659
- 38. Smolen JS, Kay J, Doyle MK, Landewé R, Matteson EL, Wollenhaupt J, et al. Golimumab in Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis After Treatment With Tumour Necrosis Factor Alpha Inhibitors (GO-AFTER Study): A Multicentre, Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Phase III Trial. *Lancet* (2009) 374:210–21. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(09)60506-7
- 39. Genovese MC, Bathon JM, Martin RW, Fleischmann RM, Tesser JR, Schiff MH, et al. Etanercept Versus Methotrexate in Patients With Early Rheumatoid Arthritis: Two-Year Radiographic and Clinical Outcomes. *Arthritis Rheum* (2002) 46(6):1443–50. doi: 10.1002/art.10308
- 40. Kremer J, et al. Golimumab, a New Human Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor  $\alpha$ Antibody, Administered Intravenously in Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: Forty-Eight-Week Efficacy and Safety Results of a Phase III Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study. *Arthritis Rheum* (2010) 62:917–28. doi: 10.1002/art.27348
- Kameda H, Kanbe K, Sato E, Ueki Y, Saito K, Nagaoka S, et al. Continuation of Methotrexate Resulted in Better Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes Than Discontinuation Upon Starting Etanercept in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis: 52-Week Results From the JESMR Study. J Rheumatol (2011) 38:1585–92. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.110014
- 42. Choy E, McKenna F, Vencovsky J, Valente R, Goel N, VanLunen B, et al. Certolizumab Pegol Plus MTX Administered Every 4 Weeks Is Effective in Patients With RA Who Are Partial Responders to MTX. *Rheumatol (Oxford)* (2012) 51:1226–34. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ker519
- 43. Jobanputra P, Maggs F, Deeming A, Carruthers D, Rankin E, Jordan AC, et al. A Randomised Efficacy and Discontinuation Study of Etanercept Versus Adalimumab (RED SEA) for Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Pragmatic, Unblinded,

Non-Inferiority Study of First TNF Inhibitor Use: Outcomes Over 2 Years. BMJ Open (2012) 2(6):e001395. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001395

- 44. Kim HY, Hsu PN, Barba M, Sulaiman W, Robertson D, Vlahos B, et al. Randomized Comparison of Etanercept With Usual Therapy in an Asian Population With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: The APPEAL Trial. *Int J Rheum Dis* (2012) 15:188–96. doi: 10.1111/j.1756-185X.2011.01680.x
- 45. Tanaka Y, Harigai M, Takeuchi T, Yamanaka H, Ishiguro N, Yamamoto K, et al. Golimumab in Combination With Methotrexate in Japanese Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: Results of the GO-FORTH Study. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2012) 71:817–24. doi: 10.1136/ard.2011.200317
- 46. Van Vollenhoven RF, Fleischmann R, Cohen S, Lee EB, García Meijide JA, Wagner S, et al. Tofacitinib or Adalimumab Versus Placebo in Rheumatoid Arthritis. N Engl J Med (2012) 367:508–19. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1112072
- 47. Weinblatt ME, Fleischmann R WJ, Huizinga T, Emery P, Pope J, Massarotti EM, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Certolizumab Pegol in a Broad Population of Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: Results From the REALISTIC Phase IIIb Study. *Rheumatol (Oxford)* (2012) 51:2204–14. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kes150
- 48. Detert J, Bastian H, Listing J, Weiß A, Wassenberg S, Liebhaber A, et al. Induction Therapy With Adalimumab Plus Methotrexate for 24 Weeks Followed by Methotrexate Monotherapy Up to Week 48 Versus Methotrexate Therapy Alone for DMARD-Naïve Patients With Early Rheumatoid Arthritis: HIT HARD, Aninvestigator-Initiated Study. Ann Rheum Dis (2013) 72:844–50. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-201612
- 49. Kavanaugh A, Fleischmann RM, Emery P, Kupper H, Redden L, Guerette B, et al. Clinical, Functional and Radiographic Consequences of Achieving Stable Low Disease Activity and Remission With Adalimumab Plus Methotrexate or Methotrexate Alone in Early Rheumatoid Arthritis: 26-Week Results From the Randomised, Controlled OPTIMA Study. Ann Rheum Dis (2013) 72:64–71. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-201247
- 50. Kim J, Ryu H, Yoo DH, Park SH, Song GG, Park PW, et al. A Clinical Trial and Extension Study of Infliximab in Korean Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis Despite Methotrexate Treatment. J Korean Med Sci (2013) 28:1716–22. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2013.28.12.1716
- 51. Leirisalo-Repo M, Kautiainen H, Laasonen H, Korpela M, Kauppi MJ, Kaipiainen-Seppänen O, et al. Infliximab for 6 Months Added on Combination Therapy in Early Rheumatoid Arthritis: 2-Year Results From an Investigator-Initiated, Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study (the NEO-RACo Study). Ann Rheum Dis (2013) 72:851–7. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-201365
- 52. Smolen JS, Nash P, Durez P, Hall P, Ilivanova E, Irazoque-Palazuelos F, et al. Maintenance, Reduction, or Withdrawal of Etanercept After Treatment With Etanercept and Methotrexate in Patients With Moderate Rheumatoid Arthritis (PRESERVE): A Randomised Controlled Trial. *Lancet* (2013) 381:918–29. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(12)61811-x
- 53. Takeuchi T, Harigai M, Tanaka Y, Yamanaka H, Ishiguro N, Yamamoto K, et al. Golimumab Monotherapy in Japanese Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis Despite Prior Treatment With Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drugs: Results of the Phase 2/3, Multicentre, Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled GO-MONO Study Through 24 Weeks. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2013) 72:1488–95. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-201796
- 54. Takeuchi T, Miyasaka N, Zang C, Alvarez D, Fletcher T, Wajdula J, et al. A Phase 3 Randomized, Double-Blind, Multicenter Comparative Study Evaluating the Effect of Etanercept Versus Methotrexate on Radiographic Outcomes, Disease Activity, and Safety in Japanese Subjects With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Mod Rheumatol* (2013) 23:623–33. doi: 10.1007/ s10165-012-0742-6
- 55. Weinblatt ME, Bingham CO, Mendelsohn AM, Kim L, Mack M, Lu J, et al. Intravenous Golimumab Is Effective in Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis Despite Methotrexate Therapy With Responses as Early as Week 2: Results of the Phase 3, Randomised, Multicentre, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled GO-FURTHER Trial. Ann Rheum Dis (2013) 72:381–9. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-201411
- Emery P, Hammoudeh M, FitzGerald O, Combe B, Martin-Mola E, H. Buch M, et al. Sustained Remission With Etanercept Tapering in Early Rheumatoid Arthritis. N Engl J Med (2014) 371:1781–92. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1316133
- 57. Hørslev-Petersen K, Hetland ML, Junker P, Pødenphant J, Ellingsen T, Ahlquist P, et al. Adalimumab Added to a Treat-to-Target Strategy With

Methotrexate and Intra-Articular Triamcinolone in Early Rheumatoid Arthritis Increased Remission Rates, Function and Quality of Life. The OPERA Study: An Investigator-Initiated, Randomised, Double-Blind, Parallel-Group, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2014) 73:654– 61. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-202735

- 58. Kennedy WP, Simon JA, Offutt C, Horn P, Herman A, Townsend MJ, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Pateclizumab (Anti-Lymphotoxin-α) Compared to Adalimumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Head-to-Head Phase 2 Randomized Controlled Study (The ALTARA Study). Arthritis Res Ther (2014) 16:467. doi: 10.1186/s13075-014-0467-3
- 59. Machado DA, Guzman RM, Xavier RM, Simon J, Abraham, Mele L, et al. Open-Label Observation of Addition of Etanercept Versus a Conventional Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drug in Subjects With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis Despite Methotrexate Therapy in the Latin American Region. J Clin Rheumatol (2014) 20:25–33. doi: 10.1097/rhu.00000000000055
- 60. Nam JL, Villeneuve E, Hensor EMA, Wakefield RJ, Conaghan PG, Green MJ, et al. A Randomised Controlled Trial of Etanercept and Methotrexate to Induce Remission in Early Inflammatory Arthritis: The EMPIRE Trial. Ann Rheum Dis (2014) 73:1027–36. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204882
- 61. Schiff MH, von Kempis J, Goldblum R, Tesser JR, Mueller RB. Rheumatoid Arthritis Secondary Non-Responders to TNF can Attain an Efficacious and Safe Response by Switching to Certolizumab Pegol: A Phase IV, Randomised, Multicentre, Double-Blind, 12-Week Study, Followed by a 12-Week Open-Label Phase. Ann Rheum Dis (2014) 73:2174–7. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-205325
- 62. Takeuchi T, Yamanaka H, Ishiguro N, Miyasaka N, Mukai M, Matsubara T, et al. Adalimumab, a Human Anti-TNF Monoclonal Antibody, Outcome Study for the Prevention of Joint Damage in Japanese Patients With Early Rheumatoid Arthritis: The HOPEFUL 1 Study. Ann Rheum Dis (2014) 73:536–43. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-202433
- 63. Yamamoto K, Takeuchi T, Yamanaka H, Ishiguro N, Tanaka Y, Eguchi K, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Certolizumab Pegol Plus Methotrexate in Japanese Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients With an Inadequate Response to Methotrexate: The J-RAPID Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Mod Rheumatol* (2014) 24:715–24. doi: 10.3109/14397595.2013.864224
- 64. Furst DE, Shaikh SA, Greenwald M, Bennett B, Davies O, Luijtens K, et al. Two Dosing Regimens of Certolizumab Pegol in Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) (2015) 67:151–60. doi: 10.1002/acr.22496
- 65. Smolen JS, Emery P, Ferraccioli GF, Samborski W, Berenbaum F, Davies OR, et al. Certolizumab Pegol in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients With Low to Moderate Activity: The CERTAIN Double-Blind, Randomised, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Ann Rheum Dis (2015) 74:843–50. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204632
- 66. Atsumi T, Yamamoto K, Takeuchi T, Yamanaka H, Ishiguro N, Tanaka Y, et al. The First Double-Blind, Randomised, Parallel-Group Certolizumab Pegol Study in Methotrexate-Naive Early Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients With Poor Prognostic Factors, C-OPERA, Shows Inhibition of Radiographic Progression. Ann Rheum Dis (2016) 75:75–83. doi: 10.1136/ annrheumdis-2015-207511
- 67. Keystone EC, Pope JE, Thorne JC, Poulin-Costello M, Phan-Chronis K, Vieira A, et al. Two-Year Radiographic and Clinical Outcomes From the Canadian Methotrexate and Etanercept Outcome Study in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Rheumatol (Oxford)* (2016) 55:327–34. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kev338
- 68. Li Z, Zhang F, Kay J, Fei K, Han C, Zhuang Y, et al. Efficacy and Safety Results From a Phase 3, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Subcutaneous Golimumab in Chinese Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis Despite Methotrexate Therapy. *Int J Rheum Dis* (2016) 19:1143–56. doi: 10.1111/ 1756-185x.12723
- 69. Smolen JS, Burmester GR, Combe B, Curtis JR, Hall S, Haraoui B, et al. Head-To-Head Comparison of Certolizumab Pegol Versus Adalimumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis: 2-Year Efficacy and Safety Results From the Randomised EXXELERATE Study. *Lancet* (2016) 388:2763-74. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31651-8
- van Vollenhoven RF, Østergaard M, Leirisalo-Repo M, Uhlig T, Jansson M, Larsson E, et al. Full Dose, Reduced Dose or Discontinuation of Etanercept in Rheumatoid Arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis (2016) 75:52–8. doi: 10.1136/ annrheumdis-2014-205726
- 71. Yamanaka H, Nagaoka S, Lee SK, Bae SC, Kasama T, Kobayashi H, et al. Discontinuation of Etanercept After Achievement of Sustained Remission in

Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis Who Initially Had Moderate Disease Activity—Results From the ENCOURAGE Study, A Prospective, International, Multicenter Randomized Study. *Modern Rheumatol* (2016) 26:651-61. doi: 10.3109/14397595.2015.1123349

- 72. Emery P, Bingham CO, Burmester GR, Bykerk VP, Furst DE, Mariette X, et al. Certolizumab Pegol in Combination With Dose-Optimised Methotrexate in DMARD-Naïve Patients With Early, Active Rheumatoid Arthritis With Poor Prognostic Factors: 1-Year Results From C-EARLY, A Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Phase III Study. Ann Rheum Dis (2017) 76:96–104. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-209057
- 73. Pavelka K, Akkoç N, Al- Maini M, Zerbini C, Karateev DE, Nasonov EL, et al. Maintenance of Remission With Combination Etanercept–DMARD Therapy Versus DMARDs Alone in Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: Results of an International Treat-to-Target Study Conducted in Regions With Limited Biologic Access. *Rheumatol Int* (2017) 37:1469–79. doi: 10.1007/s00296-017-3749-7
- 74. Taylor PC, Keystone FC, van der Heide D, Weinblatt ME, del Carmen Morales L, Gonzaga JR, et al. Baricitinib Versus Placebo or Adalimumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis. N Engl J Med (2017) 376:652–62. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1608345
- Kang YM, Park YE, Park W, Choe JY, Cho CS, Shim SC, et al. Rapid Onset of Efficacy Predicts Response to Therapy With Certolizumab Plus Methotrexate in Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Korean J Intern Med* (2018) 33:1224–33. doi: 10.3904/kjim.2016.213
- 76. Bi L, Li Y, He L, Xu H, Jiang Z, Wang Y, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Certolizumab Pegol in Combination With Methotrexate in Methotrexate-Inadequate Responder Chinese Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis: 24-Week Results From a Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Phase 3 Study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* (2019) 37:227–34.
- 77. Fleischmann R, Pangan A, Song IH, Mysler E, Bessette L, Peterfy C, et al. Upadacitinib Versus Placebo or Adalimumab in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis and an Inadequate Response to Methotrexate: Results of a Phase III, Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Trial. *Arthritis Rheumatol* (2019) 71:1788–800. doi: 10.1002/art.41032
- Ducourau E, Rispens T, Samain M, Dernis E, Guilchard FE, Andras L, et al. Methotrexate Effect on Immunogenicity and Long-Term Maintenance of Adalimumab in Axial Spondyloarthritis: A Multicentric Randomised Trial. *RMD Open* (2020) 6(1):e001047. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2019-001047
- Hetland ML, Haavardsholm EA, Rudin A, Nordström D, Nurmohamed M, Gudbjornsson B, et al. Active Conventional Treatment and Three Different Biological Treatments in Early Rheumatoid Arthritis: Phase IV Investigator Initiated, Randomised, Observer Blinded Clinical Trial. *BMJ (Clinical Res ed.)* (2020) 371:m4328. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m4328
- Takeuchi T, Miyasaka N, Pedersen R, Sugiyama N, Hirose T. Radiographic and Clinical Outcomes Following Etanercept Monotherapy in Japanese Methotrexate-Naïve Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Mod Rheumatol* (2020) 30:259–68. doi: 10.1080/14397595.2019.1589918
- 81. Combe B, Kivitz A, Tanaka Y, van der Heijde D, Simon JA, Baraf HSB, et al. Filgotinib Versus Placebo or Adalimumab in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis and Inadequate Response to Methotrexate: A Phase III Randomised Clinical Trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2021) 80:848–58. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219214
- Curtis JR, Emery P, Karis E, Haraoui B, Bykerk V, Yen PK, et al. Etanercept or Methotrexate Withdrawal in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients in Sustained Remission. Arthritis Rheumatol (2021) 73:759–68. doi: 10.1002/art.41589
- 83. Jansen JP, Fleurence R, Devine B, Boersma C, Annemans L, Cappelleri JC, et al. Interpreting Indirect Treatment Comparisons and Network Meta-Analysis for Health-Care Decision Making: Report of the ISPOR Task Force on Indirect Treatment Comparisons Good Research Practices: Part 1. Value Health (2011) 14:417–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2011.04.002
- Murdaca G, Spanò F, Cagnati P, Puppo F. Free Radicals and Endothelial Dysfunction: Potential Positive Effects of TNF-α Inhibitors. *Redox Rep* (2013) 18:95–9. doi: 10.1179/1351000213Y.0000000046
- Murdaca G, Gulli R, Spanò F, Lantieri F, Burlando M, Parodi A, et al. TNF-Alpha Gene Polymorphisms: Association With Disease Susceptibility and Response to Anti-TNF-Alpha Treatment in Psoriatic Arthritis. J Invest Dermatol (2014) 134:2503–9. doi: 10.1038/jid.2014.123
- van Riel PL, Taggart AJ, Sany J, Gaubitz M, Nab HW, Pedersen R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Combination Etanercept and Methotrexate Versus Etanercept Alone in

Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis With an Inadequate Response to Methotrexate: The ADORE Study. Ann Rheum Dis (2006) 65:1478-83. doi: 10.1136/ard.2005.043299

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 He, Li, Luo, Cheng, Xiang, Zhang, He and Peng. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.