Review



Selective Neuronal Death in Neurodegenerative Diseases: The Ongoing Mystery

Srinivasa Subramaniam*

Department of Neuroscience, The Scripps Research Institute, Jupiter, FL

A major unresolved problem in neurodegenerative disease is why and how a specific set of neurons in the brain are highly vulnerable to neuronal death. Multiple pathways and mechanisms have been proposed to play a role in Alzheimer disease (AD†), Parkinson disease (PD), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and Huntington disease (HD), yet how they contribute to neuronal vulnerability remains far from clear. In this review, various mechanisms ascribed in AD, PD, ALS, and HD will be briefly summarized. Particular focus will be placed on Rhes-mediated intercellular transport of the HD protein and its role in mitophagy, in which I will discuss some intriguing observations that I apply to model striatal vulnerability in HD. I may have unintentionally missed referring some studies in this review, and I extend my apologies to the authors in those circumstances.

INTRODUCTION

A hallmark of all neurodegenerative diseases is the early and progressive loss of selected neuronal populations and their associated functions. Classic examples include degeneration of the entorhinal cortex/hippocampal neurons, affecting memory function in AD; loss of substantia nigral neurons, affecting initiation of motor activity in PD; loss of motor neurons in ALS, affecting muscle control; and loss of striatal neurons in HD, affecting involuntary movements. Very little is known about what mechanisms contribute to selective vulnerability in these neurodegenerative disorders. How abnormal protein function or structure that are specific to each neurodegenerative disease affects neurons and results in characteristic symptoms of the disease remains unclear. The genetic mutations involved in these disorders further deepen the mystery because, in all cases, the gene responsible for the neurodegeneration is expressed ubiquitously, yet still leads to selective neuronal loss and dysfunction. Understanding the mechanisms of selective neuronal vulnerability is crucial to identify novel targets and develop novel therapy that mitigate the onset and/or progression of the neurodegenerative disease.

NEURONAL VULNERABILITY IN ALZHEIMER DISEASE (AD)

Loss of neurons in the cortical II layer of the entorhinal cortex is considered a major feature of Alzheimer

*To whom all correspondence should be addressed: Srinivasa Subramaniam, Department of Neuroscience, The Scripps Research Institute, Jupiter, FL; Email: ssubrama@scripps.edu.

†Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer disease; PD, Parkinson disease; ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; HD, Huntington disease; Aβ, amyloid-beta; SP, senile plaque; HP-tau, hyperphosphorylated tau; NFTs, neurofibrillary tangles; APP, amyloid precursor protein; BBB, blood brain barrier; SNr, substantia nigra pars reticulata; MSNs, medium spiny neurons; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; GP, globus pallidus; PSEN, presenilin-1; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor; PINK1, PTEN-induced kinase 1; SOD1, Superoxide dismutase 1.

Keywords: Striatum, tissue-specific damage, neuronal death, TNTs, cellular protrusion, cell-to-cell transport, mHTT transmission

Author Contribution: S.S. read the literature and wrote the review.

Copyright © 2019

disease (AD) [1]. Neurons in the entorhinal cortex that makes acetylcholine neurotransmitter and innervate the hippocampus and neocortex are also eventually degenerated in AD [2]. Memory loss and dementia are primarily attributed to the loss of neurons in the cortex and the hippocampus. Why and how do these neurons die? Pathological hallmarks of AD, such as amyloid-beta (A β), which forms senile plaque (SP), or hyperphosphorylated tau (HP-tau), which forms neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), have also been found in these regions [3]. This has led to the notion that the accumulation of SP and NFTs is the principal trigger for neuronal death in AD. In support of this, exogenous AB and pseudo HP-tau have been shown to elicit neuronal death in various cell cultures and animal models of AD [4]. However, SP and NFTs have also been found in regions of the brain that do not show degeneration, and SP does not always coincide with NFT expression [5]. Extensive efforts have revolved around elucidating the mechanisms of regulation, formation, and enzymatic cascades, which cleave amyloid precursor protein (APP) into Aβ or convert tau into HP-tau [6,7]. Different forms of Aβ-both pathogenic and non-pathogenic types-have been described [8]. Multiple phosphorylation sites by various enzymes that target HP-tau have been described [9]. Proteins such as glycogen synthase kinase 3ß and brain-specific serine/threonine-protein kinase 1 that phosphorylate tau are ubiquitously expressed [10]; thus, it remains unclear whether HP-tau is involved in the selective neuronal vulnerability of the cortex and the hippocampus in AD.

Mutations in both the APP and presentiin-1 (PSEN) causes familial AD [11]. PSEN is the catalytic component of the γ -secretase enzyme that generates ABs by cleaving the APP into ABs of varying lengths. There are more than 150 PSEN mutations, which comprise both loss-of-function and gain-of-toxic function mechanisms in regulating pathogenic and aggregate-prone forms of A β (A β 1-42) have been reported in AD, and these studies have invigorated the amyloid hypothesis field [12,13]. Unfortunately, the mechanism of action of PSEN and its ubiquitously expressed mutants in the upregulation of $A\beta$ and their role in selective neuronal vulnerability in AD remain mostly unknown [14]. Paradoxically, the deletion of PSEN in the cortical areas of the mouse brain, which results in a loss of A β s, promotes neurodegeneration; this indicates that Aßs signaling may also be involved in neuronal survival [15]. Familial mutation in APP, which leads to a greater production of $A\beta$, has corroborated the importance of A β accumulation in AD pathogenesis [16]. Intriguingly, studies have indicated that AB itself can regulate tau phosphorylation, linking amyloid and tau in AD pathogenesis [17]. However, loss of APP did not affect tau phosphorylation in a human embryonic stem cell model with Down syndrome (DS), thus challenging the role of the amyloid-tau cascade in this model [18].

In terms of mechanisms, studies in cell and animal models have shown that $A\beta$ and tau alter pathways involved in N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDA) receptor function, ER stress, calcium dysregulation, and excitotoxicity, in addition to promoting metabolic defects, oxidative stress, and apoptosis [19]. One or more of these pathways is likely involved in AD, but how these pathways selectively affect the cortex and hippocampus in AD is mostly unclear. It has been suggested that vulnerable neurons in AD are degenerated due to the loss of oligodendrocytes that provide myelin, a lipid-rich layer that protects nerves [20]. Aberrant microglia response, which may be due to the accumulation of $A\beta$ and tau, has also been suggested to play a role in neuronal vulnerability in AD [21]. However, the question of how oligodendrocytes and microglia, which are ubiquitously present, promote neuronal degeneration in and around vulnerable AD regions remains unknown. Studies in mice have demonstrated that infection can play a critical role in the onset of AD-like pathology. Frequently, Porphyromonas gingivalis, a gum disease bacteria, can penetrate the brain and produce $A\beta$, tau, and neuronal damage in it [22]. Dysregulation of blood brain barrier (BBB), especially the morphological functional alterations of cells such as pericytes and endothelial cells, which are integral to BBB, is also increasingly recognized to play a role of AD pathology [23]. Additionally, multiple AD-risk mutations have been found in AD patients, including APOE, TOMM40, PVRL2 (NECTIN2), and APOC1, which can further contribute to or increase the pathogenesis of AD [24]. Collectively, it is likely that more than one pathway or signaling within the affected regions (cell-autonomous) and outside the affected regions (non-autonomous), plus the co-ordination of non-neuronal cells, contributes to the progression of AD. However, the mechanisms that are responsible for the onset of AD and why such mechanisms affect selected regions in the AD brain remain mostly unclear.

NEURONAL VULNERABILITY IN PARKINSON DISEASE (PD)

Degeneration of the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr), the region that produces neurotransmitters such as dopamine, is the primary cause of PD, as it results in uncontrolled tremors and loss of initiation of movements [25]. SNr neurons contain pigment called neuromelanin, which is an oxidized product of dopamine and is considered a significant contributor to neuronal death in PD [26]. Neuromelanin can act as a source of radical ion generation, and it can capture iron and absorb pesticides such as rotenone that promote age-dependent increases in oxidative stress, resulting in the selective degeneration of SNr neurons [27]. A recent study of transgenic mice, which are engineered to produce melanin, demonstrated that high expression of melanin degenerates SNr neurons and produces PD-like symptoms [28]. Neuromelanin can also affect the ubiquitin-proteasome system in SNr, thereby affecting protein turnover [29]. High expression of Cu.Zn superoxide dismutase in SNr indicates high levels of oxygen-free radicals endogenously produced in the SNr region [30]. Intriguingly, SNr that expresses calbindin-D28K is resistant to cell death in PD [31]. A human postmortem study, however, suggested that a differential vulnerability in PD cannot be explained by melanin or calbindin-D28k content alone, as the study found that more vulnerable neurons contain less melanin and lack calbindin-D28K expression [32]. High dopamine content due to diminished expression of the dopamine transporter in SNr might also contribute to SNr's vulnerability due to dopamine-induced oxidative stress and neuronal death [33]. However, if a high amount of dopamine is the leading cause of SNr loss, dopamine should also destroy the striatum, which it acts upon. However, the striatum does not degenerate in PD. It is possible that the location of the high dopamine concentration-cytoplasm vs. synapsemay influence the selective vulnerability of SNr. Synaptic dopamine levels combined with neuromelanin may damage SNr preferentially in PD. In general, although the SNr contains high neuromelanin and dopamine content, not every model develops PD, indicating that neuromelanin or dopamine alone is insufficient to explain SNr loss in PD.

PD also features Lewy bodies, deposits that show intense staining due to abnormal accumulation of proteins, in the SNr [34]. Each Lewy body consists of a high accumulation of protein, a-synuclein, and a 12 amino acid peptide [35]. The role of α -synuclein has been recognized as biologically important due to the discovery of missense mutations and extra copies of the α -synuclein (SNCA) gene in PD [36]. However, the α -synuclein and its mutants are ubiquitous proteins, and how they elicit SNr degeneration remains an enigma [37]. It has been reported that dopamine could directly affect α-synuclein aggregate propensity, contributing to the generation of more toxic oligometric forms of α -synuclein [38,39]. α -synuclein, in turn, can alter enzymes involved in dopamine metabolism and generate toxic dopamine metabolites [40]. Alternately, α -synuclein could elicit mitochondrial dysfunction [41,42]. Interactions between α -synuclein and neuromelanin have also been reported [43]. Neuromelanin, like dopamine, can alter the α -synuclein aggregate propensity to promote toxicity [44]. However, how α-synuclein and neuromelanin orchestrate SNr degeneration remains obscure [45]. Intriguingly, α -synuclein can propagate like a prion protein and move from region to region, forming varying degrees of α -synuclein aggregates in the brain [46]. How the mechanisms of propagations occur remains a matter of intense debate, but they may involve tunneling-like cellular protrusion, exosomes, transsynaptic migration, etc. [47]. α -synuclein has also been shown to alter pathways involved in calcium homeostasis, transcriptional defects, voltage-gated channels, and mitochondrial functions [48]. The distribution of α -synuclein aggregates in both affected SNr and non-affected regions, such as the hippocampus, further raises the question of how α -synuclein aggregates selectively affect SNr [49].

Additional familial PD-causing mutations in PTEN-induced kinase 1 (PINK1) and Parkin, a ubiquitin E3-ligase, in PD have also generated enormous interest in terms of finding the mechanisms for the vulnerability [50,51]. PINK1 and Parkin have been implicated in mitochondrial turnover [52,53]. PINK1 recruits Parkin to the damaged mitochondria and removes them via mitophagy, where dysfunctional mitochondria are engulfed by autophagosomes that are degraded by fusing with lysosomes [54,55]. PD-linked mutants of PINK1 and Parkin fail to remove damaged mitochondria, thus generating mitochondrial stress [56,57]. PINK1-deficient mice show no change in SNr vulnerability; however, they do demonstrate impaired dopamine release [58,59]. These findings have led to the idea that mutant PINK1 enhances intracellular dopamine, which may promote oxidative stress followed by mitochondrial abnormalities, thus leading to dysfunction and degeneration of SNr [60,61]. This is an attractive set of data describing how the PINK1-Parkin nexus may elicit SNr damage; however, PINK1 and Parkin are ubiquitously present, including in the striatum [62]. Thus, how they promote SNr vulnerability in PD is far from clear. Other PD-causing mutants, such as leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2), DJ-1, and ATPase type 13A2, are ubiquitously present as well, but how they cause selective SNr loss in PD remains to be determined [63].

Much understanding of PD-like brain pathology was derived from agricultural agents such as pesticides and herbicides [64,65]. MPTP, a permeable membrane herbicide, gets converted into a toxic form, MPP+ [66]. MPP+ induces neuronal death in rodents and higher primate models that is strikingly similar to that which occurs in PD [67]. It has been postulated that MPP+ could be taken up by dopamine transporters that are abundant in SNr, leading to a blockade of mitochondrial complex I and promoting oxidative stress and toxicity [68]. However, dopamine transporters are also expressed in unaffected regions in PD [69].

Similarly, rotenone, a pesticide, also promotes SNr degeneration and PD-like symptoms and blocks mitochondrial complex I [70]. Although MPP+ and rotenone have been demonstrated to promote toxicity via blocking complex I of mitochondria, this assumption was challenged in a study. This study showed that cultured midbrain neurons that lack complex I activity are equally affected by MPTP and rotenone, arguing that these environmental toxins affect the SNr pathway not exclusively via complex I inhibition and thus widening the mystery of SNr vulnerability in PD [71]. The fungicide benomyl can also promote PD-like symptoms via the inhibition of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH), suggesting a non-mitochondrial route for SNr loss. However, it is unclear whether ventral tegmental area, which also contains ALDH, is also affected by benomyl [72].

Collectively, due to the strong link of environmental toxins (including rotenone and MPTP) and genetic components (including PINK and Parkin) to mitochondria, mitochondrial dysregulation is considered a significant trigger for SNr degeneration in PD. Such a selective trigger may occur due to the interaction of environmental and genetic factors of PD with abundant neuromelanin and dopamine in the SNr; however, the mechanistic details remain mostly unknown.

NEURONAL VULNERABILITY IN AMYOTROPHIC LATERAL SCLEROSIS (ALS)

Motor neurons in the spinal cord and brain stem are the primary neuronal targets that are degenerated in ALS [73]. Circumstantial evidence has indicated that people with immune disorders are at high risk of developing ALS [74]. IgG isolated from ALS patients can induce calcium dysregulation and morphological changes in the mitochondria of motor neurons, but not in sensory or Purkinje neurons [75]. Cerebrospinal fluid from ALS patients produces degenerative changes in the neonatal rat spinal cord, indicating the presence of toxic factors circulating in the cerebrospinal fluid of ALS patients [76]. Although most cases of ALS occur sporadically, the exact reasons for its development are thus unclear, mutation of superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1), a Cu/Zn enzyme that removes reactive oxygen radicals, has been implicated in familial ALS [77]. However, SOD1 and its mutants are widely expressed, including in unaffected brain areas, and how SOD1 induces motor neuron degeneration, which ultimately destroys neuromuscular junction in ALS, remains unknown [78]. The final onslaught of the disease may involve excitotoxicity, free radical generation, and IgG generation [79]. Neuron counts in postmortem ALS tissue and SOD1 Tg mice have shown that HP-tau, as well as choline acetyltransferase (ChAT)-, and calretinin (CR)-immunoreactive neurons, demonstrate particularly high vulnerability in the spinal cord, but parvalbumin-positive neurons are largely spared [80]. Mutant SOD1, compared to wild-type SOD1, has shown a high tendency to aggregate, which can also lead to the

demise of motor neurons [81]. Evidence from mechanistic studies has pointed to the role of mitochondria, ER stress, and calcium-mediated toxicity following either overactivation of glutamate receptors or differential expression regulated by astrocytes as the cause of motor neuron death [82]. The hippocampus also contains high levels of glutamate receptors, and SOD1 mutants have been expressed there as well; however, these regions are less vulnerable than other regions [83]. Much research has focused on the role of non-neuronal cells, such as astrocytes, which show aberrant activation of signaling in ALS as a contributing or main factor for motor neuronal vulnerability [84]. Expression of the SOD1 mutant in non-neuronal cells or selectively in astrocytes can elicit motor neuron death [85]. However, it is unclear why non-neuronal cells and astrocytes are present in unaffected areas of the brain but are not affected in ALS. Postmortem studies of ALS patients have suggested that motor neurons with large axonal diameters are vulnerable to degeneration; however, in a mouse model of ALS, a reduction in the axonal diameter did not prevent motor neuron pathology [86].

Mutations in RNA binding protein TDP-43 (A135T), which can trigger NF-KB-mediated pathogenic pathways, have also been implicated in familial ALS. Motor neurons are highly vulnerable to TDP-43 (A135T) expression compared to other neurons [87]. Hexanucleotide repeat expansion in chromosome 9 open reading frame 72 (C9orf72), mutations in ubiquilin-2, and the calreticulin and macrophage migration inhibitory factor, also promote motor neuron loss in ALS [88]; however, the mechanisms for the selectivity remain unknown. Intriguingly, a reduced ratio of the index to ring finger length (2D:4D ratio) with high prenatal circulating testosterone is also a risk factor for ALS patients [89], but the mechanisms of how such differences can elicit selective motor neuron death are unknown. Some researchers have proposed that ALS is a multisystem disease caused by a synaptic network failure [90]. This notion further deepens the mystery of why only motor neurons are targeted in network failure models.

NEURONAL VULNERABILITY IN HUNTINGTON DISEASE (HD)

HD, also called Huntington chorea, is characterized by involuntary jerking, impaired walking, and increased muscle activity. It is caused by a CAG expansion mutation in the huntingtin (*HTT*) gene that produces glutamine (poly-Q) expanded proteins (mHTT) [91]. Despite its ubiquitous expression, mHTT promotes neuronal death in the striatum, which exhibits the most striking pathology in HD [92]. As the disease progresses, the neurons in the cerebral cortex also degenerate [93]. Within the striatum, the medium spiny neurons (MSNs), the most abundant neurons, degenerate; however, interneurons are relatively spared [94,95]. Evidence has indicated that loss of the cortico-striatal connection, which delivers brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) to the striatum, may be the cause of MSN degeneration in the striatum [96]. It has been demonstrated that depletion of BDNF could worsen, and repletion of BDNF could rescue, HD pathology in mice [97]. BDNF, however, cannot prevent the loss of olfactory neurons in R6/2 mouse models of the disease [98]. In terms of mechanisms, it is thought that mHTT blocks BDNF delivery to the striatum [99]. Like AD, dysfunction of BBB is also linked to play a role in HD [100]. Loss of cannabinoid receptors, impairment of Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase, diminished mitochondrial complex II and III activity, and high dopamine concentration in basal ganglia are suggested to play a role in MSN loss [101,102]. The mouse model in which mHTT is expressed selectively in the striatum of MSN promotes motor dysfunction and abnormalities, including intranuclear inclusion bodies, motor impairment, and changes in striatal gene expression, without altering the levels of BDNF [103,104]. Studies have also indicated that expression of mHTT in both the cortex and the striatum is necessary in severe neurodegeneration, suggesting cell-cell interactions [105,106]. Thus, while it is widely known that the cortex and the striatum are the most vulnerable regions, the mechanisms by which it occurs remain unclear.

Intriguingly, selective somatic expansion of CAG in mHTT in the striatum has been suggested to play a role in neuronal vulnerability. Instability of CAG repeats can be passed from generation to generation through maternal transmission and can also occur in peripheral regions, including the blood, kidneys, and colon [107,108]. Mice that contains 72-82 CAG repeats in huntingtin show high levels of CAG repeat variations in many tissues; for instance, a high level of CAG expansion mutation occurs in striatal cells (ranging from 88-166 CAG) [109]. Similar somatic instability of CAG in huntingtin has been found in human HD patient tissue [110]. Proteins such as 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-guanine (8-oxo-G) glycosylase (OGG1) and mismatch repair protein MutSß are implicated in CAG expansion, but these proteins and their activities are ubiquitously present; thus, if and how they promote neuronal vulnerability in HD remains unknown [111]. Transcriptional dysregulation has been implicated in neuronal vulnerability in HD; however, this alone appears to be insufficient, as similar dysregulation of genes was observed in both MSN, which degenerates in HD, and interneurons, which do not degenerate in HD [112]. Glutamate excitotoxicity via the altered expression or overactivation of synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors in the MSN, which leads to increased calcium influx, has been reported to play a role in neuronal vulnerability in HD [113]. Some reports have indicated that mHTT in glia is damaging to neurons and thus increases glutamate excitotoxicity [113]. Surprisingly, however, HD mouse models have been shown to be resistant to excitotoxicity, raising the question of how excitotoxicity might be the primary cause of neuronal vulnerability in HD [114]. Additionally, while receptors related to glutamate excitotoxicity are expressed abundantly in the hippocampus and cerebellum, these are not the primary sites of degeneration in HD [115].

Mitochondrial energy impairment by mHTT, such as reduced ATP production, loss of cellular respiration, and reduced PGC-1a, a regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis, has also been implicated in striatal neuron vulnerability [116]. Consistent with this, although a global loss of PGC-1α indicated striatal degeneration, MSN-specific loss of PGC-1a did not elicit neurodegeneration [117,118]. Additionally, PGC-1 α is a ubiquitous protein; thus, how it selectively affects MSN in HD remains unclear [119]. A recent study indicated that the striatum is enriched in fatty acids and thus increases ROS production via astrocytes, whereas the cerebellum, which is devoid of fatty acids, fails to produce ROS and is thus resistant to damage [120]. Evidence that suggests differential fatty acid distribution is the cause of neuronal vulnerability is attractive; however, it remains to be seen whether the striatum is the only region that contains such detrimental fatty acids, and if so, why. Finally, although the striatum is the primary region in which degeneration occurs, it should be noted that the globus pallidus (GP), a part where striatum projects, has also been shown to degenerate in HD patients [121]. Whether GP loss is a primary or secondary event after striatal degeneration occurs remains unclear. Table 1 summarizes selected neurodegenerative diseases, genes and regions or neurons affected.

RHES AS A PROMOTER OF STRIATAL VULNERABILITY IN HUNTINGTON DISEASE

Our studies on HD revealed that Rhes, a striatal enriched protein, may play a crucial role in HD [122]. Rhes is a small GTPase family of proteins that consists of SUMO E3 ligase activity and attaches SUMO (small-ubiquitin-like modifiers) to mHTT, resulting in the formation of more soluble forms of mHTT and thus leads to cellular toxicity [123]. Our model, which is supported by other data, suggests that Rhes and mHTT may define the mechanisms of striatal vulnerability in HD. Consistent with this, we demonstrated that deletion of Rhes improves the HD phenotype, whereas overexpression of Rhes worsens the HD phenotype in mouse models of HD [124]. Several independent studies have implicated a toxic role for Rhes in HD [123,124]. Despite experi-

Neurodegenerative disease	Sporadic/genetic	Genes involved	Most vulnerable regions/neuron
Alzheimer disease	~95%/5%	APP, PSEN	Entorhinal cortex / hippocampus [2]
Parkinson disease	~90%/10-15%	SNCA, PINK1, Parkin	Substantia nigra [144]
Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis	~90%/5-10%	SOD1, C9orf72, FUS and others	Motor neurons [145]
Huntington disease	100%	HTT	Medium spiny neurons [122]

Table 1. Selected neurodegenerative diseases, genes and regions or neurons affected.

mental evidence linking Rhes to mHTT, the molecular mechanisms by which Rhes and mHTT promote cellular toxicity remain unclear. Our recent serendipitous finding that Rhes moves from cell-to-cell further deepened the mystery [125]. We found that Rhes induces the formation of tunneling nanotube (TNT)-like cellular protrusions, called "Rhes tunnels," through which it self-transports, as well as the cell-to-cell transport of mHTT [125]. These findings raise some critical questions that remain a future challenge. What is the physiological significance of Rhes-mediated cell-to-cell movement of mHTT, and if such intercellular transport exists *in vivo*, how does it contribute to striatal vulnerability? Recent study also implicated Rhes in mutant tau-mediated pathology [126]. Mutant Tau is also transported between cells [127].

Nevertheless, a significant number of in vivo studies have suggested neuron-to-neuron migration of mHTT in both HD animal models and human HD patients. mHTT aggregates have been found in healthy striatal cell transplants in the striatum of HD patients [128]. Healthy human neurons were found to contain mHTT when co-cultured with HD mouse brain slices [129]. In Drosophila, mHTT was found to spread from olfactory receptor neurons to various parts of the brain [130]. Similarly, human mHTT was found in the striata of normal mice that had received intraventricular placement of human HD neurons [131]. Our finding that Rhes engineer membranous tunnels, which serve as "highways" for cell-to-cell transport of Rhes and mHTT, provides a new conceptual advancement in the understanding of Rhes signaling in the striatum and its vulnerability in HD. Thus, it is essential to investigate whether Rhes tunnels could serve as a significant route for the transport of mHTT in animal models of HD. We found that Rhes induces TNT-like protrusions both with and without mHTT. Thus, it is possible that mHTT interferes with or obstructs cargo transportation via Rhes tunnels, thus producing a "traffic jam" in the striatum and, ultimately, its selective degeneration in HD. Although the exact role of Rhes tunnels remains unknown, we found that Rhes may regulate mitophagy, wherein the damaged mitochondria are removed by the autophagy process via Rhes tunnels. We discovered that Rhes can travel via Rhes tunnels from healthy cells to cells that

show mitochondrial damage and interact there with damaged mitochondria via the mitophagy receptor Nix [132]. The link between Rhes and mitophagy has in vivo relevance for striatal vulnerability in a mitochondrial toxin model, 3-nitropropionic acid (3-NP), a known inhibitor of mitochondrial complex II (succinate dehydrogenase, SDHA) [132]. Despite the fact that 3-NP blocks SDHA in the cortex and other peripheral tissues, it degenerates striatal neurons; however, the mechanisms for this are unclear [133]. We indicate that Rhes and 3-NP interaction is critical for such selective lesions [132,133]. We propose a possibility that analogous to Rhes and 3-NP interactions, Rhes and mHTT together participate in mitochondrial damage in the striatum. Because Rhes solubilizes and transports mHTT [123] during mitochondrial stress, Rhes and mHTT migrate and interact with neighboring cells to promote excessive mitophagy that may cause striatal death in HD. These notions should be experimentally verified in further studies. We also showed that mHTT elicits mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling, which worsens HD phenotypes [134]. Rhes binds to mTOR and increases mTORC1 activation [135], which can regulate TNT formation in astrocyte/neuron culture [136]. Therefore, Rhes and mHTT together may participate in upregulating mTOR signaling in the striatum and regulate the formation of Rhes tunnels. A growing number of studies have demonstrated that neurodegenerative disease-linked proteins use TNTs for transmission and spreading [137]. Tau fibrils are transported via TNTs in HeLa and CAD cells [138,139]. Similarly, α-synuclein has been shown to be efficiently transported via TNTs in multiple cell types [140,141]. Although Rhes cannot cell-to-cell transport wild-type Tau [142], a possibility that it can transport mutant tau via TNTs-like protrusion cannot be ruled out. How cell-to-cell transmission may participate in neuronal vulnerability in neurodegenerative disease remains to be determined.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

We understand very little about the mechanisms of selective vulnerability in neurodegenerative diseases. Therefore, there is an urgent need to identify targets and develop therapies to halt neurodegeneration. More detailed mechanistic studies that addresses the selective neuronal vulnerability is necessary to identify disease targets and thus cure these diseases. Patient's neural cells derived from induced pluripotent stem cells or human embryonic stem cells are also considered as important sources for studying disease mechanisms and drug discovery [143]. Collectively, it remains a mystery why only selected regions of the brain are primarily degenerated in neurodegenerative diseases. It has become somewhat accepted that more than one mechanism is involved in the onset of neuronal vulnerability; however, it is tempting to predict that a "first" insult triggers a long-term "tsunami" in the disease progression. Findings demonstrating that disease-causing proteins can be transported between cells have further increased the complexity of selective neuronal vulnerability in neurodegenerative diseases. Overall, the mystery surrounding neurodegenerative diseases can be solved if we can resolve and identify the mechanisms that are visible as well as hidden molecular players.

Funding source: The author was supported by grant awards from NIH/NINDS R01-NS087019-01A1, NIH/ NINDS R01-NS094577-01A1, and from the Cure for Huntington Disease Research Foundation (CHDI).

REFERENCES

- Murray HC, Swanson ME, Dieriks BV, Turner C, Faull RL, Curtis MA. Neurochemical Characterization of PSA-NCAM(+) Cells in the Human Brain and Phenotypic Quantification in Alzheimer's Disease Entorhinal Cortex. Neuroscience. 2018;372:289–303.
- Van Hoesen GW, Hyman BT, Damasio AR. Entorhinal cortex pathology in Alzheimer's disease. Hippocampus. 1991;1(1):1–8.
- Trushina NI, Bakota L, Mulkidjanian AY, Brandt R. The Evolution of Tau Phosphorylation and Interactions. Front Aging Neurosci. 2019;11:256.
- 4. Shahani N, Subramaniam S, Wolf T, Tackenberg C, Brandt R. Tau aggregation and progressive neuronal degeneration in the absence of changes in spine density and morphology after targeted expression of Alzheimer's disease-relevant tau constructs in organotypic hippocampal slices. J Neurosci. 2006;26(22):6103–14.
- Yamaguchi H, Hirai S, Morimatsu M, Shoji M, Nakazato Y. Diffuse type of senile plaques in the cerebellum of Alzheimer-type dementia demonstrated by beta protein immunostain. Acta Neuropathol. 1989;77(3):314–9.
- Alam J, Sharma L. Potential Enzymatic Targets in Alzheimer's: A Comprehensive Review. Curr Drug Targets. 2019;20(3):316–39.
- Schweig JE, Yao H, Coppola K, Jin C, Crawford F, Mullan M, et al. Spleen tyrosine kinase (SYK) blocks autophagic Tau degradation in vitro and in vivo. J Biol Chem. 2019.
- Arndt JW, Qian F, Smith BA, Quan C, Kilambi KP, Bush MW, et al. Structural and kinetic basis for the selectivity of aducanumab for aggregated forms of amyloid-beta. Sci

Rep. 2018;8(1):6412.

- Saito T, Oba T, Shimizu S, Asada A, Iijima KM, Ando K. Cdk5 increases MARK4 activity and augments pathological tau accumulation and toxicity through tau phosphorylation at Ser262. Hum Mol Genet. 2019.
- Salcedo-Tello P, Ortiz-Matamoros A, Arias C. GSK3 Function in the Brain during Development, Neuronal Plasticity, and Neurodegeneration. Int J Alzheimers Dis. 2011;2011:189728.
- Weggen S, Beher D. Molecular consequences of amyloid precursor protein and presenilin mutations causing autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2012;4(2):9.
- Xia D, Watanabe H, Wu B, Lee SH, Li Y, Tsvetkov E, et al. Presenilin-1 knockin mice reveal loss-of-function mechanism for familial Alzheimer's disease. Neuron. 2015;85(5):967–81.
- Tang N, Kepp KP. Abeta42/Abeta40 Ratios of Presenilin 1 Mutations Correlate with Clinical Onset of Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 2018;66(3):939–45.
- Veeraraghavalu K, Sisodia SS. Mutant presenilin 1 expression in excitatory neurons impairs enrichment-mediated phenotypes of adult hippocampal progenitor cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(22):9148–53.
- Saura CA, Choi SY, Beglopoulos V, Malkani S, Zhang D, Shankaranarayana Rao BS, et al. Loss of presenilin function causes impairments of memory and synaptic plasticity followed by age-dependent neurodegeneration. Neuron. 2004;42(1):23–36.
- Hardy J, Selkoe DJ. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: progress and problems on the road to therapeutics. Science. 2002;297(5580):353–6.
- Michel CH, Kumar S, Pinotsi D, Tunnacliffe A, St George-Hyslop P, Mandelkow E, et al. Extracellular monomeric tau protein is sufficient to initiate the spread of tau protein pathology. J Biol Chem. 2014;289(2):956–67.
- Ovchinnikov DA, Korn O, Virshup I, Wells CA, Wolvetang EJ. The Impact of APP on Alzheimer-like Pathogenesis and Gene Expression in Down Syndrome iPSC-Derived Neurons. Stem Cell Reports. 2018;11(1):32–42.
- Youssef P, Chami B, Lim J, Middleton T, Sutherland GT, Witting PK. Evidence supporting oxidative stress in a moderately affected area of the brain in Alzheimer's disease. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):11553.
- Desai MK, Mastrangelo MA, Ryan DA, Sudol KL, Narrow WC, Bowers WJ. Early oligodendrocyte/myelin pathology in Alzheimer's disease mice constitutes a novel therapeutic target. Am J Pathol. 2010;177(3):1422–35.
- Zeineh MM, Chen Y, Kitzler HH, Hammond R, Vogel H, Rutt BK. Activated iron-containing microglia in the human hippocampus identified by magnetic resonance imaging in Alzheimer disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2015;36(9):2483– 500.
- 22. Dominy SS, Lynch C, Ermini F, Benedyk M, Marczyk A, Konradi A, et al. Porphyromonas gingivalis in Alzheimer's disease brains: evidence for disease causation and treatment with small-molecule inhibitors. Sci Adv. 2019;5(1):eaau3333.
- 23. Salmina AB, Komleva YK, Lopatina OL, Birbrair A. Pericytes in Alzheimer's Disease: Novel Clues to Cerebral

Amyloid Angiopathy Pathogenesis. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2019;1147:147–66.

- 24. Yashin AI, Fang F, Kovtun M, Wu D, Duan M, Arbeev K, et al. Hidden heterogeneity in Alzheimer's disease: insights from genetic association studies and other analyses. Exp Gerontol. 2018;107:148–60.
- Hughes AJ, Daniel SE, Kilford L, Lees AJ. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a clinico-pathological study of 100 cases. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1992;55(3):181–4.
- Haining RL, Achat-Mendes C. Neuromelanin, one of the most overlooked molecules in modern medicine, is not a spectator. Neural Regen Res. 2017;12(3):372–5.
- Zecca L, Zucca FA, Costi P, Tampellini D, Gatti A, Gerlach M, et al. The neuromelanin of human substantia nigra: structure, synthesis and molecular behaviour. J Neural Transm Suppl. 2003;(65):145–55.
- Carballo-Carbajal I, Laguna A, Romero-Gimenez J, Cuadros T, Bove J, Martinez-Vicente M, et al. Brain tyrosinase overexpression implicates age-dependent neuromelanin production in Parkinson's disease pathogenesis. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):973.
- 29. Zucca FA, Vanna R, Cupaioli FA, Bellei C, De Palma A, Di Silvestre D, et al. Neuromelanin organelles are specialized autolysosomes that accumulate undegraded proteins and lipids in aging human brain and are likely involved in Parkinson's disease. NPJ Parkinsons Dis. 2018;4:17.
- 30. Kumar H, Lim HW, More SV, Kim BW, Koppula S, Kim IS, et al. The role of free radicals in the aging brain and Parkinson's Disease: convergence and parallelism. Int J Mol Sci. 2012;13(8):10478–504.
- Gaspar P, Ben Jelloun N, Febvret A. Sparing of the dopaminergic neurons containing calbindin-D28k and of the dopaminergic mesocortical projections in weaver mutant mice. Neuroscience. 1994;61(2):293–305.
- 32. Siligardi G, Campbell MM, Gibbons WA, Drake AF. Conformational analysis of the melanin-concentrating hormone core by circular dichroic spectroscopy. Disulphide bridge and tyrosine contributions. Eur J Biochem. 1992;206(1):23–9.
- Dias V, Junn E, Mouradian MM. The role of oxidative stress in Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis. 2013;3(4):461–91.
- 34. Baba M, Nakajo S, Tu PH, Tomita T, Nakaya K, Lee VM, et al. Aggregation of alpha-synuclein in Lewy bodies of sporadic Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. Am J Pathol. 1998;152(4):879–84.
- 35. Spillantini MG, Crowther RA, Jakes R, Hasegawa M, Goedert M. alpha-Synuclein in filamentous inclusions of Lewy bodies from Parkinson's disease and dementia with lewy bodies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998;95(11):6469– 73.
- Ibanez P, Bonnet AM, Debarges B, Lohmann E, Tison F, Pollak P, et al. Causal relation between alpha-synuclein gene duplication and familial Parkinson's disease. Lancet. 2004;364(9440):1169–71.
- Kim S, Seo JH, Suh YH. Alpha-synuclein, Parkinson's disease, and Alzheimer's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2004;10 Suppl 1:S9–13.
- 38. Leong SL, Cappai R, Barnham KJ, Pham CL. Modulation

of alpha-synuclein aggregation by dopamine: a review. Neurochem Res. 2009;34(10):1838–46.

- Galvin JE. Interaction of alpha-synuclein and dopamine metabolites in the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease: a case for the selective vulnerability of the substantia nigra. Acta Neuropathol. 2006;112(2):115–26.
- Perez RG, Waymire JC, Lin E, Liu JJ, Guo F, Zigmond MJ. A role for alpha-synuclein in the regulation of dopamine biosynthesis. J Neurosci. 2002;22(8):3090–9.
- Lee HJ, Shin SY, Choi C, Lee YH, Lee SJ. Formation and removal of alpha-synuclein aggregates in cells exposed to mitochondrial inhibitors. J Biol Chem. 2002;277(7):5411– 7.
- 42. Wang X, Becker K, Levine N, Zhang M, Lieberman AP, Moore DJ, et al. Pathogenic alpha-synuclein aggregates preferentially bind to mitochondria and affect cellular respiration. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2019;7(1):41.
- 43. Halliday GM, Ophof A, Broe M, Jensen PH, Kettle E, Fedorow H, et al. Alpha-synuclein redistributes to neuromelanin lipid in the substantia nigra early in Parkinson's disease. Brain. 2005;128(Pt 11):2654–64.
- Xu S, Chan P. Interaction between Neuromelanin and Alpha-Synuclein in Parkinson's Disease. Biomolecules. 2015;5(2):1122–42.
- Olanow CW, Brundin P. Parkinson's disease and alpha synuclein: is Parkinson's disease a prion-like disorder? Mov Disord. 2013;28(1):31–40.
- 46. Yamasaki TR, Holmes BB, Furman JL, Dhavale DD, Su BW, Song ES, et al. Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy have distinct alpha-synuclein seed characteristics. J Biol Chem. 2019;294(3):1045–58.
- 47. Dieriks BV, Park TI, Fourie C, Faull RL, Dragunow M, Curtis MA. alpha-synuclein transfer through tunneling nanotubes occurs in SH-SY5Y cells and primary brain pericytes from Parkinson's disease patients. Sci Rep. 2017;7:42984.
- 48. Yeger-Lotem E, Riva L, Su LJ, Gitler AD, Cashikar AG, King OD, et al. Bridging high-throughput genetic and transcriptional data reveals cellular responses to alpha-synuclein toxicity. Nat Genet. 2009;41(3):316–23.
- 49. Tsika E, Moysidou M, Guo J, Cushman M, Gannon P, Sandaltzopoulos R, et al. Distinct region-specific alpha-synuclein oligomers in A53T transgenic mice: implications for neurodegeneration. J Neurosci. 2010;30(9):3409–18.
- Valente EM, Abou-Sleiman PM, Caputo V, Muqit MM, Harvey K, Gispert S, et al. Hereditary early-onset Parkinson's disease caused by mutations in PINK1. Science. 2004;304(5674):1158–60.
- Kitada T, Asakawa S, Hattori N, Matsumine H, Yamamura Y, Minoshima S, et al. Mutations in the parkin gene cause autosomal recessive juvenile parkinsonism. Nature. 1998;392(6676):605–8.
- 52. Vives-Bauza C, Zhou C, Huang Y, Cui M, de Vries RL, Kim J, et al. PINK1-dependent recruitment of Parkin to mitochondria in mitophagy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(1):378–83.
- Pickrell AM, Youle RJ. The roles of PINK1, parkin, and mitochondrial fidelity in Parkinson's disease. Neuron. 2015;85(2):257–73.
- 54. Matsuda N, Sato S, Shiba K, Okatsu K, Saisho K, Gautier

CA, et al. PINK1 stabilized by mitochondrial depolarization recruits Parkin to damaged mitochondria and activates latent Parkin for mitophagy. J Cell Biol. 2010;189(2):211– 21.

- McWilliams TG, Muqit MM. PINK1 and Parkin: emerging themes in mitochondrial homeostasis. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2017;45:83–91.
- 56. Poole AC, Thomas RE, Andrews LA, McBride HM, Whitworth AJ, Pallanck LJ. The PINK1/Parkin pathway regulates mitochondrial morphology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105(5):1638–43.
- Barodia SK, Creed RB, Goldberg MS. Parkin and PINK1 functions in oxidative stress and neurodegeneration. Brain Res Bull. 2017;133:51–9.
- 58. Kitada T, Pisani A, Porter DR, Yamaguchi H, Tscherter A, Martella G, et al. Impaired dopamine release and synaptic plasticity in the striatum of PINK1-deficient mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007;104(27):11441–6.
- 59. Zhi L, Qin Q, Muqeem T, Seifert EL, Liu W, Zheng S, et al. Loss of PINK1 causes age-dependent decrease of dopamine release and mitochondrial dysfunction. Neurobiol Aging. 2019;75:1–10.
- Newman LE, Shadel GS. Pink1/Parkin link inflammation, mitochondrial stress, and neurodegeneration. J Cell Biol. 2018;217(10):3327–9.
- 61. Zhou ZD, Refai FS, Xie SP, Ng SH, Chan CH, Ho PG, et al. Mutant PINK1 upregulates tyrosine hydroxylase and dopamine levels, leading to vulnerability of dopaminergic neurons. Free Radic Biol Med. 2014;68:220–33.
- 62. von Coelln R, Thomas B, Andrabi SA, Lim KL, Savitt JM, Saffary R, et al. Inclusion body formation and neurodegeneration are parkin independent in a mouse model of alpha-synucleinopathy. J Neurosci. 2006;26(14):3685–96.
- Klein C, Westenberger A. Genetics of Parkinson's disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2012;2(1):a008888.
- 64. Brouwer M, Huss A, van der Mark M, Nijssen PC, Mulleners WM, Sas AM, et al. Environmental exposure to pesticides and the risk of Parkinson's disease in the Netherlands. Environ Int. 2017;107:100–10.
- 65. Liberatore GT, Jackson-Lewis V, Vukosavic S, Mandir AS, Vila M, McAuliffe WG, et al. Inducible nitric oxide synthase stimulates dopaminergic neurodegeneration in the MPTP model of Parkinson disease. Nat Med. 1999;5(12):1403–9.
- Langston JW. The MPTP Story. J Parkinsons Dis. 2017;7 s1:S11–9.
- 67. Porras G, Li Q, Bezard E. Modeling Parkinson's disease in primates: the MPTP model. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2012;2(3):a009308.
- 68. Blanchard V, Raisman-Vozari R, Vyas S, Michel PP, Javoy-Agid F, Uhl G, et al. Differential expression of tyrosine hydroxylase and membrane dopamine transporter genes in subpopulations of dopaminergic neurons of the rat mesencephalon. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 1994;22(1-4):29–38.
- 69. Counihan TJ, Penney JB Jr. Regional dopamine transporter gene expression in the substantia nigra from control and Parkinson's disease brains. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1998;65(2):164–9.
- 70. Ferrante RJ, Schulz JB, Kowall NW, Beal MF. Systemic

administration of rotenone produces selective damage in the striatum and globus pallidus, but not in the substantia nigra. Brain Res. 1997;753(1):157–62.

- Choi WS, Kruse SE, Palmiter RD, Xia Z. Mitochondrial complex I inhibition is not required for dopaminergic neuron death induced by rotenone, MPP+, or paraquat. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105(39):15136–41.
- 72. Galter D, Buervenich S, Carmine A, Anvret M, Olson L. ALDH1 mRNA: presence in human dopamine neurons and decreases in substantia nigra in Parkinson's disease and in the ventral tegmental area in schizophrenia. Neurobiol Dis. 2003;14(3):637–47.
- Martin LJ. Neuronal death in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis is apoptosis: possible contribution of a programmed cell death mechanism. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 1999;58(5):459–71.
- 74. Appel SH, Stockton-Appel V, Stewart SS, Kerman RH. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Associated clinical disorders and immunological evaluations. Arch Neurol. 1986;43(3):234–8.
- 75. Pagani MR, Reisin RC, Uchitel OD. Calcium signaling pathways mediating synaptic potentiation triggered by amyotrophic lateral sclerosis IgG in motor nerve terminals. J Neurosci. 2006;26(10):2661–72.
- 76. Shahani N, Nalini A, Gourie-Devi M, Raju TR. Reactive astrogliosis in neonatal rat spinal cord after exposure to cerebrospinal fluid from patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Exp Neurol. 1998;149(1):295–8.
- 77. Wong PC, Pardo CA, Borchelt DR, Lee MK, Copeland NG, Jenkins NA, et al. An adverse property of a familial ALS-linked SOD1 mutation causes motor neuron disease characterized by vacuolar degeneration of mitochondria. Neuron. 1995;14(6):1105–16.
- Hilton JB, White AR, Crouch PJ. Endogenous Cu in the central nervous system fails to satiate the elevated requirement for Cu in a mutant SOD1 mouse model of ALS. Metallomics. 2016;8(9):1002–11.
- 79. Obal I, Nogradi B, Meszlenyi V, Patai R, Ricken G, Kovacs GG, et al. Experimental Motor Neuron Disease Induced in Mice with Long-Term Repeated Intraperitoneal Injections of Serum from ALS Patients. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(10).
- Clark RM, Blizzard CA, Young KM, King AE, Dickson TC. Calretinin and Neuropeptide Y interneurons are differentially altered in the motor cortex of the SOD1(G93A) mouse model of ALS. Sci Rep. 2017;7:44461.
- Prudencio M, Hart PJ, Borchelt DR, Andersen PM. Variation in aggregation propensities among ALS-associated variants of SOD1: correlation to human disease. Hum Mol Genet. 2009;18(17):3217–26.
- 82. Smith EF, Shaw PJ, De Vos KJ. The role of mitochondria in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurosci Lett. 2017.
- 83. Spalloni A, Geracitano R, Berretta N, Sgobio C, Bernardi G, Mercuri NB, et al. Molecular and synaptic changes in the hippocampus underlying superior spatial abilities in pre-symptomatic G93A+/+ mice overexpressing the human Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (Gly93 —> ALA) mutation. Exp Neurol. 2006;197(2):505–14.
- Philips T, Rothstein JD. Glial cells in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Exp Neurol. 2014;262 Pt B:111-20.

- 85. Ramirez-Jarquin UN, Rojas F, van Zundert B, Tapia R. Chronic infusion of SOD1(G93A) astrocyte-secreted factors induces spinal motoneuron degeneration and neuromuscular dysfunction in healthy rats. J Cell Physiol. 2017;232(10):2610–5.
- Nguyen MD, Lariviere RC, Julien JP. Reduction of axonal caliber does not alleviate motor neuron disease caused by mutant superoxide dismutase 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000;97(22):12306–11.
- Huang C, Tong J, Bi F, Zhou H, Xia XG. Mutant TDP-43 in motor neurons promotes the onset and progression of ALS in rats. J Clin Invest. 2012;122(1):107–18.
- Bernard-Marissal N, Sunyach C, Marissal T, Raoul C, Pettmann B. Calreticulin levels determine onset of early muscle denervation by fast motoneurons of ALS model mice. Neurobiol Dis. 2015;73:130–6.
- Vivekananda U, Manjalay ZR, Ganesalingam J, Simms J, Shaw CE, Leigh PN, et al. Low index-to-ring finger length ratio in sporadic ALS supports prenatally defined motor neuronal vulnerability. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2011;82(6):635–7.
- Grossman M. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis a multisystem neurodegenerative disorder. Nat Rev Neurol. 2019;15(1):5–6.
- 91. Fusilli C, Migliore S, Mazza T, Consoli F, De Luca A, Barbagallo G, et al. Biological and clinical manifestations of juvenile Huntington's disease: a retrospective analysis. Lancet Neurol. 2018;17(11):986–93.
- 92. Coppen EM, van der Grond J, Roos RA. Atrophy of the putamen at time of clinical motor onset in Huntington's disease: a 6-year follow-up study. J Clin Mov Disord. 2018;5:2.
- 93. McColgan P, Seunarine KK, Gregory S, Razi A, Papoutsi M, Long JD, et al. Topological length of white matter connections predicts their rate of atrophy in premanifest Huntington's disease. JCI Insight. 2017;2(8).
- 94. Rikani AA, Choudhry Z, Choudhry AM, Rizvi N, Ikram H, Mobassarah NJ, et al. The mechanism of degeneration of striatal neuronal subtypes in Huntington disease. Ann Neurosci. 2014;21(3):112–4.
- Ehrlich ME. Huntington's disease and the striatal medium spiny neuron: cell-autonomous and non-cell-autonomous mechanisms of disease. Neurotherapeutics. 2012;9(2):270– 84.
- Cepeda C, Wu N, Andre VM, Cummings DM, Levine MS. The corticostriatal pathway in Huntington's disease. Prog Neurobiol. 2007;81(5-6):253–71.
- 97. Giralt A, Carreton O, Lao-Peregrin C, Martin ED, Alberch J. Conditional BDNF release under pathological conditions improves Huntington's disease pathology by delaying neuronal dysfunction. Mol Neurodegener. 2011;6(1):71.
- Smail S, Bahga D, McDole B, Guthrie K. Increased Olfactory Bulb BDNF Expression Does Not Rescue Deficits in Olfactory Neurogenesis in the Huntington's Disease R6/2 Mouse. Chem Senses. 2016;41(3):221–32.
- 99. Gauthier LR, Charrin BC, Borrell-Pages M, Dompierre JP, Rangone H, Cordelieres FP, et al. Huntingtin controls neurotrophic support and survival of neurons by enhancing BDNF vesicular transport along microtubules. Cell. 2004;118(1):127–38.

- 100. Drouin-Ouellet J, Sawiak SJ, Cisbani G, Lagace M, Kuan WL, Saint-Pierre M, et al. Cerebrovascular and blood-brain barrier impairments in Huntington's disease: potential implications for its pathophysiology. Ann Neurol. 2015;78(2):160–77.
- 101. Denovan-Wright EM, Robertson HA. Cannabinoid receptor messenger RNA levels decrease in a subset of neurons of the lateral striatum, cortex and hippocampus of transgenic Huntington's disease mice. Neuroscience. 2000;98(4):705–13.
- 102. Damiano M, Galvan L, Deglon N, Brouillet E. Mitochondria in Huntington's disease. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010;1802(1):52–61.
- 103. Browne SE. Mitochondria and Huntington's disease pathogenesis: insight from genetic and chemical models. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2008;1147:358–82.
- 104. Thomas EA, Coppola G, Tang B, Kuhn A, Kim S, Geschwind DH, et al. In vivo cell-autonomous transcriptional abnormalities revealed in mice expressing mutant huntingtin in striatal but not cortical neurons. Hum Mol Genet. 2011;20(6):1049–60.
- 105. Gu X, Li C, Wei W, Lo V, Gong S, Li SH, et al. Pathological cell-cell interactions elicited by a neuropathogenic form of mutant Huntingtin contribute to cortical pathogenesis in HD mice. Neuron. 2005;46(3):433–44.
- 106. Lee CY, Cantle JP, Yang XW. Genetic manipulations of mutant huntingtin in mice: new insights into Huntington's disease pathogenesis. FEBS J. 2013;280(18):4382–94.
- 107. Mangiarini L, Sathasivam K, Mahal A, Mott R, Seller M, Bates GP. Instability of highly expanded CAG repeats in mice transgenic for the Huntington's disease mutation. Nat Genet. 1997;15(2):197–200.
- 108. Ishiguro H, Yamada K, Sawada H, Nishii K, Ichino N, Sawada M, et al. Age-dependent and tissue-specific CAG repeat instability occurs in mouse knock-in for a mutant Huntington's disease gene. J Neurosci Res. 2001;65(4):289–97.
- 109. Kennedy L, Shelbourne PF. Dramatic mutation instability in HD mouse striatum: does polyglutamine load contribute to cell-specific vulnerability in Huntington's disease? Hum Mol Genet. 2000;9(17):2539–44.
- 110. Swami M, Hendricks AE, Gillis T, Massood T, Mysore J, Myers RH, et al. Somatic expansion of the Huntington's disease CAG repeat in the brain is associated with an earlier age of disease onset. Hum Mol Genet. 2009;18(16):3039–47.
- 111. Guo J, Gu L, Leffak M, Li GM. MutSbeta promotes trinucleotide repeat expansion by recruiting DNA polymerase beta to nascent (CAG)n or (CTG)n hairpins for error-prone DNA synthesis. Cell Res. 2016;26(7):775–86.
- 112. Zucker B, Luthi-Carter R, Kama JA, Dunah AW, Stern EA, Fox JH, et al. Transcriptional dysregulation in striatal projection- and interneurons in a mouse model of Huntington's disease: neuronal selectivity and potential neuroprotective role of HAP1. Hum Mol Genet. 2005;14(2):179–89.
- 113. Kang R, Wang L, Sanders SS, Zuo K, Hayden MR, Raymond LA. Altered Regulation of Striatal Neuronal N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptor Trafficking by Palmitoylation in Huntington Disease Mouse Model. Front Synaptic Neurosci. 2019;11:3.

- 114. Hansson O, Petersen A, Leist M, Nicotera P, Castilho RF, Brundin P. Transgenic mice expressing a Huntington's disease mutation are resistant to quinolinic acid-induced striatal excitotoxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999;96(15):8727–32.
- 115. Cottrell JR, Dube GR, Egles C, Liu G. Distribution, density, and clustering of functional glutamate receptors before and after synaptogenesis in hippocampal neurons. J Neurophysiol. 2000;84(3):1573–87.
- 116. Johri A, Chandra A, Flint Beal M. PGC-1alpha, mitochondrial dysfunction, and Huntington's disease. Free Radic Biol Med. 2013;62:37–46.
- 117. Lin J, Wu PH, Tarr PT, Lindenberg KS, St-Pierre J, Zhang CY, et al. Defects in adaptive energy metabolism with CNS-linked hyperactivity in PGC-1alpha null mice. Cell. 2004;119(1):121–35.
- 118. McMeekin LJ, Li Y, Fox SN, Rowe GC, Crossman DK, Day JJ, et al. Cell-Specific Deletion of PGC-1alpha from Medium Spiny Neurons Causes Transcriptional Alterations and Age-Related Motor Impairment. J Neurosci. 2018;38(13):3273–86.
- Besseiche A, Riveline JP, Gautier JF, Breant B, Blondeau B. Metabolic roles of PGC-1alpha and its implications for type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Metab. 2015;41(5):347–57.
- 120. Polyzos AA, Lee DY, Datta R, Hauser M, Budworth H, Holt A, et al. Metabolic Reprogramming in Astrocytes Distinguishes Region-Specific Neuronal Susceptibility in Huntington Mice. Cell Metab. 2019;29(6):1258–73. e11.
- 121. Singh-Bains MK, Tippett LJ, Hogg VM, Synek BJ, Roxburgh RH, Waldvogel HJ, et al. Globus pallidus degeneration and clinicopathological features of Huntington disease. Ann Neurol. 2016;80(2):185–201.
- 122. Subramaniam S, Snyder SH. Huntington's disease is a disorder of the corpus striatum: focus on Rhes (Ras homologue enriched in the striatum). Neuropharmacology. 2011;60(7-8):1187–92.
- 123. Subramaniam S, Sixt KM, Barrow R, Snyder SH. Rhes, a striatal specific protein, mediates mutant-huntingtin cytotoxicity. Science. 2009;324(5932):1327–30.
- 124. Swarnkar S, Chen Y, Pryor WM, Shahani N, Page DT, Subramaniam S. Ectopic expression of the striatal-enriched GTPase Rhes elicits cerebellar degeneration and an ataxia phenotype in Huntington's disease. Neurobiol Dis. 2015;82:66–77.
- 125. Sharma M, Subramaniam S. Rhes travels from cell to cell and transports Huntington disease protein via TNT-like protrusion. J Cell Biol. 2019;218(6):1972–93.
- 126. Hernandez I, Luna G, Rauch JN, Reis SA, Giroux M, Karch CM, et al. A farnesyltransferase inhibitor activates lysosomes and reduces tau pathology in mice with tauopathy. Sci Transl Med. 2019;11(485).
- 127. Gibbons GS, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ. Mechanisms of Cell-to-Cell Transmission of Pathological Tau: A Review. JAMA Neurol. 2019;76(1):101–8.
- 128. Cicchetti F, Lacroix S, Cisbani G, Vallieres N, Saint-Pierre M, St-Amour I, et al. Mutant huntingtin is present in neuronal grafts in Huntington disease patients. Ann Neurol. 2014;76(1):31–42.
- 129. Pecho-Vrieseling E, Rieker C, Fuchs S, Bleckmann D, Esposito MS, Botta P, et al. Transneuronal propagation

of mutant huntingtin contributes to non-cell autonomous pathology in neurons. Nat Neurosci. 2014;17(8):1064–72.

- Babcock DT, Ganetzky B. Transcellular spreading of huntingtin aggregates in the Drosophila brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015;112(39):E5427–33.
- 131. Jeon I, Cicchetti F, Cisbani G, Lee S, Li E, Bae J, et al. Human-to-mouse prion-like propagation of mutant huntingtin protein. Acta Neuropathol. 2016;132(4):577–92.
- 132. Manish Sharma UNRJ, Oscar Rivera, Melissa Karantzis, Mehdi Eshraghi, Neelam Shahani, Vishakha Sharma, Ricardo Tapia, Srinivasa Subramaniam. Rhes, a Striatal-Enriched Protein, Promotes Mitophagy Via Nix. PNAS. 2019.
- 133. Mealer RG, Subramaniam S, Snyder SH. Rhes deletion is neuroprotective in the 3-nitropropionic acid model of Huntington's disease. J Neurosci. 2013;33(9):4206–10.
- 134. Pryor WM, Biagioli M, Shahani N, Swarnkar S, Huang WC, Page DT, et al. Huntingtin promotes mTORC1 signaling in the pathogenesis of Huntington's disease. Sci Signal. 2014;7(349):ra103.
- 135. Subramaniam S, Napolitano F, Mealer RG, Kim S, Errico F, Barrow R, et al. Rhes, a striatal-enriched small G protein, mediates mTOR signaling and L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. Nat Neurosci. 2012;15(2):191–3.
- 136. Wang Y, Cui J, Sun X, Zhang Y. Tunneling-nanotube development in astrocytes depends on p53 activation. Cell Death Differ. 2011;18(4):732–42.
- 137. Davis AA, Leyns CE, Holtzman DM. Intercellular Spread of Protein Aggregates in Neurodegenerative Disease. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2018;34:545–68.
- 138. Tardivel M, Begard S, Bousset L, Dujardin S, Coens A, Melki R, et al. Tunneling nanotube (TNT)-mediated neuron-to neuron transfer of pathological Tau protein assemblies. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2016;4(1):117.
- 139. Abounit S, Wu JW, Duff K, Victoria GS, Zurzolo C. Tunneling nanotubes: A possible highway in the spreading of tau and other prion-like proteins in neurodegenerative diseases. Prion. 2016;10(5):344–51.
- 140. Abounit S, Bousset L, Loria F, Zhu S, de Chaumont F, Pieri L, et al. Tunneling nanotubes spread fibrillar alpha-synuclein by intercellular trafficking of lysosomes. EMBO J. 2016;35(19):2120–38.
- 141. Rostami J, Holmqvist S, Lindstrom V, Sigvardson J, Westermark GT, Ingelsson M, et al. Human Astrocytes Transfer Aggregated Alpha-Synuclein via Tunneling Nanotubes. J Neurosci. 2017;37(49):11835–53.
- 142. Sharma M, Jarquin UN, Rivera O, Kazantzis M, Eshraghi M, Shahani N, et al. Rhes, a striatal-enriched protein, promotes mitophagy via Nix. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019.
- 143. Penney J, Ralvenius WT, Tsai LH. Modeling Alzheimer's disease with iPSC-derived brain cells. Mol Psychiatry. 2019.
- 144. Surmeier DJ, Obeso JA, Halliday GM. Selective neuronal vulnerability in Parkinson disease. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2017;18(2):101–13.
- 145. Ragagnin AM, Shadfar S, Vidal M, Jamali MS, Atkin JD. Motor Neuron Susceptibility in ALS/FTD. Front Neurosci. 2019;13:532.