



Published in final edited form as:

*Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2019 December 01; 205: 107615. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.107615.

## Religious involvement and racial disparities in opioid use disorder between 2004–2005 and 2012–2013: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions

Yusuf Ransome<sup>a,\*</sup>, Angela M. Haeny<sup>b</sup>, Yoanna E. McDowell<sup>c</sup>, Ayana Jordan<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Yale School of Public Health, Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Studies of Religion Ethnicity Technology and Contextual Influences on Health (STRETCH)-Lab, 60 College Street, New Haven, CT 06510

<sup>b</sup>Yale School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, Division of Prevention and Community Research, and The Consultation Center 389 Whitney Avenue, New Haven, CT 06511

<sup>c</sup>University of Missouri, Alcohol, Health, and Behavior Lab, Department of Psychological Sciences, 146 Psychology Building, Columbia, MO 65211

<sup>d</sup>Yale School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, and Connecticut Mental Health Center 40 Temple Street, New Haven, CT 06510

### Abstract

**Background:** Psychosocial factors have rarely been studied to understand racial differences in opioid use disorders (OUD). We investigate religious involvement and Black-White differences in OUD risk between 2004–05 and 2012–13.

**Methods:** We use Non-Hispanic Black and White adults from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (wave 2, N = 26,661 and NESARC-III, N = 26,960) (NESARC). We conducted survey-weighted logistic regression to examine whether race moderates the association between religious involvement and lifetime DSM-IV and –5 OUD and whether those differences change (i.e., are modified) by time, adjusted for covariates such as age, education, and urbanicity. Religious involvement measures were service attendance, social interaction, and subjective religiosity/spirituality.

**Results:** The prevalence of lifetime DSM-IV (3.82 vs 1.66) and DSM-5 (2.49 vs 1.32) OUD in NESARC-III was higher among White compared to Black respondents. Never attending services declined for both races over time. Race moderated the association between service attendance

---

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

\*Corresponding author. yusuf.ransome@yale.edu (Y. Ransome).

#### Contributors

Y.Ransome conceptualized and designed the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. A. Haeny and A. Jordan interpreted the results, wrote sections of the manuscript and edited for intellectual content. Y. McDowell conducted prepared the NESARC data and conducted some statistical analysis and wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

Declaration of Competing Interest

No conflict declared.

( $F(4,65) = 14.9, p = 0.000$ ), social interaction ( $F(4,65) = 34.4, p = 0.000$ ) and subjective religiosity/spirituality ( $F(2,65) = 7.03, p = 0.000$ ) on DSM-IV OUD in wave 2 and using DSM-5 OUD in NESARC-III ( $F(1,113) = 2.79, p = 0.066$ ). Race differences in religion and DSM-IV OUD risk was modified by time (i.e., survey year) (all  $p < 0.000$ ). For instance, higher service attendance was associated with lower DSM-IV risk for Black respondents in wave 2 but higher risk in NESARC-III. There were no changes in regression slopes among White respondents.

**Conclusions:** Religious involvement may be important for prevention and treatment practices that respond to racial differences in risk of OUD. Replicate studies should examine other religious factors and specific types of opioids.

## Keywords

Opioid Use Disorder; Race/ethnicity; NESARC; Religious involvement; Spirituality

---

## 1. Introduction

Opioid use disorder (OUD) and related deaths is a global public health threat (Martins et al., 2015). Since the start of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, the rate of opioid overdose deaths has nearly quadrupled, claiming more than 500,000 deaths over the past two decades (Rudd et al., 2016). In 2017 alone, more than 64,000 Americans died from drug overdoses and opioids was the primary cause of death for 47,600 of those cases (Scholl et al., 2019).

There was one clear trend by race/ethnicity in the epidemiology of opioid misuse and disorder from late-1990s to around 2013. On average, Black compared to White people had lower prevalence of non-medical prescription OUD both in the past 12 months and over the lifetime. (Saha et al., 2016; Vaughn et al., 2016) and differences exist even after adjusting for confounders. There is still is no clear explanation for this trend by race/ethnicity (Hasin and Grant, 2015).

Reasons that have been put forth to explain Black-White differences in OUD focused overwhelmingly on health care-related factors. For instance, some note that White compared to Black people have higher access to opioid prescriptions at emergency rooms and higher exposure to opioids per capita population (Friedman et al., 2019). Others note that Black people have been shielded from the brunt of the epidemic due to physician-patient dynamics such as bias and racially discriminatory-based prescribing practices (Burgess et al., 2008; Hoffman et al., 2016; James and Jordan, 2018).

Beyond health care, protective psychosocial factors, specifically, religiosity has been overlooked but is critical to understanding the observed patterns of Black-White differences in the opioid epidemic (Harrell and Broman, 2009; Rigg and Nicholson, 2019). Opioids are highly addictive, so primary prevention is the best intervention. Religiosity has been theorized to reduce one's risk of becoming physiological and psychologically dependent on substances by lowering the likelihood of initial use—primary prevention, and curtailing continued use—secondary prevention (Gorsuch, 1995; Miller, 1998). Substantial empirical support has shown that higher religiosity and spirituality are often associated with better

mental health outcomes, which includes lower substance use disorders, (Idler et al., 2003; Nelson, 2009) and remission from drug dependence (Schoenthaler et al., 2015).

The first hypothesis in this paper is that any protective aspects found between religiosity and OUD risk might function differently by race, in a direction favoring Black people. The positive impact of religiosity on OUD and substances in general is dependent on the level of commitment or engagement in religious activity or with religious people, and the interpretation or receptivity to the religious message (Gorsuch, 1995). We expect lower OUD among Black people because, regardless of ethnicity or nativity (e.g., Caribbean, African-born), they are more religiously engaged than White people (Pew Forum on Religion and Public Life, 2014; Taylor et al., 2014, 1996). Therefore, the effect of any preventive aspects of religiosity, including social support, spiritual coping (Holt et al., 2018) and referrals to treatment (Wong et al., 2018) should be higher among Black people.

Another expectation, based on research from the alcohol use literature (Ransome and Gilman, 2016; Ransome et al., 2019; Szapocznik et al., 2007) is that at equivalent levels of religious engagement between Black and White people, we could expect that Blacks might experience a stronger protective association against OUD. Black may be more likely than White people to reverence social norms, submit to sanctions of delinquent substance use behavior (Krause, 2003; Ransome, 2014) but also positively interpret internally any hope, fear, or condemnation from religious teachings. Several foundational works on religiosity and spirituality among people of the Black and African diaspora support why such a hypothesis might be plausible. These works show that religiosity and spirituality is a central part of the Black human experience and a core part of Black-African people's social and cultural identity and resistance from oppression (Stewart, 1997; Wilmore, 2004).

Today, the racial/ethnic epidemiology of OUD has been changing. National trends show that both Black and White people are experiencing exponential increases in opioid misuse and mortality (Chicago Urban League et al., 2017; Harrison et al., 2018; James and Jordan, 2018). Some surveys report that non-medical prescription use and misuse rates are similar (Han et al., 2017) or statistically non-different between Black and White adults (Nicholson and Ford, 2018). Others observe that Black-White differences have narrowed and eventually became statistically non-different among adolescents (Vaughn et al., 2016).

Beyond opioid misuse, some studies showed higher opioid-related deaths rates among Black compared to White people, after data are stratified by specific opioid type such as heroin, synthetic opioids, and prescription opioids (Alexander et al., 2018; Scholl et al., 2019); and when stratified by age group and sex (Allen et al., 2019; Shiels et al., 2018).

Health-care related factors such as physician prescribing practices are unlikely to fully explain recent Black-White trends of opioid misuse today, although physicians are beginning to address their biases in prescribing practices to deliver equitable treatment for all (Medlock et al., 2019). We assert that religious involvement again, is critical to understand this narrowing of Black-White differences in OUD today.

Religious involvement is declining in the United States and engagement is significantly lower among millennials—the generation that is currently experiencing the brunt of the

epidemic, compared to those born in earlier generations and periods of the epidemic (Pond and Pew Research Center, 2010; Smith et al., 2019). Trend data we analyzed from the General Social Survey shows significant increases between 2005 and 2019, among both Black and White people in the prevalence never attending religious services (Smith et al., 2019). Therefore, if a greater proportion of people are not exposed to religious instruction, norms, supports or other aspects of religious life, they may be more susceptible to opioid use and OUD.

The second hypothesis in this paper is that any race by religiosity differences on OUD will be different and weaker between NESARC wave 2 and NESARC-III.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Data

**2.1.1. Sample**—Data were from the NESARC wave 2 conducted in 2004–2005 (Grant et al., 2009). NESARC is a population-based survey that captured health outcomes, behavioral factors, and psychiatric disorders among civilian non-institutionalized adults in the United States (U.S.) (National Institutes of Health and National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 2010). NESARC oversampled non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic persons aged 18–24 years. Extended details of the sampling methodology are published (Grant and Dawson, 2006; Grant et al., 2009). Wave 2 consisted of 34,653 interviews with a response rate of 87 percent. The wave 2 sample was restricted to non-Hispanic Black and White respondents only ( $n = 26,661$ ), hereafter. Additionally, data from the most recent edition, NESARC-III (Grant et al., 2014), were also used to determine whether racial differences in the association between religion and OUD persisted or changed over time. NESARC-III was also restricted to Black and White respondents ( $n = 26,960$ ). Study protocols for our statistical analysis with NESARC wave 2 and NESARC-III were reviewed by Yale University (IRB 2000024424) and was determined not human subjects research.

### 2.2. Measures

**2.2.1. Non-medical use of prescription opioids (hereafter, opioids)**—OUD was measured with the Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-IV (AUDADIS-IV)(Grant et al., 2003) according to the DSM, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) (American Psychiatric Association (APA), 2000) in NESARC wave 2. In NESARC-III, OUD was measured by the AUDADIS-5 (Grant et al., 2011), based on the DSM-5. Non-medical use of prescription opioids in NESARC referred to using prescription medications, in greater amounts, more often, or longer than prescribed, or for a reason other than prescribed by a doctor or physician. Respondents were provided an extensive list of examples of prescription opioids (Demerol, Vicodin, Buprenex, hydrocodone, and oxycodone) and asked if they used any of the prescription opioids on the list or similar drugs ‘non-medically’. If the response was yes, the respondent was asked to specify which prescription opioid they used, and when they used it (lifetime, past year, since last interview/prior-to-past year).

Lifetime diagnoses of abuse required the participant to meet at least one of the four criteria defined for DSM-IV abuse. Lifetime diagnoses of OUD required at least three of the seven DSM-IV criteria for dependence to be met within the same one-year period. OUD abuse and dependence was combined into binary variable because of small cell sizes and to be consistent with DSM-5 scoring; 1= yes (met criteria for OUD), 0= no in both samples. An additional lifetime OUD diagnosis variable based on DSM-5 was also created for the NESARC-III sample only. The DSM-5 OUD diagnosis was based on the endorsement of at least two DSM-5 OUD criteria at any time in a twelve-month period, where 1= yes (met criteria for OUD), and 0= no.

**2.2.2. Religious involvement**—In both NESARC datasets, there are four religious involvement questions in common. *Religious service attendance* was ascertained with two questions: 1) whether respondents currently attend religious services at a church, mosque, synagogue or other religious place. Responses were yes or no. The other question asked about frequency of service attendance. Responses ranged from 1= once a year to 5= twice a week or more. Because frequency of service attendance is only recorded among those who attend services, we derived a new variable by adding another category 0= never attend, 1= once a year/a few times a year (collapsed because of small cell size in once a year), 2= one to three times a month, 3= once a week and 4= twice a week or more. *Social interaction* was ascertained from the question: “how many members of your religious group do you see or talk to socially every two weeks?” The variable was categorized based on the distribution into: 1= 8 members, 2= 9 to 16 members, and 3= 17 members. *Subjective religiosity and spirituality* was ascertained from the question: “how important are religious or spiritual beliefs in your daily life?” Responses ranged from 0= not at all important to 3= very important and were reverse coded to match the direction of religious service attendance.

**2.2.3. Covariates**—We selected covariates based on known associations between religion and health outcomes (Idler et al., 2003; Koenig, 2011; Nelson, 2009) and our own knowledge of the topic (Ransome and Gilman, 2016). Potential covariates for the multivariable analyses were: continuous age, sex (1= men, 0= women), marital status (0=married and cohabitating, 1=widowed, separated, or divorced, and 2=never married), nativity status (0=US-born, 1=foreign-born), educational attainment (0=less than high school, 1=completed high school, 3=college degree, 4=graduate education or higher), personal income (0= \$19,999, 1= \$20,000 to \$34,999, 2= \$35,000 to \$69,000, and = \$70,000), census region (0=northeast, 1=midwest, 2=south, 3=west).

In NESARC-III there is no longer an MSA variable but one that describes urban vs. rural residence. Consistent with prior studies that combined NESARC wave 2 and NESARC-III (Martins et al., 2017), we recoded the MSA variable into 1= urban (i.e., in an MSA either central or not) and 0= rural (i.e., not an MSA) to keep both variables the same. We also included self-rated health (ranged from 0= poor to 4= excellent), past-year health insurance coverage (0= no health insurance coverage, 1= health insurance coverage), and lifetime racial/ethnic discrimination. Lifetime racial/ethnic discrimination was measured by a version of the Experiences of Discrimination scale developed by Krieger and colleagues (Krieger et al., 2005) that was adapted for NESARC (Ruan et al., 2008). There were six items total

and each response ranged from 0= never to 4= very often. Items were assessed in the past year and prior-to-the-past year. The six items were summed to create a racial/ethnic discrimination score for each time period.

## 2.3. Statistical analysis

**2.3.1. Descriptive**—Un-weighted sample sizes are reported with weighted percentages for categorical variables and weighted means and standard errors are reported for continuous variables. Statistical significance in the covariates and OUD prevalence were calculated using Rao-Scott Chi-squared test for categorical variables and Wald-F tests for continuous variables. Those results are presented in Table 1.

**2.3.2. Bivariate**—Given sex (Maselko and Kubzansky, 2006) and racial differences in religious involvement (Levin et al., 1994), we first explored the interaction between sex and race on OUD. The interaction was not statistically significant, so we proceeded with men and women included in pooled estimates. We use STATA's 14.0 (StataCorp, 2014) suite of "svy" and "subpop" commands. Analyses using the "svy" procedures account for the complex survey design of NESARC wave 2 and NESARC-III and obtain correct standard errors when analyzing subgroups within a larger survey (Heeringa et al., 2010). Given the small cell sizes among persons with OUD, we recoded religious service attendance into 0= never, 1= once a year to one to three times a month, 2= once a week, and 3= twice a week or more, and subjective religiosity and spirituality into 0= not important/not very important/somewhat important, and 1= very important.

**2.3.3. Multivariable**—To begin examining race differences, we fit logistic regressions to estimate the lifetime risk of OUD for all religious involvement measures simultaneously but stratified the analysis by NESARC survey year (i.e., wave 2 and NESARC-III). Including all religious involvement items together is important to avoid misspecification (Taylor et al., 1999). Then, we pooled the two NESARC datasets and specified the same equation but then including a three-way interaction for race\*survey year\*each religion variable. STATA software automatically produces all the relevant two-way interaction terms (e.g., race\*survey year, race\*religion, etc.). Then, we tested for effect modification (i.e., significance in race\*time differences) using the Adjusted Wald Test through the *contrast* command in STATA 14, which reports degrees of freedom, an F-score, and a *p*-value. We determined interactions statistically significant at the  $p < 0.10$  level. The results from this analysis provides an omnibus/overall test statistic for whether the association between religion and race on OUD varies over time.

Next, we conducted stratified analyses by race, this time specifying the two-way interactions between the religion variables and survey year and we used STATA's *margins* command to retrieve the predicted probabilities of OUD separated by year, which we display in figures. Presenting the data in this format allows one to see the influence of time within race.

All analyses to this point used DSM-IV OUD. To investigate race differences using DSM-5 OUD, we used NESARC-III to fit a regression model to estimate potential effect modification by race on the association between the religion variables on DSM-5 OUD, adjusting for the same covariates in prior steps.



We conducted post-hoc exploratory cross tabulation between race and Census region among people with DSM-IV OUD in NESARC wave 2 and NESARC-III to help us understand our non-significant findings between race and religion on DSM-IV OUD in NESARC-III.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Descriptive

Overall, Black compared to White respondents were significantly younger (mean age 45.42 vs. 49.90 in NESARC wave 2 and 43.38 vs 48.88 in NESARC-III). Both NESARC survey years were comprised of a higher percentage of Black compared to White women (56.30 vs 51.87 in NESARC wave 2 and 59.11 vs 54.89 in NESARC-III). Significantly fewer proportion of Black compared to White respondents reported never attending religious services in both survey years (31.10 vs 49.00 in NESARC wave 2 and 34.43 vs 54.85 in NESARC-III). The proportion of never attenders in both groups increased from NESARC wave 2 to NESARC-III. Last, the lifetime prevalence of DSM-IV OUD was significantly lower among Black respondents in both survey years ( $p < 0.000$ ) and when DSM-5 OUD was assessed in NESARC-III (1.32% vs 2.49%).

#### 3.2. Religious involvement, race, and opioid use disorder

We found partial support for the first hypothesis that there are race differences in the association between religious involvement and OUD risk. Race moderated the association between service attendance ( $F(4,65) = 14.9$ ,  $p = 0.000$ ), social interaction ( $F(4,65) = 34.4$ ,  $p = 0.000$ ) and subjective religiosity/spirituality ( $F(2,65) = 7.03$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ) on lifetime risk of OUD in NESARC wave 2 only when DSM-IV was applied, and subjective religiosity/spirituality ( $F(1,113) = 2.79$ ,  $p = 0.066$ ) in NESARC-III when DSM-5 criteria was applied (Table 2). Based on our post-hoc analysis, cross-tabulations revealed that in NESARC wave 2, among those with DSM-IV OUD, 45% of Black respondents were from the South and 12% from the Midwest but in NESARC-III 37% of Black respondents were from the South and 32% from the Midwest. In NESARC-III, 38% of White respondents with OUD were from the South and 21% from the Midwest.

In NESARC wave 2, compared to those who seldom attended; attending services twice per month was associated with almost four times higher risk of DSM-IV OUD for White compared to Black respondents (Adjusted Odds Ratio (aOR) = 3.58, 95%CI 2.15, 5.95). Compared to those who rated subjective religiosity/spirituality as very important; the risk of OUD was .45 times lower for White compared to Black respondents who rated it less than very important in NESARC wave 2 using DSM-IV (aOR = 0.45, 95%CI 0.31, 0.66) and about 0.57 times lower in NESARC-III using DSM-5 criteria (aOR = 0.45, 95%CI 0.31,0.66) (Table 3).

We found stronger support for our second hypothesis that race by religiosity differences on OUD will be different and weaker in NESARC-III compared to NESARC wave 2. Race by religion association with lifetime DSM-IV OUD was significantly different between the NESARC survey years for service attendance ( $F(8,178) = 7.88$ ,  $p = 0.000$ ), social interaction ( $F(8,178) = 17.04$ ,  $p = 0.000$ ) and subjective religiosity/spirituality ( $F(4,168) = 4.42$ ,  $p =$

0.002). These differences are presented in Fig. 1a–c, which are plots of the adjusted odds ratios transformed into predicted probabilities.

Fig. 1a shows that, among Black respondents, the predicted risk of DSM-IV OUD declined with increasing frequency of service attendance in NESARC wave 2 but increased in NESARC-III. Among White respondents, the intercept only was lower in NESARC wave 2 and higher in NESARC-III, but the associations (i.e., slopes) with increasing attendance were parallel.

Fig. 1b shows that, among Black respondents, an increase in social interaction from nine toward 17 or more people was associated with a lower DSM-IV OUD risk in NESARC wave 2 and a higher risk in NESARC-III. Among White respondents, the intercept and the slope remained the same across both NESARC survey years, and there appears to be a flat trend as social interaction increases from nine toward 17 or more people.

Fig. 1c shows that, among Black respondents, the risk of DSM-IV OUD increases as they rate subjective religiosity/spirituality very important compared to less than important, in NESARC wave 2, and the risk decreased for the same rating in NESARC-III. Among White respondents in NESARC wave 2, the risk of DSM-IV OUD was unchanged across ratings of subjective religiosity/spirituality and increased in NESARC-III.

#### 4. Discussion

In this study, we investigated whether religious involvement could help illuminate prior and recent epidemiologic trends of Black-White differences in prevalence of lifetime OUD, using two nationally representative NESARC surveys: (wave 2, 2004–05) and (NESARC-III, 2012–13). We examined three religious involvement variables available across both surveys: frequency of religious service attendance, religious social interaction, and subjective religiosity/spirituality. We also used both DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria.

Our study significantly adds to the literature through five aspects: 1) a focus on adults, 2) examination of multiple religious/spiritual involvement variables, 3) specifically test the effect modification by race, 4) test the effects of race differences over time, and (5) use DSM-IV as well as DSM-5 criteria. Previous studies that included race and religious involvement with OUD were among adolescents or young adults (Harrell and Broman, 2009; Snipes et al., 2015) and (Berenson and Rahman, 2011; Palamar et al., 2014) have not focused explicitly on race differences.

First, we find that Black respondents had a lower lifetime prevalence of OUD risk across both NESARC years when DSM-IV was applied. Although when OUD was assessed with DSM-5, Black respondents still had lower lifetime prevalence, but Black-White differences were narrower than when assessed with DSM-IV.

Next, we find partial but robust support for our two hypotheses across the three religion variables that (1) associations with lifetime OUD risk varies between Black and White respondents and (2) that those associations are diminished between NESARC wave 2 and NESARC-III. Race-differences were found for all religious variables in wave 2 and



only among subjective religiosity/spirituality in NESARC-III. These findings highlight the importance of assessing multiple religious involvement indicators because they may be differentially associated with OUD risk.

Specifically, we find that increases in the frequency of attending religious services and interacting with a greater number of members had a stronger and positive impact on lower DSM-IV OUD risk for Black but not for White respondents, in wave 2. These findings that the impact (size or direction) of association varies between Black and White people have been found for alcohol use disorder (Ransome and Gilman, 2016). These findings potentially fit with one theoretical proposition we stated earlier that Black people may be more likely than White people to respond to social norms and sanctions against substance use from religious teachings. Further qualitative evidence is needed to support these statements. We were surprised that in wave 2, race-differences in subjective religiosity/spirituality on OUD was inconsistent with the directions we saw from the others. These findings could be related to reverse causation where Black respondents who are grappling with OUD seek to find meaning in their situation through increasing reliance of religious and spiritual coping (Brome et al., 2000).

By NESARC-III, however, race-differences in the association between the religious variables and DSM-IV OUD were gone. We suspect that the loss of protective status (for attendance and social interaction) could be due to sharper increase in the number of both Black and White respondents who never attended religious services between 2004 and 2014 (Smith et al., 2019). For religion to have an impact, people need to be exposed to religiosity through both messages and interacting with religious people (Gorsuch, 1995). Another possibility is that in NESARC-III, more Black respondents with OUD were from the Midwest, and several states such as Wisconsin, Illinois, and Minnesota have higher rates of opioid overdose deaths per 100,000 for Black people (James and Jordan, 2018).

In NESARC-III, rating spirituality as very important was associated with lower DSM-IV OUD risk and no differences in DSM-5 OUD risk for Black but increasing risk for White respondents regardless of which DSM criteria were applied. We cannot explain these findings but prior work has showed mixed associations between subjective religiosity/spirituality and prescription drug abuse in a national sample of Black adolescents (Harrell and Broman, 2009).

Our study has some limitations. Foremost, while we used two NESARC survey years, these are both cross-sectional data among different individuals. Therefore, we cannot rule out potential reverse causation or other secular changes in the population. We investigated *licit* opioids, which was operationalized as prescription opioids used for non-medical purposes. In NESARC there are *illicit opioids* such as heroin. We acknowledge different racial patterns across types of opioids (Alexander et al., 2018), which we will examine in future work.

Religiosity is a multidimensional construct and was likely not entirely captured by the three indicators here. Future work should include other indicators such as frequency of prayer and employ qualitative and other research methods (Burlew, 2019). Religious denomination was not considered because only NESARC-III contained this variable. Future work should

investigate denomination and OUD associations. Despite those limitations, our focus on religiosity and spirituality has important implications for OUD management and prevention, especially among minorities who may be from low-resource settings or delay seeking care because of medical mistrust and racism (Beraldo et al., 2019). Others have already written about the importance of religion and spirituality for Black people dealing with AUD and other substance use and mental disorders (Bohnert et al., 2010; Brome et al., 2000; Taylor et al., 2000). Next, a recent study found that spirituality and religiosity interventions compared to others, were statistically significantly and more effective in helping people recover from substance use problems (Hai et al., 2019).

We recommend that clinicians explicitly incorporate religiosity and spirituality in OUD treatment (Mattaliano et al., 2014). The practice is not new (Heinz et al., 2007; Miller, 1998) and others have provided guidelines on how to do this in clinical settings (Christensen et al., 2018; Koenig, 2000). Future work should examine religious and spiritual aspects for prevention of OUD among at-risk populations.

## Role of funding source

Y. Ransome research for this publication was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health under award number K01MH111374. A. Haeny was supported by the National Institute of Drug Abuse under award number T32DA019462. A. Jordan's work was supported by grants from the Principal Investigator's departmental funds at Yale University [grant number D000245.CC1487.PG00035.PJ000001.AJ334.FD18, the National Institute on Drug Abuse under award number R25 DA035163, and the Yale Center for Clinical Investigation CTSA under award number KL2 TR001862; and from the National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS), components of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and NIH roadmap for Medical Research. Y. McDowell was supported by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism T32AA13526.

The contents of this work are solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or respective universities. The funders had no role in the design, analysis, or writing of the paper.

## References

- Alexander MJ, Kiang MV, Barbieri M, 2018. Trends in black and white opioid mortality in the United States, 1979–2015. *Epidemiology* 29, 707. [PubMed: 29847496]
- Allen B, Nolan ML, Kunins HV, Paone D, 2019. Racial differences in opioid overdose deaths in New York City, 2017. *JAMA Inter. Med* 179, 576–578.
- American Psychiatric Association (APA), 2000. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4th edition. American Psychiatric Association, Incorporated, Washington, DC.
- Beraldo L, Gil F, Ventriglio A, de Andrade AG, da Silva AG, Torales J, Gonçalves PD, Bhugra D, Castaldelli-Maia JM, 2019. Spirituality, religiosity and addiction recovery: current perspectives. *Curr. Drug Res. Rev* 11, 26–32. [PubMed: 29895258]
- Berenson AB, Rahman M, 2011. Prevalence and correlates of prescription drug misuse among young, low-income women receiving public healthcare. *J. Addict. Dis* 30, 203–215. [PubMed: 21745043]
- Bohnert ASB, Perron BE, Jarman CN, Vaughn MG, Chatters LM, Taylor RJ, 2010. Use of clergy services among individuals seeking treatment for alcohol use problems. *Am. J. Addict* 19, 345–351. [PubMed: 20653642]
- Brome DR, Owens MD, Allen K, Vevaina T, 2000. An examination of spirituality among African American women in recovery from substance abuse. *J. Black Psychol* 26, 470–486.
- Burgess DJ, Crowley-Matoka M, Phelan S, Dovidio JF, Kerns R, Roth C, Saha S, van Ryn M, 2008. Patient race and physicians' decisions to prescribe opioids for chronic low back pain. *Soc. Sci. Med* 67, 1852–1860. [PubMed: 18926612]

- Burlew AK, 2019. Alternative approaches to racial/ethnic research. *J. Ethn. Subst. Abuse* 18, 1–2. [PubMed: 30957687]
- Chicago Urban League, Bechteler S, Kane-Willis K, 2017. Whitewashed: the African American Opioid Epidemic. Accessed on April 4 2019. [http://www.webcitation.org/query?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.thechicagourbanleague.org%2Fcms%2Flib%2FIL07000264%2FCentricity%2FDomain%2F1%2FWhitewashed%2520AA%2520Opioid%2520Crisis%252011-15-17\\_EMBARGOED\\_%2520FINAL.pdf&date=2019-04-01](http://www.webcitation.org/query?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.thechicagourbanleague.org%2Fcms%2Flib%2FIL07000264%2FCentricity%2FDomain%2F1%2FWhitewashed%2520AA%2520Opioid%2520Crisis%252011-15-17_EMBARGOED_%2520FINAL.pdf&date=2019-04-01).
- Christensen AR, Cook TE, Arnold RM, 2018. How should clinicians respond to requests from patients to participate in prayer? *AMA J. Ethics* 20, E621–629. [PubMed: 30007022]
- Friedman J, Kim D, Schneberk T, Bourgois P, Shin M, Celious A, Schriger DL, 2019. Assessment of racial/ethnic and income disparities in the prescription of opioids and other controlled medications in California. *JAMA Intern. Med* 179, 469–476. [PubMed: 30742196]
- Gorsuch RL, 1995. Religious aspects of substance abuse and recovery. *J. Soc. Issues* 51, 65–83.
- Grant BF, Chu A, Sigman R, Amsbary M, Kali J, Sugawana Y, Jiao R, Goldstein RB, 2014. Source and Accuracy Statement: National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions-III (NESARC-III). National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, Rockville, MD Accessed on April 4 2019. [https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/sites/default/files/NESARC\\_Final\\_Report\\_FINAL\\_1\\_8\\_15.pdf](https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/sites/default/files/NESARC_Final_Report_FINAL_1_8_15.pdf).
- Grant BF, Dawson DA, 2006. Introduction to the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. *Alcohol Res. Health* 29, 74–78.
- Grant BF, Dawson DA, Stinson FS, Chou PS, Kay W, Pickering RP, 2003. The alcohol use disorder and associated disabilities interview schedule (AUDADIS-IV). *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 71, 7–16. [PubMed: 12821201]
- Grant BF, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, Huang B, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Saha TD, Smith SM, Pulay AJ, Pickering RP, 2009. Sociodemographic and psycho-pathologic predictors of first incidence of DSM-IV substance use, mood and anxiety disorders: results from the wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Mol. Psychiatry* 14, 1051–1066. [PubMed: 18427559]
- Grant BF, Goldstein RB, Smith SM, Jung J, Zhang H, Chou SP, Pickering RP, Ruan WJ, Huang B, Saha TD, Aivadyan C, Greenstein E, Hasin DS, 2011. The alcohol use disorder and associated disabilities interview schedule-diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, version (AUDADIS-5). *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 148, 27–33.
- Hai AH, Franklin C, Park S, DiNitto DM, Aurelio N, 2019. The efficacy of spiritual/religious interventions for substance use problems: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 202, 134–148. [PubMed: 31349206]
- Han B, Compton WM, Blanco C, Crane E, Lee J, Jones CM, 2017. Prescription opioid use, misuse, and use disorders in US adults: 2015 National Survey on Drug Use and Health. *Ann. Intern. Med* 167, 293–301. [PubMed: 28761945]
- Harrell ZA, Broman CL, 2009. Racial/ethnic differences in correlates of prescription drug misuse among young adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 104, 268–271. [PubMed: 19616903]
- Harrison JM, Lagisetty P, Sites BD, Guo C, Davis MA, 2018. Trends in prescription pain medication use by race/ethnicity among US adults with noncancer pain, 2000–2015. *Am. J. Public Health* 108, 788–790. [PubMed: 29672145]
- Hasin DS, Grant BF, 2015. The National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) waves 1 and 2: review and summary of findings. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol* 50, 1609–1640. [PubMed: 26210739]
- Heeringa SG, West BT, Berglund PA, 2010. *Applied Survey Data Analysis*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- Heinz A, Epstein DH, Preston KL, 2007. Spiritual/religious experiences and in-treatment outcome in an inner-city program for heroin and cocaine dependence. *J. Psychoactive Drugs* 39, 41–49. [PubMed: 17523584]
- Hoffman KM, Trawalter S, Axt JR, Oliver MN, 2016. Racial bias in pain assessment and treatment recommendations, and false beliefs about biological differences between blacks and whites. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 113, 4296. [PubMed: 27044069]

- Holt CL, Roth DL, Huang J, Clark EM, 2018. Role of religious social support in longitudinal relationships between religiosity and health-related outcomes in African Americans. *J. Behav. Med* 41, 62–73. [PubMed: 28776192]
- Idler EL, Musick MA, Ellison CG, George LK, Krause N, Ory MG, Pargament KI, Powell LH, Underwood LG, Williams DR, 2003. Measuring multiple dimensions of religion and spirituality for health research: conceptual background and findings from the 1998 General Social Survey. *Res. Aging* 25, 327–365.
- James K, Jordan A, 2018. The opioid crisis in black communities. *J. Law Med. Ethics* 46, 404–421. [PubMed: 30146996]
- Koenig HG, 2000. Religion, spirituality, and medicine: application to clinical practice. *JAMA* 284, 1708. [PubMed: 11015808]
- Koenig HG, 2011. *Spirituality and Health Research: Methods, Measurements, Statistics, and Resources*. Templeton Press, West Conshohocken, PA.
- Krause N, 2003. Religious meaning and subjective well-being in late life. *J. Gerontol. B* 58, S160–S170.
- Krieger N, Smith K, Naishadham D, Hartman C, Barbeau EM, 2005. Experiences of discrimination: validity and reliability of a self-report measure for population health research on racism and health. *Soc. Sci. Med* 61, 1576–1596. [PubMed: 16005789]
- Levin J, Taylor RJ, Chatters LM, 1994. Race and gender differences in religiosity among older adults: findings from four national surveys. *J. Gerontol* 49, S137–S145. [PubMed: 8169348]
- Martins SS, Sampson L, Cerdá M, Galea S, 2015. Worldwide prevalence and trends in unintentional drug overdose: a systematic review of the literature. *Am. J. Public Health* 105, e29–e49.
- Martins SS, Sarvet A, Santaella-Tenorio J, Saha T, Grant BF, Hasin DS, 2017. Changes in US lifetime heroin use and heroin use disorder: prevalence from the 2001–2002 to 2012–2013 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *JAMA Psychiatry* 74, 445–455. [PubMed: 28355458]
- Maselko J, Kubzansky LD, 2006. Gender differences in religious practices, spiritual experiences and health: results from the US General Social Survey. *Soc. Sci. Med* 62, 2848–2860. [PubMed: 16359765]
- Mattelliano D, St. Marie BJ, Oliver J, Coggins C, 2014. Adherence monitoring with chronic opioid therapy for persistent pain: a biopsychosocial-spiritual approach to mitigate risk. *Pain Manage. Nurs* 15, 391–405.
- Medlock MM, Shtasel D, Trinh NH, Williams DR (Eds.), 2019. *Racism and Psychiatry: Contemporary Issues and Interventions*. Humana Press Imprint, Cham, Switzerland.
- Miller WR, 1998. Researching the spiritual dimensions of alcohol and other drug problems. *Addiction* 93, 979–990. [PubMed: 9744129]
- National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 2010. alcohol use and alcohol use disorders in the United States, a 3-year follow-up: main findings from the 2004–2005 wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). US Alcohol Epidemiologic Data Reference Manual. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, Bethesda, MD Accessed on April 4 2019. [https://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/NESARC\\_DRM2/NESARC2DRM.pdf](https://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/NESARC_DRM2/NESARC2DRM.pdf).
- Nelson JM, 2009. Religion, spirituality, and mental health. In: Nelson JM (Ed.), *Psychology, Religion and Spirituality*. Springer Science and Business Media LLC, New York, NY, pp. 347–390.
- Nicholson HL, Ford JA, 2018. Correlates of prescription opioid misuse among black adults: findings from the 2015 National Survey on Drug Use and Health. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 186, 264–267. [PubMed: 29477575]
- Palamar JJ, Kiang MV, Halkitis PN, 2014. Religiosity and exposure to users in explaining illicit drug use among emerging adults. *J. Relig. Health* 53, 658–674. [PubMed: 23114835]
- Pew Forum on Religion and Public Life, 2014. *Religious Landscape Study: Racial and Ethnic Composition*. US Religious Landscape Survey. Pew Research Center, Washington, DC.
- Pond A, Pew Research Center, 2010. *Religion Among the Millennials*. Accessed on May 16 2019. <https://www.pewforum.org/2010/02/17/religion-among-themillennials/>.

- Ransome Y, 2014. Can religion and socioeconomic status explain black-white differences in alcohol abuse? Doctoral dissertation. Sociomedical Sciences. Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, New York, NY Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations. (UMI No 362257).
- Ransome Y, Gilman SE, 2016. The role of religious involvement in black-white differences in alcohol use disorders. *J. Stud. Alcohol Drugs Suppl* 75, 792–801.
- Ransome Y, Perez A, Strayhorn S, Gilman SE, Williams DR, Krause N, 2019. Contextual religiosity and the risk of alcohol use disorders and suicidal thoughts among adults in the United States. *J. Affect. Disord* 250, 439–446. [PubMed: 30901581]
- Rigg KK, Nicholson HL, 2019. Prescription opioid misuse among African-American adults: a rural-urban comparison of prevalence and risk. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 197, 191–196. [PubMed: 30844615]
- Ruan WJ, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, Smith SM, Saha TD, Pickering RP, Dawson DA, Huang B, Stinson FS, Grant BF, 2008. The alcohol use disorder and associated disabilities interview schedule-IV (AUDADIS-IV): reliability of new psychiatric diagnostic modules and risk factors in a general population sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 92, 27–36. [PubMed: 17706375]
- Rudd RA, Seth P, David F, Scholl L, 2016. Increases in drug and opioid-involved overdose deaths—United States, 2010–2015. *Morb. Mortal. Rep. Surveill. Summ* 65, 1445–1452.
- Saha TD, Kerridge BT, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, Zhang H, Jung J, Pickering RP, Ruan WJ, Smith SM, Huang B, 2016. Nonmedical prescription opioid use and DSM-5 nonmedical prescription opioid use disorder in the United States. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 77, 772. [PubMed: 27337416]
- Schoenthaler SJ, Blum K, Braverman ER, Giordano J, Thompson B, Oscar-Berman M, Badgaiyan RD, Madigan MA, Dushaj K, Li M, 2015. NIDA-drug addiction treatment outcome study (DATOS) relapse as a function of spirituality/religiosity. *J. Reward Defic. Syndr* 1, 36. [PubMed: 26052556]
- Scholl L, Seth P, Kariisa M, Wilson N, Baldwin G, 2019. Drug and opioid-involved overdose deaths—United States, 2013–2017. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep* 67, 1419.
- Shiels MS, Freedman ND, Thomas D, de Gonzalez AB, 2018. Trends in US drug overdose deaths in non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic white persons, 2000–2015. *Ann. Intern. Med* 168, 453–455. [PubMed: 29204603]
- Smith TW, Davern M, Freese J, Morgan S, 2019. General Social Surveys, 1972–2018. Religion and Spirituality: How Often Respondents Attends Religious Services. GSS Data Explorer. NORC at the University of Chicago, Chicago, IL Accessed on August 15 2019. <https://gssdataexplorer.norc.org>.
- Snipes DJ, Jeffers AJ, Benotsch EG, McCauley J, Bannerman D, Granger C, Martin AM, 2015. Religiosity in the non-medical use of prescription medication in college students. *Am. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse* 41, 93–99. [PubMed: 25105761]
- StataCorp, 2014. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13.1. StataCorp LP, College Station, TX.
- Stewart CF, 1997. *Soul Survivors: An African American Spirituality*. Westminster John Knox Press, Louisville, KY.
- Szapocznik J, Prado G, Burlew AK, Williams RA, Santisteban DA, 2007. Drug abuse in African American and Hispanic adolescents: culture, development, and behavior. *Ann. Rev. Clin. Psychol* 3, 77–105. [PubMed: 17716049]
- Taylor RJ, Chatters LM, Brown RK, 2014. African American religious participation. *Rev. Relig. Res* 56, 513–538. [PubMed: 25580034]
- Taylor RJ, Chatters LM, Jayakody R, Levin JS, 1996. Black and white differences in religious participation: a multisample comparison. *J. Sci. Study Relig.* 35, 403–410.
- Taylor RJ, Ellison CG, Chatters LM, Levin JS, Lincoln KD, 2000. Mental health services in faith communities: the role of clergy in black churches. *Soc. Work* 45, 73–87. [PubMed: 10634088]
- Taylor RJ, Mattis J, Chatters LM, 1999. Subjective religiosity among African Americans: a synthesis of findings from five national samples. *J. Black Psychol* 25, 524–543.
- Vaughn MG, Nelson EJ, Salas-Wright CP, Qian Z, Schootman M, 2016. Racial and ethnic trends and correlates of non-medical use of prescription opioids among adolescents in the United States 2004–2013. *J. Psychiatr. Res* 73, 17–24. [PubMed: 26679761]
- Wilmore GS, 2004. *Pragmatic Spirituality: The Christian Faith Through an Africentric Lens*. New York University Press, New York, NY.

Wong EC, Derose KP, Litt P, Miles JNV, 2018. Sources of care for alcohol and other drug problems: the role of the African American church. *J. Relig. Health* 57, 1200–1210. [PubMed: 28502023]


Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript





**Fig. 1.**  
 a) Higher service attendance associated with lower risk of OUD in NESARC wave 2 but increasing risk of OUD in NESARC-III for Black but not White respondents. b) Interaction with 17 or more members associated with lower OUD risk in NESARC wave 2 but higher risk in NESARC-III for Black but not White respondents. c) Rating subjective religiosity/spirituality as very important compared to less than important associated with higher OUD risk in NESARC wave 2 but lower OUD in NESARC-III for Black, but the pattern is reversed for White respondents.

**Notes:** Figures are based on the regression model adjusted for age, sex, marital status, education, income, health insurance, nativity status, self-rated health, lifetime discrimination, and residence in an urban or rural area. Each religious involvement variable and a two-way interaction with race are included simultaneously in the same regression.

**Table 1**

Distribution of individual-level religious involvement, socio-demographic and health among adults responding to lifetime opioid use disorder question: The National Survey of Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC), Wave 2 and NESARC-III.

	NESARC Wave 2		NESARC-III		p-value
	Non-Hispanic Black (n = 6575)	Non-Hispanic White (n = 20,086)	Non-Hispanic Black (n = 7766)	Non-Hispanic White (n = 19,194)	
<b>Service attendance, n (%)</b>					
Never	1897 (31.10)	10071 (49.00)	2675 (34.43)	10557 (54.85)	0.000
Once a year to few times a year	640 (9.90)	1421 (7.02)	858 (11.04)	1390 (7.26)	
One to three times a month	1298 (19.34)	2547 (12.91)	1568 (20.14)	2219 (11.60)	
Once a week	1498 (21.91)	4408 (22.17)	1587 (20.48)	3531 (18.49)	
Twice a week or more	1252 (17.74)	1700 (8.89)	1075 (13.91)	1492 (7.79)	
<b>Social interaction, n (%)</b>					
< = 8	3585 (76.90)	7286 (71.81)	4405 (87.21)	6833 (79.72)	0.000
9 to 16	627 (13.66)	1499 (15.56)	417 (8.03)	1137 (13.35)	
> = 17	451 (9.44)	1206 (12.63)	224 (4.48)	590 (6.93)	
<b>Subjective religiosity and spirituality, n (%)</b>					
Not important at all	77 (01.32)	1155 (05.48)	151 (01.98)	1807 (09.39)	0.000
Not very important	123 (02.32)	1935 (09.64)	193 (02.50)	1966 (10.26)	
Somewhat important	1031 (16.88)	6379 (32.04)	1301 (16.86)	5918 (30.97)	
Very important	53333 (79.47)	10603 (52.83)	6109 (78.67)	9472 (49.38)	
<b>Age, M (SE)</b>	45.42 (00.11)	49.90 (00.08)	43.38 (00.32)	48.88 (00.23)	0.000
<b>Sex, n (%)</b>					
Men	2326 (43.70)	8853 (48.13)	3153 (40.89)	8555 (45.11)	0.000
Women	4261 (56.30)	11308 (51.87)	4613 (59.11)	10639 (54.89)	
<b>Marital Status, n (%)</b>					
Married/cohabiting	2376 (42.84)	11756 (66.51)	2158 (28.37)	9752 (52.28)	0.000
Widowed/separated/divorced	2240 (25.43)	5310 (18.96)	2188 (27.87)	5440 (27.24)	
Never married	1971 (31.73)	3095 (14.54)	3420 (43.76)	4002 (20.47)	
<b>Nativity, Born inside the US, n (%)</b>	6083 (90.24)	19244 (95.64)	7189 (92.46)	18265 (95.22)	0.000
<b>Education, n (%)</b>					
Less than high school	1258 (17.61)	2058 (10.06)	1321 (16.96)	1726 (8.95)	0.000

	NESARC Wave 2		NESARC-III		<i>p</i> -value
	Non-Hispanic Black (n = 6575)	Non-Hispanic White (n = 20,086)	Non-Hispanic Black (n = 7766)	Non-Hispanic White (n = 19,194)	
Completed high school	3451 (54.22)	9928 (50.08)	4421 (56.87)	9113 (47.43)	
College degree	1523 (23.43)	6078 (30.00)	1665 (21.51)	6240 (32.49)	
Graduate education and higher	355 (04.74)	2097 (14.61)	359 (04.66)	2115 (11.12)	
<b>Personal income, n (%)</b>					0.000
\$19,999	3237 (47.69)	8013 (39.52)	4121 (53.02)	7793 (40.56)	
\$20,000–\$34,999	1644 (25.99)	4578 (22.48)	1886 (24.19)	4096 (21.15)	
\$35,000–\$69,999	1410 (21.78)	5214 (25.92)	1367 (17.67)	4772 (24.84)	
\$70,000	296 (04.55)	2356 (12.09)	392 (05.13)	2533 (13.45)	
<b>Census Region, n (%)</b>					0.680
Northeast	1280 (18.74)	3670 (18.39)	944 (12.44)	2956 (15.95)	
Midwest	1187 (17.85)	3580 (17.63)	1588 (20.26)	5051 (26.27)	
South	2462 (38.43)	7835 (38.70)	4715 (60.46)	6921 (35.91)	
West	1658 (24.98)	5076 (25.28)	519 (06.84)	4266 (21.86)	
<b>Metropolitan statistical area (MSA) residence, n (%)</b>					0.903
Urban	5533 (83.77)	16878 (83.64)	6941 (89.29)	14375 (75.52)	
Rural	1054 (16.23)	3283 (16.36)	825 (10.71)	4819 (25.48)	
<b>Health insurance coverage, n (%)</b>					0.000
Yes	5668 (83.96)	18525 (97.76)	5858 (75.55)	16624 (86.75)	
No	919 (16.04)	1636 (08.24)	1908 (24.45)	2570 (13.25)	
<b>Lifetime racial discrimination, M (SE) range (0 = none, 30 = high)</b>	07.88 (0.02)	06.34 (0.00)	08.86 (0.12)	06.83 (0.03)	0.000
<b>Self-rated health, M (SE) range (1=worse, 5 = best)</b>	3.41 (00.07)	3.69 (00.05)	3.37 (00.03)	3.57 (00.02)	0.000
<b>Lifetime prevalence of DSM-IV opioid use disorder, yes, n (%)</b>	69 (1.14)	429 (2.20)	129 (01.66)	735 (03.82)	0.000
<b>Lifetime prevalence of DSM-5 opioid use disorder, yes, n (%)</b>	N/A	N/A	102 (01.32)	480 (02.49)	0.000

Note. Column percent is weighted, n = sample size, M = mean, SE = standard error, NA = not available since DSM-5 was not used in NESARC wave 2.

**Table 2**

Race differences between religious involvement and lifetime DSM-4 opioid use disorder among adults are present in NESARC Wave 2 but no longer present in NESARC-III.

	NESARC Wave 2 (n = 14,642)	NESARC-III (n = 13,595)	p-value, for Black-White differences comparing wave 2 to NESARC-III
<b>Adjusted Odds Ratio (95 % CI)</b>			
<b>Service attendance, n (%)</b>			F (df8, 178) = 7.88 p = 0.000
Seldom <sup>a</sup>	1	1	
Once a week	1.03 (0.68, 1.57)	1.16 (0.61, 2.21)	
Twice a week or more	<b>3.58 (2.15, 5.95)</b>	1.38 (0.70, 2.72)	
	<i>p</i> (df4, 65) 14.92 = 0.000	<i>p</i> (df4, 113) 0.61 = 0.660	
<b>Social interaction, n (%)</b>			F (df8, 178) = 17.04 p = 0.000
< =8	1	1	
9–16	0.87 (0.60, 1.25)	1.22 (0.37, 4.00)	
> =17	<b>2.62 (1.78, 3.86)</b>	0.82 (0.22, 3.10)	
	<i>p</i> (df4, 65) 34.37 = 0.000	<i>p</i> (df4, 113) 0.71 = 0.586	
<b>Subjective religiosity and spirituality, n (%)</b>			F (df4, 168) = 4.42 p = 0.002
Very important	1	1	
<sup>b</sup> Less than very important	<b>0.45 (0.31, 0.66)</b>	1.58 (0.66, 3.75)	
	<i>p</i> (df2, 65) 7.03 = 0.002	<i>p</i> (df2, 113) 0.55 = 0.577	

Note. Black race is the reference group in these analyses, so coefficients are describing the association/odds for Whites on OUD compared to the odds for Blacks.

<sup>a</sup>Seldom is defined as once a -year to one to three times a month. n = sample size. 95% CI = 95% confidence interval. F-tests are from a pooled logistic regression model with race X religion variables interaction terms.

<sup>b</sup>The less than very important is defined as not at all important, not very important, and somewhat important. Model adjusted for age, sex, marital status, education, income, health insurance, nativity status, self-rated health, lifetime discrimination, and residence in an urban or rural area. Each religious involvement variable interaction with race are included simultaneously in the same regression. The p-values below the religion variable coefficients are the official statistical test results from the race X religion effect modification within each survey year.

Table 3

Race differences are present between subjective religiosity/spirituality and lifetime DSM-5 OUD, among adults in NESARC-III.

	Non-Hispanic Black (n = 5,043)	Non-Hispanic White (n = 8,552)	p-value, for Black-White differences
	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95 % CI)		
<b>Service attendance, n (%)</b>			F (df4, 113) = 1.02 p = 0.399
Seldom <sup>a</sup>	1	1	
Once a week	1.05 (0.58, 1.89)	0.73 (0.51, 1.04)	
Twice a week or more	1.55 (0.80, 3.00)	0.78 (0.48, 1.27)	
<b>Social interaction, n (%)</b>			F (df2, 113) = 1.48 p = 0.231
< = 8	1	1	
> = 9	0.42 (0.11, 1.66)	0.89 (0.56, 1.40)	
<b>Subjective religiosity and spirituality, n (%)</b>			F (df2, 113) = 2.79 p = 0.066
Very important	1	1	
<sup>b</sup> Less than very important	0.96 (0.40, 2.27)	<b>0.57 (0.33, 0.98)</b>	

Notes. Due to small sample size, the > = 17 category for social interaction was collapsed into two (9–16) to create one group > = 9.

Model adjusted for age, sex, marital status, education, income, health insurance, nativity status, self-rated health, lifetime discrimination, and residence in an urban or rural area.