

Journal of Hand Surgery (European Volume) 2022, Vol. 47(11) 1168-1177 journals.sagepub.com/home/jhs

## (S)SAGE

# Percutaneous puncture of flexor sheath ganglions: an assessment of recurrence

Dear Editor.

Flexor tendon sheath ganglions (FTSG) account for 5-16% of ganglions, the most common hand and wrist tumours (Jebson and Spencer, 2007). A FTSG is usually asymptomatic but can present as a painful firm mass that may interfere with hand function and grip strength. It may also present with triggering of the involved digit (Jebson and Spencer, 2007). Management is either by percutaneous puncture or surgical excision. There is no consensus concerning the ideal management. At a tertiary level hospital, we employ percutaneous puncture as the first line of treatment. In this study, we describe our technique and assess the incidence of recurrence. A 25-gauge needle is used to puncture the ganglion, and then the area is massaged to 'milk out' the ganglion contents. A non-bulky dressing is applied to allow immediate full range of mobilization. We use no local anaesthetic, sclerosant or corticosteroid.

We assessed the cost of both surgical excision and percutaneous puncture in our setting. Surgical excision of a FTSG would entail an initial consultation, a pre- and postoperative visit, day surgery theatre time and theatre consumables.

The cost of the surgical procedure is estimated to be approximately €1800 at the time of the study write-up. Percutaneous puncture would entail a clinic visit, minor consumables needed for puncture and a follow-up visit for a cost of approximately  $\notin$ 150.

The breakdown of participants in the study and follow-up outcomes are depicted in Figure 1. The management of a FTSG is split between two main schools of practice: percutaneous puncture and



Figure 1. Breakdown of participants enrolled and follow-up outcomes.

open surgical excision. The literature is equivocal as to which holds actual superiority (Abe et al., 2004; Jebson and Spencer, 2007). Our findings regarding recurrence incidence are most closely matched to those of Turan et al. (2013), who showed an 89% incidence of success with the first puncture. We had a recurrence in two of 18 patients (11%). However, no recurrence was reported after a second puncture in our cohort. Our follow-up period ranged from 7 months to 8 years (with a mean of 36 months).

Percutaneous puncture offers many advantages. It can be offered as immediate treatment at the time of clinical consultation, with no theatre time required. The consumables used for our technique are minimal, and a percutaneous puncture has the additional benefit of no downtime for the patient. Our study did not demonstrate any complications in patients managed with percutaneous puncture. Surgical excision comes at a higher cost when compared with percutaneous puncture. Postoperative incapacitation and recovery are additional factors along with potential complications, which include finger stiffness, scar tenderness, cold sensitivity and neurovascular injury (Finsen et al., 2013). A larger patient sample and a longer follow-up period may have also strengthened the validity of the study. Our series showed that using percutaneous puncture in the management of FTSG is a practical option in the light of the effort, cost and incidence of recurrence.

**Acknowledgements** Dr Shameem Jaumdally for his assistance with the statistical analysis and layout.

**Declaration of conflicting interests** The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Funding** The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Ethical approval** Obtained from the Human Research Committee, University of Cape Town, Faculty of Health Sciences.

**Informed consent** Obtained from the patients for their anonymized information to be published in this article.

**ORCID iD** Jaco Kotzé **b** https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8038-5187

#### References

Abe Y, Watson HK, Renaud S. Flexor tendon sheath ganglion: analysis of 128 cases. Hand Surg. 2004, 9: 1–4.

Finsen V, Håberg O, Borchgrevink GE. Surgery for ganglia of the flexor tendon sheath. Orthop Rev (Pavia). 2013, 5: e6.

Jebson PJ, Spencer EE Jr. Flexor tendon sheath ganglions: results of surgical excision. Hand (NY). 2007, 2: 94–100.

Turan A, Kose O, Arik HO, Guler F, Tokatman B, Akalın S. Blinded cyst wall rupture of the flexor tendon sheath ganglions: an effective and simple treatment. Minerva Ortopedica e Traumatologica. 2013, 64: 115–20.

## Azzaam Najjaar, Jaco Kotzé\* (D), Michael Solomons and Duncan McGuire

University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa \*Corresponding author: jakes11@icloud.com Twitter: @Jaco48389664

© The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: © © © S sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions D0I: 10.1177/17531934221115983 available online at http://jhs.sagepub.com

## A novel technique in the management of Type IV flexor digitorum profundus avulsion injuries

Dear Editor,

Type IV flexor digitorum profundus (FDP) injuries (Smith, 1981) are very rare. They consist of a fracture at the base of the distal phalanx where the FDP inserts, combined with simultaneous avulsion of the FDP from the osseous fragment. In the original description of a Type IV injury, Smith (1981) advocated K-wire fixation of the fracture and wire suture repair of the tendon avulsion. Henry et al. (2009) described using bony fixation and button tendon repair through the fracture fragment. However, reduced range of motion was reported using this method. Apart from these techniques there is a paucity of literature describing the operative repair of these complex injuries and their outcomes.

We describe a 50-year-old man who presented with an injury to his right ring finger 2 days prior, following a fall from a height. Clinical examination was consistent with a closed FDP injury. Radiographs raised the suspicion for a Type IV injury, with a fracture at the base of the distal phalanx in addition to a small bony fragment overlying the middle phalanx (Figure 1(a)).

Operative exploration under regional anaesthesia through a Bruner incision confirmed a Type IV injury, with the FDP tendon held at the middle phalanx by an intact vinculum. The fracture at the base of the distal phalanx remained attached to the volar plate. The bony fragment was reduced and fixed using a 1.2 × 6 mm Stryker<sup>®</sup> Upper-Face self-tapping screw (Stryker, Kalamazoo, MI, USA). A 4-0 Micro Quickanchor<sup>TM</sup> bone anchor (DePuy Synthes, Raynham, MA, USA)