



## Complete Genome Sequence of Klebsiella pneumoniae Podophage Pone

Johnathan Lo,ª Lauren Lessor,ª James Clark,ª Tram Le,ª © [Jason J. Gill,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9494-6053)ª © Mei Liuª

aCenter for Phage Technology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

ABSTRACT Klebsiella pneumoniae is a Gram-negative pathogen that has become increasingly antibiotic resistant. Phage therapy is potentially a useful approach to controlling this pathogen. Here, we present the genome sequence of the phiKMV-like K. pneumoniae podophage Pone.

Rebsiella pneumoniae is a nonmotile Gram-negative bacillus found in the soil, mouth, skin, and gastrointestinal tract. It has received attention in recent years as a panresistant, nosocomial pathogen ([1](#page-1-0)), particularly the highly resistant carbapenemase-producing strains [\(2](#page-1-1), [3\)](#page-1-2). They are also known to carry a wide array of other antibiotic resistance genes and are reservoirs for drug resistance elements resulting in endemic antibiotic resistance among the *Enterobacteriaceae* [\(4\)](#page-1-3). Phage therapy is one promising solution to these panresistant pathogens, and hence it is useful to characterize the phages of K. pneumoniae to evaluate their therapeutic potential. Here, we present the genome sequence of K. pneumoniae phage Pone.

Bacteriophage Pone was isolated from wastewater collected in College Station, TX, based on its ability to form plaques on the clinical isolate K. pneumoniae 43421 (GenBank accession no. [NZ\\_NDDH00000000\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_NDDH00000000), using the soft-agar overlay method, and phage purification was carried out by picking and replating the isolated plaques for several rounds on soft-agar overlay seeded with the host strain as described previously [\(5\)](#page-1-4). Phage and host bacteria were aerobically cultured on Trypticase soy broth or agar at 37°C. The morphology was determined to be podophage by negative staining the sample with 2% (wt/vol) uranyl acetate ([6](#page-1-5)) and viewing it through transmission electron microscopy at the Texas A&M Microscopy and Imaging Center. Phage DNA was extracted using the Promega Wizard DNA extraction system following a modified protocol as previously described [\(7\)](#page-1-6), and a DNA library was prepared with average 300-bp inserts using the TruSeq Nano kit (Illumina). Samples were sequenced with 300-cycle chemistry on an Illumina iSeq 100 platform. Read quality control was conducted using FastQC [\(www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac](www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) [.uk/projects/fastqc\)](www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) on the 775,946 raw reads, and the genome was assembled from these reads using SPAdes v3.5.0 [\(8\)](#page-1-7), resulting in a single contig with 367.6-fold coverage. The contig was closed and verified using PCR and Sanger sequencing with the forward primer GTGCCTAGCGCCAAAAAGAG and the reverse primer CACTGGACAGGCACTAGAGG. Structural annotation was conducted using GLIMMER v3 [\(9\)](#page-1-8) and MetaGeneAnnotator v1.0 [\(10](#page-1-9)), with manual corrections. ARAGORN v2.36 [\(11](#page-1-10)) was used to detect potential tRNAs. Functional annotation was conducted using sequence similarity searches from BLAST v2.9.0 ([12\)](#page-1-11), conserved domain searches from InterProScan v5.33 ([13\)](#page-1-12) and HHPred ([14](#page-1-13)), and membrane topology predictions from TMHMM v2.0 ([15\)](#page-1-14). BLAST searches were conducted against the NCBI nonredundant (nr) and Swiss-Prot databases ([16\)](#page-1-15). Genomic comparisons were conducted using progressiveMauve v2.4 [\(17\)](#page-1-16). All analyses were conducted via the CPT Galaxy and Web Apollo interfaces ([18](#page-1-17)[–](#page-1-18)[20\)](#page-2-0) with default settings.

Phage Pone is a 44,346-bp podophage, with terminal repeats predicted using PhageTerm [\(21\)](#page-2-1). The precise repeat boundaries could not be identified. Its genome Citation Lo J, Lessor L, Clark J, Le T, Gill JJ, Liu M. 2021. Complete genome sequence of Klebsiella pneumoniae podophage Pone. Microbiol Resour Announc 10:e01405-20. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01405-20>.

**Editor** Catherine Putonti, Loyola University Chicago

Copyright © 2021 Lo et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Mei Liu, meiliu@tamu.edu.

Received 7 December 2020 Accepted 2 May 2021 Published 27 May 2021

contains  $53.8\%$  G+C content and 56 protein-coding genes at a 94% overall coding density. Of the 56 protein-coding genes, 36 were assigned putative functions. Comparative analysis of the genome shows a high degree of identity with phiKMV (GenBank accession no. [NC\\_005045](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_005045)). Phage Pone shares 19 out of 48 phiKMV proteins according to a BLASTp comparison ( $E < 0.001$ ) and 30% similarity at the DNA level with phiKMV according to a progressiveMauve analysis. The arrangement of genes and the genome size of phage Pone are consistent with a phiKMV-like phage [\(22](#page-2-2)), with the notable exception of the first 7 kb, which encodes an array of hypothetical proteins that contain no detectable similarity to any genes of known function, and a second region at nucleotide position 14097 to 16649 containing hypothetical proteins with transmembrane domains and secretion signals. This likely reflects the specialization of phage Pone for host takeover and infection processes.

Data availability. The genome sequence of phage Pone was deposited under GenBank accession no. [MT701589](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT701589) and BioSample accession no. [SAMN14609640](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample/SAMN14609640). The BioProject accession number is [PRJNA222858,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA222858) and the SRA accession number is [SRR11558349.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR11558349)

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by funding from the National Science Foundation (award no. EF-0949351 and DBI-1565146) and by the National Institutes of Health (NIAID award no. AI121689). Additional support came from the Center for Phage Technology (CPT), an Initial University Multidisciplinary Research Initiative supported by Texas A&M University and Texas AgriLife, and the Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics ([https://cpt.tamu.edu/\)](https://cpt.tamu.edu/).

We thank Michael Satlin from Weill Cornell Medical College for providing the bacterial isolates. We are grateful for the advice and support of the CPT staff. This announcement was prepared in partial fulfillment of the requirements for BICH464 Phage Genomics, an undergraduate course at Texas A&M University.

## REFERENCES

- <span id="page-1-0"></span>1. Podschun R, Ullmann U. 1998. Klebsiella spp. as nosocomial pathogens: epidemiology, taxonomy, typing methods, and pathogenicity factors. Clin Microbiol Rev 11:589–603. [https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.11.4.589.](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.11.4.589)
- <span id="page-1-1"></span>2. Sakamoto N, Akeda Y, Sugawara Y, Takeuchi D, Motooka D, Yamamoto N, Laolerd W, Santanirand P, Hamada S. 2018. Genomic characterization of carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae with chromosomally carried bla<sub>NDM-1</sub>. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62:e01520-18. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01520-18) [.org/10.1128/AAC.01520-18](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01520-18).
- <span id="page-1-2"></span>3. Thaden JT, Lewis SS, Hazen KC, Huslage K, Fowler VG, Jr, Moehring RW, Chen LF, Jones CD, Moore ZS, Sexton DJ, Anderson DJ. 2014. Rising rates of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in community hospitals: a mixed-methods review of epidemiology and microbiology practices in a network of community hospitals in the southeastern United States. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 35:978–983. [https://doi.org/10.1086/677157.](https://doi.org/10.1086/677157)
- <span id="page-1-3"></span>4. Navon-Venezia S, Kondratyeva K, Carattoli A. 2017. Klebsiella pneumoniae: a major worldwide source and shuttle for antibiotic resistance. FEMS Microbiol Rev 41:252–275. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux013>.
- <span id="page-1-4"></span>5. Adams MH. 1959. Bacteriophages. Interscience Publishers, New York, NY.
- <span id="page-1-5"></span>6. Valentine RC, Shapiro BM, Stadtman ER. 1968. Regulation of glutamine synthetase. XII. Electron microscopy of the enzyme from Escherichia coli. Biochemistry 7:2143–2152. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00846a017>.
- <span id="page-1-6"></span>7. Summer EJ. 2009. Preparation of a phage DNA fragment library for whole genome shotgun sequencing. Methods Mol Biol 502:27–46. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1_4) [.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1\\_4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1_4)
- <span id="page-1-7"></span>8. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. [https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021)
- <span id="page-1-8"></span>9. Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S, White O, Salzberg SL. 1999. Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. Nucleic Acids Res 27:4636–4641. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636>.
- <span id="page-1-9"></span>10. Noguchi H, Taniguchi T, Itoh T. 2008. MetaGeneAnnotator: detecting species-specific patterns of ribosomal binding site for precise gene

prediction in anonymous prokaryotic and phage genomes. DNA Res 15:387–396. [https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsn027.](https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsn027)

- <span id="page-1-10"></span>11. Laslett D, Canback B. 2004. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 32:11–16. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152)
- <span id="page-1-11"></span>12. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421)
- <span id="page-1-12"></span>13. Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G, Pesseat S, Quinn AF, Sangrador-Vegas A, Scheremetjew M, Yong S-Y, Lopez R, Hunter S. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics 30:1236–1240. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031)
- <span id="page-1-13"></span>14. Zimmermann L, Stephens A, Nam S-Z, Rau D, Kubler J, Lozajic M, Gabler F, Soding J, Lupas AN, Alva V. 2018. A completely reimplemented MPI bioinformatics toolkit with a new HHpred server at its core. J Mol Biol 430:2237–2243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.007>.
- <span id="page-1-14"></span>15. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol 305:567–580. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315) [.1006/jmbi.2000.4315.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315)
- <span id="page-1-15"></span>16. The UniProt Consortium. 2018. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res 46:2699. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky092.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky092)
- <span id="page-1-16"></span>17. Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. 2010. progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. PLoS One 5:e11147. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011147>.
- <span id="page-1-17"></span>18. Ramsey J, Rasche H, Maughmer C, Criscione A, Mijalis E, Liu M, Hu JC, Young R, Gill JJ. 2020. Galaxy and Apollo as a biologist-friendly interface for high-quality cooperative phage genome annotation. PLoS Comput Biol 16:e1008214. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008214) [.1008214.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008214)
- <span id="page-1-18"></span>19. Dunn NA, Unni DR, Diesh C, Munoz-Torres M, Harris NL, Yao E, Rasche H, Holmes IH, Elsik CG, Lewis SE. 2019. Apollo: democratizing genome annotation. PLoS Comput Biol 15:e1006790. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006790) [.pcbi.1006790](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006790).
- <span id="page-2-0"></span>20. Jalili V, Afgan E, Gu Q, Clements D, Blankenberg D, Goecks J, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A. 2020. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2020 update. Nucleic Acids Res 48: W395–W402. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa434.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa434)
- <span id="page-2-1"></span>21. Garneau JR, Depardieu F, Fortier L-C, Bikard D, Monot M. 2017. Phage-Term: a tool for fast and accurate determination of phage termini and

packaging mechanism using next-generation sequencing data. Sci Rep 7:8292. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07910-5>.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>22. Lavigne R, Burkal'tseva MV, Robben J, Sykilinda NN, Kurochkina LP, Grymonprez B, Jonckx B, Krylov VN, Mesyanzhinov VV, Volckaert G. 2003. The genome of bacteriophage phiKMV, a T7-like virus infecting Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Virology 312:49–59. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6822\(03\)00123-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6822(03)00123-5).