

Rheumatology, NHS Grampian, Aberdeen, UNITED KINGDOM, ³Department of Rheumatology, NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde, Glasgow, UNITED KINGDOM, ⁴Institute of Infection, Immunity & Inflammation, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UNITED KINGDOM, ⁵Renal Unit, NHS Grampian, Aberdeen, UNITED KINGDOM, ⁶Department of Rheumatology, NHS Lanarkshire, Lanarkshire, UNITED KINGDOM, ⁷Department of Rheumatology, NHS Dumfries and Galloway, Dumfries and Gal, UNITED KINGDOM, ⁸Department of Rheumatology, NHS Borders, Borders, UNITED KINGDOM, ⁹Department of Rheumatology, NHS Highlands, Inverness, UNITED KINGDOM, ¹⁰Department of Rheumatology, NHS Lothian, Edinburgh, UNITED KINGDOM, ¹¹Department of Rheumatology, NHS Forth Valley, Forth Valley, UNITED KINGDOM, ¹²Department of Rheumatology, NHS Ayrshire and Arran, Ayrshire, UNITED KINGDOM, ¹³Department of Rheumatology, NHS Fife, Fife, UNITED KINGDOM, ¹⁴Department of Rheumatology, NHS Tayside, Dundee, UNITED KINGDOM

Background/Aims

The novel infectious disease COVID-19 is associated with a wide spectrum of clinical severity amongst the general population. Patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases (ARD) are more likely to experience serious COVID-19 related events, although risk factors for such outcomes have yet to be established. In particular, the risk profiles of specific ARD therapies are unknown.

Methods

A Scottish wide registry was rapidly developed in March 2020. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of infected cases were collated across all Scottish health boards, leveraging the Scottish Systemic Vasculitis Network and Scottish Society for Rheumatology. Eligible patients included any adult ARD patients with a confirmed (clinically or PCR) diagnosis of COVID-19. Simple descriptive statistics were employed to evaluate associations between ARD therapies and a serious COVID-19 disease outcome, as defined by a requirement of invasive or non-invasive ventilation, and/or death.

Results

A total of 69 patients (59% female; mean age 65.6, SD15.5) were recruited to the registry, 92% of which required hospitalisation. Cases were most commonly diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis (n=32, 46.4%) followed by spondyloarthritis (n=19, 27.5%) and systemic vasculitis (n=9, 13.0%). Anti-TNF therapy (n=8, 11.6%) and methotrexate (n=31, 44.9%) were the commonest biologic and conventional disease modifying drug (bDMARD and csDMARD) used respectively. N=20 (29%) received background corticosteroid therapy (15.9% prednisolone >5mg, 13% prednisolone ≤5mg). A severe outcome was observed in n=25(31.9%); n=11 required assisted ventilation and n=19 died. With the exception of Leflunomide, conventional and biologic DMARDs did not appear to confer a higher risk for severe outcome (table 1). Of note, anti-TNF therapy was associated with a non-serious outcome (p=0.04) and prednisolone >5mg with a serious outcome (p=0.08).

030 TABLE 1: Demographics, clinical characteristics and outcomes

	Critical outcome n = 25	Non-critical outcome=44	Totaln=69	p value**
Age (years) (mean ± SD)	69.9 ± 12.9	63.4 ± 16.5	65.6 ± 15.5	0.09
Female, n (%)	14 (56)	27 (61.4)	41 (59.4)	0.66
Rheumatological Treatment, n (%)				
bDMARD/ tsDMARD, n (%)				
Anti-TNF	0 (0)	8 (18.2)	8 (11.6)	0.04
Rituximab	3 (12)	3 (6.8)	6 (8.7)	0.66
Secukinumab	0 (0)	2 (4.5)	2 (2.9)	0.53
Ixekizumab	0 (0)	1 (2.3)	1 (1.4)	1
JAK-inhibitors	2 (8)	1 (2.3)	3 (4.3)	0.30
Anakinra	0 (0)	1 (2.3)	1 (1.4)	1
csDMARD, n (%)				
Methotrexate	11 (44)	20 (45.5)	31 (44.9)	0.91
Sulfasalazine	5 (20)	7 (15.9)	12 (17.4)	0.66
Leflunomide	3 (12)	0 (0.0)	3 (4.3)	0.04
Mycophenolate	1 (4)	2 (4.5)	3 (4.3)	1
Azathioprine	0 (0)	1 (2.3)	1 (1.4)	1
Hydroxychloroquine	5 (20)	6 (13.6)	11 (15.9)	0.49
Prednisolone, n (%)				
Prednisolone ≤ 5mg	3 (12)	6 (13.6)	9 (13)	1
Prednisolone > 5mg	7 (28)	4 (9.1)	11 (15.9)	0.08
Not on csDMARD/ bDMARD/ tsDMARD, n (%)	5 (20)	10 (22.7)	15 (21.7)	0.79

*Critical outcome refers to ventilation requirement and/or death.

**P-values were derived from simple descriptive statistics, t-test, fisher exact test or chi-squared test as appropriate.

REGISTERS

030 VARIATION IN IMMUNOSUPPRESSANT IMPACT ON SEVERE COVID-19 OUTCOME: PRELIMINARY RESULTS FROM THE COVID-19 SCOTTISH REGISTRY OF AUTOIMMUNE RHEUMATIC DISEASES (SCAR-19)

Maira Karabayas^{1,2}, James Brock³, Matthew Rutherford⁴, Gillian Fordyce², Nick Fluck⁵, Sally Kardash⁶, Lucy Moran⁷, Ruth Richmond⁸, Adrian Tan⁸, Jan Sznajd⁹, Stewart Lambie⁹, Mohammed Khalid¹⁰, Sara Else¹¹, Carol A. Davies¹¹, Margaret Duncan¹², John McLaren¹³, Sean Duncan¹³, Sean Murphy^{5,14}, Fuad Hasan¹⁴ and Neil Basu⁴

¹Aberdeen Centre for Arthritis & Musculoskeletal Health, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UNITED KINGDOM, ²Department of

Conclusion

Preliminary data from this Scotland-wide ARD COVID-19 registry evidences variation in the impact of standard ARD therapies on the severity of COVID-19 outcome. In general, background csDMARD and bDMARD use does not appear to be a risk factor for severe outcomes. However, anti-TNF therapy may confer a favourable outcome, while leflunomide and corticosteroids may have the opposite effect. Rheumatologists should be aware of these possible risk factors and continue to contribute to registries to help establish whether these putative signals are clinically relevant.

Disclosure

M. Karabayas: None. **J. Brock:** None. **M. Rutherford:** None. **G. Fordyce:** None. **N. Fluck:** None. **S. Kardash:** None. **L. Moran:** None. **R. Richmond:** None. **A. Tan:** None. **J. Sznajd:** None. **S. Lambie:** None. **M. Khalid:** None. **S. Else:** None. **C.A. Davies:** None. **M. Duncan:** None. **J. McLaren:** None. **S. Duncan:** None. **S. Murphy5:** None. **F. Hasan:** None. **N. Basu:** None.