

# Research

# A look at risk factors of proteinuria in subjects without impaired renal filtration function in a general population in Owerri, Nigeria

#### Ernest Ndukaife Anyabolu<sup>1,&</sup>, Innocent Ijezie Chukwuonye<sup>2</sup>, Arthur Ebelenna Anyabolu<sup>3</sup>, Okezie Enwere<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Imo State University Teaching Hospital, Orlu, Nigeria, <sup>2</sup>Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Federal Medical Centre, Umuahia, Nigeria, <sup>3</sup>Division of Respirology, Department of Medicine, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Teaching Hospital, Nnewi, Nigeria

<sup>&</sup>Corresponding author: Ernest Ndukaife Anyabolu, Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Imo State University Teaching Hospital, Orlu, Nigeria

Key words: Risk factors, proteinuria, urine creatinine, urine osmolality, Owerri, Nigeria

Received: 14/10/2015 - Accepted: 14/03/2016 - Published: 28/04/2016

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Proteinuria is a common marker of kidney damage. This study aimed at determining predictors of proteinuria in subjects without impaired renal filtration function in Owerri, Nigeria. **Methods:** This was a cross-sectional study involving 136 subjects, consecutively drawn from Federal Medical Centre (FMC), Owerri, Nigeria. Relevant investigations were performed, including 24-hour urine protein (24HUP). Correlation and multivariate linear regression analysis were used to determine the association and strength of variables to predict proteinuria. Proteinuria was defined as 24HUP  $\geq$ 0.300g and impaired renal filtration function as creatinine clearance (CICr) <90mls/min. P<0.05 was taken as statistically significant. **Results:** Mean age of subjects was 38.58 ±11.79 years. Female/male ratio was 3:1. High 24-hour urine volume (24HUV) (p<0.001), high spot urine protein/creatinine ratio (SUPCR) (p<0.001), high 24-hour urine protein/creatinine ratio (SUPCR) (p<0.001), high 24-hour urine protein/creatinine ratio (SUPCR) (p<0.001), low 24-hour urine creatinine/osmolality ratio (24HUCOR) (p<0.001), and low spot urine protein/osmolality ratio (SUPOR) (p<0.001), predicted proteinuria in this study. **Conclusion:** The risk factors of proteinuria in subjects without impaired renal filtration function in Owerri, Nigeria, included 24HUV, SUPCR, 24HUPCR, 24HUPOR, 24HUCOR and SUPOR. Further research should explore the relationship between urine creatinine and urine osmolality, and how this relationship may affect progression of kidney damage, with or without impaired renal filtration function.

#### Pan African Medical Journal. 2016; 23:257 doi:10.11604/pamj.2016.23.257.8189

This article is available online at: http://www.panafrican-med-journal.com/content/article/23/257/full/

© Ernest Ndukaife Anyabolu et al. The Pan African Medical Journal - ISSN 1937-8688. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



#### Introduction

The world prevalence of proteinuria in the general population is not known. However, in Australia, a large-scale study showed a proteinuria prevalence of 2.4% in the general population [1]. In USA, prevalence of 1.7% was documented in a study [2]. A prevalence of 4.4% was reported in Japan [3]. Studies from Sub-Saharan Africa showed a similar prevalence [4]. In two studies, Nigeria reported 29.7% and 1.9% [5, 6].

Proteinuria is an established marker of chronic kidney disease. A meta-analysis of studies on chronic kidney disease (CKD) noted that proteinuria was used to determine the presence of kidney damage in only 69% of the studies, while estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was used in the remaining 31% [4]. This has undermined identification and monitoring of patients with CKD who may have chronic kidney damage without impaired GFR.

In the setting of CKD, with or without impaired GFR, proteinuria is a recognized independent risk factor for cardiovascular and renal disease, and a predictor of end-organ damage [7,8].

The predictors of proteinuria from previous studies included HIV infection, hepatitis C virus infection [9, 10].

There is paucity of studies on the predictors of proteinuria in Nigeria, and none from literature search in the South eastern part of Nigeria. We have therefore, set out to determine the predictors of isolated proteinuria in the general population in Owerri, Nigeria. This will help in identifying potential patients in the general population who may have kidney damage, without impairment of renal filtration function.

# Methods

This was a two-month, cross sectional study conducted in FMC Owerri, in 2011.

One hundred and thirty-six, 18-65 years-old subjects were consecutively recruited from the Medical Out-Patient Department of the hospital.

Approval for this study was obtained from the Research Ethical Committee of FMC.

Informed consent was obtained from all the subjects who took part in this study.

Subjects with kidney disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, or any conditions known to be associated with kidney damage and those on nephrotoxic drugs were excluded from the study. Demographic and anthropometric data were collected with use of questionnaire.

Investigations done on each of the subjects were serum creatinine, spot urine protein (SUP), spot urine creatinine (SUCr), spot urine osmolality (SUOsm), 24HUCr, 24-hour urine osmolality (24HUOsm), 24-hour urine protein (24HUP), fasting serum cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), triglyceride.

Creatinine was determined by modified Jeffe's method, protein by photometric method and osmolality by freezing point depression method using Precision System Osmette 5002 osmometer. Creatinine clearance (ClCr), SUPCR, SUPOR, 24HUPCR, 24HUPOR, spot urine creatinine/osmolality ratio (SUCOR), 24HUCOR were determined.

Proteinuria was defined as  $24HUP \ge 0.300g$  and impaired renal filtration function as CICr <90mls/min.

Potential risk factors of proteinuria evaluated, here, were: age, serum creatinine, SUP, SUCr, SUOsm, 24HUV, 24HUCr, 24HUOsm, SUPCR, SUPOR, 24HUPCR, 24HUPOR, SUCOR, 24HUCOR, ClCr, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), cholesterol, LDL, HDL, triglyceride, hemoglobin, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP).

SPSS version 17 was used to analyze the data. The distribution and characterization of variables between subjects with proteinuria and those without proteinuria were determined using cross tabulation. Correlation statistics were used to determine the association of variables with proteinuria, while multivariate linear regression analysis was used to determine the strength of variables to predict proteinuria. P<0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

#### Results

The total number of subjects that took part in the study was 136. Females were 98 (72.1%) while males were 38(27.9%). The female/male ratio was 3:1. The mean age of subjects was  $38.58\pm11.79$  years. The mean serum creatinine was  $0.88\pm0.19$ g/dl, SUOsm  $334\pm204$ mOsm/kgH2O, 24HUOsm  $284\pm253$ mOsm, 24HUP  $0.095\pm0.087$ g, ClCr 93.01+15.19ml/min. None of the subjects has ClCr <60ml/min. Six subjects have ClCr 60-89mls/min and were excluded from the study. Mean values of other variables are shown in Table 1.

Out of the 130 subjects, 20(14.1%) have proteinuria, while 110(85.9%) did not have proteinuria

Table 2 shows the relationship between proteinuria and selected risk factors in the study population. There was no significant association between proteinuria and different BMI categories, p=0.120.The different WC levels showed no significant association with proteinuria in both males, p=0.737, and females, p=0.457.Similarly, there was no significant association between proteinuria and lipid profiles: cholesterol p=0.263, LDL p=0.943, HDL p=0.637, triglyceride p=0.394.

Table 3 shows the correlation coefficient (r) of proteinuria with potential risk factors in the study population were: SUCr -0.183, SUOsm -0.225, 24HUV 0.254, SUPCR 0.513, SUPOR 0.366, 24HUPCR 0.682, 24HUPOR 0.702, 24HUCOR 0.440. Negative values mean inverse relationship with proteinuria. The p values were significant, implying that these variables have significant direct association with proteinuria.

Conversely, there was no significant correlation between proteinuria and age, serum creatinine, SUP, 24HUCr, 24HUOsm, SUCOR, ClCr, BMI, WC, total cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL, HDL, hemoglobin, SBP, DBP.

Multivariate linear regression analysis of the potential predictors of proteinuria is shown in Table 4. High 24HUV, high SUPCR, high 24HUPCR, high 24HUPOR, low SUPOR and low 24HUCOR were predictors of proteinuria in this study.

#### Discussion

This study showed that the predictors of proteinuria were high 24HUV, high SUPCR, high 24HUPCR, high 24HUPOR, low SUPOR, and low 24HUCOR in subjects without impaired renal filtration function in Owerri, Nigeria.

The finding of 24HUV as a predictor of proteinuria in this study tends to suggest that kidney damage associated with proteinuria will also give rise to passage of increased urine volume. A study reported increasing urine volume associated with decreasing renal function [11]. The study, however, did not evaluate the association of urine volume with proteinuria, but urine volume in reduced renal function defined only by reduced renal filtration function. Nevertheless, our finding does not agree with earlier study in which kidney disease was shown to be associated with fluid retention and reduced urine volume [12].

However, glomerular disease with proteinuria may later affect the interstitial compartment and may lead to distal tubular damage, some with attendant nephrogenic diabetes insipidus that is associated with polyuria [13, 14].

Low SUCr was associated with proteinuria in this study. This agrees with established fact that urine creatinine decreases as kidney damage progresses [15]. However, multi-linear regression analysis showed SUCr was not a predictor of proteinuria. SUCr is known to vary over 24 hours of the days and may not indicate quantitatively the presence of renal damage [16].

Low SUOsm was, as found in this study, significantly associated with proteinuria. Further analysis, however, showed it was not a predictor of proteinuria. Low SUOsm may suggest a low capacity to regulate and concentrate urine by the kidney. This finding is in consonance with the report from studies which showed that reduced ability to concentrate urine occurred as kidney damage progressed [11,17].

Both SUPCR and SUPOR were predictors of proteinuria in this study. They are indices of 24-hour urine protein estimation, and expectedly, should reflect proteinuria.

We found that both 24HUPCR and 24HUPOR were predictors of proteinuria in this study. They are not routine screening tools in

clinical practice. Literature search did not reveal any studies in which they were used in evaluating renal function.

Our study also noted that 24HUCOR was a negative predictor of proteinuria. Simply put, as proteinuria increased, 24HUCOR decreased. From literature search, we did not find any study that compared 24HUCOR in subjects with proteinuria and those without proteinuria.

No association was found between age and proteinuria. However, Agbaji et al [18] reported association of age with CKD. In their study, the study population consisted of HIV subjects, whereas ours, here, was a non-HIV population. In addition, CKD in their study design was defined by GFR, in contrast to our study which was on proteinuria without impaired renal filtration function.

We did not find any association between cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, with proteinuria. A study, however, reported high cholesterol and high triglyceride as predictors of CKD in HIV subjects, contrasting with our study in non-HIV population [19, 20].

Hemoglobin was not associated with proteinuria in this study. In contrast, anemia was associated with CKD in one study [21].

This study also showed that there was no association between SBP, DBP and proteinuria. In contrast, some studies found SBP and DBP as predictors of CKD [20-23]. Our study exclusion criteria removed those subjects that were hypertensive. Most of these reference studies used GFR to define renal damage, whereas our study used only proteinuria to denote renal damage, without impairment of renal filtration function.

# Conclusion

The risk factors of proteinuria in this study population included high 24HUV, high SUPCR, high 24HUPCR, high 24HUPOR, low SUPOR and low 24HUCOR. Further search should explore the relationship between urine creatinine and urine osmolality, and how this relationship may affect progression of kidney damage with or without impaired renal filtration function. **Limitations**: The study population was small. A larger study population size would have been better.

#### What is known about this topic

- Proteinuria is a marker of renal damage;
- Some risk factors of proteinuria have been identified;
- Kidney damage is commonly identified with reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR).

#### What this study adds

- When GFR alone is used to screen for kidney damage, many subjects who have kidney damage but do not have impaired GFR may be missed out;
- Risk factors of proteinuria identified in this study are a mirror of kidney damage in patients without impaired renal filtration function in a general population;
- Some of these risk factors should further be explored to determine what relationship urine creatinine may have with urine osmolality.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interest.

# Authors' contributions

All authors have read and agreed to the final version of this manuscript and have equally contributed to its content and to the management of the case.

#### Tables

**Table 1**: Clinical and laboratory characteristics of study population

 **Table 2**: Relationship between proteinuria and selected risk factors in study subjects

**Table 3**: Correlation of proteinuria with variables in study population

**Table 4**: Multivariate linear regression analysis showing risk factors

 for proteinuria in study population

#### References

- Chadban SJ, Briganti EM, Kerr PG, Dunstan DW, Welborn TA et al. Prevalence of kidney damage in Australian adults: the Aus Diab Kidney Study. JASN. 2003 July; 14(2): 5131-5138. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Read J, Kopyl N. Prevalence of albuminuria in the US adult population over the age of 40: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (1990-2008). Internet J Nephrol. 2009; 6: 1.Google Scholar
- Konta T, Hao Z, Abilo H, Ishikawa M, Takahashi T et al. Prevalence and risk factor analysis of microalbuminuria in Japanese general population: the Takahata study. Kidney Int. 2006 Aug; 70(4): 751-6. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Stanifer Jw, Jing B, Tolan S, Helmke N, Mukenjee R et al. The epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2014 Mar; 2(3): e174-81. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Asani MO, Thomas FB. Prevalence of asymptomatic proteinuria among primary school children in Jos, Nigeria. Sahel Med J. 2004; 7(1): 10-12. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Arogundade F, Abubakr S, Muzamil H, Aderoju G, Funmilayo O et al. Undiagnosed hypertension and proteinuria in a market population in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Arab J Nephrol Transpl. 2011; 4(3): 141-146.**PubMed | Google Scholar**
- Barnas U, Schmidt A, Haas M et al. Parameter-associated with chronic renal transplant failure. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1997; 12 Suppl 2: 82-5. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Bacquer DD, Vanholder R. Chronic kidney disease: a European perspective. Kidney Int Suppl. 2005 Dec; (99): S30-8. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Szczech LA1, Gange SJ, van der Horst C, Bartlett JA, Young M et al. Predictors of proteinuria and renal failure among women with HIV infection. Kidney Int. 2002 Jan; 61(1):195-202. PubMed | Google Scholar

- Jao J, Palmer D, Leus I, Tih P, Baweja M et al. Prevalence and predictors of proteinuria in HIV-infected and uninfected pregnant women in Cameroon. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2011; 26(9): 3051-3053. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Herbert LA, Greene T, Levey A, Falkenham ME, Kalhr S. High urine volume and low urine osmolality are risk factors for faster progression of renal disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003 May; 41(5): 962-971.**PubMed | Google Scholar**
- Kellum JA, Rimmele T. Oliguria and Fluid Overload. Contrib Nephrol. 2010; 164: 39-45. PubMed |Google Scholar
- Conte G, Canton AD, Fuiano G, Tembile M, Sabbatini M. Mechanism of impaired urinary concentration. Kidney Int. 1985 May; 27(5): 792-8. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Choudhury D, Ahmed Z. Drug-associated renal dysfunction and injury. Nat Clin Pract Nephrol. 2006 Feb; 2(2): 80-91. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Tynchevich E, Flamant M, Hayman JP, Metzger M, Thervet E et al. Decrease in urinary creatinine excretion in early stage chronic kidney disease. PLoS One. 2014 Nov 17; 9(11): e111949. PubMed |Google Scholar
- Anyabolu EN, Chukwuonye II, Arodiwe E, Ijoma CK, Ulasi I. Predictors and prevalence of chronic kidney disease in newlydiagnosed human immunodeficiency virus patients in Owerri, Nigeria. Indian J Nephrol. 2016; 26(1): 10-15. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Waldo HB, Mariana de Paz S, Matilde N, Aeiel G. Impaired Urine dilution capacity in HIV stable patients. Int J Nephrol. 2014; 2014: 381985. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Abgaji OO, Onu A, Agaba PE, Muazu MA, Falang KD et al. Predictors of impaired renal function among HIV-infected patients commencing highly active antiretroviral therapy in Jos, Nigeria. Niger Med J. 2011 Jul; 52(3): 182-5. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Overton ET, Nurutdinova D, Freeman J, Seyfried W, Mondy KE. Factors associated with renal dysfunction among within an urban HIV-1 infected cohort in the era of highly active

antiretroviral therapy. HIV Med. 2009 Jul;10(6):343-50. **PubMed** | **Google Scholar** 

- Emem CP, Arogundade F, Sanusi A, Adelusola K, Wokoma F, Akinsola A. Renal disease in HIV-seropositive patients in Nigeria: an assessment of prevalence, clinical features and risk factors. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2008 Feb; 23(2): 741-6. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Babitt JL, Lin HY. Mechanism of Anemia in CKD. JASN. 2012 Oct; 23(10):1631-1634. PubMed |Google Scholar
- Cheung CY, Wong KM, Lee MP, Liu YL, Kwok H et al. Prevalence of kidney disease in Chinese HIV-infected patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2007 Nov; 22(11): 3186-90. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Sarafidis PA, Bakris GL. Microalbuminuria and chronic kidney disease as risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2006 Sep; 21(9): 2366-74. PubMed | Google Scholar

Table 1: Clinical and laboratory characteristics of study population			
Variables	Mean (SD)		
Age (years)	38.58±11.79		
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) both gender	25.51±6.47		

Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) male	21.74±4.66		
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) female	26.98± 6.50		
Waist circumference (cm) both gender	82.69±11.36		
Waist circumference (cm) male	79.86±10.27		
Waist circumference (cm) female	83.78±11.62		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	120.4±18.2		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	76.9±10.7		
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	12.9±1.6		
Serum total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.41±1.25		
Serum LDL-C (mmol/I)	2.87±1.07		
Serum HDL-C (mmol/I)	0.97±0.34		
Triglyceride (mmol/l)	1.27±0.40		
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	0.88±0.19		
Creatinine clearance (mls/min)	93.01±15.19		
Spot urine protein (mg/dl)	7.19±18.37		
Spot urine creatinine (mg/dl)	147.55±176.43		
Spot urine osmolality (mOsm/kgH <sub>2</sub> O)	334±204		
24-hour urine volume )ml)	1874± 681		
24-hour urine creatinine (mg)	1202.96±315.00		
24-hour urine protein (g)	0.095±0.087		
24 hour urine osmolality (mOsm)	284±253		
SUPCR (mg/mg)	0.082±0.163		
SUPOR (mg/dl/mOsm/kgH <sub>2</sub> O)	0.042±0.135		
24HUPCR (g/mg)	0.101±0.121		
24HUPOR (g/mOsm)	1.410±2.465		
SUCOR (mg/dl/mOsm/kgH <sub>2</sub> O)	0.628±0.728		
24HUCOR (mg/dl/mOsm)	0.707±0.468		
LDL-C=low density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C=high density lipoprotein cholesterol,			
SUPCR=spot urine protein/creatinine ratio, SUPOR=spot urine protein/osmolality ratio,			
24HUPCR=24-hour urine protein/creatinine ratio, 24HUPOR=24-hour urine			
protein/osmolality ratio, SUCOR= spot urine creatinine/osmolality ratio, 24HUCOR=24-hour			
urine creatinine/osmolality ratio.			

Table 2: Relationship between proteinuria and selected risk factors in study subjects					
Variables	Proteinuria Absent (n/%)	Proteinuria Present (n/%) N=20	Chi Square	LHR	P value

	N=110				
BMI <18.5	14(10.3%)	0(0.0)	5.021	0.072	0.120
18.5-24.9	45(33.1%)	12(8.8%)			
25.0-29.9	22(16.2%)	2(1.5%)			
≥30	35(25.7%)	6(4.4%)			
Waist C (cm)					
Male <102	34(91.9%)	2(5.4%)	0.059	0.113	0.737
≥102	1(2.7%)	0(0.0%)			
Female <88	52(53.1%)	10(10.2%)	0.564	0.554	0.457
≥88	28(28.6%)	8(8.2%)			
FSLP (mmol/l)					
Chol T Des (<5.2	91(66.9%)	17(12.5%)	2.669	4.533	0.263
BorderL(5.2-6.2)	12(8.8%)	3(2.2%)			
High (>6.2)	13(9.6%)	0(0.0%)			
LDL Des (<2.6)	56(41.2%)	9(6.6%)	0.075	0.963	0.943
BorderL (2.6- 4.1)	44(32.4%)	8(5.9%)			
High (>4.1)	16(11.8%)	3(2.2%)			
HDL Low (<1)	63(46.3%)	12(8.8%)	0.223	0.225	0.637
High (≥1)	53(39.0%)	8(5.9%)			
TG Des <1.7)	106(77.9%)	20(14.7%)	1.861	3.315	0.394
BorderL (1.7-2.2)	3(2.2%)	0(0.0%)			
High >2.2)	7(5.1%)	0(0.0%)			
LHR=Likelihood ratio, BMI=body mass index, Waist C=waist circumference, FSLP=fasting serum lipid					
profile, ChoIT=total cholesterol, Des=desirable BorderL=borderline, LDL=low density lipoprotein					
cholesterol, HDL=high density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG=triglyceride					

<b>Table 3:</b> Correlation of proteinuria with variables in study population			
Variables	Correlation coefficient	P value	

Age	0.000	0.997	
Serum creatinine	0.029	0.733	
Spot urine protein	0.159	0.066	
Spot urine creatinine	-0.183	0.030	
Spot urine osmolality	-0.225	0.008	
24-hour urine volume	0.254	0.003	
24-hour urine creatinine	-0.027	0.753	
24-hour urine osmolality	-0.147	0.089	
SUPCR	0.513	<0.001	
SUPOR	0.366	<0.001	
24HUPCR	0.682	<0.001	
24HUPOR	0.702	<0.001	
SUCOR	0.053	0.543	
24HUCOR	0.440	<0.001	
ClCr	0.011	0.900	
Body mass index	0.046	0.595	
Waist circumference	0.362	0.079	
Cholesterol	-0.026	0.765	
Triglyceride	0.134	0.120	
LDL-C	-0.077	0.371	
HDL-C	0.057	0.508	
Hemoglobin	-0.088	0.306	
Systolic blood pressure	-0.014	0.867	
Diastolic blood pressure	0.040	0.642	
SUPCR=spot urine protein/creatinine ratio, SUPOR=spot urine			
protein/osmolality ration, 24HUPCR=24-hour urine protein/creatinine ratio,			
24HUPOR=24-hour urine protein/osmolality ratio, SUCOR=spot urine			
creatinine/osmolality ratio, 24HUCOR=24-hour urine creatinine/osmolality			
ratio, LDL-C=low density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C=high density			
lipoprotein cholesterol,			

 Table 4: Multivariate linear regression analysis showing

risk factors for proteinuria in study population

Variable		Beta	t	p value
Spot	urine	0.000	0.000	1 000
creatinine		0.000	0.000	1.000
Spot	urine	-0 045	-0.812	0.418
osmolality		-0.0-5	-0.012	0.110
24-hour	urine	0 118	2 173	0.032
volume		0.110	2.175	0.052
SUPCR		0.448	4.483	<0.001
SUPOR		345	-3.339	0.001
24HUPCR		0.381	6.813	<0.001
24HUPOR		0.676	7.674	<0.001
24HUCOR		-0.315	-3.745	<0.001
Model Summary $R=0.861$ $R^2=0.742$ Standard error of				

Model Summary R=0.861, R<sup>2</sup>=0.742, Standard error of estimate=0.046, p<0.001.

SUPCR=spot urine protein/creatinine ratio, SUPOR=spot urine protein/osmolality ratio, 24HUPCR=24-hour urine protein/creatinine ratio, 24HUPOR=24-hour urine protein/osmolality ratio. 24HUCOR=24-hour urine creatinine/osmolality ratio.