

# Endodontic management of a foreign body

SATISH R. KALYAN, GIRIJA SAJJAN

## Abstract

The discovery of a foreign object embedded in a tooth is relatively uncommon. Some people have a habit of placing foreign objects to remove food plugs from the teeth. However, occasionally, these objects may be lodged in the teeth. These foreign objects may act as a potential source of infection and may later lead to a painful condition. A detailed case history, clinical, and radiographic examination is required to ascertain the size, position, and likely composition of the object, and also difficulty involved in its retrieval. This paper describes the successful retrieval of stapler pin located in the apical portion of root canal by simple orthograde nonsurgical technique.

**Keywords:** Foci of infection, nonsurgical technique, stapler pin

## Introduction

Presence of foreign objects in root canal is one of the troublesome incidents in endodontic therapy. The chance of these foreign objects getting impacted into the tooth is more when pulp chamber is open either because of traumatic injury or large carious exposure. These foreign objects can be easily retrieved if they are located within the pulp chamber, but once the object has been pushed apically, their retrieval may be complicated. Apical surgical procedures may sometimes be unavoidable.<sup>[1]</sup>


The following case describes a foreign object impacted into the apical third of a maxillary central incisor, which was retrieved by simple nonsurgical intracanal means.

## Case Report

An otherwise healthy 52-year-old female was referred to the Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics with a complaint of pain and also removal of stapler pin in the root canal of maxillary central incisor. Referring dentist had pulpectomised the incisors two months earlier and the pulp chamber was sealed using a zincoxide eugenol dressing. Unfortunately, patient failed to attend the subsequent visit. The intermediate restoration was dislodged and food plugs accumulated over a period of time. Patient admitted of placing stapler pin inside the root canal to remove food plugs from the teeth. However, the stapler pin got lodged accidentally in the root canal of central incisor. Patient tried to remove the stapler pin with a needle and was unsuccessful.

On examination, the pulp chamber was found to be open to oral cavity but was occluded with food plugs. Radiographic examination revealed the presence of a radio-opaque object in the root canal extending from middle third to the apex of the root [Figure 1]. It was decided to retrieve the stapler pin by nonsurgical technique, and thereafter, complete the routine endodontic treatment in all the three teeth.


Conventional access cavity was refined to facilitate access for instrumentation. An ISO no. 20 K-file (DENTSPLY Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was used to bypass the stapler pin [Figure 2]. Retrieval was done by attempting to engage the stapler pin between ISO no. 20 H-file (DENTSPLY Maillefer) and canal wall then pulling it out coronally [Figure 3], which was then grasped with tweezers and was retrieved. The retrieved stapler pin was nine millimeters in length [Figure 4]. The root canals were cleaned and shaped using protaper rotary instruments in a crown down motion. Three percent sodium hypochlorite, ethylenediamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA), and isotonic saline were used as irrigants. An intracanal calcium hydroxide medicament was placed.



**Figure 1:** Stapler pin in the root canal

*Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics,  
Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram A.P., India*


**Correspondence:** Dr. Satish R Kalyan,  
Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics,  
Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram, A.P., India.  
E-Mail: rkalyansatish@yahoo.com



**Figure 2:** Bypassing the stapler pin by the 20 K-file



**Figure 3:** Stapler pin retrieval by the 20 H-file




**Figure 4:** Retrieved stapler pin

Obturation was performed using protaper Guttapercha and AH plus sealer (De Trey DENTSPLY, Konstanz, Germany) [Figure 5] followed by core buildup and fixed prosthesis. On a follow-up examination after three months, the teeth were asymptomatic.

## Discussion

A number of foreign objects were reported to be lodged in the pulp chamber or root canals of the tooth, which ranged from stapler pin,<sup>[2]</sup> pencil leads,<sup>[3]</sup> darning needles,<sup>[4]</sup> metal screws,<sup>[5]</sup> beads,<sup>[6]</sup> plastic chop stick,<sup>[7]</sup> hat pins,<sup>[8]</sup> dress maker pins,<sup>[9]</sup> two straws,<sup>[10]</sup> conical metallic object.<sup>[11]</sup>

During emergency root canal treatment the patient remains in the office with a draining tooth for an hour or even more and finally ending the appointment by sealing the access cavity.<sup>[12]</sup> With the access cavity closed, new strains of microorganism systems and foreign bodies can be prevented from entering the root canal.<sup>[13]</sup> Patient should be well educated regarding the phases of root canal treatment



**Figure 5:** Post obturation radiograph

and the importance of completion of treatment, to avoid undue consequences.

A radiograph can be of diagnostic significance especially if the foreign body is radio-opaque. Specialized radiographic techniques<sup>[1]</sup> such as Radiovisiography, three dimensional CAT (Computerized axial tomography) scans can play a pivotal role in the localization of these foreign objects inside the root canal.

Foreign objects in root canals can act as focus of infection. Complications can follow if these impacted foci of infection are not eliminated at the right time. Actinomycosis following placement of piece of jewellery chain into a maxillary central incisor<sup>[14]</sup> and chronic maxillary sinusitis of dental origin developed due to pushing of foreign bodies into the maxillary sinus<sup>[15]</sup> has been reported. Hence, prompt attempts at their retrieval should be initiated.

For retrieval of foreign objects lying in the pulp chamber or canal using ultrasonic instruments,<sup>[16]</sup> the Masserann kit,<sup>[17]</sup> modified Castroviejo needle holders,<sup>[18]</sup> the Steglitz forceps<sup>[19]</sup> have been used. EDTA has been suggested as a useful aid in lubricating the canal when attempting to remove the foreign object.<sup>[19]</sup> The use of an operating microscope along with ultrasonic<sup>[20]</sup> provides the clinician to visualize any intraradicular metallic obstructions.

Nonetheless, retrieval of the object may be difficult when it is lodged in periapical region. Periapical surgery or intentional reimplantation<sup>[21]</sup> should be considered to remove such objects.

In this case stapler pin was located within the root canal and confirmed by diagnostic radiograph. Access to the foreign body was improved by flaring the canal<sup>[22]</sup> coronally. Every attempt has to be made to bypass and retrieve the stapler pin by pulling it out coronally.

Patient was reluctant to remove the nose ring because of religious belief. But the radiographs were taken with due care, so that they were quite informative of the roots of the teeth.

If foreign objects are found in the root canal, prompt, but cautious attempts should be made to retrieve it first by simple nonsurgical means. Finally, when the foreign object resists all efforts for removal a surgical procedure may be the only viable alternative.

## References

1. Prabhakar AR, Namineni S, Subhadra HN. Foreign body in the apical portion of a root canal in a tooth with an immature apex: A case report. *Int Endod J* 2008;41:920-7.
2. Mcauliffe N, Drage NA, Hunter B. Staple diet: A foreign body in a tooth. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2005;15:468-71.
3. Hall JB. Endodontics – Patient performed. *J Dent Child* 1969;36:213-5.
4. Nernst H. Foreign body in a root canal. *Quintessence Int* 1972;3:33-4.
5. Prabhakar AR, Basappa N, Raju OS. Foreign body in a mandibular permanent molar - a case report. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 1998;16:120-1.
6. Reddy VV, Mehtha DS. Beads. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1990;69:769-70.
7. Toida M, Ichihara H, Okutomi T, Nakamura K, Ishimaru JI. An unusual foreign body in an unerupted supernumerary tooth. *Br Dent J* 1992;173:345-6.
8. Zillich RM, Pickens TN. Patient induced blockage of the root canal. Report of a case. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1982;54:689-90.
9. Turner CH. An unusual foreign body. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1983;56:226.
10. Gelfman WE, Chervis LJ, Williams AC. Self attempted endodontics - a case report. *J Dent Child* 1969;36:283-4.
11. Lamster IB, Barenie JT. Foreign objects in the root canal. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1977;44:483-6.
12. Weine FS. Endodontic Emergency treatment. In: Weine FS, editor. *Endodontic therapy*. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St Louis: Mosby; 2004. p. 72-103.
13. Nair PN. On the causes of persistent apical periodontitis: A review. *Int Endod J* 2006;39:249-81.
14. Goldstein BH, Scuibba JJ, Laskin DM. Actinomycosis of the maxilla: A review of literature and report of a case. *J Oral Surg* 1972;3:362-6.
15. Costa F, Robiony M, Toro C, Sembronio S, Politi M. Endoscopically assisted procedure for the removal of a foreign body from the maxillary sinus and contemporary endodontic surgical treatment of the tooth. *Head Face Med* 2006;2:37.
16. Meidinger DL, Kabes BJ. Foreign object removal utilizing the caviendo ultrasonic instrument. *J Endod* 1985;11:301-4.
17. Williams VD, Bjorndal AM. The Masserann technique for the removal of fractured posts in endodontically treated teeth. *J Prosthet Dent* 1983;49:46-8.
18. Fros UG, Berg JO. A method for the removal of broken endodontic instruments from root canals. *J Endod* 1983;9:156-9.
19. Lumley PJ, Walmsley AD. The removal of foreign objects from root canals. *Dent update* 1990;17:420-3.
20. Nehme WB. Elimination of intracanal metallic obstructions by abrasion using an operational microscope and ultrasonics. *J Endod* 2001;27:365-7.
21. Srivastava N, Vineeta N. Foreign body in the periradicular area. *J Endod* 2001;27:593-4.
22. McCulloch AJ. The removal of restorations and foreign objects from root canals. *Quintessence Int* 1993;24:245-9.

**Source of Support:** Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.