

The value of intraoperative nerve monitoring against recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in thyroid reoperations

Maowei Pei, MD^a, Siqi Zhu, MD^b, Chunjie Zhang, MD^a, Guoliang Wang, MD^a, Mingrong Hu, MD^{b,*}

Abstract

Reoperative thyroidectomy is challenging for surgeons because of the higher incidence of recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) palsy. RLN identification is the gold standard during thyroidectomy; however, it is sometimes difficult to perform thyroid reoperations. In recent years, intraoperative nerve monitoring (IONM) has gained increased acceptance, and the use of IONM can be a valuable adjunct to visual identification. The aim of this study was to evaluate the value of IONM during thyroid reoperation.

A total of 109 patients who met our criteria at the Affiliated Hospital of Hangzhou Normal University from January 2010 to June 2020 were retrospectively analyzed and divided into the IONM group and the visualization-alone group (VA group) according to whether neuromonitoring was used during the operation. The patients' characteristics, perioperative data, and intraoperative information including the RLN identification, time of RLNs confirmation, operative time, intraoperative blood loss, and the rate of RLN injury were collected.

Sixty-five procedures (94 RLNs at risk) were performed in the IONM group, whereas 44 (65 RLNs at risk) were in the VA group. The rate of RLN identification was 96.8% in the IONM group and 75.4% in the VA group (P < .05). The incidence of RLN injury was 5.3% in the IONM group and 13.8% in the VA group (P > .05). The incidence of surgeon-related RLN injury rate was 0% in the IONM group compared to 7.7% in the VA group (P < .05), but the tumor-related or scar-related RLN injury rate between the 2 groups were not significantly different (4.3% vs 3.1%, 1.1% vs 3.1%, P > .05).

IONM in thyroid reoperation was helpful in improving the RLN identification rate and reducing the surgeon-related RLN injury rate, but was ineffective in reducing the tumor-related and scar-related RLN injury rate. In the future, multicenter prospective studies with large sample sizes may be needed to further assess the role of IONM in thyroid reoperations.

Abbreviations: IONM = intraoperative nerve monitoring, RLN = recurrent laryngeal nerve, VA = visualization alone.

Keywords: IONM, nerve visualization alone, recurrent laryngeal nerve, reoperation, thyroidectomy

1. Introduction

Thyroid reoperations are relatively rare, but still can be challenging, even for highly experienced surgeons, because of the higher incidence of complications than in primary thyroid surgery, particularly recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) injury.^[1]

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

^a Department of General Surgery, The Zhejiang Hospital, Zhejiang, China,

- ^b Department of Thyroid and Breast Surgery, The Affiliated Hospital of Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China.
- ^{*} Correspondence: Mingrong Hu, No. 126, Wenzhou Road, Gongshu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310015, China (e-mail: hmr2021@163.com).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Pei M, Zhu S, Zhang C, Wang G, Hu M. The value of intraoperative nerve monitoring against recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in thyroid reoperations. Medicine 2021;100:51(e28233).

Received: 18 November 2020 / Received in final form: 16 November 2021 / Accepted: 24 November 2021

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000028233

The RLN is burdened with greater risk during re-thyroidectomy, and the RLN may be displaced in any direction. We can find the nerve adherent to the lateral capsule of the remnant thyroid mass or adheres strictly to the inferior part of a recurrent goiter. Another obstacle in reoperations is massive scarring after the first operation, in which the nerve can be imbedded. A scarred operating field, particularly after subtotal thyroid operations, makes it difficult to find the RLN and separate it from other structures. The incidence of nerve damage was 12.3% for temporary injury and 4.1% for permanent injury according to previous studies.^[2,3] Unilateral RLN damage causes the absence of movement of the ipsilateral vocal cord and leads to a variety of symptoms related to voice changes, such as vocal fatigue, hoarseness, and dysphonia. However, bilateral RLN damage leads to airway obstruction, which is serious and requires tracheostomy.^[4,5] RLN paralysis is a serious complication that influences quality of life, including limitations in physical, emotional, and social functioning.^[6] Thus, it is not surprising that RLN injury is still a major cause of litigation in endocrine surgery, and procedures to reduce the rate of RLN palsy have been the subject of investigation.

Many techniques and strategies are recommended in reoperations to decrease the incidence of RLN injury incidence,^[7–9] one of which is applying the intraoperative nerve monitoring (IONM). IONM is a technique that can reflect the function of the RLN via the electromyographic signal of vocal muscle

Editor: Maya Saranathan.

movement.^[10] The use of IONM has been standardized since 2011.^[11] In recent years, there have been many publications concerning the introduction of IONM, the learning curve of the new technology, and the assessment of the prevalence of complications when utilizing IONM.^[12,13] IONM helps to verify the functional integrity of the RLN and aid the surgeon in RLN localization before visualization during thyroid surgery. It is believed that the use of IONM can decrease the rate of RLN injury.^[2] Previous studies have shown that routine application of IONM in all thyroidectomies did not decrease the rate of RLN palsy.^[14,15] Few studies have reported the incidence of RLN injury in reoperations with IONM has been published, and only a few studies have compared the RLN injury rate during secondary thyroid surgery using IONM with procedures using only visual RLN identification.^[14,16] The aim of this study was to investigate whether IONM can aid in RLN identification and reduce the incidence of RLN injury during thyroid reoperations.

2. Methods

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Hangzhou Normal University. We collected information about thyroid reoperations from January 2010 to June 2020 in a retrospective study. Eligible patients fulfilled all of the following inclusion criteria: thyroid operations were carried out at least once before; ipsilateral vocal cord function was confirmed by pre-operative laryngoscopy. Exclusion criteria includes: paralysis of the ipsilateral vocal cord observed by preoperative laryngoscopy; and previous surgical field and ipsilateral RLNs were not exposed during reoperation. The patients who met our criteria were then divided into the IONM and visualization alone (VA) groups according to the availability of IONM.

All surgeries were completed by a surgical team composed of 3 surgeons who performed approximately 100 to 150 thyroidectomies every year. The team started using IONM for the first time in 2014 during both primary and secondary thyroid operations. All reoperations were performed through an existing skin incision. Different approaches to the remnant tissue were used: the lateral approach, central approach, or the strap muscle transected approach. The RLNs were then located and exposed using different methods.

In the control group, the RLN was identified visually using different anatomic landmarks, such as the Zuckerkandl tubercle, tracheoesophageal groove, and medial aspect of the carotid artery. Once the nerve was visually identified, it was carefully dissected along its course toward the larynx.

In the IONM group, the RLN was monitored using the nerve integrity monitor Response 3.0 (Medtronic Xomed). The connection and use of IONM was performed in accordance with the standard 4-step procedures recommended by the International Neuromonitoring Study Group.^[11] The carotid sheath in the IONM group was opened to expose the vagus nerves for further stimulation. During dissection, V1, R1, R2, and V2 signals were obtained sequentially by stimulation of the vagus nerve or RLN at given times. The V1 signal was defined as an original EMG signal obtained from the vagus nerve before identification of the RLN; the R1 signal was obtained from the RLN when it was first identified in the tracheoesophageal groove; the R2 signal was also obtained from the RLN after it was completely dissected and its full course in the neck was exposed; and the V2 signal was obtained from the vagus nerve after

complete hemostasis of the surgical field. In addition, stimulation of the vagus nerve or the RLN was performed during challenging or concerning maneuvers. The stimulation current was set at 1 mA. However, 2 mA is sometimes used to map the route of the RLN, which is not seen very clearly.

Fiber-optic laryngoscopy (Karl Storz, Germany) by a throat specialist was mandatory for all patients pre-operatively and postoperatively. Both the identification of the RLN and the RLN injury rate were calculated in relation to the number of nerves at risk. The outcomes of postoperative nerve function were divided into the normal and paralysis groups. RLN paralysis was further divided into surgeon-related, tumor-related, and scar-related injuries according to the causes of damage. Surgeon-related injury refers to accidental RLN damage caused by surgical errors. RLN injury in this group should not be surrounded by scar tissue or invaded by tumors. Tumor-related paralysis was defined as intentional injuries due to perineural invasion by tumors or metastatic lymph nodes, and RLNs were partially or completely transected. Scar-related paralysis was defined as an RLN injury due to tissue adhesion. The surgeon attempted to separate adhesions but failed to protect nerve function. The outcomes of the RLN identification rate, time of RLNs confirmation, operative time, intraoperative bleeding volume, and the rate of RLN injury were compared. All the operations in our study were performed by 1 surgical team, and all patients received IONM after the introduction of IONM, which would eliminate bias caused by patient selection and different surgical techniques.

Data were analyzed using Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS 24.0, American, Chicago) software version 24.0. Continuous variables were analyzed by Student t test and expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation, while categorical variables were analyzed using the chi-square test. Statistical significance was set at P < .05.

3. Results

A total of 109 patients met our criteria and were then divided into the IONM group (37 women and 28 men) and the VA group (24 women and 20 men) according to the available IONM. In the IONM group, there were 65 procedures that exposed 94 RLNs, whereas 44 procedures with 65 RLNs were exposed in the VA group. Table 1 presents patient characteristics. There were no significant differences in age, sex, pathological type, or operation method between the groups (P > .05).

The details about the rate of RLN identification, the time of RLN confirmation, operative duration, and intraoperative blood loss are compared in Table 2. The rate of RLN identification was calculated in relation to the number of nerves at risk during the procedure. Only 49 RLNs (75.4%) were identified in the VA group (65 RLNs at risk) and 91 RLNs (96.8%) in the IONM group (94 RLNs at risk), and the difference was statistically significant (P < .05). Compared with the VA group, the IONM helps decrease the RLN confirmation time (5.3 ± 2.3 vs 6.9 ± 2.5 minutes, P < .05), and decrease blood loss (20.1 ± 9.5 vs 26.5 ± 12.2 mL in unilateral and 29.3 ± 12.3 vs 38.6 ± 16.9 mL in bilateral, P < .05). Compared with the VA group, the use of IONM did not increase the operative duration in both unilateral (87.2 ± 15.9 vs 89.0 ± 17.0 minutes, P > .05) or bilateral (101.1 ± 16.8 vs 106.9 ± 16.1 minutes, P > .05) procedures.

Information regarding the incidence of RLN injury is presented in Table 3. The study showed that the incidence of RLN injury was 5.3% in the IONM group compared with 13.8% in the VA Table 1

Patient's characteristics and information about the reoperation.

Variables	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Mean	SD	P value
Age (yr)					.397
IONM group			50.92	14.50	
VA group			48.40	15.48	
Gender					.806
IONM group					
Male	28	43.1%			
Female	37	56.9%			
VA group					
Male	20	45.5%			
Female	24	54.5%			
Postoperative diagnosis					
Nodular goiter					.299
IONM group	25	38.5%			
VA group	19	43.2%			
DTC					
IONM group	31	47.7%			
VA group	20	45.5%			
Thyroid adenoma					
IONM group	9	13.8%			
VA group	5	11.3%			
Extent of thyroidectomy					
lpsilateral RTL + lymph node dissection					.16
IONM group	19	39.6%			
VA group	12	36.4%			
Bilateral RTL					
IONM group	17	35.4%			
VA group	13	39.4%			
Bilateral RTL + lymph node dissection					
IONM group	12	25%			
VA group	8	24.2%			

DTC=differentiated thyroid cancer, IONM=intraoperative nerve monitoring, RTL=residual thyroid lobe, SD=standard deviation, VA= visualization alone.

Table 2

The operation findings.

The operation minings.						
Frequency	Percentage (%)	Mean	SD	P value		
94						
65						
				<.001		
91	96.8%					
49	75.4%					
				<.001		
		5.3	2.3			
		6.9	2.5			
				.669		
		87.2	15.9			
		89.0	17.0			
				.225		
		101.0	16.8			
		106.9	16.1			
				.028		
		20.1	9.5			
		26.5	12.2			
				.03		
		29.3	12.3			
		38.6	16.9			
	Frequency 94 65 91 49	Frequency Percentage (%) 94 65 91 96.8% 49 75.4%	Frequency Percentage (%) Mean 94	Frequency Percentage (%) Mean SD 94 65		

IONM=intraoperative nerve monitoring, NAR=nerve at risk, RLN=recurrent laryngeal nerve, SD=standard deviation, VA=visualization alone.

 Table 3

 Information about the incidence of recurrent laryngeal nerve injury.

Variables	Frequency	Percentage (%)	P value
NAR			
IONM group	94		
VA group	65		
Rate of RLN injury			
IONM group	5	5.3%	.062
VA group	9	13.8%	
Surgeon-related RLN	injury		
IONM group	0	0%	.023
VA group	5	7.7%	
Tumor-related RLN in	njury		
IONM group	4	4.3%	1
VA group	2	3.1%	
Scar-related RLN inju	ıry		
IONM group	1	1.1%	.746
VA group	2	3.1%	

IONM=intraoperative nerve monitoring, NAR=nerve at risk, RLN=recurrent laryngeal nerve, VA= visualization alone.

group, but the difference was not statistically significant (P > .05). Compared with the VA group, the use of IONM decreased the incidence of surgeon-related RLN paralysis (0% vs 7.7%, P < .05), but the difference was not significant in tumor-related paralysis (4.3% vs 3.1%, P > .05) or scar-related paralysis (1.1% vs 3.1%, P > .05).

4. Discussion

Thyroid reoperation is a significant challenge for all surgeons because of serious postoperative complications. Surgeons should pay considerable attention to changes in anatomy and scar tissue during reoperation because these changes increase the risk of nerve injury.^[2] As we all know, surgeon's experience and routine exposure of nerves are 2 crucial point in reducing the RLN injury rate. However, it is difficult to visually distinguish the nerve from the scar tissue and dissect the scar tissue or vessels surrounding the RLN. IONM is a technique that will be helpful in identifying or mapping the path of the RLN and will help in recognizing nerve function.^[11] However, whether IONM can help prevent RLN injury remains controversial.^[17–19] Some studies indicated that IONM can be performed safely,^[20] improve the rate of RLN identification,^[21] and decrease the rate of RLN injury^[22,23] during thyroid reoperation. However, most studies hold the opposite opinion.^[19,24,25] Summarizing these studies, the different results may be due to the small data sample size, the lack of homogeneity among the patients and surgeon's experience, their indications for the procedures, and the extent of surgery. Our study showed that there were no significant differences in age, sex, pathological type, and operation method between the 2 groups (Table 1), which makes our results more reliable.

In our study, both groups of patients had a history of exploration and re-exploration of RLNs. Identification of RLN during thyroid reoperation is sometimes difficult, but IONM may be helpful.^[26,27] Our results showed that IONM helps to improve the recognition rate of RLN and shorten the confirmation time of RLN compared with the VA group (Table 2). This is because IONM can distinguish the RLN from the vessels and scarred tissue and locate the nerve before visual confirmation. The nerve is mapped out in the paratracheal region through probe stimulation and then visually identified through directed

dissection based on neural mapping.^[28] In addition, IONM can also improve the identification of rare non-RLNs compared to operations without monitoring according to researches.^[12] In our study, the frequency of RLN palsy was lower in the group in which IONM was used compared to patients in whom nerves were identified visually (Table 3). The difference between the RLN injury rates in the 2 groups was not statistically significant. In fact, nerve function is sometimes difficult to preserve during rethyroidectomy because of infiltration by carcinoma or metastatic lymph nodes.^[29] We were eager to know whether IONM could reduce the incidence of RLN paralysis caused by surgeon-related factors and scar-related factors, but not tumor-related factors. The study showed that IONM can reduce RLN injury caused by surgeon-related factors, but not scar-related or tumor-related factors (Table 3). This is because in thyroid reoperations, the RLN may be displaced in any direction, which can disorient surgeons and lead to inadvertent injury. Using IONM to map the outline of the nerve before any cutting can help minimize damage to the RLN.^[30] If the RLN is strictly adherent to or imbedded by scar tissue or infiltrated carcinoma, it is difficult to separate it, and the RLN is inevitably injured.^[31] In a scarred operating field, hemostasis can be difficult because of the high degree of vascularization.^[32] Our results showed that IONM could minimize bleeding during reoperation (Table 2). This is because IONM is helpful in distinguishing branches of the RLN from the vessels and scarred tissue, mapping the RLN through the overlying tissue.^[21] Another finding in our study was that the use of IONM did not increase the operation time (Table 2). The study by Sopinski et al^[19] supports our results. However, in a study by Sari et al,^[33] all patients undergoing thyroidectomy with the use of IONM had a significantly decreased operative time compared to patients in whom IONM was not utilized. Another retrospective analysis showed increased operative time with the use of IONM.^[34] The results differ from study to study, perhaps because surgeons are on different learning curves of the IONM. We believe that if surgeons are more skilled, the use of IONM would decrease the operative time.

There are other advantages to using IONM during thyroid surgery. It is worth noting that IONM may guide surgical strategies during reoperations.^[35] During thyroid reoperation, when a loss of signal is observed on the side of the neck operated on first, a staged thyroidectomy should be considered in order to prevent bilateral nerve injuries.^[2] For patients who already have unilateral vocal cord paresis, an operation on the contralateral side using IONM will be helpful to guide postoperative care, or even to prompt the surgeon to perform a protective tracheostomy after thyroid surgery.^[36] It has been proven that staged thyroidectomy can be effective in preventing bilateral RLN injury,^[37] which has been accepted by many surgeons.^[38-40] Although the benefit of using IONM for thyroid reoperation may not be indisputable, we hypothesize that if re-exploration near RLNs is planned and performed using IONM, significantly fewer accidental RLN injuries will occur. The use of IONM will also give surgeons more confidence during thyroid reoperation.

There are some limitations to the present study. First, it was a small sample study. Large sample data are needed to draw more expressive conclusions in the future. However, thyroid reoperations are relatively rare, and it is difficult to collect enough samples from a single hospital. The second is the lack of a randomized control trial because we used an IONM device according to the availability of the neuromonitoring system and surgeon preference. In fact, it is very difficult to conduct a prospective randomized controlled study on this topic. If we select cases from several surgical teams, differences in experience and technique will significantly influence the results. Moreover, it is unethical to place high-risk patients into a control group that does not allow the surgeon to use currently available technology to reduce RLN damage. It should also be recognized that a cost-effectiveness analysis of the utilization of IONM in thyroid reoperations has never been reported and is beyond the scope of this study, and this issue needs to be clarified in the future.

In conclusion, our study showed that IONM was helpful in improving the RLN identification rate, reducing the surgeonrelated RLN injury rate, lowering the time of RLN confirmation, and decreasing bleeding during thyroid reoperations. However, it was ineffective in reducing the tumor-related and scar-related RLN injury rate. In the future, multicenter prospective studies with large sample sizes are needed to further assess the role of IONM in thyroid reoperations.

Acknowledgments

The researchers appreciate all the participants used for their active participation in the program.

Author contributions

Data curation: Sigi Zhu.

Formal analysis: Guoliang Wang.

Funding acquisition: Mingrong Hu.

Methodology: Chunjie Zhang, Guoliang Wang, Mingrong Hu. Project administration: Maowei Pei.

Writing – review & editing: Maowei Pei.

References

- Miccoli P, Frustaci G, Fosso A, Miccoli M, Materazzi G. Surgery for recurrent goiter: complication rate and role of the thyroid-stimulating hormone-suppressive therapy after the first operation. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2015;400:253–8.
- [2] Wojtczak B, Barczynski M. Intermittent neural monitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerve in surgery for recurrent goiter. Gland Surg 2016;5:481–9.
- [3] Pelizzo MR, Variolo M, Bernardi C, et al. Complications in thyroid resurgery: a single institutional experience on 233 patients from a whole series of 4,752 homogeneously treated patients. Endocrine 2014;47:100–6.
- [4] Christou N, Mathonnet M. Complications after total thyroidectomy. J Visc Surg 2013;150:249–56.
- [5] Randolph GW, Kamani D. Intraoperative neural monitoring in thyroid cancer surgery. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2014;399:199–207.
- [6] Zheng X, Jia B, Song X, et al. Preventive potential of resveratrol in carcinogen-induced rat thyroid tumorigenesis. Nutrients 2018;10:279.
- [7] D'Orazi V, Panunzi A, Di Lorenzo E, et al. Use of loupes magnification and microsurgical technique in thyroid surgery: ten years experience in a single center. G Chir 2016;37:101–7.
- [8] Ortensi A, Panunzi A, Trombetta S, Cattaneo A, Sorrenti S, D'Orazi V. Advancement of thyroid surgery video recording: a comparison between two full HD head mounted video cameras. Int J Surg 2017;41(Suppl 1): S65–9.
- [9] D'Orazi V, Sacconi A, Trombetta S, et al. May predictors of difficulty in thyroid surgery increase the incidence of complications? Prospective study with the proposal of a preoperative score. BMC Surg 2019;18 (Suppl 1):116.
- [10] Wojtczak B, Sutkowski K, Kaliszewski K, Glod M, Barczynski M. Experience with intraoperative neuromonitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerve improves surgical skills and outcomes of non-monitored thyroidectomy. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2017;402:709–17.
- [11] Randolph GW, Dralle H, Abdullah H, et al. Electrophysiologic recurrent laryngeal nerve monitoring during thyroid and parathyroid surgery:

international standards guideline statement. Laryngoscope 2011;121 (Suppl 1):S1–16.

- [12] Barczynski M, Konturek A, Pragacz K, Papier A, Stopa M, Nowak W. Intraoperative nerve monitoring can reduce prevalence of recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in thyroid reoperations: results of a retrospective cohort study. World J Surg 2014;38:599–606.
- [13] Wojtczak B, Kaliszewski K, Sutkowski K, Glod M, Barczynski M. The learning curve for intraoperative neuromonitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerve in thyroid surgery. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2017;402:701–8.
- [14] Yang S, Zhou L, Lu Z, Ma B, Ji Q, Wang Y. Systematic review with metaanalysis of intraoperative neuromonitoring during thyroidectomy. Int J Surg 2017;39:104–13.
- [15] Lombardi CP, Carnassale G, Damiani G, et al. "The final countdown": is intraoperative, intermittent neuromonitoring really useful in preventing permanent nerve palsy? Evidence from a meta-analysis. Surgery 2016;160:1693–706.
- [16] Salari B, Ren Y, Kamani D, Randolph GW. Revision neural monitored surgery for recurrent thyroid cancer: safety and thyroglobulin response. Laryngoscope 2016;126:1020–5.
- [17] Zhu Y, Gao DS, Lin J, Wang Y, Yu L. Intraoperative neuromonitoring in thyroid and parathyroid surgery. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2021;31:18–23.
- [18] Kim J, Graves CE, Jin C, et al. Intraoperative nerve monitoring is associated with a lower risk of recurrent laryngeal nerve injury: a national analysis of 17,610 patients. Am J Surg 2021;221:472–7.
- [19] Sopinski J, Kuzdak K, Hedayati M, Kolomecki K. Role of intraoperative neuromonitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerves during thyroid reoperations of recurrent goiter. Pol Przegl Chir 2017;89:11–5.
- [20] Yarbrough DE, Thompson GB, Kasperbauer JL, Harper CM, Grant CS. Intraoperative electromyographic monitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerve in reoperative thyroid and parathyroid surgery. Surgery 2004;136:1107–15.
- [21] Wojtczak B, Sutkowski K, Kaliszewski K, Barczynski M, Bolanowski M. Thyroid reoperation using intraoperative neuromonitoring. Endocrine 2017;58:458–66.
- [22] Chuang YC, Huang SM. Protective effect of intraoperative nerve monitoring against recurrent laryngeal nerve injury during re-exploration of the thyroid. World J Surg Oncol 2013;11:94.
- [23] Chan WF, Lang BH, Lo CY. The role of intraoperative neuromonitoring of recurrent laryngeal nerve during thyroidectomy: a comparative study on 1000 nerves at risk. Surgery 2006;140:866–72. discussion 872-863.
- [24] Alesina PF, Rolfs TF, Hommeltenberg SF, et al. Intraoperative neuromonitoring does not reduce the incidence of recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy in thyroid reoperations: results of a retrospective comparative analysis. World J Surg 2012;36:1348–53.
- [25] Prokopakis E, Kaprana A, Velegrakis S, et al. Intraoperative recurrent laryngeal nerve monitoring in revision thyroidectomy. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2013;270:2521–4.
- [26] Ling Y, Zhao J, Zhao Y, Li K, Wang Y, Kang H. Role of intraoperative neuromonitoring of recurrent laryngeal nerve in thyroid and parathyroid surgery. J Int Med Res 2020;48:1–11.
- [27] Thong G, Brophy C, Sheahan P. Use of intraoperative neural monitoring for prognostication of recovery of vocal mobility and reduction of permanent vocal paralysis after thyroidectomy. Head Neck 2021;43:7–14.
- [28] Chiang FY, Lu IC, Tsai CJ, Hsiao PJ, Hsu CC, Wu CW. Does extensive dissection of recurrent laryngeal nerve during thyroid operation increase the risk of nerve injury? Evidence from the application of intraoperative neuromonitoring. Am J Otolaryngol 2011;32:499–503.
- [29] Lee HS, Roh JL, Gong G, et al. Risk factors for re-recurrence after first reoperative surgery for locoregional recurrent/persistent papillary thyroid carcinoma. World J Surg 2015;39:1943–50.
- [30] Chen J-Y, Shen Q. A new technique for identifying the recurrent laryngeal nerve: our experience in 71 patients. Chin Med J 2018;131:871–2.
- [31] Kim J-I, Kim S-J, Xu Z, et al. Efficacy of intraoperative neuromonitoring in reoperation for recurrent thyroid cancer patients. Endocrinol Metab (Seoul) 2020;35:918–24.
- [32] Gurleyik E, Cetin F, Dogan S, et al. Displacement of the recurrent laryngeal nerve in patients with recurrent goiter undergoing redo thyroid surgery. J Thyroid Res 2018;2018:4763712.
- [33] Sari S, Erbil Y, Sumer A, et al. Evaluation of recurrent laryngeal nerve monitoring in thyroid surgery. Int J Surg 2010;8:474–8.
- [34] Alesina PF, Hinrichs J, Meier B, Cho EY, Bolli M, Walz MK. Intraoperative neuromonitoring for surgical training in thyroid surgery: its routine use allows a safe operation instead of lack of experienced mentoring. World J Surg 2014;38:592–8.

- [35] Kuo I, Lan M, Hsu Y, Su W. In response to "Does the intraoperative nerve monitoring reliably aid in staging of total thyroidectomies?". Laryngoscope 2018;128:E265.
- [36] Gore Goretzki P, Schwarz K, Brinkmann J, Wirowski D, Lammers B. The impact of intraoperative neuromonitoring (IONM) on surgical strategy in bilateral thyroid diseases: is it worth the effort? World J Surg 2010;34:1274–84.
- [37] Melin M, Schwarz K, Lammers BJ, Goretzki PE. IONM-guided goiter surgery leading to two-stage thyroidectomy-indication and results. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2013;398:411–8.
- [38] Dralle H, Sekulla C, Lorenz K, Nguyen Thanh P, Schneider R, Machens A. Loss of the nerve monitoring signal during bilateral thyroid surgery. Br J Surg 2012;99:1089–95.
- [39] Bai B, Chen W. Protective effects of intraoperative nerve monitoring (IONM) for recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in thyroidectomy: metaanalysis. Sci Rep 2018;8:7761.
- [40] Sitges A, Fontane J, Duenas JP, et al. Prospective study on loss of signal on the first side during neuromonitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerve in total thyroidectomy. Br J Surg 2013;100:662–6.