

# Article Bioactive Bianthraquinones and Meroterpenoids from a Marine-Derived Stemphylium sp. Fungus

Ji-Yeon Hwang <sup>1</sup>, Sung Chul Park <sup>1</sup>, Woong Sub Byun <sup>1</sup>, Dong-Chan Oh <sup>1</sup>, Sang Kook Lee <sup>1</sup>, Ki-Bong Oh <sup>2</sup>,\* and Jongheon Shin <sup>1</sup>,\*<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Natural Products Research Institute, College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, San 56-1, Sillim, Gwanak, Seoul 151-742, Korea; yahyah7@snu.ac.kr (J.-Y.H.); sungchulpark@snu.ac.kr (S.C.P.); sky\_magic@naver.com (W.S.B.); dongchanoh@snu.ac.kr (D.-C.O.); sklee61@snu.ac.kr (S.K.L.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, College of Agriculture and Life Science, Seoul National University, San 56-1, Sillim, Gwanak, Seoul 151-921, Korea
- \* Correspondence: ohkibong@snu.ac.kr (K.-B.O.); shinj@snu.ac.kr (J.S.); Tel.: +82-2-880-4646 (K.-B.O.); +82-2-880-2484 (J.S.)

Received: 22 July 2020; Accepted: 19 August 2020; Published: 21 August 2020



**Abstract:** Three new bianthraquinones, alterporriol Z1–Z3 (1–3), along with three known compounds of the same structural class, were isolated from the culture broth of a marine-derived *Stemphylium* sp. fungus. Based upon the results of spectroscopic analyses and ECD measurements, the structures of new compounds were determined to be the 6-6'- (1 and 2) and 1-5'- (3) C–C connected *pseudo*-dimeric anthraquinones, respectively. Three new meroterpenoids, tricycloalterfurenes E–G (7–9), isolated together with the bianthraquinones from the same fungal culture broth, were structurally elucidated by combined spectroscopic methods. The relative and absolute configurations of these meroterpenoids were determined by modified Mosher's, phenylglycine methyl ester (PGME), and computational methods. The bianthraquinones significantly inhibited nitric oxide (NO) production and suppressed inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells.

**Keywords:** bianthraquinones; meroterpenoids; marine-derived fungus; anti-inflammatory activity; *Stemphylium* sp.

# 1. Introduction

Polyketides are a representative class of fungal metabolites [1,2]. These compounds possess a vast range of structural diversity resulting in several subgroups such as anthraquinones, naphthoquinones, benzophenones, xanthones, flavonoids, macrolides, and tropolones [3,4]. Among these, anthraquinones are biosynthetically derived from an octaketide chain formed by the incorporation of one acetyl-CoA and seven malonyl-CoA units [5–7]. Although widely distributed in fungi, anthraquinone derivatives occur far frequently in the genera of *Alternaria, Aspergillus, Fusarium, Stemphylium*, and *Trichoderma* [8]. Anthraquinones typically contain various substituents (methyl, hydroxyl, methoxyl, or more complex substituents), often attributed to characteristic colors by their properties and positions at the aromatic core moiety [9,10].

A frequently encountered structural variation of fungal anthraquinones is the dimerization through a C–C bond formation between two similar units [3]. The dimerization patterns for these bianthraquinones are also diversified through both homo- and hetero- bond formation, providing additional structural variation to the anthraquinones. To date, a vast number of fungal examples were reported including alterporriols, icterinoidin, rubellin, and skyrin [3,11]. In addition to their monomeric precursors, bianthraquinones often co-occur with biosynthetically related compounds of the



polyketide pathway [4,12]. Wide structural diversity, in conjunction with significant bioactivities such as antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antituberculosis, and cytotoxic activities, designates bianthraquinones as an important group of fungal polyketides [3,8,11,13].

Meanwhile, tricycloalternarenes (TCAs) are a structural class of fungal meroterpenoids [14]. Structurally, these are closely related to the ACTG toxins with differences at the isoprenoid side chain and the substitution pattern at the C-ring of TCAs [15]. Biosynthetically, these meroterpenoids are proposed to be generated from a hybrid shikimate–isoprenoid route [16]. Exclusively found in *Alternaria* and *Guignardia*, tricycloalternarene-type meroterpenoids are regarded as one of the key chemical characteristics of these fungal genera [17,18]. These compounds were also reported to exhibit diverse bioactivities, including antimicrobial, cytotoxic, and NF-κB-inhibitory [19,20].

During the course of a search for bioactive compounds from marine-derived fungi, we isolated a strain (strain number FJJ006) of *Stemphylium* sp. from an unidentified sponge specimen collected off the coast of the island of Jeju-do, Korea. LC-ESIMS and LC-UV analyses of the culture broth of this strain showed the presence of several compounds with various profiles, prompting extensive chemical investigation. The large-scale cultivation, sequentially followed by extraction, solvent-partitioning, and chromatographic separations, afforded six new and three known compounds (Figure 1). Here, we report the structures of bianthraquinone alterporriols Z1–Z3 (1–3) and meroterpenoid tricycloalterfurenes E–G (7–9). This is first time isolating not only the tricycloalternarene-type meroterpenoids but also their co-occurrence with polyketide-derived anthracenes from the fungus *Stemphylium*. Compounds 1 and 2 exhibited moderate anti-inflammatory activity in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells.



Figure 1. Structures of 1–9.

## 2. Results and Discussion

Compound **1** was an orange amorphous powder which was analyzed to have the molecular formula of  $C_{32}H_{26}O_{13}$ , with 20 unsaturation degrees, by HRFABMS analysis ([M + H]<sup>+</sup> m/z 619.1449, calcd  $C_{32}H_{27}O_{13}$ , 619.1446). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of this compound showed signals of four carbonyl ( $\delta c$  190.5, 188.7, 185.7, 183.5) and twenty deshielded methine and quaternary carbons ( $\delta c$  166.9–104.6). Aided by the HSQC data, the chemical shifts of corresponding methine protons at  $\delta_H$  7.65, 7.51, 6.81, and 6.78 in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data revealed the presence of aromatic moieties (Table 1). Since these NMR features were accounted for 14 unsaturation degrees, **1** must be a hexacyclic compound.

No.	1 <sup><i>a</i></sup>		2 <sup>b</sup>		3 <sup><i>c</i></sup>	
	δ <sub>C</sub> , Type	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm C}$ , Type	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm C}$ , Type	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)
1	111.7, CH	7.51, d (0.5)	111.8, CH	7.51, d (0.5)	129.0, C	
2	164.0, C		163.7, C		165.5, C <sup>d</sup>	
3	133.8, C		133.8, C		134.5, C	
4	131.2, CH	7.65, d (0.5)	131.3, CH	7.65, d (0.5)	130.5, CH	8.00, s
4a	126.8, C		127.1, C		131.2, C	
5	165.8, C		166.2, C		106.9, CH	7.20, d (2.5)
6	125.3, C		125.7, C		167.1, C	
7	104.6, CH	6.78, s	104.3, CH	6.80, s	105.9, CH	6.52, d (2.5)
8	166.9, C		167.1, C		166.0, C	
8a	111.9, C		111.7, C		112.1, C	
9	188.7, C		188.6, C		191.0, C	
9a	134.7, C		134.6, C		132.8, C	
10	183.5, C		183.5, C		182.3, C	
10a	133.4, C		132.8, C		137.8, C	
11	16.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.23, s	16.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.23, s	17.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.21, s
12	56.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.69, s	56.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.70, s	56.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.89, s
1′	70.6, CH	4.73, d (7.5)	70.6, CH	4.73, d (7.4)	75.2, CH	4.58, d (4.7)
2'	75.2, CH	3.79, d (7.5)	75.2, CH	3.76, d (7.4)	70.7, CH	3.93, d (4.7)
3'	74.6, C		74.7, C		75.4, C	
4'	70.1, CH	4.26, s	70.2, CH	4.26, s	70.6, CH	4.39, s
4a′	143.8, C		143.9, C		144.7, C	
5'	166.3, C		166.5, C		130.7 <i>,</i> C	
6'	123.4, C		123.9, C		166.8, C	
7′	104.6, CH	6.81, s	104.6, CH	6.82, s	104.5, CH	6.79, s
8'	166.1, C		166.4, C		165.9, C	
8a′	111.0, C		111.0, C		110.9, C	
9′	190.5, C		190.6, C		189.6, C	
9a′	143.9, C		143.7, C		141.0, C	
10′	185.7, C		185.5, C		185.7, C	
10a′	130.8, C		130.7, C		n.d. <sup>e</sup>	
11′	22.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.33, s	22.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.32, s	21.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.33, s
12'	57.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.70, s	57.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.71, s	56.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.71, s
13'					62.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.77, s

**Table 1.** <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of compounds **1–3** in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.

 $^{a-c}$  Measured at 150/600, 100/400, and 200/800 MHz for  $^{13}\mathrm{C}/^{1}\mathrm{H}$  NMR, respectively.  $^{d}$  Assigned by HMBC data.  $^{e}$  Not detected.

A combination of <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR and HSQC data diagnosed the remaining NMR signals as an oxy-quaternary carbon ( $\delta$ c 74.6) and three oxymethine ( $\delta_C/\delta_H$  75.2/3.79, 70.6/4.73, and 70.1/4.26), two oxymethyl ( $\delta_C/\delta_H$  57.0/3.70 and 56.9/3.69), and two methyl ( $\delta_C/\delta_H$  22.3/1.33 and 16.6/2.23) groups. Aided by the literature study, the overall spectroscopic features suggested **1** to be a bianthraquinone consisting of each one unit of anthraquinone and tetrahydroanthraquinone.

Having the information above, the structure determination of **1** was mostly accomplished by extensive H–C long-range analyses for this proton-deficient compound (Figure 2). Firstly, long-range correlations of two aromatic protons at  $\delta_H$  7.51 (H-1) and 7.65 (H-4) and a benzylic methyl proton at  $\delta_H$  2.23 (H<sub>3</sub>-11) with neighboring carbons not only constructed a 2-hydroxy-3-methylbenzene moiety (C-1-C-4, C-4a, and C-9a) but also placed two carbonyls at  $\delta_C$  188.7 (C-9) and 183.5 (C-10) *ortho*-substituted to the benzene. Similarly, combined HMBC and LR-HSQMBC correlations of the protons at  $\delta_H$  6.78 (H-7) and 3.69 (H<sub>3</sub>-12) with neighboring carbons revealed the presence of an 8-hydroxy-5-methoxybenzene moiety (C-5-C-8, C-8a, and C-10a). The linkage between C-8a and C-9 was also secured by crucial H-7/C-9 correlation. Although it was not evidenced by HMBC data, the carbon chemical shifts of C-10 ( $\delta_C$  183.5) and C-10a ( $\delta_C$  133.4), in conjunction with the MS-derived unsaturation degree, directly linked these carbons, thus, constructing an anthraquinone moiety for **1**.



🗕 COSY 🦳 HMBC 📇 LR-HSQMBC

**Figure 2.** Key correlations of COSY (bold), HMBC (arrow), and LR-HSQMBC ( $J_{CH} = 2$  Hz, dashed arrow) experiments for compounds **1**, **3**, and **4**.

Meanwhile, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY data showed direct spin coupling (J = 7.5 Hz) between oxymethine protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.73 (H-1') and 3.79 (H-2') (Figure 2). Subsequently, HMBC correlations of these protons and an isolated oxymethine and a methyl proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.26 (H-4') and 1.33 (H-11'), respectively, with the olefinic and hydroxyl-bearing carbons, defined a 3-methyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydroxy cyclohexene-type moiety (C-1'–C-4', C-4a', and C-9a'). The attachment of two carbonyl carbons at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  190.5 (C-9') and 185.7 (C-10') at the cyclohexene unit was also accomplished by their HMBC correlations with H-4' and H-1', respectively.

The HMBC correlations of an aromatic and a methoxy proton at  $\delta_H$  6.81 (H-7') and 3.70 (H<sub>3</sub>-12'), respectively, with aromatic carbons, revealed an 8'-hydroxy-5'-methoxybenzene (C-5'–C-8', C-8a', and C-10a'), analogous to the C-5–C-10a unit. Subsequently, further connection to the diketo-bearing cyclohexene unit constructing a tetrahydroanthraquinone moiety was also accomplished by the HMBC

and LR-HSQMBC correlations between these. Since the anthraquinone and tetrahydroanthraquinone moieties had open ends at C-6 and C-6', respectively, C–C linkage between these carbons was anticipated. The crucial evidence was provided by the HMBC data, in which long-range correlations were found at H-7/C-6' and H-7'/C-6. Thus, the planar structure of **1** was determined as a bianthraquinone analogous to alterporriols [3]. Although significant numbers of bianthraquinones were reported from diverse fungi, those having a 6-6' C–C linkage are rather rare. To the best of our knowledge, alterporriol K–M are the only previous examples having the same type of C–C linkage [21].

The structure of 1, designated as alterporriol Z1, possessed four stereogenic centers at C-1'–C-4' at the aliphatic ring and a chiral axis at C-6/C-6', requiring configurational determination. Firstly, relative configurations at the aliphatic ring were assigned by proton–proton coupling constants and NOESY analyses (Figure 3). The large coupling (J = 7.5 Hz) between H-1' and H-2' oriented both protons axial to the cyclohexene ring that was supported by the NOESY cross peak at H-2'/1'-OH. Then, the neighboring 11'-CH<sub>3</sub> group was equatorially oriented by the cross peak H-2'/H<sub>3</sub>-11'. Although both H-4' and 4'-OH protons showed spatial proximity with H<sub>3</sub>-11', the NOESY cross peak at H-2'/H-4' was crucial to the axial orientation of H-4' (Supplementary Materials, Figure S9 and Table S2). Thus, the overall relative configurations were assigned as 1'*S*\*, 2'*R*\*, 3'*S*\*, and 4'*S*\*. The absolute configurations at these centers were approached by computational methods and are described later.



Figure 3. Key correlations of NOESY (arrow) experiments for compounds 1, 3, and 7.

The configuration at the C-6/C-6' chiral axis was assigned by ECD measurements. As shown in Figure 4, the measured ECD profile of 1 showed significant Cotton effects at 269 ( $\Delta \varepsilon$  35.79) and 285 ( $\Delta \varepsilon$  -36.06) nm, assigning an a*R* configuration at the C-6/C-6' axis. Although this interpretation was supported by the same configuration at structurally related alterporriols A and L [21,22], a question would arise from the possible contribution of ECD by the C-1'–C-4' stereogenic centers. That is, the absolute configurations at these centers would remarkably influence overall ECD profiles. Conversely, depending on the results, it would be also possible to deduce the absolute configurations of stereogenic centers at the cyclohexene moiety. To clarify this, ECD data were calculated for the *aR* atropisomeric contribution with two possible absolute configurations at the stereogenic centers. The results were that these profiles were very similar to each other at both wavelengths and intensity of Cotton effects, regardless of the configurations at the cyclohexene moiety (Figure 5). Thus, the *aR* configuration was unambiguously assigned for the chiral axis.



Figure 4. Experimental ECD spectra of compounds 1–4.



Figure 5. Calculated ECD spectra of compounds 1 and 2.

Having fixed axial chirality, the absolute configurations at C-1'–C-4' were subsequently approached by DP4 calculations. However, two models derived from the opposite configurations expected very similar <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data from each other (Supplementary Materials, Figure S52 and Table S1), which was consistent with the results of ECD analysis. Thus, the absolute configurations of the cyclohexene moiety remained unassigned [23]. Overall, the structure of alterporriol Z1 (1) was determined to be a bianthraquinone of the alterporriol class.

The molecular formula of alterporriol Z2 (2) was established to be  $C_{32}H_{26}O_{13}$ , identical to 1, by HRFABMS analysis ( $[M + H]^+ m/z$  619.1454, calcd for  $C_{32}H_{27}O_{13}$ , 619.1446). A comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of this compound with those of 1 revealed a very close structural similarity between them (Table 1). Subsequently, the extensive 1D and 2D NMR analyses of 2 deduced the same planar structure and relative configurations of the aliphatic ring as those of 1. Accordingly, the structural difference was traced to the chiral axis at C-6–C-6', in which the measured ECD spectrum of 2 showed a quasi-mirror profile from 1 (Figure 4). The noticeably reduced intensities of Cotton effects would be attributed from the stereogenic center-bearing cyclohexene moiety. However, the calculated ECD data of 2 were virtually identical to each other, regardless of absolute configurations at the cyclohexene stereogenic centers, eradicating the possibility of the reversal of measured ECD by these factors (Figure 5). Thus, the structure of alterporriol Z2 (2) was defined as an atropisomer of alterporriol Z1 (1).

A minor constituent of alterporriol Z3 (3) was isolated as a dark red amorphous solid that was analyzed for the molecular formula of  $C_{33}H_{28}O_{13}$  by HRFABMS analysis ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup> m/z 655.1430, calcd for  $C_{33}H_{28}O_{13}$ Na, 655.1422). The <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of this compound were similar to those of **1** and **2**, indicating the same bianthraquinone nature (Table 1). An extensive examination of these data, in conjunction with a literature and database search, revealed that **3** had close structural similarity with a congener alterporriol N (6) [23]. The most conspicuous difference in NMR data was the presence of an additional methoxy group at  $\delta_C/\delta_H$  62.8/3.77 in **3**.

Given this information, the planar structure of **3** was determined by HMBC analyses. Despite the limited amount of obtained materials, almost all carbons and protons except for unprotonated C-10a' were adequately assigned by HSQC and HMBC correlations (Figure 2). In this way, **3** was defined as consisting of an anthraquinone and a tetrahydroanthraquinone moiety, as with other compounds. The newly appeared methoxy group (C-13') was also attached at C-1' by COSY correlation at H-1'/H-2' and HMBC correlation at H<sub>3</sub>-13'/C-1'. Due to the lack of neighboring protons, the C–C linkage was not directly evidenced by HMBC data. However, the diagnostic chemical shifts of the unprotonated C-1 and C-5' carbons at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  129.0 and 130.7, respectively, were indicative of the linkage between the

anthraquinone and tetrahydroanthraquinone moiety at these carbons.

The configurations at cyclohexene and C-1/C-6' chiral axis of **3** were assigned using the same methods as in **1** and **2**. Firstly, the small coupling constants (J = 4.7 Hz) between the vicinal H-1' and H-2' indicated the orientations of these to be either axial–equatorial or equatorial–equatorial to the cyclohexene ring. Then, the NOESY data showed mutual cross peaks among H-2', H-4', and H<sub>3</sub>-11', placing these at the same phase of the cyclohexene ring (Figure 3). Therefore, the former two oxymethine protons were axially oriented, while the C-11' methyl group was equatorially oriented to the ring system. Aided with the additional cross peak with H-2', the H-1' oxymethine proton was also equatorially oriented. Thus, the overall relative configurations were assigned as  $1'R^*$ ,  $2'R^*$ ,  $3'S^*$ , and  $4'S^*$ . The absolute configuration of the C-1/C-6' chiral axis of **3** was also assigned by ECD measurement. As shown in Figure 4, the ECD data of this compound were similar to 1, assigning the same a*R* configuration. Thus, the structure of alteroporriol Z3 (**3**) was defined as a new bianthraquinone of the alterporriol class.

In addition to 1–3, the crude extract of *Stemphylium* sp. contained three known bianthraquinones (4–6). Based upon the results of combined spectroscopic analyses, these were identified as alterporriols F (4) [24], G (5) [25], and N (6) [23], respectively. The spectroscopic data of these compounds were in good accordance with those in the literature. Among these, the configuration of C-5/C-5' chiral axis of 4, previously unassigned, was determined to be a*R* by the ECD measurement in this work (Figure 4).

In addition to bianthraquinones, the culture broth of *Stemphylium* sp. contained three new meroterpenoids. The molecular formula of compound 7, a brown amorphous solid, was deduced to be  $C_{21}H_{30}O_6$  with seven unsaturation degrees, by HRFABMS analysis ( $[M + H]^+ m/z$  379.2118, calcd for  $C_{21}H_{31}O_6$ , 379.2115). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of this compound showed signals of three significantly deshielded carbons ( $\delta_C$  200.4, 180.9, and 170.4) and three olefinic carbons ( $\delta_C$  139.7, 127.5, and 107.5). The odd numbers of the latter carbons were indicative of a highly differentiated double bond possibly consisting of a deshielded carbon ( $\delta_C$  170.4) and a shielded carbon ( $\delta_C$  107.5). Between two carbonyl carbons, the conspicuous one ( $\delta_C$  200.4) was readily assigned as a ketone, while the other one ( $\delta_C$  180.9) was thought to be either a carboxylic acid or an ester group by a strong absorption band at 1755 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the IR data. Aided by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR and HSQC data, the remaining 15 carbons in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were diagnosed to be one oxy-quaternary ( $\delta_C$  85.8), three oxymethine ( $\delta_C$  78.1, 74.5, and 66.8), one methine ( $\delta_C$  40.8), seven methylene ( $\delta_C$  46.8, 40.2, 34.5, 33.6, 30.5, 26.3, and 19.8), and three methyl ( $\delta_C$  20.1, 17.7, and 16.4) carbons (Table 2). Overall, preliminary examination of the spectroscopic data suggested 7 to be a tricyclic compound possessing two carbonyl groups and two double bonds.

No.	7 <i>a</i>		8 <i>a</i>		9 <sup>b</sup>	
	δ <sub>C</sub> , type	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	δ <sub>C</sub> , type	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm C}$ , type	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)
1	200.4, C		199.9, C		200.4, C	
2	33.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.62, m 2.32, ddd (16.9, 6.9, 4.9)	72.4, CH	4.07, dd (12.6, 4.9)	33.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.62, m 2.32, ddd (17.0, 6.8, 5.1)
3	30.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.18, m 1.97, m	30.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.24, m1.83, m	30.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.19, m 1.97, m
4	66.8, CH	4.30, t (5.1)	28.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.58, m2.44, m	66.8, CH	4.29, t (5.3)
5	170.4, C		170.8, C		170.4, C	
6	107.5, C		105.6, C		107.5, C	
7	19.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.59, d (17.8) 2.22, dd (17.7, 4.2)	19.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.68, d (17.8) 2.20, dd (17.7, 4.2)	19.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.59, d (17.8) 2.22, dd (17.7, 4.2)
8	78.1, CH	3.95, dd (4.3, 1.2)	77.9, CH	3.95, dd (4.3, 1.2)	78.1, CH	3.95, dd (4.5, 1.3)
9	85.8, C		85.7 <i>,</i> C		85.8 <i>,</i> C	
10	46.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.42, dd (13.6, 9.4) 2.07, dd (13.6, 3.9)	46.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.39, m 2.00, dd (13.7, 3.7)	46.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.42, dd (13.7, 9.5) 2.07, dd (13.6, 3.9)
11	74.5, CH	4.80, td (9.0, 3.9)	74.5, CH	4.80, td (9.0, 3.9)	74.4, CH	4.79, m
12	127.5, CH	5.17, br d (8.8)	127.5, CH	5.17, br d (8.8)	127.7, CH	5.17, br d (8.5)
13	139.7, C		139.7, C		139.6, C	
14	40.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.99, m	40.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.98, m	40.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.98, m
15	26.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.42, m	26.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.42, m	26.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.39, m
16	34.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.59, m 1.38, m	34.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.58, m 1.35, m	34.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.58, m 1.38, m
17	40.8, CH	2.37, dq (13.8, 7.0)	41.6, CH	2.37, m	40.5, CH	2.46, m
18	180.9, C		182.1, C		178.9 <i>,</i> C	
19	20.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.35, s	19.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.30, s	20.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.35, s
20	16.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.65, s	16.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.64, s	16.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.65, s
21	17.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.11, d (7.0)	18.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.11, d (7.0)	17.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.11, d (7.0)
22					52.1, OCH <sub>3</sub>	3.65, s

Table 2. <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR Data of compounds 7–9 in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.

<sup>a, b</sup> Measured at 100/800 and 100/500 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>1</sup>H, respectively.

The planar structure of 7 was determined by combined  ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{1}\text{H}$  COSY and HMBC analyses (Figure 2). That is, COSY data revealed a linear assembly of an oxymethine and two methylene groups ( $\delta_{C}/\delta_{H}$  33.6/2.62 and 2.32, 30.5/2.18 and 1.97, and 66.8/4.30, C-2–C-4). Then, the HMBC correlations of these protons with neighboring carbons not only placed a ketone ( $\delta_{C}$  200.4, C-1) and a tetra-substituted double bond ( $\delta_{C}$  170.4 and 107.5, C-6 and C-5) at the adjacent locations, but also constructed a 4-oxygenated cyclohexanone moiety (C-1–C-6, ring A): H-4/C-2, C-3, C-5, and C-6, H<sub>2</sub>-3/C-1, and H<sub>2</sub>-2/C-1 and C-6. The COSY data also found a direct linkage between methylene and oxymethine ( $\delta_{C}/\delta_{H}$  19.8/2.59 and 2.22 and 78.1/3.95, C-7 and C-8). Subsequently, their attachment at C-6 of ring A was secured by the HMBC of H<sub>2</sub>-7 with ring carbons: H<sub>2</sub>-7/C-1, C-5, and C-6. Similarly, a quaternary carbon ( $\delta_{C}$  85.8, C-9) and a methyl group ( $\delta_{C}/\delta_{H}$  20.1/1.35, C-19) were linearly connected at C-8 by a number of key HMBC correlations: H-8/C-9 and H<sub>3</sub>-19/C-8 and C-9.

The COSY data revealed the presence of another linear spin system consisting of each one of methylene, oxymethine, and olefinic methine ( $\delta_C/\delta_H$  46.8/2.42 and 2.07, 74.5/4.80, and 127.5/5.17, C-10–C-12; Figure 2). The accomplishment of a full olefin (with  $\delta_C$  139.7, C-13) as well as the attachment of a vinyl methyl group ( $\delta_C/\delta_H$  16.4/1.65, C-20) was made by HMBC correlations: H-11/C-13 and H<sub>3</sub>-20/C-12 and C-13. The linkage of this moiety at the C-9 quaternary carbon was also secured by HMBC data: H<sub>3</sub>-19/C-10 and H<sub>2</sub>-10/C-8 and C-9.

Based on the COSY data, the remaining proton signals were found to form a linear assembly of three methylenes, a methine, and a methyl group ( $\delta_C/\delta_H$  40.2/1.99 (2H); 26.3/1.42 (2H); 34.5/1.59 and 1.38, 40.8/2.37; and 17.7/1.11, C-14-C-17, and C-21). Subsequently, the attachments of this moiety at

C-13 and a carbonyl group ( $\delta_C$  180.9, C-18) were secured by a series of HMBC correlations: H<sub>3</sub>-20/C-14; H<sub>2</sub>-14/C-12; and C-13, H-17/C-18, and H<sub>3</sub>-21/C-18. Thus, the framework of **7** was constructed as a C<sub>21</sub> meroterpenoid of the tricycloalterfurene class.

The 2D NMR-based structure elucidation of 7 assigned, in addition to the C-1 ketone, six oxygenated positions at C-4, C-5, C-8, C-9, C-11, and C-18, accounting for two rings inherent from the mass data. A literature study suggested cyclic ether linkages at C-5/C-9 and C-8/C-11 constructing a dihydropyran (ring B) and a tetrahydrofuran (ring C), respectively, while the remaining carbons were functionalized as the 4-hydroxy and 21-carboxylic acid group. The comparison of <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of 7 were in good accordance with tricycloalterfurene A [15], supporting this interpretation. Crucial evidence was provided in the process for relative and absolute configurations and described later.

The configurations at the stereogenic centers of 7 were initially approached by NOESY data (Figure 3). The 13*E* configuration was assigned by cross peaks at H-11/H<sub>3</sub>-20 and H-12/H<sub>2</sub>-14 as well as the diagnostic carbon chemical shifts of C-20 ( $\delta_C$  16.4) and C-14 ( $\delta_C$  40.2). The NOESY data also placed H-7 $\beta$  ( $\delta_H$  2.22), H-8, H<sub>3</sub>-19, H-10 $\beta$  ( $\delta_H$  2.42), and H-11 at one phase of the B/C ring plane by a series of cross peaks: H-7 $\beta$ /H<sub>3</sub>-19, H-8/H<sub>3</sub>-19, H-8/H-11, H<sub>3</sub>-19/H-10 $\beta$ , and H-10 $\beta$ /H-11. To have these cross peaks, the B/C ring juncture must have a *cis* orientation. Overall, relative configurations at the stereogenic centers were assigned as 8*R*\*, 9*R*\*, and 11*R*\*.

The configurations at the remotely placed C-4 and C-17 stereogenic centers were independently assigned by modified Mosher's and phenylglycine methyl ester (PGME) methods, respectively. That is, the treatments of 7 with (*R*)- and (*S*)- $\alpha$ -methoxy- $\alpha$ -(trifluoromethyl)-phenylacetyl chloride (MTPA-Cl) produced corresponding (*S*)- and (*R*)-MTPA esters, 7-4*S* and 7-4*R*, respectively. The resulting  $\Delta\delta$  ( $\delta_S - \delta_R$ ) values between these esters assigned the 4*R* absolute configuration (Figure 6). Similarly, 7 was also converted to (*S*)- and (*R*)-PGME amides, 7-18*S* and 7-18*R* by treatments with (*S*)- and (*R*)-PGME, respectively. Subsequently, the  $\Delta\delta$  ( $\delta_S - \delta_R$ ) values between the amides clearly assigned the 17*S* configuration (Figure 7). As described earlier, the productions of 4-esters and 17-amides by these reactions unambiguously confirmed the presence of 4-hydroxy and 18-carboxylic acid groups.



**Figure 6.**  $\Delta \delta$  values [ $\Delta \delta = \delta_S - \delta_R$ ] obtained for (*S*)- and (*R*)-MTPA esters of 7 and 8.



R=PGME

**Figure 7.**  $\Delta \delta$  values [ $\Delta \delta = \delta_S - \delta_R$ ] obtained for (*S*)- and (*R*)-PGME amide derivatives of 7 and 8.

Finally, given the absolute configurations at the remote stereogenic centers, those at rings B and C were determined by computational methods. As shown in Figure 7, the measured ECD profile of 7 matched well with the calculated one, with 8*R*, 9*R*, and 11*R* configurations in both wavelength and intensity of the absorption maximum at 258 nm. Overall, the absolute configurations of 7 were determined to be 4*R*, 8*R*, 9*R*, 11*R*, and 17*S* by combined NOESY, chemical

derivatization, and computational methods. Thus, the structure of 7, designated as tricycloalterfurene E, was determined to be a tricyclic meroterpenoid.

The molecular formula of compound **8** was deduced to be  $C_{21}H_{30}O_6$ , identical to **7**, by HRFABMS analysis ( $[M + H]^+ m/z$  379.2120, calcd for  $C_{21}H_{31}O_6$ , 379.2115). The spectroscopic data of this compound were also very similar to those of **7**, suggesting the same tricyclic meroterpenoid nature. However, detailed examination of its <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR and HSQC data revealed noticeable differences in the signals of carbons and protons at the hydroxyl-bearing cyclohexanone moiety (ring A) (Table 2). Although <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY data showed the presence of the same linear assembly of a hydroxyl-methine and two methylene protons as **7**, the HMBC data revealed grossly different proton–carbon correlations. That is, the C-1 ketone ( $\delta_C$  199.9) was correlated with hydroxyl-methine ( $\delta_H$  4.07) and methylene ( $\delta_H$  2.24 and 1.83) protons. The latter methylene protons were additionally correlated to the C-6 ( $\delta_C$  105.6) and C-5 ( $\delta_C$  170.8) olefinic carbons. Therefore, **8** was structurally defined to be a regioisomer of **7** bearing a hydroxyl group at C-2.

The relative and absolute configurations of 8 were pursued stepwise as for 7. First, the NOESY data of this compound showed identical proton-proton spatial proximities to 7, revealing the same relative configurations at rings B and C. Then, the absolute configuration at C-2 was also assigned as R by modified Mosher's method (Figure 6). Strikingly, however, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of PGME-amides of 8 from treatments with (S)- and (R)-PGME were virtually identical to each other and consisted of pairing proton signals. A detailed examination indeed revealed that 5 was a mixture of two 17-epimers with a ratio of 1:0.57. Based upon the proton intensity, the PGME analysis also concluded the 17S and 17R configuration for the major and minor constituents, respectively. The analytical and spectroscopic behaviors of 8 as not an epimeric mixture but a single compound would be attributed from the far remote location of C-17 from other stereogenic centers. Having this, the absolute configurations of 8 were also assigned by ECD measurements. As shown in Figure 8, the measured ECD profile of 8 was very similar to that of 7, defining the same absolute configurations for the ring portion between these compounds. This interpretation was firmly supported by the calculated ECD data of 8 with fixed absolute configurations and epimeric ratio (1:0.57), which were almost identical to the measured one. Thus, the structure of 8, designated as tricycloalterfurene F, was determined to be an epimeric mixture of tricyclic meroterpene carboxylic acids.



Figure 8. Experimental and calculated ECD spectra of compounds 7-9.

The molecular formula of tricycloalterfurene G (9) was established as  $C_{22}H_{32}O_6$  by HRFABMS analysis ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup> m/z 415.2104, calcd for  $C_{22}H_{32}O_6$ Na, 415.2091). The <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of this compound were very similar to those of 7, with appearance of a methoxy group ( $\delta_C/\delta_H$  52.1/3.65) as the most noticeable difference (Table 2). This methyl group was placed at the C-18 carboxylic group by combined 2D NMR data, including crucial HMBC correlation between the methoxy proton and carboxylic carbon ( $\delta_C$  178.9). After deducing the same NOESY-based relative configurations as 7, the experimental ECD profiles of 9 were also very similar to those of 7, assigning the same absolute

configurations between these (Figure 8). Thus, the structure of tricycloalterfurene G (9) was defined to be an ester derivative of tricycloalterfurene E (7).

The obtained bianthraquinones (1–6) and meroterpenoids (7–9) were assayed for their cytotoxicity. However, all of these were inactive (IC<sub>50</sub> » 20  $\mu$ M) against a number of human cancer cell-lines: A549 (lung cancer), HCT116 (colon cancer), MDA-MB-231 (breast cancer), PC3 (prostate cancer), SK-Hep1 (liver cancer), and SNU638 (stomach cancer). In addition, these compounds were inactive (MIC > 128  $\mu$ g/mL) against diverse human pathogenic bacterial strains *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC19433), *Enterococcus faecium* (ATCC19434), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC10031), *Proteus hauseri* (NBRC3851), *Salmonella enterica* (ATCC14028), and *Staphylococcus arueus* (ATCC6538p). These compounds were also inactive (IC<sub>50</sub> > 145  $\mu$ M) against microbial key enzymes sortase A (SrtA) and isocitrate lyase (ICL).

In our further assay using bianthraquinones, the anti-inflammatory activities were indirectly evaluated by ability to suppress lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced nitric oxide (NO) production in RAW 264.7 mouse macrophages. As shown in Figure 9, compounds **1**, **2**, and **4**–**6** showed moderate anti-inflammatory activity with  $IC_{50}$  values of  $11.6 \pm 0.7$ ,  $16.1 \pm 1.1$ ,  $9.6 \pm 1.6$ ,  $8.4 \pm 0.4$ , and  $10.7 \pm 0.6 \mu$ M, respectively, while 3 was inactive. No measurable cytotoxicity was observed against mouse macrophages at these concentrations (Supplementary Materials, Figure S55). To further elucidate the inhibitory mechanism on NO production, the effect of compounds on the protein expression levels of iNOS and COX-2, the key inflammatory mediators, was evaluated. As shown in Figure 10, protein levels of iNOS and COX-2 in RAW 264.7 cells incubated with LPS for 18 h increased in comparison to quiescent cells, but this was significantly down-regulated by treatment of the compounds (20  $\mu$ M) 30 min prior to LPS exposure. These findings showed that the bianthraquinones would be potential candidates for the anti-inflammatory related study.



**Figure 9.** Concentration-dependent effect of **1**, **2**, and **4–6** on lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated NO production in RAW 264.7 cells. \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, and \*\*\* p < 0.001 indicate significant differences relative to the vehicle-treated control group.



**Figure 10.** Effect of compounds **1**, **2**, and **4–6** on LPS-induced protein expressions of iNOS and COX-2 in RAW 264.7 cells. The cells were treated with 20  $\mu$ M of compounds for 30 min prior to LPS (1  $\mu$ g/mL) treatment and incubated for 18 h. Protein expression levels were determined by Western blotting analysis. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the internal standard.

#### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO P1020 polarimeter (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan) using a 1 cm cell. UV spectra were acquired with a Hitachi U-3010 spectrophotometer (Hitachi High-Technologies, Tokyo, Japan). ECD spectra were recorded on an Applied Photophysics Chirascan plus CD spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO 4200 FT-IR spectrometer (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan) using a ZnSe cell. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured in CD<sub>3</sub>OD and THF-*d*<sub>8</sub> solutions on Bruker Avance -500, -800 instruments (Billerica, MA, USA) and JEOL -400, -600 instruments (Peabody, MA, USA). High resolution FAB mass spectrometric data were obtained at the Korea Basic Science Institute (Daegu, Korea), and were acquired using a JEOL JMS 700 mass spectrometer (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan) with *meta*-nitrobenzyl alcohol (NBA) as the matrix. Semi-preparative HPLC separations were performed on a Spectrasystem p2000 equipped with a Spectrasystem RI-150 refractive index detector. All solvents used were spectroscopic grade or distilled from glass prior to use.

## 3.2. Fungal Material

The fungal strain *Stemphylium* sp. (strain number FJJ006) was isolated from an unidentified sponge collected using SCUBA at a depth of 30 m off the coast of Jeju-do (Island), Korea, on 29 September 2014. The sponge specimen was macerated and diluted using sterile seawater. One milliliter of the diluted sample was processed utilizing the spread plate method in YPG agar media (5 g of yeast extract, 5 g of peptone, 10 g of glucose, 0.15 g of penicillin G, 0.15 g of streptomycin sulfate, 24.8 g of Instant Ocean, and 16 g of agar in 1 L of distilled water) plates. The plates were incubated at 28 °C for 5 days. The strain was identified using standard molecular biology protocols by DNA amplification and sequencing of the ITS region. Genomic DNA extraction was performed using Intron's i-genomic BYF DNA Extraction Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. The nucleotide sequence of FJJ006 was deposited in the GenBank database under accession number KU519425. The 18S rDNA sequence of this strain exhibited 99% identity (577/579) with that of *Stemphylium* sp. PNYZ13070801 (GenBank accession number KJ481209).

## 3.3. Fermentation

The fungal strain was cultured on a solid YPG medium (5 g of yeast extract, 5 g of peptone, 10 g of glucose, 24.8 g of Instant Ocean, and 16 g of agar in 1 L of distilled water) for 7 days. An agar plug (1 × 1 cm) was inoculated for 7 days in a 250 mL flask that contained 100 mL of YPG medium. Then, 10 mL of each culture was transferred to a 2.8 L Fernbach flask containing semi-solid rice medium (200 g of rice, 0.5 g of yeast extract, 0.5 g of peptone, and 12.4 g of Instant Ocean in 500 mL of distilled

water). In total, 2000 g of rice media were prepared and cultivated for 35 days at 28 °C, agitating once every week.

## 3.4. Extraction and Isolation

The entire culture was macerated and extracted with MeOH (1 L × 3 for each flask). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford a brown organic extract (5.8 g). The extract was separated by C<sub>18</sub> reversed-phase vacuum flash chromatography using sequential mixtures of H<sub>2</sub>O and MeOH (seven fractions of H<sub>2</sub>O-MeOH, gradient from 60:40 to 0:100), acetone, and finally, EtOAc as the eluents. Based on the results of <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis, the fractions eluted with H<sub>2</sub>O-MeOH 50:50 (550 mg), 40:60 (300 mg), and 20:80 (670 mg) were chosen for further separation. The fraction that eluted with H<sub>2</sub>O-MeOH (50:50) was separated by semi-preparative reversed-phase HPLC (YMC-ODS-A column, 250 × 10 mm, 5 µm; gradient from H<sub>2</sub>O-MeCN (90:10) to (50:50), 1.8 mL/min), to yield compound **9** ( $t_R$  = 52.6 min). The H<sub>2</sub>O-MeOH (40:60) fraction from vacuum flash chromatography was separated by semi-preparative reversed-phase HPLC (H<sub>2</sub>O-MeCN, (80:20) to (30:70), 2.0 mL/min) to afford, in the order of elution, compounds **1** ( $t_R$  = 44.8 min), **2** ( $t_R$  = 45.4 min), **4** ( $t_R$  = 50.8 min), **6** ( $t_R$  = 51.4 min), **7** ( $t_R$  = 59.4 min), and **8** ( $t_R$  = 61.3 min). The H<sub>2</sub>O-MeOH (20:80) fraction from vacuum flash chromatography was separated by semi-preparative reversed-phase HPLC (H<sub>2</sub>O-MeCN, (65:35) to (25:75), 2.0 mL/min), affording compounds **3** ( $t_R$  = 51.2 min) and **5** ( $t_R$  = 40.6 min). The overall isolated amounts of 1–9 were 7.0, 3.0, 0.7, 3.0, 3.2, 3.2, 6.5, 8.2, and 2.8 mg, respectively.

Alterporriol Z1 (1): orange amorphous solid,  $[\alpha]_{25}^{D}$  +1.8 (*c* 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 223 (3.97), 275 (3.86), 430 (3.36) nm; ECD (*c* 1.62 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta\varepsilon$ ), 220 (-4.02), 229 (-5.06), 269 (35.79), 285 (-36.06), 317 (-7.91) nm; IR (ZnSe)  $\nu_{max}$  3544, 2970, 1622, 1593, 1372 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 1; HRFABMS *m*/*z* 619.1449 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>27</sub>O<sub>13</sub>, 619.1446).

Alterporriol Z2 (2): orange amorphous solid,  $[\alpha]_{25}^D$  –2.5 (*c* 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 227 (3.79), 274 (3.68), 432 (3.09) nm; ECD (*c* 1.62 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta\varepsilon$ ), 228 (6.18), 267 (–18.63), 284 (12.77), 317 (3.02) nm; IR (ZnSe)  $\nu_{max}$  3412, 2973, 2938, 1638, 1580, 1397, 1293 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 1; HRFABMS *m*/*z* 619.1454 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>27</sub>O<sub>13</sub>, 619.1446).

Alterporriol Z3 (3): dark red amorphous solid,  $[\alpha]_{25}^{D}$  +13.5 (*c* 0.20, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 212 (3.83), 278 (3.70), 420 (3.27) nm; ECD (*c* 1.58 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta\varepsilon$ ), 218 (-18.94), 235 (8.44), 263 (15.31), 287 (-13.9) nm; IR (ZnSe)  $\nu_{max}$  3416, 3120, 2973, 2931, 1638, 1605, 1322 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 1; HRFABMS *m*/*z* 655.1430 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>13</sub>Na, 655.1422).

Tricycloalterfurene E (7): brown amorphous solid,  $[\alpha]_{25}^{D}$  +88.2 (*c* 0.20, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 220 (3.53), 262 (3.48) nm; ECD (*c* 2.65 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ), 258 (9.73), 313 (1.06) nm; IR (ZnSe)  $\nu_{max}$  3546, 3347, 2930, 2854, 1748, 1610, 1538, 1371 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 2; HRFABMS *m*/*z* 379.2118 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, 379.2115).

Tricycloalterfurene F (8): brown amorphous solid,  $[\alpha]_{25}^{D}$  +74.0 (*c* 0.20, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log ε) 225 (3.42), 258 (3.35) nm; ECD (*c* 2.65 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (Δε), 259 (8.39), 315 (0.17) nm; IR (ZnSe)  $\nu_{max}$  3593, 3350, 2928, 2860, 1757, 1674, 1617, 1514, 1221 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 2; HRFABMS *m*/*z* 379.2120 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, 379.2115).

Tricycloalterfurene G (9): brown amorphous solid,  $[\alpha]_{25}^{D}$  +58.4 (*c* 0.20, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log ε) 211 (3.49) nm; ECD (*c* 2.55 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M, MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (Δε), 258 (8.67), 315 (1.07) nm; IR (ZnSe)  $\nu_{max}$  3603, 3356, 2933, 2860, 1742, 1602, 1536, 1371 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 2; HRFABMS *m*/*z* 415.2104 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 415.2091).

# 3.5. Preparations of the (S)- and (R)-MTPA Esters of Compounds 7 and 8

To a solution of compound 7 (0.6 mg, 1  $\mu$ M) in dry pyridine (500  $\mu$ L), (S)-MTPA chloride (10  $\mu$ L, 5.2  $\mu$ M) and DMAP (0.5 mg) were successively added. After stirring for 3 h at 40 °C under N<sub>2</sub>,

the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by reversed-phase HPLC (YMC-ODS column,  $4.6 \times 250$  mm; H<sub>2</sub>O-MeCN, 73:27) to give 7-4*R* (0.3 mg), the (*R*)-MTPA ester of 7. Compound 7-4*S* (0.3 mg), the (*S*)-MTPA ester of 7, was prepared from (*R*)-MTPA chloride in a similar fashion. Compounds 8-2*S* and 8-2*R* (0.3 mg each), the (*S*)- and (*R*)-MTPA esters of 5, respectively, were also prepared using this method.

## 3.5.1. (S)-MTPA Ester of 7 (7-4S)

White amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 800 MHz)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.574–7.566 (2H, m, MTPA-Ar), 7.457–7.435 (3H, m, MTPA-Ar), 5.864 (1H, dd, *J* = 6.5, 5.0 Hz, H-4), 5.227 (1H, dq, *J* = 8.7, 1.1 Hz, H-12), 4.789 (1H, td, *J* = 9.1, 3.8 Hz, H-11), 3.903 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.6, 1.5 Hz, H-8), 3.633 (3H, s, H-22), 3.574 (3H, s, MTPA-OMe), 2.591 (1H, d, *J* = 18.2 Hz, H-7 $\alpha$ ), 2.512 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.2, 5.2 Hz, H-2 $\alpha$ ), 2.488 (1H, m, H-2 $\beta$ ), 2.474 (1H, m, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 2.371 (1H, m, H-17), 2.346 (1H, m, H-10 $\alpha$ ), 2.238 (1H, m, H-3 $\beta$ ), 2.192 (1H, dd, *J* = 18.1, 4.5, 1.0 Hz, H-7 $\beta$ ), 2.022 (2H, m, H-14), 1.945 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.7, 3.7 Hz, H-10 $\beta$ ), 1.654 (3H, d, *J* = 1.1 Hz, H-20), 1.612 (1H, m, H-16 $\alpha$ ), 1.428 (2H, m, H-15), 1.411 (1H, m, H-16 $\beta$ ), 1.122 (3H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, H-21), 1.015 (3H, s, H-19); LRESIMS *m*/z 609.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

## 3.5.2. (*R*)-MTPA Ester of 7 (7-4*R*)

White amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 800 MHz)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.575–7.567 (2H, m, MTPA-Ar), 7.459–7.431 (3H, m, MTPA-Ar), 5.804 (1H, t, *J* = 4.9 Hz, H-4), 5.231 (1H, dq, *J* = 8.7, 1.0 Hz, H-12), 4.819 (1H, m, H-11), 3.979 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.5, 1.4 Hz, H-8), 3.633 (3H, s, H-22), 3.579 (3H, s, MTPA-OMe), 2.619 (1H, d, *J* = 18.3 Hz, H-7 $\alpha$ ), 2.463 (1H, m, H-17), 2.443 (1H, m, H-10 $\alpha$ ), 2.371 (1H, dd, *J* = 6.2, 5.0 Hz, H-2 $\alpha$ ), 2.343 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.9, 4.9 Hz, H-2 $\beta$ ), 2.300 (1H, m, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 2.253 (1H, dd, *J* = 18.6, 4.3 Hz, H-7 $\beta$ ), 2.149 (1H, m, H-3 $\beta$ ), 2.029 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.7, 3.9 Hz, H-10 $\beta$ ), 2.003 (2H, m, H-14), 1.654 (3H, d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, H-20), 1.603 (1H, m, H-16 $\alpha$ ), 1.414 (2H, m, H-15), 1.402 (1H, m, H-16 $\beta$ ), 1.295 (3H, s, H-19), 1.109 (3H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, H-21); LRESIMS *m*/z 609.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

# 3.5.3. (S)-MTPA Ester of 8 (8-2S)

White amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 800 MHz)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.680–7.668 (2H, m, MTPA-Ar), 7.428–7.416 (3H, m, MTPA-Ar), 5.581 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.1, 5.3 Hz, H-2), 5.149 (1H, dq, *J* = 8.6, 1.1 Hz, H-12), 4.798 (1H, td, *J* = 9.0, 3.9 Hz, H-11), 3.966 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.6, 1.3 Hz, H-8), 3.615 (3H, s, H-22), 3.551 (3H, s, MTPA-OMe), 2.742 (1H, t, *J* = 14.8 Hz, H-4 $\alpha$ ), 2.655 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.8, 1.1 Hz, H-7 $\alpha$ ), 2.526 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.2, 4.1 Hz, H-4 $\beta$ ), 2.441 (1H, m, H-17), 2.413 (1H, m, H-10 $\alpha$ ), 2.316 (1H, m, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 2.232 (1H, m, H-7 $\beta$ ), 2.209 (1H, m, H-3 $\beta$ ), 2.023 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.7, 3.9 Hz, H-10 $\beta$ ), 1.971 (2H, m, H-14), 1.636 (3H, s, H-20), 1.571 (1H, m, H-16 $\alpha$ ), 1.381 (2H, m, H-15), 1.372 (1H, m, H-16 $\beta$ ), 1.339 (3H, s, H-19), 1.095 (3H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, H-21); LRESIMS *m*/z 609.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

## 3.5.4. (*R*)-MTPA Ester of 8 (8-2*R*)

White amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 800 MHz)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.671–7.659 (2H, m, MTPA-Ar), 7.422–7.408 (3H, m, MTPA-Ar), 5.611 (1H, dd, *J* = 12.8, 5.3 Hz, H-2), 5.133 (1H, br d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, H-12), 4.800 (1H, td, *J* = 9.0, 3.9 Hz, H-11), 3.973 (1H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H-8), 3.655 (3H, s, MTPA-OMe), 3.603 (3H, s, H-22), 2.705 (1H, m, H-4 $\alpha$ ), 2.682 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.9, 1.2 Hz, H-7 $\alpha$ ), 2.480 (1H, d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, H-4 $\beta$ ), 2.428 (1H, m, H-17), 2.409 (1H, m, H-10 $\alpha$ ), 2.243 (1H, m, H-7 $\beta$ ), 2.165 (1H, m, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 2.067 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.7, 3.9 Hz, H-10 $\beta$ ), 1.956 (2H, m, H-14), 1.634 (3H, s, H-20), 1.555 (1H, m, H-16 $\alpha$ ), 1.368 (2H, m, H-15), 1.359 (1H, m, H-16 $\beta$ ), 1.340 (3H, s, H-19), 1.082 (3H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, H-21); LRESIMS *m*/z 609.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

## 3.6. Preparations of the (S)- and (R)-PGME Amides of Compounds 7 and 8

To a dry DMF solution (500  $\mu$ L) of compound 7 (0.6 mg, 1.6  $\mu$ M) and (*S*)-PGME (1.4 mg, 7.4  $\mu$ M), PyBOP (3.8mg, 7.4  $\mu$ M), HOBT (1.0mg, 7.4  $\mu$ M), and *N*-methylmorpholine (100  $\mu$ L) were added.

After stirring the mixture for 3 h at room temp, a 5% HCl solution and EtOAc were added to the reaction mixture. The EtOAc layer was subsequently washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After removing the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by reversed-phase HPLC (YMC-ODS column,  $4.6 \times 250$  mm; H<sub>2</sub>O-MeOH, 35:65) to give (*S*)-PGME amide 7-18*S* (0.3 mg). Compound 7-18*R* (0.4 mg), the (*R*)-PGME amide of 7, was prepared from (*R*)-PGME in a similar fashion. Compounds 8-18*S* and 8-18*R* (0.3 mg each), the (*S*)-and (*R*)-PGME amides of 5, respectively, were also prepared using this method.

## 3.6.1. (S)-PGME Amide of 7 (7-18S)

Brown amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 800 MHz)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.371–7.329 (5H, m, PGME-Ar), 5.427 (1H, s, PGME-H-1), 5.202 (1H, dq, *J* = 8.6, 1.1 Hz, H-12), 4.802 (1H, td, *J* = 9.0, 4.1 Hz, H-11), 4.299 (1H, t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, H-4), 3.945 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.6, 1.6 Hz, H-8), 3.681 (3H, s, PGME-OMe), 2.612 (1H, m, H-2 $\alpha$ ), 2.592 (1H, d, *J* = 17.8 Hz H-7 $\alpha$ ), 2.456 (1H, m, H-17), 2.419 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.7, 9.4 Hz, H-10 $\alpha$ ), 2.309 (1H, ddd, *J* = 16.9, 6.9, 4.8 Hz, H-2 $\beta$ ), 2.230 (1H, dd, *J* = 18.0, 4.6 Hz, H-7 $\beta$ ), 2.169 (1H, m, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 2.090 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.7, 4.1 Hz, H-10 $\beta$ ), 2.005 (2H, m, H-14), 1.964 (1H, m, H-3 $\beta$ ), 1.669 (3H, d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, H-20), 1.589 (1H, m, H-16 $\alpha$ ), 1.454 (2H, m, H-15), 1.354 (3H, s, H-19), 1.332 (1H, m, H-16 $\beta$ ), 1.049 (3H, d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, H-21); LRESIMS *m*/z 526.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

## 3.6.2. (R)-PGME Amide of 7 (7-18R)

Brown amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 800 MHz)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.361–7.318 (5 H, m, PGME-Ar), 5.466 (1H, s, PGME-H-1), 5.126 (1H, dq, *J* = 8.6, 1.1 Hz, H-12), 4.763 (1H, td, *J* = 9.1, 4.2 Hz, H-11), 4.253 (1H, t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, H-4), 3.933 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.6, 1.6 Hz, H-8), 3.697 (3H, s, PGME-OMe), 2.603 (1H, m, H-2 $\alpha$ ), 2.587 (1H, d, *J* = 17.6 Hz H-7 $\alpha$ ), 2.460 (1H, m, H-17), 2.393 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.7, 9.4 Hz, H-10 $\alpha$ ), 2.284 (1H, ddd, *J* = 16.9, 6.9, 4.9 Hz, H-2 $\beta$ ), 2.224 (1H, dd, *J* = 18.0, 4.7 Hz, H-7 $\beta$ ), 2.151 (1H, m, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 2.044 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.7, 4.1 Hz, H-10 $\beta$ ), 1.957 (1H, m, H-3 $\beta$ ), 1.918 (2H, m, H-14), 1.576 (3H, d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, H-20), 1.532 (1H, m, H-16 $\alpha$ ), 1.345 (3H, s, H-19), 1.321 (1H, m, H-16 $\beta$ ), 1.288 (2H, m, H-15), 1.108 (3H, d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, H-21); LRESIMS *m*/z 526.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

## 3.6.3. (S)-PGME Amide of 8 (8-18S)

Major isomer; brown amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 800 MHz)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.373–7.331 (5H, m, PGME-Ar), 5.429 (1H, s, PGME-H-1), 5.200 (1H, br d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, H-12), 4.799 (1H, td, *J* = 9.0, 4.1 Hz, H-11), 4.067 (1H, dd, *J* = 12.7, 5.2 Hz, H-2), 3.944 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.3 Hz, H-8), 3.683 (3H, s, PGME-OMe), 2.674 (1H, d, *J* = 17.8 Hz H-7α), 2.572 (1H, m, H-4α), 2.465 (1H, m, H-17), 2.446 (1H, m, H-4β), 2.396 (1H, m, H-10α), 2.238 (1H, m, H-3α), 2.207 (1H, m, H-7β), 2.026 (1H, m, H-10β), 2.007 (2H, m, H-14), 1.823 (1H, m, H-3β), 1.668 (3H, d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, H-20), 1.596 (1H, m, H-16α), 1.462 (2H, m, H-15), 1.341 (1H, m, H-16β), 1.308 (3H, s, H-19), 1.048 (3H, d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, H-21); LRESIMS *m*/*z* 526.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

# 3.6.4. (R)-PGME Amide of 8 (8-18R)

Major isomer; brown amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 800 MHz)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.367–7.324 (5H, m, PGME-Ar), 5.473 (1H, s, PGME-H-1), 5.111 (1H, br d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, H-12), 4.759 (1H, td, *J* = 9.0, 4.0 Hz, H-11), 4.060 (1H, dd, *J* = 12.4, 5.2 Hz, H-2), 3.933 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.0 Hz, H-8), 3.695 (3H, s, PGME-OMe), 2.667 (1H, d, *J* = 18.0 Hz H-7α), 2.566 (1H, m, H-4α), 2.462 (1H, m, H-17), 2.445 (1H, m, H-4β), 2.370 (1H, m, H-10α), 2.232 (1H, m, H-3α), 2.206 (1H, m, H-7β), 1.975 (1H, m, H-10β), 1.919 (2H, m, H-14), 1.815 (1H, m, H-3β), 1.574 (3H, d, *J* = 0.5 Hz, H-20), 1.546 (1H, m, H-16α), 1.328 (1H, m, H-16β), 1.304 (2H, m, H-15), 1.300 (3H, s, H-19), 1.108 (3H, d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, H-21); LRESIMS *m*/z 526.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

## 3.7. ECD Calculations

The ground-state geometries were optimized with density functional theory (DFT) calculations, using Turbomole with the basis set def-SVP for all atoms and the functional B3-LYP. The ground states

were further confirmed by the harmonic frequency calculation. The calculated ECD data corresponding to the optimized structures were obtained with the time-dependent density-functional theory (TD-DFT) at the B3-LYP functional. The ECD spectra were simulated by overlapping Gaussian functions for each transition, where  $\sigma$  is the width of the band at 1/*e* height. Values  $\Delta E_i$  and  $R_i$  were the excitation energies and rotatory strengths, respectively, for transition *i*. In the current work, the value was 0.10 eV.

$$\Delta \in (\mathbf{E}) = \frac{1}{2.297 \times 10^{-39}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \sum_{i}^{A} \Delta E_{i} R_{i} e^{\left[-(E - \Delta E_{i})^{2} / (2\sigma)^{2}\right]}$$
(1)

#### 3.8. DP4 Analysis

Conformational searches were performed using MacroModel software (Version 9.9, Schrödinger LLC, New York, NY, USA) interfaced in Maestro (Version 9.9, Schrödinger LLC) with a mixed torsional/low-mode sampling method. Conformers within 10 kJ/mol found in the MMFF force field were regarded and the geometry of the conformers was optimized at the B3-LYP/6-31G++ level in the gas phase. Ground state geometry optimization of each conformer was carried out by density functional theory (DFT) modeling with TurbomoleX 4.3.2 software. The basis set for the calculation was def-SVP for all atoms, and the level of theory was B3-LYP at the functional level in the gas phase. The calculated chemical shift values were averaged by the Boltzmann populations and utilized for DP4 analysis.

## 3.9. Cytotoxic, Antibacterial, and Enzyme-Inhibitory Activities Assays

Cytotoxicity assay was performed in accordance with the published protocols [26]. The antimicrobial and SrtA and ICL-inhibitory assays were performed according to previously described methods [27–29].

## 3.10. RAW 264.7 Cell Culture

A RAW 264.7 murine macrophage cell line was purchased from American Type Cell Culture (Rockville, MD, USA). Cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotic–antimycotics (i.e., penicillin G sodium, 100 units/mL; streptomycin, 100 µg/mL; amphotericin B, 250 ng/mL) at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% carbon dioxide. All reagents used for cell culture were purchased from Gibco<sup>®</sup> Invitrogen Corp. (Grand Island, NY, USA).

#### 3.11. Nitrite Production Measurement

The concentration of nitrite in the cultured media was used as a measure of NO production. The assay was performed as previously described [30]. RAW 264.7 cells were plated at a density of  $5 \times 10^5$  per well in a 24-well culture plate and incubated in a 37 °C humidified incubator with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air for 18 h. The incubated cells were pretreated with phenol-red-free medium containing various concentrations of tested compounds for 30 min, followed by 1 µg/mL of LPS treatment for 18 h more. Aliquots of the supernatant from each well (100 µL) were transferred to a 96-well plate, and nitrite concentration was measured using Griess reagent. After the Griess reaction, MTT solution (final concentration of 500 µg/mL) was added to each well and further incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. Each medium was discarded, and dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well to dissolve generated formazan. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm, and percent survival was determined by comparison with LPS treated control group. All reagents used in the nitrite production measurement were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

#### 3.12. Western Blotting Analysis

The Western blotting analysis was performed as previously described [31]. Briefly, the samples with cell lysates were boiled for 10 min at 100 °C. The concentrations of proteins in the cell lysates were quantified using the bicinchoninic acid method. Equal amounts of protein were subjected to 8%–10%

sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) activated with 100% methanol. The membranes were blocked using 5% BSA in a mixture of Tris-buffered saline and Tween 20 (1×), and subsequently probed with the following antibodies: anti-iNOS, COX-2, and GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA). The blots were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Intron Biotechnology, Sungnam, Korea) and an ImageQuant LAS-4000 Imager (Fujifilm Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

# 4. Conclusions

Three new bianthraquinones, alterporriol Z1–Z3 (1–3), along with three known compounds (4–6) of the same structural class, were isolated from the culture broth of a marine-derived *Stemphylium* sp. fungus. Based upon the results of combined spectroscopic analyses and ECD measurements, the structures of new compounds were determined to be the 6-6'- (1 and 2) and 1-5'- (3) C-C connected *pseudo*-dimeric anthraquinones, respectively. The absolute configuration of the chiral axis of 4 was also assigned similarly. In addition, three new meroterpenoids, tricycloalterfurenes E–G (7–9), isolated from the same fungal culture broth, were structurally elucidated by combined spectroscopic methods. The relative and absolute configurations of these meroterpenoids were determined by modified Mosher's, PGME, and computational methods. Although none of these compounds were active against cytotoxic and antibacterial assays, the bianthraquinones (except 3) exhibited significantly inhibited nitric oxide (NO) production and suppressed the expression of iNOS and COX-2 in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1660-3397/18/9/436/s1. Figures S1–S45: HRFABMS, 1D and 2D NMR spectra of 1–3 and 7–9, Figures S46–S49: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of (*S*), (*R*)-MTPA Ester of 7–8, Figures S50-S53: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of (*S*), (*R*)-PGME Amide of 7–8, Figure S54: The results of DP4 probability analysis of 1, Figure S55: The viability of RAW 264.7 cells was measured using the MTT assay, Table S1: Experimental (Exp.) and calculated (Cal.) chemical shift values of enantiomers A and B on aliphatic ring part of 1, Table S2: <sup>1</sup>H NMR Data of 1 in THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>.

**Author Contributions:** J.-Y.H. and S.C.P. carried out isolation and structural elucidation; W.S.B. and S.K.L. performed the anti-inflammatory activity bioassay; K.-B.O. performed antimicrobial and enzyme inhibition bioassays; J.S. and D.-C.O. reviewed and evaluated all data; J.S. and K.-B.O. supervised the research work and prepared the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF, grant no. 2018R1A4A1021703) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT, & Future Planning, Korea.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Cox, R.J.; Simpson, T.J. Fungal type I polyketide synthases. *Methods Enzymol.* 2009, 459, 49–78. [CrossRef]
- 2. Cox, R.J. Polyketides, proteins and genes in fungi: Programmed nano-machines begin to reveal their secrets. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2007**, *5*, 2010–2026. [CrossRef]
- Fouillaud, M.; Venkatachalam, M.; Girard-Valenciennes, E.; Caro, Y.; Dufosse, L. Anthraquinones and derivatives from marine-derived fungi: Structural diversity and selected biological activities. *Mar. Drugs* 2016, 14, 64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hussain, H.; Al-Sadi, A.M.; Schulz, B.; Steinert, M.; Khan, A.; Green, I.R.; Ahmed, I. A fruitful decade for fungal polyketides from 2007 to 2016: Antimicrobial activity, chemotaxonomy and chemodiversity. *Future Med. Chem.* 2017, 9, 1631–1648. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stoessl, A.; Unwin, C.H.; Sthothers, J.B. On the biosynthesis of some polyketide metabolites in *Alternaria solani*: <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>2</sup>Hmr studies. *Can. J. Chem.* 1983, *61*, 372–377. [CrossRef]
- 6. Bringmann, G.; Irmer, A.; Feineis, D.; Gulder, T.A.M.; Fiedler, H.-P. Convergence in the biosynthesis of acetogenic natural products from plants, fungi, and bacteria. *Phytochemistry* **2009**, *70*, 1776–1786. [CrossRef]
- 7. Caro, Y.; Anamale, L.; Fouillaud, M.; Laurent, P.; Petit, T.; Dufosse, L. Natural hydroxyanthraquinoid pigments as potent food grade colorants: An overview. *Nat. Prod. Bioprospect.* **2012**, *2*, 174–193. [CrossRef]

- Ge, X.; Sun, C.; Feng, Y.; Wang, L.; Peng, J.; Che, Q.; Gu, Q.; Zhu, T.; Li, D.; Zhang, G. Anthraquinone derivatives from a marine-derived fungus *Sporendonema casei* HDN16-802. *Mar. Drugs* 2019, 17, 334. [CrossRef]
- Gessler, N.N.; Egorova, A.S.; Belozerskaia, T.A. Fungal anthraquinones. *Appl. Biochem. Microbiol.* 2013, 49, 85–99. [CrossRef]
- Fouillaud, M.; Caro, Y.; Venkatachalam, M.; Grondin, I.; Dufossé, L. Anthraquinones. In *Phenolic Compounds* in Food Characterization and Analysis; Nollet, L.M.L., Gutierrez-Uribe, J.A., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2018; pp. 130–170.
- Griffiths, S.; Mesarich, C.H.; Saccomanno, B.; Vaisberg, A.; Wit, P.J.G.M.; Cox, R.; Collemare, J. Elucidation of cladofulvin biosynthesis reveals a cytochrome P450 monooxygenase required for anthraquinone dimerization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2016, 113, 6851–6856. [CrossRef]
- 12. Bräse, S.; Encinas, A.; Keck, J.; Nising, C.F. Chemistry and biology of mycotoxins and related fungal metabolites. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 3903–3990. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Malik, E.M.; Muller, C.E. Anthraquinones as pharmacological tools and drugs. *Med. Res. Rev.* 2016, 36, 705–748. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Geris, R.; Simpson, T.J. Meroterpenoids produced by fungi. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2009**, *26*, 1063–1094. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shi, Z.Z.; Miao, F.P.; Fang, S.T.; Liu, X.H.; Yin, X.L.; Ji, N.Y. Sesteralterin and tricycloalterfurenes A–D: terpenes with rarely occurring frameworks from the marine-alga-epiphytic fungus *Alternaria alternata* k21-1. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2017, *80*, 2524–2529. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, G.J.; Wu, G.W.; Zhu, T.J.; Kurtán, T.; Mándi, A.; Jiao, J.J.; Li, J.; Qi, X.; Gu, Q.Q.; Li, D.H. Meroterpenoids with diverse ring systems from the sponge-associated fungus *Alternaria* sp. JJY-32. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2013, 76, 1946–1957. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Bai, Z.Q.; Lin, X.P.; Wang, J.F.; Zhou, X.F.; Liu, J.; Yang, B.; Yang, X.W.; Liao, S.R.; Wang, L.S.; Liu, Y.H. New meroterpenoids from the endophytic fungus *Aspergillus flavipes* AIL8 derived from the mangrove plant *Acanthus ilicifolius. Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 237–248. [CrossRef]
- Lou, J.; Fu, L.; Peng, Y.; Zhou, L. Metabolites from *Alternaria* fungi and their bioactivities. *Molecules* 2013, 18, 5891–5935. [CrossRef]
- Shen, L.; Tian, S.-J.; Song, H.-L.; Chen, X.; Guo, H.; Wan, D.; Wang, Y.-R.; Wang, F.-W.; Liu, L.-J. Cytotoxic tricycloalternarene compounds from endophyte *Alternaria* sp. W-1 associated with *Laminaria japonica*. *Mar. Drugs* 2018, *16*, 402. [CrossRef]
- 20. Zhang, H.; Zhao, Z.; Chen, J.; Bai, X.; Wang, H. Tricycloalternarene analogs from a symbiotic fungus *Aspergillus* sp. D and their antimicrobial and cytotoxic effects. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 855. [CrossRef]
- 21. Huang, C.-H.; Pan, J.-H.; Chen, B.; Yu, M.; Huang, H.-B.; Zhu, X.; Lu, Y.-J.; She, Z.-G.; Lin, Y.-C. Three bianthraquinone derivatives from the mangrove endophytic fungus *Alternaria* sp. ZJ9-6B from the South China Sea. *Mar. Drugs* **2011**, *9*, 832–843. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, X.-M.; Zheng, C.-J.; Chen, G.-Y.; Song, X.-P.; Han, C.-R.; Li, G.-N.; Fu, Y.-H.; Chen, W.-H.; Niu, Z.-G. Bioactive anthraquinone derivatives from the mangrove-derived fungus *Stemphylium* sp. 33231. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2014, 77, 2021–2028. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Debbab, A.; Aly, A.H.; Edrada-Ebel, R.; Wray, V.; Pretsch, A.; Pescitelli, G.; Kurtan, T.; Proksch, P. New anthracene derivatives—Structure elucidation and antimicrobial activity. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 2012, 1351–1359. [CrossRef]
- 24. Phuwapraisirisan, P.; Rangsan, J.; Siripong, P.; Tip-pyang, S. New antitumour fungal metabolites from *Alternaria porri. Nat. Prod. Res.* 2009, 23, 1063–1071. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Debbab, A.; Aly, A.H.; Edrada-Ebel, R.; Wray, V.; Müller, W.E.G.; Totzke, F.; Zirrgiebel, U.; Schächtele, C.; Kubbutat, M.H.G.; Lin, W.H.; et al. Bioactive metabolites from the endophytic fungus *Stemphylium globuliferum* isolated from *Mentha pulegium*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2009**, *72*, 626–631. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Kwon, O.-S.; Kim, C.-K.; Byun, W.S.; Oh, J.; Lee, Y.-J.; Lee, H.-S.; Sim, C.J.; Oh, D.-C.; Lee, S.K.; Oh, K.-B.; et al. Cyclopeptides from the Sponge *Stylissa flabelliformis. J. Nat. Prod.* **2018**, *81*, 1426–1434. [CrossRef]
- 27. Lee, H.-S.; Lee, T.-H.; Yang, S.H.; Shin, H.J.; Shin, J.; Oh, K.-B. Sesterterpene sulfates as isocitrate lyase inhibitors from tropical sponge *Hippospongia* sp. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2007**, *17*, 2483–2486. [CrossRef]
- 28. Oh, K.-B.; Kim, S.-H.; Lee, J.; Cho, W.-J.; Lee, T.; Kim, S. Discovery of diarylacrylonitriles as a novel series of small molecule sortase A inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, 47, 2418–2421. [CrossRef]

- 29. Oh, K.-B.; Lee, J.H.; Chung, S.-C.; Shin, J.; Shin, H.J.; Kim, H.-K.; Lee, H.-S. Antimicrobial activities of the bromophenols from the red alga *Odonthalia corymbifera* and some synthetic derivatives. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 104–108. [CrossRef]
- 30. Hong, S.-H.; Ban, Y.H.; Byun, W.S.; Kim, D.; Jang, Y.-J.; An, J.S.; Shin, B.; Lee, S.K.; Shin, J.; Yoon, Y.J.; et al. Camporidines A and B: antimetastatic and anti-inflammatory polyketide alkaloids from a gut bacterium of *Camponotus kiusiuensis*. J. Nat. Prod. **2019**, *82*, 903–910. [CrossRef]
- 31. Byun, W.S.; Kim, W.K.; Han, H.J.; Chung, H.-J.; Jang, K.; Kim, H.S.; Kim, S.; Kim, D.; Bae, E.S.; Park, S.; et al. Targeting histone methyltransferase DOT1L by a novel psammaplin A analog inhibits growth and metastasis of triple-negative breast cancer. *Mol. Ther. Oncolytics* **2019**, *15*, 140–152. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).