

Increasing the length and hydrophobicity of the C-terminal sequence of transthyretin strengthens its binding affinity to retinol binding protein

Rattawan Poodproh, Supavadee Kaewmeechai, Ladda Leelawatwattana and Porntip Prapunpoj

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Thailand

Keywords

binding affinity; retinol binding protein; transthyretin

Correspondence

P. Prapunpoj, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110, Thailand Tel: +66 74 288275 E-mail: porntip.p@psu.ac.th

(Received 7 July 2017, revised 3 September 2017, accepted 18 September 2017)

doi:10.1002/2211-5463.12329

Transthyretin (TTR) is a transporter for thyroid hormone (TH) and retinol, the latter via binding with retinol binding protein (RBP). Both the N-terminal and C-terminal regions of the TTR subunit are located in close proximity to the central binding channel for ligands. During the evolution of vertebrates, these regions changed in length and hydropathy. The changes in the N-terminal sequence were demonstrated to affect the binding affinities for THs and RBP. Here, the effects of changes in the C-terminal sequence were determined. Three chimeric TTRs, namely pigC/huTTR (human TTR with the C-terminal sequence changed to that of Sus scrofa TTR), xenoN/pigC/huTTR (human TTR with the Nterminal and C-terminal sequences changed to those of Xenopus laevis and S. scrofa, respectively), and pigC/crocTTR (Crocodylus porosus TTR with the C-terminal sequence changed to that of S. scrofa TTR), were constructed and their binding affinities for human RBP were determined at low TTR/RBP molar ratio using chemiluminescence immunoblotting. The binding dissociation constant (K_d) values of pigC/huTTR, xenoN/ pigC/huTTR and pigC/crocTTR were 3.20 ± 0.35 , 1.53 ± 0.38 and $0.31 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{M}$, respectively, and the $K_{\rm d}$ values of human and C. porosus TTR were 4.92 ± 0.68 and $1.42 \pm 0.45 \ \mu\text{M}$, respectively. These results demonstrate chimeric TTRs bound RBP with a higher strength than wild-type TTRs, and the changes in the C-terminal sequence of TTR had a positive effect on its binding affinity for RBP. In addition, changes to the N-terminal and C-terminal sequences showed comparable effects on the binding affinity.

Transthyretin (TTR) is a homotetrameric protein present in serum and cerebrospinal fluid of most vertebrates. In humans, TTR is mainly synthesized by the liver and the choroid plexus of the brain. Each of its subunits comprises 127 amino acid residues [1,2]. The major function of TTR is as a transporter for thyroid hormones (THs) [3,4] and retinol, the latter via binding to retinol binding protein (RBP) [5]. In the blood circulation, the majority of the retinol forms a complex with RBP and then binds to TTR to form a retinol-RBP-TTR complex (for reviews, see [6,7]). The binding with TTR protects RBP from early clearance by glomerular filtration in the kidney and prevents RBP from binding to surface signaling receptor STRA6, which leads to the inhibition of STRA6-inducible cellular uptake of an excessive holo-retinol [8]. Based on the crystallography of the TTR-RBP complex, the amino acids involved in the binding interaction are

Abbreviations

ECL, enhanced chemiluminescence; K_d, dissociation constant; RBP, retinol binding protein; TH, thyroid hormone; TTR, transthyretin.

located in the C-terminal regions of both TTR and RBP polypeptides [9,10].

During the evolution of vertebrates, the amino acid sequence in the central domain of TTR monomer was highly conserved. The predominant changes were in the N-terminal region, with smaller changes in the C-terminal region of the TTR subunit [11-17]. The N-terminal sequences of birds, reptiles, amphibians and fish are longer or relatively more hydrophobic than those of mammalian TTRs. The C-terminal sequences of non-mammalian TTRs are more hydrophobic than that of human TTR; in addition, the TTRs of some vertebrates, including Sus scrofa, are a few amino acids longer compared with human TTR. By domain substitution experiments among TTRs, we previously demonstrated that length and hydrophobicity of the N-terminal sequence influenced the binding affinities for THs [18] and RBP [19]. In addition, the changes of binding to THs agreed well with the shift of TH binding preference from T3 to T4 during the evolution of vertebrate TTRs [20]. Based on the fact that both N-terminal and C-terminal regions of TTR are located in close proximity at the entrance to the central binding channel for THs and other ligands, we proposed that the evolutionary change of the C-terminal sequence influenced the function of TTR as a cotransporter for retinol.

According to the alignment of identified mature TTR polypeptides, the N-terminal sequence of Crocodylus porosus TTR is in length and hydrophobicity between human and Xenopus laevis TTRs. In addition, the C-terminal sequence of S. scrofa TTR is longer and more hydrophobic than those of human and C. porosus TTRs [11,16]. To demonstrate the effect of the evolutionary changes in the C-terminal sequence of TTR on its binding interaction with RBP, and to compare the effect with that of the changes in the N-terminal sequence, we produced two chimeric TTRs in which C-terminal sequences were changed to be longer and more hydrophobic as observed in S. scrofa TTR. These were pigC/huTTR and pigC/ crocTTR. The chimeric TTR xenoN/pigC/huTTR in which N-terminal and C-terminal sequences were simultaneously changed to those of X. laevis and S. scrofa TTRs, respectively, was also produced by using the heterologous gene expression system of Pichia pastoris. The binding affinities to human RBP of these chimeric TTRs were determined and compared with their wild-type TTRs. Based on the dissociation constant (K_d) values of the binding, the effects of the N-terminal and the C-terminal sequences on the binding affinity of TTR to RBP were comparable.

Materials and methods

Synthesis and purification of TTRs

Recombinant C. porosus TTR and chimeric TTRs, namely xenoN/pigC/huTTR (which consisted of amino acid residues 1-9 of X. laevis TTR, residues 10-120 of human TTR and residues 121-130 of S. scrofa TTR), pigC/huTTR (which consisted of amino acid residues 1-120 of human TTR and residues 121-130 of S. scrofa TTR), and pigC/ crocTTR (which consisted of amino acid residues 1-114 of C. porosus TTR and residues 115-130 of S. scrofa TTR), were produced from recombinant P. pastoris G115 clones cultured in buffered glycerol complex medium and buffered methanol complex medium as previously described [14]. The gene expression of TTR was induced with 0.5% methanol for 3 days. Then, the recombinant TTR, which was secreted into the culture medium, was purified by preparative native PAGE (12% resolving and 4% stacking gels) using Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA) Prep Cell (Model 491). Purified TTR was made sterile by filtering through a $0.2 \ \mu m$ membrane, aliquoted and stored at $-20 \ ^{\circ}C$ until use

Purification of human TTR from plasma

Human TTR was purified from plasma by affinity chromatography on a Cibacron blue 3GA column (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and followed by preparative native PAGE, as previously described [19]. The protein concentration was determined by Lowry's method [21] prior to filter sterilization through a 0.2 μ m membrane and storage at -20 °C.

Preparation of polyclonal antibody against *C. porosus* TTR

The specific polyclonal antibody for *C. porosus* TTR was produced in a male rabbit (New Zealand White; 3 months old) as previously described [19]. After the second booster dose, blood (20 mL) was collected and the specific titer was determined by Ouchterlony double immunodiffusion [22]. The antibody was partially purified by precipitation with 50% ammonium sulfate, aliquoted and stored at -20 °C until use.

The analysis of TTR by electrophoresis

The mobility under native conditions and the subunit mass of TTR were determined by native PAGE (10% resolving and 4% stacking gels) and SDS/PAGE (12% resolving and 4% stacking gels), respectively. The protein bands were visualized after staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250.

The binding interaction between TTR and human RBP

The binding interaction between TTR and human RBP was examined as previously described [19], at a lower molar ratio of TTR/RBP than in the previous report. Purified TTR (0.5 µm) was incubated with human RBP (0-4 µm) at 4 °C for 2 h. Then, the separation of proteins in the reaction mixture was performed in duplicate by native PAGE (10% polyacrylamide resolving gel). After the separation, one gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue to detect the protein bands, and proteins in the other gel were electrotransferred onto a Hybondenhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) membrane (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ, USA), at 25 V for 30 min. Non-specific binding sites on the membrane was blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk. The free and RBP-bound forms of TTR were determined by western analysis and followed by ECL detection, using sheep anti-human TTR (dilution 1:2500) or rabbit anti-C. porosus TTR (dilution 1:500) as primary antibody, and horseradish peroxidase-conjugate anti-sheep IgG or IgG (dilution 1 : 2500) as anti-rabbit secondary antibody.

The K_d of the binding interaction between TTR and RBP was analyzed by Scatchard analysis [23]. Bound TTR was calculated from the fluorescence intensity of the protein band based on the assumption that TTR and RBP formed a complex at 1 : 2 molar ratio. The corrections for the efficiency of protein transfer and non-specific binding were performed prior to Scatchard analysis. Least squares linear regression lines were calculated using Microsoft Excel, and K_d values were derived from the slopes. The differences of the K_d values were determined by one-way ANOVA.

Results

Physicochemical properties of chimeric TTRs

By native PAGE, it was shown that all of the studied TTRs migrated faster than albumin (ALB) in human plasma (HP; Fig. 1A). Under the denaturing condition of SDS/PAGE, only a major and a minor protein band were observed in each studied TTR, and these two bands migrated with a relative mobility corresponding to the monomer and dimer of TTR, respectively (Fig. 1B). The molecular masses of the subunit of the studied TTRs were in range of 14.5–18.1 kDa, and the masses of the dimeric forms were ~ 31 kDa. According to high performance liquid chromatography analysis, the molecular mass of the tetrameric TTR was ~ 4 times the subunit mass (data not shown), which is in the range of that reported for human and *C. porosus* wild-type TTRs [14].

Analysis of the binding between TTR and human RBP

To study the effect of longer and more hydrophobic Cterminal sequence on the binding to human RBP and compare the effect with that of the N-terminal sequence, purified wild-type TTRs and chimeric TTRs was incubated with human RBP at various concentrations, at 4 °C for 2 h. Then, the free and bound (in the TTR-RBP complex) forms of TTR were separated by native PAGE. Band intensities of each TTR form were determined by western analysis and then followed by ECL, using specific antibody for TTR. By increasing the amount of RBP, it was shown that the intensity of the free form of TTR gradually decreased while the intensity of the bound form increased (Fig. 2), similarly to that previously reported [19]. Each studied TTR showed variation in the range of the specifically bound RBP. The binding interaction with RBP of human TTR was detected from ~ 1.5 to 4 μ M RBP, while those of xenoN/pigC/huTTR, pigC/huTTR, C. porosus TTR and pigC/crocTTR were detected at lower amounts of RBP, i.e. from 0.25 to 4 µM RBP. According to Scatchard analysis (Fig. 3), the K_d values of the binding interactions between TTR and RBP could be calculated as shown in Table 1. According to the K_d values, human TTR bound to RBP with the lowest strength.

Discussion

Pichia pastoris is an acceptable host for the synthesis of recombinant proteins, in particular for those which require post-translational modification for their proper function [24,25]. Based on the physicochemical analysis, the studied chimeric TTRs had electrophoretic migration under native conditions faster than ALB in plasma. In addition, the mass of the tetrameric molecule was four times that of the subunit and had cross-reactivity with antibody specific to TTR. These findings confirmed that all of these TTRs were successfully synthesized in *P. pastoris* and extracellularly secreted as a tetramer without an inappropriate post-translational modification, particularly glycosylation, and had an authentic conformation similar to TTR in nature.

The K_d of the binding sites for RBP of human TTR has been reported with different values depending on the method of determination [26–28]. For example, the K_d values for the first and the second binding sites of human TTR for RBP determined by electrospray ionization combined with time-of-flight mass spectrophotometry were 0.19 ± 1.0 and 35 ± 1.0 µM, respectively [29] and the K_d of the first binding site determined by fluorescence anisotropy was 0.35 µM [30]. In addition,



Fig. 1. The analysis of purified TTRs by native PAGE (A) and SDS/PAGE (B). Aliquots of purified human TTR (1), xenoN/pigC/huTTR (2), pigC/huTTR (3), *Crocodylus porosus* TTR (4) and pigC/crocTTR (5) were loaded onto the gels. For SDS/PAGE, the protein sample was boiled in the presence of SDS and β-mercaptoethanol at final concentrations of 2% and 2.5%, respectively, for 30 min. After analysis, gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250. HP, human plasma with an excess amount was included to locate the positions of albumin (ALB) and TTR; M, low molecular mass protein markers. Dimer and monomer positions of TTR are indicated.



Fig. 2. Analysis of binding between TTR and human RBP by native PAGE followed by western analysis. Purified TTR (0.5 μM) was incubated with human RBP at various concentrations. Then, free and bound TTRs were separated by native PAGE in duplicate. One of the gels was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250, and another gel was subjected to western blot analysis using antibody specific to human TTR or *Crocodylus porosus* TTR, and followed by ECL detection. Purified TTR (0.5 μM; TTR) and human RBP (4 μM; RBP) were included as controls. Free and bound TTRs are indicated by closed and opened arrowheads, respectively.

the negative cooperative effect between the two RBP binding sites was supported in TTRs from both mammals and non-mammals [31]. In our previous study, the $K_{\rm d}$ of the second binding site of human TTR for human RBP was determined [19]. Here, by analysis at low RBP/TTR molar ratio, the obtained K_d for RBP of human TTR was 6-fold lower than the K_d that we previously reported for the second binding site, and it was close to the K_d of the first binding site for RBP of human TTR determined by other methods [30]. However, the K_d of the binding for human RBP of C. porosus TTR was very close to that obtained from the analysis at higher TTR/RBP molar ratio [19]. These results indicated a similar affinity of the two binding sites and suggested a less negative cooperative effect between the two binding sites for RBP of the reptile TTR compared with human TTR. In addition, the K_d values of the two binding sites for RBP of C. porosus TTR were smaller than those of human TTR, and this indicated a higher strength of binding between TTR and RBP in the heterologous complex (between C. porosus TTR and human RBP) than in the homologous complex (between human TTR and RBP), which

confirmed our previous observation [19] and the observation for the binding between bovine TTR and human RBP [32].

According to X-ray crystallography, both TTR and RBP contributed an equivalent number of amino acid residues in the interaction at the binding interface [9]. The interactions that stabilized the protein complex were primarily based on the hydrophobic interactions at the center and charge-charge interactions at the periphery of the binding recognition site [28]. In addition, amongst the amino acid residues on the TTR subunit that were involved in the interaction and located at the region of TTR-RBP contact, tyrosine at position 114 participated mainly in the hydrophobic interaction at the center of the recognition site [9,28]. In C. porosus TTR, all of the amino acid residues involved in the binding interaction are the same as in human TTR except that the residue at position 114 is a phenylalanine, not a tyrosine. Therefore, increasing the accessibility of RBP to the binding site due to the environment created by longer and more hydrophobic N-terminal sequence [19] together with increasing the hydrophobic interaction at the center of the binding site which was



Fig. 3. Scatchard plots of the specific binding with human RBP of human TTR (A), pigC/huTTR (B), xenoN/pigC/huTTR (C), *C. porosus* TTR (crocTTR) (D), and pigC/crocTTR (E). Bound and free TTRs were determined by western blot analysis followed by ECL, and the intensities were used to calculate the K_d of the binding. The inset in each Scatchard plot shows a representative ECL blot of the studied TTR.

generated by phenylalanine at position 114 possibly accomplished to higher binding affinity for human RBP with less negative cooperativity of *C. porosus* TTR compared to human TTR. During the evolution of the TTR gene of mammals from reptile-like ancestors, the primary structure of TTR at the N-terminal region changed to be shorter and relatively less hydrophobic, and this affected the

Table 1. $K_{\rm d}$ values for the binding between TTRs and human RBP. Results are presented as the mean \pm standard error based on *n* replications.

Type of TTR	<i>K</i> _d (µм)	п
Human TTR	4.92 ± 0.68	4
pigC/huTTR	3.20 ± 0.35	4
xenoN/pigC/huTTR	1.53 ± 0.38	3
Crocodylus porosus TTR	1.42 ± 0.45	3
pigC/crocTTR	0.31 ± 0.04	3

binding of TTR to THs and RBP [18,19,33]. Although it changed less than the N-terminal sequence, the C-terminal sequence of TTRs in fishes, amphibians, reptiles and birds is more hydrophobic than in those of mammals: in addition, one to three amino acid residues greater length than in human TTR was observed in the C-terminal sequence of TTRs from swine, amphibians and lampreys [13,15,16,34]. In accordance with the X-ray crystallography that indicated both N-terminal and C-terminal segments of TTR are in close proximity at the entrance to the central binding channel for THs [35], we proposed the evolutionary changes in the C-terminal sequence of the TTR subunit also affected the accessibility of ligands such as RBP to the central binding channel and the functions of TTR that particularly depended on the interactions of the residues in the C-terminal region. Herein, two human chimeric TTRs (pigC/huTTR and xenoN/pigC/ huTTR) and one C. porosus chimeric TTR (pigC/ crocTTR) were constructed and the affinities of the binding for human RBP were compared. In comparison with their wild-type TTRs, pigC/huTTR and pigC/crocTTR, in which only C-terminal sequences were changed to that of S. scrofa TTR, had K_d values 1.5- and 4.6-fold lower, respectively, indicating an increase of the binding strength to human RBP of these chimeric TTRs. Therefore, a positive effect of a longer and more hydrophobic C-terminal sequence of TTR on the affinity of its binding with RBP was suggested, because the binding interactions between TTR and RBP in both homologous (pigC/huTTR and human RBP) and heterologous (pigC/crocTTR and human RBP) complexes were increased. The increased hydrophobic environment that is produced by a longer and more hydrophobic C-terminal sequence of TTR increases charge-charge interactions at the periphery of the TTR-RBP binding interface, and consequently, increases in the stability of the hydrophobic interaction at the center of the binding site could be a mechanism of increasing the strength of the binding of the chimeric TTRs to RBP. In comparison with human TTR and pigC/huTTR, the K_d values of the binding for RBP of xenoN/pigC/huTTR, in which N-terminal and C-terminal sequences were simultaneously changed to longer and more hydrophobic ones, were 3.3- and 1.5-fold lower, respectively. This should indicate a comparable effect of the evolutionary change of the C-terminal sequence (from longer and more hydrophobic as observed in *S. scrofa* TTR to shorter and less hydrophobic as observed in human TTR) to the change of the N-terminal sequence (from longer and more hydrophobic as observed in *X. laevis* TTR to shorter and less hydrophobic as observed in human TTR) on the binding of TTR to RBP.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Research Council of Thailand, and Prince of Songkla University, Thailand. RP and SK were supported for PhD research by the Excellent Biochemistry Program Fund of Prince of Songkla University, Thailand.

Author contributions

PP conceived and supervised the study; PP and LL designed the project; RP and SK performed the experiments; RP, SK and PP analysed the data; RP and SK wrote the manuscript; PP and LL revised the manuscript.

References

- 1 Mita S, Maeda S, Shimada K and Araki S (1984) Cloning and sequence analysis of cDNA for human prealbumin. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **124**, 558– 564.
- 2 Tsuzuki T, Mita S, Maeda S, Araki S and Shimada K (1985) Structure of the human prealbumin gene. *J Biol Chem* **260**, 12224–12227.
- 3 Ingbar S (1958) Prealbumin: a thyroxin-binding protein of human plasma. *Endocrinology* **63**, 256–259.
- 4 Robbins J, Cheng SY, Gershengorn MC, Glinoer D, Cahnmann HJ and Edelnoch H (1978) Thyroxine transport proteins of plasma. Molecular properties and biosynthesis. *Recent Prog Horm Res* **34**, 477–519.
- 5 Kanai M, Raz A and Goodman DS (1968) Retinolbinding protein: the transport protein for vitamin A in human plasma. *J Clin Invest* **47**, 2025–2044.
- 6 Monaco HL (2000) The transthyretin-retinol-binding protein complex. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1482, 65–72.
- 7 Zanotti G and Berni R (2004) Plasma retinol-binding protein: structure and interactions with retinol, retinoids, and transthyretin. *Vitam Horm* **69**, 271–295.
- 8 Berry DC, Croniger CM, Ghyselinck NB and Noy N (2012) Transthyretin blocks retinol uptake and cell

signalling by the holo-retinol-binding protein receptor STRA6. *Mol Cell Biol* **32**, 3851–3859.

- 9 Naylor HM and Newcomer ME (1999) The structure of human retinol-binding protein (RBP) with its carrier protein transthyretin reveals an interaction with the carboxy terminus of RBP. *Biochemistry* **38**, 2647–2653.
- 10 Monaco HL (2002) Three-dimensional structure of the transthyretin-retinol-binding protein complex. *Clin Chem Lab Med* **40**, 1229–1236.
- 11 Aldred AR, Prapunpoj P and Schreiber G (1997) Evolution of shorter and more hydrophilic transthyretin N-termini by stepwise conversion of exon 2 into intron 1 sequences (shifting the 3' splice site of intron 1). *Eur J Biochem* 246, 401–409.
- 12 Prapunpoj P, Richardson SJ, Fumagalli L and Schreiber G (2000a) The evolution of the thyroid hormone distributor protein transthyretin in the order insectivora, class mammalia. *Mol Biol Evol* 17, 1199– 1209.
- 13 Prapunpoj P, Yamauchi K, Nishiyama N, Richardson SJ and Schreiber G (2000b) Evolution of structure, ontogeny of gene expression, and function of *Xenopus laevis* transthyretin. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 279, R2026–R2041.
- 14 Prapunpoj P, Richardson SJ and Schreiber G (2002) Crocodile transthyretin: structure, function, and evolution. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 283, R885–R896.
- 15 Manzon RG, Neuls TM and Manzon LA (2007) Molecular cloning, tissue distribution, and developmental expression of lamprey transthyretins. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* **151**, 55–65.
- 16 Prapunpoj P and Leelawatwatana L (2009) Evolutionary changes to transthyretin: structure– function relationships. *FEBS J* 276, 5330–5341.
- 17 Richardson SJ (2015) Tweaking the structure to radically change the function: the evolution of transthyretin from 5-hydroxyisourate hydrolase to triiodothyronine distributor to thyroxine distributor. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 5, 245.
- 18 Prapunpoj P, Leelawatwatana L, Schreiber G and Richardson SJ (2006) Change in structure of the Nterminal region of transthyretin produces change in affinity of transthyretin to T4 and T3. *FEBS J* 273, 4013–4023.
- 19 Leelawatwattana L, Praphanphoj V and Prapunpoj P (2011) Effect of the N-terminal sequence on the binding affinity of transthyretin for human retinol-binding protein. *FEBS J* 278, 3337–3347.
- 20 Chang L, Munro SL, Richardson SJ and Schreiber G (1999) Evolution of thyroid hormone binding by transthyretins in birds and mammals. *Eur J Biochem* 259, 534–542.

- 21 Lowry OH, Rosenbrough NJ, Farr AL and Randall RJ (1951) Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 193, 265–275.
- 22 Ouchterlony O (1953) Antigen-antibody reactions in gels. IV. Types of reactions in coordinated systems of diffusion. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand* **32**, 230–240.
- 23 Scatchard G (1949) The attractions of proteins for small molecules and ions. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **51**, 660–672.
- 24 Cereghino JL and Cregg JM (2000) Heterologous protein expression in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia* pastoris. FEMS Microbiol Rev 24, 45–66.
- 25 Ahmad M, Hirz M, Pichler H and Schwab H (2014) Protein expression in *Pichia pastoris*: recent achievements and perspectives for heterologous protein production. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **98**, 5301–5317.
- 26 Noy N, Slosberg E and Scarlata S (1992) Interactions of retinol with binding proteins: studies with retinolbinding protein and with transthyretin. *Biochemistry* 31, 11118–11124.
- 27 Malpeli G, Folli C and Berni R (1996) Retinoid binding to retinol-binding protein and the interference with the interaction with transthyretin. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1294, 48–54.
- 28 Zanotti G, Folli C, Cendron L, Alfieri B, Nishida SK, Gliubich F, Pasquato N, Negro A and Berni R (2008) Structural and mutational analyses of protein–protein interactions between transthyretin and retinol-binding protein. *FEBS J* 275, 5841–5854.
- 29 Rostom AA, Sunde M, Richardson SJ, Schreiber G, Jarvis S, Bateman R, Dobson CM and Robinson CV (1998) Dissection of multi-protein complexes using mass spectrometry: subunit interactions in transthyretin and retinol- binding protein complexes. *Proteins* 33 (Suppl 2), 3–11.
- 30 Folli C, Favilla R and Berni R (2010) The interaction between retinol-binding protein and transthyretin analyzed by fluorescence anisotropy. *Methods Mol Biol* 652, 189–207.
- 31 Kopelman M, Cogan U, Mokady S and Shinitzky M (1976) The interaction between retinol-binding proteins and prealbumins studied by fluorescence polarization. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 439, 449–460.
- 32 Berni R, Stoppini M, Zapponi MC, Meloni ML, Monaco HL and Zanotti G (1990) The bovine plasma retinol-binding protein. Amino acid sequence, interaction with transthyretin, crystallization and preliminary X-ray data. *Eur J Biochem* 192, 507–513.
- 33 Morgado I, Melo EP, Lundberg E, Estrela NL, Sauer-Eriksson AE and Power DM (2008) Hormone affinity and fibril formation of piscine transthyretin: the role of the N-terminal. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 295, 48–58.
- 34 Yamauchi K, Kasahara T, Hayashi H and Horiuchi R (1993) Purification and characterization of a 3,5,3'-Ltriiodothyronine-specific binding protein from bullfrog

tadpole plasma: a homolog of mammalian transthyretin. *Endocrinology* **132**, 2254–2261.

35 Hamilton JA, Steinrauf LK, Braden BC, Liepnieks J, Benson MD, Holmgren G, Sandgren O and Steen L (1993) The x-ray crystal structure refinements of normal human transthyretin and the amyloidogenic Val-30 \rightarrow Met variant to 1.7-A resolution. *J Biol Chem* **268**, 2416–2424.