

Baseline serum triglyceride predicts early-onset peritonitis and prognosis in incident CAPD patients

Sheng Wan, MD, Hongdan Tian, MM, Li Cheng, MD, Yanqiong Ding, MM, Qing Luo, MM, Yanmin Zhang, MD st

Abstract

We aimed to investigate the hypothesis that serum triglyceride (TG) may be an independent predictor of early-onset peritonitis and prognosis in incident continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) patients.

In this retrospective, observational study, we screened 291 adults admitted to the PD center of the Wuhan No. 1 hospital from August 1, 2013 to November 31, 2017. All biochemical data were collected at the first 1 to 3 months after the initiation of CAPD. Early-onset peritonitis was defined as peritonitis occurring within 6 months after the initiation of PD. All of PD patients were followed up to July 31, 2018. The primary endpoint was the incidence of early-onset peritonitis while the second endpoints included overall mortality and technical failure.

A total of 38 patients occurred early-onset PD peritonitis and the Lasso logistic regression selected TG and age in the final model for early-onset peritonitis. We divided patients into two groups based on the median baseline TG levels: TG \geq 1.4mmo/L group (n = 143) and TG < 1.4mmol/L group (n = 148). There were 34 (11.7%) patients died and 33 (11.3%) patients transferred to hemodialysis during the follow-up, Moreover, a level of TG \geq 1.4mmol/L at the initiation of CAPD was associated with a significantly increased probability of technical failure (hazard ratio, HR, 1.30; 95% confidence interval, 95% Cl, 1.09 to 2.19, P = .043) and overall mortality (HR, 2.33; 95% Cl, 1.16–4.72, P = .018).

Serum TG levels measured at the initiation of PD therapy is an independent predictor of early-onset peritonitis and prognosis of CAPD patients.

Abbreviations: ACEI/ARB = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blocker, AUROC = area under receiver operation curve, BMI = body mass index, CAPD = continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, CCB = calcium channel blockers, CKD = chronic kidney disease, ESRD = end-stage renal disease, GFR = glomerular filtration rate, HDL-C = high density lipoprotein cholesterol, HR = hazard ration, LDL-C = low density lipoprotein cholesterol, MAP = mean arterial pressure, RRF = residual renal function, TG = triglyceride.

Keywords: early-onset peritonitis, early-onset peritonitis, prognosis, triglyceride

1. Introduction

Over the past decades, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis has become a relatively convenient, cheap, and well-established renal replacement therapy method for end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients, especially those residing in the rural areas.^[1,2] Despite great improvements in dialysis systems, antibiotic

Editor: Jiannan Li.

SW and HT contributed equally to this article.

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Department of Nephrology, Wuhan first hospital, Wuhan, China.

* Correspondence: Yanmin Zhang, Department of Nephrology, Wuhan first hospital, No. 215 Road Zhongshan, Wuhan, 430022, China (e-mail: hydzym@sina.com).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Wan S, Tian H, Cheng L, Ding Y, Luo Q, Zhang Y. Baseline serum triglyceride predicts early-onset peritonitis and prognosis in incident CAPD patients. Medicine 2021;100:2(e23673).

Received: 25 February 2020 / Received in final form: 24 August 2020 / Accepted: 12 November 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000023673

treatment, and well-developed training protocols for PD patients and staff, peritonitis is still a major cause of catheter loss and technique failure, resulting in patient demoralization and a higher risk of mortality.^[3–6] Thus, identifying patients who are at high risk of PD peritonitis may result in a lower rate of switching to hemodialysis therapy and avoidance of prolonged hospitalization and escalating health costs.^[7,8]

Hypertriglyceridemia is one of the most common types of dyslipidemia in patients with chronic kidney disease and has been demonstrated to be a predictor of cardiovascular disease mortality in different populations.^[9,10] A previous observational study in 1,053 incident PD patients demonstrated that lipid-modifying medication may be associated with improved clinical outcomes.^[11] However, the role of elevated triglyceride levels on prognosis in patients with ESRD is limited. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated the association between serum triglyceride levels and the risk of peritonitis for incident CAPD patients. In the current study, we aimed to explore the hypothesis that TG levels at the beginning of PD therapy may be an independent predictor of early peritonitis and prognosis in CAPD patients.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

From August 1, 2013 to November 31, 2017, a total of 349 consecutive patients were recruited from a single PD center of

Wuhan No. 1 Hospital. All adult patients with end-stage renal disease who initiated continuous ambulatory PD at our hospital and underwent PD for least for 3 months, excluded those who had been treated with hemodialysis or who received kidney transplantation, were included in this study. After exclusion of those who did not have serum triglyceride measurements during the first 6 months of PD initiation, we further excluded those who attended other PD centers during the follow-up. Finally, 291 patients were enrolled in this study (Fig. 1). The most common primary renal disease was chronic glomerulonephritis (41.6%), followed by hypertension (18.2%), diabetic nephropathy (16.5%), and reflux nephropathy (6.5%).

This retrospective study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of Wuhan No. 1 Hospital. Due to the nonintrusive nature of this study, the requirement for written consent was waived.

2.2. Data collection

All data were obtained from the electronic medical records of dialysis facilities. Both demographic and clinical data, including demographic data (age, sex, body mass index, primary cause of ESRD, medication use and comorbid diseases), were collected at the initiation of CAPD. Laboratory measurements, including hemoglobin, serum lipid profiles, albumin, ferritin, C-reactive protein, alkaline phosphatase, serum calcium and serum phosphorus levels, were collected during the first 1 to 3 months after the initiation of CAPD and were collected quarterly to biannually throughout the entire study period. The dialysis dose, estimated by weekly total and peritoneal Kt/V_{urea} using the urea kinetic model, and residual renal function (RRF), calculated from mean values of creatinine clearance and urea clearance and adjusted for body surface area, were measured at least biannually.

Medication use was recorded according to prescriptions and adherence of the patients. All the patients were asked to return to our PD center at least quarterly for the assessment of general conditions and concomitant medications.

Peritonitis was diagnosed when at least two of the following conditions were present within 6 months after PD therapy: clinical symptoms, effluent cell count greater than 100 cells/ μ L, and a positive effluent culture. Early-onset peritonitis was defined as peritonitis occurring within 6 months after the initiation of PD therapy. Moreover, peritonitis was treated using the recommended standard antibiotic protocols. Appropriate antibiotic therapy was continued for 14 to 21 days depending on the organism.



Figure 1. Study flow, including patient enrollment and outcomes.

The primary outcome was the development of early peritonitis, while the secondary primary endpoints were allcause mortality and technical failure. Patients were censored at the time of kidney transplantation, when they were switched to hemodialysis or lost to follow-up or at the end of the study period (July 31, 2018). Moreover, when analyzing technique failure, switching to hemodialysis and drop-out due to death were regarded as final events; functional dialysis at the end of the study, kidney transplantation and loss to follow-up resulted in censored data.

2.3. Laboratory measurements

All of the patients were asked to have fasting blood drawn and the blood samples were measured in the center laboratory of our hospital. Evaluation of triglycerides was performed by determination of total values (glycerol-3-phosphate oxidase-phenol + aminophenazone high performance method) using a BM/Hitachi 717/911 analyzer. And the reference range for serum triglyceride in our laboratory is 0.53 to 2.06 mmol/L.

2.4. Statistical analyses

R software (3.6.0.0) was used for all analyses. Descriptive analysis results are reported as the mean \pm SD or as medians (interquartile ranges) for continuous variables and as proportions for categorical variables. Participants were divided into two groups based on the median of baseline serum triglyceride levels: < 1.4 mmol/L and ≥ 1.4 mmol/L. We used two-sample t tests or the Mann-Whitney U test to compare continuous variables across groups and Pearson chi-squared test (χ^2) to compare categorical variables across groups. We used the Lasso logistic regression model to identify independent risk factors for PD peritonitis. Compared with the traditional stepwise logistic regression analysis, Lasso logistic regression can reduce the estimation variance while providing an interpretable final model, which may more accurate than stepwise selection.^[12] We estimated the association between baseline serum triglyceride levels categorized into median and all-cause mortality or technical failure using Cox proportional hazard regression models with three incremental levels of adjustment: Model 1: demographic and clinical characteristics of age, sex, major comorbid conditions (diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease) and medication use (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/ angiotensin receptor blocker (ACEI/ARB), β-blockers, calcium channel blockers (CCB) and Statin/fibrate); Model 2: Model 1 plus malnutrition and inflammation indices that included body mass index (BMI), mean arterial pressure (MAP), serum total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), albumin, ferritin, CRP levels and serum electrolyte; Model 3 (fully adjusted model): Model 2 plus residual GFR and dialysis dose (total and peritoneal Kt/Vurea). Cumulative survival curves as a function of time were generated by Kaplan-Meier analysis and were compared by log-rank tests. To measure the sensitivity and specificity of serum triglyceride at different cutoff values, we generated a conventional ROC curve. We also calculated the area under receiver operation curve (AUROC) to ascertain the quality of TG as a predictor of outcomes. In these analyses, TG was modeled both as a categorical variable and as a continuous variable. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Study participants

In total, 291 incident PD patients were enrolled in this study, and the baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the cohort are shown in Table 1, categorized according to serum

Table 1

Baseline characteristics of the CAPD patients.

Image:	Characteristics	TG>1.4mmol/L	TG < 1.4mmol/L	
Age, year 54.5 ± 13.9 51.5 ± 14.3 .078Gender, male, n (%)85 (59.4)66 (44.6).054BMI, kg/m² 22.8 ± 4.2 22.2 ± 3.6 .182Primary cause of ESRD.326Glomerulonephritis, n (%)25 (17.5)23 (15.5)Hypertension, n (%)28 (19.6)25 (16.9)Reflux nephropathy, n (%)10 (7.0)9 (6.1)Other or unknown, n (%)22 (15.4)28 (18.9)Corronary artery disease, n (%)57 (39.9)59 (39.9)Goldeners, n (%)29 (20.3)40 (27.0)Jabetes, n (%)17 (11.9)19 (12.8)ACEI/ARB, n (%)47 (32.9)42 (28.4)Golockers, n (%)73 (51.0)77 (52.0)A442CCB, n (%)105 (70.9)Jiuretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7)Jabets doseWeekly total Ccr71.8 ± 11.7 T2.8 ± 15.5 .349Weekly total Ccr71.8 ± 11.7 72.8 ± 15.5 SP, mmHg102.4 ± 10.2 104.3 ± 11.1 Jabysis doseWeekly total K/Vurea0.6 ± 0.1 Weekly total K/Vurea0.5 ± 10.6 3.5 ± 0.7 Weekly perioneal K/Vurea0.6 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 Laboratory variablesLeukocyte, $\times 10^3/L$ 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 Laboratory variablesLeukocyte, $\times 10^3/L$ 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 Laboratory variablesLeukocyte, $\times 10^3/L$ 3.5 ± 0.6 1.0.4 ± 19.3 Leukocyte, $\times 10^3/L$ 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 .001 <td< th=""><th></th><th>(n=143)</th><th>(n=148)</th><th>Р</th></td<>		(n=143)	(n=148)	Р
Gender, male, n (%) 85 (59.4) 66 (44.6) .054 BMI, kg/m ² 22.8 \pm 4.2 22.2 \pm 3.6 .182 Primary cause of ESRD	Age. vear	54.5+13.9	51.5+14.3	.078
BMI, kg/m ² 22.8 \pm 4.2 22.2 \pm 3.6 .182 Primary cause of ESRD .326 Glomerulonephritis, n (%) 58 (40./f/3) 63 (42.6) Diabetic nephropathy, n (%) 25 (17.5) 23 (15.5) Hypertension, n (%) 28 (19.6) 25 (16.9) Reflux nephropathy, n (%) 22 (15.4) 28 (18.9) Comorbidities Coronary artery disease, n (%) 57 (39.9) 59 (39.9) .634 Hypertension, n (%) 117 (81.8) 122 (82.4) .164 Diabetic network Statin/fibrate, n (%) 17 (11.9) 19 (12.8) .762 ACE//ARB, n (%) 47 (32.9) 42 (28.4) .660 β-blockers, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diaretic, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diaretic, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diaretic, n (%) 38 (25.7) 31 (21.7) .425 SBP, mmHg 141.5 \pm 14.4 144.9 \pm 16.1 .064 DBP, mmHg 82.9 \pm 10.7 84.0 \pm 11.2 .39	Gender, male, n (%)	85 (59.4)	66 (44.6)	.054
Primary cause of ESRD .326 Glomerulonephritis, n (%) 58 (40. f_{37}) 63 (42.6) Diabetic nephropathy, n (%) 25 (17.5) 23 (15.5) Hypertension, n (%) 28 (19.6) 25 (16.9) Refux nephropathy, n (%) 10 (7.0) 9 (6.1) Other or unknown, n (%) 22 (15.4) 28 (18.9) Corronary artery disease, n (%) 57 (39.9) 59 (39.9) .634 Hypertension, n (%) 29 (20.3) 40 (27.0) .094 Treatments Statin/fibrate, n (%) 17 (11.9) 19 (12.8) .762 ACEU/ARB, n (%) 47 (32.9) 42 (28.4) .660 β-blockers, n (%) 73 (51.0) 77 (52.0) .442 CCB, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diuretic, n (%) 38 (25.7) 31 (21.7) .425 SBP, mmHg 102.4 ± 10.2 104.3 ± 11.1 .140 Diayis dose Weekly kidney Ccr 36.7 ± 10.7 34.6 ± 10.5 .609 Weekly kidney Ccr 36.7 ± 10.7 34.6 ± 10.5 .609 Weekly kidney Ccr 36.7 ± 10.7 34.6 ± 10.5 .609	BMI. kg/m ²	22.8 + 4.2	22.2 + 3.6	.182
Glomerulonephritis, n (%)58 (40. f_{17})63 (42.6)Diabetic nephropathy, n (%)25 (17.5)23 (15.5)Hypertension, n (%)28 (19.6)25 (16.9)Reflux nephropathy, n (%)10 (7.0)9 (6.1)Other or unknown, n (%)22 (15.4)28 (18.9)Comorbidities20 (20.3)40 (27.0)Comorbidities99 (20.3)40 (27.0)Comorbidities99 (20.3)40 (27.0)Treatments91 (11.9)19 (12.8)Statin/fibrate, n (%)17 (11.9)19 (12.8)ACE/ARB, n (%)47 (32.9)42 (28.4)Gobckers, n (%)73 (51.0)77 (52.0)ACE/ARB, n (%)105 (70.9)111 (77.6)Juretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7)A25SBP, mmHg141.5 ± 14.4Diabetic sole1002.4 ± 10.2Ualayisi dose1002.4 ± 10.2Weekly total CCr71.8 ± 11.772.8 ± 15.5.349Weekly total K/Vurea0.6 ± 0.10.7 ± 0.10.7 ± 0.2Besidual GFR (ml/min/1.7m²)5.3 ± 1.04.8 ± 0.9.386PET at baseline0.7 ± 0.1Leukocyte, × 10 ² /L3.3 ± 0.6Sctum at trightering mm0/L5.9 ± 1.86.7 ± 2.2.001Serum atbumin, g/L3.5 ± 0.62.6 ± 0.5.023Calcium, mm0/L2.2 ± 1.84.3 ± 1.1.006Serum atbumin, g/L3.5 ± 0.62.6 ± 0.5.023Calcium, mm0/L2.2 ± 0.33.6 ± 5.2 0.7 <td>Primary cause of ESRD</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>.326</td>	Primary cause of ESRD			.326
Diabetic nephropathy, n (%)25 (17.5)23 (15.5)Hypertension, n (%)28 (19.6)25 (16.9)Reflux nephropathy, n (%)10 (7.0)9 (6.1)Other or unknown, n (%)22 (15.4)28 (18.9)Coronary artery disease, n (%)57 (39.9)59 (39.9)6.34Hypertension, n (%)29 (20.3)40 (27.0)Hypertension, n (%)117 (81.8)122 (82.4)17 reatmentsStatin/fibrate, n (%)17 (11.9)19 (12.8)Statin/fibrate, n (%)47 (32.9)42 (28.4)6.60β-blockers, n (%)73 (51.0)77 (52.0)442CCB, n (%)105 (70.9)111 (77.6)19 liuretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7)425SBP, mmHg141.5 \pm 14.4144.9 \pm 16.11064DBP, mmHg102.4 \pm 10.2104.3 \pm 11.11410Dialysis doseWeekly total K/Vurea2.5 \pm 0.62.1 \pm 0.63.1 \pm 10.734.6 \pm 10.5609Weekly total K/Vurea2.5 \pm 1.62.1 \pm 0.62.5 \pm 1.62.1 \pm 0.63.5 \pm 0.7Weekly total K/Vurea2.5 \pm 1.63.1 \pm 1.12.5 \pm 2.0.62.1 \pm 0.63.5 \pm 0.7Weekly total K/Vurea2.5 \pm 1.63.1 \pm 1.22.6 \pm 1.23.3 \pm 0.63.5 \pm 0.72.7 \pm 1.23.901.4 \pm 19.3006Serum albumin, g/L5.9 \pm 1.86.7 \pm 2.2<.001	Glomerulonephritis, n (%)	58 (40.化不)	63 (42.6)	
Hypertension, n (%)28 (19.6)25 (16.9)Reflux nephropathy, n (%)10 (7.0)9 (6.1)Other or unknown, n (%)22 (15.4)28 (18.9)Coronary artery disease, n (%)57 (39.9)59 (39.9).634Hypertension, n (%)29 (20.3)40 (27.0).094TreatmentsStatin/fibrate, n (%)17 (11.9)19 (12.8).762ACEVARB, n (%)47 (32.9)42 (28.4).660 β -blockers, n (%)73 (51.0)77 (52.0).442CCB, n (%)105 (70.9)111 (77.6).194Diuretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7).425SBP, mmHg141.5 ± 14.4144.9 ± 16.1.064DBP, mmHg102.4 ± 10.2104.3 ± 11.1.140Dialysis doseWeekly total Ccr71.8 ± 11.772.8 ± 15.5.349Weekly total Ccr71.8 ± 11.772.8 ± 15.5.349Weekly total Ckr73.5 ± 1.0.4 ± 0.9.386PET at baseline0.7 ± 0.1.7 ± 0.2.542Laboratory variablesLeukocyte, × 10 ⁹ /L5.9 ± 1.8.67 ± 2.2<.001	Diabetic nephropathy, n (%)	25 (17.5)	23 (15.5)	
Reflux nephropathy, n (%) 10 (7.0) 9 (6.1) Other or unknown, n (%) 22 (15.4) 28 (18.9) Coronary artery disease, n (%) 57 (39.9) 59 (39.9) .634 Hypertension, n (%) 29 (20.3) 40 (27.0) .094 Treatments 5 317 (11.9) 19 (12.8) .762 ACE//ARB, n (%) 47 (32.9) 42 (28.4) .660 β-blockers, n (%) 73 (51.0) 77 (52.0) .442 CCB, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diuretic, n (%) 38 (25.7) 31 (21.7) .425 SBP, mmHg 141.5 \pm 14.4 144.9 \pm 16.1 .064 DBP, mmHg 102.4 \pm 10.7 34.6 \pm 10.5 .609 Weekly total Ccr 71.8 \pm 11.7 72.8 \pm 15.5 .349 Weekly total K/Vurea 0.6 \pm 0.1 0.7 \pm 0.2 .552 Residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) 5.3 \pm 1.0 $4.8 \pm$ 0.9 .386 PET at baseline 0.7 \pm 0.1 0.7 \pm 0.2 .542 Laboratory variables Leukocyte, \times 10 ⁹ /L 5.9 \pm 1.8 6.7 \pm 2.2 .001	Hypertension, n (%)	28 (19.6)	25 (16.9)	
Other or unknown, n (%) 22 (15.4) 28 (18.9) Comorbidities Coronary artery disease, n (%) 57 (39.9) 59 (39.9) .634 Hypertension, n (%) 117 (81.8) 122 (82.4) .164 Diabetes, n (%) 29 (20.3) 40 (27.0) .094 Treatments Statin/fibrate, n (%) 17 (11.9) 19 (12.8) .762 ACE/ARB, n (%) 47 (32.9) 42 (28.4) .660 β -blockers, n (%) 73 (51.0) .77 (52.0) .442 CCB, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diuretic, n (%) 38 (25.7) 31 (21.7) .425 SBP, mmHg 141.5 \pm 14.4 144.9 \pm 16.1 .064 DBP .31 (21.7) .425 MAP, mmHg 102.4 \pm 10.7 $34.6 \pm$ 10.5 .609 Weekly total Ccr 71.8 \pm 11.7 72.8 \pm 15.5 .349 Weekly total K/Vurea 0.6 \pm 0.1 0.7 \pm 0.2 .542 Laboratory variables	Reflux nephropathy, n (%)	10 (7.0)	9 (6.1)	
Comorbidities Coronary artery disease, n (%) 57 (39.9) 59 (39.9) 634 Hypertension, n (%) 117 (81.8) 122 (82.4) .164 Diabetes, n (%) 29 (20.3) 40 (27.0) .094 Treatments Statin/fibrate, n (%) 17 (11.9) 19 (12.8) .762 ACEI/ARB, n (%) 47 (32.9) 42 (28.4) .660 β -blockers, n (%) 73 (51.0) 77 (52.0) .442 CCB, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diuretic, n (%) 38 (25.7) 31 (21.7) .425 SBP, mmHg 141.5 ± 14.4 144.9 ± 16.1 .064 DBP, mmHg 82.9 ± 10.7 34.0 ± 11.2 .390 MAP, mmHg 102.4 ± 10.2 104.3 ± 11.1 .140 Dialysis dose Weekly total Ccr 71.8 ± 11.7 72.8 ± 15.5 .349 Weekly peritoneal K _i /V _{urea} 2.5 ± 0.6 2.1 ± 0.6 .354 Weekly total Ccr 71.8 ± 11.7 72.8 ± 15.5 .349 Weekly peritoneala K _i /V _{urea} 0.5 ± 0.6	Other or unknown, n (%)	22 (15.4)	28 (18.9)	
Coronary artery disease, n (%)57 (39.9)59 (39.9).634Hypertension, n (%)117 (81.8)122 (82.4).164Diabetes, n (%)29 (20.3)40 (27.0).094TreatmentsStatin/fibrate, n (%)17 (11.9)19 (12.8).762ACEVARB, n (%)73 (51.0)77 (52.0).442CCB, n (%)105 (70.9)111 (77.6).194Diuretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7).425SBP, mmHg141.5 ± 14.4144.9 ± 16.1.064DBP, mmHg82.9 ± 10.784.0 ± 11.2.390MAP, mmHg102.4 ± 10.2104.3 ± 11.1.140Dialysis doseWeekly total Ccr71.8 ± 11.772.8 ± 15.5.349Weekly total K ₂ /V _{urea} 0.6 ± 0.10.7 ± 0.2.855Residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m²)5.3 ± 1.04.8 ± 0.9.386PET at baseline0.7 ± 0.10.7 ± 0.2.542Laboratory variablesLLeukocyte, × 10 ⁹ /L5.9 ± 1.86.7 ± 2.2<.001	Comorbidities		- (/	
Hypertension, n (%)117 (81.8)122 (82.4).164Diabetes, n (%)29 (20.3)40 (27.0).094TreatmentsStatin/fibrate, n (%)17 (11.9)19 (12.8).762ACE//ARB, n (%)47 (32.9)42 (28.4).660 β -blockers, n (%)73 (51.0)77 (52.0).442CCB, n (%)105 (70.9)111 (77.6).194Diuretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7).425SBP, mmHg141.5 ± 14.4144.9 ± 16.1.064DBP, mmHg82.9 ± 10.784.0 ± 11.2.390MAP, mmHg102.4 ± 10.2104.3 ± 11.1.140Dialysis doseWeekly total Ccr71.8 ± 11.772.8 ± 15.5.349Weekly total Ccr71.8 ± 11.734.6 ± 10.5.609Weekly total Ccr71.8 ± 11.7.34.6 ± 10.5.609Weekly total GFR (ml/min/1.73m²)5.3 ± 1.04.8 ± 0.9.386PET at baseline0.7 ± 0.10.7 ± 0.2.552Residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m²)5.3 ± 1.04.8 ± 0.9.386PET at baseline0.7 ± 0.10.7 ± 0.2.542Laboratory variablesLeukocyte, × 10 ⁹ /L5.9 ± 1.86.7 ± 2.2<.001	Coronary artery disease, n (%)	57 (39.9)	59 (39.9)	.634
Diabetes, n (%)29 (20.3)40 (27.0).094TreatmentsStatin/fibrate, n (%)17 (11.9)19 (12.8).762ACEVARB, n (%)47 (32.9)42 (28.4).660 β -blockers, n (%)73 (51.0)77 (52.0).442CCB, n (%)105 (70.9)111 (77.6).194Diuretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7).425SBP, mmHg141.5 ± 14.4144.9 ± 16.1.064DBP, mmHg82.9 ± 10.784.0 ± 11.2.390MAP, mmHg102.4 ± 10.2104.3 ± 11.1.140Dialysis dose	Hypertension, n (%)	117 (81.8)	122 (82.4)	.164
Treatments Statin/fibrate, n (%) 17 (11.9) 19 (12.8) .762 ACEI/ARB, n (%) 47 (32.9) 42 (28.4) .660 β -blockers, n (%) 73 (51.0) 77 (52.0) .442 CCB, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diuretic, n (%) 38 (25.7) 31 (21.7) .425 SBP, mmHg 141.5 ± 14.4 144.9 ± 16.1 .064 DBP, mmHg 82.9 ± 10.7 84.0 ± 11.2 .390 MAP, mmHg 102.4 ± 10.2 104.3 ± 11.1 .140 Dialysis dose	Diabetes. n (%)	29 (20.3)	40 (27.0)	.094
Statin/Tibrate, n (%) 17 (11.9) 19 (12.8) .762 ACEI/ARB, n (%) 47 (32.9) 42 (28.4) .660 β -blockers, n (%) 73 (51.0) 77 (52.0) .442 CCB, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diuretic, n (%) 38 (25.7) 31 (21.7) .425 SBP, mmHg 141.5 ± 14.4 144.9 ± 16.1 .064 DBP, mmHg 82.9 ± 10.7 84.0 ± 11.2 .390 MAP, mmHg 102.4 ± 10.2 104.3 ± 11.1 .140 Dialysis dose Weekly total Ccr 71.8 ± 11.7 72.8 ± 15.5 .349 Weekly total K ₄ /V _{urea} 2.5 ± 0.6 2.1 ± 0.6 .354 Weekly total K ₄ /V _{urea} 0.6 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 .855 Residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) 5.3 ± 1.0 4.8 ± 0.9 .386 PET at baseline 0.7 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 .542 Laboratory variables Leukocyte, × 10 ⁹ /L 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 <.001	Treatments	- (/		
ACEL/ARB, n (%) 47 (32.9) 42 (28.4) .660 β -blockers, n (%) 73 (51.0) 77 (52.0) .442 CCB, n (%) 105 (70.9) 111 (77.6) .194 Diuretic, n (%) 38 (25.7) 31 (21.7) .425 SBP, mmHg 141.5 \pm 14.4 144.9 \pm 16.1 .064 DBP, mmHg 82.9 \pm 10.7 84.0 \pm 11.2 .390 MAP, mmHg 102.4 \pm 10.2 104.3 \pm 11.1 .140 Dialysis dose	Statin/fibrate. n (%)	17 (11.9)	19 (12.8)	.762
B-blockers, n (%)73 (51.0)77 (52.0)442CCB, n (%)105 (70.9)111 (77.6)194Diuretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7).425SBP, mmHg141.5 \pm 14.4144.9 \pm 16.1.064DBP, mmHg82.9 \pm 10.784.0 \pm 11.2.390MAP, mmHg102.4 \pm 10.2104.3 \pm 11.1.140Dialysis dose	ACEI/ARB, n (%)	47 (32.9)	42 (28.4)	.660
CCB, n (%)105 (70.9)111 (77.6).194Diuretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7).425SBP, mmHg141.5 \pm 14.4144.9 \pm 16.1.064DBP, mmHg82.9 \pm 10.784.0 \pm 11.2.390MAP, mmHg102.4 \pm 10.2104.3 \pm 11.1.140Dialysis doseweekly total Ccr71.8 \pm 11.772.8 \pm 15.5.349Weekly total Ccr36.7 \pm 10.734.6 \pm 10.5.609Weekly total K ₄ /V _{urea} 0.6 \pm 0.10.7 \pm 0.2.855Residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m²)5.3 \pm 1.04.8 \pm 0.9.386PET at baseline0.7 \pm 0.10.7 \pm 0.2.542Laboratory variablesLeukocyte, \times 10 ⁹ /L5.9 \pm 1.86.7 \pm 2.2<.001	B-blockers. n (%)	73 (51.0)	77 (52.0)	.442
Diuretic, n (%)38 (25.7)31 (21.7).425SBP, mmHg141.5 \pm 14.4144.9 \pm 16.1.064DBP, mmHg82.9 \pm 10.784.0 \pm 11.2.390MAP, mmHg102.4 \pm 10.2104.3 \pm 11.1.140Dialysis dose	CCB. n (%)	105 (70.9)	111 (77.6)	.194
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Diuretic, n (%)	38 (25.7)	31 (21.7)	.425
DBP, mmHg 82.9 ± 10.7 84.0 ± 11.2 .390 MAP, mmHg 102.4 ± 10.2 104.3 ± 11.1 .140 Dialysis dose weekly total Ccr 71.8 ± 11.7 72.8 ± 15.5 .349 Weekly total Ccr 36.7 ± 10.7 34.6 ± 10.5 .609 Weekly total K ₄ /V _{urea} 2.5 ± 0.6 2.1 ± 0.6 .354 Weekly peritoneal K ₄ /V _{urea} 0.6 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 .855 Residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) 5.3 ± 1.0 4.8 ± 0.9 .386 PET at baseline 0.7 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 .542 Laboratory variables Leukocyte, $\times 10^{9}/L$ 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 <.001	SBP. mmHa	141.5 ± 14.4	144.9 ± 16.1	.064
Date	DBP, mmHa	82.9 ± 10.7	84.0+11.2	.390
Dialysis dose 10.10 \pm 10.10	MAP, mmHq	102.4 ± 10.2	104.3 ± 11.1	.140
Weekly total Ccr 71.8 ± 11.7 72.8 ± 15.5 $.349$ Weekly total K ₄ /V _{urea} 2.5 ± 0.6 2.1 ± 0.6 $.354$ Weekly total K ₄ /V _{urea} 0.6 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 $.855$ Residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) 5.3 ± 1.0 4.8 ± 0.9 $.386$ PET at baseline 0.7 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 $.542$ Laboratory variables $Leukocyte, \times 10^{9}/L$ 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 $.001$ Erythrocyte, $\times 10^{12}/L$ 3.3 ± 0.6 3.5 ± 0.7 $.012$ Hemoglobin, g/L 95.5 ± 16.8 101.4 ± 19.3 $.006$ Serum albumin, g/L 34.7 ± 5.3 36.9 ± 5.2 $.001$ Total cholesterol, mmol/L 5.2 ± 1.8 4.3 ± 1.1 $.001$ Serum triglyceride, mmol/L 2.4 ± 0.6 1.0 ± 0.3 $.001$ HDL-C, mmol/L 1.1 ± 0.2 1.2 ± 0.3 $.390$ LDL-C, mmol/L 2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.5 $.363$ Phosphorus, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 $.706$ Potassium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 $.335$	Dialvsis dose	10211 2 1012	10110 - 1111	
Weekly kidney Ccr 36.7 ± 10.7 34.6 ± 10.5 609 Weekly total K ₄ /V _{urea} 2.5 ± 0.6 2.1 ± 0.6 $.354$ Weekly peritoneal K ₄ /V _{urea} 0.6 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 $.855$ Residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) 5.3 ± 1.0 4.8 ± 0.9 $.386$ PET at baseline 0.7 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 $.542$ Laboratory variables 10.7 ± 0.2 $.542$ Laboratory variables 10.7 ± 0.2 $.542$ Laboratory variables 10.7 ± 0.2 $.542$ Leukocyte, $\times 10^{12}/L$ 3.3 ± 0.6 3.5 ± 0.7 $.012$ Hemoglobin, g/L 95.5 ± 16.8 101.4 ± 19.3 $.006$ Serum albumin, g/L 34.7 ± 5.3 36.9 ± 5.2 $<.001$ Total cholesterol, mmol/L 5.2 ± 1.8 4.3 ± 1.1 $<.001$ Serum triglyceride, mmol/L 2.4 ± 0.6 1.0 ± 0.3 $<.001$ HDL-C, mmol/L 1.1 ± 0.2 1.2 ± 0.3 $.390$ LDL-C, mmol/L 3.5 ± 0.6 2.6 ± 0.5 $.023$ Calcium, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 $.706$ Potassium, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 $.706$ Potassium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 $.335$ Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 $.150$ Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 $.397$ Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 $.137$ Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 $422.3 $	Weekly total Ccr	71.8 ± 11.7	72.8 + 15.5	.349
Workly total K ₄ /VureaConst \pm 1.01Const \pm 1.01	Weekly kidney Ccr	36.7 ± 10.7	34.6 ± 10.5	609
Horsy basis Lis ± 0.6 Lis ± 0.6 Lis ± 0.6 Lis ± 0.6 Weekly peritoneal K _V /V _{urea} 0.6 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 .855 Residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) 5.3 ± 1.0 4.8 ± 0.9 .386 PET at baseline 0.7 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 .542 Laboratory variables Leukocyte, $\times 10^{9}/L$ 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 <.001	Weekly total K _* /V _{uroa}	2.5 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.6	354
A costs of primaCosts of the formCosts of the formCosts of the formResidual GFR (ml/min/1.73m²) 5.3 ± 1.0 4.8 ± 0.9 .386PET at baseline 0.7 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 .542Laboratory variablesLeukocyte, $\times 10^9/L$ 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 <.001	Weekly peritoneal K _* V _{urea}	0.6 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.2	.855
PET at baseline 0.7 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.2 $.542$ Laboratory variablesLeukocyte, $\times 10^9/L$ 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 $<.001$ Erythrocyte, $\times 10^{12}/L$ 3.3 ± 0.6 3.5 ± 0.7 $.012$ Hemoglobin, g/L 95.5 ± 16.8 101.4 ± 19.3 $.006$ Serum albumin, g/L 34.7 ± 5.3 36.9 ± 5.2 $<.001$ Total cholesterol, mmol/L 5.2 ± 1.8 4.3 ± 1.1 $<.001$ Serum triglyceride, mmol/L 2.4 ± 0.6 1.0 ± 0.3 $<.001$ HDL-C, mmol/L 1.1 ± 0.2 1.2 ± 0.3 $.390$ LDL-C, mmol/L 2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.5 $.363$ Phosphorus, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 $.706$ Potassium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 $.335$ Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 $.150$ Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 $.397$ Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 $.137$ Uric acid, umol/L 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) $.015$ Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) $.022$ Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Residual GER (ml/min/1,73m ²)	5.3 ± 1.0	4.8 ± 0.9	.386
Laboratory variablesLaw 2.17Laboratory variablesLaboratory variables 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 <.001	PET at baseline	0.7 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.2	.542
Leukocyte, $\times 10^9/L$ 5.9 ± 1.8 6.7 ± 2.2 $<.001$ Erythrocyte, $\times 10^{12}/L$ 3.3 ± 0.6 3.5 ± 0.7 $.012$ Hemoglobin, g/L 95.5 ± 16.8 101.4 ± 19.3 $.006$ Serum albumin, g/L 34.7 ± 5.3 36.9 ± 5.2 $<.001$ Total cholesterol, mmol/L 5.2 ± 1.8 4.3 ± 1.1 $<.001$ Serum triglyceride, mmol/L 2.4 ± 0.6 1.0 ± 0.3 $<.001$ HDL-C, mmol/L 1.1 ± 0.2 1.2 ± 0.3 $.390$ LDL-C, mmol/L 2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.5 $.363$ Phosphorus, mmol/L 2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.5 $.363$ Phosphorus, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 $.706$ Potassium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 $.335$ Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 $.150$ Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 $.397$ Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 $.137$ Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 $.214$ OutcomesEarly peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) $.015$ Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) $.022$ Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Laboratory variables	011 - 011	011 - 012	10.12
Explored to the second seco	Leukocyte $\times 10^{9}$ /l	5.9 ± 1.8	6.7 + 2.2	< .001
Linkoyaci Arto ArtSo ± 50.6 So ± 10.7 So ± 10.7 Hemoglobin, g/L 95.5 ± 16.8 101.4 ± 19.3 .006Serum albumin, g/L 34.7 ± 5.3 36.9 ± 5.2 <.001	Ervthrocyte, $\times 10^{12}/l$	3.3 ± 0.6	3.5 ± 0.7	.012
Serum albumin, g/L 34.7 ± 5.3 36.9 ± 5.2 $<.001$ Total cholesterol, mmol/L 5.2 ± 1.8 4.3 ± 1.1 $<.001$ Serum triglyceride, mmol/L 2.4 ± 0.6 1.0 ± 0.3 $<.001$ HDL-C, mmol/L 1.1 ± 0.2 1.2 ± 0.3 $.390$ LDL-C, mmol/L 3.5 ± 0.6 2.6 ± 0.5 $.023$ Calcium, mmol/L 2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.5 $.363$ Phosphorus, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 $.706$ Potassium, mmol/L 4.1 ± 0.7 4.1 ± 0.6 $.856$ Sodium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 $.335$ Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 $.150$ Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 $.397$ Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 $.137$ Uric acid, umol/L 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) $.015$ Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) $.022$ Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Hemoglobin g/l	95.5 ± 16.8	101.4 ± 19.3	006
Total cholesterol, mmol/L 5.2 ± 1.8 4.3 ± 1.1 $<.001$ Serum triglyceride, mmol/L 2.4 ± 0.6 1.0 ± 0.3 $<.001$ HDL-C, mmol/L 1.1 ± 0.2 1.2 ± 0.3 $.390$ LDL-C, mmol/L 3.5 ± 0.6 2.6 ± 0.5 $.023$ Calcium, mmol/L 2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.5 $.363$ Phosphorus, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 $.706$ Potassium, mmol/L 4.1 ± 0.7 4.1 ± 0.6 $.856$ Sodium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 $.335$ Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 $.150$ Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 $.397$ Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 $.137$ Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 $.214$ Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) $.015$ Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) $.022$ Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Serum albumin, g/L	34.7 ± 5.3	36.9 ± 5.2	< .001
Serum triglyceride, mmol/L 2.4 ± 0.6 1.0 ± 0.3 <.001	Total cholesterol mmol/l	52+18	4.3 ± 1.1	< 001
HDL-C, mmol/L 1.1 ± 0.2 1.2 ± 0.3 .390 LDL-C, mmol/L 3.5 ± 0.6 2.6 ± 0.5 .023 Calcium, mmol/L 2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.5 .363 Phosphorus, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 .706 Potassium, mmol/L 4.1 ± 0.7 4.1 ± 0.6 .856 Sodium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 .335 Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 .150 Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 .397 Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 .137 Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 .214 Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) .015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Serum trialvceride, mmol/l	2.4 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.3	<.001
LDL-C, mmol/L 3.5 ± 0.6 2.6 ± 0.5 .023 Calcium, mmol/L 2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.5 .363 Phosphorus, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 .706 Potassium, mmol/L 4.1 ± 0.7 4.1 ± 0.6 .856 Sodium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 .335 Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 .150 Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 .397 Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 .137 Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 .214 Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) .015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	HDI -C. mmol/l	1.1 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.3	.390
Calcium, mmol/L 2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.5 .363 Phosphorus, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 .706 Potassium, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 .706 Potassium, mmol/L 4.1 ± 0.7 4.1 ± 0.6 .856 Sodium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 .335 Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 .150 Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 .397 Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 .137 Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 .214 Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) .015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	I DI -C mmol/l	35 ± 0.6	26 ± 0.5	023
Phosphorus, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 .706 Potassium, mmol/L 1.5 ± 0.4 1.5 ± 0.5 .706 Potassium, mmol/L 4.1 ± 0.7 4.1 ± 0.6 .856 Sodium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 .335 Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 .150 Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 .397 Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 .137 Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 .214 Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) .015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Calcium mmol/l	22 ± 0.3	34+05	363
Potassium, mmol/L 4.1 ± 0.7 4.1 ± 0.6 856 Sodium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 335 Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 150 Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 397 Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 137 Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 214 Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) 015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) 022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Phosphorus mmol/l	15+04	15+05	706
Sodium, mmol/L 151.1 ± 29.1 142.3 ± 23.1 .335 Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 .150 Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 .397 Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 .137 Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 .214 Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) .015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Potassium mmol/l	41+07	41 ± 0.6	856
Alkaline phosphatase, U/L 97.3 ± 17.1 79.0 ± 10.1 .150 Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 .397 Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 .137 Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 .214 Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) .015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Sodium mmol/l	151.1 ± 29.1	1423 ± 231	335
Autamic products, or 0.5 ± 1.11 12.5 ± 12.11 13.5 ± 11.11 Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L 17.7 ± 6.7 20.7 ± 12.0 $.397$ Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 $.137$ Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 $.214$ Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) $.015$ Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) $.022$ Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Alkaline phosphatase 11/1	97.3 ± 17.1	79.0 ± 10.1	150
Serum creatinine, umol/L 759.9 ± 212.6 718.0 ± 215.7 .137 Uric acid, umol/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 .214 Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) .015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Blood urea nitrogen mmol/l	17.7 ± 6.7	20.7 ± 12.0	397
Uric acid, umo/L 408.8 ± 99.0 422.3 ± 85.9 .214 Outcomes Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) .015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Serum creatinine umol/l	759.9 ± 212.6	718.0 ± 215.7	137
Outcomes 400.0 ± 0		108.8±00.0	122 3 ± 85 9	21/
Early peritonitis 28 (18.9) 10 (7.0) .015 Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018		$\pm 00.0 \pm 33.0$	TLL.0 ± 00.0	.214
Overall mortality 23 (16.1) 11 (7.4) .022 Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Farly peritonitie	28 (18 0)	10 (7 0)	015
Technical failure 47 (31.8) 20 (14.0) 018	Overall mortality	23 (16.1)	11 (7.0)	010
	Technical failure	47 (31.8)	20 (14.0)	.018

ACEI/ARB = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/ angiotensin receptor blocker, BMI = body mass index, CCB = calcium channel blockers, CRP = c-reactive protein, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, GFR = glomerular filtration rate, HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, iPTH = intact parathyroid hormone, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, MAP = mean arterial pressure, PET = peritoneal equilibration test, SBP = systolic blood pressure.

triglyceride levels. The mean age was 53.0 ± 14.1 years. The mean and median baseline values for observed serum triglyceride levels were 1.7 and 1.4 mmol/L, respectively. Patients with elevated serum triglycerides had higher levels of total cholesterol and LDL-C, whereas they had lower hemoglobin levels and serum albumin concentrations. However, there was no difference in demographic data, comorbidities or drug usage between the two groups. Nevertheless, the achieved dialysis adequacy and residual glomerular filtration rate (GFR) at baseline were not different according to triglyceride categorization.

3.2. TG as a predictor of the primary end point

In this study, PD peritonitis occurred in 38 patients within six months after the initiation of CAPD. In addition, 21 peritonitis episodes involved gram-positive organisms, 12 episodes involved gram-negative organisms, 3 episodes were culture-negative, and 2 episodes involved fungal organisms. A much higher incidence of early-onset PD peritonitis was found in the TG \geq 1.4 mmol/L group than in the TG < 1.4 mmol/L group (18.9% vs 7.0%). The independent risk factors for PD peritonitis were determined by Lasso logistic regression analysis. Each colored line represents a variable in the model. Among the 37 variables, TGs and age (coefficients = 0.139 and 0.011, respectively, Fig. 2) had nonzero coefficients and were selected in the final model for PD peritonitis. Moreover, a higher TG value was associated with early PD peritonitis (OR=2.10; 95% CI, 1.01 to 4.35; P=.048) in the fully adjusted model when the TG level was analyzed as a categorical variable. For predicting PD peritonitis, the AUC of TGs for the initiation of CAPD was 0.728 (Fig. 3A). A cutoff of 1.6 mmol/L yielded good specificity (73.5%) and sensitivity (58.6%).

3.3. The association between serum TG and secondary outcomes

After a median follow-up of 24.4 months, 34 all-cause deaths occurred, 33 patients switched to hemodialysis therapy for any reason, and 9 patients underwent kidney transplantation. Among the 34 patients who died, the causes of death were as follows: 15 cardiovascular disease, 5 peritonitis and 14 unknown causes or other causes. The reasons for switching to hemodialysis included 18 cases of peritonitis, 5 cases of inadequate dialysis, 4 cases of mechanical malfunction and 6 cases for other reasons.

Moreover, a level of TG \geq 1.4 mmol/L at the initiation of CAPD was associated with a significantly increased probability of technical failure (HR, 1.30; 95% CI, 1.09 to 2.19, P=0.043) and overall mortality (HR, 2.33; 95% CI, 1.16–4.72, P=.018) (Table 2 and Fig. 4). In the prespecified subgroup analysis, patients with TG \geq 1.4 mmol/L had a higher risk of overall mortality and technical failure than patients with TG < 1.4 mmol/L in some subgroups. Moreover, the AUC of TG for technical







failure and overall mortality were 0.650 and 0.732, respectively (Fig. 3A-B).

4. Discussion

In the current study, the results revealed an association between serum TG levels and the incidence of PD peritonitis and mortality in CAPD patients. When the patients were divided into two groups based on TG levels, the incidence of PD peritonitis, the rate of technical failure and mortality were much higher in the elevated TGs group than in the lower TGs group after adjustment for a variety of other clinical and laboratory variables. In summary, our data suggest that serum TGs measured at the initiation of CAPD may be a good predictor for identifying patients at high risk of PD peritonitis and mortality.

PD-related peritonitis, as one of the most common complications during PD therapy, has been reported to contribute directly or indirectly to ~ 20% of PD technique failures and 2% to 6% of deaths.^[13,14] Several risk factors have been identified in previous studies, including BMI, albumin, obesity, educational status, smoking and so on.^[15–17] However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated the relationship between lipids and peritonitis. In the current study, since Lasso logistic regression can reduce the estimation variance while providing a more Table 2

	Unadjusted		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
TG levels	HR (95% CI)	Р						
For overall mortality								
Continuous	1.54 (1.19-1.99)	<.001	1.35 (1.17-1.56)	<.001	1.26 (1.13-1.40)	<.001	1.22 (1.10-1.35)	<.001
hypertriglyceridemia	2.22 (1.10-4.50)	.027	1.81 (0.85-3.82)	.123	1.38 (0.26-5.22)	.633	1.26 (0.32-4.89)	.739
$TG \ge 1.4 mmol/L$	2.16 (1.05-4.43)	.001	1.82 (1.61-2.07)	.029	1.48 (1.20-1.84)	.035	1.30 (1.09-2.19)	.043
For technical failure								
Continuous	1.28 (1.08-1.51)	.004	1.18 (1.04–1.33)	.012	1.13 (1.02-1.25)	.022	1.04 (1.01-1.06)	.031
hypertriglyceridemia	1.44 (0.84-2.47)	.189	1.38 (0.79-2.42)	.263	1.26 (0.45-3.52)	.670	1.11 (0.37-3.32)	.846
$TG \ge 1.4 \text{mmol/L}$	2.37 (1.40-4.02)	<.001	2.49 (1.46-4.24)	.001	2.30 (1.21-4.39)	.012	2.33 (1.16–4.72)	.018

	Cox	proportional	hazards a	nalvsis for	overall	mortality	and t	technical	failure
--	-----	--------------	-----------	-------------	---------	-----------	-------	-----------	---------

95%CI=95% confidence index, HR = hazard ratio, TG = triglyceride.

Adjustment in Model 1: age, sex, major comorbid conditions (diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease) and medication use (ACEI/ARB, β-blockers, CCB and Statin/fibrate); Model 2: Model 1 plus malnutrition and inflammation indices that included BMI, MAP, serum total cholesterol, HDL-C, LDL-C, serum albumin, ferritin, CRP levels and serum electrolyte; Model 3 (fully adjusted model): Model 2 plus residual GFR and dialysis dose (total and peritoneal Kt/V_{urea}).

accurate and interpretable final model than the traditional stepwise logistic regression analysis,^[12] we used the Lasso logistic regression model to identify independent risk factors for early-onset peritonitis, and TGs were finally selected. Although the precise mechanisms responsible for this significant association have not been well established, it could be proposed that the presence of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction might underlie this relationship.^[18] In addition, a recent study conducted with an untreated hyperlipidemic rat model found a

positive correlation between TG levels and serum adenosine deaminase activity, which in fact is described as an unspecific marker of cell-mediated immunity, immune cell activation and inflammation.^[19,20] Moreover, this correlation between TG levels and adenosine deaminase activity has also been corroborated in humans.^[21]

A bidirectional relationship between serum TG levels and CKD has been suggested by recent studies. Dyslipidemia is common in patients with CKD, especially in patients with ESRD, and is



Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier estimates of technical survival, overall survival, and subgroup analyses at the second interim analysis in all participants in this study. Shown are hazard ratios and number of event among TG \geq 1.4mmol/L group and TG < 1.4mmol/L group.

characterized by high TG and reduced HDL-C levels.^[10] On the other hand, there was a significant trend towards deteriorating renal function with increases in triglyceride level categories in a retrospective study of 3748 hospital-based type 2 diabetes mellitus patients, and the researchers further concluded that plasma TGs were an independent risk factor for CKD according to multiple logistic regression after adjustment for other confounders.^[22] A similar conclusion has also been drawn in another study. Kazuhiko et al conducted a prospective longitudinal cohort study of 117,279 Japanese people and found a robust and consistent association between serum TG levels and the reduction in eGFR and the incidence and progression of CKD.^[23] In contrast, a recent study demonstrated that lipidlowering therapy prior to hospital admission may improve clinical outcomes and be associated with shorter hospital stays, lower renal replacement therapy requirements and mortality in critically ill patients.^[24] However, the role of TGs in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease mortality and the role of lipid-modifying medications in dialysis patients remain uncertain. In patients with hemodialysis, there have been limited studies investigating the association between serum TG levels and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. In the first study on maintenance hemodialysis, serum TGs exhibited a U-shaped relationship,^[25] whereas in two later studies, the opposite conclusion was reached.^[26,27] Moreover, Arsalan et al demonstrated that serum TGs may be a direct predictor of death in a retrospective study of 1053 chronic PD patients, and they further concluded that treatment of hypertriglyceridemia may be warranted individuals with triglyceride levels >200 mg/dl.[11] A similar conclusion was also made in another study.^[28] Our data added evidence that serum TG levels were a significant determinant of mortality and technical failure, which means that each 1 mmol/L increase in TG leads to a 22% increase in the risk of overall mortality and a 4% increase in the risk of technical failure. However, a recent cohort study detected serum TG levels regularly over a 10-year follow-up and demonstrated an association between lower TG levels and higher all-cause mortality in 749 incident PD patients.^[29] These paradoxical conclusions may be the reason guidelines regarding the management of lipids in patients with dialysis are still obscure.

This study should be considered in the context of a few inevitable limitations. First, this was a single-center study of 291 patients, and the relatively small sample size and short duration of follow-up may weaken the conclusion. Second, we did not account for changes in TG levels during the follow-up since patients may have undergone lipid-lowering therapy. Furthermore, we did not detect other inflammatory or endothelial markers, which may be used to explore the potential mechanisms.

In summary, the present study demonstrated that serum TG levels measured at the initiation of PD therapy may be an independent predictor of early-onset PD peritonitis and mortality in CAPD patients. Further investigations are needed to identify their utility for dialysis patients and explore the potential mechanisms.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Hongdan Tian, Yanmin Zhang. Data curation: Sheng Wan, Yanqiong Ding, Yanmin Zhang. Formal analysis: Sheng Wan, Yanmin Zhang. Funding acquisition: Yanmin Zhang. Investigation: Sheng Wan, Hongdan Tian, Li Cheng, Yanqiong Ding, Yanmin Zhang.

Methodology: Sheng Wan, Li Cheng, Yanmin Zhang.

Project administration: Yanmin Zhang.

- Resources: Hongdan Tian, Li Cheng, Yanqiong Ding, Qing Luo.
- Software: Sheng Wan.
- Supervision: Sheng Wan, Yanmin Zhang.
- Validation: Sheng Wan, Yanmin Zhang.
- Visualization: Hongdan Tian, Yanmin Zhang.
- Writing original draft: Sheng Wan, Hongdan Tian, Qing Luo, Yanmin Zhang.
- Writing review & editing: Sheng Wan, Li Cheng, Yanmin Zhang.

References

- Moncrief JW. The birth and development of continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Contrib Nephrol 2017;189:85–90.
- [2] Mehrotra R, Devuyst O, Davies SJ, et al. The current state of peritoneal dialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol 2016;27:3238–52.
- [3] Htay H, Cho Y, Pascoe EM, et al. Center effects and peritoneal dialysis peritonitis outcomes: Analysis of a national registry. Am J Kidney Dis 2018;71:814–21.
- [4] Hsu CK, Lee CC, Chen YT, et al. Multidisciplinary predialysis education reduces incidence of peritonitis and subsequent death in peritoneal dialysis patients: 5-Year cohort study. PLoS One 2018;13:e202781.
- [5] Moloi MW, Kajawo S, Noubiap JJ, et al. Prevalence of peritonitis and mortality in patients treated with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) in Africa: A protocol for a systematic review and metaanalysis. BMJ Open 2018;8:e20464.
- [6] Ong LM, Ch'Ng CC, Wee HC, et al. Risk of peritoneal Dialysis-Related peritonitis in a Multi-Racial asian population. Perit Dial Int 2017;37:35–43.
- [7] Pecoits-Filho R, Yabumoto FM, Campos LG, et al. Peritonitis as a risk factor for long-term cardiovascular mortality in peritoneal dialysis patients: The case of a friendly fire? Nephrology (Carlton) 2018;23:253–8.
- [8] Ndayizeye L, Ngarambe C, Smart B, et al. Peritonitis in Rwanda: Epidemiology and risk factors for morbidity and mortality. Surgery 2016;160:1645–56.
- [9] Reiner Z. Hypertriglyceridaemia and risk of coronary artery disease. Nat Rev Cardiol 2017;14:401–11.
- [10] Kaysen GA. New insights into lipid metabolism in chronic kidney disease. J Ren Nutr 2011;21:120–3.
- [11] Habib AN, Baird BC, Leypoldt JK, et al. The association of lipid levels with mortality in patients on chronic peritoneal dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2006;21:2881–92.
- [12] Battersby NJ, Bouliotis G, Emmertsen KJ, et al. Development and external validation of a nomogram and online tool to predict bowel dysfunction following restorative rectal cancer resection: The POLARS score. Gut 2018;67:688–96.
- [13] Hu S, Ming P, Qureshi AR, et al. Peritonitis: Episode sequence, microbiological variation, risk factors and clinical outcomes in a north china peritoneal dialysis center. Kidney Blood Press Res 2018;43:1573–84.
- [14] Boudville N, Kemp A, Clayton P, et al. Recent peritonitis associates with mortality among patients treated with peritoneal dialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol 2012;23:1398–405.
- [15] See EJ, Johnson DW, Hawley CM, et al. Early peritonitis and its outcome in incident peritoneal dialysis patients. Perit Dial Int 2017.
- [16] Gadola L, Poggi C, Dominguez P, et al. Risk factors and prevention of peritoneal Dialysis-Related peritonitis. Perit Dial Int 2019;39:119–25.
- [17] Wu H, Huang R, Yi C, et al. Risk factors for Early-Onset peritonitis in southern chinese peritoneal dialysis patients. Perit Dial Int 2016;36:640–6.
- [18] Libby P, Ridker PM, Hansson GK. Progress and challenges in translating the biology of atherosclerosis. Nature 2011;473:317–25.
- [19] Gao ZW, Zhao GH, Zhang Z, et al. Serum adenosine deaminase activity is increased in systemic lupus erythematosus patients and correlated with disease activity. Immunol Res 2018;66:299–304.
- [20] Vinapamula KS, Pemmaraju SV, Bhattaram SK, et al. Serum adenosine deaminase as inflammatory marker in rheumatoid arthritis. J Clin Diagn Res 2015;9:C8–10.
- [21] Kutryb-Zajac B, Mateuszuk L, Zukowska P, et al. Increased activity of vascular adenosine deaminase in atherosclerosis and therapeutic potential of its inhibition. Cardiovasc Res 2016;112:590–605.

- [22] Zaman SB, Karim MA, Hossain N, et al. Plasma triglycerides as a risk factor for chronic kidney disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus: Evidence from northeastern Thailand. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2018;138:238–45.
- [23] Tsuruya K, Yoshida H, Nagata M, et al. Association of hypertriglyceridemia with the incidence and progression of chronic kidney disease and modification of the association by daily alcohol consumption. J Ren Nutr 2017;27:381–94.
- [24] Malbouisson I, Quinto BM, Durao JM, et al. Lipid profile and statin use in critical care setting: Implications for kidney outcome. Einstein (Sao Paulo) 2019;17:O4399.
- [25] Kilpatrick RD, McAllister CJ, Kovesdy CP, et al. Association between serum lipids and survival in hemodialysis patients and impact of race. J Am Soc Nephrol 2007;18:293–303.
- [26] Postorino M, Marino C, Tripepi G, et al. Abdominal obesity modifies the risk of hypertriglyceridemia for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int 2011;79:765–72.
- [27] Moradi H, Abhari P, Streja E, et al. Association of serum lipids with outcomes in Hispanic hemodialysis patients of the West versus East Coasts of the United States. Am J Nephrol 2015;41:284–95.
- [28] Goldfarb-Rumyantzev AS, Habib AN, Baird BC, et al. The association of lipid-modifying medications with mortality in patients on long-term peritoneal dialysis. Am J Kidney Dis 2007; 50:791–802.
- [29] Park CH, Kang EW, Park JT, et al. Association of serum lipid levels over time with survival in incident peritoneal dialysis patients. J Clin Lipidol 2017;11:945–54.