

Nivolumab in salivary gland cancers: confronting the challenges of unlocking the therapeutic benefits of immunotherapy for rare diseases

Antoine Desilets ¹, Alan L Ho^{1,2}

To cite: Desilets A, Ho AL. Nivolumab in salivary gland cancers: confronting the challenges of unlocking the therapeutic benefits of immunotherapy for rare diseases. *BMJ Oncology* 2023;2:e000214. doi:10.1136/bmjonc-2023-000214



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjonc-2023-000065>



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, USA

²Department of Medicine, Weil Medical College of Cornell University, New York, New York, USA

Correspondence to
Dr Antoine Desilets;
desilea@mskcc.org

Salivary gland cancers (SGCs) are rare tumours encompassing 24 histological subtypes with diverse biology and variable clinical behaviour, ranging from aggressive to indolent.¹ Recurrent and/or metastatic (R/M) SGCs are incurable diseases for which approved drug options are limited to those marketed with tissue agnostic indications (eg, high tumour mutational burden (TMB), *NTRK* rearrangements, etc). The lack of treatment options reflects the challenges of developing and conducting clinical trials for rare, biologically heterogeneous diseases. The Nivolumab in Recurrent or Metastatic Salivary Gland Carcinoma of the Head and Neck (NISCAHN) study by Fayette *et al* reported in this issue of *BMJ Oncology* is an impressive effort to bring the transformational clinical benefits of immunotherapy (IO) to patients with R/M SGCs. This phase II trial evaluated nivolumab, the monoclonal antibody targeting programmed death-1 (PD-1), in two separate SGC cohorts: adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) and non-ACC SGC histologies. With a total of 98 enrolled patients from 12 different French centres, this is one of the largest prospective IO clinical trials dedicated to SGCs published to date. The primary endpoint for each cohort was non-progression rate at 6 months (NPR_{6m}): the NPR_{6m} of 33.3% in the ACC cohort was declared promising, while the NPR_{6m} of 14.0% in the non-ACC cohort was not. Notably, overall response rate (ORR) was relatively low in both cohorts (8.7% for ACC and 3.8% for non-ACC).²

Low ORRs (~0–15%) have historically been reported with systemic therapy in patients with R/M ACC.^{3–5} This includes recent IO trials using ORR as a primary endpoint with PD-1 inhibitor alone (pembrolizumab; ORR 3.4% in ACCs)⁶ or in combination with cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4 (CTLA-4)

inhibition (nivolumab-ipilimumab; ORR 3.8% in ACCs).⁷ Considering poor correlation between response and overall survival (OS) in IO studies across solid cancers,⁸ the NPR_{6m} endpoint may possess greater clinical relevance as a surrogate for OS.⁸ However, the authors appropriately point out that using NPR_{6m} as a measure of nivolumab clinical activity in a single-arm study is challenging given the indolent natural history of some ACC tumours and lack of reliable historical benchmarks for SGC outcomes. The NISCAHN study adopted the alternative hypothesis of 40% NPR_{6m} for each cohort, which may be a conservative target for clinical benefit given that contemporary SGC trials have reported 6-month PFS rates ranging from 70% to 80%.^{2 4 5} Notably, we recently published a clinical trial of nivolumab plus the anti-CTLA4 antibody ipilimumab which used ORR as the primary endpoint and was declared negative for the ACC cohort (ORR 6.3%, median PFS 4.4 months), and positive for the non-ACC group (ORR 15.6%, median PFS 2.2 months).⁹ Randomised trials would be the most rigorous evaluation of clinical benefit, though such designs would be difficult to justify for early, signal-finding phase II evaluations. As such, our view is that ORR remains a more reliable, though imperfect, efficacy endpoint for single-arm studies until better historical comparators for non-progression or PFS are available from larger prospective studies.

The inclusion of non-ACC cohorts in clinical trials, as accomplished in NISCAHN, provides the invaluable opportunity to evaluate investigational approaches in SGCs beyond ACC.² The heterogeneity of SGC biology and tumour behaviour requires that the specific histologies enrolled to such cohorts be considered when interpreting

study outcomes. In NISCAHN, the non-ACC cohort was enriched with adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified (NOS; 53.8%) and mucoepidermoid carcinoma (11.5%) histologies, while salivary duct carcinomas (SDCs) only accounted for 3.8% of cases.² In contrast, SDCs was the most common histology enrolled to the non-ACC cohort of the nivolumab plus ipilimumab trial (38%), and appeared to be more susceptible to IO with an ORR of 25%.⁹ This clinical result potentially reflects the relatively higher TMB and immune cell infiltration natively present in SDCs compared with other SGC histologies, such as ACC.^{9 10}

The NISCAHN investigators also evaluated baseline PD-L1 expression and tumour infiltrating lymphocytes, failing to find evidence that these are predictors of nivolumab benefit, consistent with past studies.^{2 9} While the overall rate of IO benefit in SGC trials appears to be low, the deep and durable tumour regressions that can be achieved among responders reflect the potential for dramatic benefit with these therapies, further incentivising the search for better clinical and/or biological predictors to discern which patients with SGC should receive these agents.

Just as importantly, the NISCAHN study outcomes highlight the pressing need for more clinical trials investigating novel IO agents and strategies to augment and broaden IO benefit to more patients with SGC. Combination approaches have and continue to be explored in patients with SGC, including combining immune checkpoint blockade with radiation therapy,^{11 12} multitargeted kinase inhibitors,^{13 14} histone deacetylase inhibitors¹⁵ or chemotherapy (NCT03360890 and NCT04895735). In parallel, efforts should be made to collect and analyse trial biospecimens and develop faithful laboratory models to formulate stronger biologic rationales that will aid in identifying opportunities for drug development tailored to SGC biological profiles. The success of the NISCAHN trial illustrates what can be accomplished with a focused commitment and dedication to developmental therapeutics for rare cancer patients, and provides optimism that a multipronged scientific and clinical effort will yield discoveries that meaningfully improve the outcomes of patients with SGCs.

Contributors AD: writing - original draft preparation. ALH: supervision, writing - review and editing.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data sharing not applicable as no datasets generated and/or analysed for this study.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Antoine Desilets <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9382-7597>

REFERENCES

- 1 El-Naggar AK. *WHO classification of head and neck tumours*. International Agency, 2017.
- 2 Fayette J EC, Digue L, et al. n.d. NISCAHN: a phase II trial of nivolumab in patients with salivary gland carcinoma (Unicancer ORL-08). *BMJ Oncology*
- 3 Tchekmedyian V, Sherman EJ, Dunn L, et al. Phase II study of lenvatinib in patients with progressive, recurrent or metastatic adenoid cystic carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2019;37:1529–37.
- 4 Kang EJ, Ahn M-J, Ock C-Y, et al. Randomized phase II study of axitinib versus observation in patients with recurrent or metastatic adenoid cystic carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2021;27:5272–9.
- 5 Kang H, Ahn M-J, Keam B, et al. Updated results from a phase 2 study of the oral vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (Vegfr2) inhibitor Rivoceranib for recurrent or Metastatic (R/M) Adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC). *JCO* 2023;41(16_suppl):6040.
- 6 Even C, Delord J-P, Price KA, et al. Evaluation of pembrolizumab monotherapy in patients with previously treated advanced salivary gland carcinoma in the phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study. *Eur J Cancer* 2022;171:259–68.
- 7 Chae YK, Othus M, Patel SP, et al. Abstract 3418: a phase II basket trial of dual anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 blockade in rare tumors (DART) SWOG S1609: the salivary gland tumor cohort. *Cancer Res* 2020;80(16_Supplement):3418–18.
- 8 Ritchie G, Gasper H, Man J, et al. Defining the most appropriate primary end point in phase 2 trials of immune checkpoint inhibitors for advanced solid cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol* 2018;4:522–8.
- 9 Vos JL, Burman B, Jain S, et al. Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in advanced salivary gland cancer: a phase 2 trial. *Nat Med* August 24, 2023.
- 10 Linxweiler M, Kuo F, Katabi N, et al. The immune microenvironment and neoantigen landscape of aggressive salivary gland carcinomas differ by subtype. *Clin Cancer Res* 2020;26:2859–70.
- 11 Mahmood U, Bang A, Chen Y-H, et al. A randomized phase 2 study of pembrolizumab with or without radiation in patients with recurrent or metastatic adenoid cystic carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;109:134–44.
- 12 Rodriguez CP, Wu V, Ng K, et al. Dual PD1 and CTLA4 immune checkpoint blockade and hypofractionated radiation in patients with salivary gland cancers. *JCO* 2023;41(16_suppl):6011–11.
- 13 Ferrarotto R, Sousa LG, Feng L, et al. Phase II clinical trial of axitinib and avelumab in patients with recurrent/metastatic adenoid cystic carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2023;41:2843–51.
- 14 Mohamadpour M, Sherman EJ, Kriplani A, et al. A phase II study of lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab in patients with progressive, recurrent/metastatic adenoid cystic carcinoma. *JCO* 2023;41(16_suppl):6048–48.
- 15 Rodriguez CP, Wu QV, Voutsinas J, et al. A phase II trial of pembrolizumab and vorinostat in recurrent metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinomas and salivary gland cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2020;26:837–45.