

Review

# A Critical Review on Metal-Organic Frameworks and Their Composites as Advanced Materials for Adsorption and Photocatalytic Degradation of Emerging Organic Pollutants from Wastewater

Zakariyya Uba Zango <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Khairulazhar Jumbri <sup>1,\*</sup>, Nonni Soraya Sambudi <sup>3</sup>, Anita Ramli <sup>1</sup>, Noor Hana Hanif Abu Bakar <sup>4</sup>, Bahruddin Saad <sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Nur' Hafiz Rozaini <sup>1</sup>, Hamza Ahmad Isiyaka <sup>1</sup>, Ahmad Hussaini Jagaba <sup>5</sup>, Osamah Aldaghri <sup>6</sup> and Abdelmoneim Sulieman <sup>7</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Fundamental and Applied Sciences Department, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Seri Iskandar 32610, Malaysia; anita.ramli@utp.edu.my (A.R.); bahruddin.saad@utp.edu.my (B.S.); Muhammad\_18000735@utp.edu.my (M.N.H.R.); hamza\_18001996@utp.edu.my (H.A.I.)
- <sup>2</sup> Chemistry Department, Al-Qalam University Katsina, Katsina 2137, Nigeria
- <sup>3</sup> Chemical Engineering Department, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Seri Iskandar 32610, Malaysia; soraya.sambudi@utp.edu.my
- <sup>4</sup> School of Chemical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Gelugor 11800, Malaysia; hana\_hanif@usm.my
- <sup>5</sup> Civil Engineering Department, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi 740272, Nigeria; ahjagaba@atbu.edu.ng
- <sup>6</sup> Physics Department, College of Science, Al-Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Riyadh 11432, Saudi Arabia; odaghri@gmail.com
- <sup>7</sup> Radiology and Medical Imaging Department, College of Applied Medical Sciences,
   Prince Sattam Bin Abduaziz University, Alkharj 11942, Saudi Arabia; a.sulieman@psau.edu.sa
- \* Correspondence: zakariyyazango4@gmail.com (Z.U.Z.); khairulazhar.jumbri@utp.edu.my (K.J.); Tel.: +60-174-269-144 (Z.U.Z.); +60-123-089-903 (K.J.)

Received: 4 October 2020; Accepted: 6 November 2020; Published: 10 November 2020



**Abstract:** Water-borne emerging pollutants are among the greatest concern of our modern society. Many of these pollutants are categorized as endocrine disruptors due to their environmental toxicities. They are harmful to humans, aquatic animals, and plants, to the larger extent, destroying the ecosystem. Thus, effective environmental remediations of these pollutants became necessary. Among the various remediation techniques, adsorption and photocatalytic degradation have been single out as the most promising. This review is devoted to the compilations and analysis of the role of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and their composites as potential materials for such applications. Emerging organic pollutants, like dyes, herbicides, pesticides, pharmaceutical products, phenols, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and perfluorinated alkyl substances, have been extensively studied. Important parameters that affect these processes, such as surface area, bandgap, percentage removal, equilibrium time, adsorption capacity, and recyclability, are documented. Finally, we paint the current scenario and challenges that need to be addressed for MOFs and their composites to be exploited for commercial applications.

Keywords: adsorption; emerging pollutants; metal-organic frameworks; photocatalytic degradation

# 1. Introduction

Emerging organic pollutants have received much concern due to their ubiquitous detection in various water spheres. They are toxic species produced from both natural and anthropogenic



sources via; volcanoes, bush burning, petroleum exploration and refining, coal mining and processing, petrochemicals production, agrochemical application, textile, and leather dyeing, pharmaceutical production among others. They are widely discharged into the environment and conversely get deposited into the water bodies. Most of these pollutants are highly hydrophobic; thus, they bioaccumulate and magnify in the water and consequently get into the tissues of various aquatic organisms, as well as humans. Among the prominence includes dyes [1,2], pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) [3,4], phenolics [5], herbicides and pesticides [6,7], polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [8,9], and perfluoroalkyl carboxylates and sulfonates [10,11]. These pollutants had been classified as endocrine disruptors (EDCs), due to their tendency to interfere with the function of the natural hormones [12,13]. They are highly resistant to naturally occurring processes of biodegradation and photolysis [14]. Toxicity studies have linked these compounds with many forms of ailments, such as genotoxicity, neurotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, development toxicity, cancerous tumors, etc. [15,16]. Thus, due to their frequent detection in the water and high toxicities, they are classified as emerging pollutants.

Environmental scientists, engineers, as well as environmental control and monitoring agencies, were challenged to provide effective remediations of these toxic pollutants. Thus, various methods have been put forward to achieve the tasks. Flocculation as an alternative have been practiced for decades [17]. The method is based on the formation of suspended solid particles (known as flocculants) using alumina, biopolymeric pectin, polyacrylamide, etc. [18]. Similarly, coagulation has also been considered [19]. However, the two suffered disadvantages of incomplete removal of the pollutants, as well as the formation of secondary pollution in form of sludge [20,21]. Other physical techniques, such as sedimentation, filtration, and reverse osmosis, have also been applied [22,23]. In most cases, they are not without drawbacks. Reverse osmosis, for example, requires periodic maintenance due to the clogging of the membranes [24,25]. The use of bioremediation using naturally occurring microorganisms, such as algae, bacteria, and fungi, to degrade the organic pollutants have been put forward [26,27]. However, some of these pollutants are resistant to biodegradations.

Due to the shortcomings of the aforementioned techniques, and driven by the need for a cheaper, sustainable, and effective treatment process, alternative approaches are necessary. Of these, adsorption and photocatalytic degradation are attractive as they could offer complete removal and mineralization of the toxic contaminants. This article is aimed at reviewing the application of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) as versatile and highly efficient materials remediations of toxic organic pollutants from wastewater. Different classes of the pollutants have been discussed, and the literature reported on their removals by the MOFs has been detailed. Emphasis has been paid to adsorption and photocatalytic degradation using various pristine MOFs and their composites.

#### 1.1. Adsorption

The application of adsorption techniques as an alternative wastewater remediation process has been well discovered. It has been proposed to solve the challenging task of incomplete removal of pollutants during wastewater processing. Organic pollutants are particularly more resistant to many forms of water remediation due to their hydrophobicity and lower molecular weight. For adsorption, process, pollutant molecules are attracted onto the surfaces of the adsorbent materials through diffusion process from the bulk of the solution to the active pores of the adsorbents [28,29]. Usually, the mechanism takes place through intermolecular forces of attraction, such as chemisorption (e.g., ionic interactions) and physisorption (e.g., van der Waals and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions) [30,31]. Adsorption has been emphasized by the unique properties of the adsorbent materials, such as high porosity, large specific Brunner Emmett Teller (BET) surface area, moisture and thermal stabilities, good selectivity for the target pollutants, availability, and low-cost, easy to handle and regenerated, etc. [32,33]. Among the desirable properties of ideal adsorbent materials is the physical state in form of either powder, cake or beads.

Among the most widely applied carbonaceous porous materials include biochar, activated carbon (AC), graphene, and carbon nanotubes [34,35]. They are usually obtained or synthesized from

agricultural waste products. AC has been the most reported carbon-adsorbent. It has well-developed pore size distribution, with high surface functional groups that provide binding sites for adsorption of pollutants in water (surface area up to  $1100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , and specific pore volumes up to  $0.40 \text{ m}^3/\text{g}$ ) [36]. Thus, it has found wide applications in water and gas purification, as well as separation processes [37]. Commercial AC is obtainable from non-renewable starting materials, such as lignite, coal, and petroleum coke. Although, there is a strong drive in using renewable materials, such as agricultural wastes (e.g., rice husks, fruit peels, sugarcane bagasse) [38,39]. AC, unfortunately, is not the ideal adsorbent material for treating emerging organic pollutants in water mainly due to the lack of complete removal at low concentrations. Furthermore, the time required for the adsorption is rather slow and the difficulty of regeneration of the used adsorbent. Progress in materials science has resulted in the introduction of new generation of adsorbents with abnormally high surface areas and porosity. These materials include mesoporous silica [40,41], halloysite nanotubes [42,43] graphene [44], molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) [45], and MOFs (e.g., MOF-5, HKUST-1, MIL-100, UiO-66, etc.) [46,47]. Significant selectivity can be achieved from the cavity size of the MOFs frameworks. Surface chemical modifications of these adsorbents usually brought about higher removal capacities and selectivity of the composites towards the organic pollutants.

#### 1.2. Photocatalysis

Photocatalysis is a general term used to a defined catalytic reaction that is induced by light energy [48]. Of much interest is the potential of harnessing solar energy. It is an advanced oxidation process for the efficient degradation of toxic pollutants from wastewater using photocatalytic materials. In the process, the light energy is converted into chemical energy with the generation of free radicals, such as hydroxyl radicals, which attack the pollutants and subsequently degrade them into non-toxic by-products [49,50]. Thus, the field has attracted tremendous interest because of its advantageous features as summarized below:

- (i) Ability to degrade pollutants within a short time with the help of light or solar energy.
- (ii) Operates under ambient conditions.
- (iii) Mineralization of organic pollutants into carbon dioxide and water; thus, no secondary pollutants are produced.

An ideal photocatalyst should be stable in both aqueous and organic solvents under acidic or alkaline solutions and be able to tolerate strong light irradiation. Additionally, it must be of high porosity, low-cost, have simplicity in applications, and be easily regenerated. Thus, various porous materials have been discovered. Among them, those containing mesopores and microspores have received much attention due to their uniformity in their surface morphology, particle size, pore volume, and diameters [51]. Some of these materials, such as MOFs, zeolites, silicates, graphene and reduced graphene oxide (GO and RGO), metal-oxide nanoparticles (MNPs), carbon quantum dots (CQDs), and other nanoporous carbon materials, can be chemically modified for the intended application. Of these, MOFs have shown lots of promise.

### 1.3. Metal-Organic Frameworks

MOFs are advanced porous hybrid materials that are formed from coordination interactions of the metal node with organic linkers (Figure 1) forming two or three-dimensional structures of porous frameworks [52]. They are also referred to as a special group of Coordination polymers (CPs) involving strong metal-ligand interactions [53] and possessed metal-ligand coordinative bonds which are stronger than hydrogen bonds, and they have more directionality than other weak interactions, such as  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking [54]. The development of porous materials can be traced back to 1990 from the work of Hoskin and Robson (1990) for the synthesis of scaffolding-like structural 3D frameworks by linking tetrahedral or octahedral arrays of metals centers with the organic moieties. A diamond-like framework, [N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>][CuZn(CN)<sub>4</sub>), having several cavities, was successfully synthesized and analyzed by single-crystal x-ray diffraction [55]. The group of Yaghi (1995) has been instrumental in the design new structures from the assembling of metal ions coordinated to the organic moieties as linkers. In 1999, the famous MOF-5 was successfully synthesized by the group [56], heralding the beginning of the exploration of novel structures of various dimensional frameworks.



**Figure 1.** The schematic diagram for the formation of the metal-organic framework (MOF) from metal ion and organic linker as precursors. Reproduced with permission from Reference [57].

Interest in MOFs is due to their peculiarities, uncommon to other synthetic materials, possessing ultra-high surface area, high crystallinity, uniformity of pore sizes, and tunability of volumes. Their microporous structures provide surface area of up to 9000 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and specific pore volumes of up to 2 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, together with a large variety of pore dimensions and topologies. The unique features of MOFs found numerous applications in gas storage, CO<sub>2</sub> capture and conversions, chemical separations, drug delivery, nerve agents, sensing, energy conversion, pre-concentrators of explosive vapor, catalysis, wastewater remediations, etc. [58,59].

MOFs possessed open-framework structures that can allow for the inclusion of guest species, particularly solvents during synthesis. These guest species could be removed via desolvation that may result in an empty framework [60]. Therefore, the nature of the framework is determined by the extent to which the volatile solvents are sufficiently removed or exchanged to permit either the generation of a truly porous material or other molecules to occupy the pore structure [61,62]. The MOFs system allows access to open-framework structures with network topologies and connectivity that are not usually observed in classical porous materials [63]. Of much interest is the possibility of generating large-diameter channels and cavities. By controlling the size and functionalization of the organic linkers, well defined MOF structures with high surface areas and tunable pore sizes can be achieved [64,65].

Few reviews were found in the literature highlighting the applications of MOFs for wastewater remediation. Kumar et al. (2018) focused on inorganic contaminants removal using MOFs in the wastewater system [66]. A review by Dhaka et al. (2019) also discussed more on the performance of MOFs for the adsorptive removal of several emerging pollutants [67]. In addition, the performance of MOFs on heavy metals and other inorganic pollutants removal compared to other adsorbents. Joseph et al. (2019) also reviewed the removal of pharmaceuticals drugs in wastewater [68]. However, those reviews have not discussed details on adsorption of various classes of emerging organic and that the photocatalytic degradation of the pollutants was not considered. The present review is aimed at filling the gaps that were not provided by the earlier reports. Thus, a comprehensive update on the adsorptive removal of emerging organic pollutants, using MOFs and their composites are presented. Additionally, the photocatalytic degradation of these pollutants by the MOFs and composites will be discussed. Since the effectiveness of an adsorbent is normally evaluated based on adsorption capacity, selectivity for the specific compound, and regenerability, these relevant data and others are provided in our compilations.

#### 2. MOFs for Remediation of Emerging Pollutants in Water

#### 2.1. MOFs for Adsorption

The possibility to synthesize hundreds of frameworks from various clusters of metal ions with organic linkers gives rise to an unlimited number of crystalline MOFs with microporous or mesoporous

structures. Additionally, different functional groups in the organic linkers and metal node serves as adsorption centers for various types of organic contaminants [69].

MOFs also offer selective adsorption of organic molecules due to the functionalities of the organic linkers, possibly forming inclusion complexes with the guest adsorbate molecules. The mode of adsorption interactions is usually through covalent bonding, hydrogen bonding, dative bonding, Van der Waals forces, and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions [70,71] (Figure 2). Molecular modeling has shown that when the pore sizes of the MOF is bigger than the pollutant molecule, the guest molecule to preferably resides in the pores of MOFs [72]. Alternatively, the guest molecule is adsorbed on the outside if it is bigger than the pores of the MOF. Thus, choosing the MOF for the adsorption of an analyte is important to optimize the adsorption [73,74]. MOFs with promising adsorption properties have been selectively used for the removal of contaminants in water. Their stabilities, adsorption capacities, and ease of reusability have been reported [75].



**Figure 2.** Interactions in adsorption of a contaminant (acid orange 7) onto the pores of MOFs. Reproduced with permission from Reference [76].

For the past 10 years, MOFs have received considerable attention as potential adsorbent materials for the removal of pollutants in water. The number of articles that were published from 2010–2020 on the adsorption and photocatalytic degradation by MOFs according to the category of pollutants is shown in Figure 3. It can be readily seen that publications were predominantly on adsorptions compared to photocatalytic degradation. Dyes were also popular topics of research both for adsorption and photocatalytic degradation. Dyes were also popular topics of research both for adsorption and photocatalytic degradation. This is not surprising as studies on removal and degradation of dyes are easy to be executed using spectrophotometers, and the effects can be seen with the naked eye. On the other hand, studies on pollutants that are not chromogenic, such as the Perfluorooctane sulfonates (PFOS) and Perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), will require less readily available instruments, such as High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-conductivity or tandem HPLC-MS. Nevertheless, it can be expected that studies using MOFs for other categories of pollutants will grow significantly in the coming years.



**Figure 3.** Publications on the adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of some emerging pollutants using MOFs from 2010–2020. Data were obtained from science direct using the keywords; MOFs; adsorption; photocatalytic degradations; dyes, phenols; pesticides and herbicides; and pharmaceuticals and personal care products PPCPs.

### 2.2. MOFs for Photocatalysis

The idea of using MOFs as photocatalysts were first conceived by Alvaro et al., 2007 [77], when investigating the semiconducting properties of MOF-5. In their pioneering studies, terephthalate organic linker of the MOF, when in solution tends to generate some changes. This is suggested by the fact that electrons are ejected from the excited terephthalate molecule. This finding was the catalyst for investigations on the use of MOFs as photocatalyst for the degradation of different contaminants in water.

Generally, MOFs exhibit semiconductor-like behavior upon light irradiation. The organic linker can act as an antenna to harvest light from the either natural or artificial sources and subsequently activate the metal sites via ligand to metal cluster charge transition (LMCT) [78]. The mechanism can be viewed in terms of excitation of an electron from the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) when light is irradiated on the MOF, thus leaving a hole in the HOMO. This hole can interact with OH, forming an OH<sup>•</sup> radical which oxidizes the organic compounds [79]. Thus, the photocatalytic performance of the photoactive MOF involves the generation of electron-hole pairs in the conduction and valence bands of the MOF respectively. In an aqueous medium, the generated electrons ( $e^-$ ) interact with oxygen to produce oxygen radicals which in turn transform to hydroxyl free radicals (OH<sup>•</sup>). Similarly, the generated holes ( $h^+$ ) could undergo a reduction upon interactions with the hydroxyl molecules in the solution to form the hydroxyl free radical or act directly or the pollutant. In both cases, the OH<sup>•</sup> and  $h^+$  active species could sufficiently attack the target pollutant and subsequently breaks all the bonds in the analyte to ultimately form non-toxic species (CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O). Thus, an important criterion in the choice of MOFs for photocatalytic applications is the ability of the MOFs to harvest and channel the light energy.

The high porosity of MOFs contributes extensively to the photocatalytic process by trapping the pollutants. Some MOFs containing Fe, Cr, Zr, and Ti metal ions exhibit good stability in water and can harvest and channel solar energy [80]. They usually possess a small bandgap which enables visible light excitation; hence, they are considered as good candidates for photocatalytic degradations of organic pollutants [81].

#### 2.3. MOF Composites for Adsorption and Photocatalytic Degradation

Even though the fact that MOFs have displayed good potential as adsorbents and photocatalysts for pollutant remediation, some MOFs are plagued by poor chemical and moisture stability and the inability to harness energy from sunlight. To overcome these shortcomings, MOFs have been incorporated with other functional materials, such as metal and metal-oxide nanoparticles (MIL-101(Cr/Al)) [82,83], carbon quantum dots (CQDs/NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-125(Ti)) [84], graphene and graphene oxides, zeolite (ZIF-67@MIL-125-NH<sub>2</sub>) [85], (CNT@MIL-68(Al) [86], molecular imprinted materials e.g., polydopamine (PDA/Fe-MOF/RGO) [87], and ionic liquids, to form composites. These MOF composites were prepared using techniques, such as fabrication, impregnation, surface functionalization, immobilization, and deposition. Some of the methods were able to produce composite MOFs with remarkable properties than the precursor materials. Nevertheless, applications of composites of MOF as photocatalysts are still at the infancy stage. An important target of photocatalytic activities is low bandgaps (<3.0 eV) that allow visible light from the sun to be harnessed.

The MOFs composites usually possessed some synergistic effects, such as the reduction of bandgap, lower photoluminescence, and photocurrent response, to harness light energy and prevent electron-hole recombination. Thus, the composites are highly efficient in utilizing light energy from both visible and ultra-violet regions and higher stability in harsh environments as compared to the counterpart pristine MOFs [88,89].

Similarly, MOF composites with other active materials, such as metal-oxide nanoparticles, carbon quantum dots (CQDs), and graphene oxides (GO), have proven to be effective photocatalysts for the degradation of organic pollutants. This is because the incorporated semi-conductor materials help to facilitate electron transfer in the MOF, resulting into effective separation of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs. On this basis, Wang et al. (2019) [90] proposed on the mechanism of enhanced photocatalytic degradation of rhodamine blue dye using CQDs supported on NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-125(Ti) as follows:

$$CQDs/NH_2-MIL-125(Ti) + hv \rightarrow CQDs/NH2-MIL-125 + e^- + h^+$$
(1)

$$e^- + O_2 \to O_2^{\bullet -} \tag{2}$$

$$O_2 + H_2 O \to HO_2^{\bullet} + OH^{\bullet}$$
(3)

$$HO_2^{\bullet} + H_2O \to H_2O_2 + OH^{\bullet}$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

$$H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2OH^{\bullet}$$
 (5)

$$h^+ + OH^- \to OH^{\bullet} \tag{6}$$

$$OH^{\bullet} + RhB(dye) \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O$$
 (7)

$$h^+ + RhB(dye) \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O$$
 (8)

Recently, Li et al. (2019) prepared an interesting heterojunction composite of the MOF (NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-53(Fe)) with graphitic carbon nitride doped pyromellitic to form the composite (g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/PDI@NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-53(Fe)) using the facile hydrothermal technique. The composite exhibited photoactive for the removal of tetracycline (90% in 1 h), carbamazepine (78% in 2.5 h), bisphenol A (100% in 10 min), and p-nitrophenol (100% in 30 min). Additionally, the composite MOF was more efficient in terms of reusability (5th cycles for each pollutant) than the pristine NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-53(Fe) MOF [91]. Similarly, the synthesis of hybrid MOF/COF composites of NH<sub>2</sub>- MIL-53(Al), NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-125(Ti), and NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66(Zr) with (1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyl)tribenzaldehyde (TTB) and 4,4',4''-(1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyl)trianiline(TTA) to form N/TTB-TTA (N = NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-53(Al), NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-125(Ti), and NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66(Zr)) was reported by the group of He et al. (2019). These hybrids MOFs have shown improved porosity and photocatalytic efficiency for the complete mineralization of methyl orange in aqueous medium [92]. Figure 4 depicted the mechanism of methyl orange and 4-nitrophenol degradation using MOF-199-NH<sub>2</sub>/BaWO<sub>4</sub> composite synthesized from MOF-199-NH<sub>2</sub> and BaWO<sub>4</sub> by the immobilization technique [93]. It is

interesting to note that the immobilization of  $BaWO_4$  into the MOF-199-NH<sub>2</sub> has caused a red-shift in the absorption maximum of the composites with lower optical property than the pristine MOF. In addition, the calculated bandgap of the composite is lower (3.0 eV) compared to the MOF-199-NH<sub>2</sub> (3.2 eV) (Figure 4). Thus, complete degradation within 50 and 80 min were achieved using the MOF-199-NH<sub>2</sub>/BaWO<sub>4</sub> composite for methyl orange and 4-nitrophenol, respectively.



**Figure 4.** The mechanism for photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange and 4-nitrophenol using composite photocatalyst (MOF-199-NH<sub>2</sub>/BaWO<sub>4</sub>). Reproduced with permission from Reference [93].

# 3. MOFs and Composites for Adsorption and Photocatalytic Degradation of Emerging Pollutants in Water

### 3.1. MOFs and Composites for Adsorption and Photocatalytic Degradation of Dyes

Globally, water contamination from dyes has been one of the biggest sources of environmental pollution. Despite various regulations on the use of dyes, the discharge of effluents containing dyes, particularly from small-scale textile, cosmetics, leather, and food industries, has been a major source of water pollution. These dyes, when discharge into the environmental water, usually cause significant ecological threats, such as destruction of aquatic life, impeding plant growth, and posing various forms of toxicity to humans, including genotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, neurotoxicity, and other forms of diseases [21]. Thus, concerted efforts are needed to address the problem at the source and to remediate the already polluted water to safe levels. Figure 5 depicted the trends in publications on adsorption and photocatalytic degradations of dyes for the last decade. Exponential growth in the number of publications has been observed each year for both adsorptions and photocatalytic degradations of dyes, respectively, according to the data obtained from science direct repository.

The significant porosity of MOFs due to the number of empty spaces within the frameworks rendered them a suitable candidate for dye adsorption [94]. The MOFs can provide larger adsorption sites for various kinds of dye molecules, including both cationic and anionic [95,96]. The simultaneous adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange (Figure 6) using Co- and Zn-based MOFs, (M(tpbpc)(bdc)0.5·H<sub>2</sub>O) was reported by Liu et al. (2017), with complete mineralization of the dye achieved at 90 min of irradiations [97].



**Figure 5.** Publications from 2010–2020 on the adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of dyes using MOFs. Data was obtained from the science direct using keywords MOFs; adsorption, and photocatalytic degradations dyes.



**Figure 6.** (a) Adsorption and photocatalytic degradations spectra of methyl orange dye using Zn and  $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Zn}^{2+}$  metal-doped MOFs (M(tpbpc)(bdc)0.5·H<sub>2</sub>O) and (b) photographs of photocatalytic degradation of the dye using the MOFs under visible light irradiations. Reproduced with permission from Reference [97].

Table 1 summarizes some of the properties of MOFs as adsorbents for the removal of dyes from water. Some of the MOFs exhibited abnormally high surface area (up to  $3500 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ ). More so, they have shown higher adsorption capacities than other conventional adsorbents. For example, UiO-67(Zr) was able to achieve an equilibrium adsorption capacity of 799 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, for Congo red adsorption [98]. Adsorption capacity with (q<sub>e</sub>) value of 1045 mg g<sup>-1</sup> was achieved for the adsorption of methylene blue by MIL-100(Fe) [99]. It is heartening to note that some of the MOFs were able to achieve almost or complete removal of the dyes within a relatively shorter time than the other adsorbents, which take several days to achieve complete removal. Many authors did not report the regeneration of their adsorbents; nevertheless, some of these MOFs could be reused a number of times without significant reduction in their efficiencies.

| Type of MOF  | Synthesis<br>Method          | Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Pollutants   | Concentration (mg $L^{-1}$ ) | % Removal             | Qe (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )  | Equilibrium<br>Time                      | Reused | Ref            |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|--------|----------------|
| Fe-BTC   | Solvothermal                 | 877   | Orange II  | 50                           | 92                    | 207                       | 80 min                                   | 4      | [52]           |
| MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal                 | 53  | Methyl orange  | 100                          | 77                    | 77                        | 60 min                                   | 3      | [100]          |
| MOF-235(Fe)  | Solvothermal                 | -   | Methyl orange<br>Methylene blue                                    | 30                           | -                     | 477<br>187                | 250 min                                  | -      | [101]          |
| MIL-125(Ti)  | Solvothermal                 | 1108  | Crystal violet   | 40                           | -                     | 130                       | 180 min                                  | -      | [102]          |
| MIL-101(Cr)  | Hydrothermal                 | 3514  | Methylene blue<br>Methyl red                                       | 30<br>300                    | -                     | 11<br>247                 | 30 min<br>30 min                         | -      | [103]          |
| MIL-101(Cr)  | Microwave                    | 2410  | Reactive yellow<br>Reactive black<br>Reactive red<br>Reactive blue | 300                          | 100                   | 386<br>377<br>390<br>397  | 24 h                                     | -      | [104]          |
| MIL-100(Fe)<br>MIL-100(Cr)   | Hydrothermal<br>Hydrothermal | 17701760  | Methyl orange<br>Methylene blue<br>Methyl orange<br>Methylene blue | 30<br>30                     | 85<br>100<br>8<br>100 | 1045<br>736<br>212<br>645 | 3 days<br>22 days                        | -      | [99]           |
| MIL-101(Cr)<br>MIL-101(Cr)-SO <sub>3</sub> H                                       | Hydrothermal<br>Hydrothermal | 3016<br>1546                                      | Fluorescein sodium<br>Safranine Fluorescein<br>sodium Safranine    | 100<br>100                   | -<br>-<br>-           | 280<br>701<br>114<br>425  | 700 min<br>700 min<br>700 min<br>700 min | 4<br>4 | [105]<br>[105] |
| Cu-BTC   | Hydrothermal                 | 521   | Methylene blue   | 200                          | -                     | 96                        | 40 min                                   | 4      | [106]          |
| Cu-BTC MOF<br>Cu-BTC@GO<br>Cu-BTC@CNT<br>Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /Cu-BTC@GO | Solvothermal                 | 856508123176                                      | Methylene blue   | 100                          | -<br>-<br>-           | 67<br>152<br>172<br>136   | 12 h                                     | -      | [107]          |
| Ce(III)-doped UiO-67   | Solvothermal                 | 1911  | Methylene blue<br>Congo red<br>Methyl orange                       | 100                          | 95<br>96              | 399<br>800<br>401         | 80 min                                   | 4<br>4 | [98]           |
| AIF-MOF<br>AIF-GO<br>AIF-rGO   | Hydrothermal                 | 973<br>918<br>952                                 | Congo red  | 50                           | 99                    | 93<br>102<br>179          | 30 min                                   | -      | [108]          |

# **Table 1.** MOFs reported for the adsorption of dyes.

NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66(Zr)

TiO<sub>2</sub>-MIL-101(Cr)

MIL-101(Cr)

Solvothermal

Hydrothermal

247

2361 531 Safranin

Methylene blue

| Type of MOF  | Synthesis<br>Method | Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Pollutants   | Concentration (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | % Removal      | Qe (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Equilibrium<br>Time | Reused | Ref   |
|--|---------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|
| NH2 -MIL-125(Ti)   | Solvothermal        | 1350  | Basic blue<br>Methylene blue<br>Basic red            | 20                                  | 93<br>97<br>99 | 1257<br>862<br>1296      | 30 min              | 3      | [109] |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -UiO-66(Zr)  | Solvothermal        | 954   | Methylene blue                                       | 200                                 | 88             | 321                      | 15 min              | 6      | [30]  |
| UiO-66(Zr)   | Solvothermal        | 1244  | Rhodamine Blue                                       | 20                                  | 91             | 90                       | 200 min             | 5      | [110] |
| Zn-MOF   | Room temp           | 1046  | Methylene blue                                       | 10                                  | 98             | 326                      | 60 min              | 4      | [111] |
| CPM-97(Fe)   | Solvothermal        | 1397  | Congo red  | 40                                  | 100            | 831                      | 30 min              | 3      | [112] |
| MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal        | 23  | Methyl red   | 100                                 | 78             | 76                       | 60 min              | 3      | [100] |
| MIL-101(Cr)  | Hydrothermal        | 2664  | Xylenol orange                                       | 400                                 | 90             | 159                      | 30 min              | 3      | [113] |
| BTB-Mn   | Solvothermal        | 3143  | Methylene blue                                       | 15                                  | 89             | 308                      | 120 min             | 6      | [114] |
| NOTT-102(Cu)   | Solvothermal        | 3006  | Methylene blue                                       | 20                                  | 97             | 850                      | 24 h                | 3      | [115] |
| Ni-Zn-MOF  | Solvothermal        | 57  | Congo red  | 30                                  | -              | 461                      | 300 min             | 5      | [116] |
| Cu-MOF/Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>                                | Solvothermal        | 34  | Malachite green                                      | 50                                  | 90             | 114                      | 60 min              | 5      | [117] |
| Ni-MOF/GO  | Ball milling        | 70  | Congo red  | 200                                 | -              | 2489                     | 300 min             | -      | [118] |
| PEI-modified Cu-BTC  | Hydrothermal        | 785   | Congo red<br>Acid blue                               | 1200<br>100                         | 100<br>100     | 2578<br>132              | 200 min             | 6<br>6 | [78]  |
| PED-MIL-101(Cr)<br>PED-MIL-101(Cr)                                   | Hydrothermal        | 3491<br>3296                                      | Methyl orange<br>Methyl orange                       | 50<br>50                            | NA<br>NA       | 160<br>194               | 250 min<br>250 min  | 3<br>3 | [119] |
| Ac-HKUST-1   | Solvothermal        | -   | Crystal violet<br>Disulfine blue<br>Quinoline yellow | 10<br>10<br>10                      | 100<br>91      | 133<br>130<br>65         | 4 min               | -      | [120] |
| MIL-101(Fe)@PDopa@Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>                     | Solvothermal        | -   | Methyl red<br>Malachite green                        | 100<br>100                          | 92<br>100      | 833<br>1250              | 30 min<br>60 min    | 4<br>4 | [121] |
| H <sub>6</sub> P <sub>2</sub> W <sub>18</sub> O <sub>62</sub> /MOF-5 | Hydrothermal        | 395   | Methylene blue                                       | 20                                  | 97             | 52                       | 10 min              | -      | [122] |
| Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @MIL-100(Fe)                          | Solvothermal        | 730   | Methylene blue                                       | 20                                  | 83             | 221                      | 24 h                | 4      | [123] |
| NENU/GO  | Solvothermal        | 380   | Basic red 46   | 5                                   | 88             | 130                      | 6 min               | -      | [124] |
| MIL-68(Al)/PVDF  | Casting             | -   | Methylene blue                                       | 10                                  | 96             | 61                       | 360 min             | 6      | [125] |

135

20

100

-

39

9

21

480 min

50 min

4

-

[126]

[127]

## Table 1. Cont.

12 of 42

With the discovery of the photocatalytic properties of the MOF-5 in 2007, researchers continue exploring the photocatalytic efficiencies of other classes of MOFs for the degradations of contaminants from wastewater, of which dyes received considerable attention. The photocatalytic degradation offers an interesting option to completely breakdown the persistent dyes into neutral species. Some of the MOFs reported were able to degrade the contaminants under sunlight irradiations due to their lower band-gap, higher surface area, and pore volume, as well as good stability in aqueous medium. However, a major shortcoming encountered was the inability of some MOFs to be activated under visible light irradiations. Similarly, some of the MOFs were unstable in an aqueous medium. As such modifications using functionalized materials were considered [128]. Thus, various MOF composites, such as bi-metallic MOFs [129], NPs@MOFs [130], CQDs@MOFs, etc., with different active species were found to be more effective than the corresponding pristine MOFs, particularly in terms of harvesting visible light, preventing electron-hole recombination, and reusability.

Some MOFs and their composites reported for the photocatalytic degradation of dyes are summarized in Table 2. It can be seen that some of the pristine MOFs possessed high bandgaps (>3.0 eV); thus, they cannot utilize visible light effectively for photodegradation to occur, particularly under the sunlight irradiations. However, it is worthy to note that, the higher surface area of the MOFs might result in their higher absorption profile which can be extended to the visible region. Thus, they can absorb a few photons of visible light energy, capable to generate some holes on the surface of the MOFs to form free radicals that can act on the dyes. The functionalization of the organic linker in the MOFs was also responsible for the photocatalytic degradation. As an example, the presence of NH2 in NH2-MIL-88(Fe) has been claimed as the contributing factor to the adsorption capacity due to the shift in the absorption maximum of the MOF [50]. It is interesting to note that, modifications of the MOFs with light active species, such as metals, metal oxides, sulfides, etc., resulted in MOF composites with much lower bandgaps than the pristine MOFs or the active materials themselves [131]. Of all the MOFs reported in Table 2, only 16% were able to achieve the bandgap of less than 3.0 eV. This underscores the need for new materials with reduced bandgaps to tap sunlight irradiation for their degradation.

| MOF   | Synthesis<br>Method  | Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Bandgap (eV) | Pollutants                       | Concentration<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Light<br>Source | (%)<br>Removal       | Irradiation Time   | Reused | Ref   |
|---|--|---|--------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| MIL-88(Fe)  | Hydrothermal   | -   | 2.05         | Methylene blue                   | 32                                     | Visible         | -                    | 50 min             | 4      | [132] |
| NH <sub>2-</sub> MIL-88(Fe)   | Microwave  | 164   | -            | Methylene blue                   | 20                                     | Visible         | 98                   | 60 min             | 5      | [50]  |
| MIL-100(Fe)   | Hydrothermal   | 5   | -            | Basic blue                       | 15                                     | Ultraviolet     | 99                   | 180 min            | 3      | [133] |
| MIL-125(Ti)   | Microwave  | -   | 3.14         | Methylene blue                   | -                                      | Visible         | 97                   | 360 min            | -      | [134] |
| MIL-101(Fe)<br>MIL-100(Fe)<br>MIL-53(Fe)<br>MIL-88B(Fe)               | Solvothermal<br>Solvothermal<br>Solvothermal<br>Solvothermal | 2986<br>1798<br>965<br>19                         | -<br>-<br>-  | Acid orange                      | 80                                     | Visible         | 95<br>88<br>62<br>23 | 120 min            | 3      | [135] |
| MIL-53(Fe)<br>Ni-MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal   | 300<br>480  | 2.59<br>2.24 | Rhodamine blue                   | 14.4                                   | Visible         | 81<br>91             | 180 min            | -      | [136] |
| MIL-101(Cr)<br>TiO <sub>2</sub> -MIL-101(Cr)                          | Hydrothermal   | 2361<br>531                                       | 2.3<br>2.59  | Methylene blue                   | 20                                     | Ultraviolet     | 43<br>100            | 30 min             | -      | [127] |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-88B(Fe)  | Microwave  | 164   | -            | Methylene blue                   | 20                                     | Visible         | 98                   | 45 min             | 5      | [50]  |
| NT/MIL-100(Fe)  | Hydrothermal   | 1414  |              | Methylene blue<br>Rhodamine blue | -                                      | Visible         | 99<br>94             | 180 min            | 4      | [137] |
| PCN/MIL-100(Fe)   | Hydrothermal   | 1252  | -            | Methylene blue<br>Rhodamine blue | 10                                     | Visible         | 75<br>80             | 200 min            | -      | [131] |
| TiO <sub>2</sub> @MIL-101(Fe)   | Hydrothermal   | 1919  | -            | Methyl orange                    | 150                                    | Ultraviolet     | 99                   | 50 min             | -      |       |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-125(Ti)<br>CQDs/NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-125(Ti)     | Hydrothermal   | 487<br>198  | 2.43<br>2.33 | Rhodamine blue                   | 10<br>10                               | Visible         | 64<br>100            | 120 min<br>120 min | 7<br>7 | [90]  |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-53(Al)<br>NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-53(Al)/<br>RGO/PS | Hydrothermal   | 1051<br>95  | 2.7<br>2.4   | Methylene blue                   | 30                                     | Visible         | 41<br>59             | 210 min            | 3      | [138] |
| MIL-100(Fe)@Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>                            | Microwave  | 702   | 1.75         | Rhodamine blue                   | 10                                     | Visible         | 98                   | 60 min             | 4      | [139] |
| MOF-199   | Solvothermal   | 343   | 5.43         | Basic blue                       | 20                                     | Ultraviolet     | -                    | 180 min            | -      | [140] |
| MOF-199<br>MOF-199-NH <sub>2</sub> /BaWO <sub>4</sub>                 | Hydrothermal   | -   | 3.2<br>3     | Methyl orange                    | 10<br>10                               | Ultraviolet     | 38<br>98             | 50 min             | -      | [141] |
| MOF-1   | Solvothermal   | -   | 3.0          | Methyl violet                    | 10                                     | Ultraviolet     | 74                   | 100 min            | -      | [142] |
| HU11(Pr)  | Solvothermal   | -   | 3.3          | Crystal blue                     | 220                                    | Visible         | 100                  | 24 h               | -      | [143] |
| UiO-66/g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>                                | Mechanical   | 384   | 2.72         | Methylene blue                   | 10                                     | Visible         | -                    | 180 min            | 6      | [144] |
| Bi <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>6</sub> /UiO-66(Zr)                          | Hydrothermal   | 726   | 2.45         | Rhodamine blue                   | 10                                     | Visible         | 96                   | 120 min            | 3      | [145] |
| In <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> /UiO-66(Zr)                            | Solvothermal   | 802   | 1.4          | Methyl orange                    | 15                                     | Visible         | 96                   | 40 min             | 5      | [146] |

**Table 2.** MOFs and MOF composites for the photocatalytic degradation of dyes.

| Table 2 | <b>2.</b> Cont. |  |
|---------|-----------------|--|
|---------|-----------------|--|

| MOF  | Synthesis<br>Method | Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Bandgap (eV) | Pollutants                                 | Concentration (mg $L^{-1}$ ) | Light<br>Source | (%)<br>Removal | Irradiation Time  | Reused | Ref   |
|--|---------------------|---|--------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|--------|-------|
| CdS@NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-125(Ti)   | Solvothermal        | 1247  | 2.36         | Rhodamine blue                             | 180                          | Visible         | 97             | 120 min           | -      | [147] |
| Ag <sub>3</sub> VO <sub>4</sub> /Cu-MOF/GO   | Room<br>temperature | 6   | -            | Acid blue                                  | 10                           | Visible         | 100            | 120 min           | 3      | [148] |
| BiVO <sub>4</sub> /Fe-MOF/GO   | Microwave           | 33  | 2.18         | Rhodamine blue                             | 15                           | Visible         | -              | 60 min            | 4      | [1]   |
| AgBr@HPU-4   | Room<br>temperature | -   | -            | Methylene blue<br>Methyl orange            | 12.75<br>12.75               | Visible         | 95<br>92       | 60 min<br>120 min | 5<br>5 | [149] |
| BiVO <sub>4</sub> /MIL-53(Fe)  | Solvothermal        | 33  | 2.18         | Rhodamine blue                             | 15                           |                 | -              | 60 min            | 4      | [1]   |
| Ag <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /AgBr/Ag-HKUST-  | 1 Solvothermal      | 1   |              | Methylene blue<br>Acid orange<br>Eosin red | 15                           | Visible         | 92<br>90<br>90 | 80 min            | 3      | [150] |
| Ag <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> -HKUST-1                   | Solvothermal        | -   | 2.07         | Trypan blue<br>vesuvine                    | 25                           | Visible         | 98<br>99       | 25 min            | -      | [151] |
| MOF/CuWO <sub>4</sub>  | Hydrothermal        | 801   | 2.4          | Methylene blue                             | 10                           | Visible         | 98             | 135 min           | 6      | [152] |
| QD/Eu-MOF  | Room<br>temperature | -   | 2.29         | Rhodamine blue                             | 2                            | Ultraviolet     | 90             | 50 min            | -      | [153] |
| Resin/FeBTC  | Hydrothermal        | -   | 2.31         | Rhodamine blue<br>Methylene blue           | 400                          | Visible         | 99<br>67       | 30 min            | 5      | [154] |
| MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal        | -   | 2.43         | Rhodamine blue                             | 1580                         | Visible         | 85             | 120 min           | 5      | [155] |
| MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal        | -   | 3.87         | Methylene blue                             | 128                          | Visible         | 99             | 20 min            | 5      | [156] |
| MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal        | 38  | 2.69         | Rhodamine blue                             | 10                           | Visible         | -              | 180 min           | -      | [157] |
| MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal        | 89  | -            | Orange green                               | 0.2                          | Visible         | 98             | 90 min            | 5      | [158] |
| MIL-100(Fe)@MIL-53(Fe)   | Sonochemical        | 315   | 1.84         | Methyl orange                              | 10                           | Visible         | 98             | 180 min           | 5      | [159] |
| [CoNi(m3-tp) <sub>2</sub><br>(m <sub>2</sub> -pyz) <sub>2</sub> ]<br>MOF/CuWO <sub>4</sub> | Hydrothermal        | 1054<br>801                                       | 2.5<br>2.4   | Methylene blue                             | 10                           | Visible         | 32<br>98       | 135 min           | 6      | [152] |
| UiO-66(Zr)<br>α-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> @UiO-66(Zr)                                 | Solvothermal        | 1487<br>1204                                      | -            | Methylene blue                             | 128                          | Visible         | -              | 50 min            | 3      | [160] |
| UiO-66(Zr)<br>CuS/UiO-66(Zr)   | Solvothermal        | -   | 3.5<br>2.01  | Rhodamine blue                             | 10                           | Visible         | 50<br>90       | 60 min            | 3      | [161] |
| NiFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal        | 43  | -            | Rhodamine blue                             | 4.7                          | Visible         | 95             | 180 min           | -      | [162] |
| MIL-88(Fe)<br>TiO <sub>2</sub> NS@MIL-100(Fe)  | Hydrothermal        | 1670<br>725                                       | 2.6<br>2.87  | Methylene blue                             | 50                           | Visible         | -              | 60 min            | 4      | [163] |

## 3.2. MOFs and Composites for Adsorptive Removal and Photocatalytic Degradation of Phenols and Other Miscellaneous Emerging Pollutants

Phenolic compounds are widely used by chemical and allied industries in making useful products, such as petrochemicals and plastics. Phenols and its derivatives are also used as a precursor in chemical industries in the production of pharmaceuticals, dyes, herbicides, pesticides, detergents, epoxies, among others. It has been estimated that more than 10 million tons of phenolic compounds are discharged annually into the environment, thus polluting the soil, surface water, and underground water [164]. The presence of these toxic endocrine-disrupting compounds, such as phenol, bisphenol A, 2,4-dinitrophenol, and 2,3,4,5-tetrachlorophenol, in the wastewater poses negative effects to living organisms, threatening the harmony of ecosystems [165]. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) stipulates the threshold level of phenolic effluents to be discharged into public sewage systems should not exceed 5 ppm, and the maximum permissible limit in potable drinking water should not exceed 1 ppb [166].

Modern agricultural practice requires the use of agrochemicals, such as pesticides and herbicides, that help to protect farm products from pests, controlling unwanted weeds, as well as boosting the yield of crops. Herbicides are chemicals that are primarily produced to inhibit weeds that compete with the plant's growth, while insecticides are aimed at repelling or mitigating insects and other pests from attacking the agricultural products, such as fruits, vegetables, cotton, etc. Commonly used agrochemicals are the neonicotinoids (e.g., thiamethoxam, imidacloprid, acetamiprid, nitenpyram, dinotefuran, clothianidin, and thiacloprid), organophosphates (e.g., diazinon, parathion, methyl parathion, paraoxon, and fenitrothion) and carbamates (e.g., aldicarb, carbaryl, and methomyl). When applied, these chemicals accumulate in the soil and subsequently washed into the environmental waters, such as lakes, lagoon river, and groundwater, posing potential hazards to the ecosystem [167]. Glyphosate, the most widely used herbicide in the USA, has been listed as a likely human carcinogenic agrochemical by the World Health Organization [168]. Similarly, atrazine also has been reported to show endocrine-disrupting property to aquatic animals even at low concentrations [169].

Other emerging pollutants of high toxic effects in water are the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). They are a group of hydrophobic compounds with two or more benzene rings. PAHs are known to originate extensively from anthropogenic sources, particularly from crude oil exploration, petrochemical effluents, oil spillage, etc. [170,171]. Due to their lipophilic nature, they are prone to be accumulated in the fatty tissues of living organisms. Long-term exposure to PAHs results in eye irritations, nausea, vomiting, and, in severe cases, may lead to liver and kidney failure and lung cancer [172,173]. Hence, they are categorized as emerging contaminants by the European Union, the USEPA, and other environmental regulatory bodies [174].

Another group of highly recalcitrant emerging pollutants that have currently gained world-wide attention are the poly and perfluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS). PFAS made headlines because they were found in the drinking water across many cities in the US and other countries of the world. Removing them is so difficult that scientists have nicknamed them "forever chemicals."

PFAS are fluorinated chemicals that have been widely used for the production of industrial (e.g., surfactants) and consumer products (e.g., non-stick coatings). The most toxic of these groups are the perfluoroalkyl carboxylates (PFCAs) and perfluoroalkyl sulfonates (PFAS). Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) tends to bioaccumulate in human tissues and possessed a half-life of 4 years [175]. PFOA and PFOS are highly water-soluble; thus, they are readily transported in the aquatic environment. These compounds are detected in surface water [176], groundwater [177], rainwater [178], wastewater [179], and drinking water [180]. They have also been detected in a number of food matrices [181], human serum, breast milk, and other biological samples [182]. The USEPA has recommended clean-up of underground water that is contaminated with 70 parts per trillion of PFOA and PFOS [183]. The recommendation, however, is applied to groundwater that is a current or potential source of drinking water. The structures of PFOA and PFOS are shown in Figure 7. Typical of perfluoro compounds, it is the high-energy C–F bonds that render them persistent in the environment.



Figure 7. Molecular structures of (a) Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and (b) Perfluorooctane sulfonates (PFOS).

The toxicological impacts of these emerging pollutants have motivated researchers to look for green and environmentally sustainable methods for their remediations. Some water-insoluble MOFs and their composites offer good removal and photo-active degradations of herbicides and pesticides from wastewater. As an example, rapid (20–60 min) and complete removal (99%) of glyphosate were achieved using the highly porous zirconium MOFs NU-100(Zr) and UiO-67(Zr) [184]. Similarly, high removal of bisphenol A (473 mg/g) was achieved in 30 min using MIL-53(Al)-F127 composite MOF [185].

Studies by Apkinar et al. [186] exemplify the synthetic tunability of MOFs on the role of chemical functionality in the adsorptive removal of pollutants from water. The team investigated the adsorption in several Zr-based MOFs with a variety of pore sizes and with increasingly large conjugated  $\pi$ - systems and framework topologies. The unusually fast equilibration adsorption of 1 min exhibited by NU-1000 is due to the rapid diffusion through the hierarchically porous MOF structure although its capacity is comparable to that of other adsorbents that have been used for atrazine adsorption. The studies further corroborated that the presence of linkers with extended  $\pi$ -systems, rather than large pores results in the exceptional atrazine uptake by NU-1000. The applications of some of the MOFs and their composites as adsorbents and photocatalysts for the remediations of these water pollutants are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

Recently, we reported the adsorptions of PAHs in aqueous medium using the highly porous Zr-based UiO (UiO-66(Zr), NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66(Zr)) [187], and MILs (MIL-88(Fe) and NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-88(Fe) [188,189]. In most cases, rapid adsorption of the pollutants was achieved within a short time (30 min), which were attributed to the availability of the active adsorption sites in the MOFs. Molecular docking simulation was used to study the fundamental interactions between the MOFs with chrysene as a PAH model compound (Figure 8). The binding interaction studies show that the chrysene preferably resides in the inner and outer pores UiO-66(Zr) and NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66(Zr), respectively. The preference has resulted from the pore diameters of the MOFs concerning the molecular size of the pollutant [187].



UiO-66(Zr)(CRY) complex

NH2-UiO-66(Zr)(CRY) Complex

**Figure 8.** Diagram for the molecular docking simulation for adsorption of chrysene onto UiO-66(Zr) and NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66(Zr) MOFs (showing the pollutant in the inner pores of the UiO-66(Zr) and the outer pores of the NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66(Zr)). Reproduced with permission from Reference [187].

Very limited reports can be found on the use of MOFs for the adsorption of the perfluoro compounds (Table 3). Jun et al. (2019) investigated the competitive adsorption of three adsorbates (i.e., bisphenol A, 17 $\alpha$ -ethynyl estradiol, and PFOA) using Al-MOF. The effects of various water chemistry parameters, such as solution temperature, pH, background ions, and natural organic matter (i.e., humic acid), were also studied. The authors concluded that the synergetic effects of hydrophobic and electrostatic

interactions were important factors in the adsorption process. Three MOFs, zeolitic imidazolate framework-7 (ZIF-7), ZIF-8, and ZIF-L were investigated for the adsorption of PFOA in an aqueous solution by Chen et al. (2016). The PFOA sorption performance of ZIF-7, ZIF-8, and ZIF-L was then compared with the performance of two commercialized adsorbents, zeolite 13X and activated carbon. ZIF-8 and ZIF-L were shown to outperform the two commercial sorbents. Their work demonstrates that the crystal structure and the surface functionality of MOFs influence, PFOA adsorption performance. To date, there is yet to be found reports on the photocatalytic degradation of perfluoro compounds using MOFs and composites.

Some articles published for photocatalytic degradations of phenols, pesticides, herbicides, and PAHs using MOFs and their composites are found in Table 4. According to a report by Mei et al., 2019, complete mineralization of thiamethoxam was achieved within 60 min of visible light irradiation in the presence of MIL-53(Fe) [190]. Before that, Ahmad et al. (2018) decorated MIL-100(Fe) with for ZnO nanosphere for the degradation of phenol, bisphenol A and atrazine. The introduction of the ZnO into the MOF has boosted its optical property; hence the composite was able to absorb visible light. More than 90% of the pollutants were degraded within 120 min [191]. Recently, photocatalytic degradation of bisphenol A was reported using MOF@COF hybrid composites of Fe-MIL-101-NH<sub>2</sub>@TPMA and Zr-UiO-66-NH<sub>2</sub>@TPMA. The synergetic effect of the persulfate (PS) added to the medium coupled with the optical properties of the composites was able to degrade 99% of the pollutant within 240 min under visible light irradiation [192]. To date, MOF has not been reported for the photocatalytic degradations of PAHs and PFASs. A difficulty in the detection of PFASs has been considered as a challenging factor, as it requires sophisticated tandem mass spectrometry.

| Type of MOF                   | Synthesis<br>Method | Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Pollutants  | Concentration (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | % Removal | Qe (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )   | Equilibrium<br>Time | Reused | Ref   |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|
|                               |                     |   | Phenolics   |                                     |           |                            |                     |        |       |
| MIL-53(Al)<br>MIL-53(Al)-F127 | Hydrothermal        | 931<br>1008                                       | Bisphenol A   | 250                                 | -         | 329<br>473                 | 90 min<br>30 min    | 3<br>3 | [185] |
| MIL-68(Al)/PVDF               | Casting             | -   | P-nitrophenol   | 10                                  | 94        | 126                        | 720 min             | 6      | [125] |
| HKUST-1(Cu)                   | Microwave           | -   | P-nitrophenol   | 200                                 |           | 400                        | 30 min              | -      | [193] |
| SiO <sub>2</sub> @MIL-68(Al)  | Solvothermal        | 1156  | Aniline   | 3000                                | -         | 532                        | 40 s                | 5      | [194] |
| [Zn(ATA)(BPD)]<br>MOF-VII     | Ultrasound          | 170<br>675  | 2,4-dichlorophenol  | 60                                  | 68<br>91  | -                          | 90 min<br>90 min    | 5      | [195] |
| [Zn(TDC) MOF                  | Vapor-diffusion     | 235   | 2, 4-dichloropheno  | 60                                  | 95        | -                          | 180 min             | -      | [196] |
| MIL-68(Al)<br>CNT@MIL-68(Al)  | Solvothermal        | 1283<br>1407                                      | Phenol<br>Phenol  | 1000                                | -         | 118<br>257                 | 120 min             | 5      | [86]  |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -UiO-66(Zr)   | Solvothermal        |   | 2,4,6-trinitrophenol<br>Styphnic acid<br>2,4-dinitrotoluene                             | 100                                 | -         | 23<br>24<br>0.5<br>2       | 36 h                | -      | [197] |
| MIL–68(Al)<br>MIL–68(Al)/GO   | Solvothermal        | 550<br>762  | p–nitrophenol   | 300                                 | -         | 271<br>332                 | 17 h<br>17 h        | 5      | [198] |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-88(Fe)   | Hydrothermal        | 414   | 2,4,6-trinitrophenol  | 35                                  | -         | 164                        | 40 min              | 5      | [199] |
| MOF-199(Cu)                   | Solvothermal        | 2271  | Phenol<br><i>v</i> -nitro phenol  | 500                                 | 80<br>89  | 58<br>68                   | 300 min<br>30 min   | -      | [200] |
| Al-MOF/SA-CS                  | Hydrothermal        | 688   | Bisphenol A<br>Bisphenol A  | 50                                  | -         | 137<br>60                  | 18 h                | 6      | [201] |
| Cu-BDC@GrO                    | Solvothermal        | -   | Bisphenol A<br>Bisphenol A  | 200                                 | 97        | 182                        | 40 min              | 5      | [202] |
| laccase@HKUST-1               | Immobilization      | -   | Bisphenol A   | 200                                 | 74        | -<br>-                     | 4 h                 | NA     | [203] |
|                               |                     |   | Pesticides  |                                     |           |                            |                     |        |       |
| M-MOF                         | Room<br>temperature | 250   | Thiamethoxam<br>Acetamiprid<br>Nitenpyram<br>Dinotefuran<br>Clothianidin<br>Thiacloprid | 100                                 | -         | 3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>2<br>3 | 60 min              | -      | [204] |
| MIL-101(Cr)                   | Hydrothermal        | 2612  | Diazinon  | 50                                  | 54        | 158                        | 45 min              | 4      | [205] |
| Cr-MIL-101-BTP                | Hydrothermal        | 1113  | Acetochlor  | 120                                 | 100       | 322                        | 200 min             | 6      | [206] |
| MIL-101(Cr)<br>TS-MIL-101(Cr) | Hydrothermal        | -   | Atrazine  | 30                                  | 37<br>69  | -                          | 60 min              | -      | [207] |

| Table 3. MOFs and composites used | for the adsorptions of phenols | , herbicides, pesticides, ar | nd other miscellaneous organic pollutant | s. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----|
|                                   |                                |                              |  |    |

Type of MOF

HKUST-1(Cu)

UiO-67(Zr)

NU-100(Zr)

UiO-67(Zr) UiO-66(Zr)

UiO-67(Zr)

DUT-52(Zr)

NU-1008(Zr)

ZrO<sub>2</sub>@HKUST-1

Synthesis

Method

Room

temperature

Hydrothermal

Solvothermal

Solvothermal

Solvothermal

|   | -  | lable 3. Cont.                         |                      |                          |                               |        |               |
|---|--|--|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Pollutants                               | Concentration<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | % Removal            | Qe (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Equilibrium<br>Time           | Reused | Ref           |
|   | Herbicides                               |  |                      |                          |                               |        |               |
| 1484<br>1152                                      | Cyhalothrin                              | 60                                     | -                    | 140<br>138               | 2 h                           | -      | [208]         |
| 2172  | Glyphosate<br>Glufosinate                | 200                                    | 96<br>92             | 537<br>360               | 150 min<br>200 min            | -      | [209]         |
| N/A<br>N/A  | Glyphosate                               | 1117.5                                 | 100<br>100           | 1340<br>1500             | 20 min<br>60 min              | -<br>- | [184]         |
| 1640<br>2345                                      | Atrazine                                 | 25                                     | 20<br>98             | 3<br>12                  | 50 min<br>2 min               | 1<br>4 | [210]         |
| 1960<br>1400<br>2110<br>2110                      | Atrazine                                 | 10                                     | 82<br>69<br>85<br>93 | -                        | 1 min                         | 3      | [186]         |
|   | PAHs                                     |  |                      |                          |                               |        |               |
| -   | Naphthalene<br>Anthracene<br>Naphthalene | 100                                    | 88<br>50<br>84       | 87<br>52<br>84           | 210 min<br>120 min<br>210 min | 3      | <b>[2</b> 11] |

Table 2 Cout

| NU-901(Zr)<br>NU-1000(Zr)   | Solvotnermal   | 2110<br>2110 | Atrazine                  | 10  | 85<br>93 | -   | 1 min    | 3 | [186] |
|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|-----|----------|---|-------|
|                             |                |              | PAHs                      |     |          |     |          |   |       |
|                             |                |              | Naphthalene               |     | 88       | 87  | 210 min  |   |       |
| Zn-BDC MOF                  | Mechanical     |              | Anthracene                | 100 | 50       | 52  | 120 min  | 2 | [211] |
| Cu-BDC MOF                  | Mechanical     | -            | Naphthalene               | 100 | 84       | 84  | 210 min  | 3 | [211] |
|                             |                |              | Anthracene                |     | 52       | 52  | 120 min  |   |       |
|                             |                |              | Anthracene                |     | 99       | 24  | 25 min   |   |       |
| UiO-66(Zr)                  | Solvothormal   | 1420         | Chrysene                  | 4   | 96       | 22  | 25 min   | 5 | [197] |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -UiO-66(Zr) | Solvouleilliai | 985          | Anthracene                | 4   | 98       | 24  | 30 min   | 5 | [107] |
|                             |                |              | Chrysene                  |     | 96       | 19  | 30 min   |   |       |
| MIL-88(Fe)                  | Microwavo      | 1240         | Pyrene                    | 4   | 99       | 24  | 40 min   | 5 | [212] |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-88(Fe) | wherewave      | 941          | Pyrene                    | 4   | 96       | 23  | 40 11111 | 5 |       |
| MIL-88(Fe)                  | Microwayo      | 1240         | Chrysene                  | 4   | 99       | 24  | 25 min   | 5 | [188] |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-88(Fe) | wherewave      | 941          | Chrysene                  | 7   | 95       | 22  | 25 mm    | 5 | [100] |
| MIL-88(Fe)                  |                | 1240         | Anthracene                |     | 98       | 24  |          |   |       |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-88(Fe) | Microwave      | 941          | Anthracene                | 4   | 92       | 21  | 25 min   | - | [189] |
| Mixed-MIL-88(Fe)            |                | 1025         | Anthracene                |     | 96       | 23  |          |   |       |
|                             |                |              | PFCAs                     |     |          |     |          |   |       |
| ZIF-7                       | D              | 14           | Daufferance stars at a    |     | 40       | 26  |          |   |       |
| ZIF-8                       | KOOM           | 1291         | Periluorooctanoic         | 250 | 45       | 214 | 60 min   | - | [213] |
| ZIF-L                       | temperature    | 12           | aciu                      |     | 97       | 295 |          |   |       |
| Basolite A-100              | Commercial     | 630          | Perfluorooctanoic<br>acid | 1   | 100      | 169 |          | 4 |       |

| MOF  | Synthesis<br>Method                 | Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Bandgap<br>(eV) | Pollutants                | Concentration (mg $L^{-1}$ ) | Light<br>Source | (%)<br>Removal | Irradiation<br>Time | Reused | Ref   |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|--------|-------|
|  |                                     |   |                 | Phenolics                 |                              |                 |                |                     |        |       |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-125<br>(Ti)@Bi <sub>2</sub> M   | Solvothermal                        | 88  | 1.89            | Dichlorophen              | 10                           | Visible         | 93             | 180 min             | -      | [214] |
| [CoNi(m <sub>3</sub> -tp) <sub>2</sub> (m <sub>2</sub> -pyz) <sub>2</sub> ]<br>MOF/CuWO <sub>4</sub> | Hydrothermal                        | 1054<br>801                                       | 2.5<br>2.4      | 4-nitrophenol             | 10                           | Visible         | 24<br>81       | 105 min             | 6      | [152] |
| MIL-88B(Fe)<br>CNT@MIL-88B(Fe)   | Hydrothermal<br>Hydrothermal        | 118   | -               | Phenol                    | 25                           |                 | 55<br>100      | 30 min<br>10 min    | 3      | [215] |
| CdS@NH2-MIL-125(Ti)  | Solvothermal                        | 1375  | 2.36            | Phenol                    | 180                          | Visible         | -              | 120 min             | 5      | [147] |
| HOQ@MOF-5(Zn)  | Room<br>temperature                 | -   | 3.12            | Phenol                    | 1                            | Visible         | 100            | 70 min              | 5      | [216] |
| MIL-100(Fe)@ZnO  | Solvothermal                        | 654   | 2.63            | Phenol,<br>Bisphenol A    | 5                            | Visible         | 95<br>84       | 120 min             | 5      | [191] |
| MIL-101-NH2@TpMA<br>UiO-66-NH2@TpMA  | Hydrothermal<br>Hydrothermal        | 129<br>531  | 2.12<br>2.01    | Bisphenol A               | 50                           | Visible         | 99<br>82       | 240 min<br>240 min  | 5<br>5 | [192] |
| MIL-88(Fe)/PS/UV   | Microwave                           | -   | 1.78            | Bisphenol A               | 10                           | Visible         | 100            | 30 min              | 3      | [217] |
| MIL-101(Fe)<br>Pd@MIL-100(Fe)  | Hydrothermal                        | 2006<br>2102                                      | -               | Bisphenol A               | 20                           | Visible         | 47<br>68       | 240 min             | 4      | [218] |
| Cu-hemin-MOFs/BN   | Room<br>temperature                 | -   | -               | Bisphenol A               | 40                           | Visible         | 99             | 30 min              | 4      | [219] |
| laccase@HKUST-1(Cu)  | Immobilization                      | 1 -   | -               | Bisphenol A               | 200                          | Visible         | 100            | 4 h                 | 10     | [203] |
| AQS-NH-MIL-101(Fe)   | Solvothermal                        | -   | -               | Bisphenol A               | 60                           | Visible         | 98             | 180 min             | 3      | [220] |
|  |                                     |   |                 | Pesticides                |                              |                 |                |                     |        |       |
| UiO- 66@WG   | Solvothermal                        | 380   | 2.3             | Malathion                 | 20                           | Visible         | 83             | 70 min              | 4      | [221] |
| AgIO <sub>3</sub> /MIL-53(Fe)  | Room<br>temperature                 | 208   | 2.43            | Malathion<br>Chlorpyrifos | 20                           | Solar           | 93<br>98       | 120 min             | -      | [222] |
| Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @MOF-2  | Room<br>temperature                 | -   | -               | Diazinon                  | 30                           | Visible         | 99             | 60 min              | 15     | [223] |
| MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal                        | 668   | 2.89            | Thiamethoxam              | 5                            | Visible         | 96             | 60 min              | -      | [190] |
| HKUST-1(Cu)<br>ZrO <sub>2</sub> @HKUST-1(Cu)   | Room<br>temperature<br>Solvothermal | 1484<br>1152                                      | 3.87<br>2.27    | Cyhalothrin               | 60                           | Visible         | 34<br>100      | 6 h                 | 4      | [208] |
|  |                                     |   |                 | Herbicides                |                              |                 |                |                     |        |       |
| MIL-100(Fe)@ZnO  | Solvothermal                        | 654   | 2.63            | Atrazine                  | 5                            | Visible         | 79             | 120 min             | 5      | [191] |
| TiO2@NH2-MIL-101(Cr)   | Solvothermal                        | -   | -               | Atrazine                  | 30                           | Visible         | 45             | 60 min              | -      | [84]  |

Table 4. MOFs and composites reported for the photocatalytic degradations of phenols, herbicides, pesticides, and other miscellaneous organic pollutants.

It has long been recognized that the catalytic activity of enzymes can be extended by immobilizing onto solid supports, such as polymers and inorganic materials. The superior performance of MOF HKUST-1 for the encapsulation of the enzyme laccase to enhance its catalytic activity, stability, and reusability compared with other conventional polymers or inorganic carriers was demonstrated by Zhang et al. (2020). The MOF not only acted as protective layer against high temperatures, continuous operation, and long-term storage but also could enhance the accessibility of active site of laccase due to its flower-like structure and high exposed surface area. The laccase@HKUST-1 still maintained 75.9% of its original degradation efficiency after 10 cycles, suggesting the effectiveness of the MOF to act as a protective layer to protect the laccase against the possible industrial environment. Unfortunately, the rapid breakdown of bisphenol using this composite material did not materialize (4 h).

# 3.3. MOFs and Composites for Adsorption and Photocatalytic Degradation of Pharmaceutical and Personal *Care Products (PPCPs)*

PPCPs are produced and used worldwide primarily for the remediation of ailments, as supplements, and as body care. These chemicals are usually discharged as wastewater from the manufacturing industries, hospitals, landfill leachates into the environment, either in their native form or as metabolites. The fundamental pathway for the release of these contaminants is through excretions. Thus, municipal wastewater is the major route bringing human pharmaceuticals into the environment. Of the various class of pharmaceuticals, antibiotics, such as penicillin, amoxicillin, tetracyclines, sulfonamides, etc., are found to be persistent in water due to their resistance to biological treatments from wastewater treatments plants. They usually remained untreated in the municipal wastewater for a long time; hence, they pose toxic effects even at low concentrations (ng L<sup>-1</sup>). Although the concentration of these pharmaceutical residues in the environment is low, its uninterrupted input to the environment may result in the long-term risk for terrestrial and aquatic organisms. In human beings, these pollutants may cause mutations in the genomic texture by disrupting the endocrine glands; hence, they are classified as endocrine disruptors.

The applications of MOFs as adsorbents, as well as photocatalysts, for the remediation of PPCPs have been reported (Table 5). Many MOFs were proven to be efficient for the adsorption of these pollutants within short time with high removal capacities. Similarly, the use of pristine MOFs and their corresponding functionalized derivatives and composites have been studied. MOFs composites have demonstrated better photocatalytic activities than the pristine MOFs. Some of these MOFs have also displayed good reusability which could be employed for industrial and large-scale applications. Figure 9 illustrates the versatility of MOFs, such as UiO-66(Zr), MOF-88(Fe), and MOF-808(Fe), for the removal of some common pharmaceuticals [224].

| Type of MOF  | Synthesis<br>Method          | Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Pollutants  | Concentration (mg $L^{-1}$ ) | % Removal      | Qe (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )              | Equilibrium<br>Time | Reused | Ref   |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|
| A100(Al) MOF   | Commercial                   | 630   | Carbamazepine<br>Ibuprofen  | 2<br>2                       | 95<br>75       | 65<br>50                              | 2 h<br>2 h          | 4      | [225] |
| NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-68(In)  | Hydrothermal                 | 655   | p-arsanilic acid  | 20                           | 77             | 78                                    | 4 h                 | 4      | [226] |
| Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @MIL-100(Fe)                                  | Microwave                    | 1245  | Diclofenac  | 100                          |                | 248                                   | 4 h                 | -      | [227] |
| MIL-101 (Cr)<br>ED-MIL-101(Cr)<br>AMSA-MIL-101(Cr)                           | Hydrothermal                 | 3014<br>2322<br>2255                              | Naproxen<br>Clofibric<br>Naproxen<br>Clofibric<br>Naproxen<br>Clofibric | 13<br>100                    | -              | 131<br>315<br>93<br>105<br>154<br>347 | 2 h                 | 4      | [228] |
| PCN-134(Zr)  | Solvothermal                 | 756   | Diclofenac  | 30                           | -              | -                                     | 20 min              | -      | [229] |
| [Cu(BTTA)]n.2DMF   | Solvothermal                 |   | Diclofenac<br>Chlorpromazine<br>Amodiaquine                             | 1200<br>1000<br>1000         | -<br>-<br>-    | 650<br>67<br>72                       | 7.5 h<br>5 h<br>5 h | 3      | [230] |
| $[Zn_2(fum)_2(bpy)]$ $[Zn4O(bdc)_3]$   | Mechanical<br>Solvothermal   | -   | Amodiaquine   | 25                           | -              | 0.5<br>48                             | 3 h                 | -      | [231] |
| [Zn <sub>6</sub> (IDC) <sub>4</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> (Hprz) <sub>2</sub> ]n | Hydrothermal                 | 889   | Ampicillin<br>Amoxicillin<br>Cloxacillin                                | 60                           | 93<br>88<br>89 | -                                     | 4 h                 | 4      | [232] |
| PCN-222(Zr)  | Solvothermal                 | 2917  | Chloramphenicol   | 500                          | 99             | 370                                   | 58 sec              | -      | [233] |
| PCN-128Y(Zr)   | Solvothermal                 |   | Tetracycline  | 44                           | 56             | 400                                   | 30 min              | -      | [234] |
| MIL-53(Al)   | Hydrothermal                 | 1401  | Dimetridazole   | 40                           | 90             | 467                                   | 10 min              | 5      | [3]   |
| MOF-5  | Room<br>temperature          | 2510  | Tetracycline  | 50                           | 97             | 233                                   | 45 min              | -      | [235] |
| MIL-53(Cr)<br>MIL-53(Al)   | Solvothermal                 | 500<br>500  | Sulfonamide   | 20                           | 99<br>98       | 0.4<br>0.4                            | 1 h                 | 3<br>3 | [236] |
| MIL-53(Fe)/Fe3O4.  | Solvothermal                 | 76  | Doxycycline   | 300                          | 100            | 320                                   | 30 min              | 5      | [237] |
| MIL-101(Cr)<br>MIL-53(Cr)  | Hydrothermal<br>Hydrothermal | 2810<br>398                                       | Clofibric acid<br>Carbamazepine<br>Clofibric acid<br>Carbamazepine      | 20                           | -              | 144<br>35<br>137<br>31                | 1 h                 | -      | [238] |

 Table 5. Adsorptions of PPCPs onto MOFs and their composites.

| Type of MOF  | Synthesis<br>Method                          | Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Pollutants   | Concentration (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | % Removal        | Qe (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Equilibrium<br>Time | Reused | Ref   |
|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|
| MIL-101(Fe)<br>MIL-100(Fe)<br>MIL-53(Fe)                 | Hydrothermal<br>Hydrothermal<br>Solvothermal | 253<br>1203<br>21                                 | Tetracycline   | 50                                  | 55.1<br>44<br>11 | 52<br>43<br>12           | 40 min              | 4      | [239] |
| Ni-MIL-53(Fe)  | Solvothermal                                 | -   | Doxycycline  | 150                                 | 88               | 684                      | 12 h                | 5      | [240] |
| MIL-101(Cr)<br>Urea-MIL-101(Cr)                          | Hydrothermal                                 | 3030<br>1970                                      | Dimetridazole  | 10                                  | -                | 141<br>185               | 4 h                 | 4      | [241] |
| Pd@MIL-100(Fe)   | Hydrothermal                                 | 2102  |  |                                     |                  |                          |                     |        |       |
| MWCNT/NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-53(Fe)                        | Solvothermal                                 | 126   | Tetracycline<br>Chlortetracycline                    | 20                                  |                  | 368<br>254               | 12 h                | 4      | [242] |
| MWCNT/MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal                                 | 60  | Tetracycline<br>Oxytetracycline<br>Chlortetracycline | 20                                  | -                | 364<br>326<br>181        | 10 h                | 4      | [243] |
| UiO-66(Zr)<br>NH <sub>2-</sub> UiO-66(Zr)                | Solvothermal                                 | 1171<br>646                                       | Ibuprofen<br>Naproxen<br>Ibuprofen<br>naproxen       | 9                                   | -                | 127<br>89<br>51<br>40    | 4 h<br>4 h          | -      | [244] |
| UiO-66(Zr)<br>In <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> /UiO-66(Zr) | Solvothermal                                 | 389<br>75   | Tetracycline   | 40                                  | -                | 51<br>61                 | 1 h                 | 3      | [245] |
| UiO-66(Zr)   | Solvothermal                                 | 1155  | Sulfonamide  | 100                                 | -                | 417                      | 10 min              | 4      | [246] |
| Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /HKUST-1(Cu)              | Solvothermal                                 | 328   | CiprofloxacinNorfloxacin                             | 20                                  | 98<br>99         | 538<br>513               | 30 min              | 10     | [46]  |
| Zn(TDC)(4-<br>BPMH)]n·n(H <sub>2</sub> O)                | Sonochemical                                 | 235   | Dichlorophenol<br>Amoxicillin                        | 50                                  | 99<br>99         | -                        | 3 h                 | -<br>- | [196] |
| Ni/Co-MOF@CMC  | Microwave                                    | -   | Tetracycline   | 30                                  | 80               | 625                      | 5 min               | -      | [247] |
| MIL-68(Al)/GO  | Hydrothermal                                 | 1267  | Tetracycline   | 50                                  | -                | 173                      | 6 h                 | 3      | [248] |
| MIL-101(Cr)<br>GnO/MIL-101(Cr)                           | Hydrothermal                                 | -<br>3308   | Naproxen<br>Ketoprofen<br>Naproxen<br>Ketoprofen     | 50                                  | _                | 112<br>80<br>171<br>140  | 12 h                | 4      | [249] |
| Cu-DTO   | Room<br>temperature                          | 120   | Tartrazine   | 200                                 | 98               | 255                      | 40 min              | 7      | [250] |

Table 5. Cont.



**Figure 9.** Adsorption capacities of UiO-66(Zr), MOF-808(Fe), and MOF-802(Fe) for the removal of pharmaceutical drugs from water. Reproduced with permission from Reference [224].

Photocatalysts of high porosity, ordered crystallinity, visible light harvesting capabilities and mechanical stability are desirable for the complete mineralization of the pharmaceutical drugs. The presence of the metallic node and organic linker can enhance the utilization of the solar energy through HOMO and LUMO interactions. The interactions generate the photon energy that are responsible for the excites the electrons from the contaminants to produce the active species of H<sup>+</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup> that mineralize the organic species. Figure 10 illustrates the mechanism for the photocatalytic degradation of ibuprofen using MIL-88(Fe) and corresponding composites, Ag/AgCl@MIL-88(Fe). The incorporation of AgCl into the framework or the MIL-88(Fe) MOF caused reduction in the bandgap (2.51 eV) of the MOF, which improved the photocatalytic degradation of pharmaceutical drugs is highlighted in Table 6. In most cases, several hours are required for the complete mineralization of the pharmaceuticals.



**Figure 10.** (a) Mechanism for photocatalytic degradation of ibuprofen using MIL-88(Fe) and Ag/AgCl@MIL-88(Fe) and (b) the reusability of the composites. Reproduced with permission from Reference [251].

| MOF  | Synthesis<br>Method                          | Surface Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Bandgap<br>(eV)      | Pollutants   | Concentration<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Light<br>Source | (%)<br>Removal         | Irradiation<br>Time | Reused | Ref   |
|--|--|---|----------------------|--|--|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|
| MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal                                 | 1890  | 2.75                 | Tetracycline   | 10                                     | Visible         | 97                     | 2 h                 | 4      | [252] |
| MIL-101(Fe)<br>MIL-100(Fe)<br>MIL-53(Fe)   | Hydrothermal<br>Hydrothermal<br>Solvothermal | 253<br>1203<br>21                                 | 1.88<br>2.06<br>1.97 | Tetracycline   | 50                                     | Visible         | 97<br>57<br>41         | 3 h                 |        | [239] |
| MIL-100(Fe)@Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub><br>MIL-100(Fe)@Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /CA | Hydrothermal                                 | 725<br>389  | 2.49<br>1.76         | Tetracycline   | 10                                     | Visible         | 42<br>85               | 3 h                 | 7      | [253] |
| MIL-88(Fe)<br>Ag/AgCl@MIL-88(Fe)   | Solvothermal                                 | 26<br>139   | 2.51<br>2.23         | Ibuprofen  | 10                                     | Visible         | 45<br>93               | 3.5 h               | 4      | [251] |
| CdS@NH <sub>2</sub> -MIL-125(Ti)   | Solvothermal                                 | 1375  | 2.36                 | Oxytetracycline  | 180                                    | Visible         | -                      | 2 h                 | 5      | [147] |
| MIL-101(Fe)<br>Pd@MIL-100(Fe)  | Hydrothermal                                 | 2006<br>2102                                      | -                    | Theophylline<br>Ibuprofen<br>Theophylline<br>Ibuprofen | 20                                     | Visible         | 88<br>92<br>100<br>100 | 2.5 h               | 4      | [218] |
| UiO-66(Zr)<br>In <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> /UiO-66(Zr)                                     | Solvothermal                                 | 389<br>75   | 3.70<br>1.92         | Tetracycline   | 40                                     | Visible         | 56<br>79               | 1 h                 | 3      | [245] |
| In <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> /UiO-66(Zr)   | Solvothermal                                 | 48  | 2.2                  | Tetracycline   | 30                                     | Visible         | 85                     | 1 h                 | 5      | [146] |
| MIL-100(Fe)<br>Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @MIL-100(Fe)                                   | Hydrothermal<br>Microwave                    | 1766<br>1245                                      | -                    | Diclofenac   | 60                                     | visible         | 100<br>99              |                     | -<br>- | [227] |
| Vis/MIL-53(Fe)/Fe(III)/SPC   | Solvothermal                                 | -   | 2.91                 | Sulfamethazine   | 0.2                                    | Visible         | 90                     | 1 h                 | -      | [254] |
| 1T- MoS <sub>2</sub> @MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal                                 | 337   | 0.7                  | Ibuprofen  | 10                                     | Visible         | 100                    | 2 h                 | 5      | [255] |
| MIL-68(In)-NH <sub>2</sub><br>g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> /MIL-68(In)-NH <sub>2</sub>    | Solvothermal                                 | 659<br>537  | 2.81<br>2.65         | Ibuprofen  | 20                                     | Visible         | 93<br>68               | 2 h                 | -      | [248] |
| MIL-125ML<br>MIL-125ML/gCN   | Solvothermal                                 | 1001<br>725                                       | 2.86<br>2.68         | Cefixime   | 20                                     | Visible         | 48<br>74               | 2 h                 | 4      | [256] |
| UiO-66-NH <sub>2</sub><br>CNT/N-TiO <sub>2</sub> /UiO-66-NH <sub>2</sub>                     | Hydrothermal                                 | 708<br>288  | 2.17                 | Ketoprofen   | 50                                     | Visible         | 41<br>96               | 2 h                 | -      | [257] |
| MIL-101(Cr)<br>α-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /MIL-101(Cr)                                 | Hydrothermal                                 | 2518<br>949                                       | 3.25<br>3.62         | Carbamazepine  | 30                                     | Visible         | -                      | 3 h                 | 4      | [258] |
| MIL-53(Fe)   | Solvothermal                                 | 184   | -                    | Clofibric acid<br>Carbamazepine                        | 40                                     | Visible         | 98<br>90               | 4 h                 | 4      | [259] |

**Table 6.** MOFs and composites employed for photocatalytic degradations of pharmaceutical drugs from wastewater.

#### 4. Patent Search

The diversity in MOFs and their versatile functionalities has prompted researchers to explore their potentialities in synthesis and applications. Thus, number of literatures has been written and patented on the synthesis and applications of MOFs and their composites. The advancement in the synthesis and characterizations of MOFs and frontier applications in adsorption and photocatalytic degradation. The area of research remains active among community of scientists and engineers. Thus, the number of published articles for MOFs application in wastewater remediations have been well patented. A search using the website lens.org reveals that most patents were granted for the past 10 years on adsorption using MOFs were on dyes, followed by phenols, PPCPs, and then pesticides and herbicide. Similarly, with the photocatalytic degradation (Figure 11a). Patents granted for the adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of dyes using MOFs-based materials are shown in Figure 11b. The growth was exponential until 2016, with a gradual decrease from then on. The reason for the decreased in patenting could be due to the discovery of a large number of promising MOFs for various laboratory and pilot-scale wastewater applications.



**Figure 11.** Patents granted from 2010 to 2020 on the adsorption and photocatalytic degradation using MOFs-based materials of (**a**) some emerging pollutants and (**b**) dyes. Data obtained from the lens.org using keywords MOFs, adsorption, photocatalytic degradation, dyes, phenols, PPCPs, pesticides, and herbicides.

# 5. Conclusions

The motivation for the development of improved technologies for the remediation of waters is driven by the frequent occurrence of emerging pollutants in drinking water. This is because the conventional wastewater treatment facilities are ill-equipped for the complete removal of these pollutants in water. Adsorption using conventional adsorbents, despite being the gold standard in water treatment technology, is not suited for the task. MOFs and/or their composites, on the other hand, have shown very encouraging results not only as super adsorbents but also as super photocatalysts. The extreme porosity and large interior surface area of MOFs offer unique prospects for adsorption and photocatalysis. Unlike conventional adsorbents which rely to a large extent on the unspecific van der Waals force, the simultaneous use of various interactions, such as cationic,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking, hydrogen-bonding, and Van der Waals interactions, has been associated with MOFs adsorption. MOFs can also offer more selectivity to the organic pollutants than other conventional adsorbents due to the orientation of their frameworks. They provide large number of pores with uniform sizes. The 'breathing effects' of MOFs cavity allow for the adsorption of larger molecules of pollutant from wastewater. For photocatalytic application, their visible light adsorption capacity and moderate bandgap has been commended. To a larger extent, composites of MOFs offer great advantage than their pristine forms due their multiple functionalities. Thus, MOFs have proven to be promising materials for adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of different classes of organic pollutants.

A few start-up companies which are predominantly spin-offs from university laboratories and the German chemical company BASF have started commercializing several kinds of MOFs, mainly for applications as gas storage and adsorption of toxic gases. MOFs, such as MOF-5, MIL-53, HKUST-1, ZIF-90, and UIO-66, can be obtained from the open market. It must be pointed out that most evaluations cited in this article were conducted under normal laboratory conditions. The actual performance of the MOFs in real water samples with complex matrices, such as wastewater and under industrial-scale operations, are virtually unknown. For commercial exploitation, it would perhaps be easier for these adsorbents materials to be applied as super filters in the household water purification system due to the smaller amounts of adsorbents/photocatalysts required. For large-scale productions, such as wastewater treatment facilities, the cost will be a primary factor on the commercial exploitation of these materials. However, if savings from mass production and reusability are factored, it might be cost-effective on the long run. The use of cheaper metals (e.g., potassium, sodium) and, at the same time, not compromising the qualities of the MOFs will be the way forward. Photocatalysts can able to harness direct sunlight and significantly reduce the degradation time are much welcome. Other major challenges that must be overcome are the often complicated and lengthy synthesis processes, poor long-term physicochemical stability of the MOFs, and the limited prospects for reuse. Typical of any new materials, long term safety issues, such as the liberation of chemicals and metals from the degradation of MOFs, as well as risks to exposure to trapped organic solvents (e.g., chloroform, acetone, dimethylformamide), are virtually unknown.

The application of MOFs for industrial wastewater treatments have been established. The major form for the adsorbents and photocatalysts desired includes pellets, spherical, mold, nanorods, beads, etc. Thus, the use of MOFs composites has demonstrated many advantages, particularly in photocatalysis, where low bandgap is required. The requirements include high surface area and small pore diameters with distinct pore structures to enable faster transport of the MOFs in the aqueous phase. Along with that, thermal stability, abrasion, and moisture resistance are prerequisites to the industrial application of the MOFs.

Thus, adsorption with simultaneous photocatalytic degradation under sunlight irradiation is certainly a novel idea as it offers a complete solution to the problem of removal of pollutants from wastewater and their safe remediation into environmentally benign species. MOFs and their composites seem destined to play these roles.

**Author Contributions:** Z.U.Z.; writing—review and editing, K.J.; validation, N.S.S.; Original data draft preparation, A.R.; Conceptualization, formal analysis, N.H.H.A.B.; resources, B.S.; Supervision, M.N.H.R.; Formal analysis, H.A.I.; Investigation, A.H.J.; project administration, O.A.; Funding acquisition, A.S.; Visualization. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** We would like to acknowledge grants received from Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS under YUTP and UTP-UIR scheme with cost center 015LCO-211 and 015MEO-166, respectively.

Acknowledgments: The authors also wish to acknowledge King Khalid University, Abha, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

### Abbreviations

| $BaWO_4$ | Barium tungstate                              |  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|--|
| BET      | Brunner Emmett Teller                         |  |  |  |
| COF      | Covalent organic framework                    |  |  |  |
| CNTs     | Carbon nanotubes                              |  |  |  |
| CPs      | Coordination polymers                         |  |  |  |
| CQDs     | Carbon quantum dots                           |  |  |  |
| EDCs     | Endocrine disrupting compounds                |  |  |  |
| GO       | Graphene oxide                                |  |  |  |
| HKUST    | Hongkong University of Science and Technology |  |  |  |
| HOMO     | Highest occupied molecular orbital            |  |  |  |
| HPLC     | High performance liquid chromatography        |  |  |  |
| LMCT     | ligand to metal cluster charge transition     |  |  |  |
| LUMO     | Lowest occupied molecular orbital             |  |  |  |
| MIL      | Material institute Lavoisier                  |  |  |  |
| MIPs     | Molecularly impregnated polymers              |  |  |  |
| MNPs     | Metal-oxide nanoparticles                     |  |  |  |
| MOFs     | Metal-organic frameworks                      |  |  |  |
| PAHs     | Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons              |  |  |  |
| PDI      | Pyromellitic diimide                          |  |  |  |
| PFAS     | Perfluoroalkyl substances                     |  |  |  |
| PFCs     | Perfluorinated compounds                      |  |  |  |
| PFCAs    | Perfluoro carboxylic acids                    |  |  |  |
| PFOA     | Perfluorooctanoic acid                        |  |  |  |
| PFOS     | Perfluorooctane sulfonates                    |  |  |  |
| PPCPs    | Pharmaceutical and Personal Care Products     |  |  |  |
| RGO      | Reduced graphene oxide                        |  |  |  |
| UiO      | Universiti i Oslo                             |  |  |  |
| USEPA    | United-states environmental protection agency |  |  |  |
| ZIFs     | Zeolite imidazole framework                   |  |  |  |

### References

- Chen, Y.; Zhai, B.; Liang, Y. Enhanced degradation performance of organic dyes removal by semiconductor/MOF/graphene oxide composites under visible light irradiation. *Diam. Relat. Mater.* 2019, 98. [CrossRef]
- 2. Zango, Z.U.; Shehu Imam, S. Evaluation of Microcrystalline Cellulose from Groundnut Shell for the Removal of Crystal Violet and Methylene Blue. *Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *8*, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 3. Peng, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Huang, H.; Zhong, C. Flexibility induced high-performance MOF-based adsorbent for nitroimidazole antibiotics capture. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, *333*, 678–685. [CrossRef]
- 4. Seo, P.W.; Bhadra, B.N.; Ahmed, I.; Khan, N.A.; Jhung, S.H. Adsorptive Removal of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products from Water with Functionalized Metal-organic Frameworks: Remarkable Adsorbents with Hydrogen-bonding Abilities. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 34462. [CrossRef]
- 5. Zhao, L.; Wu, Q.; Ma, A. Biodegradation of Phenolic Contaminants: Current Status and Perspectives. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *111*. [CrossRef]
- 6. Derylo-Marczewska, A.; Blachnio, M.; Marczewski, A.W.; Seczkowska, M.; Tarasiuk, B. Phenoxyacid pesticide adsorption on activated carbon Equilibrium and kinetics. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *214*, 349–360. [CrossRef]
- 7. Mojiri, A.; Zhou, J.L.; Robinson, B.; Ohashi, A.; Ozaki, N.; Kindaichi, T.; Farraji, H.; Vakili, M. Pesticides in aquatic environments and their removal by adsorption methods. *Chemosphere* **2020**, 253, 126646. [CrossRef]
- 8. Zango, Z.U.; Sambudi, N.S.; Jumbri, K.; Ramli, A.; Hana, N.; Abu, H.; Saad, B.; Nur, M.; Rozaini, H.; Isiyaka, H.A.; et al. An Overview and Evaluation of Highly Porous Adsorbent Materials for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Phenols Removal from Wastewater. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 2921. [CrossRef]
- 9. Alegbeleye, O.O.; Opeolu, B.O.; Jackson, V.A. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: A Critical Review of Environmental Occurrence and Bioremediation. *Environ. Manag.* **2017**, *60*, 758–783. [CrossRef]

- Arvaniti, O.S.; Hwang, Y.; Andersen, H.R.; Stasinakis, A.S.; Thomaidis, N.S.; Aloupi, M. Reductive degradation of perfluorinated compounds in water using Mg-aminoclay coated nanoscale zero valent iron. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2015, 262, 133–139. [CrossRef]
- Lath, S.; Navarro, D.A.; Losic, D.; Kumar, A.; Mclaughlin, M.J. Sorptive remediation of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) using mixed mineral and graphene/carbon-based materials. *Environ. Chem.* 2018, 15, 472–480. [CrossRef]
- 12. Jun, B.M.; Hwang, H.S.; Heo, J.; Han, J.; Jang, M.; Sohn, J.; Park, C.M.; Yoon, Y. Removal of selected endocrine-disrupting compounds using Al-based metal organic framework: Performance and mechanism of competitive adsorption. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* **2019**, *79*, 345–352. [CrossRef]
- 13. Canle, M.; Fernández Pérez, M.I.; Santaballa, J.A. Photocatalyzed degradation/abatement of endocrine disruptors. *Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem.* 2017, *6*, 101–138. [CrossRef]
- 14. Imam, S.S.; Zango, Z.U. Magnetic Nanoparticle (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) Impregnated onto Coconut Shell Activated Carbon for the Removal of Ni (II) from Aqueous Solution. *Int. J. Res. Chem. Environ.* **2018**, *8*, 9–15.
- 15. Hsieh, H.Y.; Huang, K.C.; Cheng, J.O.; Lo, W.T.; Meng, P.J.; Ko, F.C. Environmental effects on the bioaccumulation of PAHs in marine zooplankton in Gaoping coastal waters, Taiwan: Concentration, distribution, profile, and sources. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2019**, *144*, 68–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yali, Z.P.; Jadid, A.P.; Samin, L.A. Modeling of retention time for polychlorinated biphenyl congeners in human adipose tissue using quantitative structure–retention relationship methodology. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, 14, 2357–2366. [CrossRef]
- Ho, Y.C.; Norli, I.; Alkarkhi, A.F.M.; Morad, N. Characterization of biopolymeric flocculant (pectin) and organic synthetic flocculant (PAM): A comparative study on treatment and optimization in kaolin suspension. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2010, 101, 1166–1174. [CrossRef]
- Ho, Y.C. New Vegetal Biopolymeric Flocculant: A Degradation and Flocculation Study. *Iran. J. Energy Environ.* 2014, 5, 2–3. [CrossRef]
- 19. Rosińska, A.; Dabrowska, L. Selection of coagulants for the removal of chosen PAH from drinking water. *Water* **2018**, *10*, 886. [CrossRef]
- Hussaini Jagaba, A. Wastewater Treatment Using Alum, the Combinations of Alum-Ferric Chloride, Alum-Chitosan, Alum-Zeolite and Alum-*Moringa Oleifera* as Adsorbent and Coagulant. *Int. J. Eng. Manag.* 2018, 2, 67. [CrossRef]
- 21. Pavithra, K.G.; Kumar, P.S.; Jaikumar, V.; Rajan, P.S. Removal of colorants from wastewater: A review on sources and treatment strategies. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* **2019**, *75*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- Guo, D.; Wang, H.; Fu, P.; Huang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Lv, W.; Wang, F. Diatomite precoat filtration for wastewater treatment: Filtration performance and pollution mechanisms. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 2018, 137, 403–411. [CrossRef]
- Pronk, W.; Ding, A.; Morgenroth, E.; Derlon, N.; Desmond, P.; Burkhardt, M.; Wu, B.; Fane, A.G. Gravity-driven membrane filtration for water and wastewater treatment: A review. *Water Res.* 2019, 149, 553–565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Pervov, A.; Tikhonov, K.; Makisha, N. Application of reverse osmosis techniques to treat and reuse biologically treated wastewater. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2018**, *365*. [CrossRef]
- 25. Jafarinejad, S. A Comprehensive Study on the Application of Reverse Osmosis (RO) Technology for the Petroleum Industry Wastewater Treatment. *J. Water Environ. Nanotechnol.* **2017**, *2*, 243–264. [CrossRef]
- 26. Zeneli, A.; Kastanaki, E.; Simantiraki, F.; Gidarakos, E. Monitoring the biodegradation of TPH and PAHs in refinery solid waste by biostimulation and bioaugmentation. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*. [CrossRef]
- 27. Gaur, N.; Narasimhulu, K.; PydiSetty, Y. Recent advances in the bio-remediation of persistent organic pollutants and its effect on environment. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2018**, *198*, 1602–1631. [CrossRef]
- 28. Siipola, V.; Pflugmacher, S.; Romar, H.; Wendling, L.; Koukkari, P. Low-Cost Biochar Adsorbents for Water Purification Including Microplastics Removal. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 788. [CrossRef]
- 29. Tsang, D.C.W.; Kumar, S.; Lee, S.-S.; Kim, K.-H.; Kumar, V. Metal organic frameworks as potent treatment media for odorants and volatiles in air. *Environ. Res.* **2018**, *168*, 336–356. [CrossRef]
- Lv, S.-W.; Liu, J.-M.; Ma, H.; Wang, Z.-H.; Li, C.-Y.; Zhao, N.; Wang, S. Simultaneous adsorption of methyl orange and methylene blue from aqueous solution using amino functionalized Zr-based MOFs. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2019, 282, 179–187. [CrossRef]

- Fu, L.; Wang, S.; Lin, G.; Zhang, L.; Liu, Q.; Fang, J.; Wei, C.; Liu, G. Post-functionalization of UiO-66-NH 2 by 2,5-Dimercapto-1,3,4-thiadiazole for the high efficient removal of Hg(II) in water. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2019, 368, 42–51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Zhan, X.; Zhang, Y.; Xie, L.; Liu, H.; Zhang, X.; Ruan, B.; Ding, H.; Wu, J.; Shi, D.; Jiang, T.; et al. Magnetically treated Zr-based UiO-type porous coordination polymers study on adsorption of azo dye. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2020**, 110291. [CrossRef]
- Xin, S.; Yang, N.; Gao, F.; Zhao, J.; Li, L.; Teng, C. Three-dimensional polypyrrole-derived carbon nanotube framework for dye adsorption and electrochemical supercapacitor. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2017, 414, 218–223. [CrossRef]
- Jung, C.; Son, A.; Her, N.; Zoh, K.D.; Cho, J.; Yoon, Y. Removal of endocrine disrupting compounds, pharmaceuticals, and personal care products in water using carbon nanotubes: A review. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2015, 27, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 35. Bedia, J.; Peñas-Garzón, M.; Gómez-Avilés, A.; Rodriguez, J.; Belver, C. A Review on the Synthesis and Characterization of Biomass-Derived Carbons for Adsorption of Emerging Contaminants from Water. *C J. Carbon Res.* **2018**, *4*, 63. [CrossRef]
- Bernal, V.; Giraldo, L.; Moreno-Piraján, J. Physicochemical Properties of Activated Carbon: Their Effect on the Adsorption of Pharmaceutical Compounds and Adsorbate–Adsorbent Interactions. *J. Carbon Res.* 2018, 4, 62. [CrossRef]
- 37. Garba, Z.N.; Zango, Z.U.; Babando, A.A.; Galadima, A. Competitive adsorption of dyes onto granular activated carbon. *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.* 2015, *7*, 710–717.
- 38. Sophia, A.C.; Lima, E.C. Removal of emerging contaminants from the environment by adsorption. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2018**, 150, 1–17. [CrossRef]
- 39. Garba, Z.N.; Tanimu, A.; Zango, Z.U. Borassus aethiopum shell-based activated carbon as efficient adsorbent for carbofuran. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.* **2019**, *33*, 425–436. [CrossRef]
- Kaur, S.; Rani, S.; Mahajan, R.K.; Asif, M.; Gupta, V.K. Synthesis and adsorption properties of mesoporous material for the removal of dye safranin: Kinetics, equilibrium, and thermodynamics. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2015, 22, 19–27. [CrossRef]
- Peres, E.C.; Slaviero, J.C.; Cunha, A.M.; Hosseini-Bandegharaei, A.; Dotto, G.L. Microwave synthesis of silica nanoparticles and its application for methylene blue adsorption. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2018, *6*, 649–659. [CrossRef]
- 42. Zango, Z.U.; Abu Bakar, N.H.H.; Tan, W.L.; Bakar, M.A. Enhanced removal efficiency of methyl red via the modification of halloysite nanotubes by copper oxide. *J. Dispers. Sci. Technol.* **2017**. [CrossRef]
- 43. Zango, Z.U.; Garba, Z.N.; Abu Bakar, N.H.H.; Tan, W.L.; Abu Bakar, M. Adsorption studies of Cu<sup>2+</sup>–Hal nanocomposites for the removal of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol. *Appl. Clay Sci.* **2016**, *132–133*, 68–78. [CrossRef]
- 44. Park, C.M.; Wang, D.; Han, J.; Heo, J.; Su, C. Evaluation of the colloidal stability and adsorption performance of reduced graphene oxide–elemental silver/magnetite nanohybrids for selected toxic heavy metals in aqueous solutions. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, *471*, 8–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Krupadam, R.J. Nanoporous Polymeric Material for Remediation of PAHs Polluted Water. *Polycycl. Aromat. Compd.* 2012, 32, 313–333. [CrossRef]
- 46. Wu, G.; Ma, J.; Li, S.; Guan, J.; Jiang, B.; Wang, L.; Li, J.; Wang, X.; Chen, L. Magnetic copper-based metal organic framework as an effective and recyclable adsorbent for removal of two fluoroquinolone antibiotics from aqueous solutions. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2018**, *528*, 360–371. [CrossRef]
- 47. Zango, Z.U.; Ramli, A.; Jumbri, K.; Soraya, N.; Ahmad, H.I.; Hana, N.; Abu, H.; Saad, B. Optimization studies and artificial neural network modeling for pyrene adsorption onto UiO-66(Zr) and NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66(Zr) metal organic frameworks. *Polyhedron* **2020**, *192*, 114857. [CrossRef]
- 48. Ravelli, D.; Dondi, D.; Fagnoni, M.; Albini, A. Photocatalysis. A multi-faceted concept for green chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1999–2011. [CrossRef]
- 49. Sharma, K.; Dutta, V.; Sharma, S.; Raizada, P.; Hosseini-Bandegharaei, A.; Thakur, P.; Singh, P. Recent advances in enhanced photocatalytic activity of bismuth oxyhalides for efficient photocatalysis of organic pollutants in water: A review. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* **2019**, *78*, 1–20. [CrossRef]
- He, J.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Huang, Y. Highly efficient Fenton and enzyme-mimetic activities of NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-88B(Fe) metal organic framework for methylene blue degradation. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 5159. [CrossRef]

- 51. Debnath, D.; Gupta, A.K.; Ghosal, P.S. Recent advances in the development of tailored functional materials for the treatment of pesticides in aqueous media: A review. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* **2019**, *70*, 51–69. [CrossRef]
- García, E.; Medina, R.; Lozano, M.; Hernández Pérez, I.; Valero, M.; Franco, A. Adsorption of Azo-Dye Orange II from Aqueous Solutions Using a Metal-Organic Framework Material: Iron-Benzenetricarboxylate. *Materials* 2014, 7, 8037–8057. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Hu, J.; Liu, Y.; Liu, J.; Gu, C.; Wu, D. High CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacities in UiO type MOFs comprising heterocyclic ligand. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2018**, 256, 25–31. [CrossRef]
- Fan, Y.H.; Zhang, S.W.; Qin, S.-B.; Li, X.S.; Qi, S.H. An enhanced adsorption of organic dyes onto NH<sub>2</sub> functionalization titanium-based metal-organic frameworks and the mechanism investigation. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2018, 263, 120–127. [CrossRef]
- 55. Hoskins, B.F.; Robson, R. Design and Construction of a New Class of Scaffolding-like Materials Comprising Infinite Polymeric Frameworks of 3D-Linked Molecular Rods. A Reappraisal of the Zn(CN)<sub>2</sub> and Cd(CN)<sub>2</sub> Structures and the Synthesis and Structure of the Diamond-Related Framework. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1990, 112, 1546–1554. [CrossRef]
- 56. Tranchemontagne, D.J.; Hunt, J.R.; Yaghi, O.M. Room temperature synthesis of metal-organic frameworks: MOF-5, MOF-74, MOF-177, MOF-199, and IRMOF-0. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 8553–8557. [CrossRef]
- 57. Ghanbari, T.; Abnisa, F.; Wan Daud, W.M.A. A review on production of metal organic frameworks (MOF) for CO2 adsorption. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *707*, 135090. [CrossRef]
- 58. Neshastehgar, M.; Rahmani, P.; Shojaei, A.; Molavi, H. Enhanced adsorption removal performance of UiO-66 by rational hybridization with nanodiamond. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2020**, *296*. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, R.; Ma, T.; Zhao, S.; Rong, H.; Tian, Y.; Zhu, G. Uniform and stable immobilization of metal-organic frameworks into chitosan matrix for enhanced tetracycline removal from water. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, 382, 122893. [CrossRef]
- Hu, M.L.; Masoomi, M.Y.; Morsali, A. Template strategies with MOFs. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2019, 387, 415–435. [CrossRef]
- Biserčić, M.S.; Marjanović, B.; Vasiljević, B.N.; Mentus, S.; Zasońska, B.A.; Ćirić-Marjanović, G. The quest for optimal water quantity in the synthesis of metal-organic framework MOF-5. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater*. 2019, 278, 23–29. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, P.; Kim, K.H.; Lee, J.; Shang, J.; Khazi, M.I.; Kumar, N.; Lisak, G. Metal-organic framework for sorptive/catalytic removal and sensing applications against nitroaromatic compounds. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2020, *84*, 87–95. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.; Wang, B.; Cao, Y.; Zhao, S.; Wang, H.; Feng, X.; Zhou, J.; Ma, X. Water Contaminant Elimination Based on Metal-Organic Frameworks and Perspective on Their Industrial Applications. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 2019, 7, 4548–4563. [CrossRef]
- 64. Mu, X.; Chen, Y.; Lester, E.; Wu, T. Optimized synthesis of nano-scale high quality HKUST-1 under mild conditions and its application in CO2 capture. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2018**, 270, 249–257. [CrossRef]
- 65. Cohen, S.M. Postsynthetic methods for the functionalization of metal–organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* 2012, 112, 970–1000. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kumar, P.; Bansal, V.; Kim, K.H.; Kwon, E.E. Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) as futuristic options for wastewater treatment. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 2018, 62, 130–145. [CrossRef]
- Dhaka, S.; Kumar, R.; Deep, A.; Kurade, M.B.; Ji, S.W.; Jeon, B.H. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) for the removal of emerging contaminants from aquatic environments. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2019, 380, 330–352. [CrossRef]
- Joseph, L.; Jun, B.M.; Jang, M.; Park, C.M.; Muñoz-Senmache, J.C.; Hernández-Maldonado, A.J.; Heyden, A.; Yu, M.; Yoon, Y. Removal of contaminants of emerging concern by metal-organic framework nanoadsorbents: A review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2019, 369, 928–946. [CrossRef]
- 69. Hasan, Z.; Jhung, S.H. Removal of hazardous organics from water using metal-organic frameworks (MOFs): Plausible mechanisms for selective adsorptions. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2015**, *283*, 329–339. [CrossRef]
- Ghosh, A.; Das, G. Green synthesis of Sn(II)-BDC MOF: Preferential and efficient adsorption of anionic dyes. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2020, 297. [CrossRef]
- Xu, F.; Yu, Y.; Yan, J.; Xia, Q.; Wang, H.; Li, J.; Li, Z. Ultrafast room temperature synthesis of GrO@HKUST-1 composites with high CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity and CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> adsorption selectivity. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2016, 303, 231–237. [CrossRef]

- Gaikwad, S.; Kim, S.J.; Han, S. Novel metal–organic framework of UTSA-16(Zn) synthesized by a microwave method: Outstanding performance for CO<sub>2</sub> capture with improved stability to acid gases. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2020, *87*, 250–263. [CrossRef]
- 73. Jang, S.; Song, S.; Lim, J.H.; Kim, H.S.; Phan, B.T.; Ha, K.T.; Park, S.; Park, K.H. Application of various metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) as catalysts for air and water pollution environmental remediation. *Catalysts* **2020**, *10*, 195. [CrossRef]
- 74. Petit, C. Present and future of MOF research in the field of adsorption and molecular separation. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *20*, 132–142. [CrossRef]
- 75. Zhao, H.; Li, Q.; Wang, Z.; Wu, T.; Zhang, M. Synthesis of MIL-101(Cr) and its water adsorption performance. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2020**, 297. [CrossRef]
- 76. Yoon, S.; Calvo, J.J.; So, M.C. Removal of acid orange 7 from aqueous solution by metal-organic frameworks. *Crystals* **2019**, *9*, 17. [CrossRef]
- 77. Alvaro, M.; Carbonell, E.; Ferrer, B.Ø.; Llabr, F.X. Semiconductor Behavior of a Metal-Organic Framework (MOF). *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 5106–5112. [CrossRef]
- Quan, X.; Sun, Z.; Meng, H.; Han, Y.; Wu, J.; Xu, J.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, X. Polyethyleneimine (PEI) incorporated Cu-BTC composites: Extended applications in ultra-high efficient removal of congo red. *J. Solid State Chem.* 2019, 270, 231–241. [CrossRef]
- 79. Qin, J.-S.; Yuan, S.; Lollar, C.; Pang, J.; Alsalme, A.; Zhou, H.C. Stable metal–organic frameworks as a host platform for catalysis and biomimetics. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 4231–4249. [CrossRef]
- Zahn, G.; Schulze, H.A.; Lippke, J.; König, S.; Sazama, U.; Fröba, M.; Behrens, P. A water-born Zr-based porous coordination polymer: Modulated synthesis of Zr-fumarate MOF. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater*. 2015, 203, 186–194. [CrossRef]
- Liang, R.; Jing, F.; Shen, L.; Qin, N.; Wu, L. MIL-53(Fe) as a highly efficient bifunctional photocatalyst for the simultaneous reduction of Cr(VI) and oxidation of dyes. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2015, 287, 364–372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Xia, X.; Xu, Y.; Chen, Y.; Liu, Y.; Lu, Y.; Shao, L. Fabrication of MIL-101(Cr/Al) with flower-like morphology and its catalytic performance. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2018**, *559*, 138–145. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.; Liu, Z.; Huang, D.; Cheng, M.; Zeng, G.; Lai, C.; Zhang, C.; Zhou, C.; Wang, W.; Jiang, D.; et al. Metal or metal-containing nanoparticle@MOF nanocomposites as a promising type of photocatalyst. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2019, 388, 63–78. [CrossRef]
- Wu, T.; Liu, X.; Liu, Y.; Cheng, M.; Liu, Z.; Zeng, G.; Shao, B.; Liang, Q.; Zhang, W.; He, Q. Application of QD-MOF composites for photocatalysis: Energy production and environmental remediation. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2020, 403, 213097. [CrossRef]
- 85. Abdelhameed, R.M.; El-Shahat, M. Fabrication of ZIF-67@MIL-125-NH<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite with enhanced visible light photoreduction activity. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*. [CrossRef]
- Han, T.; Xiao, Y.; Tong, M.; Huang, H.; Liu, D.; Wang, L.; Zhong, C. Synthesis of CNT@MIL-68(Al) composites with improved adsorption capacity for phenol in aqueous solution. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2015, 275, 134–141. [CrossRef]
- 87. Meng, Z.; Liu, B.; Li, M.; Liu, X.; Li, S.; Su, B. Molecular imprinted materials PDA/Fe-MOFs/RGO for the selective and high removal of phenol. *Desalin. Water Treat.* **2019**, *169*, 279–286. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Z.; Xu, X.; Liang, X.; Lei, C.; Gao, L.; Hao, R.; Lu, D.; Lei, Z. Fabrication of Ce doped UiO-66/graphene nanocomposites with enhanced visible light driven photoactivity for reduction of nitroaromatic compounds. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2017, 420, 276–285. [CrossRef]
- Alfonso-Herrera, L.A.; Huerta-Flores, A.M.; Torres-Martínez, L.M.; Rivera-Villanueva, J.M.; Ramírez-Herrera, D.J. Hybrid SrZrO<sub>3</sub>-MOF heterostructure: Surface assembly and photocatalytic performance for hydrogen evolution and degradation of indigo carmine dye. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* 2018, 29, 10395–10410. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Q.; Wang, G.; Liang, X.; Dong, X.; Zhang, X. Supporting carbon quantum dots on NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-125 for enhanced photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants under a broad spectrum irradiation. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2019, 467–468, 320–327. [CrossRef]
- Li, Y.; Fang, Y.; Cao, Z.; Li, N.; Chen, D.; Xu, Q.; Lu, J. Construction of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/PDI@MOF heterojunctions for the highly efficient visible light-driven degradation of pharmaceutical and phenolic micropollutants. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2019, 250, 150–162. [CrossRef]

- He, S.; Rong, Q.; Niu, H.; Cai, Y. Platform for molecular-material dual regulation: A direct Z-scheme MOF/COF heterojunction with enhanced visible-light photocatalytic activity. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2019, 247, 49–56. [CrossRef]
- 93. Ramezanalizadeh, H.; Zakeri, F.; Manteghi, F. Immobilization of BaWO<sub>4</sub> nanostructures on a MOF-199-NH<sub>2</sub>: An efficient separable photocatalyst for the degradation of organic dyes. *Optik* **2018**, 174, 776–786. [CrossRef]
- 94. Ayati, A.; Shahrak, M.N.; Tanhaei, B.; Sillanpää, M. Emerging adsorptive removal of azo dye by metal–organic frameworks. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *160*, 30–44. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, D.; Chen, M.; Wang, H.; Zeng, G.; Huang, D.; Cheng, M.; Liu, Y.; Xue, W.; Wang, Z.W. The application of different typological and structural MOFs-based materials for the dyes adsorption. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2019, 380, 471–483. [CrossRef]
- 96. Nandasiri, M.I.; Jambovane, S.R.; McGrail, B.P.; Schaef, H.T.; Nune, S.K. Adsorption, separation, and catalytic properties of densified metal-organic frameworks. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *311*, 38–52. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Xiao, J.; Wang, D.; Sun, W.; Gao, X.; Yu, H.; Liu, H.; Liu, Z. Construction and Photocatalytic Activities of a Series of Isostructural Co<sup>2+</sup>/Zn<sup>2+</sup> Metal-Doped Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2017, emph17, 1096–1102. [CrossRef]
- 98. Yang, J.M.; Yang, B.C.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, R.N.; Ji, S.S.; Wang, Q.; Quan, S.; Zhang, R.Z. Rapid adsorptive removal of cationic and anionic dyes from aqueous solution by a Ce(III)-doped Zr-based metal–organic framework. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2020**, *292*. [CrossRef]
- Tong, M.; Liu, D.; Yang, Q.; Devautour-Vinot, S.; Maurin, G.; Zhong, C. Influence of framework metal ions on the dye capture behavior of MIL-100 (Fe,Cr) MOF type solids. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2013, *1*, 8534–8537. [CrossRef]
- Yilmaz, E.; Sert, E.; Atalay, F.S. Synthesis, characterization of a metal organic framework: MIL-53(Fe) and adsorption mechanisms of methyl red onto MIL-53(Fe). *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* 2016, 65, 323–330. [CrossRef]
- Haque, E.; Jun, J.W.; Jhung, S.H. Adsorptive removal of methyl orange and methylene blue from aqueous solution with a metal-organic framework material, iron terephthalate (MOF-235). *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2011, 185, 507–511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wen, G.; Guo, Z.G. Facile modification of NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-125(Ti) to enhance water stability for efficient adsorptive removal of crystal violet from aqueous solution. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2018, 541, 58–67. [CrossRef]
- 103. Shen, T.; Luo, J.; Zhang, S.; Luo, X. Hierarchically mesostructured MIL-101 metal-organic frameworks with different mineralizing agents for adsorptive removal of methyl orange and methylene blue from aqueous solution. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2015, 3, 1372–1383. [CrossRef]
- 104. Karmakar, S.; Roy, D.; Janiak, C.; De, S. Insights into multi-component adsorption of reactive dyes on MIL-101-Cr metal organic framework: Experimental and modeling approach. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2019, 215, 259–275. [CrossRef]
- 105. Zhao, X.; Wang, K.; Gao, Z.; Gao, H.; Xie, Z.; Du, X.; Huang, H. Reversing the Dye Adsorption and Separation Performance of Metal-Organic Frameworks via Introduction of -SO<sub>3</sub>H Groups. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2017, 56, 4496–4501. [CrossRef]
- 106. Kaur, R.; Kaur, A.; Umar, A.; Anderson, W.A.; Kansal, S.K. Metal organic framework (MOF) porous octahedral nanocrystals of Cu-BTC: Synthesis, properties and enhanced absorption properties. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2019, 109, 124–133. [CrossRef]
- 107. Jabbari, V.; Veleta, J.M.; Zarei-Chaleshtori, M.; Gardea-Torresdey, J.; Villagrán, D. Green synthesis of magnetic MOF@GO and MOF@CNT hybrid nanocomposites with high adsorption capacity towards organic pollutants. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2016, 304, 774–783. [CrossRef]
- 108. Azhdari, R.; Mojtaba, S.; Alireza, S.; Bahrani, S. Decorated graphene with aluminum fumarate metal organic framework as a superior non-toxic agent for e ffi cient removal of Congo Red dye from wastewater. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2019, 7, 103437. [CrossRef]
- Oveisi, M.; Asli, M.A.; Mahmoodi, N.M. MIL-Ti metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) nanomaterials as superior adsorbents: Synthesis and ultrasound-aided dye adsorption from multicomponent wastewater systems. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2018, 347, 123–140. [CrossRef]

- Niu, P.; Lu, N.; Liu, J.; Jia, H.; Zhou, F.; Fan, B.; Li, R. Water-induced synthesis of hierarchical Zr-based MOFs with enhanced adsorption capacity and catalytic activity. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2019, 281, 92–100. [CrossRef]
- 111. Zhang, J.; Li, F.; Sun, Q. Rapid and selective adsorption of cationic dyes by a unique metal-organic framework with decorated pore surface. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2018**, *440*, 1219–1226. [CrossRef]
- Tian, S.; Xu, S.; Liu, J.; He, C.; Xiong, Y.; Feng, P. Highly efficient removal of both cationic and anionic dyes from wastewater with a water-stable and eco-friendly Fe-MOF via host-guest encapsulation. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2019, 239. [CrossRef]
- 113. Chen, C.; Zhang, M.; Guan, Q.; Li, W. Kinetic and thermodynamic studies on the adsorption of xylenol orange onto MIL-101(Cr). *Chem. Eng. J.* 2012, *183*, 60–67. [CrossRef]
- 114. He, J.; Li, J.; Du, W.; Han, Q.; Wang, Z.; Li, M. A mesoporous metal-organic framework: Potential advances in selective dye adsorption. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2018**, 750, 360–367. [CrossRef]
- 115. Qi, Z.P.; Kang, Y.S.; Guo, F.; Sun, W.Y. Controlled synthesis of NbO-type metal-organic framework nano/microcrystals with superior capacity and selectivity for dye adsorption from aqueous solution. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2019**, 273, 60–66. [CrossRef]
- Yang, M.; Bai, Q. Flower-like hierarchical Ni-Zn MOF microspheres: Efficient adsorbents for dye removal. Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 2019, 582. [CrossRef]
- 117. Shi, Z.; Xu, C.; Guan, H.; Li, L.; Fan, L.; Wang, Y.; Liu, L.; Meng, Q.; Zhang, R. Magnetic metal organic frameworks (MOFs) composite for removal of lead and malachite green in wastewater. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2018**, 539, 382–390. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, S.; Chen, D.; Wei, F.; Chen, N.; Liang, Z.; Luo, Y. Removal of Congo red dye from aqueous solution with nickel-based metal-organic framework/graphene oxide composites prepared by ultrasonic wave-assisted ball milling. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 2017, *39*, 845–852. [CrossRef]
- Haque, E.; Lee, J.E.; Jang, I.T.; Hwang, Y.K.; Chang, J.S.; Jegal, J.; Jhung, S.H. Adsorptive removal of methyl orange from aqueous solution with metal-organic frameworks, porous chromium-benzenedicarboxylates. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2010, *181*, 535–542. [CrossRef]
- Azad, F.N.; Ghaedi, M.; Dashtian, K.; Hajati, S.; Pezeshkpour, V. Ultrasonically assisted hydrothermal synthesis of activated carbon-HKUST-1-MOF hybrid for efficient simultaneous ultrasound-assisted removal of ternary organic dyes and antibacterial investigation: Taguchi optimization. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 2016, 31, 383–393. [CrossRef]
- Hamedi, A.; Zarandi, M.B.; Nateghi, M.R. Highly efficient removal of dye pollutants by MIL-101(Fe) metal-organic framework loaded magnetic particles mediated by Poly L-Dopa. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2019, 7. [CrossRef]
- Liu, X.; Gong, W.; Luo, J.; Zou, C.; Yang, Y.; Yang, S. Selective adsorption of cationic dyes from aqueous solution by polyoxometalate-based metal-organic framework composite. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2016, 362, 517–524. [CrossRef]
- 123. Aslam, S.; Zeng, J.; Subhan, F.; Li, M.; Lyu, F.; Li, Y.; Yan, Z. In situ one-step synthesis of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@MIL-100(Fe) core-shells for adsorption of methylene blue from water. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2017, 505, 186–195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. Mahmoodi, N.M.; Oveisi, M.; Asadi, E. Synthesis of NENU metal-organic framework-graphene oxide nanocomposites and their pollutant removal ability from water using ultrasound. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2019**, 211, 198–212. [CrossRef]
- 125. Tan, Y.; Sun, Z.; Meng, H.; Han, Y.; Wu, J.; Xu, J.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, X. A new MOFs/polymer hybrid membrane: MIL-68 (Al)/PVDF, fabrication and application in high-efficient removal of p-nitrophenol and methylene blue. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2019**, *68*, 217–226. [CrossRef]
- 126. Tambat, S.N.; Sane, P.K.; Suresh, S.; Varadan, O.N.; Pandit, A.B.; Sontakke, S.M. Hydrothermal synthesis of NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66 and its application for adsorptive removal of dye. *Adv. Powder Technol.* 2018, 29, 2626–2632. [CrossRef]
- 127. Chang, N.; Zhang, H.; Shi, M.S.; Li, J.; Yin, C.J.; Wang, H.T.; Wang, L. Regulation of the adsorption affinity of metal-organic framework MIL-101 via a TiO<sub>2</sub> coating strategy for high capacity adsorption and efficient photocatalysis. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2018**, 266, 47–55. [CrossRef]

- 128. Li, T.T.; Liu, Y.M.; Wang, T.; Wu, Y.L.; He, Y.L.; Yang, R.; Zheng, S.R. Regulation of the surface area and surface charge property of MOFs by multivariate strategy: Synthesis, characterization, selective dye adsorption and separation. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2018**, 272, 101–108. [CrossRef]
- 129. Jalali, S.; Rahimi, M.R.; Dashtian, K.; Ghaedi, M.; Mosleh, S. One step integration of plasmonic Ag<sub>2</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub>/Ag/AgCl into HKUST-1-MOF as novel visible-light driven photocatalyst for highly efficient degradation of mixture dyes pollutants: Its photocatalytic mechanism and modeling. *Polyhedron* 2019, 166, 217–225. [CrossRef]
- 130. Xiang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Lin, H.; Liu, C.J. Nanoparticle/metal-organic framework composites for catalytic applications: Current status and perspective. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 2103. [CrossRef]
- Huang, J.; Zhang, X.; Song, H.; Chen, C.; Han, F.; Wen, C. Protonated graphitic carbon nitride coated metal-organic frameworks with enhanced visible-light photocatalytic activity for contaminants degradation. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2018, 441, 85–98. [CrossRef]
- 132. Xu, W.T.; Ma, L.; Ke, F.; Peng, F.M.; Xu, G.S.; Shen, Y.H.; Zhu, J.F.; Qiu, L.G.; Yuan, Y.P. Metal-organic frameworks MIL-88A hexagonal microrods as a new photocatalyst for efficient decolorization of methylene blue dye. *Dalt. Trans.* **2014**, *43*, 3792–3798. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Mahmoodi, N.M.; Abdi, J.; Oveisi, M.; Alinia Asli, M.; Vossoughi, M. Metal-organic framework (MIL-100(Fe)): Synthesis, detailed photocatalytic dye degradation ability in colored textile wastewater and recycling. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2018, 100, 357–366. [CrossRef]
- Prince, G.; Nikhil, R.; Dhabarde, P.C. Rapid synthesis of Titanium based Metal Organic framework (MIL-125) via crossmark microwave route and its performance evaluation in photocatalysis. *Mater. Lett.* 2017, 186, 151–154. [CrossRef]
- 135. Li, X.; Guo, W.; Liu, Z.; Wang, R.; Liu, H. Fe-based MOFs for efficient adsorption and degradation of acid orange 7 in aqueous solution via persulfate activation. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *369*, 130–136. [CrossRef]
- 136. Wan, Y.; Wan, J.; Ma, Y.; Wang, Y.; Luo, T. Sustainable synthesis of modulated Fe-MOFs with enhanced catalyst performance for persulfate to degrade organic pollutants. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, 701. [CrossRef]
- 137. Huang, J.; Song, H.; Chen, C.; Yang, Y.; Xu, N.; Ji, X.; Li, C.; You, J.A. Facile synthesis of N-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles caged in MIL-100(Fe) for photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes under visible light irradiation. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2017, *5*, 2579–2585. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.; Wang, W.; Li, H.; Jin, X.; Wang, H.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Y. NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-53(Al) nanocrystals anchored on the surface of RGO hollow spheres and its visible light degradation of methylene blue. *Mater. Lett.* 2017, 197, 17–20. [CrossRef]
- 139. Abdpour, S.; Kowsari, E.; Reza, M.; Moghaddam, A.; Schmolke, L.; Janiak, C. Mil-100(Fe) nanoparticles supported on urchin like Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> structure for improving photocatalytic degradation of rhodamine-B dye under visible light irradiation. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2018**, *266*, 54–62. [CrossRef]
- 140. Mahmoodi, N.M.; Abdi, J. Nanoporous metal-organic framework (MOF-199): Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic degradation of Basic Blue 41. *Microchem. J.* **2019**, *144*, 436–442. [CrossRef]
- Chang, N.; Zhang, H.; Shi, M.S.; Li, J.; Shao, W.; Wang, H.T. Metal-organic framework templated synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub>@MIL-101 core-shell architectures for high-efficiency adsorption and photocatalysis. *Mater. Lett.* 2017, 200, 55–58. [CrossRef]
- 142. Wu, W.; Li, B.; Gu, C.; Wang, J.; Singh, A.; Kumar, A. Luminescent sensing of Cu<sup>2+</sup>, CrO24 and photocatalytic degradation of methyl violet by Zn (II) metal-organic framework (MOF) having 5,5-(1H-2,3,5-triazole-1,4-diyl) diisophthalic acid ligand. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2017**, *1148*, 531–536. [CrossRef]
- Du, X.; He, H.; Du, L.; Li, W.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, Q.; Yang, L.; Zhang, J.; Guo, S. Porous Pr(III)-based organic framework for dye-adsorption and photo degradation with (4,5)-c net. *Polyhedron* 2019, 171, 221–227. [CrossRef]
- 144. Zhang, Y.; Zhou, J.; Feng, Q.; Chen, X.; Hu, Z. Visible light photocatalytic degradation of MB using UiO-66/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> heterojunction nanocatalyst. *Chemosphere* **2018**, *212*, 523–532. [CrossRef]
- 145. Ding, J.; Yang, Z.; He, C.; Tong, X.; Li, Y.; Niu, X.; Zhang, H. UiO-66(Zr) coupled with Bi<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>6</sub> as photocatalyst for visible-light promoted dye degradation. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2017**, 497, 126–133. [CrossRef]
- 146. Zhang, X.; Zhang, N.; Gan, C.; Liu, Y.; Chen, L.; Zhang, C.; Fang, Y. Synthesis of In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/UiO-66 hybrid with enhanced photocatalytic activity towards methyl orange and tetracycline hydrochloride degradation under visible-light irradiation. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **2019**, *91*, 212–221. [CrossRef]

- 147. Wang, H.; Cui, P.H.; Shi, J.X.; Tan, J.Y.; Zhang, J.Y.; Zhang, N.; Zhang, C. Controllable self-assembly of CdS@NH2-MIL-125(Ti) heterostructure with enhanced photodegradation efficiency for organic pollutants through synergistic effect. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **2019**, *97*, 91–100. [CrossRef]
- Akbarzadeh, E.; Soheili, H.Z.; Hosseinifard, M.; Gholami, M.R. Preparation and characterization of novel Ag<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>/Cu-MOF/rGO heterojunction for photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2020, 121. [CrossRef]
- 149. Li, H.; Li, Q.; He, X.; Xu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Jia, L. Synthesis of AgBr@MOFs nanocomposite and its photocatalytic activity for dye degradation. *Polyhedron* **2019**, *165*, 31–37. [CrossRef]
- 150. Mosleh, S.; Rahimi, M.R.; Ghaedi, M.; Dashtian, K.; Hajati, S.; Wang, S. Ag<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/AgBr/Ag-HKUST-1-MOF composites as novel blue LED light active photocatalyst for enhanced degradation of ternary mixture of dyes in a rotating packed bed reactor. *Chem. Eng. Process. Process. Intensif.* 2017, 114, 24–38. [CrossRef]
- 151. Mosleh, S.; Rahimi, M.R.; Ghaedi, M.; Dashtian, K. Sonophotocatalytic degradation of trypan blue and vesuvine dyes in the presence of blue light active photocatalyst of Ag<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>-HKUST-1-MOF: Central composite optimization and synergistic effect study. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 2016, *32*, 387–397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 152. Ramezanalizadeh, H.; Manteghi, F. Synthesis of a novel MOF/CuWO<sub>4</sub> heterostructure for efficient photocatalytic degradation and removal of water pollutants. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2016**, *172*, 2655–2666. [CrossRef]
- 153. Kaur, R.; Vellingiri, K.; Kim, K.H.; Paul, A.K.; Deep, A. Efficient photocatalytic degradation of rhodamine 6G with a quantum dot-metal organic framework nanocomposite. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *154*, 620–627. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 154. Araya, T.; Chen, C.C.; Jia, M.K.; Johnson, D.; Li, R.; Huang, Y. ping Selective degradation of organic dyes by a resin modified Fe-based metal-organic framework under visible light irradiation. *Opt. Mater.* 2017, 64, 512–523. [CrossRef]
- 155. Araya, T.; Jia, M.; Yang, J.; Zhao, P.; Cai, K.; Ma, W.; Huang, Y. Resin modified MIL-53(Fe) MOF for improvement of photocatalytic performance. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2017**, *203*, 768–777. [CrossRef]
- 156. Du, J.; Yuan, Y.; Sun, J.; Peng, F.; Jiang, X.; Qiu, L. New photocatalysts based on MIL-53 metal—Organic frameworks for the decolorization of methylene blue dye. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2011**, *190*, 945–951. [CrossRef]
- 157. Liu, N.; Jing, C.; Li, Z.; Huang, W.; Gao, B.; You, F.; Zhang, X. Effect of synthesis conditions on the photocatalytic degradation of Rhodamine B of MIL-53(Fe). *Mater. Lett.* **2019**, 237, 92–95. [CrossRef]
- 158. Pu, M.; Guan, Z.; Ma, Y.; Wan, J.; Wang, Y.; Brusseau, M.L. General Synthesis of iron-based metal-organic framework MIL-53 as an efficient catalyst to activate persulfate for the degradation of Orange G in aqueous solution. *Appl. Catal. A* **2017**, *549*, 82–92. [CrossRef]
- 159. Abdpour, S.; Kowsari, E.; Reza, M.; Moghaddam, A. Synthesis of MIL-100(Fe)@ MIL-53(Fe) as a novel hybrid photocatalyst and evaluation photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical performance under visible light irradiation. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2018**, *262*, 172–180. [CrossRef]
- 160. Zhang, R.; Du, B.; Li, Q.; Cao, Z.; Feng, G.; Wang, X. α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoclusters confined into UiO-66 for efficient visible-light photodegradation performance. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, *466*, 956–963. [CrossRef]
- Chen, J.; Chao, F.; Ma, X.; Zhu, Q.; Jiang, J.; Ren, J.; Guo, Y.; Lou, Y. Synthesis of flower-like CuS/UiO-66 composites with enhanced visible-light photocatalytic performance. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2019, 104, 223–228. [CrossRef]
- 162. Huu, V.; Giang, L.; Thi, Q.; Bui, P.; Duy, T. Composite photocatalysts containing MIL-53(Fe) as a heterogeneous photo-Fenton catalyst for the decolorization of rhodamine B under visible light irradiation. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *53*, 2–9.
- 163. Liu, X.; Dang, R.; Dong, W.; Huang, X.; Tang, J.; Gao, H.; Wang, G. A sandwich-like heterostructure of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets with MIL-100(Fe): A platform for efficient visible-light-driven photocatalysis. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2017**, 209, 506–513. [CrossRef]
- Michałowicz, J.; Włuka, A.; Cyrkler, M.; Maćczak, A.; Sicińska, P.; Mokra, K. Phenol and chlorinated phenols exhibit different apoptotic potential in human red blood cells (in vitro study). *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2018, *61*, 95–101. [CrossRef]
- 165. Maćczak, A.; Cyrkler, M.; Bukowska, B.; Michałowicz, J. Eryptosis-inducing activity of bisphenol A and its analogs in human red blood cells (in vitro study). *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2016**, *307*, 328–335. [CrossRef]

- 166. Alshabib, M.; Onaizi, S.A. A review on phenolic wastewater remediation using homogeneous and heterogeneous enzymatic processes: Current status and potential challenges. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2019, 219, 186–207. [CrossRef]
- 167. Ahmed, S.; Rasul, M.G.; Brown, R.; Hashib, M.A. Influence of parameters on the heterogeneous photocatalytic degradation of pesticides and phenolic contaminants in wastewater: A short review. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2011, 92, 311–330. [CrossRef]
- 168. De Roos, A.J.; Blair, A.; Rusiecki, J.A.; Hoppin, J.A.; Svec, M.; Dosemeci, M.; Sandler, D.P.; Alavanja, M.C. Cancer incidence among glyphosate-exposed pesticide applicators in the Agricultural Health Study. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2005, 113, 49–54. [CrossRef]
- Drout, R.J.; Robison, L.; Chen, Z.; Islamoglu, T.; Farha, O.K. Zirconium Metal–Organic Frameworks for Organic Pollutant Adsorption. *Trends Chem.* 2019, 1, 304–317. [CrossRef]
- 170. Xiao, Y.; Tong, F.; Kuang, Y.; Chen, B. Distribution and source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in forest soils from urban to rural areas in the Pearl River Delta of southern China. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2014**, *11*, 2642–2656. [CrossRef]
- 171. Yali, Z.P.; Fatemi, M.H. Prediction of the sorption coefficient for the adsorption of PAHs on MWCNT based on hybrid QSPR-molecular docking approach. *Adsorption* **2019**, *25*, 737–743. [CrossRef]
- 172. Zhang, Y.; Tao, S.; Shen, H.; Ma, J. Inhalation exposure to ambient polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and lung cancer risk of Chinese population. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, *106*, 21063–21067. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 173. Lawal, A.T. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. A review. Cogent Environ. Sci. 2017, 3, 1–89. [CrossRef]
- 174. Mezzanotte, V.; Anzano, M.; Collina, E.; Marazzi, A.; Lasagni, M. Distribution and Removal of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Two Italian Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants in 2011–2013. *Polycycl. Aromat. Compd.* 2015. [CrossRef]
- 175. Liu, L.; Li, D.; Li, C.; Ji, R.; Tian, X. Metal nanoparticles by doping carbon nanotubes improved the sorption of perfluorooctanoic acid. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2018**, *351*, 206–214. [CrossRef]
- 176. Moody, C.A.; Kwan, W.C.; Martin, J.W.; Muir, D.C.G.; Mabury, S.A. Determination of perfluorinated surfactants in surface water samples by two independent analytical techniques: Liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry and 19F NMR. *Anal. Chem.* **2002**, *73*, 2200–2206. [CrossRef]
- 177. Enevoldsen, R.; Juhler, R.K. Perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) in groundwater and aqueous soil extracts: Using inline SPE-LC-MS/MS for screening and sorption characterisation of perfluorooctanesulphonate and related compounds. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2010**, *398*, 1161–1172. [CrossRef]
- 178. Loewen, M.; Halldorson, T.; Wang, F.; Tomy, G. Fluorotelomer carboxylic acids and PFOS in rainwater from an urban center in Canada. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39*, 2944–2951. [CrossRef]
- 179. Zhang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Taniyasu, S.; Yeung, L.W.Y.; Lam, P.K.S.; Wang, J.; Li, X.; Yamashita, N.; Dai, J. Distribution and fate of perfluoroalkyl substances in municipal wastewater treatment plants in economically developed areas of China. *Environ. Pollut.* **2013**, *176*, 10–17. [CrossRef]
- Mak, Y.L.; Taniyasu, S.; Yeung, L.W.; Lu, G.; Jin, L.; Yang, Y.; Lam, P.K.; Kannan, K.; Yamashita, N. Perfluorinated compounds in tap water from China and several other countries. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2009, 43, 4824–4829. [CrossRef]
- Zabaleta, I.; Bizkarguenaga, E.; Iparragirre, A.; Navarro, P.; Prieto, A.; Fernandez, L.A.; Zuloaga, O. Focused ultrasound solid-liquid extraction for the determination of perfluorinated compounds in fish, vegetables and amended soil. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2014, 1331, 27–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 182. Huber, S.; Brox, J. An automated high-throughput SPE micro-elution method for perfluoroalkyl substances in human serum. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2015**, *407*, 3751–3761. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 183. Schaefer, C.E.; Andaya, C.; Burant, A.; Condee, C.W.; Urtiaga, A.; Strathmann, T.J.; Higgins, C.P. Electrochemical treatment of perfluorooctanoic acid and perfluorooctane sulfonate: Insights into mechanisms and application to groundwater treatment. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *317*, 424–432. [CrossRef]
- 184. Pankajakshan, A.; Sinha, M.; Ojha, A.A.; Mandal, S. Water-Stable Nanoscale Zirconium-Based Metal-Organic Frameworks for the Effective Removal of Glyphosate from Aqueous Media. ACS Omega 2018, 3, 7832–7839. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 185. Zhou, M.; Wu, Y.-N.; Qiao, J.; Zhang, J.; McDonald, A.; Li, G.; Li, F. The removal of bisphenol A from aqueous solutions by MIL-53(Al) and mesostructured MIL-53(Al). *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2013, 405, 157–163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 186. Akpinar, I.; Drout, R.J.; Islamoglu, T.; Kato, S.; Lyu, J.; Farha, O.K. Exploiting π-π Interactions to Design an Efficient Sorbent for Atrazine Removal from Water. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2019, 11, 6097–6103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 187. Zango, Z.U.; Sambudi, N.S.; Jumbri, K.; Abu Bakar, N.H.H.; Abdullah, N.A.F.; Negim, E.S.M.; Saad, B. Experimental and molecular docking model studies for the adsorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons onto UiO-66(Zr) and NH<sub>2</sub>-UiO-66(Zr) metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2020, 220, 115608. [CrossRef]
- 188. Zango, Z.U.; Abu Bakar, N.H.H.; Sambudi, N.S.; Jumbri, K.; Abdullah, N.A.F.; Abdul Kadir, E.; Saad, B. Adsorption of chrysene in aqueous solution onto MIL-88(Fe) and NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-88(Fe) metal-organic frameworks: Kinetics, isotherms, thermodynamics and docking simulation studies. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2019. [CrossRef]
- 189. Zango, Z.U.; Jumbri, K.; Sambudi, N.S.; Abu Bakar, N.H.H.; Abdullah, N.A.F.; Basheer, C.; Saad, B. Removal of anthracene in water by MIL-88(Fe), NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-88(Fe), and mixed-MIL-88(Fe) metal–organic frameworks. *RCS Adv.* 2019, 9, 41490–41501. [CrossRef]
- Mei, W.; Song, H.; Tian, Z.; Zuo, S.; Li, D.; Xu, H.; Xia, D. Efficient photo-Fenton like activity in modified MIL-53(Fe) for removal of pesticides: Regulation of photogenerated electron migration. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2019, 119. [CrossRef]
- 191. Ahmad, M.; Chen, S.; Ye, F.; Quan, X.; Afzal, S.; Yu, H.; Zhao, X. Efficient photo-Fenton activity in mesoporous MIL-100(Fe) decorated with ZnO nanosphere for pollutants degradation. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2018, 245, 428–438. [CrossRef]
- 192. Lv, S.W.; Liu, J.M.; Li, C.Y.; Zhao, N.; Wang, Z.H.; Wang, S. Two novel MOFs@COFs hybrid-based photocatalytic platforms coupling with sulfate radical-involved advanced oxidation processes for enhanced degradation of bisphenol A. *Chemosphere* 2020, 243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 193. Lin, K.A.; Hsieh, Y. Copper-based metal organic framework (MOF), HKUST-1, as an efficient adsorbent to remove p-nitrophenol from water. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* **2015**, *50*, 223–228.
- 194. Han, T.; Li, C.; Guo, X.; Huang, H.; Liu, D.; Zhong, C. In-situ synthesis of SiO<sub>2</sub>@MOF composites for high-efficiency removal of aniline from aqueous solution. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *390*, 506–512. [CrossRef]
- 195. Abazari, R.; Salehi, G.; Mahjoub, A.R. Ultrasound-assisted preparation of a nanostructured zinc(II) amine pillar metal-organic framework as a potential sorbent for 2,4-dichlorophenol adsorption from aqueous solution. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* **2018**, *46*, 59–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Abazari, R.; Mahjoub, A.R. Ultrasound-assisted synthesis of Zinc(II)-based metal organic framework nanoparticles in the presence of modulator for adsorption enhancement of 2,4-dichlorophenol and amoxicillin. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 2018, 42, 577–584. [CrossRef]
- 197. Xu, Z.; Wen, Y.; Tian, L.; Li, G. Efficient and selective adsorption of nitroaromatic explosives by Zr-MOF. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *77*, 11–13. [CrossRef]
- 198. Wu, Z.; Yuan, X.; Zhong, H.; Wang, H.; Zeng, G.; Chen, X.; Wang, H.; Zhang, L.; Shao, J. Enhanced adsorptive removal of p-nitrophenol from water by aluminum metal-organic framework/reduced graphene oxide composite. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 25638. [CrossRef]
- 199. Guo, H.; Niu, B.; Wu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Ying, S. Effective removal of 2, 4, 6-Trinitrophenol over hexagonal metal—Organic framework NH<sub>2</sub>–MIL–88B(Fe). *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2018**, *33*, e4580. [CrossRef]
- Giraldo, L.; Bastidas-Barranco, M.; Húmpola, P.; Moreno-Piraján, J.C. Design, synthesis and characterization of MOF-199 and ZIF-8: Applications in the adsorption of phenols derivatives in aqueous solution. *Eur. J. Chem.* 2017, *8*, 293–304. [CrossRef]
- 201. Luo, Z.; Chen, H.; Wu, S.; Yang, C.; Cheng, J. Enhanced removal of bisphenol A from aqueous solution by aluminum-based MOF/sodium alginate-chitosan composite beads. *Chemosphere* 2019, 237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 202. Ahsan, M.A.; Jabbari, V.; Islam, M.T.; Turley, R.S.; Dominguez, N.; Kim, H.; Castro, E.; Hernandez-Viezcas, J.A.; Curry, M.L.; Lopez, J.; et al. Sustainable synthesis and remarkable adsorption capacity of MOF/graphene oxide and MOF/CNT based hybrid nanocomposites for the removal of Bisphenol A from water. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 673, 306–317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 203. Zhang, R.; Wang, L.; Han, J.; Wu, J.; Li, C.; Ni, L.; Wang, Y. Improving laccase activity and stability by HKUST-1 with cofactor via one-pot encapsulation and its application for degradation of bisphenol A. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *383*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 204. Liu, G.; Li, L.; Xu, D.; Huang, X.; Xu, X.; Zheng, S.; Zhang, Y.; Lin, H. Metal–organic framework preparation using magnetic graphene oxide–β-cyclodextrin for neonicotinoid pesticide adsorption and removal. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2017**, *175*, 584–591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 205. Mirsoleimani-azizi, S.M.; Setoodeh, P.; Samimi, F.; Shadmehr, J. Diazinon removal from aqueous media by mesoporous MIL-101(Cr) in a continuous fi xed-bed system. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6*, 4653–4664. [CrossRef]
- 206. Wang, B.; Yang, Y.; Lu, Y.; Wang, W.; Wang, Q.; Dong, X.; Zhao, J. Rapid and efficient removal of acetochlor from environmental water using Cr-MIL-101 sorbent modi fi ed with 3, 5-Bis (trifluoromethyl) phenyl isocyanate. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, *710*, 135512.
- 207. Moeini, Z.; Azhdarpoor, A.; Yousefinejad, S.; Hashemi, H. Removal of atrazine from water using titanium dioxide encapsulated in salicylaldehyde–NH 2 –MIL-101(Cr): Adsorption or oxidation mechanism. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2019**, 224, 238–245. [CrossRef]
- Fan, C.; Dong, H.; Liang, Y.; Yang, J.; Tang, G.; Zhang, W.; Cao, Y. Sustainable synthesis of HKUST-1 and its composite by biocompatible ionic liquid for enhancing visible-light photocatalytic performance. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2019, 208, 353–362. [CrossRef]
- 209. Zhu, X.; Li, B.; Yang, J.; Li, Y.; Zhao, W.; Shi, J.; Gu, J. Effective adsorption and enhanced removal of organophosphorus pesticides from aqueous solution by Zr-Based MOFs of UiO-67. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 223–231. [CrossRef]
- 210. Akpinar, I.; Yazaydin, A.O. Adsorption of Atrazine from Water in Metal-Organic Framework Materials. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2018**, *63*, 2368–2375. [CrossRef]
- 211. Okoro, H.K.; Tella, A.C.; Ajibola, O.A.; Zvinowanda, C.; Ngila, J.C. Adsorptive removal of naphthalene and anthracene from aqueous solution with zinc and copper-terephthalate metal-organic frameworks. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.* **2019**, *33*, 229–241. [CrossRef]
- 212. Zango, Z.U.; Sambudi, N.S.; Jumbri, K.; Abu Bakar, N.H.H.; Saad, B. Removal of Pyrene from Aqueous Solution Using Fe-based Metal-organic Frameworks. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2020, 549, 012061. [CrossRef]
- 213. Chen, M.J.; Yang, A.C.; Wang, N.H.; Chiu, H.C.; Li, Y.L.; Kang, D.Y.; Lo, S.L. Influence of crystal topology and interior surface functionality of metal-organic frameworks on PFOA sorption performance. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2016**, 236, 202–210. [CrossRef]
- 214. Zhang, S.; Du, M.; Kuang, J.; Xing, Z.; Li, Z.; Pan, K.; Zhu, Q.; Zhou, W. Surface-defect-rich mesoporous NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-125(Ti)@Bi<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>6</sub> core-shell heterojunction with improved charge separation and enhanced visible-light-driven photocatalytic performance. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2019, 554, 324–334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, H.; Chen, S.; Zhang, H.; Fan, X.; Gao, C.; Yu, H.; Quan, X. Carbon nanotubes-incorporated MIL-88B-Fe as highly ef fi cient Fenton-like catalyst for degradation of organic pollutants. *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 13, 18. [CrossRef]
- Thakare, S.R.; Ramteke, S.M. Postmodification of MOF-5 using secondary complex formation using 8-hydroxyquinoline (HOQ) for the development of visible light active photocatalysts. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 2018, 116, 264–272. [CrossRef]
- 217. Lin, J.; Hu, Y.; Wang, L.; Liang, D.; Ruan, X.; Shao, S. M88/PS/Vis system for degradation of bisphenol A: Environmental factors, degradation pathways, and toxicity evaluation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**. [CrossRef]
- Liang, R.; Luo, S.; Jing, F.; Shen, L.; Qin, N.; Wu, L. A simple strategy for fabrication of Pd@MIL-100(Fe) nanocomposite as a visible-light-driven photocatalyst for the treatment of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs). *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2015, 176–177, 240–248. [CrossRef]
- 219. Ke, Q.; Shi, Y.; Liu, Y.; Chen, F.; Wang, H.; Wu, X.L.; Lin, H.; Chen, J. Enhanced catalytic degradation of bisphenol A by hemin-MOFs supported on boron nitride via the photo-assisted heterogeneous activation of persulfate. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2019**, *229*. [CrossRef]
- 220. Li, X.; Guo, W.; Liu, Z.; Wang, R.; Liu, H. Quinone-modified NH2-MIL-101(Fe) composite as a redox mediator for improved degradation of bisphenol A. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2017**, *324*, 665–672. [CrossRef]
- Fakhri, H.; Bagheri, H. Highly efficient Zr-MOF@WO<sub>3</sub>/graphene oxide photocatalyst: Synthesis, characterization and photodegradation of tetracycline and malathion. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 2020, 107. [CrossRef]

- 222. Oladipo, A.A.; Vaziri, R.; Abureesh, M.A. Highly robust AgIO3/MIL-53(Fe) nanohybrid composites for degradation of organophosphorus pesticides in single and binary systems: Application of artificial neural networks modelling. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *83*, 133–142. [CrossRef]
- 223. Sajjadi, S.; Khataee, A.; Bagheri, N.; Kobya, M.; Şenocak, A.; Demirbas, E.; Karaoğlu, A.G. Degradation of diazinon pesticide using catalyzed persulfate with Fe3O4@MOF-2 nanocomposite under ultrasound irradiation. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2019, *77*, 280–290. [CrossRef]
- 224. Lin, S.; Zhao, Y.; Yun, Y.S. Highly Effective Removal of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Pharmaceuticals from Water by Zr(IV)-Based Metal-Organic Framework: Adsorption Performance and Mechanisms. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 28076–28085. [CrossRef]
- 225. Jun, B.M.; Heo, J.; Park, C.M.; Yoon, Y. Comprehensive evaluation of the removal mechanism of carbamazepine and ibuprofen by metal organic framework. *Chemosphere* **2019**, 235, 527–537. [CrossRef]
- 226. Lv, Y.; Zhang, R.; Zeng, S.; Liu, K.; Huang, S.; Liu, Y.; Xu, P.; Lin, C.; Cheng, Y.; Liu, M. Removal of p-arsanilic acid by an amino-functionalized indium-based metal–organic framework: Adsorption behavior and synergetic mechanism. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, *339*, 359–368. [CrossRef]
- Li, S.; Cui, J.; Wu, X.; Zhang, X.; Hu, Q.; Hou, X. Rapid in situ microwave synthesis of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> @MIL-100(Fe) for aqueous diclofenac sodium removal through integrated adsorption and photodegradation. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2019, 373, 408–416. [CrossRef]
- 228. Hasan, Z.; Choi, E.J.; Jhung, S.H. Adsorption of naproxen and clofibric acid over a metal–organic framework MIL-101 functionalized with acidic and basic groups. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2013**, *219*, 537–544. [CrossRef]
- 229. Gao, Y.; Xia, J.; Liu, D.; Kang, R.; Yu, G.; Deng, S. Synthesis of mixed-linker Zr-MOFs for emerging contaminant adsorption and photodegradation under visible light. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**, *378*, 122118. [CrossRef]
- Liu, W.; Shen, X.; Han, Y.; Liu, Z.; Dai, W.; Dutta, A.; Kumar, A.; Liu, J. Selective adsorption and removal of drug contaminants by using an extremely stable Cu(II)-based 3D metal-organic framework. *Chemosphere* 2019, 215, 524–531. [CrossRef]
- 231. Tella, A.C.; Owalude, S.O.; Olatunji, S.J.; Adimula, V.O.; Elaigwu, S.E.; Alimi, L.O.; Ajibade, P.A.; Oluwafemi, O.S. Synthesis of zinc-carboxylate metal-organic frameworks for the removal of emerging drug contaminant (amodiaquine) from aqueous solution. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *64*, 264–275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abazari, R.; Mahjoub, A.R.; Shariati, J. Synthesis of a nanostructured pillar MOF with high adsorption capacity towards antibiotics pollutants from aqueous solution. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2019, 366, 439–451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 233. Zhao, X.; Wei, Y.; Zhao, H.; Gao, Z.; Zhang, Y. Functionalized metal-organic frameworks for effective removal of rocephin in aqueous solutions. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2018**, 514, 234–239. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 234. Zhou, Y.; Yang, Q.; Zhang, D.; Gan, N.; Li, Q.; Cuan, J. Detection and removal of antibiotic tetracycline in water with a highly stable luminescent MOF. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, *262*, 137–143. [CrossRef]
- Mirsoleimani-Azizi, S.M.; Setoodeh, P.; Zeinali, S.; Rahimpour, M.R. Tetracycline antibiotic removal from aqueous solutions by MOF-5: Adsorption isotherm, kinetic and thermodynamic studies. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2018, *6*, 6118–6130. [CrossRef]
- 236. Gao, Y.; Kang, R.; Xia, J.; Yu, G.; Deng, S. Understanding the adsorption of sulfonamide antibiotics on MIL-53s: Metal dependence of breathing effect and adsorptive performance in aqueous solution. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2019, 535, 159–168. [CrossRef]
- Naeimi, S.; Faghihian, H. Application of novel metal organic framework, MIL-53(Fe) and its magnetic hybrid: For removal of pharmaceutical pollutant, doxycycline from aqueous solutions. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2017, 53, 121–132. [CrossRef]
- 238. Gao, Y.; Liu, K.; Kang, R.; Xia, J.; Yu, G.; Deng, S. A comparative study of rigid and fl exible MOFs for the adsorption of pharmaceuticals: Kinetics, isotherms and mechanisms. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2018, 359, 248–257. [CrossRef]
- Wang, D.; Jia, F.; Wang, H.; Chen, F.; Fang, Y.; Dong, W.; Zeng, G.; Li, X.; Yang, Q.; Yuan, X. Simultaneously efficient adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline by Fe-based MOFs. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2018, *519*, 273–284. [CrossRef]
- 240. Xiong, W.; Zeng, Z.; Li, X.; Zeng, G.; Xiao, R.; Yang, Z.; Xu, H.; Chen, H.; Cao, J.; Zhou, C.; et al. Ni-doped MIL-53(Fe) nanoparticles for optimized doxycycline removal by using response surface methodology from aqueous solution. *Chemosphere* 2019, 232, 186–194. [CrossRef]

- Seo, P.W.; Khan, N.A.; Jhung, S.H. Removal of nitroimidazole antibiotics from water by adsorption over metal—Organic frameworks modified with urea or melamine. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2017, 315, 92–100. [CrossRef]
- 242. Xiong, W.; Zeng, Z.; Li, X.; Zeng, G.; Xiao, R.; Yang, Z.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, C.; Cheng, M.; Hu, L.; et al. Multi-walled carbon nanotube/amino-functionalized MIL-53(Fe) composites: Remarkable adsorptive removal of antibiotics from aqueous solutions. *Chemosphere* 2018, 210, 1061–1069. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 243. Xiong, W.; Zeng, G.; Yang, Z.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, C.; Cheng, M.; Liu, Y.; Hu, L.; Wan, J.; Zhou, C.; et al. Adsorption of tetracycline antibiotics from aqueous solutions on nanocomposite multi-walled carbon nanotube functionalized MIL-53(Fe) as new adsorbent. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 627, 235–244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 244. Sun, W.; Li, H.; Li, H.; Li, S.; Cao, X. Adsorption mechanisms of ibuprofen and naproxen to UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH 2: Batch experiment and DFT calculation. *Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *360*, 645–653. [CrossRef]
- 245. Dong, W.; Wang, D.; Wang, H.; Li, M.; Chen, F.; Jia, F.; Yang, Q.; Li, X.; Yuan, X.; Gong, J.; et al. Facile synthesis of In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/UiO-66 composite with enhanced adsorption performance and photocatalytic activity for the removal of tetracycline under visible light irradiation. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2019, 535, 444–457. [CrossRef]
- 246. Azhar, M.R.; Abid, H.R.; Periasamy, V.; Sun, H.; Tade, M.O.; Wang, S. Adsorptive removal of antibiotic sulfonamide by UiO-66 and ZIF-67 for wastewater treatment. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2017, 500, 88–95. [CrossRef]
- 247. Yang, W.; Han, Y.; Li, C.; Zhu, L.; Shi, L.; Tang, W.; Wang, J.; Yue, T.; Li, Z. Shapeable three-dimensional CMC aerogels decorated with Ni/Co-MOF for rapid and highly efficient tetracycline hydrochloride removal. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**, *375.* [CrossRef]
- 248. Yu, L.L.; Cao, W.; Wu, S.C.; Yang, C.; Cheng, J.H. Removal of tetracycline from aqueous solution by MOF/graphite oxide pellets: Preparation, characteristic, adsorption performance and mechanism. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2018, 164, 289–296. [CrossRef]
- 249. Sarker, M.; Song, J.Y.; Jhung, S.H. Adsorptive removal of anti-inflammatory drugs from water using graphene oxide/metal-organic framework composites. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, *335*, 74–81. [CrossRef]
- 250. Gautam, R.K.; Banerjee, S.; Sanroman, M.A.; Chattopadhyaya, M.C. Synthesis of copper coordinated dithiooxamide metal organic framework and its performance assessment in the adsorptive removal of tartrazine from water. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *5*, 328–340. [CrossRef]
- Huang, W.; Jing, C.; Zhang, X.; Tang, M.; Tang, L.; Wu, M.; Liu, N. Integration of plasmonic effect into spindle-shaped MIL-88A(Fe): Steering charge flow for enhanced visible-light photocatalytic degradation of ibuprofen. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2018, 349, 603–612. [CrossRef]
- 252. Jiang, D.; Zhu, Y.; Chen, M.; Huang, B.; Zeng, G.; Huang, D.; Song, B.; Qin, L.; Wang, H.; Wei, W. Modified crystal structure and improved photocatalytic activity of MIL-53 via inorganic acid modulator. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2019, 255. [CrossRef]
- Rasheed, H.U.; Lv, X.; Zhang, S.; Wei, W.; Ullah, N.; Xie, J. Ternary MIL-100(Fe)@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/CA magnetic nanophotocatalysts (MNPCs): Magnetically separable and Fenton-like degradation of tetracycline hydrochloride. *Adv. Powder Technol.* 2018, 29, 3305–3314. [CrossRef]
- 254. Li, R.; Chen, Z.; Cai, M.; Huang, J.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. Improvement of Sulfamethazine photodegradation by Fe (III) assisted MIL-53 (Fe)/percarbonate system. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2018**, 457, 726–734. [CrossRef]
- 255. Liu, N.; Huang, W.; Tang, M.; Yin, C.; Gao, B.; Li, Z.; Tang, L.; Lei, J.; Cui, L.; Zhang, X. In-situ fabrication of needle-shaped MIL-53(Fe) with 1T-MoS<sub>2</sub> and study on its enhanced photocatalytic mechanism of ibuprofen. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2019, 359, 254–264. [CrossRef]
- 256. Salimi, M.; Esrafili, A.; Jonidi Jafari, A.; Gholami, M.; Sobhi, H.R.; Nourbakhsh, M.; Akbari-Adergani, B. Photocatalytic degradation of cefixime with MIL-125(Ti)-mixed linker decorated by g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> under solar driven light irradiation. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2019**, *582*. [CrossRef]
- 257. Sun, J.; Feng, S.; Feng, S. composite with enhanced photocatalytic performance for ketoprofen. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2020, 111, 107669. [CrossRef]

- 258. Huo, Q.; Qi, X.; Li, J.; Liu, G.; Ning, Y.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, B.; Fu, Y.; Liu, S. Preparation of a direct Z-scheme A-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MIL-101(Cr) hybrid for degradation of carbamazepine under visible light irradiation. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2019**, 255. [CrossRef]
- 259. Gao, Y.; Yu, G.; Liu, K.; Deng, S.; Wang, B.; Huang, J.; Wang, Y. Integrated adsorption and visible-light photodegradation of aqueous clofibric acid and carbamazepine by a Fe-based metal-organic framework. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *330*, 157–165. [CrossRef]

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).