



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 18952

Binding studies between cytosinepeptidemycin and the superfamily 1 helicase protein of tobacco mosaic virus

Xiangyang Li, * Kai Chen, Di Gao, Dongmei Wang, Maoxi Huang, Hengmin Zhu and Jinxin Kang

Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) helicases play important roles in viral multiplication and interactions with host organisms. They can also be targeted by antiviral agents. Cytosinepeptidemycin has a good control effect against TMV. However, the mechanism of action is unclear. In this study, we expressed and purified TMV superfamily 1 helicase (TMV-Hel) and analyzed its three-dimensional structure. Furthermore, the binding interactions of TMV-Hel and cytosinepeptidemycin were studied. Microscale thermophoresis and isothermal titration calorimetry experiments showed that cytosinepeptidemycin bound to TMV-Hel with a dissociation constant of 0.24–0.44 μM . Docking studies provided further insights into the interaction of cytosinepeptidemycin with the His375 of TMV-Hel. Mutational and Microscale thermophoresis analyses showed that cytosinepeptidemycin bound to a TMV-Hel mutant (H375A) with a dissociation constant of 14.5 μM . Thus, His375 may be the important binding site for cytosinepeptidemycin. The data are important for designing and synthesizing new effective antiphytoviral agents.

Received 16th February 2018

Accepted 14th May 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra01466c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Superfamily 1 (SF1) helicases are encoded in the small and large subunits of tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) and tomato mosaic virus (ToMV).¹ They play important roles in viral replication. After infection, the genomic RNA is translated to produce the SF1 helicase in the cytoplasm of the host cell.² During viral-RNA replication and control of host defenses, the SF1 helicase interacts with itself³ and with host proteins, such as TOM1, ARL8 and auxin/indole-3-acetic acid-related proteins.^{4–8} Then, the resistance genes N⁹ and Tm-1 (ref. 10 and 11) are produced and bind replication proteins to inhibit replication complex formation.^{12–14}

The ToMV SF1 helicases' (ToMV-Hel) structure, which contains two RecA domains and an N-terminal accessory domain, was determined using X-ray crystallography.¹⁵ In the ToMV-Hel N-terminal accessory domain, a long α -helix binds to TOM1 and ARL8 (ref. 4–6) for viral replication. The primary amino acid sequence of TMV helicases (TMV-Hel) have high levels of similarity to that of ToMV-Hel. The TMV-Hel and ToMV-Hel belong to *Tobamovirus*.¹⁶ The TMV-Hel and ToMV-Hel are also highly similar in secondary structure and function.¹⁷ Therefore, TMV-Hel is an important protein and may be a target for antiphytoviral compounds.

Cytosinepeptidemycin is an antiphytoviral antibiotic. Zhu *et al.* (2005) reported that cytosinepeptidemycin showed an 82.6% protection activity and 95.3% inactivate activity against TMV in tobacco. Zhu *et al.*, using 3H-uridine and 3H-leucine tracing, found that the mechanism of cytosinepeptidemycin against TMV involved the synthesis of TMV RNA.^{18,19} Li *et al.* reported that cytosinepeptidemycin could inhibit the synthesis of the TMV methyltransferase-guanylyltransferase-like domain (at the N-terminus) and helicase-like domain (at the C-terminus) proteins using western blotting of BY-2 tobacco protoplasts. Furthermore, cytosinepeptidemycin could inhibit TMV RNA synthesis as shown in northern blots.²⁰ However, the site of action on TMV RNA by cytosinepeptidemycin is unclear.

Because of its importance in TMV-associated disease transmission, TMV-Hel was expressed and regarded as a potential target for cytosinepeptidemycin. Here, we report binding studies using cytosinepeptidemycin and the TMV-Hel protein. Microscale thermophoresis (MST) studies revealed that the binding affinity of cytosinepeptidemycin for the TMV-Hel protein was strong, with a dissociation constant of 0.44 μM . Molecular dynamics and mutagenesis studies revealed that His375 in TMV-Hel was an important binding site for cytosinepeptidemycin. Our results demonstrated that cytosinepeptidemycin had an affinity for the TMV-Hel protein through a direct interaction with residue His375. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report explaining the mechanism of cytosinepeptidemycin, which shows an antiviral activity against TMV.

State Key Laboratory Breeding Base of Green Pesticide and Agricultural Bioengineering, Key Laboratory of Green Pesticide and Agricultural Bioengineering, Ministry of Education, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, PR China. E-mail: xylil@gzu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-851-88292090; Tel: +86-851-88292090



2. Materials and methods

2.1. Drug and virus

Cytosinepeptidemycin (30%), original agent, was bought from an insecticide factory. The structural formula of compound is list in Table 1. Tobacco mosaic virus was preserved in tobacco K₃₂₆ in our laboratory.

2.2. RNA extraction, RT-PCR and plasmid constructs

TMV total RNA was extracted using the RNA TRIzol reagent (TaKaRa, Japan), and the total RNA was detected using a Genequant100 (GE Healthcare, USA). The cDNA synthesis methods were described in a previous report.²¹ The gene sequence (GenBank accession no. AJ011933.1) for TMV-Hel was used to design primers containing a Not I (underlined) restriction site in the forward primer (5'-ATAAGAATGCGGCCGCATGTCCTATTCTAAG-3') and a XhoI (underlined) site in the reverse primer (5'-CCGCTCGAGT-TAATCGACCTTATACATATC-3'). The full-length *TMV-Hel* gene was inserted into the vector pMCSG19 (Novagen, USA), resulting in the prokaryotic expression plasmid PMCSG19-TMV-Hel. The TMV-Hel mutant (H375A) was cloned and confirmed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and DNA sequencing (Shanghai Sangon Company, Shanghai, China).

2.3. Protein expression and purification

A freshly transformed overnight culture of *Escherichia coli* strain Rosetta(DE3) (Novagen, USA) containing the plasmid pMCSG19-TMV-Hel was transferred to 1 L Luria broth supplemented with 50 µg mL⁻¹ ampicillin at 37 °C until the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.6–1.0. Then, protein expression was induced with 0.8 mM isopropyl-β-D-galactopyranoside at 16 °C overnight. The cells were removed, immediately placed in centrifuge tubes and immersed in liquid nitrogen. The cells were harvested, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl and 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5) and then lysed at 4 °C by sonication.²² The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 12 000 g for 30 min at 4 °C, the soluble supernatant was loaded onto a 5 mL His-Trap high-performance column (GE Healthcare), and the protein was eluted with a linear gradient of 50–400 mM imidazole (pH 7.5). Eluate fractions collected from the column were analyzed by 12% SDS-PAGE, and the crude protein was isolated at 4 °C using a desalting column (GE Healthcare) attached to an AKTA purifier protein liquid chromatography system (GE Healthcare). The fractions containing target proteins were pooled, concentrated to a suitable concentration

by ultrafiltration (10 kDa cut-off), and the MBP-tag was digested by TEV protease at 4 °C (1 mg TEV protease with 100 mg MBP-tagged fusion protein). The protein concentration was determined using a Genequant100 system (GE Healthcare), and the protein was stored at –80 °C for further analyses.

2.4. Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) and PAGE analysis


The purified proteins were loaded onto the Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) of an AKTA purifier protein liquid chromatography system (GE Healthcare), and the peak protein states were estimated by comparisons with protein standards. The molecular mass standards (Bio-Rad, USA) included thyroglobulin (669 kDa), ferritin (440 kDa), bovine serum albumin (67 kDa), β-lactoglobulin (35 kDa), ribonuclease A (13.7 kDa), cytochrome (13.6 kDa), aprotinin (6.51 kDa), and vitamin B12 (1.36 kDa). The proteins were monitored by absorbance at 280 nm.

The fractions under the peak were collected and analyzed by 12% SDS-PAGE at room temperature. Briefly, 20 µL sample was mixed with 20 µL 2 × loading buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 200 mM DTT, 4% (m/v) SDS, 0.2% bromophenol blue (w/v), and 20% glycerin (v/v)]. Subsequently, 4 µL samples were loaded onto 12% gels. Electrophoresis was performed using a 1 × SDS-PAGE buffer [25 mM Tris, 250 mM glycine (pH 8.3), and 0.1% SDS (m/v)] initially at 150 V at 22 °C for 20 min, and then at 120 V at 22 °C for 55 min. After electrophoresis, gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue to identify proteins, and then destained with methanol and glacial acetic acid.

2.5. Homologous model of TMV-Hel

The homology of the complete nucleotide sequences TMV-Hel and ToMV-Hel (S666 to Q1116) was analyzed using the EMBL-EBI sequence alignment program server (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/>). The secondary structures of TMV-Hel were predicted based on their primary amino acid sequences using the ExPASy structural program server (http://www.expasy.org/structural_bioinformatics). The structure of TMV-Hel used for the study was modeled using the homologous structure of ToMV-Hel from PDB (PDB ID: 3VKW) using the ExPASy structural information for the protein (http://www.expasy.org/structural_bioinformatics). The structural representations of TMV-Hel were generated using pyMOL software (<http://www.pymol.org/>).²³

Table 1 Tobacco mosaic virus was preserved in tobacco K₃₂₆ in our laboratory

Drugs name	Molecular formula
Cytosinepeptidemycin	

2.6. Binding analysis between cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel

The binding was calculated using MST on a Monolith NR 115 (Nano Temper Technologies, Germany). Required compounds at ranging from 0.1 mM to 2 mM were incubated with 0.1 mM of purified recombinant protein for 5 min with the Monolith NT Protein Labeling Kit Red (Nano Temper Technologies) in assay buffer (10 mM PB and 150 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.5). The sample was loaded into the NanoTemper glass capillaries and microthermophoresis was carried out using 40% LED power and 40% MST. The K_d values were calculated using the mass action equation with the NanoTemper software from duplicate reads of triplicate experiments. Data are performed presented as means \pm SDs of triplicate assays. Statistical differences among the data were evaluated using NanoTemper software.^{24,25} Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)-binding experiments were performed using the ITC 200 Micro Calorimeter (GE Healthcare) at 25 °C. The buffer contained 10 mM Tris-HCl and 150 mM sodium chloride (pH 7.5). The compounds (2 mM) were titrated into TMV-Hel (0.1 mM) in a 200 μ L sample cell using a 40 μ L microsyringe, as follows: 0.4 μ L for the first injection and 2 μ L for the next 19 injections at intervals of 150 s. The integrated heat data were analyzed using the one-set-of-sites model in MicroCal Origin 7.0 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data are presented as means \pm SDs of triplicate assays. Statistical differences among the data were evaluated using MicroCal Origin 7.0 software. The first data point was not used in the analysis. The binding parameters reaction enthalpy changes in cal mol⁻¹ (ΔH), binding constant in mol⁻¹ (K) and number of molecules per TMV-Hel (n) were floating during the fit. The binding free energy (ΔG) and reaction entropy (ΔS) were calculated using the following equations:

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln K \quad (R = 1.9872 \text{ cal mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}, T = 298 \text{ K}), \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S. \quad (2)$$

The dissociation constant K_d was calculated as $1/K$.²⁶

2.7. Molecular docking of cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel

The initial structure of TMV-Hel was revised by adding lost residues and hydrogen atoms, and by confirming bonds and bumps. Subsequently, energy was minimized using the steepest descent calculations and conjugated gradient calculations using Discovery studio 4.5 (Accelrys, USA).²⁷ Optimized geometries were used to construct the entire structures. The final structures were optimized by fixing the macrocycle with a conjugated gradient.

The atomic Gasteiger-Huckel charges were assigned to the ligand and receptor. Most of the parameters for the docking calculation were set to the default values recommended by the software. Each docked structure was scored by the built-in scoring function and was clustered by 0.8 Å of RMSD

criteria.²⁸ For each binding model, a molecular mechanics/Poisson-Boltzmann surface area analysis was performed. Before these calculations were made, the complex structure was further refined initially with the steepest descent algorithm and then the conjugated gradient algorithm using the Amber9 package.²⁹ During the energy minimization process, the receptor was first fixed and only the ligand remained free. Then, the ligand and residue side chains remained free. Finally, all atoms of the system were liberated and refined to a convergence of 0.01 kcal (mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹).

2.8. Verifying the interaction between cytosinepeptidemycin and the mutated TMV-Hel

To verify the results of the molecular docking results, a mutation, H375A, was introduced into TMV-Hel. The mutant proteins were used to measure the interactions between cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel, as previously described.^{24–26}

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of TMV-Hel

The TMV-Hel protein was cloned into pMCSG19 and highly expressed in *E. coli*. The TMV-Hel protein from the TMV replication protein's S1 to Q451 segment (corresponding to S666 to Q1116) was successfully amplified using specific primers and cloned into the pMCSG19 vector (Fig. 1). A sequence analysis showed that TMV-Hel (isolated from Guizhou Province) consisted of 1353 nt and shared a 99% nucleotide identity with the published sequence of a TMV replication protein isolates in National Center of Biotechnology Information protein database (GenBank accession no. AJ011933.1).

3.2. Expression and purification of TMV-Hel

To obtain sufficient quantities of TMV-Hel for biochemical analyses, the expression vector pMCSG19 containing the *TMV-Hel* gene was transformed into *E. coli* strain *Rosetta (DE3)*. A large number of *TMV-Hel* genes were overexpressed when the final isopropyl- β -D-galactopyranoside concentration was increased to 0.8 mM and the solution was left overnight at 16 °C




Fig. 1 Construction of TMV-Hel in pMCSG19 plasmid. (A) The PCR-amplified and 1% agarose gel electrophoresis results showed that the length of TMV-Hel segments was 1353 bp, M was the DNA marker, 1 and 2 was two repeats. (B) M was marker, 1 was the false positive monoclone of pMCSG19-TMV-Hel, 2 was the positive monoclone of pMCSG19-TMV-Hel with 1353 bp TMV-Hel segments in pMCSG19. (C) The verification of pMCSG19-TMV-Hel by restriction enzyme Not I and Xho I, M is marker, 1 was the pMCSG19 segments with 6441 bp and TMV-Hel segments with 1353 bp.


(Fig. 2A and B). The His-MBP-tagged fusion protein was purified using a Ni-NTA column (GE Healthcare). More than 90% of the TMV-Hel-MBP protein was eluted from the beads with 400 mM imidazole in Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5), and an 89 kDa protein was revealed by 12% SDS-PAGE analysis (Fig. 2C). The final yield from 500 mL of bacterial culture was ~ 0.5 mg of purified TMV-Hel-MBP protein after using a desalting column. Then, MBP tags were digested by TEV protease to produce TMV-Hel protein.

3.3. The aggregating state of TMV-Hel

To determine the aggregating state of TMV-Hel *in vitro*, fresh TMV-Hel was isolated and analyzed by size-exclusion chromatography. The TMV-Hel eluted in the corresponding position of 67 kDa in 10 mM Tris-HCl and 150 mM sodium chloride (pH 7.5) (Fig. 2D). Thus, the monomer form of TMV-Hel was ~ 49 kDa.

3.4. Interactions between cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel

The binding interactions between cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel were analyzed by MST. Cytosinepeptidemycin bound to TMV-Hel protein with a K_d of 0.44 ± 0.16 μM (Fig. 3A). Analysis by ITC revealed that one TMV-Hel interacted with three cytosinepeptidemycin molecules, and cytosinepeptidemycin bound to TMV-Hel with a K of $4.16 \pm 2.23 \times 10^6$ M^{-1} (Fig. 3B). The titration data also indicated an apparent negative enthalpy value ($\Delta G = -1.74 \times 10^7$ kJ mol^{-1}) during the binding of



cytosinepeptidemycin to TMV-Hel. Cytosinepeptidemycin had a micromole affinity with TMV-Hel.

cytosinepeptidemycin to TMV-Hel. Cytosinepeptidemycin had a micromole affinity with TMV-Hel.

3.5. Homology modelling of TMV-Hel

A BLAST algorithm-based analysis using the EMBL-EBI sequence alignment program server, found an 89.6% homology between TMV-Hel and ToMV-Hel. Based on their primary amino acid sequences, the TMV-Hel protein's secondary structures were predicted, as shown in Fig. 4. The homology structure of TMV-Hel was modelled using the X-ray crystal structure of ToMV-Hel (PDB ID: 3VKW). The structural representation of TMV helicase is shown in Fig. 5.




Fig. 2 Purification and characterization of TMV-Hel. (A) TMV-Hel protein with MBP Tag was determined by 12% SDS-PAGE analysis with 89 kDa in lane 2, M was the protein marker, 1 was control. (B) TMV-Hel protein with MBP Tag was purified in lane 1 by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography, M was the protein marker. (C) TMV-Hel protein with MBP Tag was digested by TEV protease to product TMV-Hel protein by 12% SDS-PAGE analysis with 49 kDa in lane 1, M was the protein marker. (D) TMV-Hel protein eluted under the corresponding position of 67 kDa in 10 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM sodium chloride (pH 7.5) by using SEC analysis with about 49 kDa.




Fig. 4 Alignment of the amino acid sequences of TMV-Hel and ToMV-Hel. Secondary structural elements were indicated schematically under the X-ray crystal structure of ToMV-Hel. There was 89.6% homology between TMV-Hel and ToMV-Hel, the residue His375 has highly conserved regions in both TMV-Hel and ToMV-Hel. Secondary structural elements were indicated schematically under the sequences, generated by EMBL-EBI sequence alignment programs server, and indicated as difference residues (blue box) or red cylinder (α helices) or green arrows (β sheets), the nucleic acid binding motifs of TMV-Hel was labelled by yellow box.




Fig. 5 Based on the X-ray crystal structure of ToMV-Hel (PDB code: 3VKW), the TMV-Hel was modeled. (A) TMV-Hel; (B) was rotate 180 degrees of (A). The figures for structural representation of TMV-Hel were generated on pyMOL software.

3.6. Molecular docking of cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel

To identify the cytosinepeptidemycin recognition sites in TMV-Hel, we performed molecular docking using the Gold method with 200 cycles. After optimization, we found that His375 in the TMV-Hel shared two hydrogen bonds with cytosinepeptidemycin, $C=O \cdots H-N = 3.12 \text{ \AA}$ and $H-N \cdots H-N = 3.73 \text{ \AA}$ (Fig. 6). The BLAST algorithm-based analysis revealed that TMV-Hel shared a high homology level with known ToMV-Hel protein in GenBank, with His375 being present in a highly conserved region (Fig. 4). His375 (named His1040 in ToMV-Hel) is an important residue for TMV-Hel nucleic acid binding.³⁰ These analyses indicated that His375 is the reason for the decreasing activity level of cytosinepeptidemycin.

3.7. Mutational analysis of the protein–ligand interactions between cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel

To analyze the roles of the critical residue in the binding of TMV-Hel by cytosinepeptidemycin that was identified in the simulations, we cloned and expressed a TMV-Hel mutant, H375A. The interactions between the mutant and cytosinepeptidemycin were studied using MST, the affinity level between the TMV-Hel mutant and cytosinepeptidemycin decreased ($14.5 \pm 2.05 \text{ \mu M}$) compared with the wild type ($0.44 \pm 0.16 \text{ \mu M}$) (Fig. 7). Thus, we deduced that His375 is the binding site of TMV-Hel.




Fig. 6 Docking analysis of the interactions between cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel. (A) The binding-sites between cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel by using Discovery studio 4.5; (B) was a partial enlarged detail of (A), two binding-sites, $C=O \cdots H-N = 3.12 \text{ \AA}$ and $H-N \cdots H-N = 3.73 \text{ \AA}$, were found in the representative conformation. The stick model stands for cytosinepeptidemycin and His375.




Fig. 7 MST studies of cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel mutant. MST results showed that the K_d of cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel mutant (H375A) was 14.5 \mu M . It was 32 times of the K_d of cytosinepeptidemycin and TMV-Hel.

3.8. The putative reaction mechanism of cytosinepeptidemycin on TMV-Hel

Recent reports showed that the ToMV-Hel's conserved helicase motifs had NTP and nucleic acid-binding sites.^{31–35} The high homology of TMV-Hel with ToMV-Hel indicates that they may have similar functions. Furthermore, cytosinepeptidemycin could inhibit the synthesis of TMV-Hel as shown by western blotting in BY-2 tobacco protoplasts,²⁰ and TMV-Hel's His375 is associated with RNA binding.³⁰ The residue His375 was contained in a highly conserved region in both TMV-Hel and ToMV-Hel; therefore, the biological function of His375 in TMV-Hel could also be associated with RNA binding. Our experiments demonstrated that His375 was also the binding site of cytosinepeptidemycin. Based on these results, we hypothesize that cytosinepeptidemycin inhibited the virulence of TMV through its interaction with His375.

4. Conclusions

In summary, our study aimed to explain the mechanism of action of cytosinepeptidemycin against TMV-Hel. First, we expressed TMV-Hel and constructed a structural model as a potential target for antiphytoviral compounds. Second, we found that cytosinepeptidemycin had a micromole affinity for TMV-Hel. Last, we confirmed that cytosinepeptidemycin bound His375 in the TMV-Hel protein, which was important for the binding of TMV RNA. Thus, cytosinepeptidemycin inhibited the virulence of TMV *in vitro* by binding TMV-Hel *via* chemical bonds. This competition for the residues contributed to viral replication. Our results indicate, for the first time, that cytosinepeptidemycin inhibits TMV infection by binding His375 in the TMV-Hel protein.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported explanation of the mechanism for cytosinepeptidemycin that shows antiviral activity against TMV-Hel, and this study also

establishes a useful model system that allows the rapid screening of antiviral agents.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21502032 and No. 31460460).

References

- 1 G. Kadare and A. L. Haenni, Virus-encoded RNA helicases, *J. Virol.*, 1997, **71**, 2583–2590.
- 2 S. P. Goregaoker, D. J. Lewandowski and J. N. Culver, Identification and functional analysis of an interaction between domains of the 126/183-kDa replicase-associated proteins of tobacco mosaic virus, *Virology*, 2001, **282**, 320–328.
- 3 K. Ishibashi, M. Nishikiori and M. Ishikawa, Interactions between tobamovirus replication proteins and cellular factors: their impacts on virus multiplication, *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.*, 2010, **23**, 1413–1419.
- 4 M. Nishikiori, M. Mori, K. Dohi, H. Okamura, E. Katoh, S. Naito, T. Meshi and M. Ishikawa, A host small GTP-binding protein ARL8 plays crucial roles in Tobamovirus RNA replication, *PLoS Pathog.*, 2011, **7**, e1002409.
- 5 T. Yamanaka, T. Imai, R. Satoh, A. Kawashima, M. Takahashi, K. Tomita, K. Kubota, T. Meshi, S. Naito and M. Ishikawa, Complete inhibition of tobamovirus multiplication by simultaneous mutations in two homologous host genes, *J. Virol.*, 2002, **76**, 2494–2497.
- 6 T. Yamanaka, T. Ohta, M. Takahashi, T. Meshi, R. Schmidt, C. Dean, S. Naito and M. Ishikawa, TOM1, an arabidopsis gene required for efficient multiplication of a tobamovirus, encodes a putative transmembrane protein, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2000, **97**, 10107–10112.
- 7 M. S. Padmanabhan, S. P. Goregaoker, S. Golem, H. Shiferaw and J. N. Culver, Interaction of the tobacco mosaic virus replicase protein with the Aux/IAA protein PAP1/IAA26 is associated with disease development, *J. Virol.*, 2005, **79**, 2549–2558.
- 8 M. S. Padmanabhan, S. R. Kramer, X. Wang and J. N. Culver, Tobacco mosaic virus replicase-auxin/indole acetic acid protein interactions: reprogramming the auxin response pathway to enhance virus infection, *J. Virol.*, 2008, **82**, 2477–2485.
- 9 H. Ueda, Y. Yamaguchi and H. Sano, Direct interaction between the tobacco mosaic virus helicase domain and the ATP-bound resistance protein, N factor during the hypersensitive response in tobacco plants, *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 2006, **61**, 31–45.
- 10 K. Ishibashi, K. Masuda, S. Naito, T. Meshi and M. Ishikawa, An inhibitor of viral RNA replication is encoded by a plant resistance gene, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 13833–13838.
- 11 M. Kato, K. Ishibashi, C. Kobayashi, M. Ishikawa and E. Katoh, Expression, purification, and functional characterization of an n-terminal fragment of the tomato mosaic virus resistance protein tm-1, *Protein Expression Purif.*, 2013, **89**, 1–6.
- 12 K. Ishibashi, Y. Kezuka, C. Kobayashi, M. Kato, T. Inoue, T. Nonaka, M. Ishikawa, H. Matsumura and E. Katoh, Structural basis for the recognition-evasion arms race between tomato mosaic virus and the resistance gene tm-1, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2014, **111**, 3486–3495.
- 13 K. Ishibashi and M. Ishikawa, The Resistance Protein Tm-1 Inhibits Formation of a Tomato Mosaic Virus Replication Protein-Host Membrane Protein Complex, *J. Virol.*, 2013, **87**, 7933–7939.
- 14 K. Ishibashi and M. Ishikawa, Mechanisms of tomato mosaic virus RNA replication and its inhibition by the host resistance factor Tm-1, *Curr. Opin. Virol.*, 2014, **9**, 8–13.
- 15 M. Nishikiori, S. Sugiyama, H. Y. Xiang, M. Niiyama, K. Ishibashi, T. Inoue, M. Ishikawa, H. Matsumura and E. Katoh, Crystal structure of the superfamily 1 helicase from tomato mosaic virus, *J. Virol.*, 2012, **86**, 7565–7576.
- 16 H. Xiang, K. Ishibashi, M. Nishikiori, M. C. Jaudal, M. Ishikawa and E. Katoh, Expression, purification, and functional characterization of a stable helicase domain from a tomato mosaic virus replication protein, *Protein Expression Purif.*, 2012, **81**, 89–95.
- 17 Q. Zhou Study on molecular design, synthesis and bioactivity of insecticides based on the RNA helicase of tobacco Mosaic virus, Dissertation, Guizhou University, 2014.
- 18 C. Y. Zhu, Y. H. Wu, X. X. Zhao and Y. H. Wang, Action mechanism of Cytosinepeptidemycin against tobacco mosaic virus, *Acta Phys. Sin.*, 2006, **36**, 314–316.
- 19 C. Y. Zhu, The Action mechanism of Cytosinepeptidemycin on tobacco mosaic virus, Dissertation, Shenyang Agricultural University, 2005.
- 20 Y. L. Li, The Action Mechanism of Cytosinepeptidemycin on the Replication Protein Gene Expression of Tobacco Mosaic Virus, Dissertation, Shenyang Agricultural University, 2017.
- 21 X. Y. Li, B. A. Song, X. Chen, Z. C. Wang, M. J. Zeng, D. D. Yu, D. Y. Hu, Z. Chen, L. H. Jin, S. Yang, C. G. Yang and B. E. Chen, Crystal structure of a four-layer aggregate of engineered TMV CP implies the importance of terminal residues for oligomer assembly, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e77717.
- 22 X. Y. Li, G. F. Hao, Q. M. Wang, Z. Chen, Y. Ding, Y. Lu, D. Y. Hu and B. A. Song, Ningnanmycin inhibits tobacco mosaic virus virulence by binding directly to its coat protein discs, *Oncotarget*, 2017, **8**, 82446–82458.
- 23 W. L. DeLano, *The pymol molecular graphics system* 2010.
- 24 X. Y. Li, J. Liu, X. Yang, Y. Ding, J. Wu, D. Y. Hu and B. A. Song, Studies of binding interactions between Dufulin and southern rice black-streaked dwarf virus P9-1, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **23**, 3629–3637.

- 25 C. J. Wienken, P. Baaske, U. Rothbauer, D. Braun and S. Duhr, Protein-binding assays in biological liquids using microscale thermophoresis, *Nat. Commun.*, 2010, **1**, 100.
- 26 A. Das and G. S. Kumar, Binding studies of aristololactam- β -D-glucoside and daunomycin to human serum albumin, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 33082–33090.
- 27 J. N. Ding, Y. J. Zhang, H. Zhong, C. C. Ao, J. Li and J. G. Han, An all-atom molecular dynamics study of the anti-interferon signaling of ebola virus: interaction mechanisms of ebov vp24 binding to karyopherin alpha5, *Mol. BioSyst.*, 2017, **13**, 1031–1045.
- 28 L. A. Bratholm and J. H. Jensen, Protein structure refinement using a quantum mechanics-based chemical shielding predictor, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **8**, 2061–2072.
- 29 F. Ntie-Kang, S. Kannan, K. Wichapong, L. C. Owono Owono, W. Sippl and E. Megnassan, Binding of pyrazole-based inhibitors to mycobacterium tuberculosis pantothenate synthetase: docking and mm-gb(pb)sa analysis, *Mol. BioSyst.*, 2014, **10**, 223–239.
- 30 X. Wang, Z. Kelman and J. N. Culver, Helicase ATPase activity of the tobacco mosaic virus 126-kDa protein modulates replicase complex assembly, *Virology*, 2010, **402**, 292–302.
- 31 Z. Cheng, D. Muhlrads, M. K. Lim, R. Parker and H. Song, Structural and functional insights into the human Upf1 helicase core, *EMBO J.*, 2007, **26**, 253–264.
- 32 S. S. Velankar, P. Sultanas, M. S. Dillingham, H. S. Subramanya and D. B. Wigley, Crystal structures of complexes of PcrA DNA helicase with a DNA substrate indicate an inchworm mechanism, *Cell*, 1999, **97**, 75–84.
- 33 H. Xiang, S. Sugiyama, H. Adachi, K. Takano, S. Murakami, T. Inoue, Y. Mor, M. Ishikawa and E. Katoh, Crystallization and preliminary X-ray crystallographic analysis of a helicase-like domain from a tomato mosaic virus replication protein, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. F: Struct. Biol. Cryst. Commun.*, 2011, **67**, 1649–1652.
- 34 C. H. Gross and S. Shuman, Mutational analysis of vaccinia virus nucleoside triphosphate phosphohydrolase II, a DExH box RNA helicase, *J. Virol.*, 1995, **69**, 4727–4736.
- 35 A. Pause and N. Sonenberg, Mutational analysis of a DEAD box RNA helicase: the mammalian translation initiation factor eIF-4A, *EMBO J.*, 1992, **11**, 2643–2654.