

Article



# First-Principles Study of Mechanical and Thermodynamic Properties of Binary and Ternary CoX (X = W and Mo) Intermetallic Compounds

Yunfei Yang, Changhao Wang \*<sup>®</sup>, Junhao Sun, Shilei Li, Wei Liu, Hao Wu and Jinshu Wang \*

Key Laboratory of Advanced Functional Materials, Education Ministry of China, Faculty of Materials and Manufacturing, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China; yangyunfei@emails.bjut.edu.cn (Y.Y.); sunjunhao@emails.bjut.cn (J.S.); lisl511@emails.bjut.edu.cn (S.L.); liuw@bjut.edu.cn (W.L.); wh020033@163.com (H.W.)

\* Correspondence: wangch33@bjut.edu.cn (C.W.); wangjsh@bjut.edu.cn (J.W.)

**Abstract:** In this study, the structural, elastic, and thermodynamic properties of  $DO_{19}$  and  $L1_2$  structured  $Co_3X$  (X = W, Mo or both W and Mo) and  $\mu$  structured  $Co_7X_6$  were investigated using the density functional theory implemented in the pseudo-potential plane wave. The obtained lattice constants were observed to be in good agreement with the available experimental data. With respect to the calculated mechanical properties and Poisson's ratio, the  $DO_{19}$ - $Co_3X$ ,  $L1_2$ - $Co_3X$ , and  $\mu$ - $Co_7X_6$  compounds were noted to be mechanically stable and possessed an optimal ductile behavior; however,  $L1_2$ - $Co_3X$  exhibited higher strength and brittleness than  $DO_{19}$ - $Co_3X$ . Moreover, the quasi-harmonic Debye–Grüneisen approach was confirmed to be valid in describing the temperature-dependent thermodynamic properties of the  $Co_3X$  and  $Co_7X_6$  compounds, including heat capacity, vibrational entropy, and Gibbs free energy. Based on the calculated Gibbs free energy of  $DO_{19}$ - $Co_3X$  and  $L1_2$ - $Co_7X_6$ , the phase transformation temperatures for  $DO_{19}$ - $Co_3X$  to  $L1_2$ - $Co_7X_6$  were determined and obtained values were noted to match well with the experiment results.

**Keywords:** first-principles calculations; cobalt; molybdenum; tungsten; alloys; mechanical property; thermodynamic property

## 1. Introduction

Cobalt (Co)-based superalloys are suitable candidates for modern aircraft engines, turbo superchargers, and chemical industrial materials requiring optimal performance at elevated temperatures. This is owing to their prominent creep resistance during long-term high-temperature exposure, which is guaranteed by the addition of the various refractory elements, such as Cr, Mo, W and Re [1–7]. Hence, the incorporation of W and Mo into Co has attracted widespread research attention [8]. In addition, Co–W and Co–Mo coatings are considered to be an appropriate alternative to replace Cr coatings, due to the superior mechanical, tribological, and corrosion resistance properties of these alloys [9–11]. Moreover, cobalt still retains the magnetic properties in cases where the tungsten and molybdenum concentrations in the alloy are less than 20%. Therefore, the Co–W and Co–Mo Co–Mo alloys can be utilized in disk and magnetic film memory devices [12].

Due to the similar characteristics of W and Mo, the Co–W and Co–Mo binary alloys have close physical and chemical properties, which means that they can be thought of as a substitute for each other in many cases. Due to the differences of W and Mo in melting points, density etc., the performance gap between binary alloys of Co–W and Co–Mo also cannot be negligible in specific applications. However, the insufficient studies on Co–W–Mo ternary alloys make it inconclusive as to whether ternary alloys can conform to the performance of binary Co–W/Mo alloys. Therefore, it is meaningful to study the difference on properties of binary and ternary alloys of Co–W/Mo for achieving continuous adjustment of alloy performances.



Citation: Yang, Y.; Wang, C.; Sun, J.; Li, S.; Liu, W.; Wu, H.; Wang, J. First-Principles Study of Mechanical and Thermodynamic Properties of Binary and Ternary CoX (X = W and Mo) Intermetallic Compounds. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 1404. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14061404

Academic Editor: Pavel Lukáč

Received: 24 January 2021 Accepted: 4 March 2021 Published: 13 March 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

The binary and ternary alloy phases of Co–W/Mo have been explored by many studies. Based on the experimental constituent binary phase diagrams of the Co–W system [13], the highly stable geometrically close-packed (GCP) phase (Co<sub>3</sub>X) and topological close-packed (TCP)  $\mu$  phase (Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub>) have been confirmed in the alloys, which is also similar in the Co–Mo system. In addition, the  $\sigma$  phase (Co<sub>2</sub>X<sub>3</sub>) in Co–Mo alloys has also been verified to be stable [14]. Among the GCP phases of the Co–W or Co–Mo systems,  $Co_3X$  (X = Mo and W) in the  $DO_{19}$  structures, belonging to the  $P6_3$ /mmc space group, has been widely accepted as the low temperature phase in the alloys with a high content of Co. Meanwhile, the GCP  $L1_2$  phase  $Co_3W$  has been identified in the space group of Fm-3m by Sato et al. in the Co-W and Co-W-Al alloys. This has attracted a significant attention due to the effective strengthening of the Co-based high temperature materials, similar to Ni-based superalloys strengthened by the  $\gamma'$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>Al precipitates with an L1<sub>2</sub> crystal structure [6]. A few studies in the literature have also focused on the Co-Mo-W ternary system [15,16]. Ishchenko et al. reported that the  $\sigma$  (Co<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>) phase was not identified in the isothermal section at 1000 °C, which implied that the solubility of the  $\sigma$  (Co<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>) phase in the Co–Mo–W ternary system was possibly small. Due to the isostructural features of Co<sub>3</sub>W/Mo and Co<sub>7</sub>W/Mo<sub>6</sub>, Co<sub>7</sub>(W,  $Mo_{6}$  has been observed to form the completely continuous solid solution phases in the alloy. Ren et al. have studied the alloying effect of tungsten on the  $\mu$  phase of Co<sub>7</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub> by employing the first-principles calculations. The authors observed that the addition of tungsten promoted the stability of the  $\mu$  phase in Co<sub>7</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub>, and W tended to participate in the formation of the phase [17]. However, the mechanical and thermodynamic properties of the Co–W/Mo systems needed to be explored further.

In the past few years, the theoretical calculations based on the density functional theory (DFT) have been employed to reveal and predict the structural, mechanical, and physical properties of the Co-based alloys. In a related study, Xu et al. [18] computed the electronic band structure as well as mechanical and thermal dynamic properties of  $Co_3X$  (X = Ti, Ta, W, V and Al) with the cubic L1<sub>2</sub> and DO<sub>19</sub> phases. The authors confirmed that the DO<sub>19</sub> phase  $Co_3W$  existed as a stable phase. Arikan et al. [19] studied the structural, electronic, elastic, and dynamic properties of  $Co_3W$  in the L1<sub>2</sub> phase. Likewise, Yuan et al. [20] studied the magnetic properties of  $Co_3W$  by employing the first-principles calculations. Li et al. conducted first-principles calculations of the site occupancy and electronic properties of  $Co_7W_6$  doped with Re [21]. The authors reported that the stability of  $Co_7W_6$  enhanced after Re doping, and Re was prone to the formation of the  $Co_7W_6 \mu$  phase. However, the calculations of the Co–W–Mo system are still limited.

In the current study, the DO<sub>19</sub>, L1<sub>2</sub>, and  $\mu$  structures of binary Co–W and Co–Mo, as well as ternary Co–W–Mo systems have been studied by employing first-principles calculations. The elastic stiffness matrix  $C_{ij}$  and elastic compliance matrix  $S_{ij}$  parameters have been calculated, and the values of the bulk (*B*), shear (*G*) and Young's (*E*) moduli as well as Poisson's ratio ( $\sigma$ ) were subsequently derived. Based on the mechanical parameters, the brittleness and plasticity of the compounds have been discussed. In addition, heat of formation, cohesive energy, heat capacity and Gibbs free energy have also been calculated.

#### 2. Computational Method

In the current study, the first-principles calculations have been performed by using the plane wave basis projector augmented wave (PAW) method [22,23] in the DFT framework, as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) code [24–26]. The local density is described through the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) [27]. A plane wave basis set energy cut-off of 500 eV was applied during the calculations to ensure accuracy. Brillouin zone sampling was performed by using the gamma point centered k-meshes  $6 \times 6 \times 8$ ,  $9 \times 9 \times 9$ , and  $7 \times 7 \times 1$  for the DO<sub>19</sub>, L1<sub>2</sub> and  $\mu$  structures, respectively. Throughout the calculations, the convergence of the total energy and maximum force of the ionic relaxation were set to be less than  $10^{-6}$  eV and  $10^{-2}$  eV/Å, respectively.

The second order elastic constants were calculated using the efficient stress–strain energy method [28,29]. Accordingly, the stresses and strains satisfied Hooke's law for small deformations, as per Equation (1):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \\ \sigma_4 \\ \sigma_5 \\ \sigma_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\ C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\ C_{41} & C_{42} & C_{43} & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\ C_{51} & C_{52} & C_{53} & C_{54} & C_{55} & C_{56} \\ C_{61} & C_{62} & C_{63} & C_{64} & C_{65} & C_{66} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_5 \\ e_6 \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

where  $\sigma_i$ ,  $C_{ij}$  and  $e_i$  are the stress vector, second order elastic constants and strain vector, respectively. The Taylor expansion of the internal energy of the deformed crystal under the micro-strain component yields can be expressed as:

$$E(V,e) = E(V_0,0) + \frac{V_0}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{6} C_{ij} e_i e_j$$
(2)

where  $V_0$  and  $E(V_0,0)$  are the equilibrium volume and energy of the undistorted structure, respectively. In the strain set ( $e = e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5$  and  $e_6$ ),  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  refer to the normal strains, and  $e_4, e_5$  and  $e_6$  indicate the shear strains. These are used to generate the small deformations of the unit cell. The crystalline lattice vectors before (R) and after (R') the deformation are related as follows:

$$\mathbf{R'} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_1 + 1 & \frac{e_6}{2} & \frac{e_5}{2} \\ \frac{e_6}{2} & e_2 + 1 & \frac{e_4}{2} \\ \frac{e_5}{2} & \frac{e_4}{2} & e_3 + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

The elastic constants can be obtained by fitting the energy versus strain curve with the quadratic polynomial function.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Geometry and Structural Properties

In this study, nine intermetallic compounds in the Co–W, Co–Mo, and Co–W–Mo alloys have been studied, i.e., Co<sub>3</sub>W\_DO<sub>19</sub> (P6<sub>3</sub>/mmc), Co<sub>3</sub>Mo\_DO<sub>19</sub> (P6<sub>3</sub>/mmc), Co<sub>3</sub>W(Mo) \_DO<sub>19</sub> (P6<sub>3</sub>/mmc), Co<sub>3</sub>W\_L1<sub>2</sub> (Fm-3m), Co<sub>3</sub>Mo\_L1<sub>2</sub> (Fm-3m), Co<sub>3</sub>W(Mo)\_L<sub>12</sub> (Fm-3m), Co<sub>7</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub>\_µ(R-3m), Co<sub>7</sub>W<sub>6</sub>\_µ (R-3m) and Co<sub>7</sub>W(Mo)<sub>6</sub>\_µ (R-3m). The structural models of the nine alloys are presented in Figure 1. The calculated ground-state lattice parameters *a* and *c* of the DO<sub>19</sub>, L1<sub>2</sub> and µ phases of the binary and ternary intermetallic compounds are listed in Table 1 (*a* = *b*; thus, *b* is omitted here) and the site occupation in structures are attached in the Supplementary Materials. In addition, the parameters have been compared with the available experimental data and other theoretical results. As shown in Table 1, the calculated lattice parameters are consistent with the experimental values, with the average deviation less than 1.0%. Subsequently, the cohesive energy ( $\Delta E$ ) and formation energy ( $\Delta H$ ) have been calculated to investigate the chemical stability of the compounds, as shown in Table 1. The  $\Delta E$  and  $\Delta H$  values have been defined by Equations (4) and (5), respectively.

$$\Delta E(A_x B_y) = \frac{\left[E_{total}(A_x B_y) - x E_{atom}(A) - y E_{atom}(B)\right]}{x + y} \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta H(A_x B_y) = \frac{\left[E_{total}(A_x B_y) - x E_{solid}(A) - y E_{solid}(B)\right]}{x + y}$$
(5)

where *x*, *y*,  $E_{total}$ ,  $E_{atom}$  and  $E_{solid}$  represent the content of element A in the unit cell, content of element B in the unit cell, total energy of the unit cell, energy of the isolated atom and ground state energy of the pure metal, respectively. Generally,  $\Delta H$  can be used to

characterize the phase stability of the intermetallic compounds. The more negative the  $\Delta H$  value, the higher the phase stability. Due to the calculations carried out at 0 K in first-principles calculations, the results should be effective in low temperature. It can be observed from Table 1 that the formation energy of DO<sub>19</sub>-ordered Co<sub>3</sub>X is more negative than L1<sub>2</sub>-ordered Co<sub>3</sub>X, thus implying that DO<sub>19</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X is more stable at low temperature. This observation is consistent with the experimental data and other theoretical results. In fact, the L1<sub>2</sub>-ordered Co<sub>3</sub>X is detected in Co–W(Al) systems, but it is difficult to be prepared and found in the pure binary Co–W system. Compared with DO<sub>19</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X,  $\mu$ -ordered Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub> displays a lower stability. According to the experimental results, the alloying processes of Co–W/Mo obey the orders from  $Co + X \rightarrow Co_3 X \rightarrow Co_7 X_6$  (X = W or Mo) with the increasing temperature, as depicted in the reactions of Equations (6) and (7). The inference, therefore, is that  $Co_7 X_6$  should be more stable at high temperature.

$$3Co + X = Co_3 X \tag{6}$$

$$7Co_3X + 18X = 3Co_7X_6 \tag{7}$$



**Figure 1.** The crystalline structures of (**a**) Co<sub>3</sub>W\_DO<sub>19</sub>; (**b**) Co<sub>3</sub>Mo\_DO<sub>19</sub>; (**c**) Co<sub>6</sub>WMo\_DO<sub>19</sub>; (**d**) Co<sub>3</sub>W\_L1<sub>2</sub>; (**e**) Co<sub>3</sub>Mo\_L1<sub>2</sub>; (**f**) Co<sub>6</sub>WMo\_L1<sub>2</sub>; (**g**) Co<sub>7</sub>W<sub>6</sub>\_μ; (**h**) Co<sub>7</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub>\_μ; and (**i**) Co<sub>7</sub>W<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>\_μ.

**Table 1.** The calculated equilibrium structural parameters (structure and lattice parameters a and c in Å) compared with the available experimental data [30–32], along with the cohesive ( $\Delta E$ ) and formation ( $\Delta H$ ) energies of the Co3X (DO19 and L12) and Co7X6 compounds (in eV per atom).

Compound	Structure -	Calculated (Å)		Experin	nental (Å)	$\Delta E$	$\Delta H$
		а	b	С	d	(eV/atom)	(eV/atom)
Co <sub>3</sub> W	DO <sub>19</sub>	5.120	4.115	5.120 [ <mark>30</mark> ]	4.116 [30]	-7.682	-0.238
Co <sub>3</sub> W	L12	3.590	3.590			-7.640	-0.195
Co <sub>3</sub> Mo	DO <sub>19</sub>	5.097	4.076	5.125 [ <mark>31</mark> ]	4.113 [ <mark>31</mark> ]	-7.486	-0.196
Co <sub>3</sub> Mo	L1 <sub>2</sub>	3.585	3.585			-7.427	-0.138
Co <sub>6</sub> WMo	DO <sub>19</sub>	5.101	4.082			-7.585	-0.218
Co <sub>6</sub> WMo	L12	3.589				-7.533	-0.166
$Co_7W_6$	μ	4.743	25.590	4.751 [ <mark>30</mark> ]	25.617 [30]	-8.554	-0.146
Co <sub>7</sub> Mo <sub>6</sub>	μ	4.737	25.417	4.762 [ <mark>32</mark> ]	25.617 [ <mark>32</mark> ]	-8.219	-0.096
Co <sub>7</sub> W <sub>3</sub> Mo <sub>3</sub>	μ	4.745	25.476			-8.399	-0.134

#### 3.2. Elastic Properties

Elastic stiffness ( $C_{ij}$ ) and compliance ( $S_{ij}$ ) tensors were used to describe the response of the crystal to the external stress applied in different directions. These are useful for understanding the mechanical and physical properties of the alloys, such as machinability, bonding characteristics, and ductility. There were nine independent elastic stiffness constants for the anisotropic crystal:  $C_{11}$ ,  $C_{12}$ ,  $C_{13}$ ,  $C_{22}$ ,  $C_{23}$ ,  $C_{33}$ ,  $C_{44}$ ,  $C_{55}$  and  $C_{66}$ . In addition, the  $S_{ij}$  quantities represent the inverse matrix of  $C_{ij}$ , as defined in Equation (8):

$$S_{ij} = C_{ij}^{-1} \tag{8}$$

Due to the crystal symmetry, three independent elastic constants (i.e.,  $C_{11}$ ,  $C_{12}$  and  $C_{44}$ ) exist for the L1<sub>2</sub>-ordered cubic structure, and Equation (8) can be simplified as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1} \\ \sigma_{2} \\ \sigma_{3} \\ \sigma_{4} \\ \sigma_{5} \\ \sigma_{6} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{12} & C_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1} \\ e_{2} \\ e_{3} \\ e_{4} \\ e_{5} \\ e_{6} \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

For the DO<sub>19</sub>-ordered hexagonal structure and  $\mu$  phase, two more independent elastic constants (i.e.,  $C_{13}$  and  $C_{33}$ ) can be added, and Equation (8) can be expressed as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \\ \sigma_4 \\ \sigma_5 \\ \sigma_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{11} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{13} & C_{13} & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{C_{11} - C_{12}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_5 \\ e_6 \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)

The mechanical stability of the Co–W–Mo alloy can be determined from the calculated elastic constants. For the cubic  $L1_2$ -Co<sub>3</sub>X crystals, the mechanical stability criteria can be written as [33]:

$$C_{11} > 0$$
 (11)

$$C_{44} > 0$$
 (12)

$$C_{11} - C_{12} > 0 \tag{13}$$

$$C_{11} + 2C_{12} > 0 \tag{14}$$

The mechanical stability criteria for the  $DO_{19}$ - $Co_3X$  and  $\mu$ - $Co_7X_6$  structures can be expressed as follows [34]:

$$C_{11} - C_{12} > 0 \tag{15}$$

$$C_{11} + C_{33} - 2C_{13} > 0 \tag{16}$$

$$2C_{11} + C_{33} + 2C_{12} + 4C_{13} > 0 \tag{17}$$

$$C_{11} + 2C_{12} > 0 \tag{18}$$

The  $C_{ij}$  and  $S_{ij}$  values of the Co<sub>3</sub>X and Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub> compounds have been calculated at 0 K and are tabulated in Table 2. The elastic constants obtained in this study were observed to be in good agreement with the previously reported results [15]. It should be noted that L1<sub>2</sub>- and DO<sub>19</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X and Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub> are mechanically stable at 0 K, as per Equations (11)–(15).

Structures	Co <sub>3</sub> W DO <sub>19</sub>	Co <sub>3</sub> Mo DO <sub>19</sub>	Co <sub>6</sub> WMo DO <sub>19</sub>	Co <sub>3</sub> W L1 <sub>2</sub>	Co <sub>3</sub> Mo L1 <sub>2</sub>	Co <sub>6</sub> WMo L1 <sub>2</sub>	Co <sub>7</sub> W <sub>6</sub> μ	Co <sub>7</sub> Mo <sub>6</sub> μ	Co <sub>7</sub> W <sub>3</sub> Mo <sub>3</sub> µ
C <sub>11</sub> (GPa)	501.463	462.259	484.533	430.166	408.132	418.138	516.285	472.228	490.915
$C_{22}$ (GPa)	501.463	462.259	484.533	430.166	408.132	418.138	516.285	472.228	490.915
$C_{33}$ (GPa)	539.047	505.988	522.428	430.166	408.132	418.635	571.091	504.111	537.801
$C_{44}$ (GPa)	116.850	109.096	113.547	185.551	170.768	182.684	110.768	102.862	107.542
$C_{55}(\text{GPa})$	116.850	109.096	113.547	185.551	170.768	182.684	110.768	102.862	107.542
$C_{66}(\text{GPa})$	144.633	134.472	139.718	185.551	170.768	182.256	147.279	135.152	143.475
$C_{12}$ (GPa)	212.196	198.316	205.097	229.722	213.797	223.863	225.810	203.879	210.551
$C_{13}$ (GPa)	186.658	176.421	181.877	229.722	213.797	222.571	183.424	172.516	186.904
$C_{23}(\text{GPa})$	186.658	176.421	181.877	229.722	213.797	222.569	183.424	172.516	186.904
$S_{11}$ (MPa)	2.584	2.824	2.677	3.701	3.829	3.807	2.522	2.759	2.658
$S_{22}(MPa)$	2.584	2.824	2.677	3.701	3.829	3.807	2.522	2.759	2.658
$S_{33}(MPa)$	2.265	2.429	2.345	3.701	3.829	3.783	2.082	2.403	2.282
$S_{44}$ (MPa)	8.558	9.166	8.807	5.389	5.856	5.474	9.028	9.722	9.299
$S_{55}(MPa)$	8.558	9.166	8.807	5.389	5.856	5.474	9.028	9.722	9.299
$S_{66}(MPa)$	6.914	7.436	7.157	5.389	5.856	5.487	6.790	7.399	6.970
$S_{12}$ (MPa)	-0.873	-0.964	-0.901	-1.288	-1.316	-1.340	-0.920	-0.967	-0.909
$S_{13}$ (MPa)	-0.593	-0.649	-0.618	-1.288	-1.316	-1.312	-0.514	-0.613	-0.608
$S_{23}$ (MPa)	-0.593	-0.649	-0.618	-1.288	-1.316	-1.312	-0.514	-0.613	-0.608
B(GPa)	301.429	281.370	292.109	296.537	278.575	288.102	309.871	282.932	298.647
G(GPa)	155.180	144.002	150.249	175.623	164.428	172.261	154.418	141.217	147.852
E(GPa)	397.352	369.047	384.777	440.004	412.187	430.902	397.264	363.220	380.726
σ	0.280	0.281	0.280	0.253	0.253	0.251	0.286	0.286	0.288
B/G	1.942	1.954	1.944	1.688	1.694	1.672	2.007	2.004	2.020

Table 2. The calculated elastic properties of the Co<sub>3</sub>X (DO<sub>19</sub> and L1<sub>2</sub>) and Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub> compounds.

The bulk and shear moduli are used to evaluate the resistance to the volume change and reversible deformation at a given stress, and the Young's modulus is applied to estimate the stiffness of materials. The Poisson's ratio is associated with the resistance of the material against shear. Based on the Voigt and Reuss theories [35], the bulk and shear moduli can be calculated by using Equations (19)–(24):

$$9B_v = (C_{11} + C_{22} + C_{33}) + 2(C_{12} + C_{23} + C_{31})$$
(19)

$$15G_v = (C_{11} + C_{22} + C_{33}) - (C_{12} + C_{23} + C_{31}) + 3(C_{44} + C_{55} + C_{66})$$
(20)

$$1/B_R = (S_{11} + S_{22} + S_{33}) + 2(S_{12} + S_{23} + S_{31})$$
(21)

$$15/G_R = (S_{11} + S_{22} + S_{33}) - 4(S_{12} + S_{23} + S_{31}) + 3(S_{44} + S_{55} + S_{66})$$
(22)

$$B = (B_v + B_R)/2$$
 (23)

$$G = (G_v + G_R)/2 \tag{24}$$

where *V* and *R* denote the values calculated by using the Voigt and Reuss theories, respectively.

On the basis of the elastic constants, the bulk, shear and Young's moduli as well as Poisson's ratio at the ground state can be calculated by using the Voigte–Reuss–Hill method:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{3G}{3B+G} \right], \quad \frac{1}{E} = \frac{1}{3G} + \frac{1}{9B}$$
(25)

The bulk modulus measures the resistance offered by the material against the changes in its volume. From Figure 2a, the  $\mu$ -Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub> phase is observed to exhibit the highest bulk modulus, whereas L1<sub>2</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X possesses the lowest bulk modulus in the system with the same X element (X = Mo or W). The results also indicate that Co–W has a higher bulk modulus than Co–M and Co–W–Mo possessing the same structures, thus demonstrating the superior strength of the Co–W alloys as compared to Co–Mo. The Young's modulus is an effective indicator of the stiffness of the material. The higher the Young's modulus,



**Figure 2.** The comparisons of the (**a**) bulk (*B*), (**b**) shear (*G*) and (**c**) Young's (*E*) moduli of the Co–W/Mo compounds in the DO<sub>19</sub>, L1<sub>2</sub> and  $\mu$  structures.

The brittleness and ductility of Co–W/Mo have been studied by taking the ratio of the bulk modulus to the shear modulus (*B*/*G*) [36]. A high *B*/*G* value indicates an optimal ductility, whereas a low *B*/*G* value suggests the material brittleness. The critical borderline value between the ductile and brittle materials has been evaluated to be 1.75. The Poisson's ratio is employed to estimate the stability of a material against the shear deformation and usually ranges from -1 to 0.5. A large Poisson's ratio represents an effective plasticity. Basically, the material is considered to be ductile in cases where  $\sigma$  is higher than 0.26. Hence, based on the obtained *B*/*G* ratio for Co–W/Mo in Table 2, DO<sub>19</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X and  $\mu$ -Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub> possess a ductile character, and L1<sub>2</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X represents more brittle materials. Moreover, the value of the Poisson's ratio stands for the degree of directionality of the covalent bonds. For the ionic crystals, the  $\sigma$  value is usually close to 0.25, whereas the  $\sigma$  value is around 0.1 for the covalent materials [37]. As can be observed from Table 2, the computed values of the Poisson's ratio are greater than 0.25. Therefore, the ionic bond interactions significantly contribute to the interatomic bonding in the Co–W–Mo binary and ternary compounds.

In comparison with the previous study results of the Ni-based intermetallic phase, the bulk, shear, and Young's moduli of Co–W/Mo in this work and the previous works were all higher than the Ni-based alloys such as Ni<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>, Ni<sub>2</sub>Mo, Ni<sub>3</sub>Mo, Ni<sub>4</sub>W, Ni<sub>3</sub>W, etc. However, the *B/G* of Ni-based alloys are usually higher than Co–W/Mo alloys [38–40]. This means that the Co–W/Mo would perform better on strength but worse on ductility tests than Ni-based alloy. This may be caused by the stronger Co3d–W5p/Mo4p orbital hybridization interaction between Co and W/Mo atoms than Ni3d–W5p/Mo4p, because the difference of electronegativity between Ni–W/Mo is smaller than Co–W/Mo.

Comparing the isomorphous compounds with different elements, the Co–W alloys exhibit higher strength than the Co–Mo alloys, whereas the strength of the Co–W–Mo alloys is located between them. The similar structures and properties of the Co–W and Co–Mo alloys enable them to exhibit the continuous solid solution phase-like behavior of the tungsten and molybdenum alloys. Therefore, the method involving the continuous adjustment of the mechanical properties leads to an effective design of the Co–W/Mo alloy. However, it is worth noting that the mechanical properties of the Co<sub>6</sub>WMo phases are closer to the Co–W alloy instead of the Co–Mo alloy. The W atoms have a larger radius than the Mo atoms; thus, the W atoms in the ternary alloys would play a vital role during the deformation process.

#### 3.3. Thermodynamic Properties

In this section, the quasi-harmonic Debye model has been applied to investigate the thermodynamic properties of the Co–W/Mo compounds in the  $L1_2$ ,  $DO_{19}$  and  $\mu$  structures at a finite temperature [41]. The non-equilibrium Gibbs energy of the crystal phase at fixed temperature and hydrostatic pressure can be expressed as:

$$G^*(\mathbf{V};\mathbf{p},\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{V}) + \mathbf{p}\mathbf{V} + A_{vib}[\Theta(\mathbf{V});\mathbf{T}]$$
(26)

where E(V) is the total energy of the crystal in a given volume,  $A_{vib}$  is the Helmholtz vibrational free energy, and  $\Theta$  is the Debye temperature. Adopting the Debye model of the phonon density of states, the vibrational contribution of  $A_{vib}$  can be written as [42,43]:

$$A_{vib}(\Theta; \mathbf{T}) = nkT \left[ \frac{9}{8} \frac{\Theta}{T} + 3ln \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{\Theta}{T}} \right) - D\left(\frac{\Theta}{T}\right) \right]$$
(27)

where *n* is the number of atoms per formula unit, and *k* is the Boltzmann constant. D(y) is the Debye integral, which is defined as:

$$D(y) = \frac{3}{y^3} \int_0^y \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} dx$$
(28)

The Debye temperature  $\Theta$ , related to the average sound velocity, can be calculated as:

$$\Theta = \frac{\hbar}{k} \left[ 6\pi^2 V^{1/2} n \right]^{1/3} f(v) \sqrt{\frac{B_s}{M}}$$
(29)

where *M* refers to the molecular mass per unit cell, and *k* is the reduced Planck constant.  $B_s$  is the adiabatic bulk modulus, approximated by using the static compressibility, and can be written as [44,45]:

$$B_s \approx B(V) = V\left(\frac{d^2 E(V)}{dV^2}\right) \tag{30}$$

Hence, the (p, T) thermal equilibrium can be obtained by solving the non-equilibrium Gibbs function, given as:

$$\left(\frac{\partial G^*(V;p,T)}{\partial V}\right)_{p,T} = 0 \tag{31}$$

For the equilibrium state at a given (p, T), the thermodynamic properties such as isochoric heat capacity  $(C_v)$ , isobaric heat capacity  $(C_p)$ , vibrational entropy  $(S_{vib})$  and volume thermal expansion coefficient  $(\alpha)$  can be calculated by using the following equations:

$$C_{v} = 3nk \left[ 4D\left(\frac{\Theta}{T}\right) - \frac{3\Theta/T}{e^{\Theta/T} - 1} \right]$$
(32)

$$C_p = C_v (1 + \alpha \gamma T) \tag{33}$$

$$S_{vib} = 3nk \left[ \frac{4}{3} D\left(\frac{\Theta}{T}\right) - ln\left(1 - e^{-\frac{\Theta}{T}}\right) \right]$$
(34)

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma C_v}{B_T V}, \quad B_T(p, T) = -V \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial V}\right)_T \tag{35}$$

where  $B_T$  is the isothermal bulk modulus, and the Grüneisen parameter  $\gamma$  is defined as:

$$\gamma = -\frac{dln\Theta(V)}{dlnV} \tag{36}$$

The calculated  $C_v$  and  $C_p$  values of the Co–W/Mo compounds in the L1<sub>2</sub>, DO<sub>19</sub> and  $\mu$  structures at 0 GPa are illustrated in Figure 3. Herein, the thermodynamic properties have been calculated by ignoring the thermal electronic contribution and effect of the zero-point energy.



**Figure 3.** (a) Isochoric heat capacity ( $C_v$ ) and (b) isobaric heat capacity ( $C_p$ ) of the Co–W/Mo compounds in the DO<sub>19</sub>, L1<sub>2</sub> and  $\mu$  structures as a function of temperature.

As can be observed from Figure 3a, the  $C_v$  values of the phases increase rapidly at sufficiently low temperatures, which coincides with the Debye model theory. As the temperature reaches 900 K, the  $C_v$  values become almost constant (25 J/K mol), which is known as the Dulonge Petit limit [46]. In Figure 3b, the  $C_p$  values of the compounds are noted to be proportional to T<sup>3</sup> at temperatures near 0 K. Furthermore, the  $C_p$  values of the Co–W phases are higher than Co–W–Mo and Co–Mo, which is consistent with the  $C_p$ value of W being larger than Mo at 300 K. Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub> and DO<sub>19</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X exhibited the lowest and highest  $C_p$  values at high temperatures, respectively (shown in Figure 3b). The observed phenomenon can be associated with the stability and melting points of the different phases at high temperatures, and Co<sub>7</sub>W<sub>6</sub> generally has the highest melting points in these phases due to the high melting point of W.

As is well known, the enthalpy, as a function of temperature, is a crucial parameter in thermodynamic modeling. The calculated enthalpies of the  $Co_3X$  compounds in the  $DO_{19}$ ,

 $L1_2$  and  $\mu$  structures at zero pressure are shown in Figure 4. Similar to the heat capacity, the findings are almost identical with the order of enthalpy of Co, W and Mo reported earlier [47] (the enthalpy of W is higher than that of Mo in the range 300–1500 K).



**Figure 4.** The enthalpies of the Co–W/Mo compounds in the DO<sub>19</sub>,  $L1_2$  and  $\mu$  structures as a function of temperature.

The calculated Gibbs free energy of DO<sub>19</sub>-, L1<sub>2</sub>- and  $\mu$ -ordered Co–W/Mo are shown in Figure 5. In order to verify the reliability of the obtained values, the calculated and experimental Gibbs free energy [47] values of pure solid Co, Mo and W from 300 K to 1500 K are displayed in Figure 5a. Herein, the Gibbs free energy of the pure elements at 300 K was set as the benchmark. The calculated  $\Delta G$  values were noted to be smaller than the experimental values, which can be associated with the contribution of the thermal electrons to entropy (*S*) at high temperatures. However, the atoms are conserved before and after the alloying process, and the *S* of the thermal electrons is primarily determined by the atoms. The relative errors generated from the thermal electrons to  $\Delta S$  of the alloying reaction at a specific temperature should be smaller than the errors originating from enhancing the temperature. Figure 5b presents the calculated Gibbs free energy of the DO<sub>19</sub>-, L1<sub>2</sub>-, and  $\mu$ -ordered Co–W/Mo compounds as a function of temperature. The Co–W phases are noted to have a lower Gibbs free energy in comparison with the Co–Mo and Co–W–Mo alloys, in accordance with the findings for the pure elements.

In order to effectively analyze the stability of the phases at different temperatures, the formation Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G_{\rm f}$  has been calculated using Equation (37) and is shown in Figure 5c:

$$\Delta G_f(A_x B_y) = \frac{\left[G_{total}(A_x B_y) - x G_{solid}(A) - y G_{solid}(B)\right]}{x + y}$$
(37)

where  $G_{\text{total}}$  ( $A_x B_y$ ),  $G_{\text{solid}}$  (A) and  $G_{\text{solid}}$  (B) are the Gibbs free energy values of the unit cell of  $A_x B_y$ , A and B, respectively. As shown in Figure 5c, the  $\Delta G_f$  values are significantly related to the crystal structure, and the DO<sub>19</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X phases have the lowest  $\Delta G_f$  as compared with the other two phases possessing the same elements. However, it can be noticed that the  $\Delta G_f$  difference between the DO<sub>19</sub> and  $\mu$  structures is inversely proportional to the increasing temperature. According to the phase transformation from DO<sub>19</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X to Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub> in the experiments. The  $\Delta G_f$  of the follow reactions (38–40) are calculated and shown in Figure 5d:

$$Co_3W + W \to Co_7W_6$$
 (38)

$$Co_3Mo + Mo \to Co_7Mo_6$$
 (39)

(40)



 $Co_6WMo + W + Mo \rightarrow Co_7W_3Mo_3$ 

**Figure 5.** (a) The calculated and recommended  $\Delta G$  of Co, W and Mo (the values at 300 K represent the benchmark); (b) the calculated mean values of the Co–W/Mo compounds in the DO<sub>19</sub>, L1<sub>2</sub> and  $\mu$  structures; (c) formation Gibbs free energy of the Co–W/Mo compounds in the DO<sub>19</sub>, L1<sub>2</sub> and  $\mu$  structures; and (d)  $\Delta G$  of the phase transformation from Co<sub>3</sub>X to Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub>.

As can be observed from Figure 5d,  $Co_3X$  and X react to form  $Co_7X_6$  in the temperature range 1000–1200 K, and the transformation temperature of Co–Mo from  $Co_3Mo$  to  $Co_7Mo_6$  is noted to be lower than that of Co–W by about 100 K. Comparing the Co–X binary diagram, DO<sub>19</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>Mo and Co<sub>3</sub>W disappear after heating at about 1050 °C and 1100 °C, respectively. Hence, the phase transformation temperature of Co<sub>3</sub>Mo was lower than  $Co_6WMo$  and  $Co_3W$  in both experimental and theoretical analyses. In addition, the  $\Delta G$  of the Co–W system for the phase transformation from Co<sub>3</sub>W to Co<sub>7</sub>W<sub>6</sub> was higher than the Co–Mo system. It indicates the high stability of Co<sub>7</sub>W<sub>6</sub> at high temperatures, which is consistent with the previously observed improvement in the stability of the  $\mu$ -phase of Co<sub>7</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub> on incorporating tungsten.

## 4. Summary

The DO<sub>19</sub>, L1<sub>2</sub> and  $\mu$  structural phases in the Co–W, Co–Mo and Co–W–Mo compounds have been considered in this study for calculating the mechanical and thermodynamic properties. Possessing the same elements, the DO<sub>19</sub>- and  $\mu$ -phases exhibited a lower shear modulus and higher ductility than the L1<sub>2</sub>-phases. On the other hand, with the same structure and different elements, Co–W displayed higher strength than Co–W–Mo and Co–Mo. Moreover, the mechanical properties of Co–W–Mo with the same amount of W and Mo were noted to be closer to Co–W than Co–Mo. The assessment presented in this study reveals the lack of the experimental data with respect to the thermodynamic properties of Co–W/Mo. The  $C_v$  and  $C_p$  values of the nine phases are noted to match well with the regular pattern of the elements obtained experimentally. Coinciding with the phase transformation, DO<sub>19</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>X transforms to Co<sub>7</sub>X<sub>6</sub> at high temperatures. Besides, the properties of the Co–W–Mo ternary alloys are noted to hover between the Co–W and Co– Mo binary systems. The findings obtained in this study are expected to provide valuable clues for the design of novel Co-based materials and can be helpful in stimulating future experimental and theoretical research in the field of Co–W/Mo alloys.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/1996-194 4/14/6/1404/s1.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, Y.Y. and C.W.; methodology, Y.Y.; validation, C.W. and W.L.; formal analysis, J.S. and S.L.; investigation, Y.Y. and H.W.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.Y.; writing—review and editing, C.W. and J.W.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation, Grant Nos. 51974011, 51534009, 51621003 and 11804012, and the Beijing Municipal Education Commission, Grant No. KM201910005009.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available in the article and supplementary material.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation (Grant Nos. 51974011, 51534009, 51621003 and 11804012), and the Beijing Municipal Education Commission (Grant No. KM201910005009).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Reed, R.C. The Superalloys: Fundamentals and Applications; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2008.
- 2. Pollock, T.M. Alloy design for aircraft engines. *Nat. Mater.* **2016**, *15*, 809–815. [CrossRef]
- 3. Li, N.; Yin, F.; Feng, L. Microstructure of a V-containing cobalt based alloy prepared by mechanical alloying and hot pressed sintering. *Metals* **2019**, *9*, 464. [CrossRef]
- 4. Pandey, P.; Sawant, A.K.; Nithin, B.; Peng, Z.; Makineni, S.K.; Gault, B.; Chattopadhyay, K. On the effect of Re addition on microstructural evolution of a CoNi-based superalloy. *Acta Mater.* **2019**, *168*, 37–51. [CrossRef]
- Slone, C.E.; Miao, J.; Mills, M.J. Ultra-high strength and ductility from rolling and annealing of a Ni-Cr-Co superalloy. *Scr. Mater.* 2018, 155, 94–98. [CrossRef]
- Sato, J.; Omori, T.; Oikawa, K.; Ohnuma, I.; Kainuma, R.; Ishida, K. Cobalt-base high-temperature alloys. *Science* 2006, 312, 90–91. [CrossRef]
- Ruan, J.J.; Liu, X.J.; Yang, S.Y.; Xu, W.W.; Omori, T.; Yang, T.; Deng, B.; Jiang, H.X.; Wang, C.P.; Kainuma, R.; et al. Novel Co-Ti-V-base superalloys reinforced by L12-ordered γ' phase. *Intermetallics* 2018, *92*, 126–132. [CrossRef]
- 8. Cao, B.X.; Yang, T.; Fan, L.; Luan, J.H.; Jiao, Z.B.; Liu, C.T. Refractory alloying additions on the thermal stability and mechanical properties of high-entropy alloys. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2020**, *797*, 140020. [CrossRef]
- 9. Tsyntsaru, N. Electrodeposition of cobalt–tungsten alloys and their application for surface engineering. *Russ. J. Electrochem.* **2016**, 52, 1041–1047. [CrossRef]
- Weston, D.P.; Gill, S.P.A.; Fay, M.; Harris, S.J.; Yap, G.N.; Zhang, D. Nano-structure of Co–W alloy electrodeposited from gluconate bath. Surf. Coat. Technol. 2013, 236, 75–83. [CrossRef]
- 11. Costa, J.M.; Porto, M.B.; Amancio, R.J.; de Almeida Neto, A.F. Effects of tungsten and cobalt concentration on microstructure and anticorrosive property of cobalt-tungsten alloys. *Surf. Interfaces* **2020**, *20*, 100626. [CrossRef]
- 12. Ghaferi, Z.; Raeissi, K.; Golozar, M.A.; Edris, H. Characterization of nanocrystalline Co–W coatings on Cu substrate, electrodeposited from a citrate-ammonia bath. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2011**, *206*, 497–505. [CrossRef]
- Sato, J.; Oikawa, K.; Kainuma, R.; Ishida, K. Experimental verification of magnetically induced phase separation in αCo phase and thermodynamic calculations of phase equilibria in the Co–W system. *Mater. Trans.* 2005, 46, 1199–1207. [CrossRef]
- 14. Davydov, A.; Kattner, U.R. Thermodynamic assessment of the Co-Mo system. J. Phase Equilib. 1999, 20, 5. [CrossRef]
- 15. Ishchenko, T.V.; Meshkov, L.L.; Sokolovskaya, Y.M. On the interaction of μ phases in systems formed by transition metals. *J. Less Common Met.* **1984**, *97*, 145–150. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wang, C.P.; Wang, J.; Guo, S.H.; Liu, X.J.; Ohnuma, I.; Kainuma, R.; Ishida, K. Experimental investigation and thermodynamic calculation of the phase equilibria in the Co–Mo–W system. *Intermetallics* **2009**, *17*, 642–650. [CrossRef]
- Ren, N.; Jianxin, Z. Site preference and alloying effect of tungsten in the μ phase of Co<sub>7</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub>. *Philos. Mag. Lett.* 2016, 96, 1–8.
   [CrossRef]
- Xu, W.W.; Han, J.J.; Wang, Z.W.; Wang, C.P.; Wen, Y.H.; Liua, X.J.; Zhu, Z.Z. Thermodynamic, structural and elastic properties of Co<sub>3</sub>X (X = Ti, Ta, W, V, Al) compounds from first-principles calculations. *Intermetallics* 2013, 32, 303–311. [CrossRef]

- 19. Arikan, N. The structural, electronic, elastic and dynamic properties of Co3W in the L12 phase. AIP Conf. Proc. 2017, 1815, 050001.
- Yuan, H.K.; Cui, H.; Liu, B.; Tian, C.L.; Kuang, A.L.; Chen, H. Density functional theory calculations for magnetic properties of Co<sub>3</sub>W systems. J. Chem. Phys. 2018, 149, 014303. [CrossRef]
- Li, P.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Jin, H. First-principles calculations of Co<sub>7</sub>W<sub>6</sub> doped with Re: Site occupancy and electronic properties. *Comput. Condens. Matter* 2017, 13, 36–40. [CrossRef]
- 22. Blöchl, P.E. Projector augmented-wave method. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 50, 17953. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, 59, 1758. [CrossRef]
- 24. Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals. Phys. Rev. B 1993, 47, 558. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **1996**, *6*, 15–50. [CrossRef]
- 26. Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *54*, 11169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865. [CrossRef]
- 28. Wallace, D.C. Thermodynamics of crystals. Am. J. Phys. 1972, 40, 1718–1719. [CrossRef]
- 29. Nye, J.F. Physical Properties of Crystals: Their Representation by Tensors and Matrices; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 1985.
- Magneli, A.; Westgren, A. Röntgenuntersuchung von Kobalt–Wolframlegierungen. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1938, 238, 268–272. [CrossRef]
- 31. Alte da Veiga, L. Refinement of the structure of the phase Co<sub>2</sub>Mo. Acta Crystallogr. **1965**, *18*, 855–857. [CrossRef]
- 32. Forsyth, J.B.; D'Alte da Veiga, L.M. The structure of the μ-phase Co<sub>7</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub>. Acta Crystallogr. **1962**, 15, 543–546. [CrossRef]
- 33. Long, J.; Yang, L.; Wei, X. Lattice, elastic properties and Debye temperatures of ATiO<sub>3</sub> (A = Ba, Ca, Pb, Sr) from first-principles. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2013**, *549*, 336–340. [CrossRef]
- 34. Watt, J.P.; Peselnick, L. Clarification of the Hashin-Shtrikman bounds on the effective elastic moduli of polycrystals with hexagonal, trigonal, and tetragonal symmetries. J. Appl. Phys. **1980**, *51*, 1525–1531. [CrossRef]
- 35. Hill, R. The elastic behaviour of a crystalline aggregate. Proc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 1952, 65, 349. [CrossRef]
- 36. Pugh, S.F. XCII. Relations between the elastic moduli and the plastic properties of polycrystalline pure metals. *Lond. Edinb. Dubl. Phil. Mag.* **1954**, *45*, 823–843. [CrossRef]
- 37. Yang, J.; Long, J.; Yang, L.; Li, D. First-principles investigations of the physical properties of binary uranium silicide alloys. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **2013**, 443, 195–199. [CrossRef]
- 38. Arya, A.; Dey, G.K.; Vasudevan, V.K.; Banerjee, S. Effect of chromium addition on the ordering behaviour of Ni–Mo alloy: Experimental results vs. electronic structure calculations. *Acta Mater.* **2002**, *13*, 3301–3315. [CrossRef]
- Chan, K.S.; Lee, Y.D.; Pan, Y.M. First-principles computations of mechanical properties of Ni<sub>2</sub>Cr and Ni<sub>2</sub>Mo. *Metal. Mater. Trans.* A 2006, *3*, 523–537. [CrossRef]
- 40. Schindzielorz, N.; Nowak, K.; Maisel, S.B.; Müller, S. Phase behavior and mechanical properties of Ni–W studied by first-principles calculations and ab initio based thermodynamics. *Acta Mater.* **2014**, *75*, 307–315. [CrossRef]
- 41. Blanco, M.A.; Francisco, E.; Luana, V. GIBBS: Isothermal-isobaric thermodynamics of solids from energy curves using a quasiharmonic Debye model. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2004**, *158*, 57–72. [CrossRef]
- 42. Blanco, M.A.; Pendás, A.M.; Francisco, E.; Recio, J.M.; Franco, R. Thermodynamical properties of solids from microscopic theory: Applications to MgF<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1996**, *368*, 245–255. [CrossRef]
- 43. Flórez, M.; Recio, J.M.; Francisco, E.; Blanco, M.A.; Pendás, A.M. First-principles study of the rocksalt–cesium chloride relative phase stability in alkali halides. *Phys. Rev. B* 2002, *66*, 144112. [CrossRef]
- 44. Francisco, E.; Recio, J.M.; Blanco, M.A.; Pendás, A.M.; Costales, A. Quantum-mechanical study of thermodynamic and bonding properties of MgF<sub>2</sub>. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1998**, *102*, 1595–1601. [CrossRef]
- 45. Francisco, E.; Blanco, M.A.; Sanjurjo, G. Atomistic simulation of SrF<sub>2</sub> polymorphs. Phys. Rev. B 2001, 63, 094107. [CrossRef]
- 46. Kittel, C.; McEuen, P.; McEuen, P. Introduction to Solid State Physics; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1996.
- 47. Barin, I. Thermochemical Data of Pure Substances; VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH: Weinheim, Germany, 1989.