

# Flixweed vs. Polyethylene Glycol in the Treatment of Childhood Functional Constipation: A Randomized Clinical Trial

Majid Nimrouzi<sup>1,2,\*</sup>; Omid Sadeghpour<sup>1</sup>; Mohammad Hadi Imanieh<sup>2</sup>; Mohammadreza Shams Ardekani<sup>3</sup>; Alireza Salehi<sup>3</sup>; Mohamad Bagher Minaei<sup>4</sup>; Mohammad M. Zarshenas<sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Institute for Islamic and Complementary Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

<sup>2</sup>Research Center for Traditional Medicine and History of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, IR Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Traditional Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

<sup>4</sup>School of Iranian Traditional Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

<sup>5</sup>Department of Phytopharmaceuticals (Traditional Pharmacy), School of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, IR Iran

<sup>6</sup>Medicinal Plants Processing Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, IR Iran

\*Correspondence author: Majid Nimrouzi, Research Institute for Islamic and Complementary Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran. Tel: +98-9177032019, E-mail: nimruzim@sums.ac.ir

Received: February 5, 2015; Revised: February 13, 2015; Accepted: March 10, 2015

**Background:** Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is often considered as the first-line treatment for functional constipation in children. *Descurainia sophia* (L.) Webb et Berth (*D. sophia*) is a safe recommended medicine in Iranian folk and Traditional Persian Medicine for the treatment of constipation.

**Objectives:** To clinically compare *D. sophia* with PEG 4000 (without electrolyte) in pediatric constipation and to assess its efficacy and side effects.

**Patients and Methods:** 120 patients aged 2-12 years with constipation for at least 3 months were included in an 8 weeks lasting randomized controlled trial within two parallel-groups. Children received either PEG, 0.4 g/kg/day, or *D. sophia* seeds, 2 grams (for children aged 2-4 years) and 3 grams (for those aged > 4 years) per day.

**Results:** A total of 109 patients completed the study (56 in *D. sophia* and 53 in PEG group). At the end of the study, 36 (64.3%) patients in *D. sophia* group and 29 (54.7%) in PEG group were out of Rome III criteria ( $P = 0.205$ ). Median weekly stool frequency in 0, 1, 2, 3 weeks of the treatment was found to be 2, 5, 5, 5 in *D. sophia* and 3, 4, 4, 5 in PEG group ( $P = 0.139, 0.076, 0.844, 0.294$ ), respectively. The number of patients who suffered flatulence was less (5, 8.9%) in *D. sophia* group as compared to PEG group (6, 11.3%) at the end of the trial ( $P = 0.461$ ). *D. sophia* taste was less tolerated.

**Conclusions:** *D. sophia* is introduced as a cheap and available medication which can be applied as a safe alternative to conventional PEG in the management of pediatric chronic functional constipation.

**Keywords:** Children; Constipation; Polyethylene Glycol; *Descurainia Sophia*

## 1. Background

Pediatric chronic constipation is often defined as having bowel movements less than three times per week and lasting for more than months (1). Constipation is one of the most common gastrointestinal (GI) complications, especially in the developed countries (2). The incidence of this disorder is about 15% in communities (3). Excluding neonatal period, the most common type of constipation is functional constipation (4). Chronic functional constipation (CFC) is an annoying disorder for both parents and children (5). Predisposing factors for childhood constipation may be discussed as genetic, psychological or organic (6). Common risk factors for functional constipation in children include low fiber diet, emotional stress, and positive family history in the first-degree relatives as well as painful defecation (7). Increased parental understanding and support as well as improving toilet-

ing habits and using laxatives warrant the control of this distressing condition in children (8).

Single drug therapy hardly leads to control the symptoms in afflicted children. The treatment protocol should include lifestyle change via parental education, long-term maintenance therapy and fecal mass removal (9). Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is often mentioned as the first-line treatment for children with CFC (9). PEG is a non-toxic, hydrosoluble, high-molecular polymer which is safe for long-term application in children (10).

Flixweed (*Descurainia sophia* L.) (*D. sophia*) is a known commonly-used medical herb in Traditional Persian Medicine. *D. sophia* is considered as a safe and mild laxative (11), and an anti-inflammatory, analgesic and anti-helminthic herbal medicament (12). *D. sophia* is reported not toxic at the level of up to 2500 mg/kg of body weight

(13). About 15 aminoacids, 10 fatty acids, flavonoids and phenolic constituents have been isolated from *D. sophia* seed (11). A study showed that a combination of *D. sophia* and prune (*Prunus domestica* L.) could prevent adult Hajj pilgrims' constipation (14). In Traditional Chinese Medicine, flaxseed seeds are used to relieve cough and reduce edema. It has revealed cardiotoxic effects and is also applied in some cancers. *D. sophia* has shown cytotoxic activity against cancer cells (15).

## 2. Objectives

Current study aimed to compare the efficacy of *D. sophia* compared to PEG in the symptomatic alleviation of pediatric constipation.

## 3. Patients and Methods

### 3.1. Trial Design

The study was conducted from January to August 2013 at Shahid Motahari Polyclinic in Shiraz. The study was approved by Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences; the trial enrollment started at January 2013. Before enrollment, informed consent was obtained from the parents. In this trial, patients were referred by a pediatric gastroenterologist who had done precise examination and confirmed the CFC.

### 3.2. Patients

Patients were selected from 221 children referred to a pediatric gastroenterologist in Shahid Motahari Polyclinic. The inclusion criteria were children between 2 and 12 years who met the Rome III criteria for functional constipation, and were free of other medications. The inclusion criteria were based on the existence of 2 or more Rome III criteria for functional constipation for at least 3 months before diagnosis and lack of the Rome III criteria for IBS (Box 1) (16). Children having organic causes of defecation disorders such as Hirschsprung's disease, spina bifida occulta, hypothyroidism, cystic fibrosis, neurologic abnormalities, intestinal pseudo-obstruction, and diabetes mellitus were also excluded from the study (7).

---

**Box 1.** Inclusion Criteria for Diagnosis of Functional Constipation in Children

---

**History of two or fewer defecations in the toilet per week**

**At least one episode of fecal incontinence per week**

**History of retentive posturing or excessive volitional stool retention**

**History of painful or hard bowel movements**

**Presence of a large fecal mass in the rectum**

**History of large diameter stools that may obstruct the toilet**

---

### 3.3. Study Procedure

Through a block randomization, patients were divided into two parallel therapeutic groups (60 in each group). The sample size was determined based on similar studies (9). Totally, 120 children aged 2 - 12 years old who met the Rome III criteria were enrolled in the study. One group received an oral solution containing *D. sophia* seed and the other, PEG 40% without electrolyte. Due to the different tastes and appearance of both medications, the study was not blinded. However, the methodologist and the statistician who assessed and analyzed the data were blind to the protocol. At enrollment, a complete history was taken and physical examination done by a physician involved in the study. Stool frequency, fecal soiling (encopresis), stool consistency, retention posturing and abdominal pain, flatulence or rectal bleeding were recorded. Patients with fecal impaction were treated with bisacodyl suppositories at the first visit. Subsequently they were enrolled in the study.

Medications were oral administration of PEG (40% solution without electrolytes), 0.4 g/kg (17), and *D. sophia*, two grams for 2 - 4 years old and three grams for 4 - 12 years old patients once daily for 8 weeks. Parents were requested to refer to the on call physician in case of seven days lack of bowel movement or confronting with any other complication. During the first three weeks, parents were asked to record a daily report of defecation frequency, stool consistency, soiling, painful defecation, retention and passing blood with defecation. Additionally, weekly reports were taken from by the interviewer. At the end of the first three weeks, parents were called to describe the condition of their children. Patients were also revisited and their charts were reviewed. The efficacy of the intervention in both groups was evaluated at three weeks and eight weeks of follow-up.

### 3.4. Behavior Modification

According to conventional medicine and traditional medicine resources, behavior modifications and nutritional advices were the same in both groups. These points included toilet training, abstaining from junk foods and drinking adequate water, but not with the meals. The patients were asked to chew food morsels slowly (9, 18). Following termination of three weeks, patients were again visited and parents were assured to continue the behavior modifications. Medication was continued for further five weeks after the agreement.

### 3.5. Outcome Measures

The primary outcome was determination of proportion of patients who had responded to treatment. Response to the treatment was defined as improvement of constipation for at least three bowel movements, soft stool and convenient defecation, no soiling and bloody stool per week as well as exiting the Rome III criteria for constipa-

tion after the third week. Patients were ruled out from the study if they had no bowel movement for seven days or developed fecal impaction, at any stage.

Secondary outcomes were as follows: If the patients did not meet Rome III criteria at the end of the eighth week, the incidence and severity of gastrointestinal adverse effects including flatulence, abdominal pain and drug compliance were monitored at the end of the third and eighth week.

### 3.6. Statistical Analysis

Quantitative data were presented as Mean  $\pm$  SD and No. (%), or MED (IQR). Statistical analyses included the determination of Means  $\pm$  SD, t test, Chi-square test, Fisher exact test and Mann-Whitney U-test with a significance level of 5%.

## 4. Results

Among 221 referred patients, 120 cases who met the Rome III criteria for constipation were enrolled in the study. After receiving the written consents and block randomization, 11 patients withdrew the consent in the first week of treatment. The reason was doubts on the efficacy of treatment. On the other hand, 109 patients continued the recommended treatment (PEG: 53, and DS: 56). All patients were visited at the end of the third and eighth week. The participants' age was between 2 and 12 (5.01  $\pm$  2.38). The two groups were similar in demographic and Rome III criteria before starting treatment (Table 1).

Duration of constipation was more than six months in 105 (96.3%) and 3 - 6 months in 4 (3.7%) patients. Fifty five

(98.2%) children in *D. sophia* and 50 (94.3%) in PEG group had a history of constipation for more than six months. Thirty eight (67.9%) patients in *D. sophia* and 18 (34%) in PEG group had a history of receiving multiple none controlled- medications for over six months.

### 4.1. Treatment Success

Based on Rome III criteria, both interventions demonstrated similar efficacies in relieving symptoms of constipation at the end of the third week (58.9% in the *D. sophia* group versus 54.7% in PEG group). However, 64.3% of patients in *D. sophia* group were out of criteria at the end of the eighth week as compared to those in PEG group (54.7%). No statistically significant difference was found between the efficacy of both interventions in the treatment of constipation at the end of third and eighth week of intervention (Table 2).

### 4.2. Stool Frequency

Median weekly stool frequency in the 0, 1, 2, 3 weeks of treatment was 2, 5, 5, 5 in *D. sophia* and 3, 4, 4, 5 in PEG group ( $P = 0.139, 0.076, 0.844, 0.294$ ), respectively. In regard of 6 - 12 year old children at the end of the first and second week of treatment, stool frequency was significantly improved in *D. sophia* group, as compared to the PEG group [*D. sophia* group: 7 (5.75 - 7), 7 (5.75 - 7); PEG group: 3 (3 - 4), 4 (3 - 6.5),  $P = 0.014; P = 0.001$ ]. At the end of the third week, stool frequency in the PEG group was found better than that in the *D. sophia* group. However, the difference was not statistically significant [*D. sophia* group: 4.5 (5.75 - 7); PEG group: 6 (5.75 - 7);  $P = 0.203$ ] (Table 3).

**Table 1.** Baseline Characteristic in *Descurainia sophia* and PEG Group <sup>a, b</sup>

Variable	DS (n = 56)	PEG (n = 53)	P value
Age, yr	4.86 $\pm$ 2.25	5.184 $\pm$ 2.51	0.480
Weight, kg	17.50 $\pm$ 8.23	19.362 $\pm$ 9.52	0.278
Gender, male	26 (46)	22 (41.50)	0.373
Percentile	51.12 $\pm$ 4.46	47.86 $\pm$ 4.88	0.623
Frequency of Bowel movement/week	2 (1-3)	3 (2-3)	0.139
Frequency of hard stool/week	2 (1-3)	2 (1-3)	0.242
Frequency of painful defecation/week	2 (1-3)	3 (2-3)	0.162
Frequency of retention/week	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0.996
Frequency of soiling/week	0 (0-1)	0 (0-1)	0.485

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: DS: *Descurainia sophia*, PEG: Polyethylene glycol.

<sup>b</sup> Data are presented as Mean  $\pm$  SD, No. (%), or Med (IQR).

**Table 2.** Efficacy of Treatment With PEG and *Descurainia sophia* Group According to Existing Rome III Criteria <sup>a, b</sup>

Variables	DS (n = 56)	PEG (n = 53)	P Value
End of First week	1 (1.8)	3 (5.7)	0.288
End of Second week	30 (53.6)	30 (56.6)	0.45
End of Third week	33 (58.9)	29 (54.7)	0.401
End of Eighth week	36 (64.3)	29 (54.7)	0.205

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: DS: *Descurainia sophia*, PEG: Polyethylene glycol.


<sup>b</sup> Data are presented as No. (%).

**Table 3.** Comparison of Stool Frequency, Hard Stool, Painful Defecation and Soiling in *Descurainia Sophia* and PEG Groups at Follow-up<sup>a, b</sup>

Frequency, wk	Before Intervention	After First Week	After Second Week	After Third Week
<b>Stool frequency</b>				
DS	2 (1-3)	5 (3-7)	5 (3-7)	5 (3-7)
PEG	3 (2-3.5)	4 (3-6.5)	4 (3-7)	5 (4-7)
<b>P value</b>	0.139	0.076	0.844	0.294
<b>Hard stool</b>				
DS	2 (1-3)	2 (0-3)	2 (0-3)	1 (0-2.75)
PEG	2 (1-3)	2 (1-3)	1 (0-3.5)	2 (0-3)
<b>P value</b>	0.242	0.566	1.000	0.180
<b>Painful defecation</b>				
DS	2 (1-3)	1 (0-2.75)	1 (0-2)	0.5 (0-2)
PEG	3 (2-3)	2 (0-3)	1 (0-2)	0 (0-3)
<b>P value</b>	0.162	0.183	0.977	0.899
<b>Soiling</b>				
DS <sup>a</sup>	0 (0-1)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)
PEG	0 (0-1)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)
<b>P value</b>	0.485	0.503	0.845	0.180

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: DS: *Descurainia Sophia*, PEG: Polyethylene glycol.

<sup>b</sup> Data are presented as DS (n = 56) and PEG (n = 53) and as Med (IQR).

**Figure 1.** Comparison of Retention Posturing in *Descurainia Sophia* and PEG Group in the Three Week Follow-up

The differences between both groups were not significant (P values at weeks 0, 1, 2 and 3 were 0.156, 0.275, 0.643 and 0.586 respectively).

**Table 4.** Flatulence and Abdominal Pain in *Descurainia sophia* and PEG Group<sup>a, b</sup>

Variables	DS (n = 56) <sup>a</sup>	PEG (n = 53)	P value
<b>Baseline</b>	22 (39.3)	20 (37.7)	0.512
<b>First week</b>	14 (25.0)	20 (37.7)	0.110
<b>Second week</b>	12 (21.4)	15 (28.3)	0.271
<b>Third week</b>	10 (17.9)	11 (20.8)	0.444
<b>Eighth week</b>	5 (8.9)	6 (11.3)	0.461

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: DS: *Descurainia Sophia*, PEG: Polyethylene glycol.

<sup>b</sup> Data are presented as No. (%).

### 4.3. Pain and Retention

Following three weeks of medication, hard stool frequency, painful defecation and stool retention decreased in both groups. However, the differences between groups were not statistically significant (Figure 1).

### 4.4. Blood Stained Stool

Median blood stained stool in baseline and weeks 1, 2 and 3 were 0 for both groups. Only one patient in *D. sophia* group and one in the PEG group reported a history of blood stained stool at the end of the third week [0 (0-0) in both groups; P = 0.365].

### 4.5. Adverse Events

During the first three weeks of treatment and despite of the dose increasing, 10 patients (18.9%) in PEG and 3 (5.4%) in *D. sophia* group needed bisacodyl suppositories after seven days of no bowel movement. The difference between two groups was significant (P = 0.03).

At the beginning of the intervention, the number of patients who suffered from flatulence and abdominal pain in *D. sophia* group (22 = 39.3%) was more than that of the PEG group (20 = 37.7%). At the end of the eighth week, values of these parameters were less in the *D. sophia* group (5 = 8.9%) as compared to the PEG group (6 = 11.3%) (P = 0.461) (Table 4). The number of patients who disliked the taste of medication in the *D. sophia* group (17 = 30%) was significantly more than that of the PEG group (5 = 9.5%) (P = 0.004).



## 5. Discussion

*D. sophia* is a cheap and available medication which can be introduced as a safe alternative for PEG in CFC. However, the taste may not be much acceptable. The *D. sophia* mechanism of action has not yet been elucidated. The seeds may act as a stool softener and bowel smooth muscle relaxant. *D. sophia* seeds oral solution produce mucilage that can absorb water from bowel lumen and thus may soften the stool. The compound, allyl disulfide in *D. sophia* seed may have relaxant effect on the smooth muscles and facilitate the defecation (19). As PEG is often mentioned as the first-line treatment for CFC, it was selected in the current study. Many studies have confirmed the related effects of PEG superiorities rather than the other laxatives in the treatment of CFC.

Findings of the study conducted by Karami et al. showed the preference of PEG compared with liquid paraffin in treatment of CFC (20). Wang et al. found that two weeks treatment of CFC with PEG 4000 and lactulose is safe. But PEG revealed higher rates of success in the improvement of stool frequency than lactulose (21). In a meta-analysis, Lee-Robichaud et al. compared the efficacy of PEG and lactulose and showed the superiority of PEG over lactulose in treatment of functional constipation in both children and adults (22).

Studies showed that PEG is very effective in the control of constipation. This medicament can rapidly stop the symptoms, even in patients with fecal impaction. Oral PEG can be used as a safe alternative of enema in patients with fecal impaction (23). Savino et al. found that enema and PEG are equally effective in treatment of fecal impaction in constipated children (24).

A positive family history of constipation is found between 30 and 40 percent in different studies (24). In our study, 49 (45%) patients had positive family history of constipation. There was no relationship between positive family history and the result of treatment in both groups. A relationship between increasing body mass index and lower bowel movement frequency has been reported repeatedly in adults and children (25). Prevalence of obesity and overweight in Iranian children is 5.1% and 10.8%, respectively (26). The rates of overweight and obesity were 9.2% and 12.8% in our study.

According to previous studies, the chance of recovery with PEG in CFC of children is about 56 - 90% (8). The less chance of recovery in our study may be due to the chronicity of disease in most of the patients. Considerably, history of receiving multiple drug and tolerance to many medications are additional parameters, especially in *D. sophia* group. Despite this fact, the recovery rate with *D. sophia* was found to be higher at the end of the eighth week than that with PEG. According to the relative supremacy of *D. sophia* versus PEG at the end of the eighth week, *D. sophia* was found to be more slowly-acting (64.3% vs. 54.7%).

### 5.1. Strengths and Limitations

Blinding was not possible regarding the differences

in the appearance and dose of the applied medications. However, the methodologist and the statistician who assessed and analyzed the data were blind to the study. Taste of *D. sophia* was a limitation of consumption. The number of patients in *D. sophia* group who disliked the taste of medicine was more than that of the PEG group. Follow-ups were satisfactory and we missed no case to follow-up after starting the treatment. Data were collected from 100% of the parents by two follow up sessions at the end of the third and eighth week.

*D. sophia* is a safe and cheap alternative for PEG. Efficacy of *D. sophia* is as much as PEG in the treatment of CFC. Compared to PEG, *D. sophia* showed better response in the control of annoying symptoms such as abdominal pain and flatulence. According to the outcomes of this trial, DS oral solution can be tastefully modified to be applied for respective treatment in a long period. The long-term safety and efficacy of *D. sophia* should be evaluated by more comprehensive randomized clinical trials.

## References

1. What is chronic constipation? Definition and diagnosis. Gray JR. Can J Gastroenterol. 2011;25 Suppl B:7B-10B.
2. Colonic Transit Time In 64 Iranian Patients With Idiopathic Chronic Constipation. Ansari R, Sohrabi MR, Roohi S, Mikaeli J, Massarrat S, Tahaghoghi Mehrizi S, et al. Arch Iran Med. 2001;4(1):6.
3. Clinical Manifestations of Hirschsprung's Disease: A Six Year Course Review of Admitted Patients in Gilan, Northern Iran. Izadi M, Mansour-Ghanaei F, Jafarshad R, Joukar F, Bagherzadeh AH, Tareh F. Middle East J Digest Dis. 2009;1(2):68.
4. Constipation in infants and children: evaluation and treatment. A medical position statement of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. Baker SS, Liptak GS, Colletti RB, Croffie JM, Di Lorenzo C, Ector W, et al. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1999;29(5):612.
5. Systematic review: impact of constipation on quality of life in adults and children. Belsey J, Greenfield S, Candy D, Geraint M. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2010;31(9):938.
6. Factors associated with childhood constipation. Inan M, Aydiner CY, Tokuc B, Aksu B, Ayvaz S, Ayhan S, et al. J Paediatr Child Health. 2007;43(10):700.
7. Constipation in children: diagnosis and management. Rajindrajith S, Devanarayana NM. Sri Lanka J Child Health. 2009;38(4):127.
8. Constipation in children. Afzal NA, Tighe MP, Thomson MA. Ital J Pediatr. 2011;37:28.
9. A randomized, prospective, comparison study of a mixture of acacia fiber, psyllium fiber, and fructose vs polyethylene glycol 3350 with electrolytes for the treatment of chronic functional constipation in childhood. Quitadamo P, Coccorullo P, Giannetti E, Romano C, Chiaro A, Campanozzi A, et al. J Pediatr. 2012;161(4):710.
10. Long-term safety of PEG 4000 in children with chronic functional constipation: A biochemical perspective. Bae SH. Korean J Pediatr. 2010;53(7):741.
11. Determination of the volatile composition in essential oil of *Descurainia sophia* (L.) Webb ex Prantl (Flixweed) by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Li J, Liu X, Dong F, Xu J, Zheng Y, Shan W. Molecules. 2010;15(1):233.
12. Cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory constituents from the seeds of *Descurainia sophia*. Lee YJ, Kim NS, Kim H, Yi JM, Oh SM, Bang OS, et al. Arch Pharm Res. 2013;36(5):536.
13. Chemical constituents of *Descurainia sophia* L. and its biological activity. Mohamed NH, Mahrous AE. Rec Nat Proc. 2009;3(1):58.
14. The effect of *Descurainia sophia* L. and *Prunus Domestica* L. in prevention of constipation among Iranian Hajj Pilgrims, Saudi

- Arabia. Pasalar M, Bagheri Lankarani K, Mehrabani D, Tolide H. Res J Pharmaceut Biol Chem Sci. 2013;4(2):1195.
15. Two new lactones and one new aryl-8-oxa-bicyclo[3,2,1]oct-3-en-2-one from *Descurainia sophia*. Sun K, Li X, Li W, Wang J, Liu J, Sha Y. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo). 2004;52(12):1483.
  16. Rome III: New standard for functional gastrointestinal disorders. Drossman DA, Dumitrascu DL. J Gastrointest Liver Dis. 2006;15(3):237.
  17. PEG3350 in the treatment of childhood constipation: a multicenter, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. Nurko S, Youssef NN, Sabri M, Langseder A, McGowan J, Cleveland M, et al. J Pediatr. 2008;153(2):254.
  18. Avicenna H. In: Ghanoon Dar Teb [The Canon of Medicine], Bulaq Edition. Sharafkandi A, editor. Vol. 345. Tehran: Uni of Tehran Pr; 1978.
  19. A novel sulphur glycoside from the seeds of *Descurainia sophia* (L.). Sun K, Li X, Liu JM, Wang JH, Li W, Sha Y. J Asian Nat Prod Res. 2005;7(6):853.
  20. Polyethylene glycol versus paraffin for the treatment of childhood functional constipation. Karami H, Khademloo M, Niari P. Iran J Pediatr. 2009;19(3):255.
  21. Polyethylene glycol 4000 treatment for children with constipation: A randomized comparative multicenter study. Wang Y, Wang B, Jiang X, Jiang M, Xu C, Shao C, et al. Exp Ther Med. 2012;3(5):853.
  22. Lactulose versus Polyethylene Glycol for Chronic Constipation. Lee-Robichaud H, Thomas K, Morgan J, Nelson RL. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;(7):eCD007570
  23. Rectal fecal impaction treatment in childhood constipation: enemas versus high doses oral PEG. Bekkali NL, van den Berg MM, Dijkgraaf MG, van Wijk MP, Bongers ME, Liem O, et al. Pediatrics. 2009;124(6):e1108.
  24. Efficacy and tolerability of peg-only laxative on faecal impaction and chronic constipation in children. A controlled double blind randomized study vs a standard peg-electrolyte laxative. Savino F, Viola S, Erasmo M, Di Nardo G, Oliva S, Cucchiara S. BMC Pediatr. 2012;12:178.
  25. Functional constipation in children: a systematic review on prognosis and predictive factors. Pijpers MA, Bongers ME, Benninga MA, Berger MY. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2010;50(3):256.
  26. Trend in the prevalence of obesity and overweight among Iranian children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Kelishadi R, Haghdoost AA, Sadeghirad B, Khajehkazemi R. Nutrition. 2014;30(4):393.