Low deceleration capacity is associated with higher stroke risk in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation

Ying Ding¹, Zhen-Yan Xu^{1,2}, Hua-Long Liu¹, Jin-Zhu Hu¹, Jing Chen¹, Lin Huang¹, Qi Chen¹, Jian-Xin Hu¹, Xiao-Shu Cheng¹, Kui Hong^{1,2}

¹Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, China; ²Jiangxi Key Laboratory of Molecular Medicine, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, China.

Abstract

Background: Deceleration capacity (DC) is a non-invasive marker for cardiac autonomic dysfunction; however, few studies have shown that the influence factors of cardiac autonomic dysfunction and the correlations between DC and stroke risk in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF). We aimed to explore the influencing factors of abnormal DC and the relationships between DC and stroke risk in paroxysmal AF.

Methods: The study included hospitalized paroxysmal AF patients with DC measurements derived from 24-h Holter electrocardiography recordings taken between August 2015 and June 2016. Multivariable regression analysis was performed to evaluate the associations between correlated variables and abnormal DC values. The relationship between DC and ischemic stroke risk scores in patients with paroxysmal AF was analyzed.

Results: We studied 259 hospitalized patients with paroxysmal AF (143 [55.2%] male, mean age 66.4 ± 12.0 years); 38 patients of them showed abnormal DC values. In the univariate analysis, age, hypertension, heart failure, and previous stroke/transient ischemic attack (TIA) were significantly associated with abnormal DC values. Among these factors, a history of previous stroke/TIA (odds ratio = 2.861, 95% confidence interval: 1.356–6.039) were independently associated with abnormal DC values in patients with paroxysmal AF. The abnormal DC group showed a higher stroke risk with the score of congestive heart failure, hypertension, age >75 years, diabetes mellitus, previous stroke and TIA (CHADS₂) (2.25 ± 1.48 *vs*. 1.40 ± 1.34, *t* = -4.907, *P* = 0.001) and CHA₂DS₂-vascular disease, age 65–74 years and female category (VASc) (3.76 ± 1.95 *vs*. 2.71 ± 1.87, *t* = -4.847, *P* = 0.001) scores. Correlation analysis showed that DC was negatively correlated with CHADS₂ scores (*r* = -0.290, *P* < 0.001) and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores (*r* = -0.263, *P* < 0.001).

Conclusions: Lower DC is closely associated with previous stroke/TIA, and is also correlated negatively with higher stroke risk scores in patients with paroxysmal AF. It could be a potential indicator of stroke risk in paroxysmal AF patients. **Keywords:** Deceleration capacity; Atrial fibrillation; Stroke; Cardiac autonomic

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia and is associated with an increased risk of ischemic stroke, heart failure, and mortality.^[1] Stroke risk assessment of AF is currently mainly based on CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores.^[2] Both scores include known major risk factors, such as age, diabetes, heart failure, previous stroke/transient ischemic attack (TIA), and hypertension. AF is a complex type of arrhythmia and has multiple mechanisms. Its pathophysiology includes electrical remodeling, structural remodeling, and autonomic neural dysregulation.^[3] The cardiac autonomic nerve system (CANS) is a potential trigger for and modulator of the initiation and maintenance of AF. Fluctuations in the

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.cmj.org			
	DOI: 10.1097/CM9.0000000000000391			

CANS are common before the onset of paroxysmal AF.^[4] Furthermore, neuronal remodeling can lead to an abnormal release of neurotransmitters, thereby affecting the ion channel status and increasing AF susceptibility.

Deceleration capacity (DC) was first proposed in 2006^[5] as a reliable non-invasive electrocardiographic index for the quantitative measurement of cardiac autonomic nervous tension.^[6] DC has proven to be a superior indicator compared to heart rate variability, which has been traditionally used to assess cardiac autonomic function.^[7] DC has been also confirmed to predict post-myocardial infarction sudden death better than left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and standard deviations of all normal-tonormal intervals. A preserved DC (>4.5 ms) has been found to indicate an extremely low risk of mortality, whereas a

Chinese Medical Journal 2019;132(17)

Received: 21-06-2019 Edited by: Li-Min Chen

Correspondence to: Dr. Kui Hong, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, China E-Mail: hongkui88@163.com

Copyright © 2019 The Chinese Medical Association, produced by Wolters Kluwer, Inc. under the CC-BY-NC-ND license. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

poor DC (≤ 2.5 ms) indicates a higher mortality risk.^[5] DC has also been used to assess the effects of circumferential or segmental pulmonary vein ablation for paroxysmal AF on cardiac autonomic function.^[8] In addition, the results of a study by Rademacher *et al* have shown that abnormally low DC values (< 4.5 ms) indicated severe vagal insufficiency, which elucidated that DC may help to predict the recurrence of persistent AF after cardioversion.^[9]

Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the clinical characteristics and influencing factors of abnormal DC and to study the relationship between DC and stroke risk scores in patients with paroxysmal AF.

Methods

Ethical approval

The study and consent procedures were performed in accordance with the *Declaration of Helsinki*. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University. All patients had signed the informed consents.

Study population

In this study, we consecutively included all patients with paroxysmal AF admitted to our hospital from August 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016. AF patients were defined as individuals with International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification diagnostic codes of AF, confirmed by electrocardiography (ECG) or Holter monitor recordings in the inpatient setting. Paroxysmal AF is defined by episodes that last <7 days and terminate spontaneously.^[10] The patients with paroxysmal AF were pre-screened prior to being included in this study, and those with valvular heart diseases or those who were included prior to the age of 18 years were excluded. For the study analyses, we excluded patients who had missing or invalid DC data on 24-h Holter ECG or who had missing data for important covariates. In order to ensure the validity and accuracy of Holter ECG parameters collection, patients without valid Holter ECG recordings (eg, a recording time less than 20 h or excessive noise) or not sinus rhythm during Holter ECG recording were also excluded.

Data collection

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the included patients were extracted from the hospital information system used at our hospital. The following data were extracted: age, sex, weight, height, smoking status, blood pressure levels at admission, concomitant diseases, and echocardiographic parameters. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared (kg/m²). We calculated the CHADS₂ score (one point each for congestive heart failure, hypertension, age older than 75 years, and diabetes, and two points for previous stroke/TIA/thromboembolism [TE]) and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores (one point each for systolic heart failure, hypertension, age 65–74 years, diabetes, vascular disease, and female sex; and two points each for age older than 75 years and previous stroke/TIA/TE) to assess the

ischemic stroke risk in patients with paroxysmal AF. We performed risk stratification according to the CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores: 0 point was classified as low risk, 1 point as medium risk, and \geq 2 points as high risk.^[11]

Concomitant diseases and drug therapies

Concomitant diseases were extracted from patient medical notes and diagnoses. Hypertension was defined as an average systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mmHg or an average diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mmHg.^[12] Diabetes was defined as a fasting glucose level \geq 7.0 mmol/L (or a non-fasting glucose level \geq 11.1 mmol/L).^[13] Heart failure was defined as the presence of signs and symptoms of right and/ or left ventricular dysfunction, as confirmed by a LVEF value <40% by echocardiogram or a New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification worse than class II.^[14] Coronary artery disease (CAD) was defined by self-reported history of myocardial infarction, coronary bypass, angiography, or ECG evidence of myocardial infarction. Any discrepancies were resolved by rechecking the medical records and through discussion by three researchers.

The drugs that might have an impact on DC that patients used during hospitalization are also collected. The types of drugs including β -blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI), angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), calcium channel blocker (CCB), and amiodarone.^[15]

DC calculation

Cardiac autonomic function was measured using DC values. DC values were collected using a 12-lead Holter ECG recorder (BI9800TL, Biomedical Instruments Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, Guangdong, China) and analysis system (ECG Lab Holter Software, Biomedical Instruments Co., Ltd.). The calculation of DC values was based on the phase-rectified signal averaging method,^[5] which was used to extract periodicities from complex time series. The DC values are presented in ms, and the lower limit for normal DC is 4.5 ms.

Statistical analysis

For the statistical analyses, the sample size calculation for patients was done according to the previous study, using calculation method of difference test for comparison of two groups of rates. All parameters are reported as the mean \pm standard deviation. Continuous variables were tested for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Categorical variables are presented as n (%) and were analyzed using Chi-square tests. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to identify the risk factors associated with DC among the patients with paroxysmal AF. After performing the univariate regression analyses, variables with a P value <0.05 were selected as candidates for entry into the multivariate model. The variables selected for inclusion in the multivariable logistic regression model included age, hypertension, diabetes, and previous ischemic stroke/TIA. Correlations between DC with CHADS₂ and CHA2DS₂-VASc scores were calculated using the Spearman rank correlation test. Statistical data management was performed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Inc., California, USA), and statistical analyses were

Table 1: Baseline	clinical	charactoristics	of the	nonoral study	/ nonulation
	Chincar	Gilaracteristics	UI UIC	yonorar stuu	population.

Clinical parameters	All patients ($n = 259$)	Normal DC group ($n = 188$)	Abnormal DC group ($n = 71$)	χ 2	Р
Demographic data					
Male Sex, n (%)	143 (55.2)	105 (55.8)	38 (53.5)	0.020	0.737
Age, mean \pm SD (years)	66.4 ± 12.0	65.0 ± 12.0	70.2 ± 11.2	-2.945	0.002
<65 years, <i>n</i> (%)	101 (39.0)	85 (45.2)	16 (22.5)	9.028	0.004
65-74 years, n (%)	83 (32.0)	55 (29.2)	28 (39.4)		
\geq 75 years, <i>n</i> (%)	75 (29.0)	48 (25.5)	27 (38.0)		
BMI, mean \pm SD (kg/m ²)	23.5 ± 3.3	23.4 ± 3.0	23.7 ± 4.1	-0.786	0.662
Blood pressure on admission					
SBP, mean \pm SD (mmHg)	130.0 ± 19.2	129.2 ± 19.3	132.2 ± 18.9	-0.842	0.258
DBP, mean \pm SD (mmHg)	74.5 ± 11.4	74.2 ± 10.6	75.4 ± 13.2	-0.071	0.445
Smoking, n (%)	71 (27.4)	57 (30.3)	14 (19.7)	3.546	0.088
Echocardiography, mean \pm SD)				
LVEF (mm)	60.3 ± 8.9	61.3 ± 8.1	57.7 ± 10.5	2.719	0.014
LVFS (%)	32.5 ± 6.0	33.2 ± 5.5	30.8 ± 6.9	2.746	0.014
LAD (mm)	36.3 ± 6.3	36.1 ± 6.4	37.0 ± 6.1	-1.529	0.333
AS (mm)	32.0 ± 3.3	32.0 ± 2.9	32.1 ± 4.1	-0.461	0.686
AVR (mm)	20.9 ± 1.7	20.9 ± 1.7	20.8 ± 1.8	0.910	0.633
AA (mm)	32.0 ± 3.4	32.0 ± 3.2	31.9 ± 3.7	0.210	0.918
RVAW (mm)	4.2 ± 0.6	4.2 ± 0.6	4.3 ± 0.6	-0.856	0.417
RVD (mm)	22.0 ± 2.9	22.0 ± 2.9	21.9 ± 2.7	-0.346	0.687
IVS (mm)	10.1 ± 1.5	10.1 ± 1.6	10.1 ± 1.3	-0.076	0.960
LVAWT (mm)	9.5 ± 1.2	9.5 ± 1.1	9.6 ± 1.2	-0.217	0.640
LVDD (mm)	48.4 ± 5.6	48.4 ± 5.3	48.6 ± 6.2	-0.727	0.786
LVSD (mm)	32.8 ± 6.4	32.5 ± 6.1	33.7 ± 7.2	-1.647	0.187
PAD (mm)	22.3 ± 2.5	22.4 ± 2.5	22.1 ± 2.4	0.590	0.362
RAD (mm)	37.5 ± 5.6	37.6 ± 5.9	37.3 ± 4.9	0.102	0.775

DC: Deceleration capacity; BMI: Body mass index; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; LVEF: Left ventricular ejection fraction; LVFS: Left ventricular shortening fraction; LAD: Left atrial diameter; AS: Aortic sinus; AVR: Aortic valve ring; AA: Ascending aorta; RVAW: Right ventricular anterior wall; IVS: Interventricular septal; LVAWT: Left ventricular anterior wall thickness; LVDD: Left ventricular end diastolic dimension; LVSD: Left ventricular end diastolic dimension; PAD: Pulmonary artery diameter; RAD: Right atrial diameter; SD: Standard deviation.

performed using IBM SPSS Version 23.0 (IBM Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Two-sided *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Study patients

Medical records of 1390 patients with AF were originally collected during the study period. Of these patients, 196 patients were excluded due to the presence of valvular heart disease or prior cardiac valve surgery, 409 patients were excluded because of insufficient basic clinical data and Holter ECG recordings, and 526 patients were excluded because of all-day persistent AF or not sinus rhythm during Holter ECG recording. Finally, 259 patients with paroxysmal AF without valvular diseases were included in this study. Of the investigated patients, 143 (55.2%) were male. The mean patient age was 66.4 ± 12.0 years (range, 24.0–90.0 years), and the mean BMI was 23.5 ± 3.3 kg/m². The mean DC value was 5.8 ± 2.1 ms, with 27.4% of patients show abnormal DC values.

Baseline clinical characteristics

The entire cohort was divided into two groups, according to their calculated DC values: 188 patients with DC values >4.5 ms were assigned to the normal DC group and 71

patients with DC values ≤ 4.5 ms were assigned to the abnormal DC group. No significant between-group differences were observed in sex, BMI, blood pressure on admission or smoking. Patients in the abnormal DC group were older than those in normal DC group (70.2 ± 11.2 *vs*. 65.0 ± 12.0 years, P = 0.002). The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Additionally, no significant differences were identified in most of the echocardiography parameters. However, LVEF ($61.3\% \pm 8.1\% vs. 57.7\% \pm 10.5\%$, P = 0.014) and left ventricular fraction shortening ($33.2\% \pm 5.5\% vs. 30.8\% \pm 6.9\%$, P = 0.014) were observed larger in patients with normal DC group compared with low DC group.

Concomitant diseases and drug therapies

As shown in Table 2, no significant between-group differences were identified in the prevalence of CAD (20.7% vs. 19.7%, P = 0.855), cardiomyopathy (3.2% vs. 2.8%, P = 0.876), diabetes (11.7% vs. 18.3%, P = 0.165), and hyperthyroidism (3.2% vs. 5.6%, P = 0.363). In contrast, patients in the abnormal DC value group had a higher prevalence of hypertension (48.4% vs. 62.0%, P = 0.024), heart failure (33.0% vs. 49.3%, P = 0.016), previous stroke/TIA (9.6% vs. 26.8%, P = 0.001) than those with normal DC values. There was no significant

Clinical parameters	All patients ($n = 259$)	Normal DC group (n = 188)	Abnormal DC group ($n = 71$)	χ 2	Р
Concomitant diseases					
Hypertension, n (%)	135 (53.7)	91 (48.4)	44 (62.0)	4.129	0.024
Diabetes, n (%)	35 (13.5)	22 (11.7)	13 (18.3)	5.687	0.165
Heart failure, n (%)	97 (37.5)	62 (33.0)	35 (49.3)	12.127	0.016
Previous Stroke/TIA, n (%)	37 (14.3)	18 (9.6)	19 (26.8)	17.203	0.001
CAD, <i>n</i> (%)	53 (20.5)	39 (20.7)	14 (19.7)	0.434	0.855
Cardiomyopathy, n (%)	8 (3.0)	6 (3.2)	2 (2.8)	0.090	0.876
Hyperthyroidism, n (%)	10 (3.9)	6 (3.2)	4 (5.6)	0.756	0.363
Drug therapies					
All drugs [*] , n (%)	211 (81.4)	148 (78.7)	63 (88.7)	1.573	0.286
β -blockers, n (%)	155 (59.8)	106 (56.4)	49 (69.0)	2.240	0.159
ACEI, <i>n</i> (%)	65 (25.1)	43 (22.9)	22 (31.0)	1.374	0.266
ARB, <i>n</i> (%)	32 (12.4)	19 (10.1)	13 (18.3)	2.791	0.140
CCB, <i>n</i> (%)	65 (25.1)	47 (25.0)	18 (25.4)	0.010	1.000
Amiodarone, n (%)	56 (21.6)	42 (22.3)	14 (19.7)	0.358	0.617

* Any one or more of the following five types of drugs are used. DC: Deceleration capacity; TIA: Transient ischemic attack; CAD: Coronary artery disease; ACEI: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: Angiotensin receptor blocker; CCB: Calcium channel blocker.

Table 3: Multivariable analysis of the risk factors associated with abnormal DC in patients with paroxysmal AF.					
Variables	Р	OR	95% CI	В	Wald
Age	0.128	1.023	0.994-1.053	0.018	1.564
Heart failure	0.122	1.615	0.880-2.967	0.772	6.101
Hypertension	0.408	1.303	0.696-2.436	0.192	0.352
Previous stroke/TIA	0.006	2.861	1.356-6.039	1.338	11.722

DC: Deceleration capacity; AF: Atrial fibrillation; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; TIA: Transient ischemic attack.

difference in drug therapies between the two groups, whether single or multiple drugs were used, for specific statistics [Table 2].

In the multivariate analysis, we generated a statistical model that included the risk factors that were identified as being significantly associated with abnormal DC, including age, hypertension, heart failure, and previous stroke/TIA. After additionally adjusting for these factors above, patients with a history of previous stroke/TIA (odds ratio = 2.861, 95% confidence interval: 1.356-6.039, P = 0.006) was independent associated with abnormal DC values in patients with paroxysmal AF [Table 3].

Stroke risk scores

Full assessments of stroke risk were available for all 259 subjects. The mean CHADS₂ score of the included patients was 1.63 ± 1.43 , and the mean CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was 3.00 ± 1.95 . As shown in Table 4, the abnormal DC group had both higher CHADS₂ scores ($2.25 \pm 1.48 vs. 1.40 \pm 1.34$, P = 0.001) and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores ($3.76 \pm 1.95 vs. 2.71 \pm 1.87$, P = 0.001). After we performed a risk stratification, based on the CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores, there were still significant differences in stroke risk between the two groups (P = 0.001 and P = 0.022, respectively). Spearman rank correlation test show a negative correlation between DC with CHADS₂ (r = -0.290,

P < 0.001) and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores (r = -0.263, P < 0.001) [Table 4; Figure 1 and Figure 2].

Discussion

In this retrospective analysis of 259 patients with paroxysmal AF, the univariate analysis results showed that abnormal DC was associated with age, hypertension, heart failure, and previous stroke/TIA. After adjusting the above mixed factors, the further logistic regression analysis showed that previous stroke/TIA independently associated with DC values in patients with paroxysmal AF. The abnormal autonomic innervation plays an important role in cardiac modulation, meanwhile it is important in the mechanisms of AF. Recording of autonomic nerve activity can provide insight into its role in atrial arrhythmogenesis.^[16] Abnormal DC are usually a manifestation of autonomic function imbalance. Although many researchers believe that the autonomic nervous function is abnormal in patients with AF, there is a need for exploring the influence factors on DC differences in patients with paroxysmal AF.

Many studies have shown that DC is decreased in patients with older age, hypertension, heart failure, or stroke,^[17,18] which is consistent with our present results. DC was found significantly decreased after age 50, as the sympathetic tone pre-dominates and parasympathetic tone diminishes with age.^[18] The average age of our research cohort is

Ρ

0.001

0.001

0.001

0.022

Table 4: Between-group differences in $CHADS_2$ and CHA_2DS_2 -VASc scores.						
Scores	All patients ($n = 259$)	Normal DC group (n = 188)	Abnormal DC group ($n = 71$)	χ 2		
CHADS ₂ score						
Mean \pm SD	1.63 ± 1.43	1.40 ± 1.34	2.25 ± 1.48	-4.907		
Low risk (Score = 0), n (%)	68 (26.3)	58 (30.9)	10 (14.1)	17.000		
Medium risk (Score = 1), n (%)	70 (27.0)	56 (29.8)	14 (19.7)			
High risk (Score ≥ 2), n (%)	121 (46.7)	74 (39.4)	47 (66.2)			
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score						
Mean ± SD	3.00 ± 1.95	2.71 ± 1.87	3.76 ± 1.95	-4.847		
Low risk (Score = 0), n (%)	22 (8.5)	17 (9.0)	5 (7.0)	7.664		

39 (20.7)

132 (70.2)

44 (17.0)

193 (74.5)

DC: Deceleration capacity; SD: Standard deviation.

Medium risk (Score = 1), n (%)

High risk (Score ≥ 2), n (%)



Figure 1: Correlation between \mbox{CHADS}_2 scores and DC in 259 patients. DC: Deceleration capacity.

 66.4 ± 12.0 years, which is older than 50 years. In addition, the proportion of patients older than 75 years is lager in abnormal DC group, DC values was significantly lower in this group, this also agreed with the current knowledge. Hypertension is known as an independent risk factor that can lead to electrical and structural alterations in the left atrium thereby predisposing to AF. It has been found positive associations between hypertension and CANS dysfunction.^[19] DC abnormalities have certain predictive value for the prognosis of patients with chronic heart failure. When patients with AF combined with heart failure (HF), a synergistic effect of adrenergic nervous disorders might reduce sympathetic nervous distribution and enhance tone.^[20] DC was also reported to had a dose-dependent relationship with HF exacerbation grade in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy.^[21] In addition, although one of the most frequent manifestations of diabetic autonomic neuropathy is CANS affecting around 20% of patients with diabetes,^[22] but there is no significant difference of complicated with diabetes between the two groups in our study. It can be inferred that the negative correlation between DC values and the above two stroke risk scores should related to other complications,



5 (7.0)

61 (85.9)



such as age, hypertension, heart failure, previous history of stroke/TIA. Previous studies have shown that several drugs, such as β -blockers, ACEI, ARB, CCB, might have great influence on cardiac autonomic function, but there were no significant differences in drug treatment between the two groups. This suggests that the decrease in DC in patients with paroxysmal AF in this study is not due to the use of the drugs above. Therefore, all these factors described above is closely associated with abnormal cardiac autonomic nervous function in paroxysmal AF.

Older age, hypertension, heart failure, and previous stroke/ TIA have been established as independent risk factors for stroke or TE in the CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc score systems in patients with AF. Therefore, we want to further see the correlation between the DC value and stroke risk assessment in paroxysmal AF. As a retrospective study, we performed the Spearman rank correlation tests to study the correlations between DC values and CHADS₂ or CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores. It is interesting to note, our results firstly demonstrated prediction value of CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores were negatively associated with DC values in patients with paroxysmal AF. CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc score systems are primarily used for stroke risk prediction in patients with AF, with advantages of practical and identifying low-risk patients, respectively. However, these systems only consider clinical factors, without considering the indexes that reflect other factors that have been verified as being related to stroke risks, such as kidney dysfunction and inflammatory response. Recently, a novel ABC scoring system has incorporated highsensitivity cardiac troponin and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, and it has been shown to have a better predictive effect for stroke risk.^[23] Therefore, it is valuable to explore novel markers for stroke risk assessments and clinical decision making.

DC has been confirmed decreased in patients with hemispheric infarction, and correlated with the severity of stroke.^[17] Cerebrovascular hemodynamics, which is called circulatory autoregulation are essential for the brain.^[24] Slow blood pressure and flow changes are physiologically controlled by the sympathetic nervous system, therefore, it is possible that abnormal DC triggers changes in cerebral vascular pressure and flow.^[25] In a rapid pacing AF model, atrial myocardium has significant and inhomogeneous neuronal eruption and sympathetic over-distribution.^[26] Furthermore, increased CANS activity can lead to arterial stiffness and increase vascular resistance.^[27] As a result, patients with AF are more likely to cause hemodynamic changes and cerebral infarction due to autonomic nervous function and distribution disorders. So cerebrovascular hemodynamics, CANS and AF are closely related. Our results show that lower DC is closely associated with stroke risk, and could be a potential indicator of stroke risk in patients with paroxysmal AF.

There are several limitations to this study. First, due to the retrospective study design, data were extracted from the medical record system at a single center. Some data were incomplete to preventing further subgroup analysis. In future, we can consider multi-center, prospective studies, and expand the sample size in order to obtain higher level evidence. Second, many medications act directly or indirectly on the autonomic nervous system. Although our study found no difference in drug treatment between the two groups, only the use of drugs during hospitalization was collected and may bias the results. Finally, the study subjects were limited to patients who were admitted to the cardiovascular department, which would lead to bias.

Abnormal DC is independently associated with previous stroke/TIA in patients with paroxysmal AF. DC values were correlated negatively with higher stroke risk scores in patients with paroxysmal AF. Further studies for the predictive value of DC for the stroke risk of paroxysmal AF are deserved.

Funding

This study was supported by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81370288; No. 8153000545), National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program 2013CB531103), and Jiangxi Science Foundation (Nos. 20121BBG70030, 20161BAB215241).

Conflicts of interest

None.

References

- 1. Freedman B, Potpara TS, Lip GY. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation. Lancet 2016;388:806–817. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736 (16)31257-0.
- January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, Calkins H, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JJ, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Circulation 2014;130:2071–2104. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000040.
- 3. Andrade J, Khairy P, Dobrev D, Nattel S. The clinical profile and pathophysiology of atrial fibrillation: relationships among clinical features, epidemiology, and mechanisms. Circ Res 2014;114:1453–1468. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.303211.
- 4. Agarwal SK, Norby FL, Whitsel EA, Soliman EZ, Chen LY, Loehr LR, *et al.* Cardiac autonomic dysfunction and incidence of atrial fibrillation: results from 20 years follow-up. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69:291–299. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.10.059.
- 5. Bauer A, Kantelhardt JW, Barthel P, Schneider R, Makikallio T, Ulm K, *et al.* Deceleration capacity of heart rate as a predictor of mortality after myocardial infarction: cohort study. Lancet 2006;367:1674–1681. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68735-7.
- 6. La Rovere MT, Pinna GD, Maestri R, Barlera S, Bernardinangeli M, Veniani M, *et al.* Autonomic markers and cardiovascular and arrhythmic events in heart failure patients: still a place in prognostication? Data from the GISSI-HF trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2012;14:1410–1419. doi: 10.1093/eurjhf/hfs126.
- Sala R, Malacarne M, Solaro N, Pagani M, Lucini D. A composite autonomic index as unitary metric for heart rate variability: a proof of concept. Eur J Clin Invest 2017;47:241–249. doi: 10.1111/eci.12730.
- Bauer A, Deisenhofer I, Schneider R, Zrenner B, Barthel P, Karch M, et al. Effects of circumferential or segmental pulmonary vein ablation for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation on cardiac autonomic function. Heart Rhythm 2006;3:1428–1435. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2006.08.025.
- 9. Rademacher W, Seeck A, Surber R, Lauten A, Prochnau D, Voss A, *et al.* Multidimensional ECG-based analysis of cardiac autonomic regulation predicts early AF recurrence after electrical cardioversion. J Electrocardiol 2012;45:116–122. doi: 10.1016/j. jelectrocard.2011.09.001.
- 10. Nattel S, Dobrev D. Electrophysiological and molecular mechanisms of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Nat Rev Cardiol 2016;13:575–590. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2016.118.
- Lip GY, Connolly S, Yusuf S, Shestakovska O, Flaker G, Hart R, *et al.* Modification of outcomes with aspirin or apixaban in relation to CHADS (2) and CHA(2)DS(2)-VASc scores in patients with atrial fibrillation: a secondary analysis of the AVERROES study. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2013;6:31–38. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.112.975847.
- James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, Cushman WC, Dennison-Himmelfarb C, Handler J, *et al.* 2014 evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). JAMA 2014;311:507–520. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.284427.
- 13. Fox CS, Golden SH, Anderson C, Bray GA, Burke LE, de Boer IH, et al. Update on prevention of cardiovascular disease in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus in light of recent evidence: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 2015;38:1777–1803. doi: 10.2337/dci15-0012.
- 14. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DJ, Drazner MH, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. Circulation 2013;128:e240–e327. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e31829e8776.
- 15. Tesfaye S, Boulton AJ, Dyck PJ, Freeman R, Horowitz M, Kempler P, *et al.* Diabetic neuropathies: update on definitions, diagnostic criteria, estimation of severity, and treatments. Diabetes Care 2010;33:2285–2293. doi: 10.2337/dc10-1303.
- Chen PS, Chen LS, Fishbein MC, Lin SF, Nattel S. Role of the autonomic nervous system in atrial fibrillation: pathophysiology and therapy. Circ Res 2014;114:1500–1515. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRE-SAHA.114.303772.

- Xu YH, Wang XD, Yang JJ, Zhou L, Pan YC. Changes of deceleration and acceleration capacity of heart rate in patients with acute hemispheric ischemic stroke. Clin Interv Aging 2016;11:293– 298. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S99542.
- Zhao R, Li D, Zuo P, Bai R, Zhou Q, Fan J, et al. Influences of age, gender, and circadian rhythm on deceleration capacity in subjects without evident heart diseases. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2015;20:158–166. doi: 10.1111/anec.12189.
- 19. Schotten U, Verheule S, Kirchhof P, Goette A. Pathophysiological mechanisms of atrial fibrillation: a translational appraisal. Physiol Rev 2011;91:265–325. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00031 2009.
- Masuda M, Yamada T, Mizuno H, Minamiguchi H, Konishi S, Ohtani T, *et al.* Impact of atrial fibrillation ablation on cardiac sympathetic nervous system in patients with and without heart failure. Int J Cardiol 2015;199:65–70. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard. 2015.07.028.
- Zou C, Dong H, Wang F, Gao M, Huang X, Jin J, et al. Heart acceleration and deceleration capacities associated with dilated cardiomyopathy. Eur J Clin Inv 2016;46:312–320. doi: 10.1111/ eci.12594.
- 22. Rohling M, Strom A, Bonhof G, Puttgen S, Bodis K, Mussig K, et al. Differential patterns of impaired cardiorespiratory fitness and cardiac autonomic dysfunction in recently diagnosed type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2017;40:246–252. doi: 10.2337/dc16-1898.

- 23. Hijazi Z, Lindback J, Alexander JH, Hanna M, Held C, Hylek EM, et al. The ABC (age, biomarkers, clinical history) stroke risk score: a biomarker-based risk score for predicting stroke in atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J 2016;37:1582–1590. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw054.
- 24. Fyfe-Johnson AL, Muller CJ, Alonso A, Folsom AR, Gottesman RF, Rosamond WD, et al. Heart rate variability and incident stroke: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. Stroke 2016;47:1452– 1458. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.012662.
- Hamner JW, Tan CO, Lee K, Cohen MA, Taylor JA. Sympathetic control of the cerebral vasculature in humans. Stroke 2010;41:102– 109. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.557132.
- 26. Chang SL, Chen YC, Yeh YH, Lin YK, Wu TJ, Lin CI, et al. Heart failure enhanced pulmonary vein arrhythmogenesis and dysregulated sodium and calcium homeostasis with increased calcium sparks. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2011;22:1378–1386. doi: 10.1111/ j.1540-8167.2011.02126.x.
- 27. Guyenet PG. The sympathetic control of blood pressure. Nat Rev Neurosci 2006;7:335–346. doi: 10.1038/nrn1902.

How to cite this article: Ding Y, Xu ZY, Liu HL, Hu JZ, Chen J, Huang L, Chen Q, Hu JX, Cheng XS, Hong K. Low deceleration capacity is associated with higher stroke risk in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Chin Med J 2019;132:2046–2052. doi: 10.1097/CM9.00000000000391