14-3-3 σ Expression Effects G2/M Response to Oxygen and Correlates with Ovarian Cancer Metastasis

Dashnamoorthy Ravi^{1,2}, Yidong Chen^{1,3}, Bijal Karia^{1,2}, Adam Brown^{1,2}, Ting Ting Gu¹, Jie Li⁴, Mark S. Carey^{4,5}, Bryan T. Hennessy^{4,6}, Alexander J. R. Bishop^{1,2}*

1 Greehey Children's Cancer Research Institute, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas, United States of America, 2 Department of Cellular and Structural Biology, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas, United States of America, 3 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas, United States of America, 4 Department of Gynecologic Medical Oncology, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, United States of America, 5 Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, 6 Department of Medical Oncology, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

Abstract

Background: In vitro cell culture experiments with primary cells have reported that cell proliferation is retarded in the presence of ambient compared to physiological O_2 levels. Cancer is primarily a disease of aberrant cell proliferation, therefore, studying cancer cells grown under ambient O_2 may be undesirable. To understand better the impact of O_2 on the propagation of cancer cells *in vitro*, we compared the growth potential of a panel of ovarian cancer cell lines under ambient (21%) or physiological (3%) O_2 .

Principal Findings: Our observations demonstrate that similar to primary cells, many cancer cells maintain an inherent sensitivity to O_2 , but some display insensitivity to changes in O_2 concentration. Further analysis revealed an association between defective G2/M cell cycle transition regulation and O_2 insensitivity resultant from overexpression of 14-3-3 σ . Targeting 14-3-3 σ overexpression with RNAi restored O_2 sensitivity in these cell lines. Additionally, we found that metastatic ovarian tumors frequently overexpress 14-3-3 σ , which in conjunction with phosphorylated RB, results in poor prognosis.

Conclusions: Cancer cells show differential proliferative sensitivity to changes in O_2 concentration. Although a direct link between O_2 insensitivity and metastasis was not determined, this investigation showed that an O_2 insensitive phenotype in cancer cells to correlate with metastatic tumor progression.

Citation: Ravi D, Chen Y, Karia B, Brown A, Gu TT, et al. (2011) 14-3-3 σ Expression Effects G2/M Response to Oxygen and Correlates with Ovarian Cancer Metastasis. PLoS ONE 6(1): e15864. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015864

Editor: Janine Santos, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, United States of America

Received August 26, 2010; Accepted November 25, 2010; Published January 10, 2011

Copyright: © 2011 Ravi et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by the NIEHS (K22-ES12264) and a Voelcker Fund Young Investigator Award from the Max and Minnie Tomerlin Voelcker Fund to A.J.R. Bishop. Kleberg Center for Molecular Markers at the M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, The M.D. Anderson Cancer Center Physician Scientist Program, the McNair Scholars Program supported by the Robert and Janice McNair Foundation and an American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) cancer foundation Career Development Award (CDA), Cancer Foundation and by a Science Foundation Ireland (SFI)/Health Research Board (HRB (Ireland)) Translational Research Award (TRA), all to B.T. Hennessy. B. Karia is supported by DOD CDRMP Breast Cancer Research Program Predoctoral Traineeship Award (BC093931). A. Brown is supported by NIA T32 training grant (T32AG021890). Y. Chen is supported by NII/NCIR cancer center grant (P30 CA054174-17) and NII/NCRR CTSA grant (IUL1RR025767). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: bishopa@uthscsa.edu

Introduction

Cell lines derived from cancer patients provide an experimentally manipulable model system that facilitates investigations into cancer biology and its therapy. The unlimited proliferation potential of cancer cells is a major hallmark of malignancy, however the use of standard tissue culture protocols often restricts cell proliferation, as observed with primary cell lines [1,2,3,4]. Although the use of physiological conditions is known to impact *in vitro* proliferation of cancer cells [5,6,7] and primary cells are known to propagate better at physiological O₂, the impact of physiological O₂ on *in vitro* cancer cell proliferation is relatively unexplored. However, it has been reported that altered concentrations of O₂ results in clear differences in cell proliferation and response to drug treatment in the cancer cells [8,9,10]. Oxygen, in addition to nutrients and growth factors, is vital for proper cell growth and its availability has a direct impact on cellular metabolism, signaling pathways, proliferation, differentiation and survival [3,11,12,13]. Many *in vitro* investigations have demonstrated the advantages of physiological O_2 for tissue culture. For example, the biological behavior of primary cell cultures with a physiological concentration of O_2 (2.7–5.3%) is far superior compared to the standard practice of growing cells under atmospheric or "ambient" O_2 concentration (21% O_2) [4]. In fact, these two growth conditions are known to result in distinct metabolic and molecular characteristics [13].

The importance of considering O_2 tension in cancer biology is well established. For example, the fact that many cancers exist in a 'hypoxic' state has led to the development of hypoxia-targeted therapy [14,15]. In general the hypoxic concentration of O_2 is

PLOS one

<1% for most solid tumors, however the hypoxic concentration could vary based on the cell types and the normal perfusion status [16] and additionally, hypoxia tends to inhibit cell proliferation [17]. Physiological O_2 tension varies from 2.7–5.3% in the interstitial space [18], where many primary tumors reside, to 14.7% in the arterial circulation and lungs, where migrating and potentially metastatic cancer cells are often found. Therefore, cancer studies that are only conducted in ambient (21%) O₂ may miss pertinent biological observations. This may be particularly important when attempting to study the progression of cancer to metastatic disease, which is a significant event in cancer etiology and is associated with poor prognosis [19]. Considering the differences in O_2 tension in different compartments of the body, an understanding of the effect of O2 concentration on cancer cell proliferation could provide useful insights into the mechanisms involved in the pathological progression of cancer.

Cancer cells that have acquired mutations in either oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes display a characteristic uncontrolled proliferation phenotype [20]. For example, tumor suppressors such as p53 or RB act as "molecular gatekeepers" known to affect cell cycle progression. Mutation of such factors facilitates unlimited proliferation in cancer cells [20]. Cell cycle progression involves a sequential series of events catalyzed by cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) [21], and in normal cells is a tightly regulated process. The tumor suppressor p53 is a master regulator of G1/S and G2/M phase transition in the cell cycle [22] and is known to have an important role in responding to oxygen concentration, particularly hypoxia (<1% O₂) [23] or hyperoxia (95% O₂) [24]. Although examining the effect of extreme O_2 conditions is both important and revealing, it must be noted that these previous studies did not investigate the response of p53 at physiological (3%) O₂ and ambient (21%) O_2 . p21 and 14-3-3 σ are transcriptional targets of p53 that are involved in regulating G1/S and G2/M transitions of the cell cycle by targeting CDK2 and CDC2 (also known as CDK1), respectively [22,25]. The CDKs, in turn, regulate RB protein function, to mediate cell cycle progression through G1/S and G2/ M [26]. Therefore, disruption of RB function could also impact the control of cell cycle progression [26]. Considering that differences in O₂ concentration result in altered cell cycle progression in primary cells but cancer cells frequently display cell cycle control defects, there is clearly the potential that these defects may impact how cancer cells respond to altered O_2 levels in a manner that could have a profound influence on cancer progression.

Here we examined the biological behavior of ovarian cancer cells under physiological and ambient O_2 . Interestingly, some of the ovarian cancer cell lines had a normal response to O_2 concentration, (*i.e.* reduced cell proliferation with increased O_2 concentration) while the proliferation of other ovarian cancer cell lines was unaffected by this O_2 increase. Further, our investigations revealed that 14-3-3 σ and its role in the cell cycle influence the proliferative response to altered O_2 levels. Considering the variation in partial pressure of oxygen throughout the body and the potential importance that this context may have on cancer progression, it is crucial to understand the affect of O_2 concentration on cancer cell proliferation and cancer progression. We provide evidence that acquisition of O_2 insensitivity may be a component in cancer progression and a hallmark of successful metastatic disease.

Results

Physiological oxygen results in increased cell proliferation in ovarian cancer cells

Oxygen and Cancer Cell Cycle

ovarian cancer cells and observed that 12 days of cell culture under these conditions resulted in a 2.6 fold growth suppression under 21% O_2 (Figure 1). Therefore, we examined the affect of O_2 concentration on the growth potential of six ovarian cancer cell lines using physiological $(3\% O_2)$ and ambient $(21\% O_2)$ oxygen concentrations. Since the serum present in cell culture medium can also have a dominant influence on growth, we also tested the affect of various concentrations of serum. Regardless of the amount of serum present in the growth medium, culturing in 21% O₂ generally resulted in a significant decrease in cell proliferation for four of the ovarian cancer cell lines (A2780, OVCAR5, OVCAR8 and HOC8) compared to 3% O₂ (Figure 2). The only exception observed was with HOC8 cells in the presence of the highest concentration of serum (10% v/v), where an insignificant O_2 dependent growth effect was observed (Figure 2). Presumably the lack of response in Hoc8 results from a dominant influence of serum, which was not observed with A2780, OVCAR5 and OVCAR8. In contrast, there was no significant effect on the growth of SKOV3 and HeyA8 cell lines by increasing the O2 concentration to 21%, irrespective of serum concentrations (Figure 2). The observed exception was HeyA8 cultured under 2% serum, which showed decreased cell proliferation at 21% O₂ compared to 3% O₂ $(p \le 0.001)$. In contrast to the effect of O₂ levels, increasing the concentration of serum resulted in a proportional growth increase in the ovarian cancer cell lines A2780, OVCAR5 and OVCAR8 $(p \le 10^{-5})$, Figure 2). The concentration of serum had a moderate influence on growth in SKOV3 and HeyA8 (Figure 2); a serum concentration between 2 and 6% had a significant effect ($p < 10^{-5}$) in SKOV3, while HeyA8 serum concentration between 2 and 10% serum had the greatest effect at 3% O₂ ($p < 10^{-5}$) (Figure 2). Increasing serum concentration from 6% to 10% had little effect on growth of HeyA8, SKOV3 and HOC8 (Figure 2). Together, it appears that both oxygen levels and serum concentration affect the growth of these ovarian cancer cell lines, but in an independent fashion. As expected from work by others with primary cells [4], we observed that the majority of the ovarian cancer cells displayed decreased cell proliferation at ambient O₂ concentration compared to physiological O₂ concentration. However, two cell lines did not appear to have inhibited cell proliferation at the higher (ambient) O_2 levels. We therefore categorized the ovarian cancer cell lines based on these differences, being either O2 sensitive (A2780, OVCAR5, OVCAR8 and HOC8) or insensitive (SKOV3 and HeyA8) (Figure 2). Overall, these differences suggest heterogeneity in growth regulation responses to physiological cues of O_2 levels in these cultured cell lines.

It is possible that the apparent O_2 insensitivity and differences in proliferation resulted from differences in the doubling time of each cell line. For example, if SKOV3 and HeyA8 (the O_2 insensitive cell lines) proliferate more slowly, O_2 dependent proliferation changes may be too trivial to measure. Therefore, we measured the cell doubling time for all ovarian cancer cell lines. Our results showed that under standard tissue culture conditions (10% serum and 21% O_2) the doubling time for all ovarian cancer cell lines were somewhat similar (<24 hours) except for HOC8, which had an extended doubling time of about 45.5±4.9 hours (Table S1, and see Methods S1). Therefore, most of the ovarian cancer cell lines were dividing at an approximately equal rate, and gross difference in doubling time is unlikely to be a factor in the observed proliferation differences between cell lines under different conditions.

Oxygen sensitivity correlates with dynamic changes in the S and G2 phases of the cell cycle

In our initial studies we compared the effect of physiological (3% O_2) and ambient (21% O_2) oxygen concentration using A2780

Considering the differences in proliferation observed for ovarian cancer cell lines grown under either 3% or 21% O₂, we examined



- Physiological growth condition with 3% O₂

Figure 1. Cancer cell proliferation is markedly suppressed by the standard cell culture conditions used for *in vitro* experiments. Equal numbers of A2780 ovarian cancer cells were seeded in a 10 cm petri dish and were routinely maintained under 3% O₂ (physiological) or 21% O₂ (ambient). The increase in cell numbers was determined by counting manually once in three days, and the total cell numbers were estimated and plotted using linear scale (in Graph A) and log scale (in Graph B). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015864.g001

whether O_2 concentration alters the cell cycle profile of each cell line. Irrespective of serum concentration, comparing 3% O_2 to 21% O_2 resulted in a significant decrease in the percentage of cells that were in the G1 phase of the cell cycle and a significant increase in the percentage of cells in S phase (Table 1), which was expected based on previous observations made with primary cells [27]. Furthermore, in three of the O_2 sensitive cell lines (A2780, OVCAR5 and OVCAR8) the percentage of the cell population in the G2 phase was increased significantly in 21% O_2 . However a significant increase in G2 was not observed in the fourth O_2



Figure 2. Ovarian cancer cells grown under physiological and ambient O₂ show differential proliferation response. Ovarian cancer cell lines were cultured under 3% or 21% O₂ and the extent of proliferation was determined following 3 days of growth (see Materials and Methods section). For each cell line, the percent of cell proliferation at 3% O₂ (light shaded bars) and at different concentrations of serum was compared with proliferation under standard tissue culture conditions consisting of 21% (ambient) O₂ (dark shaded bars) and 10% FBS. The error bars represent the standard deviations of mean and statistical significant (by student T Test) differences in proliferation between 3% and 21% O₂ for each concentration of serum is indicated by an asterisk [(*) p<0.05, (**) p<0.001 and (***) p<0.001].

sensitive cell line, HOC8 (Table 1). Similar to HOC8, the O₂ insensitive cell lines, SKOV3 and HeyA8, did not display a significant alteration in the proportion of cells in the G2 phase of the cell cycle when grown under $3\% O_2$ or $21\% O_2$ (Table 1). Considering that the O₂ sensitive cell lines proliferated more slowly at 21% O₂ compared to 3% O₂ despite having smaller proportions of their cell population in G1 and a increased proportions in S and G2, we conclude that these cells must be progressing more slowly through the cell cycle. However, for the O₂ insensitive cell lines and HOC8 (with the significantly extended doubling time), we did not observe a significant increase in the percentage of cells in G2 when the O_2 levels were increased. These results suggest that although the G1 and S phases of the cell cycle are responding similarly to changes in O₂ concentration in both O₂ sensitive and insensitive cell lines, it is the G2 phase of the cell cycle that is not responsive to O2 concentration in the O2 insensitive cell lines. Therefore, the difference in cell cycle response observed with these ovarian cancer cell lines might be at the level of regulation during the cell cycle progression from G2 to M phase. It is also possible that the changes observed with G2 and O₂ sensitivity in these cancer cell lines is reflected in the mitotic component of the cell cycle. Our observation of the mitotic cells present in the O₂ sensitive and insensitive cell lines grown under 3% and 21% O₂ supports this conclusion; the O₂ sensitive cell lines show a proportionate decrease in the mitotic cell population observed at 21% O₂ compared to 3% O₂ (Figure 3), corresponding to an accumulation of cells at G2 at 21% O2 (Table 1). Similarly, in the O₂ insensitive cell lines (HeyA8 and SKOV3) the proportion of mitotic cells remained unaltered regardless of O₂ concentrations (Figure 3). This is expected because, as noted previously (Table 1), the proportion of cells at G2 in the O_2 insensitive cell lines were also unaffected by O₂ concentration. We conclude that most cancer cells retain an ability to regulate cell cycle in response to changes in O₂ concentration comparable to wild type cells [27]. However, some cancer cells may lose O₂ concentration dependent control of cell cycle (as in the O₂ insensitive cancer cell lines), resulting in a distinct phenotype.

Oxygen insensitivity correlates with altered G2/M components

Thus far we have demonstrated that O₂ sensitive cell cycle response at the G2/M transition is lacking in the O₂ insensitive cell lines. We therefore went on to characterize this observation further by determining what component of G2/M regulation is deficient in the O2-insensitive cancer cells. The major effector of G2/M transition is CDC2 [22,28]. CDC2 forms a complex with cyclin B [29,30], which phosphorylates various structural proteins resulting in the collapse of the nuclear envelope, condensation and segregation of chromosomes [30,31] and inactivation of other cell cycle regulatory proteins such as WEE1, RB and CDC25C [30,32]. In normal cells, the overall levels of CDC2 protein are kept constant throughout the cell cycle [33] and are regulated by posttranslational modification [33] and cellular localization [30,31]. Once the Tyr15 residue on CDC2 is dephosphorylated by CDC25C, activated CDC2 forms a complex with cyclin B, accumulates in the nucleus, and promotes the G2/M transition[30,33,34]. This occurs in a stepwise fashion through increasing amounts of nuclear CDC2 protein [30]. Our examination of total CDC2 protein and phosphorylated CDC2 protein revealed that both are considerably lower in the O₂-insensitive cell lines (HeyA8 and SKOV3) compared to the O_2 -sensitive cell lines (Figure 4A). Although the levels of CDC2 were relatively high in the O₂-sensitive cell lines (A2780, OVCAR5 and OVCAR8) (Figure 2), we observed a decrease in Tyr15 phosphorylation status regardless of O₂



Figure 3. Mitotic index in the ovarian cancer cell lines grown under 3% or 21% O₂. Mitotic index in the ovarian cancer cell lines that were cultured under 3% or 21% O₂ for 3 days were determined by counting nuclei with condensed chromosomes, among the minimum of 1000 cells present in each experiment. Statistical significance was determined by ANOVA and the significant difference in the mitotic index between 3% and 21% O₂ is denoted by an asterisk [(*) p < 0.05, (***) p < 0.0001].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015864.g003

concentration for A2780, OVCAR5 and OVCAR8 with increasing serum levels (Figure 4A). This correlates with the observation that increasing serum concentration causes increased cellular proliferation and results in a concomitant reduction in the proportion of cells in G2/M (compare with Table 1). However, no overt O₂-dependent alteration in either total or phosphorylated cyclin B or CDC25C was observed in the O_2 sensitive cell lines (A2780, OVCAR5, OVCAR8 and HOC8) compared to O2 insensitive cell lines (HeyA8 and SKOV3) (Figure 4A). Therefore, it appears that the observed decrease in the cell population in G2 in 21% O2 might not be dependent on phosphorylation-mediated inactivation of CDC2. It should be noted that these experiments were performed in asynchronously growing cells, and therefore it is possible that transient differences in CDC2 status were missed. Interestingly, the levels of CDC2, Cyclin B and CDC25c (the negative regulator of CDC2) were considerably lower in O₂ insensitive cell lines (HeyA8 and SKOV3) compared to O₂ sensitive cell lines (A2780, OVCAR5, OVCAR8 and HOC8) (Figure 4A). These observations suggest an inherent deficiency in the core components involved in the G2/M progression in the O₂ insensitive cell lines.

p53, p21 and 14-3-3 σ are factors which have the ability negatively to influence CDC2 activity and G2/M transition [22]. Current understanding is that p53 and p21 influence cell cycle in hypoxic and hyperoxic conditions [23,24,35,36]. Considering the reduced levels of CDC2 and the apparently defective G2/M checkpoint in the O₂ insensitive cell lines (HeyA8 and SKOV3), we explored the possibility that impairment was due to a defect in any of these molecular regulators. Western blot analysis found p53 and p21 to be overexpressed in one O_2 -insensitive cell line (HeyA8). However, both were absent in the other O₂-insensitive cell line (SKOV3), and the expression pattern for these proteins remained unaltered regardless of changes in O₂ or serum concentration (Figure S1), suggesting that neither p53 nor p21 is relevant to CDC2's function in O_2 sensitivity. Interestingly, we observed a considerable elevation in the expression of 14-3-3 σ (Figure 4A) in the O₂ insensitive cell lines (HeyA8 and SKOV3) compared to the O_2 -sensitive cell lines. Although, the level of 14-3-3 σ expression was considerably lower in all O2-sensitive cell lines compared to HeyA8 and SKOV3, we did observe an increase in the expression of 14-3-3 σ at 21% O₂ with A2780 (Figure 4A). Although

Table 1. FACS profile for cell cycle analysis with ovarian cancer cells that were grown under cell culture conditions consisting of increasing serum and O₂ concentration.

Cells	Serum	G1			S			G2		
		3% O ₂	21% O ₂	<i>p</i> -value	3% O ₂	21% O ₂	<i>p</i> -value	3% O ₂	21% O ₂	<i>p</i> -value
	2%	66.8±0.9	62.5±0.2	0.0011	25.6±1	27.5±0.1	0.02800	7.6±0.4	9.96±0.1	0.0007
A2780	6%	76.9±2.1	64.3±0.7	0.0006	17.5±0.9	29±0.1	< 0.0001	5.6±1.4	6.7±0.6	**NS**
	10%	84.1±3.2	69.5±1.4	0.0028	14.3±1.9	26.5±1.3	0.0080	1.5±1.3	4±0.7	0.0411
	2%	66.4±0.7	60.2±0.4	0.0001	25.8±0.2	29.3±0.6	0.0006	7.7±0.5	10.4±0.3	0.0017
OVCAR5	6%	79±1.5	70.2±0.5	0.0014	17.4±1.5	25.1±0.9	0.0015	3.4±0.4	4.7±0.6	**NS**
	10%	90.6±1.2	84±1.6	0.0077	8.2±1.4	14.6±1.5	0.0052	1.1 ± 0.1	1.2±2.1	**NS**
	2%	74.7±10.7	69±1	**NS**	15.5±2.5	23.7±0.6	0.0052	13.7±2.3	6±0	0.0043
OVCAR8	6%	66±1	59±1	0.0010	25.7±1.5	30±0	0.0079	7±0	9.7±0.6	0.0013
	10%	69±4.6	61.7±1.5	0.0582	24.3±1.5	30.7±1.2	0.0045	5.3±3.8	6.3±0.6	**NS**
	2%	69.3±1.5	58.7±2.1	0.0020	25±1	34±1	0.0003	4.3±1.5	5.7±1.5	**NS**
HeyA8	6%	62.7±0.6	53.7±1.5	0.0006	30.3±1.2	37.3±2.5	0.0118	5.3±0.6	8±3.5	**NS**
	10%	61.7±1.2	50.3±2.3	0.0016	30.7±1.2	37.3±1.2	0.0021	6.7±2.3	11±1.6	**NS**
	2%	80±1.7	72.3±1.5	0.0045	15±0	18.7±1.5	0.0141	5±1.7	8±1	**NS**
HOC8	6%	80.3±1.2	72.7±1.2	0.0012	15.3±1.5	22.7±1.5	0.0041	3.3±1.5	3.7±1.5	**NS**
	10%	78.7±2.5	73.3±0.6	0.0232	16.3±0.6	23.7±0.6	< 0.0001	4.3±2.5	3±1	**NS**
	2%	74±2	62.1±1.7	0.0014	13.5±3.5	27.7±1.2	0.0026	12±2	10.3±2.5	**NS**
SKOV3	6%	76.7±1.5	65.3±3.2	0.0052	14±1	25.7±1.5	0.0003	9.3±1.5	9±2	**NS**
	10%	80.3±1.2	68±1.7	0.0005	12.7±1.5	26±1	0.0002	7±1	6.3±0.6	**NS**

****NS**** "Not Significant"

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015864.t001

contradictory to the known inhibitory role of 14-3-3 σ on CDC2 activity, we concluded that high levels of 14-3-3 σ combined with reduced levels of CDC2 in a proliferating cancer cell may indicate a lack of control of G2/M progression in response to O₂ levels.

To clarify the consequence of the low levels of CDC2 protein observed in the O2-insensitive cell lines, we determined the functional activity of the remaining CDC2 by examining the phosphorylation of two of its substrates, RB and WEE1. Phosphorylation of RB at the Ser 807 residue is mediated by CDC2 [32], and we observed this phosphorylation regardless of CDC2 levels or O₂ levels with 10% serum for all cell lines except HOC8 (Figure 4B), indicating unimpaired CDC2 activity in these cell lines. A reduction in phosphorylated RB correlated with reduction of serum concentration (Figure 4B) and correlated with increased accumulation of total RB in the O2-sensitive cell lines (A2780, OVCAR5 and OVCAR8), but not in HOC8 (Figure 4B). Total RB was barely detectable in the O₂-insensitive cell lines (HeyA8 and SKOV3) (Figure 4B), with the exception of 2% serum at 3% O₂ condition in the HeyA8 cell line. Interestingly, a comparison between the RB expression pattern (Figure 4B) and cell proliferation (Figure 2) revealed that HOC8, HeyA8 and SKOV3 cells grow better in cell culture medium with a low concentration of serum (2%)compared to A2780, OVCAR5 and OVCAR8. It therefore appears that the total RB protein level response remains intact in O₂-sensitive cell lines and that this response is probably more relevant to serum concentrations than O2 levels. The other target for CDC2-mediated inactivation by phosphorylation is WEE1, which can also reciprocally inhibit CDC2 function by phosphorylation [37]. We observed increased phosphorylation of WEE1 in the O2 sensitive cell lines (A2780, OVCAR5 and OVCAR8), barely detectable levels in HOC8, (Figure 4B) and a complete absence in the O₂-insensitive cell lines (HeyA8 and SKOV3, Figure 4B). This pattern was largely

recapitulated for total WEE1 protein levels (Figure 4B). Therefore, the absence of phospho-WEE1 in the O₂-insensitive cell lines does not indicate an absence of CDC2 activity, but rather an absence of the WEE1 substrate. From these results we concluded that despite the reduced amounts of CDC2 in the O₂-insensitive cell lines, CDC2 is functionally active and uninhibited by the increased levels of 14-3-3 σ . It should be noted that RB and CDC2 act upon each other to regulate each others function [38], and phosphorylation status of RB [26] or CDC2 [39] could influence E2F mediated expression of cyclins that are essential for cell cycle progression. Therefore, considering this complex relationship between RB and CDC2, the phosphorylation pattern of RB is insufficient to predict G2/M progression.

In summary, the O₂-sensitive cell lines (A2780, OVCAR5 and HOC8) showed increased expression of CDC2 and cyclin B combined with low level of 14-3-3 σ expression. This suggests that the cell cycle components required for a dynamic proliferative response to differences in the O₂-insensitive cell lines that express high levels of 14-3-3 σ and low levels of CDC2 and CDC25C such a dynamic cell cycle response to changes in O₂ concentration could be impaired. We therefore pursued the possibility that this inverse correlation between 14-3-3 σ and CDC2 might be important for the O₂-sensitive regulation of G2/M transition.

14-3-3 σ and mitotic progression in oxygen sensitivity

Our previous observations suggest an association between elevated level of 14-3-3 σ and O₂-insensitivity that needs to be confirmed. Therefore, we wanted to confirm that 14-3-3 σ does indeed affect O₂-dependent proliferation. For this part of the study, we restricted our analysis to two cell lines with wild type p53: the O₂-sensitive A2780 [40], and O₂-insensitive HeyA8 cell lines [41].



Figure 4. Western blot analysis of G2 cell cycle regulatory proteins and the relevance to O_2 sensitivity in the ovarian cancer cell lines. Protein lysates prepared from the ovarian cancer cell lines maintained in growth medium consisting of increasing concentrations of serum and 21% or 3% O_2 were analyzed by Western blot. (A) Compared to O_2 sensitive cell lines, decreased expression of the core components involved in G2/M cell cycle progression CDC2/cyclin B1 complex and its activator CDC25c is observed in the O_2 insensitive cell lines (indicated by asterisk and italics), while the expression of 14-3-3 σ , a protein that inhibits CDC2 is elevated in the O_2 insensitive cell lines. (B) Phosphorylation of RB and Wee1 were monitored as an indicator for CDC2 function because both RB and Wee1 are known targets for phosphorylation by CDC2. Equal loading of protein extracts were monitored by probing the stripped Western blots with the primary antibody for β -actin. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015864.q004

So far we have used Western blot analysis to monitor the overall expression levels of 14-3-3 σ and CDC2 (Figure 4A). However, since the functional responses of these proteins are dependent on their cellular localization, we used immunofluorescence to determine their cellular location under $3\% O_2$ and $21\% O_2$. In the O₂sensitive A2780 cell line, the localization of 14-3-3 σ was restricted to the cytoplasm under $3\% O_2$ (Figure 5A), but was found in both the nucleus and cytoplasm at 21% O₂ (Figure 5A). CDC2 was distributed throughout the cell and its localization was unaffected by O₂ concentration. It therefore appears that nuclear exclusion of 14-3-3 σ correlates with a decreased fraction of cells in the G2/M phase and an uninhibited cell cycle progression when A2780 is grown at 3% O_2 , as noted before (Table 1). In contrast, the O_2 insensitive HeyA8 cell line showed high levels of 14-3-3 σ and low levels of CDC2 (Figure 4A), with a considerable amount of 14-3-3 σ in the cytoplasm (Figure 5A). Further, 14-3-3 σ remained excluded from the nucleus even at 21% O_2 in the HeyA8 cells (Figure 5A). These observations were further verified by Western blot analysis of nuclear and cytosolic cell fractions obtained from these cells (Figure 5B). Finally, to confirm the effect on G2/M transition, we determined the proportion of those cells in M phase for different O2 concentrations using the mitosis specific marker phospho-histone H3. In the O₂-sensitive A2780 cells, under 21% O₂, we observed a decrease in the mitotic index (P<0.001), compared to 3% O₂ (Figure 5C). No such O₂-dependent change in mitotic index was observed for the O₂-insensitive HeyA8 cells (Figure 5C). These results support our initial conclusion, that the O₂-insensitive cells lines have a deficiency in regulating cell cycle progression at G2/M in response to increased O_2 levels (Figure 2).

The levels and cellular localization of 14-3-3 σ correlate with O₂sensitive proliferation. To demonstrate a direct relationship, we examined whether over-expression of 14-3-3 σ could render O₂sensitive A2780 cells insensitive to O₂ and conversely whether reducing the levels of 14-3-3 σ in O₂-insensitive HeyA8 cells could restore O_2 -sensitivity. Transient over-expression of 14-3-3 σ in A2780 cells reduced cell proliferation (Figure 5D) and resulted in loss of O_2 -sensitivity. Therefore, merely increasing 14-3-3 σ expression results in its inability to regulate G2/M in the absence of any further genetic alterations. Conversely, RNAi-mediated silencing of 14-3-3 σ expression in HeyA8 cells (Figure 5E - Western blot) resulted in a substantial increase in proliferation under 3% O₂ (Figure 5E - Bar graph). Interestingly, when the cells from the same siRNA transfection were placed at 21% oxygen, 14-3-3 σ protein expression was induced, reducing the knockdown effect of the siRNA. This observation also suggests an O2-dependent transcriptional response by 14-3-3 σ . Despite this transcriptional response, we still observed a muted growth phenotype at 21% O₂ under these conditions. Together these experiments demonstrate that 14-3-3 σ is a critical factor for controlling ovarian cancer cell proliferation in response to O_2 concentration.

14-3-3 σ is frequently highly expressed in ovarian cancer and its ineffectiveness in controlling CDC2 is relevant to ovarian tumor pathology

Considering that increased expression of 14-3-3 σ provides some indication of impaired G2/M control, it is possible that cancer cell lines that express high levels of 14-3-3 σ are O₂-insensitive. The O₂-insensitive ovarian cancer cell lines we have



Figure 5. 14-3-3 gand O2 sensitivity. (A) Cellular localization by immunofluoresence shows that 14-3-3 g (Green) is located in the cytoplasm and CDC2 (Red) is present in the nucleus (Blue). Compared to O₂ sensitive A2780 cells, the level of 14-3-3 σ is higher and CDC2 is low in the O₂ insensitive HeyA8 cells. In the O₂ sensitive A2780, 14-3-3 σ is localized both in the nucleus and cytoplasm at 21% O₂. (A dotted yellow line, outlines a representative nuclei to indicate relative localization of 14-3-3 σ and CDC2 in these cells). (B) Western blot analysis of nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions show low levels of 14-3-3 σ in the nucleus compared to cytoplasm, with increased amounts of 14-3-3 σ being present in the cytoplasm of the O₂ insensitive HeyA8 cells. The level of CDC2 is higher both in the nucleus and cytoplasm of the O₂ sensitive A2780, but present in lower amount only in the nucleus of O₂ insensitive HeyA8 cells. Histone H1 and β -actin were used as loading controls for nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions, respectively. (C) Mitotic cells were determined by counting the cells that stained positively for a mitosis specific marker, Phospho-Histone H3 from the total cell population. Mitotic fractions present at 3% or 21% O₂ were counted in both A2780 and HeyA8 and represented as bar graph. A significant increase in mitotic index (p>0.001, indicated by asterisk) was observed in the O₂ sensitive A2780 at 3% O₂, but not in the O₂ insensitive HeyA8 cells. (D) Over-expression of 14-3-3 σ in the O₂ sensitive A2780 (Western Blot) results in loss of O₂ sensitivity (Bar graph). For the cells transfected with empty vector (mock transfection) or 14-3-3 σ over-expression construct, the percent of cell proliferation was compared with proliferation of mock transfected cells grown under standard tissue culture conditions consisting of 21% O₂ (ambient), and (E) in the converse experiment performed with O_2 insensitive HeyA8, reducing the levels of 14-3-3 σ by siRNA (Western blot) results in restoration of O_2 sensitivity (Bar graph). For the cells transfected with scrambled siRNA (mock transfection) or siRNA against 14-3-3 o, the percent of cell proliferation was compared with proliferation of mock transfected cells grown under standard tissue culture conditions consisting of 21% O2. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015864.g005

thus far characterized have high 14-3-3 σ (Figure 5A) and low CDC2 protein levels. It is conceivable that the same phenotypic defect might result from cells with unchecked CDC2 activity, irrespective of 14-3-3 σ levels. To determine the frequency of commonly available cancer cell lines that have the hallmarks of O₂-insensitivity, we used a reverse phase protein array (RPPA) and screened 57 different ovarian cancer cell lines for the levels of

14-3-3 σ and CDC2, as well as phospho-RB as an indicator of CDC2 activity. Cell lines with the same name but from different labs or different passages were considered to be different. We therefore set the analysis criteria on the RPPA array to detect high phospho-RB (P-RB) and either high 14-3-3 σ or high CDC2. In the context of high levels of P-RB, this criteria should indicate that either 14-3-3 σ is dysfunctional or that active CDC2 is uninhibited,

perhaps due to methylated 14-3-3 σ or inhibition of CDC2 degradation [42] We observed that of the 57 ovarian cancer cell lines represented in the RPPA, 28 cell lines (49%) showed high levels of 14-3-3 σ (Figure 6A) of which 16 cell lines (28%) also had increased P-RB, corresponding to the O₂ insensitivity pattern we have described. Amongst these 16 cell lines, 6 also have increased levels of CDC2 while the remainder had decreased levels of CDC2. This suggests that this protein profile is not exclusive to the cell lines we originally identified and might be representative of a relatively common phenomenon. We therefore determined whether this O2-insensitive associated 14-3-3 o/CDC2/P-RB protein profile is also observed in ovarian tumor samples. Using the same criteria as with the cell line RPPA, we examined 205 ovarian tumor specimens using RPPA. This analysis revealed that 27% of ovarian tumors (56) had elevated levels of both 14-3-3 σ and P-RB, and amongst these, 34 also had elevated levels of CDC2 expression (Figure 6B). These results are very comparable with the RPPA analysis of the ovarian cancer cell lines (Figure 6A).

Ovarian cancer has a poor survival rate and this is often associated with metastatic progression [43]. The O₂-insensitive associated 14-3-3 o/CDC2/P-RB protein profile suggests an unrestricted G2/M control in response to changes in O₂ levels, such as a migrating or metastatic cancer would encounter. Therefore, it is possible that this protein profile is associated with poor prognosis. Using the O2-insensitive associated protein profile (high P-RB with either high 14-3-3 σ or high CDC2) we identified 47 of 158 tumors with associated clinical data. A Kaplan-Meier survival estimate shows that patients with the O₂-insensitive associated protein profile have a poor survival outcome (less than 90 months compared to 200 months observed otherwise, p = 0.016, Figure 6C). Altogether it appears that the O₂-insensitive associated protein profile suggests that unrestricted G2/M accompanies a substantial proportion of ovarian cancer cells and primary tumor samples. Further, this O₂-insensitive profile is associated with poor prognosis for this disease.

Elevated 14-3-3 σ expression in metastatic ovarian tumors

Having observed that the O₂-insensitive associated protein profile (high P-RB with either high 14-3-3 σ or high CDC2) is both relatively common in ovarian cancer and associated with poor prognosis, we went on to determine directly whether metastatic ovarian tumors exhibit an overt 14-3-3 σ signature. Of note, the ovarian tumors represented in the ovarian tumor RPPA are from primary sites and thus do not necessarily provide an accurate representation of the protein profile in the metastatic cancer. We therefore expect that metastatic tumors or primary tumors that give rise to metastatic tumors will exhibit a more overt 14-3-3 σ signature than primary tumors. In fact, an increased expression of 14-3-3 σ has been previously reported with other tumors [44] and a functional involvement for 14-3-3 σ in metastatic disease is known [45,46]. We analyzed 14-3-3 σ expression using immunohistochemistry on paraffin embedded tissues obtained from 10 different metastatic ovarian tumors and their corresponding primary site tumors. We consistently observed intense immunostaining of 14-3-3 σ in 8/10 metastatic tumors and the corresponding primary tumors (Figure 7j-l). In contrast, the primary tumors without metastasis at diagnosis showed moderate immunostaining for 14-3-3 σ , and occasionally intense staining was also noted (Figure 7i). Borderline tumors showed a mild to moderate staining pattern for 14-3-3 σ , while in normal tissues, protein levels were absent or diffusely present (Figure 7 ac). Increased expression of 14-3-3 σ in the metastatic primary tumors compared to normal tissue or malignant tumors without metastasis were observed to be statistically significant by the Fisher's exact test (Figure 7, Bar Graph). The high level of 14-3-3 σ expression offers the first indication of the manner in which regulation of G2/M may be dysfunctional in these tumors.

Over-expression of 14-3-3 σ in metastatic disease is not unexpected and has been previously noted [45,46,47]. However, we speculate the reason for this association is due to a loss of O₂sensitivity and that this provides a selective advantage for metastatic progression. Our conclusion is that O₂-sensitive and insensitive patterns of 14-3-3 σ and CDC2 expression are readily detectable and common to cancer cells, regardless of whether they are grown *in vivo* or *in vitro*. Further, these expression patterns may have prognostic implications, but additional experiments will be required to confirm the mechanistic relevance of O₂-sensitivity in the clinical progression of cancer.

Discussion

There is an increasing interest to study cell biology under the context of physiological O₂ levels. Investigations with primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts comparing the effects of physiological (3%) and ambient (21%) oxygen, show that 21% O₂ causes increased oxidative stress and induces senescence [4]. Several studies conducted with embryonic stem (ES) cells reported that characteristic stem cell properties are preserved only when ES cells are maintained under physiological O2- ES cells otherwise differentiate under ambient O_2 as reviewed in [2]. This prompted us to investigate the effects of physiological (3%) and ambient (21%) oxygen in the context of cancer. With A2780 ovarian cancer cells grown under 21% or 3% O2, a 20% growth suppression was observed with 21% O₂ by three days (Figure 2) and although the proportional changes to cell cycle profile appear small, they were significant (Table 1). The accumulated effect of these differences in proliferation and cell cycle resulted in a 2.6 fold difference to the growth of the cancer cells by 12 days in the presence of different O_2 concentrations (Figure 1). This observation demonstrates that standard tissue culture conditions may adversely impact the in vitro proliferation of cancer, which is primarily a disease of proliferation. Previous studies compared the growth of primary mouse embryonic fibroblast cells [4], adult human fibroblasts [48] and human cancer cells [8] grown under physiological (3-5%) or ambient (21%) O2 and observed increased cell proliferation under physiological O2. In this study, we observed similar effects with ovarian cancer cells (A2780, OVCAR5, OVCAR8 and HOC8 -Figure 2), however other cells lines failed to respond to O₂ concentration (HeyA8 and SKOV3) (Figure 2). These proliferative responses to O2 seem to affect all phases of the cell cycle, particularly the G1 and S phases of cell cycle, in all cell lines. However, only the G2 phase was affected in cell lines which displayed proliferative response to 3% O₂ (Table 1), suggesting the possibility that the G2 phase transition of the cell cycle is crucial for regulating proliferation in response to differences in 3% O₂ levels. A change in the G2 phase in response to O_2 levels was reported in only one other study performed with Fanconi anemia (FA) cell lines [49]. Analogous to our study, the experiments with FA cells demonstrated a characteristic G2 delay with standard tissue culture conditions (20% O₂), but a reduced proportion of cells in G2 and increased proliferation when cultured at 5% O_2 [49]. Furthermore, growth of different human fibroblast cells under physiological O2 has also been observed to be accompanied by a reduction in the G2 cell population [27,48]. Overall, it appears that the G2 phase is the most O2-sensitive phase of the cell cycle. Exploring the possible molecular mechanisms that render ovarian cancer cells either sensitive or insensitive to oxygen has







Figure 6. Reverse phase protein array data analysis. (A) Hierarchical clustering of normalized RPPA data over Phospho-RB (Ser 807/811), 14-3-3 σ , CDC2 and p53 across 57 ovarian cancer cell lines. (B) Hierarchical clustering of normalized RPPA data over Phospho-RB (Ser 807/811), 14-3-3 σ , CDC2 and p53 across 205 ovarian tumors. The color codes for overall survival represents overall survival >24 months (blue) and overall survival <24 months (pink). The color codes for tumor stage represent stage I (red), stage II (green), stage III (light-blue) and stage IV (dark-blue). (C). Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the RPPA results comparing the group of ovarian tumors with high Phospho-RB and high 14-3-3 σ or CDC2 (blue line) with other expression profiles (red line). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015864.g006

clearly demonstrated that it is 14-3-3 σ and its inability to control CDC2 dependent G2/M transition in response to O₂ levels that results in oxygen-insensitive cell lines. Although expression of 14-3-3 σ is regulated by p53 [25], we observed no difference in the levels of p53 expression under different oxygen concentrations (Figure S1), suggesting that the involvement of 14-3-3 σ in O₂-sensitivity is independent of p53. If the decrease in 14-3-3 σ is associated with oxygen-sensitive increase in proliferation, then silencing the expression of 14-3-3 σ in oxygen-insensitive cell lines should restore proliferative sensitivity to oxygen. In fact, our experiments show that RNAi mediated silencing of 14-3-3 σ in HeyA8 cells restored oxygen sensitivity (Figure 5E) and in a

converse experiment, over-expression of 14-3-3 σ abolished oxygen sensitivity in the A2780 cell line (Figure 5D). This suggests that high levels of 14-3-3 σ protein is sufficient to restrict the regulation of CDC2 mediated G2/M progression. The cytoplasmic restriction of overexpressed 14-3-3 σ in the O₂-insenstive HeyA8 cells provides the first indication for the possible mechanistic basis of this dysregulation (Figure 5A). Other reports also show preferential changes to cellular localization of 14-3-3 σ during different phases of the cell cycle [50], suggesting that cell cycle changes observed with oxygen could be relevant to the 14-3-3 σ localization and pattern in our experiments. Furthermore, 14-3-3 σ is actively exported out of nucleus by CRM1, [51], a nuclear



Figure 7. 14-3-3 σ **expression and ovarian tumor metastasis.** Immunohistochemical analysis of 14-3-3 σ in ovarian tissues show negative (hematoxylin stained blue nucleus) to diffuse staining pattern for 14-3-3 σ (brown) in normal ovarian tissues (a–c), and a moderate increase in the staining intensity localized to the cytoplasm is observed in the borderline ovarian tumors (d–f). In the malignant tumors without any metastatic disease at diagnosis, 14-3-3 σ expression was either absent (g), or stained at moderate to intense levels (h–i), with occasional nuclear staining (i). Intense nuclear and cytoplasmic staining for 14-3-3 σ was observed in ovarian tumors with metastatic disease, obtained from the primary site of the disease, and a moderate to intense staining for 14-3-3 σ in the cytoplasm or both nucleus and cytoplasm of the corresponding tumors obtained from the metastatic site was observed [site of metastasis - (m) appendix, (n) lymph node and (o) omentum]. The quantitative relationship between 14-3-3 σ with pathological grades were determined by a Fisher's exact test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015864.g007

protein that is frequently over-expressed in ovarian cancer [52]. A host of other factors such as, BRCA1, p63 and estrogen induced zinc finger protein (EFP) are also known to regulate the levels of 14-3-3 σ [53]. Therefore, it is possible that 14-3-3 σ expression and its cellular distribution could be influenced by several factors, independent of p53 (as must be the situation in the O₂ insensitive p53 null cell line SKOV3).

The differences in O2-sensitivity and, consequently, cell proliferation is most important when trying to recapitulate in vivo responses where physiological O_2 tensions vary from 2.7–5% in the interstitial space (where many cancer cells reside) to 14.7% in the arterial circulation and lung [18]. Thus, it is reasonable to predict that if O₂-sensitive cancer cells were to dislodge from a primary interstitial space and migrate to the lungs via blood circulation, the increased O₂ concentration would restrict proliferation. In contrast, we speculate that oxygen insensitive cancer cells would have a selective advantage compared to sensitive ones, being better able to thrive in the conditions of increased oxygen concentration. In fact, 14-3-3 σ is frequently over-expressed in many thyroid [54], colorectal [55] and prostate [56] tumors, and is also a potential target for therapeutic modulation [55,56]. Our results provide one rationale for selecting the cancers best suited for 14-3-3 σ targeted therapy. Oxygen insensitivity observed in HeyA8 or SKOV3 is less likely an adaptation to in vitro growth conditions because transient overexpression of 14-3-3 σ renders $\mathrm{O}_2\text{-sensitive}$ A2780 cell line insensitive to increased levels of O2 (Figure 5D), and overexpression of 14-3-3 σ is observable in primary tumors with metastatic potential (Figure 7). Oxygen sensitivity could therefore be an important factor in the context of metastatic spread of cancer because over-expression of 14-3-3 σ is frequently observed in metastatic cancers, including this study (Figure 7) and others (gastric [57], endometrial [58] and pancreatic [59]). However, epigenetic inactivation of 14-3-3 σ by gene methylation has also been reported to correlate with decreased expression of 14-3-3 σ in cancer progression [60] and metastasis of certain types of tumors [61]. Further, a correlation with a functional role for 14-3-3 σ in promoting tumor invasion and metastasis has also been demonstrated [45,47,62]. Taken together, there is ample evidence to support that over-expression of 14-3-3 σ is relevant to tumor metastasis and therefore, it is likely that O2 insensitivity associated with over-expression of 14-3-3 σ may have a pivotal role in metastatic dissemination of tumors. Further support to demonstrate the explicit role of 14-3-3 σ in *in vivo* O₂ sensitivity and its relevance to metastasis would require experiments with animal models.

In conclusion, there are many advantages to studying cancer biology under physiological O_2 . In fact, compared to cell propagation under physiological O_2 , ambient O_2 levels are expected to result in oxidative stress [4], mutation proneness and persistence of transformation [63]. In this context, we have demonstrated that growing cancer cells *in vitro* at low physiological O_2 (not hypoxia), compared with ambient (21%) O_2 is a prudent approach to identify and understand some of the behavioral diversity observed in cancer.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and Transfection

Ovarian cancer cells were grown in RPMI 1640 (A2780, OVCAR5, OVCAR8, SKOV3) or DMEM (HeyA8 and HOC8)

supplemented with 10% heat inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (Sigma Aldrich, St.Louis, MO, Cat# F6178) and 200 units of penicillin/streptomycin and 0.5 µg amphotericin-B. Transfection was performed using Amaxa Nucleofector technology (Lonza) as described previously [64]. Plasmid pcDNA 3.0 HA 14-3-3 σ was obtained from Addgene (plasmid 11946 [65]) and pcDNA 3.0 HA empty vector was a gift from Dr. Y. Shiio, UTHSCSA. 14-3-3 σ siRNA and non-targeting dsRNA were purchased from Dharmacon. For oxygen exposures we used Forma Series II 3110 waterjacketed multigas incubator (Thermo Fisher scientific, Waltham, MA) with built-in CO₂ and O₂ monitors and controllers. To maintain 3% O₂, the incubator received an additional supply of nitrogen gas.

Cell proliferation

Cell proliferation was determined using Celltitre-Glo (Promega, Madison, WI) per manufacturer instructions, as described previously [64]. Cells were seeded to a final density of 100, 200 or 400 cells per well in a 384 well plate containing 40 μ l of growth medium consisting of 2%, 6% or 10% FBS and antibiotics. Plates were then placed in a humid chamber and returned to the incubators of appropriate oxygen pressure. After 3 days of incubation, the number of cells prevent per well was measured using Celltitre-Glo reagent, as described previously [64]. The number of cells per well was determined using a standard curve based on ATP concentration, as recommended by the manufacturer.

Mitotic Index

The number of mitotic cells were quantified based the method as described [66]. Briefly, 96 well collagen coated plates were used to seed cells at a final concentration of 1000 cells/well in their respective media. Cells were then incubated for three days at 37° C in 3% or 21% O₂. Finally, cells were washed, resuspended in phosphate buffered saline and stained with DAPI, as described [66]. Images of stained cells were acquired using a Zeiss Axiovert 200M inverted fluorescent microscope using 10X magnification and Openlab (PerkinElmer) image acquisition software. Using Image J, a set threshold for staining intensity was used to count the brightly stained nuclei, with obvious chromatin condensation and the mitotic index was determined based on the ratio of number of mitotic cells present in 1000 cells, as described [66].

Protein isolation and Western blot analysis

Protein lysates and western blot analysis were preformed as previously described [64]. The immunoblots were probed with the appropriate dilutions of primary antibody and visualized using either Lumiglo (Cell signaling technology) or the ECL plus system (GE Healthcare) with the appropriate horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. The primary antibodies used were Phospho - p53 (Ser 15), total p53, Phospho - CDC2 (Tyr 15) and Total CDC2, Phospho - Cyclin B1 (Ser 133), total Cyclin B1, Phospho - CDC25C (Thr 160), total CDC25C, Phospho RB (Ser 807/811), total RB, Phospho - WEE1 (Ser 642) and total WEE1 (Cell signaling technology), p21, 14-3-3 σ (Millipore) and β -actin (Abcam). Primary antibody dilutions were used as per manufacturer instructions. RB and WEE1 immunoblots were performed using 4–15% gradient gel (Criterion precast gel, Biorad).

Flow Cytometry

Cells were trypsinized and seeded to a final density of 1×10^6 cells per well in a 10 cm dish containing growth medium, antibiotics and appropriate concentrations of FBS. Dishes were then returned to the incubators set for the different oxygen

conditions. Following three days of incubation, cells were harvested and prepared for FACS analysis as described previously [67]. Experiments were performed in triplicate. Stained cells were analyzed using a FACS Canto I (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer using an argon laser at 488 nm wavelength. Cell cycle analysis was performed using Modfit LT (version 3.2) software (Verity Software House).

Quantification of M phase cells

The number of cells in M phase were quantified based on mitosis-specific histone H3 phosphorylation in the ovarian cancer cell lines using the Cellomics[®] Cell Cycle Kit I (Thermo Scientific) as per the manufacturer's recommended protocol. Briefly, 96 well collagen coated plates were used to seed cells at a final concentration of 1000 cells/well in their respective media. Cells were then incubated for three days at 37°C in 3% or 21% O₂. Control wells were treated with 1.5 µg/ml nocodazole (Sigma Aldrich) for 16 hours, fixed with 16% formaldehyde, permeabilized, blocked and stained with reagents consisting anti-phosphohistone H3 primary antibody, as per instructions provided in the kit. Stained cells were analyzed with a Zeiss Axiovert 200M inverted fluorescent microscope using 10X magnification and Openlab (PerkinElmer) image acquisition software. 100–250 cells per replicate were counted for phospho-histone H3 positive cells.

Immunolocalization of 14-3-3 σ and CDC2

A2780 cells transfected with a 14-3-3 σ cDNA expression construct or HeyA8 cells transfected with 14-3-3 σ siRNA were seeded at a final density of 10⁵ cells per fibronectin (Sigma) coated 12.5 mm² glass coverslip mounted in each well of a 12-well plate. Cells were maintained in complete growth medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and allowed to grow for three days in the presence of 21% or 3% oxygen. For the detection of 14-3-3 σ or CDC2 by immunofluorescence, cells were processed as described previously [68]. The primary antibodies used were mouse monoclonal 14-3-3 σ at 1.0 µg/mL (Upstate) and rabbit polyclonal total CDC2 at 1:1000 (Cell Signaling). Following a PBS wash, the cells were incubated with secondary antibodies, goat anti-mouse AlexaFluor 488 and goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 568 (Invitrogen) at 1:1000 dilution in blocking buffer for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were then counterstained with DAPI (1:3000 dilution in PBS) and mounted onto microscope slides using Fluoromount-G. Images were taken at 63X magnification using the Zeiss Axiovert 200M inverted fluorescent microscope and Openlab software (PerkinElmer).

Reverse Phase Protein Array

Protein lysates from 57 cancer cell lines or 205 primary ovarian cancer tumors were spotted in RPPA slides and processed for expression analysis, as described previously [69,70]. Data acquisition and processing were performed as described previously [69]. Ovarian cancer specimens were obtained from Gynecology Tumor Tissue Bank at MD Anderson Cancer Center, following approval from the Institutional Review Board (BT).

Normalization and Clustering

log-transformed RPPA data was first examined to remove non ovarian cancer cell lines. We then examined all replicated representations from the same source as annotated to reduce down to 57 ovarian cancer cell lines or 205 patient samples (from each source) by taking the median protein expression level of all replicates. An additional cell-line specific normalization step was performed in which median expression levels for each protein was first determined and then subtracted from individual RPPA experiments. The anchored heatmap (termed after anchored over/under-expression orientation) was generated by requiring RB, 14-3-3 σ and CDC2 to be arranged from over-expressed to under-expressed recursively from the given cell-line order, but exact positions of each protein was determined by hierarchical clustering algorithm with Euclidean distance as similarity measure and average lineage from all cell-lines, as shown in Figure 6 A&B). Raw data obtained from RPPA for the expression of Phospho RB, 14-3-3 σ and CDC2 is provided in the supplementary tables (for ovarian cancer cell lines, see Table S2, and for ovarian cancer patient specimens, see Table S3)

Immunohistochemistry

Tissue arrays (OV951-1) consisting of normal and malignant tissues from primary or metastatic sites were purchased from US Biomax Inc. Slides were processed for immunohistochemistry and analyzed, as described previously [71]. 14-3-3 σ (Upstate) was used at 1:50 dilution for incubation with primary antibody and subsequent steps were performed using the Dako universal LSAB kit with DAB as described by the manufacturer.

Statistical Analyses

To determine significant differences to proliferation under 3% or 21% O₂, a Student *t-test* was performed, and *ANOVA* was performed to compare the different cell cycle profiles with the panel of ovarian cancer cell lines. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis with p-value determined with log-rank test was performed using MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA) for RPPA data consisting patient specimens. For Kaplan-Meier survival analysis the data was censored based on patient's vital status. Statistical analysis for the correlation of 14-3-3 σ expression with the various pathological grades of ovarian tumors determined based on immunohistochemistry was analyzed by a Fisher's exact test using R.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Western blot analysis of phospho and total p53, and p21, which are major upstream regulators of G2/M cell cycle progression and the relevance to 21% or 3% O₂ in ovarian cancer cells. O₂ insensitive cell lines are indicated by asterisk and italics.

(EPS)

References

- Sullivan M, Galea P, Latif S (2006) What is the appropriate oxygen tension for in vitro culture? Mol Hum Reprod 12: 653.
- 2. Csete M (2005) Oxygen in the cultivation of stem cells. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1049: 1–8.
- Hornsby PJ (2003) Mouse and human cells versus oxygen. Sci Aging Knowledge Environ 2003: PE21.
- Parrinello S, Samper E, Krtolica A, Goldstein J, Melov S, et al. (2003) Oxygen sensitivity severely limits the replicative lifespan of murine fibroblasts. Nat Cell Biol 5: 741–747.
- Shay JW, Wright WE (2007) Tissue culture as a hostile environment: identifying conditions for breast cancer progression studies. Cancer Cell 12: 100–101.
- Ince TA, Richardson AL, Bell GW, Saitoh M, Godar S, et al. (2007) Transformation of different human breast epithelial cell types leads to distinct tumor phenotypes. Cancer Cell 12: 160–170.
- Frieboes HB, Edgerton ME, Fruehauf JP, Rose FR, Worrall LK, et al. (2009) Prediction of drug response in breast cancer using integrative experimental/ computational modeling. Cancer Res 69: 4484–4492.
- Sridhar KS, Plasse TF, Holland JF, Shapiro M, Ohnuma T (1983) Effects of physiological oxygen concentration on human tumor colony growth in soft agar. Cancer Res 43: 4629–4631.
- Gupta V, Krishan A (1982) Effect of oxygen concentration on the growth and drug sensitivity of human melanoma cells in soft-agar clonogenic assay. Cancer Res 42: 1005–1007.

Table S1 *In vitro* cell doubling time for the ovarian cancer cell lines.

Table S2 Raw data from cell line RPPA for Phospho-Rb, p53, CDC2 and 14-3-3 σ expression. The table contains following columns: 1) Unique ID, 2) Original cell-line or with treatment, 3) Cell-line's contributing Lab/source; 4) Cell type, 5) Cell-line name, 6–9) log2 transformed protein expression ratios (14-3-3 σ , CDC2, p-Rb, and p53) as the raw measurement provided by the MD Anders Cancer Center RPPA core facility. (XLS)

Table S3 Raw data from OVSS2 RPPA for Phospho Rb, CDC2 and 14-3-3 σ expression. The table contains following columns: 1) Unique ID, 2) Tumor ID in various databases (DBs), 3) Tumor source institution; 4) patient age at diagnosis (in months), 5) tumor stage, 6) grade (HG: high grade, LG: low grade, empty: unknown), 7) Overall survival (in months), 8) Vital Status (0: alive, 1: dead), 9–12) log2 transformed protein expression ratios (14-3-3 σ , CDC2, p53 and p-Rb) as the raw measurement provided by the MD Anderson Cancer Center RPPA core facility. (XLS)

Acknowledgments

We thank Ms. Jennifer Rebels at the Greehey Children's Cancer Research Institute core facility, UTHSCSA for FACS analysis. We thank Ms. Michelle M. Brady at the Greehey Children's Cancer Research Institute histology core facility for immunocytochemistry processing of tumor specimens and Ms. Uthra Suresh at the Greehey Children's Cancer Research Institute, UTHSCSA for the statistical analysis of the data. We thank Ms. Alison Claybon for grammar checks and making corrections to the manuscript. We thank Dr. Peter Hornsby, Department of Physiology, UTHSCSA for constructive criticism of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DR AJRB. Performed the experiments: DR BK AB TTG. Analyzed the data: DR YC BK AB AJRB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YC JL MSC BTH AJRB. Wrote the paper: DR YC BK AB AJRB.

- Carrera S, de Verdier PJ, Khan Z, Zhao B, Mahale A, et al. (2010) Protection of cells in physiological oxygen tensions against DNA damage-induced apoptosis. J Biol Chem 285: 13658–13665.
- Laser H (1937) Tissue metabolism under the influence of low oxygen tension. Biochem J 31: 1671–1676.
- Green DR, Chipuk JE (2006) p53 and metabolism: Inside the TIGAR. Cell 126: 30–32.
- Powers DE, Millman JR, Huang RB, Colton CK (2008) Effects of oxygen on mouse embryonic stem cell growth, phenotype retention, and cellular energetics. Biotechnol Bioeng 101: 241–254.
- Pouyssegur J, Dayan F, Mazure NM (2006) Hypoxia signalling in cancer and approaches to enforce tumour regression. Nature 441: 437–443.
- Magagnin MG, Koritzinsky M, Wouters BG (2006) Patterns of tumor oxygenation and their influence on the cellular hypoxic response and hypoxiadirected therapies. Drug Resist Updat 9: 185–197.
- Brizel DM, Rosner GL, Prosnitz LR, Dewhirst MW (1995) Patterns and variability of tumor oxygenation in human soft tissue sarcomas, cervical carcinomas, and lymph node metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 32: 1121–1125.
- Brown JM, Giaccia AJ (1998) The unique physiology of solid tumors: opportunities (and problems) for cancer therapy. Cancer Res 58: 1408–1416.
- Treacher DF, Leach RM (1998) Oxygen transport-1. Basic principles. Bmj 317: 1302–1306.

- Pantel K, Brakenhoff RH (2004) Dissecting the metastatic cascade. Nat Rev Cancer 4: 448–456.
- 20. Sherr CJ (2004) Principles of tumor suppression. Cell 116: 235-246.
- Payton M, Chung G, Yakowec P, Wong A, Powers D, et al. (2006) Discovery and evaluation of dual CDK1 and CDK2 inhibitors. Cancer Res 66: 4299–4308.
- Taylor WR, Stark GR (2001) Regulation of the G2/M transition by p53. Oncogene 20: 1803–1815.
- Graeber TG, Peterson JF, Tsai M, Monica K, Fornace AJ, Jr., et al. (1994) Hypoxia induces accumulation of p53 protein, but activation of a G1-phase checkpoint by low-oxygen conditions is independent of p53 status. Mol Cell Biol 14: 6264–6277.
- Das KC, Dashnamoorthy R (2004) Hyperoxia activates the ATR-Chkl pathway and phosphorylates p53 at multiple sites. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 286: L87–97.
- Hermeking H, Lengauer C, Polyak K, He TC, Zhang L, et al. (1997) 14-3-3 sigma is a p53-regulated inhibitor of G2/M progression. Mol Cell 1: 3–11.
- Eguchi T, Takaki T, Itadani H, Kotani H (2007) RB silencing compromises the DNA damage-induced G2/M checkpoint and causes deregulated expression of the ECT2 oncogene. Oncogene 26: 509–520.
- Balin AK, Goodman DB, Rasmussen H, Cristofalo VJ (1978) Oxygen-sensitive stages of the cell cycle of human diploid cells. J Cell Biol 78: 390–400.
- Stark GR, Taylor WR (2006) Control of the G2/M transition. Mol Biotechnol 32: 227–248.
- Kaldis P, Aleem E (2005) Cell cycle sibling rivalry: Cdc2 vs. Cdk2. Cell Cycle 4: 1491–1494.
- Lindqvist A, Rodriguez-Bravo V, Medema RH (2009) The decision to enter mitosis: feedback and redundancy in the mitotic entry network. J Cell Biol 185: 193–202.
- Kishimoto T (1994) Cell reproduction: induction of M-phase events by cyclindependent cdc2 kinase. Int J Dev Biol 38: 185–191.
- Lees JA, Buchkovich KJ, Marshak DR, Anderson CW, Harlow E (1991) The retinoblastoma protein is phosphorylated on multiple sites by human cdc2. Embo J 10: 4279–4290.
- Berry LD, Gould KL (1996) Regulation of Cdc2 activity by phosphorylation at T14/Y15. Prog Cell Cycle Res 2: 99–105.
- Shibuya EK (2003) G2 cell cycle arrest—a direct link between PKA and Cdc25C. Cell Cycle 2: 39–41.
- Roy S, Khanna S, Bickerstaff AA, Subramanian SV, Atalay M, et al. (2003) Oxygen sensing by primary cardiac fibroblasts: a key role of p21(Waf1/Cip1/ Sdi1). Circ Res 92: 264–271.
- Lees SJ, Childs TE, Booth FW (2008) p21(Cip1) expression is increased in ambient oxygen, compared to estimated physiological (5%) levels in rat muscle precursor cell culture. Cell Prolif 41: 193–207.
- Kim SY, Ferrell JE, Jr. (2007) Substrate competition as a source of ultrasensitivity in the inactivation of Wee1. Cell 128: 1133–1145.
- Dalton S (1992) Cell cycle regulation of the human cdc2 gene. Embo J 11: 1797–1804.
- Smith EM, Proud CG (2008) cdc2-cyclin B regulates eEF2 kinase activity in a cell cycle- and amino acid-dependent manner. Embo J 27: 1005–1016.
- Astanche A, Arenillas D, Wasserman WW, Leung PC, Dunn SE, et al. (2008) Mechanisms underlying p53 regulation of PIK3CA transcription in ovarian surface epithelium and in ovarian cancer. J Cell Sci 121: 664–674.
- Armaiz-Pena GN, Mangala LS, Spannuth WA, Lin YG, Jennings NB, et al. (2009) Estrous cycle modulates ovarian carcinoma growth. Clin Cancer Res 15: 2971–2978.
- Urano T, Saito T, Tsukui T, Fujita M, Hosoi T, et al. (2002) Efp targets 14-3-3 sigma for proteolysis and promotes breast tumour growth. Nature 417: 871–875.
- Ramirez PT, Landen CN, Jr., Coleman RL, Milam MR, Levenback C, et al. (2008) Phase I trial of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib in combination with carboplatin in patients with platinum- and taxane-resistant ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 108: 68–71.
- Li Z, Liu JY, Zhang JT (2009) 14-3-3sigma, the double-edged sword of human cancers. Am J Transl Res 1: 326–340.
- Ghahary A, Karimi-Busheri F, Marcoux Y, Li Y, Tredget EE, et al. (2004) Keratinocyte-releasable stratifin functions as a potent collagenase-stimulating factor in fibroblasts. J Invest Dermatol 122: 1138–1197.
- Ghahary A, Marcoux Y, Karimi-Busheri F, Li Y, Tredget EE, et al. (2005) Differentiated keratinocyte-releasable stratifin (14-3-3 sigma) stimulates MMP-1 expression in dermal fibroblasts. J Invest Dermatol 124: 170–177.
- Lam E, Kilani RT, Li Y, Tredget EE, Ghahary A (2005) Stratifin-induced matrix metalloproteinase-1 in fibroblast is mediated by c-fos and p38 mitogenactivated protein kinase activation. J Invest Dermatol 125: 230–238.

- Poot M, Schindler D, Kubbies M, Hoehn H, Rabinovitch PS (1988) Bromodeoxyuridine amplifies the inhibitory effect of oxygen on cell proliferation. Cytometry 9: 332–338.
- Poot M, Gross O, Epe B, Pflaum M, Hoehn H (1996) Cell cycle defect in connection with oxygen and iron sensitivity in Fanconi anemia lymphoblastoid cells. Exp Cell Res 222: 262–268.
- Moreira JM, Shen T, Ohlsson G, Gromov P, Gromova I, et al. (2008) A combined proteome and ultrastructural localization analysis of 14-3-3 proteins in transformed human amnion (AMA) cells: definition of a framework to study isoform-specific differences. Mol Cell Proteomics 7: 1225–1240.
- van Hemert MJ, Niemantsverdriet M, Schmidt T, Backendorf C, Spaink HP (2004) Isoform-specific differences in rapid nucleocytoplasmic shuttling cause distinct subcellular distributions of 14-3-3 sigma and 14-3-3 zeta. J Cell Sci 117: 1411–1420.
- Noske A, Weichert W, Niesporek S, Roske A, Buckendahl AC, et al. (2008) Expression of the nuclear export protein chromosomal region maintenance/ exportin 1/Xpo1 is a prognostic factor in human ovarian cancer. Cancer 112: 1733–1743.
- 53. Mhawech P (2005) 14-3-3 proteins—an update. Cell Res 15: 228–236.
- Ito Y, Miyoshi E, Uda E, Yoshida H, Uruno T, et al. (2003) 14-3-3 sigma possibly plays a constitutive role in papillary carcinoma, but not in follicular tumor of the thyroid. Cancer Lett 200: 161–166.
- Perathoner A, Pirkebner D, Brandacher G, Spizzo G, Stadlmann S, et al. (2005) 14-3-3sigma expression is an independent prognostic parameter for poor survival in colorectal carcinoma patients. Clin Cancer Res 11: 3274–3279.
- Quayle SN, Sadar MD (2007) 14-3-3 sigma increases the transcriptional activity of the androgen receptor in the absence of androgens. Cancer Lett 254: 137–145.
- Tanaka K, Hatada T, Kobayashi M, Mohri Y, Tonouchi H, et al. (2004) The clinical implication of 14-3-3 sigma expression in primary gastrointestinal malignancy. Int J Oncol 25: 1591–1597.
- Nakayama H, Sano T, Motegi A, Oyama T, Nakajima T (2005) Increasing 14-3-3 sigma expression with declining estrogen receptor alpha and estrogenresponsive finger protein expression defines malignant progression of endometrial carcinoma. Pathol Int 55: 707–715.
- Okada T, Masuda N, Fukai Y, Shimura T, Nishida Y, et al. (2006) Immunohistochemical expression of 14-3-3 sigma protein in intraductal papillary-mucinous tumor and invasive ductal carcinoma of the pancreas. Anticancer Res 26: 3105–3110.
- Cheng L, Pan CX, Zhang JT, Zhang S, Kinch MS, et al. (2004) Loss of 14-3-3sigma in prostate cancer and its precursors. Clin Cancer Res 10: 3064–3068.
- Yi B, Tan SX, Tang CE, Huang WG, Cheng AL, et al. (2009) Inactivation of 14-3-3 sigma by promoter methylation correlates with metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Cell Biochem 106: 858–866.
- Chavez-Munoz C, Morse J, Kilani R, Ghahary A (2008) Primary human keratinocytes externalize stratifin protein via exosomes. J Cell Biochem 104: 2165–2173.
- Busuttil RA, Rubio M, Dolle ME, Campisi J, Vijg J (2003) Oxygen accelerates the accumulation of mutations during the senescence and immortalization of murine cells in culture. Aging Cell 2: 287–294.
- Ravi D, Wiles AM, Bhavani S, Ruan J, Leder P, et al. (2009) A network of conserved damage survival pathways revealed by a genomic RNAi screen. PLoS Genet 5: e1000527.
- Wilker EW, Grant RA, Artim SC, Yaffe MB (2005) A structural basis for 14-3-3sigma functional specificity. J Biol Chem 280: 18891–18898.
- Tarnowski BI, Sens DA, Nicholson JH, Hazen-Martin DJ, Garvin AJ, et al. (1993) Automatic quantitation of cell growth and determination of mitotic index using DAPI nuclear staining. Pediatr Pathol 13: 249–265.
- Ravi D, Muniyappa H, Das KC (2005) Endogenous thioredoxin is required for redox cycling of anthracyclines and p53-dependent apoptosis in cancer cells. J Biol Chem 280: 40084–40096.
- Ravi D, Das KC (2004) Redox-cycling of anthracyclines by thioredoxin system: increased superoxide generation and DNA damage. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 54: 449–458.
- Zhang L, Wei Q, Mao L, Liu W, Mills GB, et al. (2009) Serial dilution curve: a new method for analysis of reverse phase protein array data. Bioinformatics 25: 650–654.
- Stemke-Hale K, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Lluch A, Neve RM, Kuo WL, et al. (2008) An integrative genomic and proteomic analysis of PIK3CA, PTEN, and AKT mutations in breast cancer. Cancer Res 68: 6084–6091.
- Ravi D, Ramadas K, Mathew BS, Nalinakumari KR, Nair MK, et al. (1999) De novo programmed cell death in oral cancer. Histopathology 34: 241–249.