self-care, and cognitive function was significantly higher for Vietnamese than non-Hispanic White. These findings suggest a possible negative outcome trend with the aging of the Vietnamese population. We discuss the historical accounts of Vietnamese in the United States as war refugees and family reunion migrants, provide possible explanations for these new findings including changing demographic structures, and make recommendations for policy and practice that incorporate existing social and cultural resources in the Vietnamese community.

RACIAL-ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN ACCESS TO PREVENTIVE SERVICES AMONG PRIVATELY INSURED ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES

Elham Mahmoudi, ¹ lauren Groskaufmanis, ² Neil Kamdar, ² Anam Khan, ³ and Mark Peterson, ⁴ 1. *University of Michigan, Commerce Township, Michigan, United States,* 2. *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States,* 3. *University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States,* 4. *University of Michigan, United States,* 4.

Introduction: Cerebral palsy (CP) and spina bifida (SB) are congenital disabilities. Due to life-long disability, adults with CP/SB are with greater needs for preventative care. Little is known about racial/ethnic disparities in use of preventative services in this population. Our objective was to examine racial/ethnic disparities in use of preventative care. Methods: Using 2007-2017 private claims data, we identified White, Black, and Hispanic adults (18+) with CP/SB [n=11,635; White=8,935; Black=1,457; Hispanic=1,243)]. We quantified the National Institute of Medicine (NAM) definition of disparity by matching health related variables (age, sex, comorbid conditions, and Elixhauser index) between Whites and each minority subpopulation. Generalized estimating equations were used and all models were adjusted for age, sex, comorbidities, income, education, and U.S. Census divisions. Outcomes of interest were: (1) any office visit; (2) any physical therapy/ occupational therapy (PT/OT); (3) annual wellness visit; (4) bone density screening; (5) cholesterol screening; (6) diabetes screening. Results: Rate of recommended services for all adults with CP/SB were low and no significant results were found for most preventative services across race/ethnicity. Compared with Whites, Hispanics had lower odds of annual wellness visit (OR: 0.71; 95% CI: 0.53, 0.96) but higher odds of diabetes screening (OR: 1.48; 95% CI: 1.13, 1.93). Blacks had lower odds of bone density screening (OR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.31-0.95), and annual wellness visit (OR: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.24-1.00). Conclusions: There were no substantial racial/ethnic disparities in use of preventive services among privately insured adults with CP/SB who had a higher-than-average income and education level.

TRACKING PROGRESS ON PERSON-CENTERED CARE FOR OLDER ADULTS: ARE WE DOING RIGHT BY RACIAL AND ETHNIC MINORITIES?

Jane Tavares, ¹ Marc Cohen, ² and Ann Hwang, ³
1. Leading Age LTSS Center @UMass Boston, Boston,
Massachusetts, United States, 2. University of Massachusetts
Boston, University of Massachusetts Boston, Massachusetts,
United States, 3. Commonwealth Care Alliance, Boston,
Massachusetts, United States

Person-Centered care is integral and necessary to high-quality systems of care, providing a holistic approach and addressing the needs and preferences of individuals. Analyzing the 2014 and 2016 Health and Retirement Survey we measure the extent to which the health care system provides person-centered care, to whom and how its receipt affects satisfaction levels and service utilization. About onethird of individuals' report that their preferences were only rarely or sometimes takes account. Results vary greatly by race, highlighting great disparities in person-centered care. One in four Hispanics and one in six Blacks report never having their preferences taken into account compared to roughly one in ten Whites. When people report that their preferences are ignored, they are more likely to forgo medical care and report lower satisfaction with the system. Strategies exist to strengthen and assure advancements in personcentered care, something particularly needed for people of color and low-income populations.

VIRTUAL INTERDISCIPLINARY COLLABORATION IN STATEWIDE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MIND AT HOME DEMENTIA CARE PROGRAM

Deirdre Johnston, ¹ Jennifer Bourquin, ² Morgan Spliedt, ³ Inga Antonsdottir, ⁴ Cody Stringer, ⁵ Noemi Smithroat, ⁶ Melissa Reuland, ⁷ and Quincy Samus, ¹ 1. Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, United States, 2. Superior HealthPlan, Austin, TX, Texas, United States, 3. The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, United States, 4. Johns Hopkins School of Nursing, Baltimore, Maryland, United States, 5. Centene Corporation, Clayton, Missouri, United States, 6. Superior HealthPlan, San Antonio, Texas, United States, 7. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, United States

MIND at Home, a well-researched holistic, familycentered dementia care coordination program, provides collaborative support to community-dwelling persons living with dementia (PLWD) and their informal care partners (CP). Through comprehensive home-based assessment of 13 memory-care domains covering PLWD and CPs, individualized care plans are created, implemented, monitored, and revised over the course of the illness. Non-clinical Memory Care Coordinators (MCCs) working with an interdisciplinary team provide education and coaching to PLWD and their identified CP, and serve as a critical liaison and resource and between families, medical professional, and formal and informal community resources. This paper will describe a statewide pilot implementation of the program within a health plan across diverse sites in Texas and will present qualitative and quantitative descriptions of a key component of the program's effective translation to practice, the virtual collaborative case-based learning sessions. Health plan teams completed online interactive training modules and an intensive in-person case-based training with the Johns Hopkins team prior to program launch, and then engaged in weekly, hour-long virtual collaborative sessions that included health plan teams (site-based field teams, health plan clinical supervisory and specialty personnel [RNs, pharmacists, a geriatric psychiatrist, behavioral health specialists] and Johns Hopkins MIND program experts and geriatric psychiatrists. To date, the program has enrolled 350 health plan members, conducted 65 virtual collaborative sessions, and provided

423 CME/CEU units to team members. We will provide an overview of virtual collaborative session structure, participant contributions and discussion topics, case complexity, as well as didactic learning topics covered.

Session 4455 (Symposium)

STAKEHOLDER-DRIVEN METHODS CAN ENHANCE CARE DELIVERY FOR NURSING HOME RESIDENTS WITH DEMENTIA

Chair: Natalie Leland Co-Chair: Felicia Chew Discussant: Jenny Martínez

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the need to optimize care for one of the most affected sectors: older adults in nursing homes and more specifically highly vulnerable populations such as residents with dementia. Research developed in collaboration with stakeholders can optimize impact, relevance, and trustworthiness of study findings thereby informing advances in care. Yet, evidence on stakeholder driven research for enhancing dementia care is limited. This symposium will provide examples of stakeholder-driven research questions that were addressed with stakeholder engagement. First, we will present current evidence about the perspectives of caregivers, including those from communities of color. The second presentation will discuss the perspective of clinical training stakeholders responsible for supporting system-wide clinical program implementation and their experiences with early and later adopter nursing homes within the context of a clinical trial. The third presentation will address the perspective of policy makers and payers via the effect of state-mandated dementia training on resident outcomes. The fourth and final present the findings from a study that examined how nursing home stakeholders responded to a payor requirements for pharmacy services and the relationship between that response and patient outcomes. We will conclude the session with a discussion of stakeholder-engagement methods and recommendations for future nursing home research, which champions stakeholder collaboration.

EXPLORING INFORMAL CAREGIVERS' PRIORITIES OF NURSING HOME DEMENTIA CARE FROM COMMUNITIES OF COLOR

Stephanie Rouch,¹ Marybeth Moscirella,¹ Alexandra Harper,¹ Cara Lekovitch,¹ Rose Turner,² Catherine Piersol,³ and Natalie Leland,¹ 1. University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States, 2. University of Pittburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States, 3. Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States

Informal caregivers are critical stakeholders in nursing home (NH) care for individuals with dementia. Given racial and ethnic disparities in United States NHs, there is a need to understand informal caregivers' perspectives, particularly among those that identify as members of a community of color. We conducted a scoping review of informal caregiver priorities of nursing home dementia care. Included studies exclusively examined priorities of informal caregivers identifying as Black, Indigenous, or people of color.

The final sample (n=12) included two United States studies representing African American and Korean informal caregivers. The remaining studies were conducted in other countries. Informal caregivers expressed a desire for professional support during the nursing home transition, increased staff knowledge of dementia, and improved resident engagement. These findings highlight the paucity of informal caregivers identifying as Black, Indigenous, or people of color represented in US nursing home dementia research. Future efforts must include communities of color.

IMPLEMENTATION IN NURSING HOMES: DESCRIBING EARLY AND LATE ADOPTERS OF AN EVIDENCE-BASED DEMENTIA CARE PROGRAM

Cara Lekovitch,¹ Pamela Toto,¹ Felicia Chew,² Dawn Bieber,³ and Natalie Leland,¹ 1. University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States, 2. Thomas Jefferson University, Mount Royal, New Jersey, United States, 3. Genesis HealthCare, Kennett Square, Pennsylvania, United States

Despite national efforts to improve nursing home (NH) quality, care remains variable. Health system efforts to drive improvement often begin with a sub-group of NHs before scaling up across the organization. Yet, there is limited evidence on who to target for the first group. This study addressed this gap by examining facility characteristics of early and late adopters within a multi-site pragmatic clinical trial. Data were obtained from the Organizational Readiness to Change Assessment (ORCA), which was completed by expert trainers, and Nursing Home Compare. Early and late adoption was operationalized according to Roger's Diffusion of Innovations. Sixty-percent of NHs (n=12) were late adopters and 40% (n=8) were early adopters. Between group differences (p<.01) were found in number of health inspection citations and context domain within the ORCA. These findings equip health systems with evidence on how to strategically target partners for initial quality improvement efforts prior to system-wide implementation.

THE IMPACT OF STATE DEMENTIA TRAINING REQUIREMENTS FOR NURSING HOMES ON RESIDENT OUTCOMES

Victoria Shier,¹ Yuna Bae-Shaaw,² Cara Lekovitch,³ Felicia Chew,⁴ Natalie Leland,³ and Neeraj Sood,¹ 1. University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, United States, 2. University of Southern California, Placentia, California, United States, 3. University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States, 4. Thomas Jefferson University, Mount Royal, New Jersey, United States

Stakeholders, including policymakers, have prioritized the need to educate nursing home (NH) staff about Alzheimer's disease and dementia. Despite this prioritization and the relationship between staff training and outcomes, dementia-specific knowledge is variable. This study examined state-level training policies between 2011-2016. During this time 12 states (regulators and payers) implemented NH dementia training requirements, creating an opportunity for a natural experiment between states with and without new requirements. We estimated difference-in-differences models to determine the effect of state requirements on outcomes.