Pharmaceutics



JYP

Preparation and Characterization of Metformin Hydrochloride — Compritol 888 ATO Solid Dispersion

Jagdale SC, Patil SA, Kuchekar BS, Chabukswar AR

Department of Pharmaceutics, MAEER's Maharashtra Institute of Pharmacy, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. S. C. Jagdale; E-mail: jagdaleswati@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

Metformin hydrochloride (MET) sustained-release solid dispersions (SD) were prepared by the solvent evaporation and closed melt method, using compritol 888 ATO as the polymer with five different drug-carrier ratios. Characterization of solid dispersion was carried out by Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, ultraviolet (UV) spectroscopy, Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD). The FTIR and UV studies suggested that no bond formation had occurred between the polymer and the drug. DSC and XPRD results ruled out any interaction or complex formation between the drug and the polymer. The formulated SD had acceptable physicochemical characters and SD with a 1 : 4 drug : Polymer ratio, which released the drug over an extended period of eight-to-ten hours. The data obtained from the *in vitro* release studies were fitted with various kinetic models and were found to follow the Korsmeyer-Peppas equation. The prepared SD showed good stability over the studied time period. The solvent evaporation method was found to be more helpful than the closed melt method, giving the sustained release action. The SD with a 1 : 4 ratio of drug to polymer, by the solvent evaporation method, sustained-release dosage form of the drug.

Key words: Closed melt, compritol 888 ATO, metformin, solid dispersion, solvent evaporation

INTRODUCTION

Metformin hydrochloride (MET) is a highly water-soluble anti-hyperglycemic agent used in the treatment of type 2 non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Its relatively low (50 – 60%) bioavailability, together with its short and variable biological half-life (1.5 – 4.5 hours),^[1] require

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:				
	Website: www.jyoungpharm.in			
	DOI: 10.4103/0975-1483.83758			

repeated administrations of high doses to maintain effective plasma concentrations, thus reducing patient compliance and/or enhancing the incidence of side-effects. Side effects and the need for administration, twice or thrice a day when larger doses are required, can decrease patient compliance. Sustained release (SR) formulation that would maintain the plasma levels of the drug for eight to twelve hours might be sufficient for once daily dosing of metformin. Administration of a sustained-release, oncea-day metformin dosage form could reduce the dosing frequency and improve patient compliance.^[2] Sustained or controlled drug delivery occurs when embedded within a polymer that may be natural or semi-synthetic or synthetic in nature. The polymer is judiciously combined with the drug or other active ingredients in such a way that the active agent is released from the material in a predetermined fashion and releases the drug at a constant rate for the desired time period.^[3]

Solid dispersion (SD), in which compounds are dispersed into water-soluble carriers, has been generally used to improve the dissolution properties and the bioavailability of drugs that are poorly soluble in water.^[4] Solid dispersion has also been applied for the controlled release of drugs. Previous reports have shown that by using solid dispersions it is possible to precisely control the rate of release of an extremely water soluble drug, such as oxprenolol hydrochloride^[5] and that of phenacetin^[6] and diclofenac sodium^[7] as well. These studies have shown that there is a linear relationship between the rate of release.

Polymers that primarily form insoluble or skeleton matrices are considered as the first category of retarding materials. The second class represents hydrophobic and water insoluble materials, which are potentially erodible and the third group exhibits hydrophilic properties.^[8] The drug release for extended duration, particularly for highly watersoluble drugs, using a hydrophilic matrix system is restricted due to the rapid diffusion of the dissolved drug through the hydrophilic gel network. For such drugs with high water solubility, hydrophobic polymers (waxes) are suitable as matrix forming agents for developing sustained-release dosage forms. Hydrophobic polymers provide several advantages, ranging from good stability at varying pH values and moisture levels, to well-established safe applications.^[9]

Over the past decade, glyceryl behenate has been used for controlled-release applications by direct compression^[10] and more recently by : Hot-melt coating,^[11] melt granulation^[12] or pelletization.^[13] This glyceride mixture is known to exhibit a complex polymorphism depending on many parameters such as crystallization rate or temperature of storage.^[12] Compritol 888 ATO consists of a mixture of mono-, di- and tribehenates of glycerol (18, 52, and 28% in weight, respectively) and presents a drop point ranging from 69°C to 74°C and a hydrophilic–lipophilic balance value of 2. More recently, this mixture of glycerides has been designed to provide sustained release of drugs. Such release would not be obtained from more defined compounds like pure di – or triglycerides.^[14]

Therefore, in the present study, solid dispersions were prepared using compritol 888 ATO by different methods, that is, physical mixing, closed melt method, and the solvent evaporation method, and characterized by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), X-ray powder diffractometry (PXRD), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) in order to carefully investigate and compare the physical-chemical properties of the obtained dispersions, for a rational selection of the best one. In addition, solid dispersions were examined for a drug release pattern and release mechanism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Metformin hydrochloride was kindly supplied by Indoco Remedies (Goa, India) and glyceryl behenate (Compritol 888 ATO) were obtained from Colorcon Asia Pvt. Ltd (Mumbai, India). All other chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade.

Preparation methods

Preparation ol physical mixtures

Physical mixtures (PM) ^[15] of MET and comprised in powder form were mixed in mortar and passed through sieve mesh No. 60. ThePM were prepared in the following ratios : MET : Comprised in the ratios of 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:4, and 1:5.

Solis dispersions prepared by the solvent evaporation method^[16]

Solid dispersions were prepared by dissolving accurately weighed amounts of compritol and MET in chloroform. After complete dissolution, the solvent was left to evaporate in open air for two days. Subsequently, the solid mass was ground and passed through sieve No. 60. The sieved ground powders were stored at 25°C in a desiccator, in a screw-capped glass vial until use.

Solis dispersions prepared by the closed melt method

Solid dispersions were prepared by the closed melting method^[17] as follows. From the PMs, 2 g were placed into each ampule and then sealed. The ampules were then heated at 80°C for 10 minutes. Subsequently they were opened and dried for 10 minutes at each heating temperature, in order to remove the water. The samples were collected from the ampules and kept overnight, triturated, and passed through a 60 No. sieve. The solid dispersions were then stored in air tight containers until evaluation.

Evaluation and characterization of solid dispersion

Drut content and percent yield

Physical mixtures and solid dispersions equivalent to 10 mg of MET were weighed accurately and dissolved in 25 ml of methanol. The solutions were filtered through a membrane filter (0.45 mm). The drug content was determined at

232 nm by UV spectrophotometer (Varian Cary 100) after suitable dilution in distilled water (DW). The percentage yield of each formulation was also calculated.^[18]

Spectroscopic studies

Drug polymer interactions between MET and compritol was studied by the spectral shift method. Ten milligrams of drug and solid dispersions equivalent to 10 mg MET were dissolved in 25 ml methanol, filtered using Whatman filter paper No.41 and degassed by sonication for 30 minutes. After appropriate dilutions using DW, the solutions were scanned at 232 nm with a UV spectrophotometer (Varian Cary 100).^[19]

Dissolution study

The dissolution studies^[20] were performed using a US Pharmacopoeia 24 type II dissolution test apparatus (Electrolab TDT-08L, Mumbai, India). The samples equivalent to 10 mg MET were placed in a dissolution vessel containing 900 ml of double distilled water maintained at $37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C and stirred at 100 rpm. Fivce milliliter samples were collected periodically and replaced with a fresh dissolution medium. After filtration through Whatman filter paper No.41, a concentration of MET was determined spectrophotometrically at 232 nm. The data were analyzed by PCP Disso software (version 3.0). Solid dispersions were optimized based on the percentage drug release criteria fixed by USP XXXII^[21] as given below:

Release at one hour :25 to 40% Release at two hours :35 to 55% Release at six hours :65 to 85% Release at ten hours :Not less than 85%

Dissolution efficiency

The dissolution efficiency $(DE)^{[22]}$ of various solid dispersions was calculated. DE is used as the criterion for comparing the effect of polymer concentration on the release rate. Dissolution efficiency (equation 1) is defined as the area under the dissolution curve up to the time, t, expressed as a percentage of the area of the rectangle described by 100% dissolution in the same time.

$$DE = \frac{{}_{0}\int^{T} Y \times dt}{Y_{100} \times T} \times 100\%$$
(1)

where Y is the percent drug release as the function of time, t. T is the total time of drug release and Y_{100} is 100% drug release.

Release experiments

In order to gain insight into the drug release mechanism from the solid dispersion, release data^[23] of selected formulations were examined according to the zero-order, first-order, and the Higuchi's square root of time mathematical models, Hixson and Crowell powder dissolution method, Korsmeyer and Peppas model, and the release exponent, n, was calculated. All the dissolution profiles were subjected to model fitting using PCP Disso software (version 3.0).

An n value 0.5 is considered consistent with a diffusioncontrolled release, whereas, a value of 1.0 indicates a zero-order release behavior, and intermediate values (0.5 > n > 1.0) are defined as anomalous non-fickian transport mechanism.^[24]

Similarity factor (f2) analysis

In vitro release profile of the marketed metformin-sustained release tablets, (Glumet XR[®], Cipla) was performed under similar conditions as used for *in vitro* release testing of the test product for the release of MET. The similarity factor^[25] between the two formulations was determined using the data obtained from the drug release studies. The data was analyzed by the formula shown in equation 2.

$$f2 = 50 \log \{ [1 + (1/N) \Sigma (Ri - Ti)^2]^{-0.5} \times 100 \}$$
(2)

where N = number of time points, Ri and Ti = dissolution of reference and test products at time i. If f2 is greater than 50 it is considered that the two products share similar drug release behaviors.^[26]

Diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy

The diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS)^[27] spectra of pure metformin, physical mixtures, and solid dispersions were obtained, after appropriate background subtraction, using an FTIR spectrometer (FTIR-640 IR, Varian). About 2 to 3 mg of the sample was mixed with dry potassium bromide, and the sample was scanned from 4,000 to 400 cm⁻¹.

Differential scanning calorimetry

The calorimeter used was DSC 823e (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland), which was equipped with an intracooler and a refrigerated cooling system. The Indium standard was used to calibrate the DSC temperature and enthalpy scale. Nitrogen was used to purge gas through the DSC cell at the flow rate of 50 ml/minute and 100 ml/minute through the cooling unit. The samples (5 – 10 mg were hermetically sealed in an aluminum pan and the heating rate was carried out at 5°C/minute.^[28]

X-ray powder diffractometry

The powder X-ray diffraction patterns^[29] of the powdered samples were recorded by using a Philips PW-1729 X-ray diffractometer. Samples were irradiated with monochromatized CuK α radiation and a graphite

monochromator. The samples were analyzed in the $5-50^{\circ}$ 2θ range at a scan rate of 0.05° per second.

Stability studies

The stability studies^[30] were conducted on metformin solid dispersions along with the physical mixtures, to assess their stability with respect to their physical appearance, drug content, and drug release characteristics after storing them at 40°C and relative humidity (RH) of 75% for one month and at room temperature, for six months.

RESULTS

Preparation of solid dispersions

Table 1 summarizes the abbreviations, drug content, and yield along with dissolution efficiency for the solid dispersions. The production yields of solid dispersions from solvent evaporation ranged between $91.87 \pm 0.55\%$ and 97.80 ± 1.99%.

The production yields of solid dispersions from the closed melt method ranged between $98.15 \pm 1.19\%$ and 99.20 \pm 0.17%. The amount of drug determined in each solid dispersion was between 96.70 \pm 0.31% and 99.56 \pm 1.10% for the solvent evaporation method and 96.05 \pm 0.64% and $98.56 \pm 0.57\%$ for the closed melt method.

Spectroscopic studies

The UV spectra of MET and solid dispersions were studied. There was no shift in the λ max of metformin in the presence of compritol.

Dissolution study

The dissolution profiles of metformin solid dispersions, along with physical mixtures, in double distilled water, are shown in Figures 1 and 2, for solvent evaporation and the closed melt method, respectively. MET is a highly aqueous soluble and got completely dissolved within a few minutes. The dissolution from the physical mixture showed



Figure 1: Dissolution profile of metformin hydrochloride, physical mixture, and solid dispersions using the solvent evaporation method

approximately the same behavior of pure MET, with only a very slight initial slowing down of the drug dissolution rate, due to the presence of the hydrophobic polymer, which reduced the drug wettability. As the ratio of drug to polymer increased there was a significant decrease in the drug release from the solid dispersions, reaching 80% of dissolved drug after about four and seven hours for SC2 and SC3. As the quantity of polymer increased further there was

dissolution efficiency for the solid dispersions						
Method of	Abbreviations	% drug	% yield ^a	Dissolution		
preparation		content ^a		efficiency		
Physical mixture 1 : 1	PC1	98.90 ± 0.63	99.15 ± 0.35	-		
Physical mixture 1 : 2	PC2	97.63 ± 0.51	99.23 ± 0.24	-		
Physical mixture 1 : 3	PC3	96.72 ± 0.91	99.35 ± 0.34	-		
Physical mixture 1 : 4	PC4	97.80 ± 1.03	97.83 ± 0.88	-		
Physical mixture 1 : 5	PC5	98.56 ± 1.08	98.17 ± 1.01	-		
Solvent evaporation 1:1	SC1	99.56 ± 1.10	97.80 ± 1.99	88.11		
Solvent evaporation 1:2	SC2	99.0 ± 0.44	96.92 ± 1.48	76.67		
Solvent evaporation 1:3	SC3	97.0 ± 0.96	97.67 ± 0.94	70.09		
Solvent evaporation 1:4	SC4	98.90 ± 1.33	91.87 ± 0.55	63.90		
Solvent evaporation 1:5	SC5	96.70 ± 0.31	92.67 ± 0.81	60.60		
Closed melt 1 : 1	CC1	98.3 ± 1.11	98.20 ± 0.29	91.13		
Closed melt 1:2	CC2	98.56 ± 0.57	98.40 ± 1.77	89.68		
Closed melt 1:3	CC3	96.40 ± 0.66	99.20 ± 0.17	86.48		
Closed melt 1:4	CC4	96.05 ± 0.64	99.10 ± 0.19	87.17		
Closed melt 1 : 5	CC5	96.52 ± 0.85	98.15 ± 1.19	86.28		

Table 1: Abbreviations, drug content, yield, and

^aexpressed as mean \pm (S.D.) standard deviation, n = 3



Figure 2: Dissolution profile of metformin hydrochloride, physical mixture, and solid dispersions using the closed melt method

also a decrease in the amount of drug release from the SD, giving a extended release up to 10 hours for SC4 and SC5.

From the dissolution profile of the solid dispersions of MET with compritol by the closed melt method, as depicted in Figure 2, it is clear that the physical mixture shows approximately the same behavior of pure MET dissolving completely within a few minutes.

Dissolution efficiency

All the dissolution profiles were subjected to model fitting using PCP Disso software (version 3.0). The dissolution efficiency (DE) of various solid dispersions was calculated [Table 1].

Dissolution efficiency is used as the criterion for comparing the effect of polymer concentration on the release rate. The solid dispersions showing the desired release profile were selected as optimized batches for further evaluations. The solid dispersion of MET with Compritol 888 ATO, which was selected as the optimized batch for further evaluation was SC4 solid dispersion, depending on the release profiles.

Release experiments

Table 2 shows the data for kinetics of solid dispersions. The *in vitro* release pattern of the SC4 SDs was analyzed by fitting the dissolution data into various kinetic models. It was observed that the R² value was higher when fitted to the Korsmeyer-Peppas equation, as compared to the zero order equation, which indicated Peppas as the best fitting kinetic model for SC4.

When the data was plotted according to the first order equation, the SC4 solid dispersions showed a fair linearity, with regression values of 0.9425. When the data was plotted according to a Hixson and Crowell's equation, the SC4 solid dispersions did not show much linearity, with a regression value of 0.8722. The solid dispersions did not fit into the zero order equation, with an R^2 value of 0.5832, indicating that the dissolution rate of the drug was not independent of the amount of drug available for dissolution and diffusion from the solid dispersions.

Similarity factor (f2)

The similarity factor f2 method can be used to compare two dissolution profiles. The reference drug was used. The results of f2 are shown in Table 3.

Similarity factor analysis between the prepared solid dispersion and marketed tablet for the release of MET showed an f2 factor greater than 50; f2 = 59.55 for SC4 solid dispersion. The higher the f2 values, the more

similar the dissolution profiles, so f2 < 50 represented non-similar profiles, while f2 > 50 denoted a similarity between profiles of prepared solid dispersions and the marketed product.

Diffuse reflectance infrared fourier transform spectroscopy

Two typical bands at 3369 cm⁻¹ and 3294 cm⁻¹, relative to the N–H primary stretching vibration, and a band at 3155 cm⁻¹ due to the N–H secondary stretching, and characteristic bands at 1626 cm⁻¹ and 1567 cm⁻¹ assigned to C-N stretching are observed in the FTIR spectrum of pure MET [Figure 3a]. However, the IR spectra of compritol show typical bands at 2820 cm⁻¹, due to C-H stretching, and 1705 cm⁻¹ due to C = O (carbonyl) stretching [Figure 3g]. The physical mixture spectrum [Figure 3f] shows all the above bands and can be considered as the sum of drug and carrier. The FTIR spectra of the solid dispersions presented appreciable shifts and reduction in the intensity of the characteristic metformin bands at 3369 cm⁻¹, 3294 cm⁻¹, and also at 1626 cm⁻¹, maybe due to the weak hydrogen bonding between the drug and polymer.

Table 2: Kinetics of optimized solid dispersion of metformin hydrochloride

Model	Equation	SC4	
		R ²	k
Zero order	$F = k \times t$ (where F is the fraction of drug release, k is the release constant, and t is the time)	0.5832	10.7462
First order	Ln $F = k \times t$ (where F is the fraction of drug release, k is the release constant, and t is the time)	0.9425	-0.2112
Higuchi matrix	$F = k \sqrt{t}$	0.9607	29.5498
Hixson and Crowell powder dissolution method	$F = 100 (1 - (1 - kt)^3)$	0.8722	-0.0548
Korsmeyer and Peppas model ^a	$F = kt^n$	0.9902	41.0961
1 (1100 1 1 00 1 0)			

a and n (diffusional coefficient) n = 0.3206

Table 3: f2 factor results

Time	Average % release		f2
	Reference	SC4	
0	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	28.30	39.03	55.82
2	41.68	54.93	50.23
3	51.92	60.33	50.99
4	59.17	64.42	52.59
5	64.78	67.41	54.36
6	67.34	71.71	55.50
7	71.17	75.21	56.51
8	75.90	79.24	57.49
9	81.35	82.87	58.56
10	86.81	87.62	59.55
Average	-	-	59.55

Differential scanning calorimetry

DISCUSSION

The thermal curves of MET and of selected solid dispersion SC4, along with the physical mixture, are shown in Figure 4.

The thermal curve of pure MET [Figure 4a] exhibited an initial flat profile followed by a sharp endothermic effect, with a T_{peak} at 231.0°C and an associated fusion enthalpy of 292.2 J/g, indicating its anhydrous crystalline state. The DSC profile of Compritol [Figure 4b] showed a sharp endothermic effect, with a T_{peak} at 70°C, due to its crystalline nature. The thermal curve of the physical mixture [Figure 4c] showed a sharp endothermic peak at 68°C, corresponding to melting point of COM followed by the flat profile and then the reduced endothermic peak of MET at 233°C. This change in the melting points of both the components may be attributed due to the dilution factor. The thermal curve of SC4 [Figure 4d] displayed a broad endothermic peak ranging from 55°C to 59°C followed by endothermic and exothermic curves and finally the endothermic peak at 231°C, due to MET.

X-ray powder diffractometry

Figure 5 shows the powder XRD patterns of the pure drug, Compritol 888 ATO, physical mixture (1:3), and solid dispersion prepared by solvent evaporation (SC4) with compritol 888 ATO. In powder X-ray diffraction, sharper diffraction peaks indicate more crystalline material. The sharp, intense representative peaks of pure MET [Figure 5a] notably at 2θ angles were 17°, 22°, 23°, 31°, and 45°. This series of sharp and intense diffraction peaks indicated the crystalline state of pure MET. The polymorphic structure of a drug is an important parameter that influences the dissolution rate and bioavailability of the drug. Compritol shows two peaks [Figure 5b] that occur due to lipidic polymorphism at 21° and 23°. The diffraction pattern of the physical mixtures [Figure 5c] was simply the superimposition of those of the pure components. In case of solid dispersion prepared by the solvent evaporation method [Figure 5d] the diffraction patterns were very similar to those of the physical mixture showing all the intense peaks of both the drug and polymer.

Stability studies

No visible changes in the appearance of the solid dispersion were observed at the end of the storage period. The drug content and dissolution of metformin was almost similar to that at time zero during the whole period of investigation. It was observed in UV studies that there was slight reduction in the absorbance of MET in solid dispersion



Figure 3: FTIR spectra of (a) MET, (b) CC5, (c) CC4, (d) SC5, (e) SC4, (f) PC3, and (g) compritol



Figure 4: DSC curves of (a) metformin hydrochloride, (b) compritol, (c) physical mixture, (d) SC4 SD



Figure 5: XRD patterns of (a) metformin hydrochloride, (b) compritol, (c) physical mixtures, (d) SC4 SD

than the pure drug. This induced change in absorbance was attributed, primarily, to the weak hydrogen bonding.

The *in vitro* dissolution study showed the slow drug release from SD, namely, SC3, SC4, and SC5, which was due to an increase in the overall lipophilicity of solid dispersions, leading to a decrease in the effective interfacial area between the drug and the dissolution medium. This resulted in the reduction of the dispersion wettability (the rate of the dissolution medium penetration into the solid dispersions) and consequently led to a decrease in drug diffusion from the dispersions. SC3 showed a sustained release effect with 92% drug release after 10 hours, but could fit into the release criteria as per USP test 2. SC4 solid dispersions followed the drug release pattern as per the required conditions and was considered as the idle ratio as per USP test 2. Further increase in the amount of the polymer resulted in the retarded release of the drug with just 82% drug release for SC5 after 10 hours, but the required release was not less than 85% after 10 hours, hence, ruling out the probability of fitting into the release criteria. Initial burst release (more than 60% in the first hour) from all the solid dispersions prepared by the closed melt method could probably be attributed to the dissolution of the drug from the surface of the dispersions, indicating failure of formation of dispersions. None of the solid dispersions showed promising results in sustaining the drug release for 10 hours.

The dissolution efficiency values are consistent with the dissolution data. For example, the DE value for the SD SC1 was 88.11%, whereas, this value decreased to 63.90 and 60.60% for the SDs SC4 and SC5, respectively, for SDs prepared by the solvent evaporation method. The DE values were 91.13 and 86.28% for CC1 and CC5, respectively. The DE values do not show any major changes

in case of solid dispersions with compritol using the closed melt method.

The kinetic studies when applied to solid dispersion showed that the release profile followed was the Korsmeyer-Peppas equation. The diffusion mechanism of drug release from SC4 was further confirmed by the Korsmeyer-Peppas plots that showed fair linearity ($\mathbb{R}^2 > 0.98$), with a slope value of 0.3206, which was far less than 0.5, suggesting that the drug release mechanism from the selected solid dispersions was diffusion controlled. From the similarity factor data it could be concluded that there was no significant difference in the release profile of the prepared solid dispersion and the marketed tablets of MET.

In the IR studies there was no sign of any bands, which had disappeared, suggesting that the bonding, if present, may not have been so strong as to suppress the band. The crests and troughs associated with the SC4 SD indicated some kind of interaction between the drug and polymer. However, the presence of melting peaks in the curve of the solid dispersion indicated the absence of drug–carrier interactions and/or drug inclusion complexation. The DSC and XRD studies proved that there was retention of the crystalline nature of the drug in solid dispersion ruling out any probability of drug and polymer interaction or complex formation.

CONCLUSION

Solid dispersions for the controlled release of MET were developed by using compritol. The release rate of MET from SD was significantly affected by the preparation technique, used for obtaining solid dispersion, and also the quantity of polymer in the system. The solvent evaporation method was found to be more helpful than the closed melt in giving the required sustained release action. SD containing 80% Compritol (SC4) showed suitable release kinetics, and was free of any interaction between the polymers and drug. Drug release from SC4 SD followed the Korsmeyer-Peppas equation. The FTIR and UV results did not show any drug-wax interaction. DSC and PXRD studies ruled out the occurrence of solid state interaction and complex formation. From the similarity factor data it could be concluded that there was no significant difference in the release profile of the solid dispersions and the marketed tablets of metformin hydrochloride. Therefore, SC4 SD was selected as the most effective candidate for the subsequent development of a well-timed, sustainedrelease dosage form of the drug.

REFERENCES

- Corti G, Capasso G, Maestrelli F, Cirri M, Mura P. Physical–chemical characterization of binary systems of metformin hydrochloride with triacetyl-β-cyclodextrin. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2007;45:480-6.
- Mandal U, Gowda V, Ghosh A, Bose A, Bhaumik U, Chatterjee B, *et al.* Optimization of metformin hcl 500 mg sustained release matrix tablets using artificial neural network based on multilayer perceptrons model. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo) 2008;56:150-5.
- Lordi NG. Sustained release dosage forms. In : Lachman L, Lieberman HA, Kanig JL, editors. The theory and practice of industrial pharmacy. Bombay : Varghese Publishing House; 1990. p. 430-56.
- Suzuki H, Sunada H. Influence of water-soluble polymers on the dissolution of nifedipine solid dispersions with combined carriers. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo) 1998;46:482-7.
- Ozeki T, Yuasa H, Kanaya Y, Oishi K. Application of the solid dispersion method to controlled release of medicine. VIII. Medicine release and viscosity of the hydrogel of a water soluble polymer in a three-component solid dispersion system. Chem Pharm Bull 1995;43:1574-9.
- Ozeki T, Yuasa H, Okada H. Controlled release of drug via methylcellulosecarboxyvinylpolymer interpolymer complex solid dispersion. AAPS Pharm Sci Tech 2005;6:E231-6.
- Shivkumar HN, Desai BG, Deshmukh G. Design and optimization of diclofenac sodium controlled release solid dispersions by response surface methodology. Indian J Pharm Sci 2008;70:22-30.
- Reza SM, Quadir MA, Haider SS. Comparative evaluation of plastic, hydrophobic and hydrophilic polymers as matrices for controlled-release drug delivery. J Pharm Pharm Sci 2003;6:282-91.
- Tiwari SB, Murthy TK, Pai MR, Mehta PR, Chowdary PB. Controlled release formulation of tramadol hydrochloride using hydrophilic and hydrophobic matrix system. AAPS Pharm Sci Tech 2003;4:1-6:E31.
- Barthelemy P, Laforet JP, Farah N, Joachim J. Compritol 888 ATO : An innovative hot-melt coating agent for prolonged release drug formulations. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 1999;47:87-90.
- 11. Faham A, Prinderre P, Piccerelle P, Farah N, Joachim J. Hot melt coating technology : Influence of compritol 888 ATO and granule size on chloroquine release. Pharmazie 2000;55:444-8.
- Hamdani J, Moes AJ, Amighi K. Physical and thermal characterisation of precirol and compritol as lipophilic glycerides used for the preparation of controlled-release matrix pellets. Int J Pharm 2003;260:47-57.
- 13. Zhang YE, Schwartz JB. Melt granulation and heat treatment for wax matrix-controlled drug release. Drug Dev Ind Pharm 2003;29:131-8.
- Brubach JB, Jannin V, Mahler B, Bourgaux C, Lessieur P, Roy P, *et al.* Structural and thermal characterization of glyceryl behenate by x-ray diffraction coupled to differential calorimetry and infrared spectroscopy. Int J Pharm 2007;336:248-56.
- Dabbagh MA, Taghipour B. Investigation of solid dispersion technique in improvement of physicochemical characteristics of ibuprofen powder. Iran J Pharm Sci 2007;3:69-76.

- Xie Y, Xie P, Song X, Tang X, Song H. Preparation of esomeprazole zinc solid dispersion and study on its pharmacokinetics. Int J Pharm 2008;360:53-7.
- Furuyama N, Hasegawa S, Hamaura T, Yada S, Nakagami H, Yonemochi E, *et al.* Evaluation of solid dispersions on a molecular level by the Raman mapping technique. Int J Pharm 2008;361:12-8.
- Chauhan B, Shimpi S, Paradkar A. Preparation and characterization of etoricoxib solid dispersions using lipid carriers by spray drying technique. AAPS PharmSciTech 2005;6:E405-12.
- Chowdary KP, Nalluri BN. Studies on nimesulide and β cyclodextrin inclusion complexes. Indian Drugs 2000;37:299-304.
- Stepensky D, Friedman M, Srour W, Raz I, Hoffman A. Preclinical evaluation of pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic rationale for oral CR metformin formulation. J Control Release 2001;71:107-15.
- US Pharmacopoeia XXXII. First supplement. Metformin hydrochloride extended release tablets Monograph. Rockville, MD : US Pharmacopeial Convention; 2009. p. 4073-7.
- Nokhodchi A, Hassan-Zadeh D, Monajjem-Zadeh F, Taghi-Zadeh N. Effect of various surfactants and their concentration on controlled release of captopril from polymeric matrices. Acta Pharm 2008;58:151-62.
- Ali J, Arora S, Ahuja A, Babbar AK, Sharma RK, Khar RK, *et al.* Formulation and development of hydrodynamically balanced system for metformin: *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2007;67:196-201.
- Korsmeyer RW, Gurny R, Doelker E, Buri P, Peppas NA. Mechanisms of solute release from porous hydrophilic polymers. Int J Pharm 1983;15: 25-35.
- Avachat A, Kotwal V. Design and evaluation of matrix-based controlled release tablets of diclofenac sodium and chondroitin sulphate. AAPS Pharm Sci Tech 2007;8:E1-E88.
- Bolton S, Bon C. Quality control. Pharmaceutical statistics : Practical and clinical applications. 4th ed. Vol 135. New York : Marcel Dekker; 2004. p. 408-11.
- Nokhodchi A, Talari R, Valizadeh H, Jalali MB. An investigation on the solid dispersions of chlordiazepoxide. Int J Biomed Sci 2007;3:211-7.
- Samuel B, Naim S, Chauhan B, Mahadik KR, Paradkar A. Preparation and characterization of metformin hydrochloride - λ carrageenan complex. Indian Drugs 2004;41:655-60.
- Farago PV, Raffin RP, Pohlmann AR, Guterres SS, Zawadzki SF. Physicochemical characterization of a hydrophilic model drug-loaded PHBV Microparticles obtained by the double emulsion/solvent evaporation technique. J Braz Chem Soc 2008;19:1298-305.
- Mathews BR. Regulatory aspects of stability testing in Europe. Drug Dev Ind Pharm 1999;25:831-56.

How to cite this article: Jagdale SC, Patil SA, Kuchekar BS, Chabukswar AR. Preparation and characterization of metformin hydrochloride - compritol 888 ATO solid dispersion. J Young Pharmacists 2011;3:197-204.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Announcement

"Quick Response Code" link for full text articles

The journal issue has a unique new feature for reaching to the journal's website without typing a single letter. Each article on its first page has a "Quick Response Code". Using any mobile or other hand-held device with camera and GPRS/other internet source, one can reach to the full text of that particular article on the journal's website. Start a QR-code reading software (see list of free applications from http://tinyurl.com/ yzlh2tc) and point the camera to the QR-code printed in the journal. It will automatically take you to the HTML full text of that article. One can also use a desktop or laptop with web camera for similar functionality. See http://tinyurl.com/2bw7fn3 or http://tinyurl.com/3ysr3me for the free applications.