

A Rapid Immunoassay for Detection of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli Directly from Human Fecal Samples and Its Performance in Detection of Toxin Subtypes

Jeremy T. Boone, Davina E. Campbell,* Amy S. Dandro,* Li Chen, Joel F. Herbein TechLab, Inc., Blacksburg, Virginia, USA

Fecal samples (n = 531) submitted to a regional clinical laboratory during a 6-month period were tested for the presence of Shiga toxin using both a Vero cell cytotoxicity assay and the Shiga Toxin Quik Chek test (STQC), a rapid membrane immunoassay. Testing the samples directly (without culture), 9 positives were identified by the Vero cell assay, all of which were also detected by the STQC. The correlation between the two assays was 100%. Not all of the identified positive samples were detected when fecal broth cultures were tested. By testing broth cultures of characterized isolates representing all described Shiga toxin subtypes, the STQC detected all subtypes. Levels of induction of toxin production by ciprofloxacin differed among the strains tested, with more toxin induction seen in strains harboring Stx2 phages than in those harboring Stx1 phages.

S ince it was first described in the early 1980s, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) has been identified as a common cause of foodborne illness both domestically and worldwide, causing an estimated 100,000 illnesses annually in the United States alone (1, 2). In the most severe cases, the disease can progress to life-threatening complications such as hemorrhagic colitis and hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS) (3, 4). Early detection of STEC infections is of paramount importance, as the effectiveness of antibiotics that are frequently used to treat other causes of infectious acute diarrhea may be limited, or the use of the antibiotics may even be detrimental, in the treatment of STEC patients (5, 6).

In addition to Shiga toxin production, other virulence factors such as adhesins and intimin are thought to be required for STEC pathogenesis (7, 8). However, as was learned during the 2011 O104:H4 STEC outbreak in Germany, common virulence factors such as intimin, generally present in hypervirulent outbreak strains, need not be present for severe disease to occur (9, 10).

The most common STEC isolate in the United States is O157: H7, frequently detected by stool culture based on its inability to ferment sorbitol within 24 h (11). In recent years, however, the number of non-O157 STEC isolates has increased, resulting in an additional 6 serotypes (O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, and O145) being classified as adulterants by the USDA in 2012 (8, 12, 13). Testing for pathogenic STEC by serotype alone, though, is not an option, as serotype, toxin production, and pathogenic potential are not always linked (14).

The one feature common to all STEC strains is the ability to produce one or both Shiga toxins—Shiga toxin 1 (Stx1) or Shiga toxin 2 (Stx2); therefore, the CDC recommends that all stool samples from patients with acute community-acquired diarrhea be tested for Shiga toxin (15). Stx1 is almost identical to the toxin produced by *Shigella dysenteriae*, whereas Stx2 is only 56% to 58% homologous. Several subtype variants of each toxin, including three Stx1 variants (Stx1a, Stx1c, and Stx1d) and seven Stx2 variants (Stx2a, Stx2b, Stx2c, Stx2d, Stx2e, Stx2f, and Stx2g), have been identified, and all have been associated with human disease (16–18). Previous investigators have suggested that the available diagnostic assays, both immunological and molecular, fail to detect either all Shiga toxin subtypes or different strains of STEC

producing the same subtype and that the presence of the *stx* gene(s) does not always correlate with disease or expression and production of toxin (19–27). Further, the amounts of Shiga toxin expressed can differ greatly between induced and noninduced cultures (28, 29). The Vero cell cytotoxicity neutralization assay is considered the reference standard for detection of Shiga toxin in fecal samples because of its picogram-level analytical sensitivity (30, 31).

In this study, we evaluated the performance of a new rapid immunoassay, the Shiga Toxin Quik Chek test (STQC), for the detection of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in human fecal specimens and compared the results to those of a Vero cell cytotoxicity assay using both clinical fecal samples and cultures of isolates representing all described Shiga toxin subtypes. The STQC was able to detect all described Stx1 and Stx2 (Stx1/2) subtypes and correlated 100% with the Vero cell assay in the clinical study.

(Part of this research was presented as a poster at the 54th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 5 to 9 September 2014, Washington, DC [32].)

Received 23 August 2016 Returned for modification 26 September 2016 Accepted 5 October 2016

Accepted manuscript posted online 12 October 2016

Citation Boone JT, Campbell DE, Dandro AS, Chen L, Herbein JF. 2016. A rapid immunoassay for detection of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* directly from human fecal samples and its performance in detection of toxin subtypes. J Clin Microbiol 54:3056–3063. doi:10.1128/JCM.01785-16.

Editor: Y.-W. Tang, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

Address correspondence to Jeremy T. Boone, jeboone@techlab.com.

* Present address: Davina E. Campbell, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; Amy S. Dandro, Revivicor, Inc., Blacksburg, Virginia, USA.

Copyright © 2016 Boone et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

TABLE 1 Primer sequen	ces and amplification	conditions used	for subtyping"
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	----------------

Target	Primer	Primer sequence $(5'-3')$	Ampicon size (bp)	Amplification conditions ^b
stx _{1a}	Fwd Rev	CGCGAGTTGCCAGAATGGCATCTG CATTTTACCCCCTCAACTGC	155	95°C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 65°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 30 s
stx _{1c}	Fwd Rev	CCTTTCCTGGTACAACTGCGGTT CAAGTGTTGTACGAAATCCCCTCTGA	252	95°C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 95°C for 20 s and 66°C for 40 s
stx _{1d}	Fwd Rev	CAGTTAATGCGATTGCTAAGGAGTTTACC CTCTTCCTCTGGTTCTAACCCCATGATA	203	95°C for 10 min; 35 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 64°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 30 s
stx _{2a}	Fwd Rev	GCGATACTGRGBACTGTGGCC GCCACCTTCACTGTGAATGTG	347	95°C for 10 min; 35 cycles of 95°C for 20 s and 65°C for 60 s
stx _{2b}	Fwd Rev	AAATATGAAGAAGATATTTGTAGCGGC CAGCAAATCCTGAACCTGACG	251	95°C for 15 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 50 s, 64°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 60 s
stx _{2c}	Fwd Rev	GAAAGTCACAGTTTTTATATACAACGGGTA CCGGCCACYTTTACTGTGAATGTA	177	95°C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 95°C for 20 s and 67.8°C for 60 s
stx _{2d}	Fwd Rev	AAARTCACAGTCTTTATATACAACGGGTG TTYCCGGCCACTTTTACTGTG	179	95°C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 95°C for 20 s and 65°C for 60 s
stx _{2e}	Fwd Rev	CGGAGTATCGGGGGAGAGGC TCATTCACCAGTTGTATATAAAGG	266	95°C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 54°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 30 s
stx _{2f}	Fwd Rev	TGACGGCTCAGGATGTTGAC GCAACACTTCCGAGAATCGC	136	95°C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 95°C for 20 s and 60°C for 20 s
stx _{2g}	Fwd Rev	CACCGGGTAGTTATATTTCTGTGGATATC GATGGCAATTCAGAATAACCGCT	573	95°C for 15 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 50 s, 64°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 60 s

^a Subtyping was performed using a modified version of the PCR method described in reference 18.

 b A melting curve following amplification was used to verify the amplicon.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subtype study. The STEC isolates used for the subtype study are listed (see Table 2). For each strain, an isolated colony from a blood agar plate (Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA) was used to inoculate 5 ml tryptic soy broth (TSB) (Fluka, St. Louis, MO). The TSB culture was incubated at 37°C with 220 rpm shaking, and when it reached mid-log phase (determined by absorbance at 600 nm), 0.4 ml was used to inoculate 8 ml Gram-negative (GN) broth (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD). Following overnight (16 to 20 h) stationary incubation at 37°C, the GN broth culture was tested using the STQC (TechLab, Blacksburg, VA) per the package insert procedure. Toxin production was confirmed by Vero cell cytotoxicity assay (33), and positive samples were neutralized with specific rabbit antisera against Stx1 and Stx2 (TechLab, Inc. Blacksburg, VA) to confirm that the cytotoxicity was due to Shiga toxin. The in-house Vero cell assay detected Stx1 and Stx2 at levels of 60 pg/ml and 30 pg/ml, respectively. The Shiga toxin subtypes were confirmed by real-time PCR using a modification of the procedure described by Scheutz et al. (18). Table 1 lists the primers and amplification conditions utilized for the subtyping PCR studies.

Clinical study. Anonymous unlinked excess fecal samples that had been submitted to a regional clinical laboratory for routine testing during a 6-month period from August 2013 through February 2014 were used for this study. On the day that the samples were received, the Vero cell cytotoxicity neutralization assay was started and the specimens were tested with the STQC per the package insert procedure for direct testing of fecal samples. Because the toxins produced by *Clostridium difficile* also cause rounding of Vero cells, cell rounding caused by *C. difficile* toxin was ruled out by neutralization with *C. difficile*-specific goat antisera (TechLab, Inc. Blacksburg, VA). To obtain STEC isolates for further characterization, as

soon as a positive sample was identified by either the STQC or Vero cell assay, GN broth, MacConkey broth (Remel, Lenexa, KS), and sorbitol-MacConkey agar (SMAC) plate (Becton Dickinson) cultures were started. Following overnight (16 to 20 h) incubation at 37°C, broth cultures were tested for toxin by Vero cell assay and broth and SMAC plate cultures were tested with the STQC following the package insert procedure for culture testing. *E. coli* O157-positive samples were identified using a combination of identification of clear colonies on SMAC plate cultures and an in-house O157 immunoassay using specific monoclonal antibodies.

Limit of detection (LOD) study. The STEC isolates used for the LOD study are listed (see Table 5). For each strain, an isolated colony from a blood agar plate was used to inoculate 5 ml TSB broth. The TSB culture was incubated at 37°C with 220 rpm shaking, and when the culture reached mid-log phase (determined by absorbance at 600 nm), 0.4 ml was used to inoculate two tubes, each containing 8 ml GN broth. One of the GN broth tubes contained 15 ng/ml ciprofloxacin (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO), and the other served as a noninduced control (34). Following overnight (16 to 20 h) stationary incubation at 37°C, serial 10-fold dilutions of the cultures were prepared in GN broth and plated on blood agar plates for determination of CFU counts per milliliter. Subsequently, the 10-fold culture dilutions were tested with the STQC per the package insert procedure. Serial 2-fold dilutions in GN broth of the last positive 10-fold dilution were prepared and tested on the STQC to determine the LOD. Toxin production was confirmed by Vero cell cytotoxicity neutralization assay using undiluted culture filtrate.

RESULTS

Subtype study. A panel of 24 STEC strains representing all described Shiga toxin subtypes was utilized for the subtype study

TABLE 2 Detection of Shiga toxin subtypes^a

				Vero cell	STQC result		
Strain	Source	Serotype	Subtype	assay	Stx1	Stx2	
DEC10B	MSU	O26:H11	Stx1a	Stx1	+	_	
TW08101	MSU	O103:H2	Stx1a	Stx1	+	_	
DEC8E	MSU	O111:H8	Stx1a	Stx1	+	_	
DG131/3	SSI	O174:H8	Stx1c/2b	Stx1	+	_	
C296-09	SSI	O22:H8	Stx1c/2b	Stx1/2	+	+	
MHI813	SSI	O8:HR	Stx1d	Stx1	+	_	
C695-03	SSI	O154:H31	Stx1d	Stx1	+	_	
G5506	MSU	O104:H21	Stx2a	Stx2	_	+	
TW08023	MSU	O121:H19	Stx2a	Stx2	_	+	
86-24	MSU	O157:H7	Stx2a	Stx2	_	+	
EH250	SSI	O118:H2	Stx2b	_	_	_	
C43-03	SSI	O174:H8	Stx2b	Stx2	_	+	
031	SSI	O174:H21	Stx2b/2c	Stx2	_	+	
C193-09	SSI	O157:H7	Stx2c	Stx2	_	+	
B2F1	MSU	O91:H21	Stx2d	Stx2	_	+	
C404-09	SSI	O?:H?	Stx2d	Stx2	_	+	
S1191	USU	O139:H1	Stx2e	Stx2	_	+	
C289-03	SSI	O23:H12	Stx2e	Stx2	_	+	
T4/97	SSI	O128:H2	Stx2f	Stx2	_	+	
C548-06	SSI	O145:H34	Stx2f	Stx2	_	+	
7v	SSI	O2:H25	Stx2g	Stx2	_	+	
C136-03	SSI	O36:H14	Stx2g	Stx2	_	+	
EDL-933	MSU	O157:H7	Stx1a/2a	Stx1/2	+	+	
94C	SSI	O48:H21	Stx1a/2a	Stx1/2	+	+	

^{*a*} Overnight GN broth cultures were tested for the presence of Shiga toxin with the STQC following the package insert procedure. Shiga toxin production was confirmed by Vero cell assay. MSU, Thomas S. Whittam STEC Center, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA; SSI, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; USU, Allison O'Brien, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, USA.

(Table 2). With the exception of Stx2c, at least 2 strains representing each unique subtype were tested. Strain 031 produces both Stx2b and Stx2c, and whether either one or both toxins were expressed was not determined. The Vero cell assay, subtyping PCR, and STQC results agreed for all Stx1 subtypes. Some differences were seen, however, among the Stx2 subtype strains. Toxins produced by Stx2a, Stx2c, Stx2d, Stx2e, Stx2f, and Stx2g strains were detected by both the Vero cell assay and the STQC. Of the 4 Stx2b strains, toxin was detected by the Vero cell assay and STQC in only 2, suggesting that either the Stx2b gene was not expressed in strains DG131/3 and EH250 or the amount of toxin produced under the growth conditions used for this study was below the detection limit of the assays.

In an additional set of experiments (data not shown), induction of strain EH250 with 0.5 μ g/ml mitomycin C (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) stimulated production of Stx2b, which was detected by both the Vero cell assay and the STQC. However, neither mitomycin C nor ciprofloxacin induced Stx2b production in strain DG131/3. Interestingly, a MacConkey broth culture of strain DG131/3 was positive for both Stx1 and Stx2 by the STQC, but a GN broth culture that had been inoculated with the same starter culture used for the MacConkey broth culture was positive only for Stx1.

Clinical study. During the 6-month study period, a total of 531 samples were received. Results are summarized in Table 3. The Vero cell cytotoxicity neutralization assay identified 9 positive samples, including 1 sample that was positive for both Stx1 and

TABLE 3 Summary of direct fecal testing results

	Value						
	Shiga tox: (n = 531)	in 1)	Shiga toxin 2 (n = 531)				
Parameter	Vero ⁺	Vero ⁻	Vero ⁺	Vero ⁻			
No. of STQC ⁺ samples	7^a	0	3 ^{<i>a</i>}	0			
No. of STQC ⁻ samples	0	524	0	528			
Sensitivity (%)	100.0		100.0				
Specificity (%)	100.0		100.0				
PPV^{b} (%)	100.0		100.0				
NPV^{c} (%)	100.0		100.0				
Correlation (%)	100.0		100.0				

^{*a*} Nine positive samples were identified, one of which was positive for both Stx1 and Stx2, resulting in 10 positive results.

^b PPV, positive predictive value.

^c NPV, negative predictive value.

Stx2, representing a prevalence rate of 1.7%. Direct fecal testing of the samples with the STQC identified the same 9 specimens as Shiga toxin positive, resulting in a correlation of 100% with the Vero cell assay results. Table 4 summarizes additional testing performed with the samples identified as positive by direct fecal testing. Culturing was performed on identified positive stool samples so that pure isolates could be obtained and characterized. Surprisingly, the culture results did not always agree with the direct fecal testing results obtained with the Vero cell assay and the STQC. Sample S1 was detected by direct fecal testing but not by broth or SMAC plate culture, whereas sample S4 was detected by direct fecal and GN broth testing but not by MacConkey broth (no growth) or SMAC plate culture. Additionally, sample S3 was positive only for Stx2 when the fecal sample was tested directly but was positive for Stx1/2 when the fecal sample was cultured. Further subculturing and analysis yielded two distinct isolates from sample S3-an O157/Stx1a/Stx2a strain and a non-O157/Stx1c strain. Except for the Stx1c isolate from sample S3, all of the isolates were of the common Stx1a subtype or the Stx2a subtype or both.

Limit of detection (LOD) study. Because all of the positive samples identified during our clinical study were subtypes Stx1a, Stx1c, and/or Stx2a, characterized clinical isolates representing these 3 subtypes were chosen for a LOD study comparing noninduced to ciprofloxacin-induced GN broth cultures. Ciprofloxacin was chosen as the inducing agent for the LOD studies because it induces Shiga toxin production both *in vivo* and *in vitro* and as such is contraindicated for use in treatment of STEC infections (6, 34–36). Table 5 summarizes the results of the LOD study. Only one of the three Stx1a-only strains, DEC8E, was sensitive to ciprofloxacin, showing a 1.4 log reduction in CFU counts per milliliter. The CFU counts per milliliter for the other two Stx1a strains were not appreciably affected by the addition of the antibiotic. The highest dilution detectable ranged from 1/40 to 1/100, depending on the strain or the presence of ciprofloxacin.

The two Stx1c/2b strains responded quite differently to the antibiotic. Ciprofloxacin was toxic to strain DG131/3, as the induced culture was not cloudy following overnight incubation (no growth) and no viable cells remained. Strain C296-09, on the other hand, was unaffected by the antibiotic. Strain C296-09, which was not sensitive to ciprofloxacin, showed no appreciable difference in the LOD between the induced and noninduced cul-

Direct fecal tes			ılt		Broth c	ulture result	a		SMAC 1	plate result		
	STQC		STQC Vero assay STQC			Vero assay		STQC				
Sample	Stx1	Stx2	Stx1	Stx2	Stx1	Stx2	Stx1	Stx2	Stx1	Stx2	O157	Subtype
S1	+	_	+	_	_	_	tnp	tnp	_	_	_	Stx1a
S2	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	_	_	Stx1a
S3	_	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$+/-^{b}$	Stx1a/1c/2a
S4	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	Stx1a/2a
S5	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	-	+	_	_	Stx1a
S6	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	-	+	_	_	Stx1a
S7	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	-	+	_	_	Stx1a
S8	_	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	+	Stx2a
S9	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	_	_	Stx1a

TABLE 4 Comparison of direct fecal and broth culture test results and further characterization of isolates from identified positive specimens

^{*a*} Broth culture results shown are for GN specimens and agreed with the MacConkey results for all specimens except sample S4, which did not grow in MacConkey broth. tnp, test not performed.

^b Two STEC isolates were recovered: one was O157 positive, the other O157 negative.

tures for Stx1c, but Stx2b was detectable at a 200-fold-higher dilution in the induced culture, resulting in a LOD for Stx2b that was 2 logs lower. A CFU/test LOD could not be determined for the induced DG131/3 strain, as the cells did not grow; however, the lowest dilution at which toxin was detectable was 1 log higher in the induced culture, suggesting that ciprofloxacin did not increase toxin production. Toxin production was stimulated by the addition of ciprofloxacin to all 3 strains harboring only Stx2a phage. The antibiotic was not toxic to any of the Stx2a strains. Toxin was detected in induced cultures of G5506 and TW08023 at dilutions that were 20-fold and 10-fold higher, respectively, than those seen with their noninduced counterparts. Strain 86-24, an O157:H7 serotype strain, showed the largest increase in toxin production. The in-

TABLE 5 Limit of detection of the STQC-	-comparison of ciprofloxacin in	duced and noninduced STEC broth cultures
---	---------------------------------	--

Strain	Serotype	Subtype	Induced	Vero cell assay	Culture CFU/ml ^a	STQC (CFU/test) ^b
DEC10B	O26:H11	Stx1a	No	Stx1	1.8×10^{8}	1.2×10^{5}
			Yes	Stx1	2.3×10^{8}	$1.4 imes 10^5$
TW08101	O103:H2	Stx1a	No	Stx1	3.3×10^{8}	$2.1 imes 10^5$
			Yes	Stx1	5.6×10^{8}	$8.8 imes 10^5$
DEC8E	O111:H8	Stx1a	No	Stx1	$9.0 imes 10^{8}$	1.4×10^{6}
			Yes	Stx1	$3.5 imes 10^7$	$2.2 imes 10^4$
DG131/3	O174:H8	Stx1c/2b	No	Stx1	2.2×10^{8}	6.3×10^{5}
			Yes	Stx1	0^c	+
C296-09	O22:H8	Stx1c/2b	No	Stx1/2	1.2×10^{8}	9.4×10^5 Stx1, 7.5×10^5 Stx2
			Yes	Stx1/2	2.4×10^{8}	7.5×10^5 Stx1, 7.5×10^3 Stx2
G5506	O104:H21	Stx2a	No	Stx2	3.7×10^{8}	2.3×10^{5}
			Yes	Stx2	8.3×10^{8}	$2.6 imes 10^4$
TW08023	O121:H19	Stx2a	No	Stx2	$6.0 imes 10^{8}$	1.9×10^{5}
			Yes	Stx2	$1.7 imes 10^8$	$5.3 imes 10^3$
86-24	O157:H7	Stx2a	No	Stx2	$8.7 imes 10^8$	$5.4 imes 10^5$
			Yes	Stx2	2.1×10^{8}	1.3×10^{3}
EDL-933	O157:H7	Stx1a/2a	No	Stx1/2	6.7×10^{8}	1.0×10^{6} Stx1, 5.2×10^{5} Stx2
			Yes	Stx1/2	3.2×10^5	20 Stx1, 0.5 Stx2
94C	O48:H21	Stx1a/2a	No	Stx1/2	2.3×10^{8}	3.6×10^5 Stx1, 7.2×10^4 Stx2
			Yes	Stx1/2	0 ^c	+ (Stx1, Stx2)

^{*a*} Data representing CFU counts per milliliter are from the undiluted overnight GN broth culture.

^b Data representing CFU counts per test correspond to the LOD determined after factoring in both the broth dilution and the additional dilution that occurs in performing the STQC testing procedure. For cases in which the CFU counts per milliliter could not be determined due to the lack of viable cells, a plus sign (+) indicates that toxin was detected by the assay.

^c Although ciprofloxacin was toxic to these strains, toxin was still produced and detected by both the Vero cell assay and the STQC.

duced culture could be detected at a 1/10,000 dilution, representing a 2 log increase compared to the results determined for the noninduced control.

Both the Stx1a and Stx2a strains were sensitive (growth impaired) to ciprofloxacin: a 3.3 log drop in CFU/ml was seen in the induced EDL-933 culture. Stx1a was detectable at a 25-fold-higher dilution and Stx2a at a 500-fold-higher dilution, resulting in LODs for Stx1a and Stx2a that were 4.7 log and 6 log lower, respectively, in the induced EDL-933 culture. No viable cells remained in the induced 94C culture, and there was no visible growth. Because the lowest dilutions at which toxin was detectable were similar for Stx1a and Stx2a in both the induced and noninduced cultures, ciprofloxacin did not induce toxin production in this strain.

DISCUSSION

Willford et al. and Feng et al. reported in 2009 and 2011, respectively, that the Shiga toxin immunoassays commercially available at the time failed to detect all known Shiga toxin subtypes (19, 27). Furthermore, as stx_{2f} and stx_{2a} are only 60% similar at the nucleic acid level, stx_{2f} is not detected by many PCR methods, including those used by Feng et al. (21). Because the STQC was not available at the time that the Feng and Willford papers were published, our group proposed a similar study to evaluate the performance of the STQC with all described Stx subtypes.

STEC strains that produce only Stx1 are generally associated with mild disease, whereas strains that produce Stx2 are associated with more-severe disease (30, 37). While it has been reported that subtypes Stx2a and Stx2c are more frequently associated with HUS than other Stx2 subtypes, Stx2b, Stx2d, and Stx2e strains have also been isolated from the stools of patients with HUS; therefore, it is important for diagnostic assays to be able to identify these Shiga toxin subtypes as well (10, 24, 38, 39). A review of several clinical studies in which the STEC isolates were characterized revealed that the vast majority (95%) were Stx2a, Stx2c, Stx1a, or Stx2d or some combination thereof. The remainder were Stx1c (3%), Stx2e (2%), and Stx2f (0.1%) (10, 24, 38, 40). Stx2f is usually associated with avian species, although it has occasionally been isolated from humans with mild gastroenteritis (41-43). STEC isolates harboring Stx2g phage, first described as a bovine isolate with high homology to Stx2a and Stx2c, have also been collected from human fecal samples, including isolates containing the intimin gene (eae); therefore, Stx2g STEC is also a potential human pathogen capable of disease (38, 44, 45).

The STQC detected all described Shiga toxin subtypes. One of the two Stx2b subtypes (EH250) did not produce detectable toxin in broth, as it was negative by both the Vero cell assay and STQC. Using different culture conditions, including mitomycin C induction, however, Beutin et al. were able to detect with their Vero cell assay toxin produced by strain EH250, suggesting, as we have also observed, that toxin production varies depending upon broth type, inducing agent, and culture conditions (20). Recently, two independent groups (25, 26) reported that the STQC was unable to detect all described Shiga toxin subtypes. In both of those studies, however, the immunoassay results were compared to those of a real-time PCR assay and toxin production was not verified by Vero cell assay.

During the clinical study, the STQC detected all 9 of the positive samples identified by the Vero cell assay. The Vero cell cytotoxicity neutralization assay is considered the gold standard for the detection of Shiga toxin in fecal filtrate, and while extremely sensitive (30 to 60 pg/ml LOD), it is laborious and results are not available for 48 to 72 h. Of the 9 identified positive specimens, only 8 were detected by GN broth culture testing, 7 by MacConkey broth culture testing, and 7 by testing colonies from a SMAC plate culture. Samples that test positive by the direct fecal method but negative when cultured could be explained by a lack of viable STEC cells in the fecal specimen, a low number of STEC cells that are outcompeted by other fecal organisms when cultured, or the presence of inhibitors, such as antibiotics, in the fecal sample. No false-positive results were seen with the STQC compared to the Vero cell assay, resulting in a specificity of 100%. High specificity is important for assays targeting diseases with low prevalence rates, such as those caused by STEC; a specificity of <99% has a detrimental effect on the positive predictive value. Our values of 100% sensitivity and 100% specificity with direct testing of fecal samples are higher than those reported recently by other groups for the STQC (25, 26). However, the two previous studies used a real-time PCR assay as the reference method instead of a Vero cell cytotoxicity assay, and expression of Shiga toxin genes was not confirmed. In two separate comparisons to PCR, Chui et al. demonstrated enhanced performance with the STQC in comparison to another commercial rapid immunoassay specific for Shiga toxin detection in fecal broth cultures-the authors reported sensitivity/specificity of 85%/100% for the STQC versus 35%/99% for the other rapid immunoassay (25, 46). Previous studies by other groups comparing a commercially available microplate enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to Vero cell cytotoxicity assays reported sensitivities of 40% to 83.9% and specificities of 76.9% to 99.8% for direct testing of stool specimens (47, 48).

Two STEC isolates (an O157/Stx1a/Stx2a strain and a non-O157/Stx1c strain) were obtained from sample S3. While those results were unusual, others have reported multiple STEC isolates from the same patient sample (10, 49). Interestingly, this specimen was Stx2 positive only when tested directly, even though both Stx1 and Stx2 were detected when the sample was cultured. It is possible that Stx1 production was not stimulated *in vivo* whereas Stx2 production was stimulated (50). In addition to antibiotics, other agents present in the gut, such as bacteriocins, and neutrophil products, such as peroxides, can induce toxin production (51, 52). Because toxin-producing strains have frequently been isolated from asymptomatic carriers, *in vivo* toxin production and *in vitro* toxin production may not always correlate (40, 53, 54).

It has been well documented that in vitro Shiga toxin production varies depending on the culture conditions and isolate (28, 36, 55, 56). Our results indicate that Stx2 phages in the strains studied are generally more susceptible to induction with ciprofloxacin than are Stx1 phages. With the exception of EDL-933, none of the Stx1 phages were induced by ciprofloxacin. In contrast, all of the Stx2 strains that expressed toxin (with the exception of 94C, which did not grow) were induced by the addition of ciprofloxacin. Phage susceptibility to ciprofloxacin induction seems to be attributable to some extent to the phage as well as to the bacterial host, as evidenced by strain C296-09, which hosts both Stx1c and Stx2b phages. In this strain, Stx1 production was unaffected by the antibiotic, whereas Stx2 production was increased 200-fold. Since strains DEC10B, TW08101, DEC8E, and EDL-933 all host Stx1a phages, it is likely that the Stx1a phage in EDL-933 either is a different phage variant or is integrated at a different chromosomal location, thereby explaining the differences in induction (57).

Others have found that *E. coli* DH5 α and *Shigella sonnei* lysogens infected with Stx2 phages do not always express toxin in the same manner as the STEC strain from which the phages were originally isolated, suggesting that both phage and host factors, which could include additional bacteriophages, can influence Shiga toxin expression and inducibility (58, 59). Additionally, other research groups have shown that different *E. coli* serotypes respond differently to ciprofloxacin induction of Stx phages, with O157 isolates having higher inducibility, which agrees with our findings (28). It is also possible that Stx phages lacking the *rusA* Holliday junction resolvase sequence, such as the EDL-933 Stx1a phage, may be more amenable to induction by ciprofloxacin in hosts resistant to the antibiotic.

The broth culture LOD studies described here were not performed in the presence of fecal extract. Both we and others have seen that competing microorganisms, antibiotics, and other agents that might be present in a fecal sample can either increase or decrease Shiga toxin production in broth culture (28, 29, 51, 52, 60). Therefore, the LODs determined here may or may not be reflective of an LOD determined in the presence of any particular fecal extract, and testing in the presence of those fecal extracts may not be reflective of actual *in vivo* conditions. In our study, we chose to focus on controlled conditions in order to eliminate inconsistencies.

Whereas it is difficult to determine the amount of STEC bacteria present in a fecal sample due to the high number of other bacteria present, serotype O157 can be enumerated on selective media such as sorbitol-MacConkey agar. Reported levels of O157 STEC in human fecal specimens range from 1.8×10^7 CFU/g to 2×10^9 CFU/g (61). Two O157:H7 isolates (86-24 and EDL-933) were included in our *in vitro* LOD studies. Extrapolating from the CFU/test LOD values reported in Table 5 to approximate the CFU count per gram that would be present in a fecal sample, the STQC can detect 4.8×10^6 CFU/g to 6.6×10^7 CFU/g based on the noninduced LODs and 3.3×10^1 CFU/g to 1.3×10^3 CFU/g based on the ciprofloxacin-induced LODs. Whereas *in vitro* and *in vivo* levels of toxin production may differ, the CFU counts per gram extrapolated from the LOD studies suggest that the STQC can detect clinically relevant levels of STEC.

In summary, infections caused by STEC are complex scenarios involving mobile phages, with Shiga toxin expression influenced by a combination of phage, bacterial, and human host factors. The STQC is capable of detecting all described Shiga toxin subtypes. In a clinical study in which fecal samples were tested directly (without culture), performance of the STQC was comparable to that of a Vero cell cytotoxicity assay, identifying positive samples that were not detected when cultured. Because both phage and bacterial host factors as well as culture conditions influence Shiga toxin expression levels *in vitro*, fecal broth cultures may not always accurately reflect *in vivo* Shiga toxin production in patients infected with STEC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed by TechLab, Inc., the manufacturer of the Shiga Toxin Quik Chek kit used in the studies.

We thank Jack Heptinstall, Kristen Schwab, Bethany Doyle, Melissa Phillips, and Mark Lubeskie for technical assistance throughout the studies. We are currently, or were at the time that the study was conducted, employees of TechLab, Inc.

REFERENCES

- O'Brien AD, LaVeck GD. 1983. Purification and characterization of a Shigella dysenteriae 1-like toxin produced by Escherichia coli. Infect Immun 40:675–683.
- Mead PS, Slutsker L, Dietz LV, McCaig LF, Bresee JS, Shapiro C, Griffin PM, Tauxe RV. 1999. Food-related illness and death in the United States. Emerg Infect Dis 5:607–625. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid0505.990502.
- Riley LW, Remis RS, Helgerson SD, McGee HB, Wells JG, Davis BR, Hebert RJ, Olcott ES, Johnson LM, Hargrett NT, Blake PA, Cohen ML. 1983. Hemorrhagic colitis associated with a rare *Escherichia coli* serotype. N Engl J Med 308:681–685. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056 /NEJM198303243081203.
- 4. Boyce TG, Swerdlow DL, Griffin PM. 1995. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and the hemolytic-uremic syndrome. N Engl J Med 333:364–368. http://dx .doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199508103330608.
- Freedman SB, Xie J, Neufeld MS, Hamilton WL, Hartling L, Tarr PI. 2016. Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infection, antibiotics, and risk of developing hemolytic uremic syndrome: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 62:1251–1258. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw099.
- Collins C, Green JA. 2010. A review of the pathophysiology and treatment of Shiga toxin producing *E. coli* infection. Pract Gastroenterol 34: 41–50.
- Law D. 2000. Virulence factors of *Escherichia coli* O157 and other Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*. J Appl Microbiol 88:729–745. http://dx.doi.org /10.1046/j.1365-2672.2000.01031.x.
- Bolton DJ. 2011. Verocytotoxigenic (Shiga toxin-producing) *Escherichia coli*: virulence factors and pathogenicity in the farm to fork paradigm. Foodborne Pathog Dis 8:357–365. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2010 .0699.
- Bielaszewska M, Mellmann A, Zhang W, Köck R, Fruth A, Bauwens A, Peters G, Karch H. 2011. Characterisation of the *Escherichia coli* strain associated with an outbreak of haemolytic uraemic syndrome in Germany, 2011: a microbiological study. Lancet Infect Dis 11:671–676. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70165-7.
- Jenkins C, Willshaw GA, Evans J, Cheasty T, Chart H, Shaw DJ, Dougan G, Frankel G, Smith HR. 2003. Subtyping of virulence genes in verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) other than serogroup O157 associated with disease in the United Kingdom. J Med Microbiol 52:941–947. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.05160-0.
- March SB, Ratnam S. 1986. Sorbitol-MacConkey medium for detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 associated with hemorrhagic colitis. J Clin Microbiol 23:869–872.
- 12. Bopp C, Strockbine N. 2009. Laboratory diagnosis of STEC infections. ASM teleconference. ASM, Washington, DC.
- Johnson KE, Thorpe CM, Sears CL. 2006. The emerging clinical importance of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. Clin Infect Dis 43:1587–1595. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/509573.
- Hussein HS. 2007. Prevalence and pathogenicity of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* in beef cattle and their products. J Anim Sci 85(Suppl):E63–E72. http://dx.doi.org/10.2527/jas.2006-421.
- Gould LH. 2012. Update: recommendations for diagnosis of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* infections by clinical laboratories. Clin Microbiol Newsl 34:75–83. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinmicnews.2012.04 .004.
- Jackson MP, Newland JW, Holmes RK, O'Brien AD. 1987. Nucleotide sequence analysis of the structural genes for Shiga-like toxin I encoded by bacteriophage 933J from *Escherichia coli*. Microb Pathog 2:147–153. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/0882-4010(87)90106-9.
- Jackson MP, Neill RJ, O'Brien AD, Holmes RK, Newland JW. 1987. Nucleotide sequence analysis and comparison of the structural genes for Shiga-like toxin I and Shiga-like toxin II encoded by bacteriophages from *Escherichia coli* 933. FEMS Microbiol Lett 44:109–114. http://dx.doi.org /10.1111/j.1574-6968.1987.tb02252.x.
- Scheutz F, Teel LD, Beutin L, Piérard D, Buvens G, Karch H, Mellmann A, Caprioli A, Tozzoli R, Morabito S, Strockbine NA, Melton-Celsa AR, Sanchez M, Persson S, O'Brien AD. 2012. Multicenter evaluation of a sequence-based protocol for subtyping Shiga toxins and standardizing Stx nomenclature. J Clin Microbiol 50:2951–2963. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /JCM.00860-12.

- Feng P, Jinneman K, Scheutz F, Monday S. 2011. Specificity of PCR and serological assays in the detection of *Escherichia coli* Shiga toxin subtypes. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:6699–7202. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM .00370-11.
- Beutin L, Steinrück H, Krause G, Steege K, Haby S, Hultsch G, Appel B. 2007. Comparative evaluation of the Ridascreen Verotoxin enzyme immunoassay for detection of Shiga-toxin producing strains of *Escherichia coli* (STEC) from food and other sources. J Appl Microbiol 102:630–639. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.03139.x.
- Margot H, Cernela N, Iversen C, Zweifel C, Stephan R. 2013. Evaluation of seven different commercially available real-time PCR assays for detection of Shiga toxin 1 and 2 gene subtypes. J Food Prot 76:871–873. http: //dx.doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-365.
- 22. Stephan R, Schumacher S. 2001. Resistance patterns of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) strains isolated from animals, food and asymptomatic human carriers in Switzerland. Lett Appl Microbiol **32**:114–117. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2001.00867.x.
- 23. Zhang W, Bielaszewska M, Friedrich AW, Kuczius T, Karch H. 2005. Transcriptional analysis of genes encoding Shiga toxin 2 and its variants in *Escherichia coli*. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:558–561. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/AEM.71.1.558-561.2005.
- 24. Friedrich AW, Bielaszewska M, Zhang WL, Pulz M, Kuczius T, Ammon A, Karch H. 2002. *Escherichia coli* harboring Shiga toxin 2 gene variants: frequency and association with clinical symptoms. J Infect Dis 185:74–84. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/338115.
- Chui L, Patterson-Fortin L, Kuo J, Li V, Boras V. 2015. Evaluation of enzyme immunoassays and real-time PCR for detecting Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* in Southern Alberta, Canada. J Clin Microbiol 53:1019–1023. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03288-14.
- 26. Staples M, Fang N, Graham RM, Smith HV, Jennison AV. 10 March 2016. Evaluation of the *SHIGA TOXIN QUIK CHEK* and *ImmunoCard* STAT! EHEC as screening tools for the detection of Shiga toxin in faecal specimens. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.03.011.
- Willford J, Mills K, Goodridge LD. 2009. Evaluation of three commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits for detection of Shiga toxin. J Food Prot 72:741–747.
- Ochoa TJ, Chen J, Walker CM, Gonzales E, Cleary TG. 2007. Rifaximin does not induce toxin production or phage-mediated lysis of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:2837–2841. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01397-06.
- de Sablet T, Bertin Y, Vareille M, Girardeau JP, Garrivier A, Gobert AP, Martin C. 2008. Differential expression of stx2 variants in Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* belonging to seropathotypes A and C. Microbiology 154:176–186. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2007/009704-0.
- 30. Gould LH, Bopp C, Strockbine N, Atkinson R, Baselski V, Body B, Carey R, Crandall C, Hurd S, Kaplan R, Neill M, Shea S, Somsel P, Tobin-D'Angelo M, Griffin PM, Gerner-Smidt P; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2009. Recommendations for diagnosis of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections by clinical laboratories. MMWR Recomm Rep 58(RR 12):1–14.
- Karmali MA, Petric M, Bielaszewska M. 1999. Evaluation of a microplate latex agglutination method (Verotox-F assay) for detecting and characterizing verotoxins (Shiga toxins) in *Escherichia coli*. J Clin Microbiol 37: 396–399.
- Boone J, Heptinstall J, Phillips M, Doyle B, Schwab K, Chen L. 2014. Abstr 54th Intersci Conf Antimicrob Agents Chemother, abstr D-209.
- 33. Strockbine NA, Marques LR, Newland JW, Smith HW, Holmes RK, O'Brien AD. 1986. Two toxin-converting phages from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strain 933 encode antigenically distinct toxins with similar biologic activities. Infect Immun 53:135–140.
- 34. Zhang X, McDaniel AD, Wolf LE, Keusch GT, Waldor MK, Acheson DW. 2000. Quinolone antibiotics induce Shiga toxin-encoding bacterio-phages, toxin production, and death in mice. J Infect Dis 181:664–670. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/315239.
- 35. Grif K, Dierich MP, Karch H, Allerberger F. 1998. Strain-specific differences in the amount of Shiga toxin released from enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 following exposure to subinhibitory concentrations of antimicrobial agents. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 17:761–766. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100960050181.
- Kimmitt PT, Harwood CR, Barer MR. 1999. Induction of type 2 Shiga toxin synthesis in *Escherichia coli* O157 by 4-quinolones. Lancet 353: 1588–1589. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(99)00621-2.

- 37. Kleanthous H, Smith HR, Scotland SM, Gross RJ, Rowe B, Taylor CM, Milford DV. 1990. Haemolytic uraemic syndromes in the British Isles, 1985–8: association with verocytotoxin producing *Escherichia coli*. Part 2: microbiological aspects. Arch Dis Child 65:722–727.
- Persson S, Olsen KE, Ethelberg S, Scheutz F. 2007. Subtyping method for *Escherichia coli* Shiga toxin (verocytotoxin) 2 variants and correlations to clinical manifestations. J Clin Microbiol 45:2020–2024. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/JCM.02591-06.
- 39. Stritt A, Tschumi S, Kottanattu L, Bucher BS, Steinmann M, von Steiger N, Stephan R, Hächler H, Simonetti GD. 2013. Neonatal hemolytic uremic syndrome after mother-to-child transmission of a lowpathogenic stx2b harboring Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. Clin Infect Dis 56:114–116. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis851.
- Eklund M, Leino K, Siitonen A. 2002. Clinical Escherichia coli strains carrying stx genes: stx variants and stx-positive virulence profiles. J Clin Microbiol 40:4585–4593. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.12.4585-4593.2002.
- 41. van Duynhoven YT, Friesema IH, Schuurman T, Roovers A, van Zwet AA, Sabbe LJ, van der Zwaluw WK, Notermans DW, Mulder B, van Hannen EJ, Heilmann FG, Buiting A, Jansen R, Kooistra-Smid AM. 2008. Prevalence, characterisation and clinical profiles of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* in The Netherlands. Clin Microbiol Infect 14: 437–445. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.01963.x.
- 42. Farooq S, Hussain I, Mir MA, Bhat MA, Wani SA. 2009. Isolation of atypical enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and Shiga toxin 1 and 2f-producing *Escherichia coli* from avian species in India. Lett Appl Microbiol 48:692–697.
- Schmidt H, Scheef J, Morabito S, Caprioli A, Wieler LH, Karch H. 2000. A new Shiga toxin 2 variant (Stx2f) from *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigeons. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:1205–1208. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/AEM.66.3.1205-1208.2000.
- Leung PH, Peiris JS, Ng WW, Robins-Browne RM, Bettelheim KA, Yam WC. 2003. A newly discovered verotoxin variant, VT2g, produced by bovine verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 7549–7553. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.12.7549-7553.2003.
- 45. Prager R, Fruth A, Busch U, Tietze E. 2011. Comparative analysis of virulence genes, genetic diversity, and phylogeny of Shiga toxin 2g and heat-stable enterotoxin STIa encoding *Escherichia coli* isolates from humans, animals, and environmental sources. Int J Med Microbiol 301:181– 191. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2010.06.003.
- 46. Chui L, Lee MC, Allen R, Bryks A, Haines L, Boras V. 2013. Comparison between ImmunoCard STAT!(®) and real-time PCR as screening tools for both O157:H7 and non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in Southern Alberta, Canada. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 77:8–13. http://dx .doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2013.05.015.
- 47. Staples M, Jennison AV, Graham RM, Smith HV. 2012. Evaluation of the Meridian Premier EHEC assay as an indicator of Shiga toxin presence in direct faecal specimens. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 73:322–325. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.05.004.
- Teel LD, Daly JA, Jerris RC, Maul D, Svanas G, O'Brien AD, Park CH. 2007. Rapid detection of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* by optical immunoassay. J Clin Microbiol 45:3377–3380. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /JCM.00837-07.
- Gilmour MW, Chui L, Chiu T, Tracz DM, Hagedorn K, Tschetter L, Tabor H, Ng LK, Louie M. 2009. Isolation and detection of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* in clinical stool samples using conventional and molecular methods. J Med Microbiol 58:905–911. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1099/jmm.0.007732-0.
- Bonanno L, Petit MA, Loukiadis E, Michel V, Auvray F. 2016. Heterogeneity in induction level, infection ability, and morphology of Shiga toxin-encoding phages (Stx phages) from dairy and human Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* O26:H11 isolates. Appl Environ Microbiol 82: 2177–2186. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03463-15.
- Wagner PL, Acheson DW, Waldor MK. 2001. Human neutrophils and their products induce Shiga toxin production by enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. Infect Immun 69:1934–1937. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI .69.3.1934-1937.2001.
- 52. Toshima H, Yoshimura A, Arikawa K, Hidaka A, Ogasawara J, Hase A, Masaki H, Nishikawa Y. 2007. Enhancement of Shiga toxin production in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7 by DNase colicins. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:7582–7588. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM .01326-07.
- 53. Urdahl AM, Solheim HT, Vold L, Hasseltvedt V, Wasteson Y. 2013.

Shiga toxin-encoding genes (stx genes) in human faecal samples. APMIS 121:202–210. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0463.2012.02957.x.

- 54. Stephan R, Ragettli S, Untermann F. 2000. Prevalence and characteristics of verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) in stool samples from asymptomatic human carriers working in the meat processing industry in Switzerland. J Appl Microbiol 88:335–341. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j .1365-2672.2000.00965.x.
- 55. Rocha LB, Piazza RM. 2007. Production of Shiga toxin by Shiga toxinexpressing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) in broth media: from divergence to definition. Lett Appl Microbiol 45:411–417. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j .1472-765X.2007.02214.x.
- Ritchie JM, Wagner PL, Acheson DW, Waldor MK. 2003. Comparison of Shiga toxin production by hemolytic-uremic syndrome-associated and bovine-associated Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:1059–1066. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.2 .1059-1066.2003.
- 57. Steyert SR, Sahl JW, Fraser CM, Teel LD, Scheutz F, Rasko DA. 2012. Comparative genomics and stx phage characterization of LEE-negative

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol **2**:133. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00133.

- Muniesa M, Blanco JE, De Simón M, Serra-Moreno R, Blanch AR, Jofre J. 2004. Diversity of stx2 converting bacteriophages induced from Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from cattle. Microbiology 150:2959–2971. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.27188-0.
- Wagner PL, Acheson DW, Waldor MK. 1999. Isogenic lysogens of diverse Shiga toxin 2-encoding bacteriophages produce markedly different amounts of Shiga toxin. Infect Immun 67:6710-6714.
- Reissbrodt R, Hammes WP, dal Bello F, Prager R, Fruth A, Hantke K, Rakin A, Starcic-Erjavec M, Williams PH. 2009. Inhibition of growth of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* by nonpathogenic *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 290:62–69. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968 .2008.01405.x.
- Rashid RA, Tabata TA, Oatley MJ, Besser TE, Tarr PI, Moseley SL. 2006. Expression of putative virulence factors of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 differs in bovine and human infections. Infect Immun 74:4142–4148. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00299-06.