GENOME SEQUENCES

Complete Genome Sequence of Mycobacteriophage **IgnatiusPatJac**

Olivia Jacobs,^a Nikki Gentle,^b Christopher Ealand,^{a @}Bavesh Kana^a

aDSI/NRF Centre of Excellence for Biomedical TB Research, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa

bSchool of Molecular and Cell Biology, Faculty of Science, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

ABSTRACT IgnatiusPatJac is a Siphoviridae mycobacteriophage capable of lytic infection in Mycobacterium smegmatis and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. It was isolated from damp soil in Johannesburg, South Africa. The 51,164-bp double-stranded DNA genome has a GC content of 63.6%, predicted to encode 93 genes. IgnatiusPatJac is classified as an A1 subcluster mycobacteriophage.

ycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb), the causative agent of tuberculosis (TB), remains one of the most successful pathogens [\(1](#page-2-0)). In Southern Africa, HIV and TB coinfection exacerbates the burden of disease ([2](#page-2-1)). The emergence of drug-resistant TB has necessitated the development of novel therapeutics, including alternative TB treatment strategies such as lytic mycobacteriophages [\(3](#page-2-2) to [6](#page-2-3)). We isolated IgnatiusPatJac from a damp soil sample collected at a construction site in Johannesburg, South Africa (16 April 2021; GPS coordinates -26.01014 and 27.98844).

Soil samples were washed with phage (MP) buffer and mycobacteriophages purified through a 0.22- μ m filter. For infection, 50 μ L of filtrate was incubated with stationary-phase Mycobacterium smegmatis mc²155 for 48 h at 3[7](#page-2-4)°C (7, [8](#page-2-5)). Emergent plaques were picked for mycobacteriophage purification. Negative staining transmission electron microscopy revealed that IgnatiusPatJac has a Siphoviridae morphology, with an icosahedral head diameter of \sim 60 nm and a tail length of \sim 120 nm [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0).

Genomic DNA was isolated using the Wizard Genomic DNA purification kit (Promega). DNA was then sheared to \sim 10-kb fragments using g-tubes (Covaris). SMRTbell libraries were constructed using PacBio's Microbial Multiplexing workflow and size-selected for fragments between 10 and 18 kb using BluePippin with U1 marker (Sage Science). Libraries were indexed with the Barcoded Overhang Adapter kit 8A/8B (PacBio), prepared using the online SMRTlink guided protocol (Binding kit 2.0 and Control 1.0; Sequel II sequencing plate 2.0 and SMRT cell 8M) and sequenced on the Sequel IIe (PacBio) HiFi platform. A total of 490 single-end reads were obtained with an average read length (N_{50}) of 6116 bp. Raw reads were assembled using the "Genome Assembly" application with SMRT link (v10.1.0.119588) using default settings. A single mycobacteriophage contig was assembled and checked for quality, completeness, accuracy, and mycobacteriophage genomic termini using Consed (v29.0) [\(9](#page-2-6)).

IgnatiusPatJac contains a circularly permuted genome of 51,164 bp, a 3' sticky overhang of 10 bp (CGGACGGTAA), and a GC content of 63.6%. The approximate coverage level by CCS (circular consensus sequencing) was 45-fold. Whole-genome nucleotide BLAST alignments [\(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)) showed 96.72% nucleotide similarity to both the cluster A1 mycobacteriophages Moose (GenBank accession number [MH479919.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MH479919.1)) and Forsyhteast [\(MG925342.1\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MG925342.1). Auto-annotation was performed using GeneMark (v2.5p) [\(10\)](#page-2-7) and Glimmer (v3.07) ([11](#page-2-8)) followed by manual revision with DNA Master (v5.23.6; [http://phagesdb.org/](http://phagesdb.org/DNAMaster/) [DNAMaster/](http://phagesdb.org/DNAMaster/)), Phamerator [\(https://phamerator.org](https://phamerator.org)) [\(12](#page-2-9)), and HHPRED [\(https://toolkit.tuebingen](https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/tools/hhpred) [.mpg.de/tools/hhpred](https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/tools/hhpred)) [\(13](#page-2-10)). Default parameters were used for all software tools.

Editor Catherine Putonti, Loyola University Chicago

Copyright © 2022 Jacobs et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Bavesh Kana, bavesh.kana@wits.ac.za, or Christopher Ealand, Christopher.Ealand@wits.ac.za.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 4 July 2022 Accepted 5 September 2022 Published 21 September 2022

FIG 1 Genome organization of mycobacteriophage IgnatiusPatJac. The double-stranded DNA genome of IgnatiusPatJac is represented as a horizontal bar with vertical markers at every kilobase pair. Gene numbers are shown in boxes, which are shaded according to their phamily assignments determined using Phamerator [\(7](#page-2-4)). Boxes above and below represent transcription from plus and negative strands, respectively. Putative gene functions, based on BLAST analyses, are indicated. The inset represents a transmission electron micrograph of IgnatiusPatJac (scale bar equivalent to 50 nm). Droplets of purified IgnatiusPatJac lysate were placed onto copper grids (Agar Scientific, UK), coated with carbon, and rendered hydrophilic using an EMS100 Glow Discharge Unit (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA). Samples were visualized using negative staining with 2% uranyl acetate (SPI Supplies, USA).

The IgnatiusPatjac genome is predicted to encode 93 open reading frames (ORFs) with no tRNA or transfer-mRNA (tmRNA) genes detected using ARAGORN and tRNAscan-SE ([14](#page-2-11), [15](#page-2-12)) [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0). Of the 93 predicted ORFs, 54 (58.1%) were annotated as hypothetical proteins. ORFs 1 to 36 and 87 to 93 are transcribed from the positive strand. These regions include structural and assembly proteins, serine integrase, and a lysis cassette encoding Lysin A and B genes. The tail assembly chaperones (ORFs 21 and 22) have a -1 frameshift. In contrast, ORFs 37 to 86, transcribed from the negative strand, encode several proteins, including HNH endonuclease, DNA polymerase I, metallophosphoesterase, two DNA primases, endonuclease IV, NrdH-like glutaredoxin, immunity repressor proteins, and DnaB-like dsDNA helicase.

Data availability. The IgnatiusPatJac genome sequence is available at GenBank under accession number [ON677304](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/ON677304). The raw sequencing reads are available in the SRA under accession number [SRX15605400.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX15605400)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Deborah Jacobs-Sera, Daniel A. Russell, and Graham F. Hatfull (Department of Biological Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, USA) for their technical support related to sequence analysis and genome annotations. We thank Mohamed Jaffer (Electron Microscope Unit at the University of Cape Town, South Africa) for assistance with microscopy. This was funded by the Department of Science and Innovation, the National Research Foundation, and the South African Medical Research Council with funds from the Department of Health.

REFERENCES

- 1. WHO. 2021. Global tuberculosis report. WHO, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 2. Onyebujoh P, Zumla A, Ribeiro I, Rustomjee R, Mwaba P, Gomes M, Grange JM. 2005. Treatment of tuberculosis: present status and future prospects. Bull World Health Organ 83:857–865.
- 3. Guerrero-Bustamante CA, Dedrick RM, Garlena RA, Russell DA, Hatfull GF. 2021. Toward a phage cocktail for tuberculosis: susceptibility and tuberculocidal action of mycobacteriophages against diverse Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains. mBio 12:e00973-21. [https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00973-21.](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00973-21)
- 4. Azimi T, Mosadegh M, Nasiri MJ, Sabour S, Karimaei S, Nasser A. 2019. Phage therapy as a renewed therapeutic approach to mycobacterial infections: a comprehensive review. Infect Drug Resist 12:2943–2959. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S218638) [.org/10.2147/IDR.S218638](https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S218638).
- 5. Aslam S, Lampley E, Wooten D, Karris M, Benson C, Strathdee S, Schooley RT. 2020. Lessons learned from the first 10 consecutive cases of intravenous bacteriophage therapy to treat multidrug-resistant bacterial infections at a single center in the United States. Open Forum Infect Dis 7: ofaa389. [https://doi.org/10.1093/o](https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa389)fid/ofaa389.
- 6. Dedrick RM, Freeman KG, Nguyen JA, Bahadirli-Talbott A, Smith BE, Wu AE, Ong AS, Lin CT, Ruppel LC, Parrish NM, Hatfull GF, Cohen KA. 2021. Potent antibody-mediated neutralization limits bacteriophage treatment of a pulmonary Mycobacterium abscessus infection. Nat Med 27:1357-1361. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01403-9) [10.1038/s41591-021-01403-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01403-9).
- 7. Snapper SB, Melton RE, Mustafa S, Kieser T, Jacobs WR Jr. 1990. Isolation and characterization of efficient plasmid transformation mutants of Mycobacterium smegmatis. Mol Microbiol 4:1911–1919. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1990.tb02040.x) [.1365-2958.1990.tb02040.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1990.tb02040.x).
- 8. Bajpai U, Mehta AK, Eniyan K, Sinha A, Ray A, Virdi S, Ahmad S, Shah A, Arora D, Marwaha D, Chauhan G, Saraswat P, Bathla P, Singh R. 2018. Isolation and characterization of bacteriophages from India, with lytic activity against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Can J Microbiol 64:483–491. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2017-0387) [.1139/cjm-2017-0387.](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2017-0387)
- 9. Gordon D, Green P. 2013. Consed: a graphical editor for next-generation sequencing. Bioinformatics 29:2936–2937. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt515.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt515)
- 10. Borodovsky M, Mills R, Besemer J, Lomsadze A. 2003. Prokaryotic gene prediction using GeneMark and GeneMark.hmm. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics 1:4.5.1–4.5.16. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi0405s01>.
- 11. Salzberg SL, Delcher AL, Kasif S, White O. 1998. Microbial gene identification using interpolated Markov models. Nucleic Acids Res 26:544–548. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/26.2.544) [.org/10.1093/nar/26.2.544](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/26.2.544).
- 12. Cresawn SG, Bogel M, Day N, Jacobs-Sera D, Hendrix RW, Hatfull GF. 2011. Phamerator: a bioinformatic tool for comparative bacteriophage genomics. BMC Bioinformatics 12:395. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-395.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-395)
- 13. Söding J, Biegert A, Lupas AN. 2005. The HHpred interactive server for protein homology detection and structure prediction. Nucleic Acids Res 33:W244–W248. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki408>.
- 14. Laslett D, Canback B. 2004. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 32:11–16. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152) doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152.
- 15. Lowe TM, Eddy SR. 1997. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res 25:955–964. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.5.955>.