Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mri

Original contribution

Evaluation of the sensitivity of $R_1\rho$ MRI to pH and macromolecular density

Syed O. Ali^{a,1}, Petros Fessas^{a,1}, Joshua D. Kaggie^{b,c,*}, Fulvio Zaccagna^{b,c}, Gavin Houston^d, Scott Reid^d, Martin J. Graves^{b,c}, Ferdia A. Gallagher^{b,c,*}

^a University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Rd, Cambridge CB2 0SP, United Kingdom

^b Department of Radiology, Box 218, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, United Kingdom

^c Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom, CB2 0QQ

^d GE Healthcare, Amersham, United Kingdom, HP7 9JQ

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: MRI $T_{1\rho}$ $R_{1\rho}$ pH Tumor microenvironment

ABSTRACT

The tumor microenvironment is characteristically acidic and this extracellular acidosis is known to play a role in carcinogenesis and metastasis and can affect tumor chemosensitivity and radiosensitivity. Intracellular pH has been used as a possible biomarker of salvageable tissue in ischemic stroke. A non-invasive MRI-based approach for the determination and imaging of cerebral pH would be a powerful tool in cancer diagnosis and monitoring, as well as stroke treatment planning. Several pH-based MRI imaging approaches have been proposed but for these to be useful, disentangling the effects of pH from other parameters which may affect the measured MRI signal is crucial to ensure accuracy and specificity. R_1 relaxation in the rotating frame ($R_{1\rho}$) is an example of a method that has been proposed to probe pH *in vivo* using MRI. In this study, we have investigated the relationship between $R_{1\rho}$, pH, and macromolecular density *in vitro* using phantoms and in human volunteers. Here we show that the rate of $R_{1\rho}$ relaxation (= $1/T_{1\rho}$) varies with pH but only in the presence of macromolecules. At constant pH, phantom macromolecular density inversely correlated with $R_{1\rho}$. $R_{1\rho}$ imaging of the normal human brain demonstrated regional heterogeneity with significant differences between structurally distinct regions, which are likely to be independent of pH. For example, $R_{1\rho}$ was higher in the basal ganglia compared to grey matter and higher in grey matter compared to white matter. We conclude that $R_{1\rho}$ cannot be reliably used to image tissue pH without deconvolution from the effects of local tissue macromolecular composition.

1. Introduction

An acidic extracellular pH (pH_e) is a characteristic feature of the tumor microenvironment, with pH_e values ranging from 6.2 to 7.4 and falling as low as 3.4–5.5 in some cases [1,2]. This acidic pH is due to lactate production from Warburg metabolism [3] and CO₂ excretion, due to high catabolic rates and upregulation of the pentose phosphate pathway [1,4]. Larger tumors, such as gliomas, may demonstrate a spatial pH gradient, with a normal pH in the well-perfused periphery and a more acidic pH more centrally [2]. Extracellular acidosis can activate proteinases as well as proangiogenic factors, such as vascular endothelium growth factor A (VEGF-A) and interleukin 8 (IL-8) [5,6], which play a role in stimulating invasion and metastasis [7,8]. pH_e may also modulate chemosensitivity and radiosensitivity through alterations in tissue ion trapping [9,10]. Additionally, intracellular pH has been described as a possible marker for delineating salvageable tissue after ischemic stroke with greater accuracy, thus aiding physicians in making

treatment decisions [11]. The non-invasive imaging of pH_e could therefore be a powerful tool for tumor diagnosis and monitoring of treatment response.

A number of approaches have been used with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to non-invasively measure pH, including ¹H, ³¹P, and ¹⁹F MR spectroscopy (MRS), hyperpolarized ¹³C MR spectroscopic imaging, chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) methods, including endogenous CEST MRI such as amide proton transfer MRI and amide concentration-independent detection (AACID), and techniques like acidoCEST, which employ exogenous agents [12–16]. R_{1p} MRI is another MRI approach which has been used to measure pH [17]. The R_{1p} signal, the reciprocal of T_I relaxation in the rotating frame (T_{1p}), can be partially attributed to the exchange of protons between water and proteins. This exchange is pH-dependent and can theoretically be used to image pH at high spatial resolution [17,18]. Given the sensitivity of this method has been reported in the pH range of 6–8, which covers physiological and tumor pH, it could be an attractive technique for non-

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2019.02.004

Received 3 October 2018; Received in revised form 12 February 2019; Accepted 13 February 2019

0730-725X/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0/).



^{*} Corresponding authors at: Department of Radiology, University of Cambridge, Box 218, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, CB2 0QQ Cambridge, United Kingdom. *E-mail addresses:* jk636@cam.ac.uk (J.D. Kaggie), fag1000@cam.ac.uk (F.A. Gallagher).

¹ Authors contributed equally to this paper.

invasive pH monitoring *in vivo* [19]. Recent work has suggested that R_{Ip} measurements are sensitive enough to detect changes in pH in both the murine and human brains following systemic alterations in pH and neuronal activation within the visual cortex [19].

Tissue pH in the brain is modulated by a wide variety of physiological factors, including lactate and CO_2 production [20], HCO_3^- transport [21], and ionic alterations during neurotransmission [22]. These pH changes may be accompanied by local microenvironmental effects such as vasodilatation and transmembrane ion transport [23,24]. Any method that measures tissue pH must be independent of these changes to accurately measure pH. Here, we investigate the sensitivity of $R_{1\rho}$ to pH and macromolecular density, both *in vitro* and in human volunteers, to determine whether the reported pH-dependence of $R_{1\rho}$ is independent of these factors.

2. Methods

2.1. R_{1_P} sequence

A 3D Fast Spin Echo (3D-FSE) sequence modified to incorporate a spin-lock [25,26] was used on phantoms and humans to measure $R_{1\rho}$ relaxation using a 12-channel head coil at 3 T (MR750, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, US). The spin-lock preparation pulse used was hard pulse (90°) - spin-lock (B_{1,SL} = 500 Hz) - hard pulse (-90°), with a (180°) phase transition at the mid-point of the spin-lock pulse. The 180° phase transition was used to reduce B₁ artefact [15]. The imaging parameters were as follows: spin-lock times (TSL) = 1, 2, 15, 25, and 40 ms; TR = 1587 ms; resolution 0.3 × 0.3 mm²; matrix 320 × 256; an echo train length (ETL) of 45; pa Fourier sampling (NEX = 0.5); coil acceleration (ASSET) of 2 [27]. $R_{1\rho}$ maps were calculated using linear least-squares regression. A sequence diagram has been provided (Fig. 1).

2.2. Phantom preparation

Phantoms of varying pH were made using agarose, milk, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and gadolinium-containing contrast agent (GdCA) (Gadovist, Bayer, Berlin). pH was adjusted by titrating high performance capillary electrophoresis (HPCE) buffer solutions at pH 6.5 and 8.5 (20 mM Na₂PO₄), NaOH/HCl, and monosodium phosphate and disodium phosphate solutions (100 mM Na⁺), as shown in Table 1. To control for any effect of sodium concentration on proton exchange, the phantoms had a fixed sodium concentration before pH adjustment with HCl and NaOH (Table 1). To assess the effect of the HPCE buffer on proton exchange, phosphate-buffered (PBS) and aqueous (HCl/NaOH) GdCA-doped phantoms without HPCE were also used. pH was determined using an automatically calibrated bench top electrode pH meter.

A gadolinium containing contrast agent (GdCA) was added to increase the $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation rate, as the relaxation time without GdCA was too long (> 200 ms) to measure with the given spin-lock times reliably, without increasing signal non-uniformity. The concentration of GdCA



added (0.36 mM) was calculated to approximate the extracellular fluid (ECF) concentration achieved when 5 mL of 1.0 M GdCA is injected during an *in vivo* study, assuming an average ECF volume of 14 L in a 75 kg male adult [28]. It was determined from the results of varying GdCA concentration in Fig. 2 that a concentration of 0.72 mM GdCA produced an optimal range of $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation rates, and this concentration was used in subsequent experiments.

To study the effect of protein and macromolecular concentration on the pH-dependence of $R_{1\rho}$, phantoms with a fixed pH, but varying concentrations of BSA or milk powder, were made up to the maximum saturation level that could be achieved. Previous studies have used glutaraldehyde or agarose but as these produced solid or gelatinous phantoms, the pH could not be reliably measured after setting [17,19]. To maintain the ability to monitor pH throughout the phantom-making process, phantoms were first made without the addition of glutaraldehyde or agarose, as have been used previously [19]. Agarose was subsequently added to examine the effect of macromolecular density.

All phantom samples were scanned together inside a single water bath, such that any heating or cooling that occurred affected all samples together. The B_{1,SL} transmit power was low due to clinical system constraints, which are in place to prevent heating. The temperature of the water bath measured with an infrared thermometer (ST-8861, aml Instruments, Lincoln, UK) before and after a $R_{1\rho}$ experiment had < 0.5 °C difference.

2.3. Phantom $R_{1\rho}$ analysis

Long spin-lock preparation of $R_{1\rho}$ may introduce artefacts related to B_0 and B_1 inhomogeneities. To reduce the effects of artefacts on $R_{1\rho}$ values, we randomized the sample position in the field of view between scans and averaged the $R_{1\rho}$ values across three acquisitions to obtain a single $R_{1\rho}$ value per sample. This randomization procedure may not fully account for non-linear B_0 and B_1 non-uniformity across the sample, but it does represent an average of the field non-uniformities and minimizes effects from variations in B_0 and B_1 . Phantoms were imaged while submerged in water before $R_{1\rho}$ imaging to reduce susceptibility effects from surrounding air.

A linear least-squares analysis was performed to calculate Pearson correlation coefficients and statistical significance between $R_{1\rho}$ measurements and the pH of the phantom or macromolecular concentration.

2.4. Human $R_{1\rho}$ imaging and analysis

 $R_{1\rho}$ images were acquired from 7 healthy male volunteer brains (median age 21, range 20–22). As there are known variations in macromolecular density between white and grey matter in the brain [29], we assessed regional variations in $R_{1\rho}$. The ROIs were positioned in manually matched anatomical regions by a single operator, defined as white matter (frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe, internal capsule, corpus callosum, centrum semiovale), grey matter (frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe), and basal ganglia (putamen, caudate). ROIs of approximately equal size were manually placed in 10 different positions bilaterally within each matched anatomical region for each volunteer, and their values averaged. Normal distribution was tested with a quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plot and a two-tailed *t*-test was used to calculate statistical significance for $R_{1\rho}$ differences between white and grey matter, white matter and basal ganglia, and grey matter and basal ganglia.

3. Results

The phantom experimental design was used to assess the correlation between $R_{1\rho}$, pH and the concentration of macromolecules and proteins. We observed no significant difference in $R_{1\rho}$ between HPCE-buffered phantoms at pH 6.5, 7.0, 7.5, and 8.0 (Fig. 2). In these phantoms,

Table 1

Summary table detailing phantom compositions. BSA = Bovine serum albumin. P	PBS = Phosphate Buffer Solution	 Ag = Agarose.
---	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Phantom	Composition
Fig. 2 Fig. 3 'PB'	GdCA (Gadovist [®]) concentrations of 0.00 mM, 0.36 mM, 0.72 mM and 1.44 mM in HPCE buffer solution (20 mM Na ₂ PO ₄) titrated to pH 6.5, 7, 7.5 or 8. Phantom indicated as 'HCl' was made up of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na +) and GdCA (Gadovist [®]) (0.72 mM), at pH 4.5. Phantom indicated as 'NaOH' was made up of sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na +) and GdCA (Gadovist [®]) (0.72 mM), at pH 9.0 For both phantoms, pH was adjusted to 4.5 or 9.0 with HCl and NaOH.
Fig. 3 'PB/BSA'	Phantom indicated as 'HCl' was made up of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na+) and BSA 8% wt/v, at pH 4.5 Phantom indicated as 'NaOH' was made up of sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na+) and BSA 8% wt/v), at pH 9.0 For both phantoms, pH was adjusted to 4.5 or 9.0 with HCl and NaOH.
Fig. 3 'Gad (aq)'	GdCA (Gadovist®) concentration of 0.72 mM in aqueous solution.
	For both phantoms, pH was adjusted to 4.5 or 9.0 with HCl and NaOH.
Fig. 3 'PBS/BSA/Ag'	Phantom indicated as 'HCl' was made up of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na+), BSA 8% wt/vol and 3% agarose wt/vol, at
	pH 4.5
	Phantom indicated as 'NaOH' was made up of sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na+), BSA 8% wt/vol and 3% agarose wt/vol, at pH 9.0
	For both phantoms, pH was adjusted to 4.5 or 9.0 with HCl and NaOH.
Fig. 4 'BSA'	All phantoms prepared with GdCA (Gadovist*) (0.72 mM). BSA concentration was adjusted to 0%, 0.1875%, 0.375%, 0.5%, 0.75%, 1%, 1.5%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 6% and 8% wt/vol
	Phosphate buffer used was prepared from mixture of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na+) and sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na+) to pH 7.20.
Fig. 4 'Milk'	All phantoms prepared with GdCA (Gadovist*) (0.72 mM).
0.	Nido Full Cream Milk Powder (Nestlé ©) was used to adjust milk concentration to 0%, 0.625%, 0.9375%, 1.25%, 1.875%, 2.5%, 3.75%, 5% and 7.5% wt/vol
	Phosphate buffer used was prepared from mixture of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na+) and sodium phosphate dibasic



heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na +) to pH 7.20.

Fig. 2. Sensitivity of $R_{1\rho}$ to pH and GdCA chelate concentration. (A) $R_{1\rho}$ measurements derived from $R_{1\rho}$ maps of HPCEbuffered phantoms in the pH range 6.5–8.0 with varying GdCA concentration (mean ± standard deviation). $R_{1\rho}$ was shown to vary with GdCA concentration but not pH in the absence of macromolecules. (B) Representative image of phantoms from Fig. 2A scanned with $R_{1\rho}$.



Fig. 3. Sensitivity of $R_{1\rho}$ to pH and phantom composition. $R_{1\rho}$ measurements derived from maps of phantoms of pH 4.5 and 9 prepared with phosphate buffer saline (PBS, 0.1 M Na +), PBS and bovine serum albumin (PBS/BSA; 0.1 M Na +, BSA 8% wt/v), aqueous GdCA (0.72 mM) (Gad), and PBS, BSA and agarose (PBS/BSA/Ag; 0.1 M Na +, BSA 8% wt/v, 3% agarose wt/vol). *p < 0.05 significance in $R_{1\rho}$ signal.

varying GdCA concentration from 0 to 1.44 mM linearly increased $R_{1\rho}$ rates from 0 s⁻¹ to 10 s⁻¹. In addition, no significant differences in $R_{1\rho}$ were observed at the extremes of pH in phosphate-buffered phantoms with or without bovine serum albumin (BSA; pH 4.5 and 9.0; Fig. 3).

In GdCA-doped aqueous phantoms, there was a small, but

significant (p < 0.05) difference in $R_{1\rho}$ measured in phantoms at pH 4.5 and 9.0 (Fig. 3). Unlike the case with BSA, we demonstrated a significant pH-dependence in $R_{1\rho}$ when agarose was included in the phantoms (p < 0.05; Fig. 3). In both GdCA-doped aqueous phantoms and agarose-containing phantoms (Fig. 3), there was a dependence of $R_{1\rho}$ on pH.

 $R_{1\rho}$ demonstrated the highest degree of sensitivity to pH change in agarose-containing phantoms. pH change was accompanied by visible structural change at low pH, akin to curdling. This prompted us to question whether structural changes that occur as macromolecular concentration changes would also influence $R_{1\rho}$. Therefore, we also investigated whether the $R_{1\rho}$ signal is dependent on macromolecular concentration, without changes in pH or other variables, which could explain the effects of pH in the presence of agarose. We observed that at constant pH, increasing the phantom macromolecular density with milk powder or BSA from 0 to 8% wt/v correlated with $R_{1\rho}$ signal (correlation coefficient 0.96 for both; Fig. 4).

 $R_{1\rho}$ differences were observed between brain regions where there are known differences in macromolecular density [29], with representative imaging shown in Fig. 5B. $R_{1\rho}$ imaging demonstrated significant differences between white (12.04 ± 0.46 s⁻¹; mean ± SD) and grey matter (11.10 ± 0.50 s⁻¹; p < 0.001), and between grey matter and basal ganglia 11.89 ± 0.74 s⁻¹; p < 0.05; Fig. 5A). Therefore, $R_{1\rho}$ appears to be sensitive to regional variations in tissue structure throughout the brain which is likely to represent changes in macromolecular concentration rather than changes in pH.



Fig. 4. Sensitivity of $R_{1\rho}$ to macromolecular concentration. $R_{1\rho}$ measurements derived from $R_{1\rho}$ maps of phantoms at a fixed pH of 7.2 and varying concentrations of milk (circles) and BSA (triangles). Pearson R score for the milk solution: $R^2 = 0.96$, p < 0.0001, $R_{1\rho} = 0.72$ [milk] + 5.19; Pearson R score for the BSA solution: $R^2 = 0.96$, p < 0.0001, $R_{1\rho} = 0.46$ [BSA] + 4.96.

4. Discussion

Several methods have been described previously to image the spatial distribution of pH in the brain, including ¹H, ³¹P and ¹⁹F spectroscopy, amide proton transfer and hyperpolarized ¹³C MR spectroscopic imaging [17,18,30,31]. However, their use in clinical practice has been limited by lengthy acquisition times, the need for specialized hardware, and poor spatial resolution.

A previous study has reported that $R_{1\rho}$ can detect alterations in cerebral pH with changes in inspired gases and following neuronal activation in the visual cortex [19]. MRI contrast relies heavily on the interaction between the nuclear magnetic relaxation of water and macromolecules such as proteins. $R_{1\rho}$ has been shown to rely on proton exchange between free water and protein side chain groups in solution [17]. pH affects the water-protein interaction by directly altering protein surface charges and the strength of water-protein hydrogen bonds which in turn alter the exposure of NMR-visible side chains and the dynamics of protein tumbling through tertiary structure changes [17]. It has been shown that any pH-dependence of $R_{1\rho}$ is derived from this water-protein proton exchange [17].

However, contrary to the previous published work outlined above, in the absence of these proteins we found no evidence to support that $R_{1\rho}$ is sensitive to changes in pH in the range 6.5–8 (Fig. 2), and only shows a small degree of change between the extremes of 4.5 and 9 (Fig. 3), in GdCA-containing phantoms. Indeed, we found that the sensitivity of $R_{1\rho}$ to physiological pH changes in GdCA-containing phantoms, in the absence of macromolecules, was much lower than that previously reported [19]. However, we have demonstrated that $R_{1\rho}$ measurements are highly sensitive to changes in pH in the presence of agarose, where the pH change is accompanied by visible structural alterations, but not in the presence of albumin without agarose, where such pronounced structural alterations do not occur (Fig. 3). The idea that changes in structural density affect $R_{1\rho}$ signal was further tested with measurements from phantoms composed of different concentrations and types of macromolecules: milk as a mixture of lipids, proteins and carbohydrate, and BSA as a homogeneous protein solution. These all showed a correlation of $R_{1\rho}$ signal with macromolecular density (Fig. 4).

pH results in relaxation rate changes due to its modification of free H^+ or OH⁻ molecules and decrease in the activation energy required for proton spin exchange (by 14% between pH 6 and 8) [32]. A flat dependence of R_1 and R_2 for pH values between 6 and 8 was reported by others [33], which is related to R_{1p} since all three parameters share a dependence on "molecular correlation time" [34]. In PBS/BSA/agarose phantoms, the correlation between R_{1p} and pH observed in our work was the reverse of that previously reported for similar phantoms, *i.e.* decreasing R_{1p} with increasing pH here compared to increasing R_{1p} with increasing pH previously [19]. We were able to replicate the experiments of [19] when using the agarose/PBS/BSA phantom (Fig. 3), where pH related changes would cause structural alteration in the phantom and induce other relaxation effects.

Overall, $R_{1\rho}$ with a spin-lock power of 500 Hz can only be used as a biomarker of pH when corrected for regional macromolecular density, which is not a trivial measurement. Our findings indicate that R_{10} is an unreliable measure of dynamic pH changes in functional imaging, or in tumor monitoring, where the tissue architecture changes as the tumor grows. These experiments showed $R_{1\rho}$ increased linearly with increasing macromolecular density at a constant physiological pH of 7.20 (Fig. 4). Previous work has shown that cross-linking BSA with glutaraldehyde to limit the number of NMR-visible side chain groups attenuates the sensitivity of $R_{1\rho}$ to the spin-lock field but did not test the relationship between pH sensitivity and macromolecule concentration [17]. Here we have shown that the macromolecular concentration over the range 0.00–8.00% wt/v correlates with $R_{1\rho}$ signal at fixed pH. Proteins and other macromolecules may vary considerably in concentration across normal tissues as well as in the heterogeneous tumor microenvironment [2]. In addition, while the relaxation rate linearly correlates with macromolecular concentration and type over the range tested, non-linear effects are possible at higher concentrations after chemical saturation. Interestingly, similar conclusions have been drawn in studies of pH imaging with the endogenous CEST MRI method, amide proton transfer (APT) MRI. There, the contrast-enhancing effects of increased protein content within tumors may oppose the contrast-reducing effect of lower tumor pH producing only a small increase in APT contrast of the tumor compared to the surrounding tissue [35].

One of the limitations of this experiment is how to disentangle the different elements that contribute to $R_{1\rho}$ relaxation. The difficulty of the experiment is due to the measured $R_{1\rho}$ being affected by the $R_{1\rho}$ of each chemical component within the mixture, such that the pH-dependent



Fig. 5. $R_{1\rho}$ data from the human brain. (A) Calculated mean $R_{1\rho}$ values (+/- standard deviation) for white matter, grey matter, and basal ganglia in 7 healthy human volunteers (median age 21). Manual ROIs were matched to anatomical regions defined as white matter (frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe, internal capsule, corpus callosum, centrum semiovale), grey matter (frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe), and basal ganglia (putamen, caudate. ROIs were placed in 10 different positions within each matched anatomical region in each volunteer, and then averaged. Normal distribution was tested with a quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plot and a two-tailed *t*-test was used to calculate

statistical significance for R_{1p} differences between white and grey matter, white matter and basal ganglia, and grey matter and basal ganglia. p < 0.001 ** p < 0.05; significance in R_{1p} signal difference. (B) R_{1p} map of the brain of a healthy volunteer.

portion of $R_{1\rho,\text{exchange}}$ cannot be disentangled as long as it remains a smaller effect than the relaxation rate changes induced by the macromolecular and buffer components, which are necessary to create an altered pH state. A simple model of relaxation rate consists of a linear sum from multiple chemical components, such that our expected $R_{1\rho}$ would be:

$R_{1\rho} = R_{1\rho,H20} + R_{1\rho,exchange} + R_{1\rho,macromolecule} + R_{1\rho,buffer} + R_{1\rho,field} + R_{1\rho,other}$

where the pH dependence of the equation results from the chemical exchange ($R_{1p,exchange}$) between the free water and labile protons [33]. Non-uniform B₀ and B₁ contribute to signal decay through the $R_{1p,field}$ term. $R_{1p,other}$ accounts for any impurities [32]. While a more complicated model is required to more completely describe the molecular concentrations and interdependence of the terms, this model is useful for first order analysis and conceptual understanding. The buffer required to modify the pH, which has both buffer and pH-related relaxation effects, creates a significant confounder in determining pH-related changes and has been raised as a concern in other studies [32].

More complicated models exist, such as methods that attempt to estimate exchange kinetics [32] and models that remove the relaxation portions due to diffusion or where random molecular motion lead to $R_{1\rho,H20}$ being equal to $R_{2,H20}$ and $R_{1,H20}$ [36], which require spin-lock fields higher than 3000 Hz [36–39] and cannot be achieved on our clinical system due to patient safety constraints. It may be possible to use much smaller spin-lock fields to measure pH [32], where $R_{1\rho}$ is nearly indistinguishable from R_2 , however such measurements in clinical settings would be hampered by increased field non-uniformity effects that confound low spin-lock $R_{1\rho}$ measurements. Our study is unique in using variations of pH buffers for investigating a moderate (500 Hz) spin-lock field [32].

In addition to multiple chemical components contributing to $R_{1\rho}$ relaxation, B_0 and B_1 + can complicate experimental design. We designed our experiment to minimize B_0 and B_1 + non-uniformities that will increase the phantom relaxation rate. Due to the use of the standard radiofrequency body coil, and a relatively small phantom, we expected that field non-uniformities would arise primarily at the boundaries of the phantom and air, and therefore we maximized the distance between any pH phantom and the sides of the larger watercontaining phantom. While B_0 and B_1 + non-uniformities are not visible in Fig. 2, we considered even small B_0 and B_1 + effects, as well as a low signal-to-noise ratio, as potential confounders. To counteract these effects, we surrounded our phantoms with water to reduce B₀ inhomogeneity that occurs on the boundaries between water and air, performed gradient shimming, and used positional randomization under the assumption that any non-uniformities that existed did not occur over the entire physical volume.

We did not see significant non-uniformity in the R_{10} maps, but consider B₀ and B₁ non-uniformity as contributors to the errors visible on Figs. 1-3, which was smaller than the change visible on most buffer measurements. A significant increase in signal non-uniformity can be seen for spin-lock times longer than used here, which is subject to both the transmit B_1^+ and the static B_0 fields. We used a phase transition in the spin-lock pulse to reduce B_1 + effects, although methods that would reduce these artefacts further include the use of adiabatic 90° pulses or a central 180° pulse [40]. A greater spin-lock B₁ (B_{1.SL}) pulse would be less subject to B_0 non-uniformity [40], however, the maximum $B_{1.SL}$ power is limited on this clinical system to ensure patient safety, and $B_{1,SL}$ of 500 Hz is commonly used for in vivo experiments, and $B_{1.SL} = 400 \text{ Hz}$ has previously been used to show a pH dependence [19]. A 1.2 kHz, 135° pulse prior to the spin-locking could reduce the B₀ sensitivity, however, the power required to achieve is not considered practical [40]. Slightly longer spin-lock times are sometimes used (up to 100 ms) in other T_{10} studies [41], although these times increase signal non-uniformities, limiting the accuracy of T₁₀ relaxation time measurements above ~150 ms ($R_{1\rho} < 6 \, \text{s}^{-1}$). Adiabatic 90° pulses can be used to reduce field non-uniformity artefact, however, we used

positional randomization to address artefacts. As the 90° pulses were unaltered with several spin-lock times, the system should be dependent primarily on the spin-lock times and thereby R_{10} .

While pH changes with GdCA may not perfectly match tissue environments, a correlation between pH and $R_{1\rho}$ should have been seen if $R_{1\rho}$ is to be considered relevant for spin-lock times < 100 ms. This study did not assess whether $R_{1\rho}$ would have a stronger relationship with pH when measured with longer spin-lock times, however, long spin-lock times are not used when $R_{1\rho}$ and pH is referenced being correlated [42–44]. Although pH may directly affect $R_{1\rho}$, it remains a small effect without the presence of other macromolecule effects.

We investigated regional variations in $R_{1\rho}$ across the brain and found significant differences in signal between structurally distinct brain regions. White matter and basal ganglia regions had a higher $R_{1\rho}$ compared to grey matter (p < 0.001) which is likely to be accounted for by the higher content of both protein and lipid [29]. Importantly, no significant differences in intracellular pH between grey and white matter have previously been found using ³¹P NMR techniques [45]. Measurements of pH in the brain using $R_{1\rho}$ are likely to be affected by the concentration of hyaluronic acid and chondroitin sulphate. Regional activity-dependent variations in blood flow have been shown to affect $R_{1\rho}$ [19], as well as cerebral ischemia [46,47]; increases in blood volume may alter macromolecular concentrations, which in turn could affect $R_{1\rho}$ independently of pH. Future studies are required to more fully quantify the effect of macromolecular concentration and blood flow on $R_{1\rho}$ before it can be used as an *in vivo* marker of pH.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the pH-dependence of $R_{1\rho}$ MRI is highly sensitive to changes in macromolecular concentration and that it is unreliable as a measure of pH alone without taking these factors into consideration. We have also demonstrated variations in $R_{1\rho}$ across the normal human brain. These findings have important implications for its applications to studying activity-dependent neuronal activation, as well as its potential role in tumor imaging.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by research support from Cancer Research UK (C19212/A29082, C19212/A27150), GlaxoSmithKline, the CRUK Cambridge Centre, National Institute of Health Research-Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, Cancer Research UK/Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (C197/A16465) Imaging Centre in Cambridge and Manchester, Addenbrooke's Charitable Trust and the Cambridge Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre. This research was also funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre at the Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. We would like to acknowledge Scott Reid, GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK for his support.

References

- Zhang X, Lin Y, Gillies RJ. Tumor pH and its measurement. J Nucl Med 2010;51:1167–70.
- [2] John S, Sivakumar KC, Mishra R. Extracellular proton concentrations impacts LN229 glioblastoma tumor cell fate via differential modulation of surface lipids. Front Oncol 2017;7:20.
- [3] Kato Y, Ozawa S, Miyamoto C, Maehata Y, Suzuki A, Maeda T, et al. Acidic extracellular microenvironment and cancer. Cancer Cell Int 2013;13:89. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1475-2867-13-89.
- [4] Helmlinger G, Sckell A, Dellian M, Forbes NS, Jain RK. Acid production in glycolysis-impaired tumors provides new insights into tumor metabolism. Clin Cancer Res 2002;8:1284–91.
- [5] Fukumura D, Xu L, Chen Y, Gohongi T, Seed B, Jain RK. Hypoxia and acidosis independently up-regulate vascular endothelial growth factor transcription in brain tumors in vivo. Cancer Res 2001;61:6020–4.
- [6] Bischoff DS, Zhu J, Makhijani NS, Yamaguchi DT. Acidic pH stimulates the production of the angiogenic CXC chemokine, CXCL8 (interleukin-8), in human adult mesenchymal stem cells via the extracellular signal-regulated kinase, p38 mitogenactivated protein kinase, and NF-κB pathways. J Cell Biochem

S.O. Ali, et al.

2008;104(4):1378-92.

- [7] Rofstad EK, Mathiesen B, Kindem K, Galappathi K. Acidic extracellular pH promotes experimental metastasis of human melanoma cells in athymic nude mice. Cancer Res 2006;66:6699–707.
- [8] Martinez-Zaguilan R, Seftor EA, Seftor REB, Chu Y-W, Gillies RJ, Hendrix MJC. Acidic pH enhances the invasive behavior of human melanoma cells. Clin Exp Metastasis 1996;14:176–86.
- [9] Reichert M, Steinbach JP, Supra P, Weller M. Modulation of growth and radiochemosensitivity of human malignant glioma cells by acidosis. Cancer 2002;95:1113–9.
- [10] Wojtkowiak JW, Verduzco D, Schramm KJ, Gillies RJ. Drug resistance and cellular adaptation to tumor acidic pH microenvironment. Mol Pharm 2011;8:2032–8.
- [11] Orlowski P, Chappell M, Park CS, Grau V, Payne S. Modelling of pH dynamics in brain cells after stroke. Interface focus 2011;1(3):408–16.
- [12] Vermathen P, Capizzano AA, Maudsley AA. Administration and (1)H MRS detection of histidine in human brain: application to in vivo pH measurement. Magn Reson Med 2000;43:665–75.
- [13] Aoki Y, Akagi K, Tanaka Y, Kawai J, Takahashi M. Measurement of intratumor pH by pH indicator used in 19F-magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Measurement of extracellular pH decrease caused by hyperthermia combined with hydralazine. Invest Radiol 1996;31:680–9.
- [14] Pavuluri K, McMahon MT. pH imaging using chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) MRI. Isr J Chem 2017;57:862–79. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijch. 201700075.
- [15] Chen LQ, Howison CM, Jeffery JJ, Robey IF, Kuo PH, Pagel MD. Evaluations of extracellular pH within in vivo tumors using acidoCEST MRI. Magn Reson Med 2014;72:1408–17. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25053.
- [16] McVicar N, Li AX, Gonçalves DF, Bellyou M, Meakin SO, Prado MA, et al. Quantitative tissue pH measurement during cerebral ischemia using amine and amide concentration-independent detection (AACID) with MRI. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2014;34:690–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/jcbfm.2014.12.
- [17] Mäkelä HI, Gröhn OHJ, Kettunen MI, Kauppinen RA. Proton exchange as a relaxation mechanism for T1 in the rotating frame in native and immobilized protein solutions. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001;289:813–8.
- [18] Zhou J, Payen J-F, Wilson DA, Traystman RJ, van Zijl PCM. Using the amide proton signals of intracellular proteins and peptides to detect pH effects in MRI. Nat. Med. 2003;9:1085–90.
- [19] Magnotta VA, Heo H-Y, Dlouhy BJ, Dahdaleh NS, Follmer RL, Thedens DR, et al. Detecting activity-evoked pH changes in human brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2012;109:8270–3.
- [20] Esquivel G, Schruers KR, Maddock RJ, Colasanti A, Griez EJ. Acids in the brain: a factor in panic? J Psychopharmacol 2010;24:639–47.
- [21] Chesler M. Regulation and modulation of pH in the brain. Physiol Rev 2003;83:1183-221.
- [22] DeVries SH. Exocytosed protons feedback to suppress the Ca 2+ current in mammalian cone photoreceptors. Neuron 2001;32:1107–17.
- [23] Yoon S, Zuccarello M, Rapoport RM. pCO(2) and pH regulation of cerebral blood flow. Front Physiol 2012;3:365https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2012.00365.
- [24] Sinning A, Hübner CA. Minireview: pH and synaptic transmission. FEBS Lett 2013;587:1923–8.
- [25] Oei EHG, van Tiel J, Robinson WH, Gold GE. Quantitative radiologic imaging techniques for articular cartilage composition: toward early diagnosis and development of disease-modifying therapeutics for osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2014;66:1129–41. https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22316.
- [26] Jordan CD, McWalter EJ, Monu UD, Watkins RD, Chen W, Bangerter NK, et al. Variability of CubeQuant T1p, quantitative DESS T2, and cones sodium MRI in knee cartilage. Osteoarthr Cartil 2014;22:1559–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2014. 06.001.
- [27] Busse RF, Brau ACS, Vu A, Michelich CR, Bayram E, Kijowski R, et al. Effects of refocusing flip angle modulation and view ordering in 3D fast spin echo. Magn Reson Med 2008;60:640–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.21680.
- [28] Peters AM, Perry L, Hooker CA, Howard B, Neilly MDJ, Seshadri N, et al.

Extracellular fluid volume and glomerular filtration rate in 1878 healthy potential renal transplant donors: effects of age, gender, obesity and scaling. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2012;27:1429–37. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfr479.
[29] Söderberg M, Edlund C, Kristensson K, Dallner G. Lipid compositions of different

- [29] Söderberg M, Edlund C, Kristensson K, Dallner G. Lipid compositions of different regions of the human brain during aging. J Neurochem 1990;54:415–23.
- [30] Petroff OAC, Prichard JW, Behar KL, Alger JR, den Hollander JA, Shulman RG. Cerebral intracellular pH by 31P nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Neurology 1985;35:781.
- [31] Gallagher FA, Kettunen MI, Day SE, Hu D-E, Ardenkjær-Larsen JH, Ri Zandt, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of pH in vivo using hyperpolarized 13C-labelled bicarbonate. Nature 2008;453:940–3. (doi:10.1038/nature07017).
- [32] Noack F. NMR field-cycling spectroscopy: principles and applications. Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc 1986;18:171–276. https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6565(86) 80004-8.
- [33] Meiboom S, Luz Z, Gill D. Proton relaxation in water. J Chem Phys 1957;27:1411–2. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1744015.
- [34] Koenig SH, Brown RD. Field-cycling relaxometry of protein solutions and tissue: implications for MRI. Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc 1990;22:487–567. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0079-6565(90)80008-6.
- [35] Jones KM, Pollard AC, Pagel MD. Clinical applications of chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging 2018;47:11–27. https://doi. org/10.1002/jmri.25838.
- [36] Zu Z, Li H, Jiang X, Gore JC. Spin-lock imaging of exogenous exchange-based contrast agents to assess tissue pH. Magn Reson Med 2018;79:298–305. https://doi. org/10.1002/mrm.26681.
- [37] Zu Z, Jiang X, Xu J, Gore JC. Spin-lock imaging of 3-o-methyl-D glucose (30MG) in brain tumors. Magn Reson Med 2018;80:1110–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm. 27128.
- [38] Zu Z, Spear J, Li H, Xu J, Gore JC. Measurement of regional cerebral glucose uptake by magnetic resonance spin-lock imaging. Magn Reson Imaging 2014;32:1078–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2014.06.002.
- [39] Zu Z, Afzal A, Li H, Xie J, Gore JC. Spin-lock imaging of early tissue pH changes in ischemic rat brain. NMR Biomed 2018;31:e3893https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm. 3893.
- [40] Chen W. Errors in quantitative T1rho imaging and the correction methods. Quant Imaging Med Surg 2015;5:583–91. (doi:10.3978/j.issn.2223-4292.2015.08.05).
- [41] Barajas RF, Villanueva-Meyer J, Perry A, Berger M, Cha S, Cha S. Biologically aggressive regions within glioblastoma identified by spin-lock contrast T1 relaxation in the rotating frame (T1ρ) MRI. Radiol Case Reports 2017;12:827–32. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.radcr.2017.07.010.
- [42] Heo H-Y, Wemmie JA, Johnson CP, Thedens DR, Magnotta VA. Eccentricity mapping of the human visual cortex to evaluate temporal dynamics of functional T1p mapping. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2015;35:1213–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ jcbfm.2015.94.
- [43] Shaffer JJ, Johnson CP, Long JD, Fiedorowicz JG, Christensen GE, Wemmie JA, et al. Relationship altered between functional T1ρ and BOLD signals in bipolar disorder. Brain Behav 2017;7:e00802https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.802.
- [44] Johnson CP, Christensen GE, Fiedorowicz JG, Mani M, Shaffer JJ, Magnotta VA, et al. Alterations of the cerebellum and basal ganglia in bipolar disorder mood states detected by quantitative T1ρ mapping. Bipolar Disord 2018;20:381–90. https://doi. org/10.1111/bdi.12581.
- [45] Mason GF, Chu WJ, Vaughan JT, Ponder SL, Twieg DB, Adams D, et al. Evaluation of 31P metabolite differences in human cerebral gray and white matter. Magn Reson Med 1998;39:346–53.
- [46] Gröhn OHJ, Kettunen MI, Mäkelä HI, Penttonen M, Pitkänen A, Lukkarinen JA, et al. Early detection of irreversible cerebral ischemia in the rat using dispersion of the magnetic resonance imaging relaxation time, T 1p. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2000;20:1457–66. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004647-200010000-00007.
- [47] Kettunen MI, Gröhn OHJ, Penttonen M, Kauppinen RA. Cerebral T_{1p} relaxation time increases immediately upon global ischemia in the rat independently of blood glucose and anoxic depolarization. Magn Reson Med 2001;46:565–72. https://doi. org/10.1002/mrm.1228.