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#### Abstract

JDTic analogues $4 \mathbf{- 1 5}$ which have the hydroxyl groups replaced with other groups were synthesized and their in vitro efficacy at the $\mu, \delta$, and $\kappa$ opioid receptors determined and compared to JDTic using $\left[{ }^{35} S\right]$ GTP $\gamma S$ assays. Compounds 4, 5, 6, 13, 14, and 15 had $K_{\mathrm{e}}=0.024,0.01$, $0.039,0.02,0.11$, and 0.041 nM compared to the $K_{\mathrm{e}}=0.02 \mathrm{nM}$ for JDTic at the $\kappa$ receptor and were highly selective for the $\kappa$ receptor relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ opioid receptors. Unexpectedly, replacement of the 3-hydroxyl substituent of the 4-(3-hydroxyphenyl) group of JDTic with a H, F, or Cl substituent leads to potent and selective KOR antagonists. In vitro studies to determine various ADME properties combined with calculated TPSA, clogP, and $\log \mathrm{BB}$ values suggests that the potent and selective $\kappa$ opioid receptors $4,5,13$, and 14 deserve consideration for further development toward potential drugs for CNS disorders. 


## INTRODUCTION

The opioid receptors ( $\mu, \delta, \kappa$, and the opioid-like receptor ORL1) belong to the super family of G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) that possess seven helical trans-membrane spanning domains in their architecture. ${ }^{1}$ The majority of research efforts focused upon this group of proteins has been directed toward the $\mu$ receptor because it mediates the analgesic actions of opiates such as morphine (Chart 1 ). ${ }^{2}$ Over the years, however, it has become increasingly clear that the entire family of opioid proteins are actively involved in a host of important physiological processes. ${ }^{2}$

Studies with selective $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonists have shown that this system is intimately involved in brain processes that relate to stress, fear, and anxiety as well as reward-seeking behavior. ${ }^{3,4}$ Studies have shown that ( $3 R$ )-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-7-hydroxy- $N$-[(1S)-1-[[(3R,4R)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-3,4-di-methyl-1-piperidinyl]methyl]-2-methylpropyl]-3-isoquinolinecarboxamide (JDTic) and nor-binaltorphimine (nor-BNI), another $\kappa$ opioid selective antagonist, dose-dependently reduce fear and stress-induced responses in multiple behavioral paradigms with rodents (immobility in the forced-swim assay, ${ }^{5,6}$ reduction of exploratory behavior in the elevated plus maze, and fear-potentiated startle). ${ }^{7}$ Further, selective $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonists have been shown to reduce stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine self-administration in rats ${ }^{5}$ to block the stress-induced potentiation of cocaine place preference conditioning, ${ }^{8-10}$ to decrease dependence-induced ethanol self-
administration, ${ }^{11}$ to attenuate the expression of both the physical (somatic signs hyperalgesia) and effective (anxiety-related behavior conditional place aversion) signs of nicotine-induced withdrawal in mice, to diminish deprivation-induced eating in rats, ${ }^{12}$ and to prevent prepulse inhibition mediated by U50,488. ${ }^{13}$ These observations regarding the behavioral consequences of receptor blockade in several animal tests suggest that $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonists could be useful for treating anxiety, depression, schizophrenia, addiction, and eating disorders.

Compounds 1 (AZ-MTAB), , ${ }^{14,15} 2$ (PF-4455242), , ${ }^{16,17}$ and 3 (LY2456302) ${ }^{18-20}$ have been reported as newer selective $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonists (Chart 1). See also ref 3 for a review of these studies. These newer $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonists show activity in various animal models similar to those reported for norBNI and JDTic. In addition, JDTic and compounds 2 and 3 have undergone phase 1 and/or phase 2 studies directed toward various CNS disorders. ${ }^{21-25}$

No drugs for the treatment of cocaine and methamphetamine abuse, however, are currently available. ${ }^{3}$ Further, nicotine replacement therapy (NRT), bupropion, and varenicline are used to treat nicotine addiction, but no more than $25 \%$ of patients respond to these treatments. ${ }^{26}$ Naltrexone is used to treat alcoholism but has limited efficacy. ${ }^{27}$ A number of antidepressants are on the market, but many patients do not

[^0]Chart 1. Structures of Morphine, JDTic, nor-BNI, AZ-MTAB (1), PF-4455242 (2), and LY2456302 (3)
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Scheme $1^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) Tf O , DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NBu}_{3}$, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) LAH, toluene/THF; (d) Boc-L-valinal, $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$; (e) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (f) Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic, HBTU, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
respond to any of them. ${ }^{28}$ In addition, all of these therapeutic agents have undesirable side effects. Accordingly, $\kappa$ opioid antagonists remain of high interest. In this study, we report the synthesis and in vitro efficacy as determined by $\left.{ }^{[35} \mathrm{S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ assay of JDTic analogues 4-15 (see Table 2 for structures). These compounds have the hydroxyl group on the 4-(3-
hydroxylphenyl) or 7-hydroxy-tetrahydroisoquinoline parts of JDTic replaced with other functional groups. A comparison of their in vitro efficacy properties to those of JDTic show that several of the analogues were potent and selective $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonists. Preclinical ADME studies show that some of the antagonists have better drug-like properties than JDTic.

Scheme $2^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) phthalic anhydride, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; (b) $\mathrm{PhN}\left(\mathrm{Tf}_{2}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$; (c) $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$, JohnPhos, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, tKBuO, tol; (d) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, EtOH ; (e) HCl, dioxane; (f) EDC•HCl, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic; (g) HCl, MeOH.

Scheme $3^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ONO}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then heat, neat; (b) $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{HCl}$, then CuCl ; (c) $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{HBr}$; then CuBr ; (d) hydrazine, EtOH; (e) HBTU, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic; (f) EDC-HCl, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, HOBt ; (g) HCl.

## CHEMISTRY

The synthesis of $\mathbf{4}$ is outlined in Scheme 1. Bis-triflate 17 was prepared by treating 16 with an excess of triflic anhydride at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subjection of 17 to palladium-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation in DMF at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded intermediate 18. Reduction of 18 with lithium aluminum hydride in toluene and tetrahydrofuran mixture cleaved the triflamide to give $(3 R, 4 R)$ -3,4-dimethyl-4-phenylpiperidine (19). Reductive amination of 19 with Boc-L-valinal, prepared according to the procedure reported by Skiles et al., ${ }^{29}$ followed by $t$-butoxycarbonyl (Boc) deprotection with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane afforded 20. Coupling of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with ( $3 R$ )-2-(tert-butoxycarbon-yl)-7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid
(Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic) using $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-tetramethyl-O-(1H-benzotriazol-1-yl)uronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) in dichloromethane followed by Boc deprotection with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane afforded 4.

Scheme 2 shows the synthesis of 8 via intermediate 25 , which was also used to synthesize 5, 6, and 7 (Scheme 3). Compound $2 \mathbf{1}^{30}$ was treated with phthalic anhydride to form the phthalimide 22. Treatment of 22 with $N$-phenyl(bis-trifluoromethanesulfonimide) afforded the triflate 23. Palladium-catalyzed coupling of 23 with benzylamine yielded 24 . Subjection of 24 to transfer hydrogenation afforded aniline 25. Deprotection of the phthalimide with aqueous hydrogen chloride in dioxane afforded amine 26. Amide coupling of 26 with Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic,

Scheme $4^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagent: (a) Boc-d-Tic, HBTU, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) Boc-7-fluoro-d-Tic, EDC•HCl, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{HCl}$.
Scheme $5^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} /$ toluene; (b) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$; (c) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$, DMF; (d) aq LiOH, dioxane; then $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$; (e) 21, HBTU, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$; (f) 3-\{(3R,4R)-1-[(2S)-2-amino-3-methylbutyl]-3,4-dimethylpiperidin-4-yl\}benzamide, HBTU, NEt ${ }_{3}$; (g) 20, EDC•HCl, DIPEA; (h) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (i) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.
followed by removal of the Boc protecting group with hydrochloric acid in aqueous methanol, afforded the desired final product 8.

The compounds $\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}$, and 7 where the phenolic group of the 4 -(3-hydroxyphenyl) group in JDTic has been replaced by a fluoro, chloro, and bromo substituent, respectively, were prepared as described in Scheme 3. The diazotization of 25 followed by Schiemann fluorination afforded 27. Alternatively, Sandmeyer halogenation of the diazo intermediate afforded the chloro and bromo intermediates 28 and 29. Subsequent deprotection of the phthaloyl protected amines present in 27, 28, and 29 using hydrazine in ethanol afforded 30, 31, and 32, respectively. These intermediates were coupled with Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic using HBTU in acetonitrile or 1-ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC•HCl) and a catalytic amount of $N$-hydroxybenzotriazole ( HOBt ) in dichloromethane followed by treatment with hydrogen chloride to afford 5, 6, and 7.

The synthesis of $\mathbf{9 - 1 2}$ is illustrated in Scheme 4. Coupling of amines 20 and $21^{30}$ with commercially available Boc-(3R)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (Boc-d-Tic), followed by treatment with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane, gave 11 and 9 , respectively. Coupling of 21 and 30 with (3R)-2-[tert-butoxy) carbonyl]-7-fluoro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroiso-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid (7-fluoro-Boc-D-Tic), followed by

Boc deprotection using aqueous methanolic hydrogen chloride, afforded 10 and 12 , respectively.

The synthesis of $\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 4}$, and 15 is illustrated in Scheme 5. The methyl ester of Boc-7-hydroxy-D-Tic (33) prepared using trimethylsilyldiazomethane in methanol and toluene was converted to the intermediate aryl triflate with triflic anhydride, ${ }^{31}$ which was transformed to the benzonitrile (35) via palladiumcatalyzed cyanation. ${ }^{32}$ Careful hydrolysis of the methyl ester using lithium hydroxide in aqueous dioxane, followed by addition of hydrogen peroxide to the cooled solution, resulted in a very rapid hydrolysis of the benzonitrile to the benzamide 36. The appropriate amine (21, $3-\{(3 R, 4 R)-1-[(2 S)-2$-amino-3-methylbutyl $]$-3,4-dimethylpiperidin-4-yl\}benzamide, ${ }^{33}$ or 20 ) could then be coupled with 36 using HBTU or EDC $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ to afford the intermediate amides which yielded the desired compounds 13, 14, and 15 upon deprotection of the Boc group with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane or hydrochloric acid in aqueous methanol.

Pharmacology. Because $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding strongly correlates with animal behavior studies of previously reported $\kappa$ antagonists, measures of opioid receptor antagonism and specificity for the compounds in the study were obtained by monitoring the ability of selected test compounds to inhibit stimulation of $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding produced by the selective agonists (D-Ala, ${ }^{2}$ MePhe, ${ }^{4}$ Gly-ol $^{5}$ )encephalin (DAMGO, $\mu$

Chart 2. Structures of LY83577, LY99355, LY255582, and Compounds 37 and 41-45



Table 1. Opioid Receptor Binding Data ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) and $\left[{ }^{35}\right.$ S]GTPyS Antagonist Activity ( $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) of LY255582 and Deoxy-LY255582


| compd | R | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  | $K_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mu$ | $\kappa$ | $\delta$ | $\mu$ | $\kappa$ | $\delta$ |
| LY255582 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | OH | 0.1 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 0.04 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| deoxy-LY255582 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H | 7.7 | 749 | 169 | 1.6 | 40.6 | 47.1 |

${ }^{a}$ Data taken from ref 42.
receptor) cyclo[ $\left.\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Pen}^{2}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Pen}^{5}\right]$ encephalin (DPDPE, $\delta$ ) and 5,7,8-( - )- N -methyl- N -[7-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1-oxaspiro[4,5] dec8 -yl] benzeneacetamide ( $\mathbf{U} 69,593, \kappa$ ) in cloned human receptors using previously reported methods. ${ }^{34} K_{\mathrm{e}}$ values were calculated as previously reported. ${ }^{34}$

In Vitro ADME Studies. Several in vitro studies were conducted to characterize $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonists $4,5,13$, and 14 and compared to the results from JDTic and previously reported $37^{33}$ (Chart 2), which like compounds 13 and 14 has its phenol groups replaced by a carboxamido group. An in vitro model using MDCK-MDR1 cells was used to predict brain penetration. Plasma and S9 stability of each compound was determined using procedures similar to those previously reported. ${ }^{35,36}$ Compounds that interact with the human ether-a-go-go gene hERG product (which is a potassium channel) are cardiotoxic. Thus, the affinity of synthesized $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonists toward the hERG channel was determined. The interaction of these test compounds with the hERG channel was analyzed using a radioligand displacement assay based on a protocol developed by Chiu et al. ${ }^{37}$ For these studies, $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ astemizole was used as the high-affinity hERG radioligand ( $K_{\mathrm{i}} \sim 20 \mathrm{nM}$ ). See Experimental Section for details.

Solubility of the compounds was determined using a kinetic 96 -well plate assay essentially as described by Zhu et al. ${ }^{38}$ See Experimental Section for details.

The parallel artificial membrane permeability assay (PAMPA) was used to predict oral absorption in a 96 -well format as has been described previously and detailed in the Experimental Section.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the late 1970s, Zimmerman and co-workers reported that N -methyl-trans-4-phenylpiperidine 38 (LY83577) (Chart 2) was an opioid receptor pure antagonist whose potency was significantly increased by adding a phenolic group to the aromatic ring to give the $N$-methyl-trans-3,4-dimethyl-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)piperidine 39 (LY99335) (Chart 2). ${ }^{39-41}$

In a study directed toward determining if the 3-hydroxy group present on the aromatic ring in 40 (LY255582) was required for potent antagonist efficacy, it was found that removal of the 3hydroxy group led to 40-, 135-, and 39 -fold reduction in the $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ value at the $\mu, \delta$, and $\kappa$ receptors relative to 40 using $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ assays (Table 1). ${ }^{42}$ In addition, replacement of the 3-hydroxy group in 40 with 10 other functional groups all led to much reduced in vitro antagonist efficacy relative to $\mathbf{4 0}$, suggesting that the phenolic group was essential for the high potency of $\mathbf{4 0} .^{42}$

In the present studies, we demonstrate that 4 , which has the hydroxyl group of the 4 -(3-hydroxyphenyl) group in JDTic replaced by a hydrogen (Table 2), is a potent and selective $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonist. Compound 4 has $K_{e}$ values of 8.9,

Table 2. Inhibition of Agonist-Stimulated [ ${ }^{35}$ S]GTPyS Binding in Cloned Human $\mu, \delta$, and $\kappa$ Opioid Receptors


| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $K_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  | $\mu / \kappa$ | $\delta / \kappa$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mu, \mathrm{DAMGO}^{a}$ | $\delta$, DPDPE $^{a}$ | $\kappa$, U69,593 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| JDTic | OH | OH | $25 \pm 4$ | $74 \pm 2$ | $0.02 \pm 0.01$ | 1250 | 3700 |
| 4 | OH | H | $8.9 \pm 3$ | $442 \pm 130$ | $0.024 \pm 0.01$ | 370 | 18400 |
| 5 | OH | F | $14.8 \pm 5$ | $249 \pm 44$ | $0.01 \pm 0.004$ | 1480 | 24900 |
| 6 | OH | C1 | $6.81 \pm 2.6$ | $685 \pm 99$ | $0.039 \pm 0.001$ | 175 | 17600 |
| 7 | OH | Br | $6.56 \pm 0.44$ | $594 \pm 160$ | $0.268 \pm 0.01$ | 25 | 2200 |
| 8 | OH | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $10.6 \pm 3.6$ | $1899 \pm 657$ | $0.25 \pm 0.09$ | 42 | 7600 |
| 9 | H | OH | $16 \pm 5$ | $158 \pm 49$ | $4.3 \pm 2$ | 3.7 | 37 |
| 10 | F | OH | $7.7 \pm 0.9$ | $b$ | $2.20 \pm 0.47$ | 3.5 |  |
| 11 | H | H | $724 \pm 146$ | $>3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $16 \pm 7$ | 45 | >188 |
| 12 | F | F | $360 \pm 63$ | $b$ | $2.22 \pm 0.47$ | 162 |  |
| 13 | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | OH | $7.09 \pm 2.58$ | $131 \pm 23$ | $0.02 \pm 0.005$ | 355 | 6550 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $25.3 \pm 7.89$ | $517 \pm 52$ | $0.11 \pm 0.02$ | 230 | 4700 |
| 15 | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | H | $6.70 \pm 2.1$ | $111 \pm 29$ | $0.041 \pm 0.006$ | 163 | 2700 |
| $37^{\text {c }}$ | OH | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $21 \pm 3$ | $478 \pm 75$ | $0.12 \pm 0.03$ | 175 | 4000 |

${ }^{a}$ The data represents the mean (SE) from at least three independent experiments. ${ }^{b}$ These compounds are weak inverse agonists at the $\delta$ opioid receptor. ${ }^{c}$ Data taken from ref 33 .

442 , and 0.024 nM at the $\mu, \delta$, and $\kappa$ receptors, respectively, compared to $K_{\mathrm{e}}$ values of 25,74 , and 0.02 nM for JDTic. Compound 4 with 370 - and 18400 -fold selectivity for the $\kappa$ receptor relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ receptors was more selective than JDTic for the $\delta$ receptor but a little less selective than JDTic for the $\mu$ receptor. Both compounds, however, are highly selective for the $\kappa$ receptor relative to both the $\mu$ and $\delta$ receptors. This discovery is in contrast to previously reported structural activity studies of 40 as well as other compounds in this class of compounds.

Compound 5, which has a fluoro group in place of the hydrogen present in $\mathbf{4}$ or the hydroxyl group in JDTic, with $K_{\mathrm{e}}$ values of $14.8,249$, and 0.01 nM at the $\mu, \delta$, and $\kappa$ receptors, respectively, and 1480 - and 24900 -fold $\kappa$ selectivity relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ receptors, is both a more potent and more selective $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonist than JDTic or 4. Compounds 6 and 7 have a chloro and bromo group, respectively, in place of the hydroxyl group in JDTic. Compound 6 has a $K_{e}=0.039 \mathrm{nM}$ at the $\kappa$ receptor, with 175 - and 17600 -fold selectivity for the $\kappa$ relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ receptors and thus has good $\kappa$ potency and selectivity. Compound 7, which has the larger bromo group in place of the hydroxyl in JDTic, has a weaker $K_{\mathrm{e}}$ value of 0.268 nM at the $\kappa$ receptor and only a 25 -fold selectivity for the $\kappa$ receptor relative to the $\mu$ receptor. Replacement of the hydroxyl group in JDTic with the amino electron donating amino group to give 8 results in a decrease in potency at the $\kappa$ receptor $\left(K_{\mathrm{e}}=0.25 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and increased potency at the $\mu$ receptor ( $K_{\mathrm{e}}=10.6 \mathrm{nM}$ ). This results in only 42 -fold selectivity for the $\kappa$ relative to the $\mu$
receptor. Compound 8 has 7600 -fold selectivity for the $\kappa$ relative to the $\delta$ receptor and thus is more selective than JDTic for the $\kappa$ relative to the $\delta$ receptor.

In a previous report, we compared the opioid receptor antagonist efficacy of compounds 41-45 (Chart 2) using the same conditions as that used in this study. ${ }^{33}$ The nitro (41), acetylamino (42), methanesulfonylamino (43), and amino (44) analogues were 320 -, 70 -, 200-, and 10 -times less potent as a $\kappa$ antagonist than JDTic and were not as selective for the $\kappa$ receptor relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ receptors as JDTic. The methoxy (45) analogue was only 3 -fold less potent than JDTic as a $\kappa$ antagonist. Compound 45 was selective for the $\kappa$ receptor relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ but was not as selective as JDTic.

The critical importance of a methoxy or hydroxyl group in the tetrahydroisoquinoline carboxamide (Tic) part of JDTic is further shown by the results with 9 and 10, where the Tic hydroxyl group in JDTic is replaced by a hydrogen or fluoro substituent, respectively. Compound 9 has $K_{e}$ values of 16, 158, and 4.3 nM at the $\mu, \delta$, and $\kappa$ receptors, respectively, with only 3.7- and 37 -fold selectivity for the $\kappa$ relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ receptors. Thus, 9 is much less potent and selective as a $\kappa$ opioid antagonist than JDTic or 4 (compound 9 was previously characterized as the free base but was not evaluated for opioid antagonst efficacy under conditions used in this study). ${ }^{43}$ Compound 10 with $K_{\mathrm{e}}$ values of 7.7 and 2.20 nM at the $\mu$ and $\kappa$ receptors, respectively, is also much less potent and selective as a $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonist. Surprisingly, $\mathbf{1 0}$ behaved as a weak inverse agonist at the $\delta$ receptor.

Compound 11 can be viewed as a compound having both hydroxyl groups in JDTic replaced by a hydrogen or by replacement of the Tic hydroxyl group in 4 with a hydrogen. Viewing the change either way again shows the importance of the Tic hydroxyl group to the high $\kappa$ potency and selectivity. Compound 11 with a $K_{\mathrm{e}}$ value of 16 nM at the $\kappa$ receptor has low potency for this receptor.

Compound 12 can be viewed as a compound having both hydroxyl groups in JDTic replaced by fluoro groups or by replacement of the Tic hydroxyl group in 5 with a fluoro group. Regardless of how 12 is viewed, its low $\kappa$ potency ( $K_{\mathrm{e}}=2.22 \mathrm{nM}$ compared to 0.02 nM for JDTic) shows the importance of Tic hydroxyl to the $\kappa$ potency and selectivity of JDTic, 4, and 5. Similar to 10, $\mathbf{1 2}$ also behaved as a weak inverse agonist in the $\delta$ opioid receptor assay. Of all the JDTic analogues synthesized and evaluated herein, these are the only two compounds that are inverse agonists in the $\delta$ receptor assay.

One interesting finding from the X-ray crystallographic structure of the human $\kappa$ opioid receptor is that the interaction of ligand hydroxyls with the receptor is mediated by intervening structured water molecules (Figure 1a, receptor pocket waters indicated by blue-green spheres). ${ }^{44}$ As illustrated in the twodimensional KOR-JDTic interaction diagram of the 7-hydroxy-DTic, hydroxyl participates in hydrogen bonds with two structured water molecules which in turn interact with residues Lys227 and Tyr139 (Figure 1b). This binding arrangement suggested that replacing the ligand hydroxyls with a substituent, which could replace the structured water in the X-ray structure, both in location and hydrogen bonding capacity, would result in direct ligand-to-receptor hydrogen bonding interactions. Removing the dependency on water molecules in the receptor pocket might result in enhanced or altered properties. Three of the compounds, 13, 14, and 15, were prepared to test this hypothesis by replacing one or both of the JDTic hydroxyls with carboxamide substituents. The feasibility of this bioisosteric equivalence of a hydroxyl-water pair with a carboxamide group was tested by computational docking studies of compound 13 (in which the 7 -hydroxyl of 7-hydroxy-d-Tic is replaced by a carboxamide). As anticipated, the overall binding pose of compound 13 is identical to that observed for JDTic, with the 13 carboxamide group directly providing a hydrogen-bond interaction with Lys 227 (Figure 2a). The two-dimensional KOR13 interaction diagram of the docking result (Figure 2b) illustrates that $\mathbf{1 3}$ carboxamide interaction with Lys 227 may not require an intervening water molecule.

In this study, we found that 13 had a $K_{\mathrm{e}}=0.02 \mathrm{nM}$ and thus was as potent a $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonist as JDTic (Table 2). Compound 14, which has both phenolic groups in JDTic replaced by a carboxamide group, has a $K_{\mathrm{e}}=0.11 \mathrm{nM}$ at the $\kappa$ opioid receptor. All three compounds are highly selective for the $\kappa$ relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ receptors. Compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ can be viewed as an analogue of 4 , where the hydroxyl group in the Tic portion of 7 has been replaced by a carboxamide group. This compound with a $K_{\mathrm{e}}=0.041 \mathrm{nM}$ at the $\kappa$ receptor and 163 - and 2700 -fold selectivity for the $\kappa$ receptor relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ receptors, respectively, is slightly less $\kappa$ potent and selective than compound 4. In previous studies, we reported that 37 , which has a carboxamide group replacing the hydroxyl of the 4-(3hydroxyphenyl) group, has a $K_{\mathrm{e}}=0.12 \mathrm{nM}$ at the $\kappa$ receptor, which was only 6 times less potent than JDTic as a $\kappa$ opioid receptor (KOR) antagonist (Table 2). ${ }^{33}$

Calculated physiochemical properties such as topological polar surface area (TPSA), lipophilicity ( $\operatorname{clog} P$ ), and derived


Figure 1. (a) Three-dimensional view of the hydrogen-bonding interactions between JDTic and the KOR (PDB 4DJH). (b) Twodimensional diagram of the hydrogen-bond and hydrophobic interactions between the Tic moiety of JDTic and the KOR (PDB 4 DJH ). (Water molecules are rendered as blue green spheres.) Hydrogen bonds are indicated with green, dashed lines. The origin of hydrophobic interactions are indicated by the direction of red line segments around the receptor residues and ligand atoms.
values such as $\log \mathrm{BB}$ are useful indicators of a compound's potential to penetrate the brain. These molecular descriptors were calculated for JDTic, previously reported $37,{ }^{33}$ as well as 4 , 5, 13, and 14 (Table 3). In general, CNS drugs have clogP in the range $2-4,{ }^{45}$ TPSA less than $76 \AA^{2},{ }^{46}$ and $\operatorname{logBB}$ greater than $-1 .{ }^{47}$ The lead compound, JDTic, which proceeded through phase 1 clinical studies, has a TPSA $=84.83$, which is larger than $76 \AA^{2}$. Compounds 13, 14, and 37, with TPSA values of 107.69 , 130.55 , and 107.69 , respectively, are also above the $76 \AA^{2}$. Compounds 4 and 5 both have TPSA values of 64.6 , which is less than $76 \AA^{2}$. JDTic and all of the analogues except 5 had clogP values of less than 4 . Even 5 had a clogP $=4.15$, just above the recommended threshold. JDTic, 4, and 5 have $\operatorname{logBB}$ values of $-0.57,-0.23$, and -0.19 and thus are greater than -1 , predicting good brain penetration. Compounds 13, 14, and 37 with $\log \mathrm{BB}$ values of $-0.98,-1.39$, and -1.02 , are predicted to have poorer brain penetration.

Compounds that interact with the human ether-a-go-go gene (hERG) product, which is a potassium channel, can produce QT


Figure 2. (a) Three-dimensional view of the hydrogen-bonding interactions between compound 13 and the KOR (docking calculation). (b) Two-dimensional diagram of the hydrogen-bond and hydrophobic interactions between the tetrahydroisoquinoline-7-carboxamide group of compound 13 and the KOR (docking calculation). Hydrogen bonds are indicated with green, dashed lines. The origin of hydrophobic interactions are indicated by the direction of red line segments around the receptor residues and ligand atoms. The HIS291 nitrogen-tocarboxamide nitrogen distance (d1) is $3.89 \AA$ and the LYS227 carbonyl oxygen-to-carboxamide nitrogen distance (d2) is $2.83 \AA$.

Table 3. Calculated Physiochemical Properties

| compd | TPSA $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | cLogP | $\operatorname{LogBB}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JDTic | 84.83 | 3.60 | -0.57 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 64.60 | 3.89 | -0.23 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 64.60 | 4.15 | -0.19 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 107.69 | 3.10 | -0.98 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 130.55 | 2.64 | -1.39 |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 107.69 | 2.45 | -1.02 |

prolongation and cardiotoxic effects. Compounds 13, 14, and 37 have $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of $>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 4). Compounds 4 and 5 have $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of 7.05 and $6.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$, similar to the $8.82 \mu \mathrm{M}$ value for JDTic (Table 4). Compounds that have $>5 \%$ permeability in the MDCK assay, $>50 \%$ stability in the plasma and S9 stability assay, $>25 \%$ transported in the PAMPA assay, and $>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solubility are considered desirable. All of the JDTic analogues except
previously reported 37 have $>5 \%$ permeability in the MDCK assay. Compounds 4, 13, and 14, with 27,17 , and $14 \%$, were more permeable than JDTic, which had $11 \%$ permeability (Table 4). All of the compounds showed $>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solubility at pH 3 , and all compounds were more soluble than JDTic, which was considered to be highly soluble. Compounds 13, 14, and 37 all also had $>20 \%$ solubility at pH 7.4 . Compounds 4 and 5 had values of 11 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, which are similar to the $11 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for JDTic. JDTic and the synthesized analogues showed good stability in both the plasma and S9 stability assays. JDTic, 4, 13, and 14 had $>25 \%$ transported at both pH 5.5 and 7.4 in the PAMPA assay. On the basis of the MDCK, solubility, plasma and S9 stability, and PAMPA results combined with the calculated TPSA, clogP, and $\log B B$ data, 4 appears to have the best overall profile. However, the $K_{\mathrm{i}}=7.05 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in the hERG could be of concern. Compounds 13 and 14 show very favorable MDCK, solubility, plasma and S9 stability, and PAMPA results as well as $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of $>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for binding in the hERG assay. However, the calculated TPSA and $\log B B$ suggest that brain penetration could be a concern.

Previously reported 37 was evaluated for its ability to block $\kappa$ agonist U50,488-induced diuresis at $3-30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ig and $1-30$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ip in rats. ${ }^{48}$ Compound 37 blocked the U50,488-induced diuresis at 24 h and 8 days at 1,10 , and $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 15$ days at 10 and $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, and 22 and 29 days at $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ following ip administration. Compound 37 was ineffective in blocking the U50,488-induced diuresis when given ig. Because $\kappa$ opioid receptor agonist-induced diuresis is mediated by the central nervous system, ${ }^{49-51}$ the high potency of 37 in this assay after ip administration suggest that the high TPSA valve (107.69) and $\log \mathrm{BB}(-1.02)$ are misleading for this JDTic analogue having an aromatic carboxamido substituent. Similarly, the high TPSA and $\log B B$ values for 13 and 14 , both of which have aromatic carboxamido substituents, could also be misleading. The lack of efficacy after oral (ig) administration of 37 could be due to its poor PAMPA values ( 1.2 and $3.2 \%$ at pH 7.4 and 5.5 , respectively) and its poor MDCK value (2\%). Compounds 13 and $\mathbf{1 4}$ have very satisfactory PAMPA and MDCK values.

## CONCLUSION

In summary, these studies provide the unexpected finding that replacement of the 3 -hydroxyl substituent of the 4-(3hydroxphenol) group of JDTic with either a hydrogen, fluoro, or chloro group leads to $\kappa$ opioid receptor antagonists that are as highly potent and selective as JDTic. This finding is in contrast to what would have been predicted based on structure-activity relationship studies of other N -substituted 3,4-dimethyl-4-(3hydroxyphenyl)piperidines such as 40 (LY255582), ${ }^{42}$ as well as much of the SAR studies reported for opioid ligands in general.

The high $\kappa$ opioid receptor potency and selectivity relative to the $\mu$ and $\delta$ opioid receptors of 4,5 , and 14 combined with their favorable hERG, MDCK, PAMPA, solubility, and plasma and S9 stability in vitro preclinical studies and calculated TPSA, clogP, and $\log B B$ values suggest that the compounds should be considered for further development as potential drugs for treating depression, anxiety, schizophrenia, and addiction (cocaine, nicotine, methamphetamine, alcohol, and eating disorders).

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Melting points were determined using a MEL-TEMP II capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectra were obtained on a Varian

Table 4. In Vitro ADME Data

| compd | hERG ( $\left.K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ | $\mathrm{MDCK}^{a}(\%)$ | solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |  | plasma stability (\% of parent) | S9 stability (\% of parent) | PAMPA $^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | pH 7.4 | pH 3 |  |  | pH 7.4 | pH 5.5 |
| JDTic | 8.820 | 11 | 11 | 34 | 97 | 76 | 26.8 | 57.9 |
| 4 | 7.05 | 27 | 11 | 42 | 67.0 | 82.0 | 91.4 | 67.3 |
| 5 | 6.25 | 6 | 10 | 47 | 49.6 | 77.5 | 19.6 | 3 |
| 13 | $>10$ | 17 | 38 | 77 | 84.7 | 91.5 | 28.3 | 39.1 |
| 14 | $>10$ | 14 | 81 | 100 | 55.3 | 90.9 | 32.2 | 36.4 |
| 37 | >10 | 2 | 54 | 36 | 85 | 82 | 1.2 | 3.2 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Percent transported from the optical to basal side. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Avance DPX-500 MHz NMR spectrometer or a Bruker Unity Inova 300 MHz NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) with reference to internal solvent. Mass spectra (MS) were conducted on a PerkinElmer Sciex AP1 150 EX mass spectrometer equipped with ESI (turbospray) source. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab Inc., Atlanta, GA. The purity of the compounds (>95\%) was established by elemental analysis. Optical rotations were measured on an AutoPol III polarimeter, purchased from Rudolf Research. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out using EMD silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ TLC plates. TLC visualization was achieved with a UV lamp or in an iodine chamber. Flash column chromatography was done on a CombiFlash Companion system using ISCO prepacked silica gel columns or using EM Science silica gel 60A (230-400 mesh). Solvent system: CMA80 80:18:2 $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ :concd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. Unless otherwise stated, reagent-grade chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and were used without further purification. All moisture- and air-sensitive reactions and reagent transfers were carried out under dry nitrogen.
(3R)-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-3,4-Dimethyl-4-phenylpiperidin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroiso-quinoline-3-carboxamide (4) Dihydrochloride. The amine 20 ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic ( $161 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(280 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 12 h . The concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a step gradient up to 50\% CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to afford the Boc-protected intermediate. This Boc-protected compound was stirred in TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 12 h , concentrated, and the residue subjected to chromatography on silica gel ( 12 g ) using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent to afford the free base of $4 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.08-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.95-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-3.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.64-0.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.3,154.9,136.6,130.2,128.1$, 125.7, 125.7, 125.4, 125.2, 114.2, 112.3, 59.6, 57.1, 55.5, 51.2, 50.6, 47.9, 38.8, 38.4, 30.9, 30.7, 30.4, 27.7, 19.1, 17.8, 16.3. MS (ESI) $m / z 450.7$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to $67.7 \mathrm{mg}(24 \%)$ of the dihydrochloride salt (over two steps) as a white powder: mp 195-199 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+101\left(c 0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.
(3R)-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Fluorophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpi-peridin-1-yl] methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetra-hydroisoquinoline-3-carboxamide (5) Dihydrochloride. The amine 30 ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was combined with Boc-7-hydroxy-DTic ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HBTU ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ before $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of EtOAc in hexanes to afford an oil ( 225 mg ) which was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred 12 h . The concentrated residue was dissolved in dilute $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using EtOAc then a gradient of CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent to afford the free base of $5 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.17-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$
$(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.54-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-2.01$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0$, $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $173.2,163.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=244 \mathrm{~Hz}), 155.1,153.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 136.7,130.1$, $129.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.2,121.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 114.2,112.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 21.8 Hz ), 112.3, $112.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 59.5,57.1,55.4,51.1,50.8,47.9$, 38.8, 30.8, 30.5, 30.4, 27.5, 19.2, 17.7, 16.2. MS (ESI) $m / z 468.1$ (M + $\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to the dihydrochloride salt ( 100 mg , $34 \%$ over two steps) as a white powder. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 282 MHz, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta-112.97 ; \mathrm{mp} 219-223{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fusion); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+174$ (c 0.4, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(3R)-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Chlorophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpi-peridin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetra-hydroisoquinoline-3-carboxamide (6) Dihydrochloride. To a solution of $31(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic ( 59 mg , $0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{HOBt}(3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(115 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 12 h then was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated. The residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to afford the $76 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%)$ of the Boc-protected intermediate as a white solid. The intermediate was dissolved in acetonitrile $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with HCl in dioxane $(4.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.33 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction stirred for 12 h then was concentrated. The resulting residue was subjected to chromatography on silica using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as the eluent to afford $35 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%)$ of the free base 6 as white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.13-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.04-4.43 (m, 3H), $3.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.02 (br s, 2H), $2.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-$ $1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.64-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 175.3,162.1,155.3,139.7,135.1,133.6,131.4,130.6$, 128.9, 125.7, 120.9, 117.6, 66.3, 61.4, 58.8, 56.9, 55.0, 49.4, 42.8, 42.3, 36.0, 33.8, 32.4, 30.8, 23.7, 22.2, 19.6. MS (ESI) $m / z 484.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The product was converted to the dihydrochloride salt: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+92.0^{\circ}$ (c $0.52, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
(3R)-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Bromophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpi-peridin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetra-hydroisoquinoline-3-carboxamide (7) Dihydrochloride. To a solution of $32(94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Boc-7-hydroxy-D-Tic ( 89 mg , $0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added EDC $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{HOBt}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triethylamine ( $173 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.24$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 12 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to a residue which was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as the eluent to afford $79 \mathrm{mg}(46 \%)$ of the Boc-protected intermediate as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.13-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90$ (dd, $J=5.8,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.52$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.3,155.7,152.9,134.0$,
129.7, 129.3, 128.8, 128.4, 124.4, 122.5, 114.8, 112.9, 81.6, 59.9, 56.1, $51.3,50.0,44.8,38.8,38.7,30.5,29.8,28.4,27.4,19.2,16.9,16.0$. MS (ESI) $m / z 628.6(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The intermediate was dissolved in acetonitrile $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with HCl in dioxane $(4.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 12 h . Concentration of the reaction mixture afforded a white solid which was chromatographed on silica using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to afford $56 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of 7 free base as white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.14-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-4.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$ (dd, $J=4.7,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2$, $16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43$ (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.70-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.1,154.5,152.5,136.8$, 130.2, 129.7, 128.9, 128.5, 125.6, 124.4, 122.6, 114.0, 112.2, 59.4, 57.0, $55.2,51.1,50.6,47.9,38.7,38.6,30.6,30.3,27.5,19.2,17.8,16.2$. MS (ESI) $m / z 528.6(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to the dihydrochloride salt: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+98.0$ (c 0.61, MeOH). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{BrCl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(3R)-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Aminophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpi-peridin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetra-hydroisoquinoline-3-carboxamide (8) Trihydrochloride. To a solution $26(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HOBt}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and EDC $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(191 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by the addition of diisopropylethylamine $(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h then washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted once with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent. The product containing fractions were combined and concentrated to afford 174 mg ( $72 \%$ ) of the Bocprotected intermediate. The intermediate was then dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to which aq $\mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{~N}, 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting solution was stirred 12 h then concentrated. The resulting residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent to afford 8 free base. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.99-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41-6.72$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.6,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.16-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.1,154.9,151.3,145.8,136.4,130.0$, $128.8,124.9,116.4,114.2,112.9,112.4,112.2,59.4,56.8,55.3,51.2$, $50.7,47.6,38.7,38.2,30.7,30.5,30.1,27.3,19.0,17.7,16.2$. The free base was converted to the trihydrochloride salt, affording $132.4 \mathrm{mg}(50 \%$ over two steps) of a white powder. MS (ESI) $m / z 465.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, mp 241$243{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fusion), $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+98.7\left(c \quad 0.38, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(3R)- $N$-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-3,4-dimethylpi-peridin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-7-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroiso-quinoline-3-carboxamide (9) Dihydrochloride. The amine 21 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Boc-d-Tic ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( 208 mg , $0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(280 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(11$ mL ) for 12 h . The concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a step gradient up to 50\% CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent to afford the Boc-protected intermediate. This Boc-protected compound was stirred in TFA $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 12 h , concentrated, and the residue subjected to chromatography on silica gel ( 12 g ) using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent to afford the free base of $9 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.96-$ $7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.9$, $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.0,16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-$ $2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.3,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.9,155.9$, 152.1, 135.8, 134.4, 129.3, 129.1, 126.5, 126.1, 125.5, 117.7, 113.1, 112.4, $59.6,56.7,55.1,51.1,50.6,47.8,38.9,38.5,31.1,30.6,27.5,19.2,17.8$,
16.2. MS (ESI) $m / z 450.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to the dihydrochloride salt ( $50.3 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ over two steps) as a white powder: mp 197-200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fusion), $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+108^{\circ}\left(c 0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(3R)-7-Fluoro- $N$-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-3,4-dimethylpiperidine-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1,2,3,4-tet-rahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxamide (10) Dihydrochloride. Amine 21 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acid 7-fluoro-Boc-D-Tic (162 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(191 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ then $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 12 h , the concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $60 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent. The product containing fractions were concentrated then treated with $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aq $\mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{~N}, 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 1 h , the concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $75 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent to afford $\mathbf{1 0}$ free base. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.06-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{tt}, J=4.7,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-$ $2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.66$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.9,161.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $245 \mathrm{~Hz}), 156.4,151.9,137.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.7$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.1,117.3,113.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.1,112.6,112.1$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 59.6,56.7,55.1,51.3,50.6,47.6,38.8,38.4,30.8,30.7$, 30.3, 27.5, 19.2, 17.8, 16.3. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-116.49$. MS (ESI) $m / z 468.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to the dihydrochloride salt ( $90.7 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ over two steps) as a white powder: $\mathrm{mp} 202-206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fusion); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+93$ (c $0.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(3R)-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-3,4-Dimethyl-4-phenylpiperidin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3carboxamide (11) Dihydrochloride. The amine 20 ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol ), Boc-d-Tic ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(280 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 12 h . The concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a step gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent to afford the Boc-protected intermediate. This Boc-protected compound was stirred in TFA $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 12 h , concentrated, and the residue subjected to chromatography on silica gel $(12 \mathrm{~g})$ using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent to afford the free base of 11 . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.20-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.96-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9$, $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.9$, 135.9, 134.4, 129.3, 128.2, 126.4, 126.1, 125.6, 125.5, 59.2, 56.8, 55.1, 51.2, 50.3. 47.9, 38.6, 38.3, 31.2, 30.7, 27.5, 19.2, 17.9, 16.1. MS (ESI) m/ $z 434.5(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to the dihydrochloride salt ( $21.9 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ over two steps) as a white powder: $\mathrm{mp} 162-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fusion), $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{D}=+96^{\circ}\left(c 0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.
(3R)-7-Fluoro- $N$-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Fluorophenyl)-3,4-di-methylpiperidin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahy-droisoquinoline-3-carboxamide (12) Dihydrochloride. Amine 30 $(24.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acid 7-fluoro-Boc-d-Tic ( $55.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with EDC•HCl $(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ then $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 12 h , the concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $50 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The product containing fractions were concentrated then treated with $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aq $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 1 h , the concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes with $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (prepared by adding $1 \%$ concd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ by volume as the eluent and drying over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) as the eluent to afford 12 free base. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{td}, J=2.1,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{tt}, J=2.8,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.73 (dd, $J=2.6,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,10.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.54$
$(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.5,163.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=244.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 161.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 153.3$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 137.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.2)$, $112.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 112.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 112.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 59.5, 56.7, 55.2, 51.2, 50.6, 47.7, 38.7, 38.7, 30.6, 30.5, 30.4, 27.4, 19.2, 17.8, 16.2. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-113.5,-116.6 . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI) $m / z 470.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to the dihydrochloride salt ( $21.9 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ over two steps) as a white powder: mp $152-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fusion), $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+115$ (cc.10, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(3R)- $N^{3}-[(1 S)-1-\{[(3 R, 4 R)-4-(3-H y d r o x y p h e n y l)-3,4-d i m e t h y l-$ piperidin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroiso-quinoline-3,7-dicarboxamide (13) Dihydrochloride. The amine $21(153 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the acid $36(170 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.53 mmol ), HBTU ( $209 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.7$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 12 h , the residue obtained on concentration was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $70 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent. The product containing fractions were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA ( 5 mL ) and stirred 12 h . The residue obtained on concentration was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent. The product containing fractions were concentrated and subjected to chromatography on C18-reverse phase using a gradient from 40 to $60 \%$ aq $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA. The product containing fractions were concentrated and again subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to afford 13 free base. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-$ $2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-$ $0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 172.4, 170.0, 156.5, 152.1, 138.8, 136.2, 130.8, 129.7, 129.3, 125.4, 125.1, $117.6,113.2,112.7,60.6,55.6,54.9,51.9,50.9,46.2,38.8,38.5,31.1$, 30.7,30.2, 27.3, 19.6, 18.1, 16.1. MS (ESI) $m / z 493.7(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to 41.9 mg of the dihydrochloride salt (13\%) as a white powder: mp $195-200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fusion), $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+103^{\circ}$ (c 1.00, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(3R)- $N^{3}-[(1 S)-1-\{[(3 R, 4 R)-4-(3-C a r b a m o y l p h e n y l)-3,4$-dime-thylpiperidin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahy-droisoquinoline-3,7-dicarboxamide (14) Dihydrochloride. The amine 3 - $\{(3 R, 4 R)$-1-[(2S)-2-amino-3-methylbutyl $]$-3,4-dimethylpiper-idin-4-yl\}benzamide ${ }^{33}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the acid $36(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{HBTU}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ $(30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 12 h , the concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $70 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent. The product containing fractions were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred 12 h . The concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to afford 14 free base. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.59,14.69 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.90-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.99$ (br s, 3H), $3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.83 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-$ $3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.12-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.97,12.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.06$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.2,172.1,170.2,169.4$, 151.1, 138.8, 136.2, 133.2, 131.1, 129.4, 128.3, 125.1, 125.0, 124.7, 124.1, 59.9, 55.9, 55.0, 51.3, 50.8, 46.9, 38.7, 38.5, 30.7, 30.7, 30.6, 27.4, 19.2, 17.9, 16.2. MS (ESI) $m / z 521.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to $20.4 \mathrm{mg}(39 \%)$ of the dihydrochloride salt as a pale-yellow powder: mp 210-215 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fusion), $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+101^{\circ}$ (c 0.50, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 3.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(3R)- $N^{3}$-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-3,4-Dimethyl-4-phenylpiperidin-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3,7-dicarboxamide (15) Dihydrochloride. To a solution of 20 (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $36(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{HOBt}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed
by the addition of diisopropylethylamine $(0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting cloudy solution remained cloudy upon the addition of NMP $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 12 h , the mixture was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :THF $(2: 1,20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $40 \%$ CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent. The product containing fractions were combined and concentrated to afford 134 mg of the Boc-protected intermediate. The intermediate was then dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to which aq $\mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{~N}, 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting solution was stirred 1 h and concentrated. The resulting residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to 75\% CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to afford 15 free base. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38-$ $7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 5.93-6.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-4.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.62-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.08-2.29 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.99 (m, 2H), $1.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.13-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.74-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.2,169.3,150.1,138.8,136.2,131.1$, 129.4, 128.0, 125.4, 125.3, 125.0, 59.5, 56.3, 55.2, 51.3, 50.6, 47.4, 38.6, 38.4, 31.0, 30.5, 27.5, 19.1, 17.7, 16.3. MS (ESI) $m / z 477.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The free base was converted to the dihydrochloride salt, which was sonicated in EtOAc. The solvent was decanted and the solids dried under nitrogen to afford 43 mg ( $24 \%$ over two steps) as a white powder: mp 218-222 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fusion), $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+105$ (c 0.195, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 2.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[(3R,4R)-3,4-Dimethyl-1-(trifluoromethane)-sulfonylpiperidin-4-yl]phenyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (17). The title compound was prepared by the addition of trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride $(3.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ to $3-[(3 R, 4 R)-3-4-$ dimethylpiperidin-4-yl]phenol (16) ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diisopropylethylamine $(5.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature, quenched with a brine wash, and concentrated. The resulting residue was dissolved in diethyl ether. The ether layer was washed with 1 M HCl , aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, then brine. After drying $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentration afforded 17 in quantitative yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.54-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.06-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.
(3R,4R)-3,4-Dimethyl-4-phenyl-1-(trifluoromethane)sulfonylpiperidine (18). A solution of the triflate $17(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{NBu}_{3}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, and formic acid $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 11 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , then concentrated and purified by rapid elution of the product through silica gel using 20\% EtOAc in hexanes as eluent to afford $1.43 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of $18 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.1,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.08-2.22 (m, 1H), 1.68-1.79 (m, 1H), $1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.
(3R,4R)-3,4-Dimethyl-4-phenylpiperidine (19). A sample of triflamide $18(520 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(320 \mathrm{mg}, 8.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and heated with a microwave to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 10 min . The cooled solution was diluted with ether, chilled in an ice bath, and quenched with the sequential addition of water $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}), 15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$, then water $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting suspension was filtered through Celite and concentrated to afford 226 mg of an oil. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR suggested 19 contained about $15 \%$ unreacted starting material 18. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.08-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3$, $13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. The material was used without further purification.
(2S)-1-[(3R,4R)-3,4-Dimethyl-4phenylpiperidin-1-y1]-3-methylbutan-2-amine (20). The amine (19) ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was combined with Boc-L-valinal ( $355 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in trifluoroethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{BH}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF). After 1 h ,
the solution was concentrated and subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent to afford 367 mg ( $61 \%$ over two steps from 18) of the Boc-protected product. The Boc-protected compound was stirred in 1:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :TFA overnight. The concentrated residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel eluting with a gradient of CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to afford 20 in quantitative yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.23-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-$ $1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.69-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.

2-[(1S)-1-\{[3R,4R)-4-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-3,4-dimethylpiperi-din-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (22). A solution of 3-\{(3R,4R)-1-[(2S)-2-amino-3-methylbutyl]-3,4-dimethylpiperidin-4-yl\}phenol $(\mathbf{2 1})(6.84 \mathrm{~g}, 23.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(230$ mL ) was refluxed with phthalic anhydride ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 24 h . The cooled solution was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ then concentrated. The residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of CMA80 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent to afford $5.80 \mathrm{~g}(58 \%)$ of $22 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(5, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESl}) \mathrm{m} / z 421.7$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. This material was used without further purification.

3-\{(3R,4R)-1-[(2S)-2-(1,3-Dioxo-1,3-dihydro-2H-isoindol-2-yl)-3-methylbutyl]-3,4-dimethylpiperidin-4yl\}phenyl Trifuoromethanesulfonate (23). The phthalimide-protected 22 ( 5.80 g , 13.8 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing triethylamine ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and N -phenyl-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) $(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 12 h , the solution was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient $0-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes as the eluent to afford $4.81 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%)$ of triflate $23 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.7$, $12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.

2-[(1S-1-(\{(3R,4R)-4-[3-(Benzylamino)phenyl]-3,4-dimethyl-piperidin-1-yl\}methyl-2-methylpropyl]-1 H -isoindole-1,3(2H)dione (24). The triflate $23(1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, benzylamine ( 0.46 mL , 4.2 mmol ), potassium tert-butoxide $(550 \mathrm{mg}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.7$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and (2-biphenyl)di-tert-butylphosphine ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were combined and degassed in toluene $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture formed a gel which was dissolved with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent to afford $352 \mathrm{mg}(24 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 4} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.75 (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.64 (dd, $J=3.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35-6.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.70$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.5,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.

2-[(1S-1-(\{(3R,4R)-4-(3-(Aminophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpiperi-din-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (25). The benzyl aniline $24(353 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in EtOH $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ with $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$ and shaken under hydrogen ( 45 psi ) overnight. The filtered concentrate was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes as the eluent to recover 202 mg of starting material, followed by $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes with $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ as the eluent to afford $55 \mathrm{mg}(19 \%)$ of the desired aniline 25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.39-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06$ (ddd, $J=4.8,9.8,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{t}, J=12.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.75-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=67.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.

3-\{(3R,4R)-1-[(2S)-2-Amino-3-methylbutyl]-3,4-dimethylpi-peridin-4-yl\}aniline (26). A solution of $25(1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{M}, 6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at reflux for 18 h . The mixture was concentrated then partitioned between aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and EtOAc. The aqueous layer was adjusted to pH 10 . The organic layer was separated, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The resulting residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel with $50 \%$ EtOAc in CMA80 as the eluent $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.5\right)$ to afford $0.41 \mathrm{~g}(44 \%)$ of 26 as a light-orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $\operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.51 (ddd, $J=0.9,2.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-$ $2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.6,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) m / z 290.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. This material was used without further purification.

2-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Fluorophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpiperi-din-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (27). The aniline $25(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1 $\mathrm{mL})$ and treated with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(32 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ then isoamyl nitrite $(26 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring 15 min , the solution was cooled and diethyl ether was added. The resulting crystalline solids were collected by filtration, dried, and heated neat. The resulting residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel eluting with EtOAc as the eluent to afford $36 \mathrm{mg}(66 \%)$ of $27 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.79-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.68-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{t}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.18-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77-0.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.52-0.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.

2-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Chlorophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpiperi-din-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (28). A solution of $25(340 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ in aq $\mathrm{HCl}(37 \%, 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 10 min . A solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(63 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the reaction which then was stirred for 1 h . A cold solution of copper(I) chloride ( 92 mg , $0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min and allowed to warm to rt then was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The resulting suspension was poured in to a mixture of concd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ice $(6 \mathrm{~g})$. The resulting solution with was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to a residue, which was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes as the eluent to afford $100 \mathrm{mg}(28 \%)$ of 28 as an oil that solidified to a white solid upon standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77$ (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}, J=12.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.76-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.1,152.8,134.0,133.6,132.0,129.2,125.8,125.3,123.7$, 122.8, 57.2, 55.0, 54.1, 51.4, 38.6, 38.5, 30.4, 29.9, 27.2, 20.4, 20.3, 15.4. MS (ESI) $m / z 439.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. This material was used withut further purification.

2-[(1S)-1-\{[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Bromophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpiperi-din-1-yl]methyl\}-2-methylpropyl]-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (29). A solution of $25(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ in aq $\mathrm{HBr}(48 \%, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 1 mL ) was cooled to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 10 min . A solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, and the reaction mixture stirred for 2 h . Urea was added to the reaction mixture to consume any excess nitrous acid. Copper(I) bromide (103 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$, aq $\mathrm{HBr}(48 \%, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added consecutively to the reaction mixture. After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The resulting suspension was poured in to a mixture of concd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ice $(6 \mathrm{~g})$. The resulting solution was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to a residue, which was subjected to chromatography on silica gel using a gradient up to $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent to afford 110 mg (38\%) of 29 as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77($ br s, 2 H$), 7.65$
(dd, $J=2.9,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-$ $4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.28(\mathrm{~d}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.1,153.1,133.6,132.0$, 129.6, 128.7, 128.3, 128.0, 125.4, 125.1, 124.2, 122.8, 122.5, 57.2, 55.0, $54.1,51.4,38.7,38.4,30.3,29.9,27.3,20.4,20.3,15.5$. This material was used without further purification.
(2S)-1-[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Fluorophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpiperidin-1-yl]-3-methylbutan-2-amine (30). A solution of $27(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085$ mmol ) was heated at reflux in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hydrazine $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ overnight. The concentrated residue was dissolved in EtOAc then washed with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to afford $24 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$ of the amine 30. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.12-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=1.9,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $1.83-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.74-0.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.60-0.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.
(2S)-1-[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Chlorophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpiperidin-1-yl]-3-methylbutan-2-amine (31). To a solution of 28 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added hydrazine monohydrate $(115 \mathrm{mg}$, $2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux under nitrogen for 12 h and then concentrated to obtain a white solid that was dissolved in aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to afford $56 \mathrm{mg}(79 \%)$ of 31 as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 6.94-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 151.7, 133.1, 128.3, 124.9, 124.5, 122.8, 61.7, 53.5, 51.9, 51.0, 37.9, 37.6, 31.1, 29.6, 26.4, 18.3, 17.2, 15.2. MS (ESI) $m / z 309.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. This material was used without further purification.
(2S)-1-[(3R,4R)-4-(3-Bromophenyl)-3,4-dimethylpiperidin-1-yl]-3-methylbutan-2-amine (32). To a solution of $29(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added hydrazine monohydrate $(115 \mathrm{mg}$, $2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux under nitrogen for 12 h and then concentrated to obtain a white solid that was dissolved in aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to obtain $94 \mathrm{mg}(99 \%)$ of 32 as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-$ $2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.56-0.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.1,128.7,127.8,127.4,127.1,124.5$, 124.3, 123.2, 121.6, 61.8, 53.5, 51.9, 51.0, 37.9, 37.6, 31.2, 29.6, 26.4, 18.3, 17.2, 15.3. MS (ESI) $m / z 353.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. This material was used without further purification.

Methyl (3R)-2-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-7-cyano-1,2,3,4-tetra-hydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylate (35). A solution of Boc-7-hydroxy-d-Tic $(1.47 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(10$ mL ) was treated with a solution of $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$ in ether $(2.0 \mathrm{M}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ until a slight yellow persisted. The excess reagent was quenched with acetic acid then the solution was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and treated with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and concentrated to a residue and subjected to a plug of silica gel, eluting with $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes as the eluent. The fractions containing product were concentrated and dissolved in DMF ( 6 mL ) with $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was degassed and kept under nitrogen as $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , cooled, then partitioned between EtOAc and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and subjected to chromatography on silica gel using 20\% EtOAc in hexanes to afford 1.37 g of 35 ( $86 \%$ over 3 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.31$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=19 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $9 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.
(3R)-2-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-7-carbamoyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahy-droisoquinoline-3-carboxylic Acid (36). A sample of 35 ( 320 mg , $1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dioxane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ then treated with aq $\mathrm{LiOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ overnight. The resulting solution was cooled in an ice bath and treated cautiously with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%, 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. After warming, the reaction mixture was acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{M})$ and diluted with water. The resulting solids were separated by filtration and dried to afford 230 mg of $36(72 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $12.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. This material was used without further purification.
hERG Assay. Preparations of membranes overexpressing human hERG were purchased from PerkinElmer. The binding assays were performed for 60 min using $4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ hERG expressing membranes, $\sim 3 \mathrm{nM}$ $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ Astemizole, and various concentrations of the test agent in a binding buffer ( 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, $130 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.8$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ NaEDTA, 10 mM glucose, $0.1 \% \mathrm{BSA}$ ). Binding was terminated by rapid filtration onto GF/B fiber filtermats, presoaked in $0.3 \%$ polyethylenimine, followed by rapid washing 6 times ( 2 mL ) with ice-cold solution containing 25 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4,130 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.05 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2$, and $0.1 \%$ BSA using a Brandel harvester. Filters were dried and counted after addition of a scintillant. Data were analyzed using nonlinear regression (GraphPad Prism), and $K_{i}$ values were determined as described before. ${ }^{52}$ All experiments were performed at least twice in duplicate, and data reported are mean values.

Solubility Determination. For these experiments, 10 mM DMSO stocks of compounds were directly diluted into 10 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 or 3 and shaken for 90 min at room temperature. The final concentration of DMSO was $1 \%$. After the incubation, samples were filtered through a $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filterplate (Millipore). Filtrates were carefully collected. Analysis of compounds was performed by LC/MS using previously available methods and concentrations determined. Data are reported as mean values from three determinations.

PAMPA Assay. A commercially available PAMPA assay system was used (BD Gentest Precoated PAMPA System). Assays were performed in duplicate at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration at pH 7.4 and 5.5 as has been described previously in PBS buffer. ${ }^{38}$ The donor plate was on top and receiver plate on the bottom. Samples were incubated for 4 h and then collected carefully from each plate. Quantification was performed using LC/MS.

Docking Studies and Calculation. The ligand preparation, receptor preparation, and docking calculations were conducted under our previously reported methods. ${ }^{34}$ The two-dimensional interaction diagrams were generated using LigPlot+. ${ }^{53}$
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