

## Erratum

# Erratum to “Slender Sheath/Guiding Catheter Combination vs. Sheathless Guiding Catheter for Acute Coronary Syndrome: A Propensity-Matched Analysis of the Two Devices”

Tsuyoshi Isawa <sup>1</sup>, Kazunori Horie,<sup>1</sup> Taku Honda,<sup>1</sup> Masataka Taguri,<sup>2</sup> and Norio Tada<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Sendai Kousei Hospital, Sendai, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Data Science, Yokohama City University School of Data Science, Yokohama, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Tsuyoshi Isawa; isa\_tsuyo@yahoo.co.jp

Received 29 September 2020; Accepted 29 September 2020; Published 23 October 2020

Copyright © 2020 Tsuyoshi Isawa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In the article titled “Slender Sheath/Guiding Catheter Combination vs. Sheathless Guiding Catheter for Acute Coronary Syndrome: A Propensity-Matched Analysis of the Two Devices” [1], there were errors in Tables 2, 3, and 4. In each of these tables the  $n$  value was incorrectly given as  $n = 397$  for the propensity-matched population in both

the Glidesheath and Sheathless columns. The correct value is  $n = 364$ . This mistake occurred during the production of the article, and the publisher apologises for this error.

The corrected tables are shown below and are listed as Tables 2–4, respectively.

TABLE 2: Procedural characteristics of the study population.

Variables	Total population			Propensity-matched population		
	Glidesheath $n = 397$	Sheathless $n = 711$	$P$	Glidesheath $n = 364$	Sheathless $n = 364$	$P$
LAD/diagonal, $n$ (%)	196 (49.4)	318 (44.7)	0.15	179 (49.2)	170 (46.7)	0.55
LCX/marginal, $n$ (%)	37 (9.3)	144 (20.3)	<0.001	33 (9.1)	72 (19.8)	<0.001
RCA, $n$ (%)	139 (35.0)	217 (30.5)	0.14	132 (36.3)	109 (30.0)	0.08
LMCA, $n$ (%)	25 (6.3)	32 (4.5)	0.20	20 (5.5)	13 (3.6)	0.29
<i>Guiding catheter type</i>						
LAD/diagonal (JL/EBU/others), $n$ (%)	143 (73.0)/51 (26.0)/2(1.0)	263 (82.7)/54 (17.0)/1 (0.3)	0.025	132 (73.7)/46 (25.7)/1 (0.6)	144 (84.7)/26 (15.3)/0(0)	0.033
LCX/marginal (JL/EBU/others), $n$ (%)	13 (35.1)/23 (62.2)/1 (2.7)	79 (54.9)/65 (45.1)/0 (0)	0.019	12 (36.4)/20 (60.6)/1 (3.0)	42 (58.3)/30 (41.7)/0(0)	0.050
RCA (JR/AL/others), $n$ (%)	101 (72.7)/30 (21.6)/8 (5.7)	157 (72.4)/58 (26.7)/2 (0.9)	0.018	97 (73.5)/28 (21.2)/7 (5.3)	81 (74.3)/26 (23.9)/2(1.8)	0.22

TABLE 2: Continued.

Variables	Total population			Propensity-matched population		
	Glidesheath <i>n</i> = 397	Sheathless <i>n</i> = 711	<i>P</i>	Glidesheath <i>n</i> = 364	Sheathless <i>n</i> = 364	<i>P</i>
LMCA (JL/EBU/others), <i>n</i> (%)	15 (60.0)/9 (36.0)/1 (4.0)	28 (87.5)/3 (9.4)/1 (3.1)	0.0046	14 (70.0)/6 (30.0)/0 (0)	12 (92.3)/1 (7.7)/0 (0)	0.12
True bifurcation lesion, <i>n</i> (%)	67 (16.9)	99 (13.9)	0.19	58 (15.9)	51 (14.0)	0.53
In-stent restenosis/occlusion, <i>n</i> (%)	26 (6.6)	39 (5.5)	0.58	24 (6.6)	18 (5.0)	0.43
Diffuse lesion, <i>n</i> (%)	264 (66.5)	434 (61.0)	0.080	246 (67.6)	228 (62.6)	0.19
Heavily calcified lesion, <i>n</i> (%)	13 (3.3)	10 (1.4)	0.047	12 (3.3)	5 (1.4)	0.14
Thrombus aspiration, <i>n</i> (%)	218 (54.9)	253 (35.6)	<0.001	203 (55.8)	135 (37.1)	<0.001
Rotablation, <i>n</i> (%)	8 (2.0)	6 (0.8)	0.16	7 (1.9)	2 (0.6)	0.18
<i>Arterial access site</i>						
Left radial, <i>n</i> (%)	373 (94.0)	679 (95.5)	0.26	344 (94.5)	343 (94.2)	1.00
No. of previous iTRA attempts, median (min–max)	0 (0–9)	0 (0–11)	0.002	0 (0–9)	0 (0–11)	0.17
No. of previous iTRI attempts, median (min–max)	0 (0–7)	0 (0–7)	0.059	0 (0–7)	0 (0–7)	0.36

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or *n* (%), unless otherwise indicated. “Glidesheath” denotes 7-Fr Glidesheath slender/7-Fr guiding catheter combination group, and “Sheathless” denotes 7.5-Fr sheathless guiding catheter group. The asterisk denotes a statistically significant difference between the two groups. AL, Amplatz type; EBU, extra backup type; iTRA, ipsilateral transradial coronary angiography; iTRI, ipsilateral transradial coronary intervention; JL, Judkins Left type; JR, Judkins Right type; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LCX, left circumflex coronary artery; LMCA, left main coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery.

TABLE 3: Procedural outcomes.

Variables	Total population				Propensity-matched population			
	Glidesheath <i>n</i> = 397	Sheathless <i>n</i> = 711	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	Glidesheath <i>n</i> = 364	Sheathless <i>n</i> = 364	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>
Procedural success, <i>n</i> (%)	392 (98.7)	702 (98.7)	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	1.0	359 (98.6)	361 (99.2)	0.99 (0.98–1.01)	0.73
Coronary ostial dissection, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (0.8)	10 (1.4)	1.86 (0.52–6.72)	0.40	3 (0.8)	6 (1.7)	0.50 (0.13–1.98)	0.51
Access-site crossover from radial to femoral, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	n/a	0.36	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	n/a	1.00
Total fluoroscopy time, min	22.4 (15.3–31.5)	18.2 (13.9–28.0)		<0.001	22.3 (15.3–31.5)	18.7 (13.8–29.5)		0.002
Contrast used, ml	128 (100–160)	127 (103–160)		0.67	128 (100–160)	133 (105–165)		0.11
No. of catheters used, median (min–max)	1 (1–5)	1 (1–4)		<0.001	1 (1–5)	1 (1–4)		0.016

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or *n* (%), unless otherwise indicated. “Glidesheath” denotes 7-Fr Glidesheath slender/7-Fr guiding catheter combination group, and “Sheathless” denotes 7.5-Fr sheathless guiding catheter group. The asterisk denotes a statistically significant difference between the two groups. CI, confidence interval; n/a, not applicable; OR, odds ratio.

TABLE 4: Periprocedural access-site complications.

Variables	Total population				Propensity-matched population			
	Glidesheath <i>n</i> = 397	Sheathless <i>n</i> = 711	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	Glidesheath <i>n</i> = 364	Sheathless <i>n</i> = 364	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>
RAD at 30 days, mm	2.0 (1.8–2.3)	2.1 (1.8–2.4)		0.15	2.0 (1.8–2.3)	2.1 (1.8–2.4)		0.06
End-procedural ACT, s	273 (231–312)	301 (250–376)		<0.001	273 (229–310)	289 (250–372)		<0.001
RAO at 30 days, <i>n</i> (%)	6 (1.5)	25 (3.5)	0.43 (0.18–1.04)	0.058	5 (1.4)	15 (4.1)	0.33 (0.12–0.91)	0.039

TABLE 4: Continued.

Variables	Total population				Propensity-matched population			
	Glidesheath <i>n</i> = 397	Sheathless <i>n</i> = 711	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	Glidesheath <i>n</i> = 364	Sheathless <i>n</i> = 364	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>
Severe radial spasm, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (2.3)	11 (1.6)	1.46 (0.61–3.49)	0.48	5 (1.4)	7 (1.9)	0.71 (0.23–2.22)	0.58
<i>Access-site major bleeding within 30 days</i>								
BARC type 3 or 5, <i>n</i> (%)	5 (1.3)	9 (1.3)	0.99 (0.34–2.95)	1.00	5 (1.4)	6 (1.6)	0.83 (0.26–2.71)	1.00
BARC type 3, <i>n</i> (%)	5 (1.3)	9 (1.3)	0.99 (0.34–2.95)	1.00	5 (1.4)	6 (1.6)	0.83 (0.26–2.71)	1.00
BARC type 5, <i>n</i> (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	n/a	n/a	0 (0)	0 (0)	n/a	n/a

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or *n* (%), unless otherwise indicated. “Glidesheath” denotes 7-Fr Glidesheath slender/7-Fr guiding catheter combination group, and “Sheathless” denotes 7.5-Fr sheathless guiding catheter group. The asterisk denotes a statistically significant difference between the two groups. ACT; activated clotting time; BARC, bleeding academic research consortium; CI, confidence interval; n/a, not applicable; OR, odds ratio; RAD, radial artery diameter; RAO, radial artery occlusion.

## References

- [1] T. Isawa, K. Horie, T. Honda, M. Taguri, and N. Tada, “Slender sheath/guiding catheter combination vs. sheathless guiding catheter for acute coronary syndrome: a propensity-matched analysis of the two devices,” *Journal of Interventional Cardiology*, vol. 2020, Article ID 8216831, 10 pages, 2020.