microbial biotechnology

Open Access

Flagellar region 3b supports strong expression of integrated DNA and the highest chromosomal integration efficiency of the *Escherichia coli* flagellar regions

Mario Juhas* and James W. Ajioka

Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, CB2 1QP Cambridge, UK.

Summary

The Gram-negative bacterium Escherichia coli is routinely used as the chassis for a variety of biotechnology and synthetic biology applications. Identification and analysis of reliable chromosomal integration and expression target loci is crucial for E. coli engineering. Chromosomal loci differ significantly in their ability to support integration and expression of the integrated genetic circuits. In this study, we investigate E. coli K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 and 3b. Integration of the genetic circuit into seven and nine highly conserved genes of the flagellar regions 2 (motA, motB, flhD, flhE, cheW, cheY and cheZ) and 3b (fliE, F, G, J, K, L, M, P, R), respectively, showed significant variation in their ability to support chromosomal integration and expression of the integrated genetic circuit. While not reducing the growth of the engineered strains, the integrations into all 16 target sites led to the loss of motility. In addition to high expression, the flagellar region 3b supports the highest efficiency of integration of all E. coli K12 MG1655 flagellar regions and is therefore potentially the most suitable for the integration of synthetic genetic circuits.

Introduction

The Gram-negative model bacterium *Escherichia coli* is capable of thriving in a wide variety of environments (Juhas *et al.*, 2014a). Easily amenable to genetic manipulations, *E. coli* strain K-12 is among the most frequently used hosts for cloning and the intermediate and the final destination chassis for engineering large DNA fragments.

Received 29 March, 2015; revised 5 May, 2015; accepted 6 May, 2015. *For correspondence. E-mail mj417@cam.ac.uk; Tel. (+44) (0)1223 333923; Fax (+44) (0) 1223 333346. *Microbial Biotechnology* (2015) **8**(4), 726–738 doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12296 **Funding Information** M.J. and J.W.A. are supported by EPSRC.

Escherichia coli K-12 is also important for a number of industrial applications, biomanufacturing and metabolic engineering (Ajikumar *et al.*, 2010; Zhang *et al.*, 2010; Clomburg and Gonzalez, 2011; Yim *et al.*, 2011; Zhou *et al.*, 2012). With the advent of synthetic biology, *E. coli* K-12 has become one of the most frequently used synthetic biology host organisms (Juhas *et al.*, 2013; 2014a; Juhas, 2015).

Introduction of the synthetic DNA fragments into the E. coli genome by chromosomal integration has many advantages over the plasmid-borne transformation (Cunningham et al., 2009; Marcellin et al., 2010). Furthermore, integration into the chromosome could be exploited for heterologous protein expression, particularly for expression of toxic proteins in E. coli. Work on plasmids has shown that regulation of expression is tighter when the copy number is low (Anthony et al., 2004; Guan et al., 2013). The frequently used methods of the E. coli chromosomal integration include the integrase-mediated recombination between the phage attachment sites (att) (St-Pierre et al., 2013) and the λ bacteriophage Red recombinase-mediated recombination employing knockin/knock-out (KIKO) vectors (Sabri et al., 2013), plasmid pSB1K3(FRTK) (Juhas et al., 2014b) and the yeast mitochondrial homing endonuclease I-Scel (Ublinskaya et al., 2012). Chromosomal integration target sites differ significantly in their ability to support integration and expression of the integrated genetic circuits (Juhas et al., 2014b). As the traditionally used att sites are missing in a number of industrially important E. coli strains, identification and validation of the reliable chromosomal integration target sites is crucial for E. coli engineering. Ideally, integration target sites should be well-characterized, non-essential, conserved and highly expressed (Fraser et al., 1999; Baba et al., 2006; Vora et al., 2009; Kahramanoglou et al., 2011; Juhas et al., 2014b). Genes encoding flagellar functions meet all these prerequisites (Juhas et al., 2014b). Previous analyses of the E. coli K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 3a and 1 led to the identification of only three potential integration target sites (Juhas et al., 2014b; Juhas and Ajioka, 2015). The identification and validation of alternative integration sites is crucial for the development of a robust synthetic biology toolkit (Juhas

© 2015 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and Ajioka, 2015). This is critical particularly for applications that require integrations of multiple genetic circuits into the chromosome. Here, we investigate the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 and 3b. Analysis of the seven and nine highly conserved genes of the flagellar regions 2 and 3b, respectively, revealed significant variability in their suitability for integration and expression of genetic circuits. Furthermore, we show that in addition to high expression, the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar region 3b supports highest efficiency of chromosomal integration of all *E. coli* flagellar regions.

Results and discussion

Integration target loci in the E. coli flagellar regions 2 and 3b

Identification of the reliable chromosomal integration target loci is crucial for engineering *E. coli* cells (Sabri *et al.*, 2013; Juhas *et al.*, 2014b). Chromosomal integration target sites should be well-characterized, conserved, nonessential and highly expressed (Fraser *et al.*, 1999; Baba *et al.*, 2006; Vora *et al.*, 2009; Kahramanoglou *et al.*, 2011; Juhas *et al.*, 2014b; Juhas, 2015). Genes encoding flagellar functions are considered to be among the best targets for integration of genetic circuits into the *E. coli* chromosome (Juhas *et al.*, 2014b). Previous studies investigating *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 3a (Juhas *et al.*, 2014b) and 1 (Juhas and Ajioka, 2015) led to the identification of three putative chromosomal integration target sites. Identification and validation of the alternative loci is important particularly for those biotechnology and synthetic biology applications that require integrations of multiple genetic circuits into *E. coli* chromosome.

Here, we investigate *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 and 3b. *Escherichia coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 (Fig. 1A) and 3b (Fig. 1B) show high probability of the RNA polymerase binding. This suggests that genetic circuits integrated into these regions will be strongly transcribed. *Escherichia coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 and 3b harbour 28 open reading frames (*flhA*, *flhB*, *flhC*, *flhD*, *flhE*, *motA*, *motB*, *cheA*, *cheB*,



Fig. 1. RNA polymerase binding to *E. coli* flagellar regions 2 and 3b. Figure depicts the probability of the RNA polymerase (RNA-Pol) binding (green peaks) to the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 genome regions 1957000-1980000 (A) and 2006000-2030000 (B). The investigated *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 (1962580-1978197) and 3b (2011038-2021702) show high probability of being occupied by RNA polymerase. Figure was created by uploading the ChIP-seq RNA-Pol data (Kahramanoglou *et al.*, 2011) to the UCSC genome browser for *E. coli* K12 MG1655.

Gene	Function	References
motA	Flagellar motor component	Mohawk et al., 2014; Takahashi and Ito, 2014
motB	Flagellar motor component	Reboul et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2014
flhD	Master regulator of flagellar genes	Chatterjee et al., 2009; Mitra et al., 2013
flhE	Proton influx regulator via T3SS	Lee and Harshey, 2012
cheW	Chemotaxis signal transduction	Cashman et al., 2013
cheY	Chemotaxis response regulator, clockwise flagellar rotation	Fraiberg et al., 2015
cheZ	Phosphatase, cheY dephosphorylation	Freeman <i>et al.</i> , 2011

Table 1. Integration target loci in the E. coli flagellar region 2.

cheR, cheW, cheY, cheZ, tar, fliE, fliF, fliG, fliH, fliI, fliJ, fliK, fliL, fliM, fliN, fliO, fliP, fliQ, fliR) (Fig. 1). Our investigation revealed that seven and five genes of the E. coli K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 and 3b, respectively, are not fitting for integration of genetic circuits because of low conservation or lack of suitable integration target sequences. The other seven genes of the flagellar region 2 (motA, motB, flhD, flhE, cheW, cheY, cheZ) (Table 1) and nine genes of the flagellar region 3b (fliE, fliF, fliG, fliJ, fliK, fliL, fliM, fliP, fliR) (Table 2) are highly conserved among E. coli strains, including industrially relevant strains, such as BL21-DE3, W3110, DH10B and MG1655. The function and location of the analysed chromosomal integration target loci in the E. coli K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 and 3b are shown in Fig. 1 and Tables 1 and 2. We have integrated the genetic circuit Repr-ts-1 (Fig. 2) harbouring thermosensitive lambda repressor into these loci using the modified lambda Red recombinase integration method (Juhas et al., 2014b).

High efficiency integration into E. coli flagellar region 3b

As *E. coli* chromosomal loci differ in their ability to support integration of genetic circuits (Juhas *et al.*, 2014b), we investigated the integration efficiency for each of the 16 target loci. Genetic circuit was integrated into the investigated target sites [*motA* (motAi), *motB* (motBi), *flhD* (flhDi), *flhE* (fhIEi), *cheW* (cheWi), *cheY* (cheYi), *cheZ* (cheZi)], *fliE* (fliEi), *fliF* (fliFi), *fliG* (fliGi), *fliJ* (fliJi), *fliK* (fliKi), *fliL* (fliLi), *fliM* (fliMi), *fliP* (fliPi) and *fliR* (fliRi)] (Figs 3 and 4) and the integration efficiency was determined from the number of colony-forming units per microgram of electroporated DNA. Chromosomal integrations were confirmed with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using flanking primers (Fig. S1) and sequencing. The primers used for the integration of the genetic circuit into the chromosome and the flanking primers are listed in Table 3. The investigated target loci differed significantly in their suitability to support integration of the genetic circuit. From the analysed genes of the E. coli K12 MG1655 flagellar region 2, the integration efficiency into motA (motAi) was highest (Fig. 5). From the E. coli K12 MG1655 flagellar region 3b, flik (fliki) supports the highest integration efficiency (Fig. 5). Notably, integrations into one and four loci of the flagellar regions 2 (motAi) and 3b (fliEi, fliJi, fliKi, fliRi), respectively, occurred with the higher efficiency than integrations into the previously examined flagellar regions 3a (Juhas et al., 2014b) and 1 (Juhas and Ajioka, 2015). Furthermore, integration efficiency into *fliK* (fliKi) was significantly higher than that of motA (motAi) (Fig. 5). Hence, the E. coli K12 MG1655 flagellar region 3b supports the highest efficiency of integration of all E. coli flagellar regions.

Integrations into flagellar regions 2 and 3b abolish motility

Flagellum is crucial for the motility of *E. coli* cells. Therefore, the disruptions of the flagellar functions-encoding genes usually have a negatively impact on motility (Juhas *et al.*, 2014b). Integrations into two genes of the previously analysed flagellar region 3a only reduced motility of the engineered strains when compared with the wild type (Juhas *et al.*, 2014b). We investigated the effect

Gene	Function	References
fliE	Flagellar basal body component	Dyszel <i>et al.</i> , 2010
fliF	Membrane and supramembrane (MS)-ring collar protein, flagellar basal body	Ogawa <i>et al.</i> , 2015
fliG	Flagellar motor switching	Lam <i>et al.</i> , 2012
fliJ	Flagellar protein export apparatus, rotor like function	Kishikawa <i>et al.</i> , 2013
fliK	Flagellar hook-length control	Aizawa, 2012
fliL	Flagellar motor output control	Partridge et al., 2015
fliM	Flagellar motor energizing	Delalez et al., 2014
fliP	Flagellar export apparatus	Boyd and Gober, 2001
fliR	Flagellar export apparatus	Minamino and Macnab, 1999

Table 2. Integration target loci in the E. coli flagellar region 3b.

IP1-p

GTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGATAAAAAAAATCCTTAGCTTTCGCTAAGGATGATTTCTGGAATTCGCGGCCGCTTCTAGAGAGATTGCAGCATTACACGT

Fig. 2. Genetic circuit Repr-ts-1. Figure shows sequence of the genetic circuit Repr-ts-1 integrated into the chromosome. IP1-p and IP2-p (integration primer parts); FRT (Flp recombinase target site); *KanR* (kanamycin resistance gene); Prom (promoter); RBS (ribosome binding site); *cl857* (temperature-sensitive lambda repressor); Term (terminator).

of the chromosomal integrations into the flagellar regions 2 and 3b by spotting $2 \mu l$ of the normalized overnight cultures of the engineered *E. coli* strains and *E. coli* K12 MG1655 wild type in the middle of the motility agar plates (Fig. 6). The motility of all strains harbouring integrations in the investigated genes of the flagellar regions 2 (Fig. 6A) and 3b (Fig. 6B) was completely abolished.

Integrations into flagellar regions do not have negative impact on the growth

As integrations of the synthetic genetic circuits into the E. coli chromosome should not negatively impact cell growth, target loci cannot be located within essential genes (Juhas et al., 2011; 2012a,b; 2014a). To assess the effect of chromosomal integrations into the seven investigated genes of the flagellar regions 2 (motA, motB, flhD, flhE, cheW, cheY and cheZ) and 3b (fliE, fliF, fliG, fliJ, fliK, fliL, fliM, fliP and fliR) on the growth rate, the absorbance of the engineered strains and K12 MG1655 wild type was measured with the microplate reader (Fluostar Omega). Integrations into all investigated genes of the flagellar regions 2 (Fig. S2) and 3b (Fig. S3) did not diminish growth rate when compared with the wild type at both 30°C and 37°C. This is consistent with previous results from flagellar regions 3a (Juhas et al., 2014b) and 1 (Juhas and Ajioka, 2015).

Transcription of the flagellar regions 2 and 3b

The relative transcription of the investigated genes of the flagellar regions 2 and 3b was measured by real-time

polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using arcA and rpoD as the reference housekeeping genes (Jandu et al., 2009; Minty et al., 2011). Real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) showed that the relative expression of four genes from both analysed flagellar regions 2 (motA, motB, flhD, cheY) and 3b (fliJ, fliK, fliL, fliM) was higher (twofold to fivefold) than the average expression of the housekeeping genes (Fig. 7A). The relative transcription of *fliG* was not significantly different, whereas the transcription of the remaining genes was lower than the mean expression of the housekeeping genes (Fig. 7A). The transcription of the genetic circuit integrated into motA (motAi), motB (motBi), flhD (flhDi), flhE (fhlEi), cheW (cheWi), cheY (cheYi) and cheZ (cheZi) of the flagellar region 2 and fliE (fliEi), fliF (fliFi), fliG (fliGi), fliJ (fliJi), fliK (fliKi), fliL (fliLi), fliM (fliMi), fliP (fliPi) and fliR (fliRi) of the flagellar region 3b measured by RT-PCR was high at all analysed loci (Fig. 7B). From the flagellar region 2, highest expressed (8- to 11-fold higher than the housekeeping genes) was the genetic circuit integrated into motA (motAi), motB (motBi) and flhD (flhDi) (Fig. 7B). The expression at *flhE* (fhlEi), *cheW* (cheWi) and *cheY* (cheYi) was fourfold to sixfold higher than the mean expression of the housekeeping genes (Fig. 7B). From the flagellar region 3b, highest expressed (8- to 13-fold higher than the housekeeping genes) was the genetic circuit integrated into fliJ (fliJAi), fliL (fliLi) and fliR (fliRi) (Fig. 7B). The expression at the remaining loci of the flagellar region 3b was sixfold to eightfold higher than the mean expression of the housekeeping genes (Fig. 7B). Such strong expression of the genetic circuit integrated into this flagellar region is interesting, particularly when considering that

motAi

motBi

flhDi

ATGCATACCTCCGAGTTGCTGAAACACATTTATGACATCAACTTGTCATATTTACTACTTGCACAG*****AGCAAATTCATACCGGCATCATGCTCTCAACACGCT TGCTGAATGATGATGATGATCAGCCTGGAGAAGAGCGCTGCGCCAAGAAAAGGGCCTGA

flhEi

ATGAGAACCTTATTAGCAATATTATTGTTTCCGCTGCTGGTGCAAGCCG********GGCGGTTAATTCCACCGCTAAAGGTACAACGTAATGAAGTGATTGTGAATTAT CGCTGA

cheWi

cheYi

ATGGCGGATAAAGAACTTAAATTTTTGGTTGTGGATGACTTTTCCACCATGCGACGCATAGTGCGTAACCTGCTGAAAGAGCTGGGATTCAATAATGTTGAGGAAGC GGAAGATGGCGTCGACGCTCTCAATAAGTTGCAG*****CTATGTGGTGAAGCCATTTACCGCCGCGACGCTGGAGGAAAAACTCAACAAAATCTTTGAGAAACTGG GCATGTGA

Fig. 3. Escherichia coli flagellar region 2 integrations. Figure shows the sequences of the integration target sites in the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar region 2 (*motA* (motAi), *motB* (motBi), *flhD* (flhDi), *flhE* (flhEi), *cheW* (cheWi), *cheY* (cheYi). The exact positions within the target genes where the integrations occurred are highlighted with stars.

the flagellar region 3b shows lowest probability of being occupied by RNA polymerase (Fig. 1B). This suggests that other factors might be also important for the expression of the integrated synthetic DNA and shows that empirical characterization is necessary for engineering into integration sites. Expression of the integrated genetic circuit was determined by the quantitative measurement of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) and the red fluorescent protein (mCherry) fluorescence over time with the microplate reader (FLUOstar Omega). For this, we have used plasmids pSB1A1(GFP) and pSB1A1(mCh) harbouring GFP and mCherry, respectively, regulated by the pR promoter. Both GFP and mCherry were not expressed at permissive conditions for the repressor (30°C), while the temperature shift to 42°C set off GFP and mCherry expression (Figs S4-S7).

Conclusions

Flagellar regions are good targets for integration of genetic circuits into the *E. coli* chromosome. The identification of reliable target loci is crucial for building a robust synthetic biology toolkit and for *E. coli* bioengineering. Furthermore, it can be exploited for tighter regulation of expression of toxic proteins in *E. coli*. In this study, we have integrated genetic circuit into 16 well-conserved open reading frames of the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 (*motA*, *motB*, *flhD*, *flhE*, *cheW*, *cheY* and *cheZ*) and 3b (*fliE*, *fliF*, *fliG*, *fliJ*, *fliK*, *fliL*, *fliM*, *fliP* and *fliR*). The integrations into all target loci of these flagellar regions led to the loss of motility, but did not reduce the growth rate of the engineered strains. *Escherichia coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar gellar region 3b supports highest efficiency of integration

fliEi

fliFi

fliGi

fliJi

fliKi

fliLi

ATGACTGATTACGCGATAAGCAAGAAAAGCAAGCGATCGCTTTGGATCCCGATTCTGGTATTCATT*****TCAGGATGCTGCCGTACTGGCGACAGAAGAAGGCAA GAAAAACCTGATTGCCGAGATTAAAACCACACTTTCCACCCCGCTTGTTGCCGGGCAACCGAAACAGGATGTCACCGACGTGCTGTATACCGCTTTTATTCTGCGAT AA

fliMi

fliPi

fliRi

ATGTTGCAGGTGACAAGCGAACAATGGCTATCCTGGTTAAACCTGTACTTCTGGCCGTTACTGCGCGTGCTGGCGCTGATCTCCACCGCGCCGATTCTGAGCGAACG CAGCGTACCGAAACGGGTAAAACTGGGTCTGGCAATGATGATCACGTTCGCCATTGCCCATCATTACCTGCCAACGATGTTCCTGTTTTTCGTTCTTGCCTCTG GGCTGGCCGTGCAGCAGATCCTGATCGGCATTGGCCTTGGTTTTACCATGCAATTGCCCTTTGCCGCTGCGAACCGCTGGCGAAATTATCGGTCTGCAAATGGGG CTGTCATTTGCGACGTTTGCCATCCGGCCAGCCATCTTAATATGCCCGTTTAGCGGCGTACTGCTGGCGGTACTGCTGGCGTTACTGCTGTCTGAAATGGGTCA TTTATGGTTGATTTCACT*****GCCGTTAATTGCACCTTTTTGCGACACTTTATCCAGTGAAATTTTTAATTTGCTGGCGTAATTATCGGACATTGCCATTAAT ATAA

Fig. 4. Escherichia coli flagellar region 3b integrations. Figure shows the sequences of the integration target sites in the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar region 3b (*fliE* (fliEi), *fliF* (fliFi), *fliG* (fliGi), *fliJ* (fliGi), *fliJ* (fliGi), *fliK* (fliKi), *fliL* (fliLi), *fliM* (fliMi), *fliP* (fliPi). The exact positions within the target genes where the integrations occurred are highlighted with stars.

of all *E. coli* flagellar regions. Notably, the genetic circuit integrated into flagellar region 3b was also highly expressed although the probability of the RNA polymerase binding into this region is significantly lower than into

other flagellar regions. This suggests that other factors might also play a role in the expression of the integrated synthetic DNA. There appears to be a weak inverse correlation between the probability of RNA polymerase

Table 3. Primers used in this study.

Primer (Sequence $5' \rightarrow 3'$) motArepF: *motA* integration primer forward CTCCAAATACACCAAAGCAATGTATATGGATCTGCTGGCTCTGCTTTATCGGTTGATGGCGAAATCGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT motArepR: *motA* integration primer reverse

TTTCTGACGTAAAACAGTCGCTAATGGGGAAATAAATCCGTAAGCCAATAAAATGCCGAGGAAAGTCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG motBrepF: motB integration primer forward ACATGGATCGTGGAAGATTGCTTATGCCGACTTTATGACTGCGATGATGGCCTTTTTTCTGGTGATGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT motBrepR: motB integration primer reverse AATTTCCGCAATCGACTTTGCTCCATGCGTTTTTTCAGCTCTTCGATGTTCGGCTGCTTATTCACTCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG flhDrepF: flhD integration primer forward ATGCATACCTCCĞAGTTGCTGAAACACATTTATGACATCAACTTGTCATATTTACTACTTGCACAGGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT flhDrepR: flhD integration primer reverse CTTCTTCAGGCTGATTAACATCATTCAGCAAGCGTGTTGAGAGCATGATGACGGTATGAATTTGCTCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG flhErepF: *flhE* integration primer forward CAATTGGCGGCAAATAATGAGAAACCTTATTAGCAATATTATTGTTTCCGCTGCTGGTGCAAGCCGGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT flhErepR: flhE integration primer reverse TTGTCCTTCAGCGATAATTCACAATCACTTCATTACGTTGTACCTTTAGCGGTGGAATTAACCGCCCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG cheWrepF: cheW integration primer forward TACCCTTGGTGATGĂAGAGTACGGTATTGATATCCTGAAAGTGCAGGAGATCCGTGGCTACGATCAGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT cheWrepR: cheW integration primer reverse cheYrepF: cheY integration primer forward AAAGAGCTGGGATTCAATAATGTTGAGGAAGCGGAAGATGGCGTCGACGCTCTCAATAAGTTGCAGGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT cheYrepR: cheY integration primer reverse AGTTTCTCAAAGATTTTGTTGAGTTTTTCCTCCAGCGTCGCGGCGGTAAATGGCTTCACCACATAGCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG cheZrepF: cheZ integration primer forward cheZrepR: cheZ integration primer reverse TCAAAAATCCAAGACTATCCAACAAATCGTCCACCTGATCCTGACTGGCTACCACACCGGCTTTGCTCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG motArepTF: motA integration test primer forward GCGCTGCCGTTGCTĞTTTCG motArepTR: motA integration test primer reverse GCAGAGTGACTTTGACGCACTGCA motBrepTF: motB integration test primer forward CGTCAAACGACGCAAAGCCAAAAGC motBrepTR: motB integration test primer reverse GACGTAACGCCCGCAGTTTCG flhDrepTF: flhD integration test primer forward GCTTCCCGGCGAČATCACG flhDrepTR: flhD integration test primer reverse AGGCCCTTTTCTTĞCGCAGC flhErepTF: flhE integration test primer forward CTGTCTGATAACCGACATATCCGCATGACG flhErepTR: flhE integration test primer reverse CACTGAGTTATTAĂACATACTĊGCGAGCGC cheWrepTF: cheW integration test primer forward ACCGCCGCCTGAATGAGTAAAAAGG cheWrepTR: cheW integration test primer reverse CGGGAGAATTACGCCACTTCTGACG cheYrepTF: cheY integration test primer forward CCACCATGCGACGCATAGTGC cheYrepTR: cheY integration test primer reverse GCATCATAGTCGCATCCTCACATGCC cheZrepTF: cheZ integration test primer forward CACGACAATTTCTGGCAGATGTACCCG cheZrepTR: cheZ integration test primer reverse TCAGACCGCCTGATATGACGTGGT fliEreprF: fliE integration primer forward GTTÄAACGATGTGATGACCGATATGCAAAAAGCCTCAGTTTCTATGCAAATGGGGGATTCAGGTGCGGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT fliEreprR: fliE integration primer reverse fliFreprF: fliF integration primer forward ATTATTCAGCAATCTTTCCGATCAGGATGGTGGCGCAATTGTCAGCCAACTGACGCAAATGAATATGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT fliFreprR: fliF integration primer reverse CTTCAATACGCCGCTGAATACGGCCTTCGACATCGCTGGCATATTTCAACTGAGCGTCATTAAGATCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG fliGreprF: fliG integration primer forward GCCGCACTGAATATCAACGCCAACGATTATCTGCGCTCGGTATTGGTCAAAGCTCTGGGTGAAGAAGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT fliGreprR: fliG integration primer reverse CGCTGAATGCTGCGATCGTCGACATCCACCAGATTCTCGAACAGGAACATCTCGTCGATGATTTTCCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG fliJreprF: fliJ integration primer forward

Table 3. cont.

Primer (Sequence $5' \rightarrow 3'$)

TGCAAACGTTGTTTTTTTCTCGCCAACTGTTCAGGGCAATGTCAACTTTCTGCGTCCACTGATTACGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG
fliKreprF: fliK integration primer forward TATTGTTTCCGACGCGCAACAAGCTAATTTACTGATCCCTGTGGATGAAACACCGCCTGTCATCAAGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT
fliKreprR: <i>fliK</i> integration primer reverse GTGAAACCATCTGGATTTGCGCCTGGTTATCATCCACCTTTGAGGGAGATTTGCACTTCACCTAAATCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG
flizeprF: fliz integration primer reverse
GTGGTTTTAATCTCGGCAATCAGGTTTTCTTGCCTTCTTCTGTCGCCAGTACGGCAGCATCCTGACGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG fliMreprF: <i>fliM</i> integration primer forward
AAATTTACCAATATCACCACCTCGCCGAACGACATTGTGGTTAACACGCCGTTCCATGTGGAGATTGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT fliMreprF: fliM integration primer reverse
GGTATTGCTGGGGCTGGCACTGTTTTTGACCTTTTTATTATGTCACCGGTGATCGACAAAATTTAGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT
fliPreprF: fliP integration primer reverse
AACACGCTGGCTATCACCAGGTCGATAATCAAAAAAGGGATGAAAATCGTGAAGCCTATCTGAAATCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG fliBreprE: fliP integration primer forward
TATCATGGATATGCTGGCGTTACTGCTGTTCCTGACATTTAACGGTCATTTATGGTTGATTTCACTGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGAT
fliRreprF: <i>fliP</i> integration primer reverse ATAATATCAGCCAGCAAATTAAAAATTTCACTGAATAAATGTTCGCAAAAAGGTGCAATTAACGGCCGGTTTTAAAGAAAAAGGGCAGG
fliErepTF: <i>fliE</i> integration test primer forward
GUUGUAAUUGAUUATTAGTTTTGU fliFrenTR: fliF integration test primer reverse
Incleption and integration test prime reverse General Constraints and the Constraints
fliFreoTF: fliF integration test primer forward
GTCATGGTCGCACTGATCCTGTGG
fliFrepTR: <i>fliF</i> integration test primer reverse
CAGCTGCGCCGTAACCTGG
fliGrepTF: <i>fliG</i> integration test primer forward CTGGCGGAGTTTGAGCAAGAAGC
fliGrepTR: <i>fliG</i> integration test primer reverse
Gada ICCAGGIIII ICCAGG
GCTGGCGACCCTGAAAGATCTGG
fliJrepTR: <i>fliJ</i> integration test primer reverse
GCCGTTCCTGCAGTGTCTGC
fliKrepTF: <i>fliK</i> integration test primer forward GCCCACGACAAAAGGCGAGC
fliKrepTR: <i>fliK</i> integration test primer reverse
GGTTCATGGTTCGTGGCGTTGG
fill rentR: fill interaction test primer reverse
CGGTGACATCCTGTTCGGTTGC
fliMrepTF: <i>fliM</i> integration test primer forward
GTTGAGTACGTGCGTTCGGAAATGC
fliMrepTR: <i>fliM</i> integration test primer reverse
CACTCATTTGGGCTGTTCCTCGTTCAG
fliPrepTF: fliP integration test primer forward
GIGGACAAAGCIGGICGCICC
TILP (P) IN: TILP Integration test primer reverse
GUCAGAGUCARI GUI I GUU I GU Il Droster I III Distarction tost primar forward
ATGGGGCTGTCATTTGCGACG
filBrenTB: filB integration test primer reverse
CAGGCGGACTTACTATCCCGTAAAGTG

binding to the target loci and their ability to support integration of the genetic circuit; however, this will require further investigation. Furthermore, as flagellar genes are closer to the terminal (TER) region of the *E. coli* chromosome than oriC, their copy number is approximately sixfold lower than those genes close to oriC during exponential growth. Therefore, genes nearer to oriC are also potentially interesting target loci for integration and expression of genetic circuits. Besides the modified lambda Red recombinase method used in our analysis, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and integrases could be exploited for *E. coli*



Fig. 5. High integration efficiency into the *E. coli* flagellar region 3b. Figure showing the integration efficiency (CFU per microgram of electroporated DNA) into the investigated target loci of the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 [*motA* (motAi), *motB* (motBi), *flhD* (flhDi), *flhE* (flhEi), *cheW* (cheWi), *cheY* (cheYi) and *cheZ* (cheZi)] and 3b [*fliE* (fliEi), *fliG* (fliGi), *fliJ* (fliJi), *fliK* (fliKi), *fliL* (fliLi), *fliM* (fliMi), *fliP* (fliPi) and *fliR* (fliRi)]. The figure shows means and standard deviations from three experiments.

engineering. A variety of high complexity integrase sites, such as phiC31, R4 and Bxb1, could be moved to the hotspot integration regions in the *E. coli* chromosome employing CRISPR for appending new functionalities.





Fig. 6. Integrations into the *E. coli* flagellar regions 2 and 3b abolish motility. The integrations into the investigated target sites of the flagellar region 2 [*motA* (motAi), *motB* (motBi), *flhD* (flhDi), *flhE* (flhEi), *cheW* (cheWi), *cheY* (cheYi) and *cheZ* (cheZi)] and 3b [*fliE* (fliEi), *fliG* (fliGi), *fliJ* (fliJi), *fliK* (fliKi), *fliI* (fliDi), *fliH* (fliBi)] completely abolished the motility of the engineered strains. Wt (*E. coli* K12 MG1655 wild type). *E. coli* cultures normalized to OD₆₀₀ of 1 were inoculated in the motility agar plates and the picture was taken after 5 h of incubation at 37°C.

Overall, the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar region 3b is the most suitable of all *E. coli* flagellar regions for integration and expression of genetic circuits. However, there is a significant variation between individual target loci. For instance, *motA* of the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar region 2 supports the second highest integration and expression efficiency of all investigated target sites in this study (Figs 5 and 7). Therefore, when considered individually, *fliJ* and *motA* appear to be the most suitable integration target loci of the analysed flagellar regions 2 and 3b.

Experimental procedures

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

All strains and plasmids used in this study are recorded in Table 4. *Escherichia coli* was routinely grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g ml⁻¹) or kanamycin (50 μ g ml⁻¹) when required. Liquid *E. coli* cultures were cultivated on a rotatory shaker at 200 r.p.m. at 30°C, 37°C or 42°C. Plate cultures were supplemented with 1% agar (w/v) and grown for about 24 h at 30°C, 37°C or 42°C.

DNA amplification and modification

DNA was amplified by PCR in 50 µl of reaction volumes employing Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific) or Dream Tag master mix kit (Thermo Scientific) according to the supplier's instructions. Oligonucleotide primers for PCR amplifications were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) and Sigma-Aldrich. DNA fragments were purified by gel electrophoresis, followed by gel extraction employing Qiaquick Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Plasmid DNA was performed with the Qiaprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen), according to the supplier's recommendations. Sequencing was performed by Source Bioscience (Cambridge, UK). A Gibson Isothermal Assembly method (Gibson et al., 2009; Merryman and Gibson, 2012) was employed to assemble DNA fragments. The original Gibson Isothermal Assembly method protocol was modified as described previously (Juhas et al., 2014b).



Fig. 7. RT-PCR analysis.

A. Relative transcription of the analysed target genes of the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 flagellar regions 2 (*motA, motB, flhD, flhE, cheW, cheY* and *cheZ*) and 3b (*fliE, fliF, fliG, fliJ, fliK, fliL, fliM, fliP, fliR*) compared with the housekeeping genes (H).

B. Transcription of the genetic circuit integrated in the investigated integration target loci of the *E. coli* flagellar region 2 [*motA* (motAi), *motB* (motBi), *flhD* (flhDi), *flhE* (flhEi), *cheW* (cheWi), *cheY* (cheYi) and *cheZ* (cheZi)] and flagellar region 3b [(*fliE* (fliEi), *fliF* (fliFi), *fliG* (fliGi), *fliJ* (fliGi), *fliA* (fliGi), *fliA* (fliGi), *fliA* (fliGi), *fliA* (fliGi), *fliA* (fliGi), *fliB* (fliFi) and *fliR* (fliRi)] relative to the transcription of the housekeeping genes (H). Bars and errors represent averages and standard errors from three experiments. H (mean transcription of the reference housekeeping genes *arcA* and *rpoD*). Relative transcription was quantified with REST9 Software (Qiagen) employing Pfaffl method (Pfaffl *et al.*, 2002).

Table 4. Bacterial strains and plasmids.

	Characteristics	Reference
Strains		
K12 MG1655	<i>E. coli</i> wild type	Hayashi <i>et al</i> ., 2006
Ec:motAi	E. coli K12 MG1655, motA integration	This study
Ec:motBi	E. coli K12 MG1655, motB integration	This study
Ec:flhDi	E. coli K12 MG1655, flhD integration	This study
Ec:flhEi	E. coli K12 MG1655, flhE integration	This study
Ec:cheWi	E. coli K12 MG1655, cheW integration	This study
Ec:cheYi	E. coli K12 MG1655, cheY integration	This study
Ec:cheZi	E. coli K12 MG1655, cheZ integration	This study
Ec:fliEi	E. coli K12 MG1655 integration into fliE	This study
Ec:fliFi	E. coli K12 MG1655 integration into fliF	This study
Ec:fliGi	E. coli K12 MG1655 integration into fliG	This study
Ec:fliJi	E. coli K12 MG1655 integration into fliJ	This study
Ec:fliK	E. coli K12 MG1655 integration into fliK	This study
Ec:fliLi	E. coli K12 MG1655 integration into fliL	This study
Ec:fliMi	E. coli K12 MG1655 integration into fliM	This study
Ec:fliPi	E. coli K12 MG1655 integration into fliP	This study
Ec:fliRi	E. coli K12 MG1655 integration into fliR	This study
Plasmids		
pCP20	Plasmid encoding FLP recombinase	Datsenko and Wanner, 2000
pKM208	IPTG-induced Red recombinase system	Murphy and Campellone, 2003
pSB1A1(GFP)	λ promoter-controlled GFP, Amp ^R	Juhas <i>et al</i> ., 2014b
pSB1A1(mCh)	λ promoter-controlled mCherry, Amp ^R	This study
pSB1K3(FRTKr)	λ repressor, Kan ^R	Juhas <i>et al</i> ., 2014b

736 M. Juhas and J. W. Ajioka

Integration of the genetic circuit into the chromosome

Altered Hannah (Hanahan et al., 1991) and Miller and Nickoloff (1995) protocols were used to prepare the chemically competent and electro-competent E. coli cells respectively. Integrations of the genetic circuit into target open reading frames of the analysed E. coli flagellar region were carried out using method described previously (Juhas et al., 2014b). Briefly, plasmid pKM208 was transformed into the wild-type E. coli K12 MG1655 and selected on plates with ampicillin at 30°C. Escherichia coli K12 MG1655 harbouring pKM208 was inoculated into LB with ampicillin and grown at 30°C. After reaching OD₆₀₀ of 0.2, 1 mM IPTG was added and the bacterial culture was cultivated to the final OD₆₀₀ of 0.4-0.6. Bacteria were subsequently washed and resuspended in 10% glycerol and transformed with the genetic circuit harbouring the flanking sequences of the target genes. Bacteria with chromosomal integrations were selected on plates with kanamycin at 37°C and subsequently grown at 42°C to cure out the temperature-sensitive plasmid pKM208. Chromosomal integrations were proved by PCR with flanking primers and sequencing.

GFP and mCherry fluorescence quantitation with the microplate reader

200 µl of the *E. coli* cultures (grown overnight and diluted to OD₆₀₀ of 0.05) were transferred into flat-bottomed black 96 well plates (Greiner BioOne, UK). The plates with the *E. coli* cultures were placed into Fluostar Omega fluorimeter (BMG Labtech, UK) and incubated first at 30°C for 3 h and then at 42°C for 17 h or 7 h for GFP and mCherry fluorescence measurement respectively. GFP fluorescence was quantified with an automatically repeated protocol each 30 min using emission filter EM520, excitation filter 485-12, double orbital shaking at 200 r.p.m. and gain 1400. mCherry fluorescence was measured with an automatically repeated protocol each 30 min using emission filter EM520, excitation filter 584, double orbital shaking at 200 r.p.m. and gain 2800.

Absorbance measurement with the microplate reader

The diluted overnight *E. coli* cultures (OD₆₀₀ of 0.05) were transferred into flat-bottomed clear 96 well plates (Sterilin Sero-Well, UK). The plates were then incubated in the microplate reader (Fluostar Omega, BMG Labtech, UK) at 37°C and 30°C for 24 h. Absorbance was measured each 30 min using 600 nm absorbance filter and double orbital shaking at 500 r.p.m.

RNA isolation and purification

Total RNA was isolated from 10° *E. coli* cells at midexponential phase with Isolate II RNA Mini Kit (Bioline) according to manufacturer's instructions. To elute RNA from the Isolate II RNA columns, 60 μ I of RNAse-free H₂O was used. To avoid contamination with genomic DNA, the isolated RNA was purified with TURBO DNA-free Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to supplier's instructions.

RT-PCR

Isolated and purified RNA (1 μg) was used to synthesize cDNA using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to supplier's instructions. Primers for RT-PCR designed with PRIMER3 Software were prepared to generate 100–150 bp long DNA sequences. Expression levels were measured using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen). MicroAmp Fast Optical 96-Well Reaction Plates (Applied Biosystems) with RT-PCR reactions were incubated in the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) according to manufacturer's instructions. The relative expression was computed employing REST9 Software (Qiagen) with Pfaffl method (Pfaffl *et al.*, 2002). The RT-PCR was performed in triplicate, and the means and standard errors were calculated.

Evaluation of motility

Motility agar plates for motility assay were made by transferring 100 ml of motility agar [composed of 0.25% Bacto-Agar (Difco), 5 g NaCl and 10 g tryptone] in the 13 cm plates and let to set overnight. Plates were then pre-warmed to 37° C and inoculated with the 2 µl of the overnight bacterial cultures normalized to OD₆₀₀ of 1.0. Pictures were taken after incubation for 4–6 h at 37° C.

Sequence analyses

The annotated *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 genome from the *E. coli* K-12 project website (http://www.xbase.ac.uk/genome/ escherichia-coli-str-k-12-substr-mg1655) was used to retrieve DNA sequences of the target loci. DNA sequencing was carried out by Source Bioscience (Cambridge, UK). The BLASTN (Altschul *et al.*, 1990) and TBLASTX algorithms from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website (http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and the position-specific iterated BLAST (PSI-BLAST) (Altschul *et al.*, 1997) were used to compare DNA sequences.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank to Dr. Kerstin Ewen for plasmid pKM208 and Dr. Gillian Fraser for constructive discussions.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.

References

- Aizawa, S. (2012) Mystery of fliK in length control of the flagellar hook. *J Bacteriol* **194:** 4798–4800.
- Ajikumar, P.K., Xiao, W.H., Tyo, K.E., Wang, Y., Simeon, F., Leonard, E., *et al.* (2010) Isoprenoid pathway optimization for Taxol precursor overproduction in *Escherichia coli*. *Science* **330**: 70–74.

- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., and Lipman, D.J. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* **215:** 403–410.
- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D.J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25: 3389–3402.
- Anthony, L.C., Suzuki, H., and Filutowicz, M. (2004) Tightly regulated vectors for the cloning and expression of toxic genes. *J Microbiol Methods* **58**: 243–250.
- Baba, T., Ara, T., Hasegawa, M., Takai, Y., Okumura, Y.,
 Baba, M., *et al.* (2006) Construction of *Escherichia coli*K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: The Keio collection. *Mol Syst Biol* 2: 2006.0008.
- Boyd, C.H., and Gober, J.W. (2001) Temporal regulation of genes encoding the flagellar proximal rod in *Caulobacter crescentus. J Bacteriol* **183**: 725–735.
- Cashman, D.J., Ortega, D.R., Zhulin, I.B., and Baudry, J. (2013) Homology modeling of the CheW coupling protein of the chemotaxis signaling complex. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e70705.
- Chatterjee, A., Cui, Y., and Chatterjee, A.K. (2009) RsmC of *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. carotovora negatively controls motility, extracellular protein production, and virulence by binding FlhD and modulating transcriptional activity of the master regulator, FlhDC. *J Bacteriol* **191**: 4582–4593.
- Clomburg, J.M., and Gonzalez, R. (2011) Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for the production of 1,2-propanediol from glycerol. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **108**: 867–879.
- Cunningham, D.S., Koepsel, R.R., Ataai, M.M., and Domach, M.M. (2009) Factors affecting plasmid production in *Escherichia coli* from a resource allocation standpoint. *Microb Cell Fact* **8**: 27.
- Datsenko, K.A., and Wanner, B.L. (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97: 6640–6645.
- Delalez, N.J., Berry, R.M., and Armitage, J.P. (2014) Stoichiometry and turnover of the bacterial flagellar switch protein FliN. *MBio* **5**: e01216-01214.
- Dyszel, J.L., Soares, J.A., Swearingen, M.C., Lindsay, A., Smith, J.N., and Ahmer, B.M. (2010) E. coli K-12 and EHEC genes regulated by SdiA. *PLoS ONE* **5:** e8946.
- Fraiberg, M., Afanzar, O., Cassidy, C.K., Gabashvili, A., Schulten, K., Levin, Y., and Eisenbach, M. (2015) CheY's acetylation sites responsible for generating clockwise flagellar rotation in *Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol* **95:** 231– 244.
- Fraser, G.M., Bennett, J.C., and Hughes, C. (1999) Substrate-specific binding of hook-associated proteins by FlgN and FliT, putative chaperones for flagellum assembly. *Mol Microbiol* **32:** 569–580.
- Freeman, A.M., Mole, B.M., Silversmith, R.E., and Bourret, R.B. (2011) Action at a distance: Amino acid substitutions that affect binding of the phosphorylated CheY response regulator and catalysis of dephosphorylation can be far from the CheZ phosphatase active site. *J Bacteriol* **193**: 4709–4718.
- Gibson, D., Young, L., Chuang, R., Venter, J., Hutchison, C.R., and Smith, H. (2009) Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods* 6: 343–345.

- Guan, L., Liu, Q., Li, C., and Zhang, Y. (2013) Development of a Fur-dependent and tightly regulated expression system in *Escherichia coli* for toxic protein synthesis. *BMC Biotechnol* **13:** 25.
- Hanahan, D., Jessee, J., and Bloom, F.R. (1991) Plasmid transformation of *Escherichia coli* and other bacteria. *Methods Enzymol* **204:** 63–113.
- Hayashi, K., Morooka, N., Yamamoto, Y., Fujita, K., Isono, K., Choi, S., *et al.* (2006) Highly accurate genome sequences of *Escherichia coli* K-12 strains MG1655 and W3110. *Mol Syst Biol* **2:** 2006.0007.
- Jandu, N., Ho, N.K., Donato, K.A., Karmali, M.A., Mascarenhas, M., Duffy, S.P., *et al.* (2009) Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 gene expression profiling in response to growth in the presence of host epithelia. *PLoS ONE* **4**: e4889.
- Juhas, M. (2015) On the road to synthetic life: The minimal cell and genome-scale engineering. *Crit Rev Biotechnol* **12:** 1–8.
- Juhas, M., and Ajioka, J. (2015) Identification and validation of novel chromosomal integration and expression loci in *Escherichia coli* flagellar region 1. *PLoS ONE* 10: e0123007.
- Juhas, M., Eberl, L., and Glass, J.I. (2011) Essence of life: Essential genes of minimal genomes. *Trends Cell Biol* **21**: 562–568.
- Juhas, M., Eberl, L., and Church, G.M. (2012a) Essential genes as antimicrobial targets and cornerstones of synthetic biology. *Trends Biotechnol* **30**: 601–607.
- Juhas, M., Stark, M., von Mering, C., Lumjiaktase, P., Crook, D.W., Valvano, M.A., and Eberl, L. (2012b) High confidence prediction of essential genes in *Burkholderia cenocepacia*. *PLoS ONE* 7: e40064.
- Juhas, M., Davenport, P.W., Brown, J.R., Yarkoni, O., and Ajioka, J.W. (2013) Meeting report: The Cambridge Biodesign Techevent – Synthetic Biology, a new 'Age of Wonder'. *Biotechnol J* 8: 761–763.
- Juhas, M., Reuß, D.R., Zhu, B., and Commichau, F.M. (2014a) *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli* essential genes and minimal cell factories after one decade of genome engineering. *Microbiology* **160**: 2341–2351.
- Juhas, M., Evans, L.D., Frost, J., Davenport, P.W., Yarkoni, O., Fraser, G.M., and Ajioka, J.W. (2014b) *Escherichia coli* flagellar genes as target sites for integration and expression of genetic circuits. *PLoS ONE* **9**: e111451.
- Kahramanoglou, C., Seshasayee, A.S., Prieto, A.I., Ibberson, D., Schmidt, S., Zimmermann, J., *et al.* (2011) Direct and indirect effects of H-NS and Fis on global gene expression control in *Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res* **39**: 2073– 2091.
- Kishikawa, J., Ibuki, T., Nakamura, S., Nakanishi, A., Minamino, T., Miyata, T., *et al.* (2013) Common evolutionary origin for the rotor domain of rotary ATPases and flagellar protein export apparatus. *PLoS ONE* 8: e64695.
- Lam, K.H., Ip, W.S., Lam, Y.W., Chan, S.O., Ling, T.K., and Au, S.W. (2012) Multiple conformations of the FliG C-terminal domain provide insight into flagellar motor switching. *Structure* **20**: 315–325.
- Lee, J., and Harshey, R.M. (2012) Loss of FlhE in the flagellar Type III secretion system allows proton influx into *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol* **84:** 550–565.

- Marcellin, E., Chen, W.Y., and Nielsen, L.K. (2010) Understanding plasmid effect on hyaluronic acid molecular weight produced by *Streptococcus equi* subsp. zooepidemicus. *Metab Eng* **12:** 62–69.
- Merryman, C., and Gibson, D.G. (2012) Methods and applications for assembling large DNA constructs. *Metab Eng* **14:** 196–204.
- Miller, E.M., and Nickoloff, J.A. (1995) *Escherichia coli* electrotransformation. *Methods Mol Biol* **47:** 105–113.
- Minamino, T., and Macnab, R.M. (1999) Components of the *Salmonella* flagellar export apparatus and classification of export substrates. *J Bacteriol* **181:** 1388–1394.
- Minty, J.J., Lesnefsky, A.A., Lin, F., Chen, Y., Zaroff, T.A., Veloso, A.B., *et al.* (2011) Evolution combined with genomic study elucidates genetic bases of isobutanol tolerance in *Escherichia coli. Microb Cell Fact* **10**: 18.
- Mitra, A., Palaniyandi, S., Herren, C.D., Zhu, X., and Mukhopadhyay, S. (2013) Pleiotropic roles of uvrY on biofilm formation, motility and virulence in uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* CFT073. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e55492.
- Mohawk, K.L., Poly, F., Sahl, J.W., Rasko, D.A., and Guerry,
 P. (2014) High frequency, spontaneous motA mutations in *Campylobacter jejuni* strain 81–176. *PLoS ONE* 9: e88043.
- Murphy, K.C., and Campellone, K.G. (2003) Lambda Red-mediated recombinogenic engineering of enterohemorrhagic and enteropathogenic *E. coli. BMC Mol Biol* **4:** 11.
- Ogawa, R., Abe-Yoshizumi, R., Kishi, T., Homma, M., and Kojima, S. (2015) Interaction of the C-terminal tail of FliF with FliG from the Na+-driven flagellar motor of *Vibrio alginolyticus. J Bacteriol* **197**: 63–72.
- Partridge, J.D., Nieto, V., and Harshey, R.M. (2015) A new player at the flagellar motor: Flil controls both motor output and bias. *MBio* **6:** e02367–02314.
- Pfaffl, M.W., Horgan, G.W., and Dempfle, L. (2002) Relative expression software tool (REST) for group-wise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30:** e36.
- Reboul, C.F., Andrews, D.A., Nahar, M.F., Buckle, A.M., and Roujeinikova, A. (2011) Crystallographic and molecular dynamics analysis of loop motions unmasking the peptidoglycan-binding site in stator protein MotB of flagellar motor. *PLoS ONE* **6:** e18981.
- Sabri, S., Steen, J.A., Bongers, M., Nielsen, L.K., and Vickers, C.E. (2013) Knock-in/knock-out (KIKO) vectors for rapid integration of large DNA sequences, including whole metabolic pathways, onto the *Escherichia coli* chromosome at well-characterised loci. *Microb Cell Fact* **12:** 60.
- St-Pierre, F., Cui, L., Priest, D.G., Endy, D., Dodd, I.B., and Shearwin, K.E. (2013) One-step cloning and

chromosomal integration of DNA. ACS Synth Biol 2: 537-541.

- Takahashi, Y., and Ito, M. (2014) Mutational analysis of charged residues in the cytoplasmic loops of MotA and MotP in the *Bacillus subtilis* flagellar motor. *J Biochem* **156**: 211–220.
- Takahashi, Y., Koyama, K., and Ito, M. (2014) Suppressor mutants from MotB-D24E and MotS-D30E in the flagellar stator complex of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Gen Appl Microbiol 60: 131–139.
- Ublinskaya, A.A., Samsonov, V.V., Mashko, S.V., and Stoynova, N.V. (2012) A PCR-free cloning method for the targeted φ80 Int-mediated integration of any long DNA fragment, bracketed with meganuclease recognition sites, into the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. *J Microbiol Methods* **89:** 167–173.
- Vora, T., Hottes, A.K., and Tavazoie, S. (2009) Protein occupancy landscape of a bacterial genome. *Mol Cell* 35: 247– 253.
- Yim, H., Haselbeck, R., Niu, W., Pujol-Baxley, C., Burgard, A., Boldt, J., *et al.* (2011) Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for direct production of 1,4-butanediol. *Nat Chem Biol* 7: 445–452.
- Zhang, X., Shanmugam, K.T., and Ingram, L.O. (2010) Fermentation of glycerol to succinate by metabolically engineered strains of *Escherichia coli. Appl Environ Microbiol* 76: 2397–2401.
- Zhou, L., Niu, D.D., Tian, K.M., Chen, X.Z., Prior, B.A., Shen, W., *et al.* (2012) Genetically switched D-lactate production in *Escherichia coli. Metab Eng* **14**: 560–568.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

Fig. S1. Verification of chromosomal integrations into the *E. coli* flagellar region 2.

Fig. S2. Growth rates of the engineered *E. coli* strains with integrations in the flagellar region 2.

Fig. S3. Integrations into the *E. coli* flagellar region 3b do not negatively impact growth.

Fig. S4. Fluorescence measurement of the *E. coli* flagellar region 2 integrations.

Fig. S5. Fluorescence measurement of the *E. coli* flagellar region 3b integrations.

Fig. S6. mCherry fluorescence quantitation of the *E. coli* flagellar region 2 integrations.

Fig. S7. mCherry fluorescence quantitation of the *E. coli* flagellar region 3b integrations.