Cover Page

Title: 'Risk factors for COVID-19 associated mucormycosis in India: A case control study.'

Key words: Mucormycosis, COVID-19 associated Mucormycosis, Risk factors of

mucormycosis, SARS CoV-2, Diabetes

Short title: Risk factors for Covid associated mucormycosis

Authors Name:

1. Corresponding Author: Atul K Patel MD, FIDSA

Director, Infectious Diseases Department,

Sterling Hospital. Ahmedabad. 380052. India Tele: 079 40011111

Email: atulpatel65@gmail.com

2. Harsh Bakshi MD

Assistant Professor, Dept of Community Medicine

GMERS Medical College Sola Ahmedabad. India

email: harsh_bmc@yahoo.com

3. Kahaan Shah MBBS

Intern Doctor, Department of Infectious diseases, Sterling Hospital. Ahmedabad.

380052, India Tele: 079 40011111

email: kahaanshah@gmail.com

4. Saloni Patel

Medical Student, B J Medical College, Ahmedabad. 380016 India

email: salonitp1904@gmail.com

5. Tushar Patel MD

Consultant, Department of pulmonary and critical care medicine.

Sterling Hospital. Ahmedabad. 380052, India Tele: 079 40011111

email: drtusharpatel@yahoo.com

6. Kamlesh Patel MD (Microbiology)

Director, Department of Microbiology

Sterling Hospital. Ahmedabad. India. 380052. Tele: 079-40011111

email: Kamlesh.Patel@sterlinghospitals.com

7. Ketan K Patel MD

Consultant, Infectious Diseases Department,

Sterling Hospital. Ahmedabad. 380052. India Tele: 079 40011111

Email: ketankpatel20@yahoo.com

Funding Source: None

Conflict of Interest: I, Dr. Atul Patel had received speaker fees from Intas Pharmaceutical, MSD India, Pfizer India, Mylan India, Gilead Science and Bharat Serum and Vaccine, rest of the authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

ABSTRACT

J. C. II.

The COVID-19 pandemic had led to an increase surge of mucormycosis in COVID-19 patients, especially in India. Diabetes and irrational usage of corticosteroid to treat COVID-19 were some of the factors implicated for COVID-19 associated mucormycosis (CAM). We designed this case control study to identify risk factors for mucormycosis in COVID-19 patients. The study was conducted at a private tertiary care center in western India. Data was extracted from records of COVID 19 patients (Jan to May 2021) and divided into two groups: Those with proven or probable mucormycosis, and those without mucormycosis with a ratio of 1:3. A binary logistic regression analysis was done to assess potential risk factors for CAM. A total of 64 CAM and 205 controls were included in the analysis. Age and sex distribution were similar in cases and controls with the majority of males in both the groups (69.9%) and the mean age was 56.4 (±13.5) years. We compared the comorbidities and treatment received during acute COVID-19, specifically the place of admission, pharmacotherapy (steroids, tocilizumab, remdesivir), and requirement of oxygen as a risk factor for CAM. In a multivariate analysis, risk factors associated with increased odds of CAM were new-onset diabetes (v/s non-diabetics, adjusted OR 48.66, 95% CI 14.3-166), pre-existing diabetes (v/s Non-Diabetics, aOR 2.93, 95% CI 1.4-6.1), corticosteroid therapy (aOR 3.64, 95% CI 1.2-10.9) and home isolation (v/s Ward admission, aOR 4.8, 95% CI 2-11.3). Diabetes, especially new onset, along with corticosteroid usage and home isolation were the predominant risk factors for CAM.

Lay summary

This study revealed new-onset diabetes, pre-existing diabetes, corticosteroid therapy, and home isolation as risk factors for COVID-19 associated mucormycosis. Avoiding the use of corticosteroids in non-severe COVID-19 disease coupled with proper blood sugar monitoring & control will help to reduce the CAM burden.



Risk factors for COVID-19 associated mucormycosis in India: A case control study.

Introduction:

The incidence of mucormycosis has been increasing globally over the last two decades, notably in European countries (France, Switzerland, Belgium), USA and India. ¹ The rise in incidence has been attributed to the growing population of solid organ transplants, hematologic malignancies, and diabetes. The estimated prevalence of mucormycosis is 14 cases per 100,000 population in India, which is nearly 70 times higher than the global burden.²

Diabetes remains the predominant risk factor for mucormycosis in India. ^{2,3} COVID-19 pandemic led to a surge of mucormycosis cases in COVID-19 patients, especially in India. ⁴⁻⁷ Diabetes and irrational corticosteroid usage in managing COVID-19 have been implicated for COVID-19 associated mucormycosis (CAM). ^{5,6,8} Several published reports have described the risk of new-onset diabetes and uncontrolled hyperglycemia in those with pre-existing diabetes during acute COVID-19. ^{9,10} Corticosteroids are lifesaving drugs, and are recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) for the treatment of COVID-19 patients with hypoxia. Apart from worsening hyperglycemia, corticosteroid usage can also affect neutrophil/macrophage functions thus contributing to increasing the risk of mucormycosis. ^{11,12} Besides corticosteroids, COVID-19 patients may have been given additional immunomosuppressive agents like tocilizumab or baricitinib to treat the cytokine storm. So far, though, we don't have any evidence that use of these immunosuppressive agents can increase the risk for mucormycosis⁶. SARS CoV-2 virus is itself known to produce significant immune dysregulation affecting both the innate and adaptive immune systems. A dysfunctional innate immune system may facilitate tissue invasion and angioinvasion by mucorales. ¹³

Hyperglycemia, new-onset and worsening of pre-existing diabetes, indiscriminate corticosteroid usage and immune dysregulation could be COVID-19 specific factors that could provide a fertile ground for mucormycosis in these patients, in a high burden country like India. We designed this case-control study to identify risk factors for mucormycosis in COVID-19 patients.

Methods:

Research question: To identify risk factors for COVID-19 associated Mucormycosis.

Study design and setting: We conducted this case-control study at a private tertiary care center in western India. Data was extracted from records of COVID 19 patients (Jan to May 2021) and divided into two groups: 1. Those with proven or probable mucormycosis. 2. Those without mucormycosis with a ratio of 1:3.

Case definition and eligibility: We defined proven mucormycosis in a patient with compatible clinical and radiological features and direct microscopic examination of tissue/ sterile material showing typical hyphae of mucorales and histopathological examination showing invasive hyphae in the tissues with or without positive fungal culture. Probable mucormycosis was defined as patients with direct microscopy from a sputum/ BAL or mucorales culture positive from sputum/BAL/sinus tissue without histopathological evidence. We also categorized cases with histopathology showing characteristic fungal hyphae without direct microscopy or culture patients as probable mucormycosis.

Source population: Patients admitted to the private tertiary care hospital for treatment of acute COVID-19 and/or post COVID-19 medical complications (Controls) or CAM (Cases) were enrolled for this study. COVID-19 diagnosis was arrived at by positive reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) or rapid antigen testing from respiratory specimen. Adult

patients aged > 18 years diagnosed with CAM were categorized as cases and recovered COVID-19 cases without mucormycosis were taken as controls.

Patients' demographic data comorbidities, treatment received for acute COVID-19 including the need for oxygen, ICU/ ward treatment, immunosuppressant used (steroids and tocilizumab) was recorded. In CAM cases, clinical profile including site of mucormycosis, diagnostic modalities and treatment for mucormycosis were retrieved from hospital records and entered in structured case report form.

Treatment procedures for CAM: Liposomal Amphotericin B (L-AmB) 5mg/kg or amphotericin B deoxycholate (D-AmB) 1mg/kg was used as induction therapy for 4-6 weeks to treat CAM patients followed by tablet posaconazole 300mg twice a day loading dose followed by 300mg once a day or tablet isavuconazole 200 mg three times a day for two days followed by 200mg once a day as a step-down therapy. Patients who are intolerant to L-AmB/D-AmB were step down to oral posaconazole or isavuconazole during induction treatment. CAM patients diagnosed in the month of May 2021 received erratic induction therapy because of non-availability of amphotericin preparations. Erratic induction treatment for mucormycosis was described for patients who received a few days of L-AmB or D-AmB and started on tablet posaconazole or isavuconazole. Again, after a few days, once L-AmB or D-AmB was available, patients received combination therapy with either posaconazole or isavuconazole along with amphotericin preparation. We stopped oral agents, once the amphotericin supply was ensured and restarted at the end of completion of 4-6 weeks of amphotericin.

Statistical analysis: Continuous variables were expressed as mean (standard deviation) and the difference between the two groups was assessed using a two-tailed independent sample t-test.

Categorical variables were described as proportions and compared using the Chi-square test.

Odds ratios were calculated for binomial variables from contingency tables and from univariate regression for continuous variables. Potential risk factors included in binary logistic regression using backward LR method were Age, Sex, Diabetes Mellitus, Cardiovascular disease, Renal disease, corticosteroid use, Tocilizumab, Remdesivir, Place of admission and Oxygen therapy. We considered the level of significance at 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0.

This study received institutional ethics clearance vide letter SHEC/AP/Mucormycosis study/212-2021.

Results:

A total of 77 cases admitted with a diagnosis of proven and probable CAM were enrolled in the study as cases and 261 COVID-19 cases admitted during the same time and had recovered without mucormycosis were selected as controls. We excluded 13 CAM cases and 56 controls from analysis because of incomplete data. So a total of 64 cases and 205 controls were included in the final analysis. Out of 64, 52 had proven and 12 had probable mucormycosis. The distribution of the CAM cases as per the site of mucormycosis, diagnostic modalities, species, and treatment is described in Table 1.

The mean duration of CAM diagnosis after COVID-19 was 23.43 ± 9.3 days (Range: 5-50 days). Majority of the cases (92.2%) had PNS involvement. Further distribution of site of involvement is as shown in Table 1. Pulmonary mucormycosis was diagnosed in 5 patients, of which 4 had probable mucormycosis (Sputum or BAL showed fungal hyphae with or without culture positive). 15.6% of CAM patients had mixed fungal infections (one had *Acremonium* species and rest all had *Aspergillus* coinfection). *Rhizopus species* (75%) were the commonest species isolated. 22.5% and 10% of cultures reported *Mucorales* and *Rhizopus species* but further identification was not performed.

Treatment: Majority (76.6%) of patients received liposomal amphotericin B (L-AmB) for their initiation treatment, and 18.8% received amphotericin b deoxycholate (D-AmB). 19 (29.7%) CAM patients in the month of May 2021 received erratic induction therapy because of a shortage of antifungal agents. 54.7% of cases received oral posaconazole or isavuconazole after 4 weeks of induction treatment with amphotericin. 52 (81.3%) CAM cases underwent sinoscopic debridement. The outcome in CAM patients (n=64) was assessed at 12 weeks and categorized as a clinical improvement (60.9%), disease progression (7.8%), death (4.7%) and loss to follow up (26.6%). The respective outcomes were assessed against the two treatment modalities: amphotericin monotherapy (44%, 8%, 8%, 44%) and sequential therapy of amphotericin followed by posaconazole / isavuconazole (73%, 8%, 5%, 14%). The difference in outcomes across the two therapies was significant (Fisher's p = 0.033).

The demographic data, comorbidities and COVID-19 treatment profile of the cases and controls are shown in Table 2. Age and sex distribution were similar in cases and controls. Majority were males and the mean age was 56.4 (±13.5) years. Proportion of cases with Diabetes Mellitus was significantly higher among cases as compared to controls (p<0.001) and associated with a 5.2 (95% CI: 2.8-9.8) times higher odds for CAM. Newly diagnosed diabetes was significantly higher in the CAM cases (34.4%) as compared to controls (3.4%) (p<0.001) and significantly associated with CAM with OR of 14.8 (95% CI: 5.9-36.9). Prevalence of any other comorbidity was similar among cases and controls. For the treatment of acute COVID-19, 73.5% of home isolated and 84.7% of indoor (both ward and ICU) patients had received corticosteroids.

Diabetes was more common in home isolated (58.8%) as compared to indoor patients (43.8%). Multivariate analysis of risk factors and adjusted odds ratios are described in the table 3.

We compared the treatment received during acute COVID-19 illness, specifically the place of admission, pharmacotherapy (steroids, tocilizumab, remdesivir), and requirement of oxygen for any impact on CAM. The selection of hospital-based controls led to a higher proportion of hospital based prior COVID management among controls, while a higher proportion of CAM cases had received treatment at home. The odds of CAM among home isolated patients were high (OR 4, 95% CI: 1.9-8.4). Patients who received oxygen therapy with a high flow nasal cannula, non-invasive ventilator or invasive ventilator were categorized into high flow oxygen group while those who received oxygen by nasal cannula, mask or non-rebreathing mask were classified into low flow oxygen group. A higher proportion of controls had required high flow oxygen as compared to cases, but the overall requirement of oxygen therapy was similar among the cases and controls. We analyzed three pharmacological interventions (remdesivir. tocilizumab and corticosteroids) as risk factors for CAM. Remdesivir usage was higher among controls (82.4%) as compared to cases (68.8%) and the difference was statistically significant. The odds of developing CAM among those who received remdesivir were 0.47, 95% CI (0.2-0.9) but adjusted odds was not significant (Table 3). A higher proportion of controls used tocilizumab (10.7%) as compared to cases (3%), but this difference was insignificant with an odds ratio of 0.27, 95% CI (0.1-1.2). corticosteroids were used to treat acute COVID-19 in 83.3% of the study patients. No significant difference in corticosteroid use in CAM (90.6%) as compared to controls (81%) was found in univariate analysis, but the adjusted odds ratio 3.64, 95% CI (1.2 - 10.9) was statistically significant (Table 3).

In multivariate analysis, diabetes, patients who received home isolation care during COVID-19 and corticosteroid therapy were found to be independently associated with CAM as shown in Table 3. Newly diagnosed diabetes cases had significantly higher odds for developing CAM (34.1, 95% CI: 6.7-174.4), more than patients with pre-existing diabetes (2.93, 95% CI: 1.4 – 6.1) when compared to non-diabetics. ICU treatment had a significantly lower odds for development of CAM (0.11, 95% CI: 0.03-0.4) whereas home isolation had higher odds (4.8,

95% CI: 2-11.3) as compared to ward admission. Those receiving systemic corticosteroid therapy were at higher odds for developing CAM (3.64, 95% CI: 1.2-10.9).

Discussion:

The clinical profile of CAM patients was similar to previously published studies with predominant PNS involvement (92.2%). Noteworthy features in CAM patients were diseases restricted to only PNS (54.7%), PNS with upper jaw (15.6%) and PNS with skull base (7.8%) involvement. This was more common in CAM compared to non-COVID-19 mucormycosis.^{2,3} Toothache and loosening of teeth was the presenting feature of patients with upper alveolus involvement. These features are not commonly seen in non-covid mucormycosis patients.^{2,3} Early diagnosis of mucormycosis in patients recovering from COVID-19 illness is a plausible explanation for patients with limited disease to PNS with small number of cases having orbital and brain involvement. Apart from early reporting of their symptoms to the treating doctor, Indian media has also been highlighting mucormycosis symptoms widely and alerting patients for any symptoms of mucormycosis. Culture yielded *Mucorales* growth in 62.5% of our patients. *Rhizopus* was the commonest species identified as a cause of CAM as described in a previous Indian study.^{2,3}

Results of this case-control study revealed three risk factors for CAM viz. diabetes, type of admission for COVID-19 management and corticosteroid therapy. Diabetes and diabetic ketoacidosis are prominent risk factors for mucormycosis in India and other low-middle income countries, while hematological malignancies are the leading cause of mucormycosis in high-income countries. ^{2,14,15} Diabetes (73.5%) has been reported as a leading risk factor for mucormycosis in a multicenter study on the epidemiology of mucormycosis in India. ^{2,3} New-onset diabetes has been reported in up to 20.9% of mucormycosis in studies from India. ^{3,5} In the current study, new-onset diabetes (34.4%) during COVID-19 diagnosis was more significantly associated with CAM as compared to patients with pre-existing diabetes, which is

consistent with our previous multicenter study performed during the months of September to December 2020 on COVID-19 associated mucormycosis from India.⁵ Possible mechanisms for the new-onset diabetes leading to more mucormycosis are systemic inflammation, cytokine activation and resultant insulin resistance possibly leading to stress hyperglycaemia. Direct viral/immune destruction of islet cells with decreased insulin production has also been implicated for new-onset diabetes and poor glycemic control.^{9,10} COVID-19 can also act as an infectious trigger that could decompensate and precipitate diabetic ketoacidosis in patients with new-onset diabetes.¹⁶

The present study found patients who received COVID-19 treatment at home isolation to be having higher odds of developing CAM. This may be because of prolonged hyperglycemia which remained unrecognized as patients may not have an access to frequent glucose monitoring. Corticosteroid usage for COVID-19 treatment would have further aggravated blood sugar levels. Systemic corticosteroid treatment also affects the qualitative function of neutrophils and macrophages, an important first-line defense against the development of mucormycosis and other invasive fungal infections. ^{11,12} Similarly, hyperglycemia also affects neutrophil function and plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of mucormycosis. ¹⁷ Triad of new-onset diabetes/pre-existing diabetes, unrecognized during home isolation COVID-19 care and worsening glycemic control with corticosteroids in COVID-19 patients provides a fertile microenvironment for the germination and tissue invasion by mucorales spores. Zero CAM patients during the peak of CAM outbreak were reported from a single center study from the Western India by implementation of protocol-based corticosteroid usage and strict glycemic control. 18 We did not study SARS CoV-2 itself as a risk factor for mucormycosis as our study was not designed for the same. It is possible that the virus might play a role in increased susceptibility of mucormycosis by increasing the expression of glucose regulated protein 78 (GRP-78) which is used by mucorales for tissue and angioinvasion. ^{19,20} The role of GRP78 in

the establishment of cellular invasion by viral infections is well described. SARS CoV-2 spike glycoprotein uses host cell ACE-2 and GRP78 receptor to bind and internalize.²⁰ Adhesive tapes, wooden tongue spatula, contaminated linen have all been implicated in healthcare associated mucormycosis with small hospital outbreaks. ²¹ During CAM outbreak in India, industrial oxygen, contaminated humidifier, oxygen tubings and masks used in patients' treatment had been implicated. ⁸ Our study clearly showed that oxygen therapy received during COVID-19, both high flow and low flow has no association to CAM. Tocilizumab treatment for cytokine release storm in severe COVID-19 was also not associated with CAM in our study, in fact more controls had received tocilizumab as compared to CAM cases, and this was not statistically significant. There are few gaps in our understanding of the CAM surge in India. Why only India has reported a high CAM case burden? Why Gujarat, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Karnataka, Tamilnadu, Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Delhi reported high CAM cases as compared to other states of India. ²² One explanation for this differential surge could be differential spore counts in the outdoor and indoor environment. A study conducted before COVID-19 pandemic and another one after CAM outbreak did suggest a higher outdoor spores count compared to indoor. ^{23,24} Rooms with window air-conditioner (AC) has higher spores compared to central AC and non-AC rooms in the indoor settings.²⁴ Another study has also described seasonal variation in the spores count. Mucorales spores had been detected at 0.68-1.12 cfu/cubic meter in indoor and 0.73-8.60 cfu/cubic meter in outdoor air of India with pre- dominance of main pathogenic species *Rhizopus arrhizus*. ²⁴ The most recent multicentre study also has shown variation in the environmental spores count in the different zones of India with highest spores count in the north and south India compared to west and east Indian centre. 23 Further studies looking at COVID-19 specific risk factors, such as GRP78 expressions in PNS tissue of CAM versus Non-CAM and healthy patients, COVID-19 associated dysregulation in innate immune system may help us understand the increased susceptibility of COVID-19 patients to mucormycosis.

Limitations of our study: This is a small single-center study, and a multicenter larger studies are needed to validate our findings. CAM cases received treatment of acute COVID-19 treatment elsewhere, including home care under family physicians' supervision, while most controls received treatment at a tertiary care center – there could be a bias here. Study patients' corticosteroids dosage and duration were not analyzed. Study design precludes assessment of SARS-CoV-2 as a risk factor.

Conclusions: New-onset diabetes was the predominant risk factor for CAM in our study with corticosteroid usage and home isolation care for COVID-19 being other important ones for mucormycosis in COVID 19 patients. Clinicians need to adopt a very judicious approach and follow treatment guidelines of COVID-19 especially regarding use of corticosteroids in nonsevere COVID-19 disease. This, coupled with diligent blood sugar monitoring and glycaemic control for home isolated patients will help reduce the CAM incidence

Acknowledgement:

We acknowledge the help of Dr. Nidhi Barot, Dr Kinjal Shah, Forum Vyas, Ankita Pathak and Aishwarya Jairam for their help in data extraction and Dr. Sapan Pandya for English language and grammar check.

Funding Source: None

Conflict of Interest: I, Dr. Atul Patel had received speaker fees from Intas Pharmaceutical, MSD India, Pfizer India, Mylan India, Gilead Science and Bharat Serum and Vaccine, rest of the authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References:

- 1. Reid G, Lynch JP, 3rd, Fishbein MC, Clark NM. Mucormycosis. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2020;41:99-114.
- 2. Prakash H, Chakrabarti A. Epidemiology of Mucormycosis in India. Microorganisms 2021;9.
- 3. Patel A, Kaur H, Xess I, et al. A multicentre observational study on the epidemiology, risk factors, management and outcomes of mucormycosis in India. Clin Microbiol Infect 2020;26:944.e9-.e15.
- 4. Garg D, Muthu V, Sehgal IS, et al. Coronavirus Disease (Covid-19) Associated Mucormycosis (CAM): Case Report and Systematic Review of Literature. Mycopathologia 2021;186:289-98.
- 5. Patel A, Agarwal R, Rudramurthy SM, et al. Multicenter Epidemiologic Study of Coronavirus Disease-Associated Mucormycosis, India. Emerg Infect Dis 2021;27.
- 6. Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Sah R, Millan-Oñate J, et al. COVID-19 associated mucormycosis: the urgent need to reconsider the indiscriminate use of immunosuppressive drugs. Ther Adv Infect Dis 2021;8:20499361211027065.
- 7. Sen M, Honavar SG, Bansal R, et al. Epidemiology, clinical profile, management, and outcome of COVID-19-associated rhino-orbital-cerebral mucormycosis in 2826 patients in India Collaborative OPAI-IJO Study on Mucormycosis in COVID-19 (COSMIC), Report 1. Indian J Ophthalmol 2021;69:1670-92.
- 8. Bhatia M. The rise of mucormycosis in Covid-19 patients in India. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2021:1-2.
- 9. Boddu SK, Aurangabadkar G, Kuchay MS. New onset diabetes, type 1 diabetes and COVID-19. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2020;14:2211-7.
- 10. Ceriello A, De Nigris V, Prattichizzo F. Why is hyperglycaemia worsening COVID-19 and its prognosis? Diabetes Obes Metab 2020;22:1951-2.
- 11. Jones CJ, Morris KJ, Jayson MI. Prednisolone inhibits phagocytosis by polymorphonuclear leucocytes via steroid receptor mediated events. Ann Rheum Dis 1983;42:56-62.
- 12. Schleimer RP, Freeland HS, Peters SP, Brown KE, Derse CP. An assessment of the effects of glucocorticoids on degranulation, chemotaxis, binding to vascular endothelium and formation of leukotriene B4 by purified human neutrophils. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1989;250:598-605.
- 13. Tahaghoghi-Hajghorbani S, Zafari P, Masoumi E, et al. The role of dysregulated immune responses in COVID-19 pathogenesis. Virus Res 2020;290:198197.

- 14. Prakash H, Chakrabarti A. Global Epidemiology of Mucormycosis. J Fungi (Basel) 2019;5.
- 15. Skiada A, Pagano L, Groll A, et al. Zygomycosis in Europe: analysis of 230 cases accrued by the registry of the European Confederation of Medical Mycology (ECMM) Working Group on Zygomycosis between 2005 and 2007. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011;17:1859-67.
- 16. Li J, Wang X, Chen J, Zuo X, Zhang H, Deng A. COVID-19 infection may cause ketosis and ketoacidosis. Diabetes Obes Metab 2020;22:1935-41.
- 17. Ibrahim AS, Spellberg B, Walsh TJ, Kontoyiannis DP. Pathogenesis of mucormycosis. Clin Infect Dis 2012;54 Suppl 1:S16-22.
- 18. Mulakavalupil B, Vaity C, Joshi S, Misra A, Pandit RA. Absence of Case of Mucormycosis (March 2020-May 2021) under strict protocol driven management care in a COVID-19 specific tertiary care intensive care unit. Diabetes & metabolic syndrome 2021;15:102169-.
- 19. Alqarihi A, Gebremariam T, Gu Y, et al. GRP78 and Integrins Play Different Roles in Host Cell Invasion during Mucormycosis. mBio 2020;11.
- 20. Sabirli R, Koseler A, Goren T, Turkcuer I, Kurt O. High GRP78 levels in Covid-19 infection: A case-control study. Life Sci 2021;265:118781.
- 21. Rammaert B, Lanternier F, Zahar J-R, et al. Healthcare-Associated Mucormycosis. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2012;54:S44-S54.
- 22. Governmentstats.com. 2021. Mucormycosis Black Fungus. (Accessed 15 June 2021, at https://governmentstats.com/mucormycosis/index.html.)
- 23. Biswal M, Gupta P, Kanaujia R, et al. Evaluation of hospital environment for presence of Mucorales during COVID-19-associated mucormycosis outbreak in India a multi-centre study. J Hosp Infect 2022;122:173-9.
- 24. Prakash H, Singh S, Rudramurthy SM, et al. An aero mycological analysis of Mucormycetes in indoor and outdoor environments of northern India. Med Mycol 2020;58:118-23.

Table 1: Site of infection, diagnostic modalities, species distribution and treatment of CAM cases

Site of disease	N= 64
Paranasal sinus	35 (54.7%)
Paranasal sinus with upper Jaw	10 (15.6%)
Paranasal sinus with skull base	5 (7.8%)
Rhino-orbital-cerebral mucormycosis	5 (7.8%)
Rhino-orbital mucormycosis	4 (6.2%)
Pulmonary	5 (7.8%)
Diagnostic modalities	
Direct microscopy (KOH preparation)	54 (84.4%)
Culture	40 (62.5%)
Histopathology	59 (92.2%)
Mucorales species (n=40)	
Rhizopus arrhizus	23 (57.5%)
Rhizopus microsporus	3 (7.5%)
Rhizopus species	4 (10%)
Cunninghamella	1 (2.5%)
Mucorales	9 (22.5%)
Treatment	
Liposomal Amphotericin B	49 (76.6%)
Amphotericin B deoxycholate	12 (18.8%)
Posaconazole	34 (53.1%)
Isavuconazole	6 (9.4%)

Sequential antifungal*	35 (54.7%)
Sinoscopic debridement	52 (81.3%)

• Sequential antifungal is defined when patient initiated with amphotericin B and followed by oral azole (posaconazole or isavuconazole) treatment



Table 2: Demographic, comorbidities and acute Covid-19 treatment profile of CAM and Controls.

	Total (N=269,	CAM (N=64)	Controls	p - value	Odds Ratio (95%
	n (%)	n (%)	(N=205) n (%)		CI)
Age*	56.4 ± 13.5	55.7 ± 11.9	56.6 ± 14	0.62	0.99 (0.97-1)^
Female	81 (30.1)	14 (21.9)	67 (32.7)	0.119	1.73 (0.9-3.4)
Male	188 (69.9)	50 (78.1)	138 (67.3)		
Comorbidity	166 (61.7)	38 (59.4)	128 (62.4)	0.662	0.88 (0.5-1.6)
(other than DM)					CO
Diabetes	123 (45.7)	48 (75)	75 (36.6)	<0.001	5.2 (2.8-9.8)
Known case of	94 (34.9)	26 (40.6)	68 (33.2)	0.295	1.38 (0.8-2.5)
DM					
Newly	29 (10.8)	22 (34.4)	7 (3.4)	< 0.001	14.82 (5.9-
diagnosed DM					36.9)
Cardiovascular	151 (56.1)	36 (56.3)	115 (56.1)	0.999	1 (0.6-1.8)
Diseases					
Lung disease	10 (3.7)	0	10 (4.9)	0.124	
Renal disease	17 (6.3)	3 (4.7)	14 (6.8)	0.77	0.67 (0.2-2.4)
Home Isolation	34 (12.6)	17 (26.6)	17 (8.3)	< 0.001	4 (1.9-8.4)
Admitted to	183 (68)	43 (67.2)	140 (68.3)	0.983	0.95 (0.5-1.7)
Ward	,				
Admitted to ICU	52 (19.3)	4 (6.3)	48 (23.4)	0.002	0.22 (0.1-0.6)
Oxygen Therapy	140 (52)	34 (53.1)	106 (51.7)	0.957	1.06 (0.6-1.9)
No supplemental	129 (48)	30 (46.9)	99 (48.3)	0.078	

Low flow						
	98 (36.4)	29 (45.3)	69 (33.7)			
devices						
High flow	42 (15.6)	5 (7.8)	37 (18)			
therapy	.2 (10.0)					
	224 (22.2)	7 0 (00 f)	1.5.5 (0.1)	2.22		
Systemic steroid	224 (83.3)	58 (90.6)	166 (81)	0.085	2.27 (0.9-5.6)	
Remdesivir	213 (79.2)	44 (68.8)	169 (82.4)	0.022	0.47 (0.2-0.9)	^
Tocilizumab	24 (8.9)	2 (3.1)	22 (10.7)	0.078	0.27 (0.1-1.2)	1

Table 3: Multivariate analysis of risk factors for CAM

		Adjusted Odd's Ratio	95% CI	p - value
	Diabetes Mellitus		1	<0.001
	No DM	Reference		
	New DM	48.66	14.3 – 166	<0.001
	Known case of DM	2.93	1.4 – 6.1	0.004
	Type of Admission	0.032		
	Ward admission	Reference		
	ICU admission	0.11	0.03 - 0.4	0.002
	Home Isolation	4.8	2-11.3	<0.001
	Steroid Therapy	3.64	1.2 – 10.9	0.021
Ĉ				